### University of New Hampshire University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository

Earth Sciences Scholarship

Earth Sciences

10-27-2004

## Ship-based nitric acid measurements in the Gulf of Maine during New England Air Quality Study 2002

Jack E. Dibb University of New Hampshire, jack.dibb@unh.edu

Eric Scheuer University of New Hampshire - Main Campus, Eric.Scheuer@unh.edu

Sallie I. Whitlow University of New Hampshire - Main Campus

Marcy Vozzella University of New Hampshire - Main Campus

Eric Williams NOAA

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.unh.edu/earthsci\_facpub Part of the <u>Atmospheric Sciences Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Dibb, J. E., E. Scheuer, S. I. Whitlow, M. Vozella, E. Williams, and B. M. Lerner (2004), Ship-based nitric acid measurements in the Gulf of Maine during New England Air Quality Study 2002, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D20303, doi:10.1029/2004JD004843.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Earth Sciences at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Earth Sciences Scholarship by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact nicole.hentz@unh.edu.

#### Authors

Jack E. Dibb, Eric Scheuer, Sallie I. Whitlow, Marcy Vozzella, Eric Williams, and Brian M. Lerner

# Ship-based nitric acid measurements in the Gulf of Maine during New England Air Quality Study 2002

Jack E. Dibb, Eric Scheuer, Sallie I. Whitlow, and Marcy Vozella

Climate Change Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, USA

Eric Williams<sup>1</sup> and Brian M. Lerner<sup>1</sup>

Aeronomy Laboratory, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Received 31 March 2004; revised 9 June 2004; accepted 9 August 2004; published 22 October 2004.

[1] Gas phase nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) was measured at 5-min resolution on board the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) research vessel Ronald H. Brown during the second leg (29 July to 10 August) of the New England Air Quality Study (NEAQS) 2002 cruise. A primary objective of the cruise was to improve understanding of the oxidation of  $NO_x$  in, and removal of the oxidation products from, the polluted marine boundary layer east of northeastern North America. For the first 9 days of this leg the ship remained north of Cape Cod, and the cruise track did not extend much farther north than the New Hampshire-Maine border. During this period, HNO<sub>3</sub> averaged 1.1 ppb and accounted for 19% of total reactive nitrogen oxides (measured  $NO_{\nu}$ ). On all days, peak HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios were observed in the early afternoon (average 2.3 ppb), at levels twofold to fourfold higher than the minima around sunrise and sunset. In these daytime peaks,  $HNO_3/NO_v$  averaged 28%. There were secondary nighttime peaks of  $HNO_3$  (0.9 ppb average), when  $HNO_3$  accounted for 16% of total reactive nitrogen oxides. This pronounced diurnal pattern confirms that production, and subsequent deposition, of HNO<sub>3</sub> in the polluted marine boundary layer downwind of New England removes a significant fraction of the  $NO_x$  exported to the atmosphere over the Gulf of Maine. Nitric acid was correlated with O<sub>3</sub>, particularly during the early afternoon interval when both molecules reached maximum mixing ratios ( $R^2 = 0.66$ ). The ozone production efficiency (OPE) inferred from the slope (10 ppb O<sub>3</sub>/ppb HNO<sub>3</sub>) was similar to the OPE of 9 estimated at the Atmospheric Investigation, Regional Modeling, Analysis and Prediction (AIRMAP) Thompson Farm station in coastal New Hampshire during the study period. INDEX TERMS: 0345 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Pollution-urban and regional (0305); 0368 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Troposphere-constituent transport and chemistry; 0365 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Troposphere—composition and chemistry; KEYWORDS: nitric acid production, nitric acid deposition, polluted marine boundary layer, New England Air Quality Study, Gulf of Maine

Citation: Dibb, J. E., E. Scheuer, S. I. Whitlow, M. Vozella, E. Williams, and B. M. Lerner (2004), Ship-based nitric acid measurements in the Gulf of Maine during New England Air Quality Study 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D20303, doi:10.1029/2004JD004843.

#### 1. Introduction

[2] The emission of nitric oxide (NO) by anthropogenic activity plays a major role in regional air quality, primarily by controlling the photochemical production of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). There is growing recognition that export of NO<sub>x</sub> (NO plus nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)) and its oxidation products perturbs the composition of the troposphere long distances down-

wind of major source areas [e.g., Liang et al., 1998; Li et al., 2004].

[3] Northeastern North America represents a significant source of anthropogenic  $NO_x$  on a global scale. However, *Munger et al.* [1998] estimated that conversion of  $NO_x$  to HNO<sub>3</sub>, which is rapidly lost by deposition, within the continental boundary layer of this region is a very effective sink, removing approximately 45% of emitted  $NO_x$  within 24 hours during the summer. Simulations with the three dimensional global chemical transport model GEOS-CHEM predict that approximately 80% of the anthropogenic  $NO_x$ emitted into the North American boundary layer is quickly deposited as HNO<sub>3</sub>, with the remainder exported to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Also at Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

Copyright 2004 by the American Geophysical Union. 0148-0227/04/2004JD004843



**Figure 1.** Plan view of the instrumentation vans and sampling inlets discussed herein. The bow of the ship was to the right, approximately 10 m forward of the leading edge of the  $HNO_3$  inlet. Decking on top of the vans was 10.5 m above the waterline; all inlets were at least 1 m above the decking. To provide horizontal scale, it is noted that the large vans (PMEL 1, AL, and ETL) are 2.4 m wide by 6.1 m long.

atmosphere over the Atlantic Ocean as  $NO_x$  and peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs) [*Li et al.*, 2004]. Interestingly, the importance of HNO<sub>3</sub> deposition as the primary sink for  $NO_x$  has largely been inferred from  $NO_x/NO_y$  relationships, because accurate measurements of HNO<sub>3</sub> have been difficult, hence sparse.

