## Stephen F. Austin State University SFA ScholarWorks

**Faculty Publications** Forestry

1972

## An Alternative Procedure for Improving Height/ Age Data From Stem Analysis

J. David Lenhart

Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F. Austin State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/forestry



Part of the Forest Sciences Commons

Tell us how this article helped you.

## Recommended Citation

Lenhart, J. David, "An Alternative Procedure for Improving Height/Age Data From Stem Analysis" (1972). Faculty Publications. Paper

http://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/forestry/370

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Forestry at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu.

## An Alternative Procedure for Improving Height/Age Data From Stem Analysis

Comment by J. David Lenhart<sup>1</sup> on a paper by Willard H. Carmean, "Site Index Curves for Upland Oaks in the Coastal States."

In a recent article by Carmean (Forest Sci. 18:109–120), the author adjusted his stem analysis data so that the tree height at each bolt section point more closely approximated the actual tree height for the tree age at that point. His adjustment procedure can be expressed as:

Adjusted tree height = unadjusted tree height + (bolt length/age difference)/2

The assumption is that, on the average, the annual height growth is equal for each year

lying within the bolt. An additional assumption is that the stem analysis section point will occur in the middle of the annual leader

An alternative approach for improving stem analysis height/age data can be stated as:

Adjusted tree height = unadjusted tree height + (bolt length/(age difference + 1)

In this latter procedure, the assumption is that, on the average, the terminal buds located within the bolt are equally spaced through the bolt.

By following one of the two procedures described above, the resulting stem analysis sample set should in all probability be more representative of the true (yet unknown) tree height values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author is Assistant Professor and Acting Assistant Dean, School of Forestry, Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas 75961.