[4] It is likely that a larger fraction (>80%) of the  $NO_x$  emitted along the urban/industrial corridor of the east coast of the United States is exported eastward over the Atlantic Ocean as  $NO_x$  than is the case for all anthropogenic emissions of  $NO_x$ , simply because of the very short transport distances. It is expected that production of HNO<sub>3</sub> in the marine boundary layer will remove much of this  $NO_x$  quickly, much as it does over the continent.

[5] The New England Air Quality Study in the summer of 2002 (NEAQS 2002) provided the opportunity to test this hypothesis. The NOAA research vessel *Ronald H. Brown*, carrying an extensive suite of in situ and remote sensing atmospheric chemistry instrumentation, conducted a month long cruise as part of NEAQS 2002. The primary study region was the coastal zone of the Gulf of Maine, offshore of New England and southeastern Canada. This paper presents measurements of gas phase HNO<sub>3</sub> made during the second half of the cruise, when the ship remained directly offshore of Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Maine. Particular attention is directed toward demonstrating that HNO<sub>3</sub> is rapidly produced, and subsequently deposited to the ocean, in polluted air masses advected from the continent.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Nitric Acid

[6] Sampling was conducted with an automated dual mist chamber system, coupled to dual ion chromatographs that

provided near-real-time analyses. The system is modeled on the airborne instrument developed by the University of New Hampshire and described most recently by *Scheuer et al.* [2003]. For deployment on the *Ronald H. Brown*, the samplers and ion chromatographs were mounted inside a shipping case, along with the computer controlling both sampling and analysis and all associated air handling and measuring hardware. This shipping case was mounted at the forward edge of a platform on top of the NOAA Aeronomy Laboratory (AL) sampling van that was situated as far forward on the 02 deck of the ship as possible (Figure 1). The computer inside the shipping case was also connected via ethernet cable to a second computer in the main laboratory two decks below, allowing system operation and the ion chromatograph traces to be monitored remotely.

[7] Ambient air was pulled into the shipping case through a 75-cm-long heated manifold constructed of 1.27-cm OD PFA tubing. The flow rate through the manifold was in excess of 150 standard liters min<sup>-1</sup> (slpm). Particles were excluded from the manifold with a 9-cm diameter, 2- $\mu$ m pore size, Zefluor Teflon filter that was changed frequently. Extensive experimentation during the cruise demonstrated that the filter caused no measurable artifact in the collection of HNO<sub>3</sub>, likely because of the very low abundance of sea-salt aerosols under the generally light wind conditions encountered during the study period.

[8] The mist chambers sampled from the manifold at a rate of 40 slpm for integration intervals of 5 min. When one mist chamber stopped sampling, the second immediately started, while the first automatically injected its sample into the ion chromatograph. Sampling was interrupted periodically (at least once every 36 hours) to replenish supplies of ultra pure water and eluent. The ion chromatographs were



**Figure 2.** Cruise tracks of the *Ronald H. Brown* on 30 July and 4 August. These 2 days are selected to illustrate the two primary sampling areas (Boston Harbor and the Isles of Shoals) during leg 2. The ship did not traverse significantly outside the regions sampled on these two days until heading south past Cape Cod on the afternoon of 6 August. Figure 2 of *Brown et al.* [2004] presents the cruise track for all of legs 1 and 2. See color version of this figure at back of this issue.

recalibrated each time the eluent was refreshed, resulting in data gaps of several hours.

Transport and Chemical Evolution Pacific (TRACE P) campaign [*Eisele et al.*, 2003].

[9] Shortly after the ship left Charleston, South Carolina, on 12 July 2002 an uncontrolled heater partially melted the high-flow manifold inside the shipping case. Repairs were attempted and the instrument was operated throughout the first leg of the cruise, but agreement between the two samplers was generally poor. During the port call at Portsmouth, New Hampshire, midway through the cruise (26-29 July), all damaged parts were replaced. The improvement in data quality during the second leg confirmed that the original manifold had been leaking for most of the first leg, so all data from the first leg were discarded. During the second leg of the cruise (29 July until 10 August 2002) 3032 five-minute samples were collected. The complete data set is part of the NEAQS 2002 archive and is also available from the authors. This paper focuses only on the 2188 samples collected the first nine days of the second leg (ending 6 August) while the ship was north of Cape Cod in the Gulf of Maine, offshore of Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and southwestern Maine (Figure 2).

#### [10] The detection limit for HNO<sub>3</sub> using a 5-min integration time is better than 5 parts per trillion (ppt). All samples during the second leg of the NEAQS 2002 cruise were well above detection limit. We estimate that precision is approximately 30% below 50 ppt, improving to 15% for mixing ratios greater than approximately 300 ppt. The absolute accuracy of HNO<sub>3</sub> measurements is, in general, unknown [*Crosley*, 1996]. Our technique for measurement of HNO<sub>3</sub>, as deployed on board NASA's DC-8, compared very well to measurements by chemical ionization/mass spectrometry on board the P3 in "wingtip to wingtip" flight legs during the

#### 2.2. Ozone and Nitrogen Oxides

[11] Nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), and total reactive nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>v</sub>) were all measured with similar NO/O<sub>3</sub> chemiluminescence instruments. For NO<sub>2</sub> the sampled air was taken from a high-flow manifold and passed through a small ( $\sim 17$  cc) glass cell that was illuminated with UV light from a broadband 500W Xe lamp. This lamp photolyzed a fraction ( $\sim 0.3-0.8$ ) of the  $NO_2$  in the sample, which was then measured as an increase in the signal from ambient NO. The instrument used to measure NO had identical plumbing, including a blackened photolysis cell, that also sampled from the high-flow manifold. The flows of the two instruments were matched so that subtraction of the NO data from the NO<sub>2</sub> data did not produce any spurious results. Calibrations were done as standard additions of NO or NO<sub>2</sub> to the sampled air. Sampling was conducted every second, but data were reported as 1-min averages. For this study the total uncertainty for NO was approximately  $\pm(4\% + 6 \text{ pptv})$  and for NO<sub>2</sub> was approximately  $\pm(7\% + 24 \text{ pptv})$ . Detection limit (for the 1 s raw data at 1 sigma uncertainty) for NO was approximately 7 pptv and for  $NO_2$  (also for 1 s data with no NO present) was approximately 30 pptv. The NO<sub>2</sub> Xe lamps failed numerous times during the study for unknown reasons, otherwise the systems operated normally. For  $NO_{\nu}$ , the sampled air was mixed with a small amount (0.3%) of pure CO within a short Teflon inlet and then drawn into a heated (325°C) gold tube converter. This converter was mounted on a post on the forward edge of the roof of one of the Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (PMEL) sam-

**Table 1.** Summary of 5-min Averages of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone Mixing Ratios Measured on the *Ronald H. Brown* in the Period 29 July Through 6 August 2002<sup>a</sup>

	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub> /NO <sub>y</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	$NO_y$	O <sub>3</sub>
Mean ( $\sigma$ )	1.10 (1.02)	0.19 (0.15)	4.91 (5.48)	7.86 (7.47)	46.7 (20.5)
Median	0.81	0.15	3.24	5.93	43.8
Range	0.02 - 7.10	0.01 - 0.79	0.06 - 60.9	0.45 - 87.47	2 - 108
n	2188	2043	1913	2043	2182

<sup>a</sup>Mixing ratios in parts per billion (ppb);  $\sigma$  is 1 standard deviation, and *n* is number of 5-min intervals with ambient measurements for the given molecule.

pling vans (Figure 1). The sample was then sent through Teflon tubing at low pressure to the chemiluminescence detector in the AL van. Calibrations were performed as standard additions of NO and NO2 to ambient air. The conversion efficiency of the gold tube for NO2 was evaluated with every calibration. Conversion efficiency for HNO<sub>3</sub> was evaluated periodically by addition of HNO<sub>3</sub> from a permeation tube source. When conversion fell below 80% the gold tube was baked out under zero air flow at high temperature (500°C). Originally the converter was mounted on the roof of the AL van (Figure 1), but it became clear that air from ship operations (exhaust stack, galley exhaust, etc.) was contaminating the  $NO_{\nu}$  sampling. The converter was then moved to the second location (roof of PMEL van (Figure 1)), which reduced, but did not eliminate, the sampling contamination. Such contamination was not observed nearly as often in the NO/NO<sub>2</sub> data as in the NO<sub> $\nu$ </sub> data. The difference was likely due to the higher location of the NO/NO<sub>2</sub> inlet than the NO<sub> $\nu$ </sub> inlet, but this could not be confirmed. In any case, the contamination was easily observed as extremely high-mixing-ratio plumes (>100 ppbv) of short duration (2-5 s) so these events could be eliminated from the data set. For this study, the 1 s  $NO_{\nu}$ data were averaged to 1-min data points. Total uncertainty for NO<sub>v</sub> is estimated at  $\pm(15\% + 20$  pptv) and the detection limit (1 s as NO; 1 sigma) was approximately 10 pptv.

[12] Ozone was measured with a commercial UV absorbance instrument (Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. Model 49c). This instrument sampled from the same high-flow manifold as the NO/NO<sub>2</sub> systems. The 10 s data from this instrument were averaged to 1-min data points. Detection limit for this instrument is estimated at 1-2 ppby.

#### 2.3. Hydrocarbons

[13] A gas chromatograph with flame ionization and mass spectrometric detectors was operated on board the *Ronald H. Brown*. Details of sampling and analysis are provided by *Goldan et al.* [2004]. This paper uses selected hydrocarbons to identify distinct changes in air mass. No attempt to merge the ozone and nitrogen oxide data sets to the gas chromatograph sample collection intervals is made herein.

#### 3. Results

[14] Gas phase HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios averaged 1.1 parts per billion (ppb) and accounted for 19% of measured NO<sub>y</sub> during the 9 days of interest (Table 1). The average during this short period was 300-700 ppt higher than summertime averages (based on 24-hour filter-pack sam-

ples) measured at a coastal station immediately upwind of the NEAQS 2002 study area in Portsmouth, New Hampshire ( $43^{\circ}2'N$ ,  $70^{\circ}43'W$ ), in 1994–1997 [*Jordan et al.*, 2000]. Close inspection of Plate 2 of *Jordan et al.* [2000] suggests that there were several weeklong intervals in summer 1994 when HNO<sub>3</sub> average mixing ratios were near 1 ppb, but in the other years just a few daily samples exceeded the overall average in the present study. On the other hand, it should be noted that the highest 5-min average measured from the *Ronald H. Brown* (7.1 ppb) was only about twofold higher than the peak 24-hour averages in 1994 and 1996 (3.6 and 3.2 ppb, respectively) and about threefold higher than the peaks at the coast in 1995 and 1997 (2.1 and 2.4 ppb, respectively) reported by *Jordan et al.* [2000].

[15] Nitric acid measurements have also been conducted in several studies further upwind in northeastern North America. Lefer et al. [1999] used the same mist chamber/ ion chromatographic technique employed for this study to collect 45-min samples at Harvard Forest (42°32'N. 72°11'W) in central Massachusetts. Composite mean mixing ratios of HNO<sub>3</sub> during the summers of 1991-1995 were found to depend strongly on wind direction, decreasing from 2.0 ppb when transport was from the southwest to about 0.5 ppb under flow from the northwest. Faster, and more continuous, measurements were made at the same site in 2000 by Horii et al. [2001] using a tunable diode laser absorption spectrometer. That study found HNO<sub>3</sub> to average approximately 1.0 ppb in the polluted southwest flow. Hayden et al. [2003] measured HNO<sub>3</sub> at 2-hour resolution with filter packs at the Sutton research station (45°5'N, 72°33'W) during 2-week intensive sampling campaigns in the summers of 1998 and 1999. At that site just north of the Vermont-Quebec border the average HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio was 0.5 ppb. In all of these studies, HNO<sub>3</sub> was found to peak in the middle of the day; the daytime maximum at Harvard Forest was 3-4 times higher than the nighttime minimum, while at Sutton the amplitude of the diurnal cycle was approximately a factor of two. A downwind comparison can be made to measurements conducted at Chebogue Point, Nova Scotia (43°45′N, 66°7′W), during the North Atlantic Regional Experiment (NARE) 93 [Roberts et al., 1996]. That study used 2-hour exposures of filter packs to quantify total inorganic nitrate (HNO<sub>3</sub> + aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (TIN)), with HNO<sub>3</sub> estimated to contribute approximately 80% of the total. The maximum measured TIN reached 2.2 ppb, but most samples were well below 0.5 ppb. No mean was reported, but an estimate near 200 ppt can be made from plots given by Roberts et al. [1996]. It is not possible to discern any consistent diurnal variation in TIN at this site from the presented data.

[16] The continuous, high-resolution sampling during NEAQS 2002 revealed that HNO<sub>3</sub> varied widely over timescales of hours (Figure 3). Midday maxima above 4.0 ppb were observed both days when sampling was conducted in Boston Harbor (30 and 31 July) and on two of the days when the ship was circling near the Isles of Shoals offshore of Portsmouth, New Hampshire (4 and 5 August). (See Figure 2 for sample cruise tracks and the locations of named regions.) In all of these cases, HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios increased from low (<0.5 ppb) levels just 6–



**Figure 3.** Time series of HNO<sub>3</sub> (solid diamonds) and HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> (open circles) for the first 9 days of the second leg of the NEAQS 2002 cruise. All times in this paper are reported as eastern daylight time.

8 hours prior to the peak and decreased below 1 ppb shortly after sunset (Figure 3). In fact, all sampling days except 2 August were characterized by pronounced early afternoon peaks in  $HNO_3$  exceeding 2 ppb. The much lower mixing ratios through the night of 1 August and much of 2 August reflect sustained winds from the southeast advecting cleaner air from the Atlantic Ocean to the sampling region. During the rest of the study period, the prevailing westerlies clearly carried polluted continental boundary layer air over the Gulf of Maine.

[17] The relatively clean interval on 2 August highlights the consistent diurnal pattern in  $HNO_3/NO_y$  that occurred on all days (Figure 3) but was sometimes noisier and obscured when mixing ratios were elevated. (There was a 2.5-fold increase in HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios between sunrise and midday on 2 August, though the scaling of Figure 3 makes this peak hard to appreciate.) Nitric acid constituted a small fraction (<5%) of NO<sub>y</sub> shortly after sunrise each day, increasing to greater than 40% in some samples collected in the late morning and early afternoon each day (Figure 3). On average, HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> exceeded 23% each day for the interval between 1100 and 1500 local time (LT) (Table 2). On all days except 3 August, HNO<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> smoothly decreased to secondary minima with the setting sun and then increased again at night (Figure 3 and Table 2).

[18] The pronounced diurnal variations of  $HNO_3$  can be attributed to significant photochemical production ( $NO_2$  +

**Table 2.** Median Values of the Mixing Ratios of Nitric Acid and Ozone, and the Contribution Nitric Acid Makes to  $NO_y$  (HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub>), During Four Selected Times of Day<sup>a</sup>

	Midnight <sup>b</sup>			Sunrise <sup>c</sup>			Midday <sup>d</sup>			Sunset <sup>e</sup>		
Date	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub> /NO <sub>y</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub> /NO <sub>y</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub> /NO <sub>y</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub> /NO <sub>y</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>
All	0.93	0.16	50.2	0.44	0.04	24.6	2.27	0.28	59.4	0.64	0.11	48.8
29 July							0.58	0.26	54.8	0.58	0.13	45.7
30 July	1.41	0.30	56.6	0.56	0.04	24.4	3.86	0.43	88.2	0.66	0.10	51.8
31 July	0.30	0.07	39.1	0.26	0.03	24.7	2.84	0.32	70.6	0.65	0.12	45.9
1 Aug.	0.92	0.22	45.4	0.28	0.02	13.6	1.28	0.24	45.8	0.11	0.24	29.1
2 Aug.	0.12	0.09	28.5	0.10	0.06	27.5	0.26	0.23	34.2	0.25	0.03	40.3
3 Aug.	1.07	0.17	37.0	0.59	0.04	16.1	2.06	0.26	63.6	0.91	0.20	59.9
4 Aug.	1.90	0.45	68.0	0.46	0.05	21.4	2.78	0.31	72.6	1.03	0.21	81.6
5 Aug.	1.16	0.14	63.9	0.83	0.23	45.7	2.83	0.30	66.3	0.94	n.d. <sup>f</sup>	66.9
6 Aug.	0.23	0.12	54.2	0.07	0.04	26.0						

<sup>a</sup>Values are given in ppb.

<sup>b</sup>2200-0200 LT, with date corresponding to the morning end time.

°0600-0800 LT.

<sup>d</sup>1100-1500 LT.

<sup>e</sup>1900–2100 LT.

<sup>f</sup>Here, n.d., no data.

hydoxyl radical (OH)) during the day and heterogeneous production (hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) on particles) at nearly the same rate during the night, coupled with a continuous strong sink by dry deposition to the ocean surface. Brown et al. [2004] combined the results discussed herein with measurements of nitrate radical (NO<sub>3</sub>) and  $N_2O_5$  to show that the heterogeneous production of HNO<sub>3</sub> during the 9.5 hours of darkness accounted for at least one third of the  $NO_x$  lost by  $HNO_3$ production over a full 24 hours. It should be noted that Munger et al. [1996, 1998] inferred the need for a similar contribution from nighttime heterogeneous production of  $HNO_3$  to explain observations of  $NO_{\nu}$  deposition and the NO<sub>x</sub> budget at Harvard Forest. In contrast to the secondary maximum of HNO3 and HNO3/NOv at night during NEAQS 2002 (Table 2 and Figure 3), at Harvard Forest,  $\mathrm{HNO}_3$  was lowest and accounted for only  $5{-}10\%$  of NO<sub>v</sub>, at night [Lefer et al., 1999; Horii et al., 2001]. Diurnal evolution of the boundary layer has been shown to control the nighttime build up and daytime decrease of  $NO_x$  and  $NO_y$  at Harvard Forest [Munger et al., 1996, 1998], suggesting that rapid deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> from the shallow nighttime boundary keeps mixing ratios low. Relatively stable boundary layer conditions throughout the day over the Gulf of Maine allowed the temporal variation in HNO3 production to be more clearly manifested during NEAQS 2002 [Brown et al., 2004].

[19] The early morning minima in HNO<sub>3</sub> generally coincided with distinct minima in O<sub>3</sub> as well (Table 2). On average over the 9-day period, O<sub>3</sub> was twofold higher around midnight than in the 2 hours just after sunrise and reached maximum levels just after noon. This pattern was apparent on most individual days as well (Table 2). In contrast, NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>y</sub> tended to be higher in the early morning than around midday and were generally even lower around midnight (Table 3). The positive relationship between O<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> is apparent in the full 29 July to 6 August data set as well (Figure 4). The slope (10 ppb O<sub>3</sub>/ppb HNO<sub>3</sub>) during the afternoon when both molecules are being photochemically produced has been suggested to indicate O<sub>3</sub> production efficiency (OPE) per emitted NO<sub>x</sub> molecule in previous studies [e.g., *Hayden et al.*,

2003; *Roberts et al.*, 1996, and references therein]. In most cases the calculated parameter  $NO_y - NO_x$  (often denoted  $NO_z$ ) has been used because of the lack of direct measurements of HNO<sub>3</sub> and PANs. (Even when HNO<sub>3</sub> and PANs have been measured,  $NO_z$  is often used because of the higher temporal resolution of the data.) *Griffin et al.* [2004] report an OPE of approximately 9 based on the  $O_3 - NO_z$  relationship at the Atmospheric Investigation, Regional Modeling, Analysis and Prediction (AIRMAP) Thompson Farm station 20 km inland from the coast during the NEAQS 2002 study. The relationship around midnight is not as strong, but shows that other processes beside production can cause linear trends in such plots, suggesting caution when using them to estimate OPE.

[20] The early morning minima in  $O_3$  and  $HNO_3$ (Table 2) raise an important issue. The decrease in  $HNO_3$  is readily explained by dry deposition to the ocean surface, at a time when both the nighttime and daytime sources are very weak [*Brown et al.*, 2004]. For  $O_3$ ,

**Table 3.** Median Mixing Ratios of  $NO_x$  and  $NO_y$  During the Same Intervals as in Table  $2^a$ 

	Midnight <sup>b</sup>			Sunrise <sup>c</sup>			Midday <sup>d</sup>			Sunset <sup>e</sup>		
Date	NO	$NO_2$	NO <sub>v</sub>	NO	$NO_2$	$NO_v$	NO	$NO_2$	NO <sub>v</sub>	NO	$NO_2$	NO <sub>v</sub>
All	$bd^{f}$	2.73	4.66	0.89	5.26	8.50	0.51	2.17	7.49	0.002	3.25	5.55
29 July							0.16	0.64	2.45	0.033	n.d. <sup>g</sup>	4.55
30 July	bd	3.39	5.59	1.69	8.25	14.44	0.51	2.95	8.94	bd	3.95	6.50
31 July	bd	2.59	4.06	2.41	5.69	7.63	0.88	3.81	8.91	0.026	3.73	4.90
1 Aug.	0.005	2.65	4.57	5.75	9.37	18.09	0.42	1.57	4.48	bd	0.15	0.48
2 Aug.	bd	0.42	1.69	0.18	0.65	1.58	0.09	0.29	1.02	0.004	7.61	9.53
3 Aug.	bd	5.16	7.27	3.23	7.72	15.75	0.65	2.13	6.89	0.001	2.75	4.25
4 Aug.	bd	1.89	4.27	0.97	5.30	10.93	0.96	3.26	9.42	0.003	2.65	4.86
5 Aug.	0.004	4.83	7.60	0.15	2.09	3.30	0.83	3.57	9.06	0.001	2.27	n.d.
6 Aug.	0.003	1.10	2.20	0.12	1.08	1.79						

<sup>a</sup>Values are given in ppb.

<sup>b</sup>2200-0200 LT with date corresponding to the morning end time.

<sup>c</sup>0600-0800 LT.

<sup>f</sup>Here, bd, below detection limit.

<sup>g</sup>Here, n.d., no data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>1100-1500 LT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>1900-2100 LT.



**Figure 4.** Scatterplot of  $O_3$  versus HNO<sub>3</sub>. The top panel highlights samples collected around midnight with the black diamonds, samples collected just after sunrise are highlighted in the middle panel, and those collected around noon are highlighted in the bottom panel. Lines in each panel are least squares fits through the black diamonds.

deposition to the ocean is not an effective sink [*Roberts et al.*, 1996; *Kleinman et al.*, 1996]; hence some other processes must be involved in causing the minima. Close examination of the middle panel of Figure 4 shows that, while all of the

early morning samples are characterized by low HNO<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, there are two clusters of data. One has O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios between 45 and 50 ppb. The second cluster has very low O<sub>3</sub>, <30 ppb and reaching a minimum below 5 ppb. The cause of

the very low  $O_3$  in this group of samples will be examined in the following discussion.

#### 4. Discussion

[21] The high-resolution HNO<sub>3</sub> data collected during NEAQS 2002 confirm that production and loss of HNO<sub>3</sub> within the marine boundary layer is a strong NO<sub>x</sub> sink, as is the case in the continental boundary over the northeastern United States [Munger et al., 1996, 1998; Li et al., 2004]. On average, the observed decreases of nearly 2.0 ppb of HNO3 during the afternoon and early evening, and more than 0.5 ppb in the early morning, each day, define a lower limit to the magnitude of the  $NO_x$  sink. It is likely that HNO<sub>3</sub> is being deposited at comparable rates throughout the day, with the sources overwhelming the sink much of the time. If it is assumed that the measurements on the *Ronald* H. Brown are representative of a 100 m deep mixed layer [Brown et al., 2004], daily loss of 2.5 ppb of HNO<sub>3</sub> implies a flux of 11.2  $\mu$ mol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, with a more realistic estimate possibly being twice as high. In comparison, Jordan and Talbot [2000] estimated that if all of the HNO3 present in air masses leaving the New Hampshire coast subsequently was deposited to the Gulf of Maine the median flux would have been 16  $\mu mol \; N \; m^{-2} \; d^{-1}$  (ranging from 1 to 144  $\mu$ mol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 4.1. O<sub>3</sub> Depletion

[22] As noted earlier, parallel increases in O<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> during the day were expected, as they are both photochemically produced in polluted air masses. However, these compounds do not share sinks, hence coincident decreases in the early morning are less readily explained. Titration by NO will generally not be a factor in  $O_3$  depletion in the dark over the ocean relatively far from strong sources, and loss by dry deposition also appears to be a minor sink at best [Roberts et al., 1996; Kleinman et al., 1996]. Production of NO<sub>3</sub> radical by the reaction of  $NO_2 + O_3$  does constitute a sink of  $O_3$  (along with initiating the nighttime production of HNO<sub>3</sub>). Brown et al. [2004] estimate that production of NO<sub>3</sub>, and subsequently  $N_2O_5$  (from the reaction of NO<sub>3</sub> with NO<sub>2</sub>), could consume 2.4 to 3.6 ppb of  $NO_2$  during a night under the conditions prevailing in NEAQS 2002. The impact on O3 would be smaller than on NO<sub>2</sub>, so this would make only a modest contribution to the average 25 ppb decrease in  $O_3$  seen between the middle of the night and sunrise (Table 2). Ozone will also react with a wide range of hydrocarbons, particularly those with unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds, which might, in aggregate, explain the magnitude of the decrease of  $O_3$ observed, though it would be an unusual situation.

[23] Seeking to explain the loss of 25 ppb  $O_3$  over 4– 5 hours (Table 2) without invoking titration by NO is challenging. Examining the 5-min  $O_3$  record for the study period initially appears to make the challenge even harder (Figure 5). Frequently, a large fraction of the  $O_3$  decrease between midnight and 0600 LT was seen to occur over a very short period, usually less than 1 hour. Titration by NO, or possibly halogen radical chemistry, would seem to be the only viable chemical mechanisms to cause such rapid  $O_3$ destruction.

[24] Further examination reveals that all of the downward steps (decreases > 5 ppb in less than 1 hour) in  $O_3$  mixing

ratio (Figure 5) were accompanied by similarly rapid increases in NO<sub>2</sub> and a host of anthropogenic hydrocarbons. Two cases are presented in Figures 6 and 7, using toluene as an example hydrocarbon, but many other alkenes and alkanes display nearly identical behavior (e.g., ethyne, ethene, *iso-* and *n*-ibutane, *iso-* and *n*-pentane all increase simultaneously in all of the O<sub>3</sub> depletion events, with the enhancements exceeding 1 ppb in most cases). It should be noted that NO does not increase above background levels when O<sub>3</sub> drops and NO<sub>2</sub> and the hydrocarbons increase. Rather, NO reappears shortly after sunrise (0531 LT on 30 July and 0536 LT on 4 August) and increases rapidly because of photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub>. Any impact on HNO<sub>3</sub> from these events is hard to discern in the two cases illustrated (Figures 6 and 7).

[25] These events are not the result of unusual nighttime NO<sub>2</sub> and hydrocarbon explosions, rather, they reflect dramatic changes in air mass over short distances/times. It appears that the ship moved from regionally polluted marine boundary layer air directly into a concentrated plume, likely originating from the Boston metropolitan region. On 30 July the highly polluted air was first encountered just south of Cape Ann. (The solid square in the 30 July panel of Figure 2 indicates the ship's position at 0200 LT.) Later in the morning, as the ship cruised toward Cape Cod, the drop in toluene indicates that the ship passed out of the plume by 1000 LT (Figure 6). The situation on 4 August was similar, with that day's cruise track beginning further offshore than any other day on leg two. While steaming toward "station" near the Isles of Shoals, a plume was entered just north of Cape Ann. (The solid square in the 4 August panel of Figure 2 indicates the ship's position at 0500 LT.) In this case, the Ronald H. Brown remained in the same region for the rest of the day, but the plume shifted position in the afternoon (toluene decreased to 92 ppt by 1400 (not shown)). Onshore breezes in the afternoon apparently pushed the plume west of the ship track and over coastal New England.

[26] Recognition of heavily polluted plumes in the NEAQS 2002 study region allows the dramatic nighttime decreases in  $O_3$  to be attributed to upwind titration by NO. By the time these urban air masses reached the ship all of the NO had reacted away, but the impacts on  $O_3$  and  $NO_2$  were still very much apparent. However, the frequent encounters with these plumes does raise concern over the validity of analyzing an average day constructed from the entire leg as representative of conditions in the region during the campaign.

#### 4.2. Impact of Plumes on Diel Averages

[27] The fact that the plumes are characterized by large simultaneous increases in NO<sub>2</sub> as well as a suite of hydrocarbons makes them easy to identify. We chose a threshold of 200 ppt toluene to define plume encounters, but all other hydrocarbon tracers examined gave very similar results. Using any hydrocarbon tracer limits precise definition of plume start and end times to the 30-min resolution of that data set, but the signals of plume ending are much less ambiguous than is the case for either O<sub>3</sub> or NO<sub>2</sub> (Figures 6 and 7). To avoid including spikes from very local pollution (e.g., ship plumes), we also required that toluene remain above 200 ppt for at least 2 consecutive samples to qualify as a plume encounter. This definition identified 13 plume



Figure 5. Time series of  $O_3$  for the first 9 days of the second leg of the NEAQS 2002 cruise.

encounters, accounting for 47.5 hours of sampling time (24% of total sampling time during the first 9 days of leg two). No plume encounters were noted between 1500 and 2000 LT, and 82% of sampling in plume-impacted air occurred between midnight and noon. Put another way, 39 out of the 96 morning (0000–1200) hours, and 18 out of the 76 dark hours, in this study were impacted by plumes defined in this manner.

[28] The impact of plumes on atmospheric composition in the NEAQS 2002 study region was quantified by comparing the diurnal averages for the first 9 days of leg two calculated in 1/2 hour bins for all data and for a filtered subset with all plumes removed (Figure 8). Not surprisingly, NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> differ substantially throughout the interval 0000–1200 LT. For the period between 0200 and 1000 LT, O<sub>3</sub> averaged 6.5 ppb higher in the filtered data set, while NO<sub>x</sub> was 4.3 ppb higher in the full data set. Between 0200 and 0600 LT, when essentially all of the NO<sub>x</sub> was NO<sub>2</sub>, the plumes depressed average O<sub>3</sub> by 7.3 ppb and enhanced average NO<sub>2</sub> by 4.0 ppb.



**Figure 6.** Case study of an encounter with an urban plume over the Gulf of Maine on 30 July. Ozone is shown with gray diamonds and is repeated in all four panels.

[29] If titration of  $O_3$  by NO was the only plume impact, the decrease in  $O_3$  should match the enhancement in NO<sub>2</sub>. As noted earlier, the plumes also had very large enhancements in reactive hydrocarbons, perhaps contributing to the excess  $O_3$  depletion observed. Perhaps the most striking feature in Figure 8 is the lack of impact on HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios. The average difference (filtered – full data set) was 37 ppt between 0200 and 1000 LT and just -3 ppt between 0200 and 0600 LT (both of which are well within the uncertainty of any individual measurement). Taken separately, the large decrease in  $O_3$  would be expected to reduce production of  $NO_3$  (from the reaction of  $O_3$  with  $NO_2$ ), hence  $N_2O_5$  (from  $NO_2 + NO_3$ ) and ultimately HNO<sub>3</sub>, while increasing  $NO_2$  should enhance production of  $NO_3$ ,  $N_2O_5$  and HNO<sub>3</sub>. Apparently, on average,  $O_3$  in plume-impacted air decreased just enough to balance the  $NO_2$  increase, yielding no difference in the nighttime formation of  $N_2O_5$  and the subsequent heterogeneous production of HNO<sub>3</sub>. The average mixing ratios of  $NO_3$  and  $N_2O_5$  in the morning decreased



Figure 7. As in Figure 6, but for 4 August.

just 0.9 and 11.6 ppt when the plume-impacted samples were excluded.

#### 5. Conclusions

[30] High-resolution measurements of gas phase HNO<sub>3</sub> in the polluted marine boundary of the southern Gulf of Maine revealed pronounced diel variations in the late July and early August period of the NEAQS 2002. On average, the increase in HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios during the daytime exceeded 1.5 ppb; on four days mixing ratios increased more than 3.0 ppb from the minima just after sunrise in

about 6 hours. Ozone mixing ratios followed a similar diel pattern, with the strongest correlation of O<sub>3</sub> versus HNO<sub>3</sub> observed between 1100 and 1500 LT (slope = 10 ppb O<sub>3</sub>/ppb HNO<sub>3</sub>,  $R^2 = 0.66$ ). Dry deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> to the ocean surface was an effective sink, reducing HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios to secondary minima near 0.5 ppb around sunset each day. Heterogeneous production at night supported a broad secondary maximum approaching 1.0 ppb on average.

[31] The observed decreases leading to the dawn and dusk minima in HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios provide a lower bound estimate of the flux of N, exported as  $NO_x$ , to the surface waters of the Gulf of Maine on the order of



**Figure 8.** Comparison of the diel patterns of  $HNO_3$ ,  $NO_x$ , and  $O_3$  based on 1/2 hour intervals for the full data set (solid diamonds) and for a filtered data set (open circles) from which all plume-impacted samples have been removed.

11  $\mu$ mol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. This estimate of the deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> produced above the Gulf of Maine represents 70% of an earlier flux estimate based on the quantity of HNO<sub>3</sub> exported from the New Hampshire coast to the same receiving waters. Assuming that dry deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> is similarly efficient throughout the extended periods each day when atmospheric mixing ratios were increasing or constant suggests that deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> that formed in

the marine boundary layer represents the dominant fraction of atmospheric  $HNO_3$  directly delivered to the ecosystem.

[32] Our analysis has shown that under the westerly and southwesterly winds that prevailed 29 July to 6 August, the coastal boundary layer of the Gulf of Maine is pervasively influenced by anthropogenic emissions from eastern North America. Within this generally polluted region, distinctive plumes were frequently encountered in close proximity to the coast of Massachusetts and New Hampshire. Within these plumes, large enhancements of  $NO_x$  and reactive hydrocarbons resulted in significant depletion of  $O_3$ . Surprisingly, and likely fortuitously, the opposing changes in  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$  balanced, such that the mixing ratios of HNO<sub>3</sub> were not significantly impacted within the plumes.

[33] Acknowledgments. We thank the officers and crew of the *Ronald H. Brown* for making the NEAQS 2002 cruise so successful. Special thanks to Paul Goldan for allowing use of hydrocarbon data generated by his group in this paper and for helpful comments on an earlier draft. The assistance and encouragement from the rest of the science team are also greatly appreciated. Rob Griffin, Nicola Blake, and Emily Fischer also provided helpful comments on earlier drafts of this paper. The participation of S.I.W. and M.V. in the cruise was made possible by financial support from NOAA's Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research through grants NA17RP2632 and NA030AR4600122.

#### References

- Brown, S. S., et al. (2004), Nighttime removal of NO<sub>x</sub> in the summer marine boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L07108, doi:10.1029/2004GL019412.
- Crosley, D. R. (1996), NO<sub>y</sub> Blue Ribbon panel, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 2049–2052.
- Eisele, F. L., et al. (2003), Summary of measurement intercomparisons during TRACE-P, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 8791, doi:10.1029/ 2002JD003167.
- Goldan, P. D., W. C. Kuster, E. Williams, P. C. Murphy, F. C. Fehsenfeld, and J. Meagher (2004), Nonmethane hydrocarbon and oxy-hydrocarbon measurements during the 2002 New England Air Quality Study, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2003JD004455, in press.
- Griffin, R. J., C. A. Johnson, R. W. Talbot, B. C. Sive, and H. Mao (2004), Quantification of ozone formation metrics at Thompson Farm during NEAQS 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2004JD005344, in press. Hayden, K. L., K. G. Anlauf, D. R. Hastie, and J. W. Bottenheim (2003),
- Hayden, K. L., K. G. Anlauf, D. R. Hastie, and J. W. Bottenheim (2003), Partitioning of reactive atmospheric nitrogen oxides at an elevated site in southern Quebec, Canada, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D19), 4603, doi:10.1029/2002JD003188.
- Horii, C. V., J. W. Munger, S. C. Wofsy, and M. S. Zahniser (2001), Deposition of nitric acid to a northeastern U.S. forest and its contribution to total reactive nitrogen flux, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 82(47), Fall Meet. Suppl., F126.

- Jordan, C. E., and R. W. Talbot (2000), Direct atmospheric deposition of water-soluble nitrogen to the Gulf of Maine, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 14, 1315–1329.
- Jordan, C. E., R. W. Talbot, and B. D. Keim (2000), Water-soluble nitrogen at the New Hampshire sea coast: HNO<sub>3</sub>, aerosols, precipitation and fog, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *105*, 26,403–26,431.
- Kleinman, L. I., P. H. Daum, Y.-N. Lee, S. R. Springston, L. Newman, W. R. Leaitch, C. M. Banic, G. A. Isaac, and J. I. MacPherson (1996), Measurement of O<sub>3</sub> and related compounds over southern Nova Scotia: 1. Vertical distributions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 29,043–29,060.
- Lefer, B. L., R. W. Talbot, and J. W. Munger (1999), Nitric acid and ammonia at a rural northeastern U.S. site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 1645-1661.
- Liang, J., L. W. Horowitz, D. J. Jacob, Y. Wang, A. M. Fiore, J. A. Logan, G. M. Gardner, and J. W. Munger (1998), Seasonal budgets of reactive nitrogen species and ozone over the United States, and export fluxes to the global atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13,435–13,450.
- Li, Q., D. J. Jacob, J. W. Munger, R. M. Yantosca, and D. D. Parrish (2004), Export of NO<sub>y</sub> from the North American boundary layer: Reconciling aircraft observations and global model budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D02313, doi:10.1029/2003JD004086.
- Munger, J. W., S. C. Wofsy, P. S. Bakwin, S.-M. Fan, M. L. Goulden, B. C. Daube, A. H. Goldstein, K. E. Moore, and D. R. Fitzjarrald (1996), Atmospheric deposition of reactive nitrogen oxides and ozone in a temperate deciduous forest and a subarctic woodland: 1. Measurements and mechanisms, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 12,639–12,657.
- Munger, J. W., S.-M. Fan, P. S. Bakwin, M. L. Goulden, A. H. Goldstein, A. S. Colman, and S. C. Wofsy (1998), Regional budgets for nitrogen oxides from continental sources: Variations of rates for oxidation and deposition with season and distance from source regions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 8355–8368.
- Roberts, J. M., et al. (1996), Episodic removal of NO<sub>y</sub> species from the marine boundary layer over the North Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 28,947–28,960.
- Scheuer, E., R. W. Talbot, J. E. Dibb, G. K. Seid, L. DeBell, and B. Lefer (2003), Seasonal distributions of fine aerosol sulfate in the North American Arctic basin during TOPSE, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D4), 8370, doi:10.1029/2001JD001364.

J. E. Dibb, E. Scheuer, M. Vozella, and S. I. Whitlow, CCRC/EOS, Morse Hall, 39 College Road, Durham, NH 03824, USA. (jack.dibb@unh.edu) B. M. Lerner and E. Williams, Aeronomy Laboratory, NOAA, R/E/AL4,

<sup>325</sup> Broadway, Boulder, CO 80303, USA.



**Figure 2.** Cruise tracks of the *Ronald H. Brown* on 30 July and 4 August. These 2 days are selected to illustrate the two primary sampling areas (Boston Harbor and the Isles of Shoals) during leg 2. The ship did not traverse significantly outside the regions sampled on these two days until heading south past Cape Cod on the afternoon of 6 August. Figure 2 of *Brown et al.* [2004] presents the cruise track for all of legs 1 and 2.