

Alexej P. Pynko

MINIMAL SEQUENT CALCULI FOR ŁUKASIEWICZ'S FINITELY-VALUED LOGICS*

Keywords: Sequent calculus, Łukasiewicz's logics.

Abstract

The primary objective of this paper, which is an addendum to the author's [8], is to apply the general study of the latter to Łukasiewicz's n -valued logics [4]. The paper provides an analytical expression of a $2(n-1)$ -place sequent calculus (in the sense of [10, 9]) with the cut-elimination property and a strong completeness with respect to the logic involved which is most compact among similar calculi in the sense of a complexity of systems of premises of introduction rules. This together with a quite effective procedure of construction of an *equality determinant* (in the sense of [5]) for the logics involved to be extracted from the constructive proof of Proposition 6.10 of [6] yields an equally effective procedure of construction of both Gentzen-style [2] (i.e., 2-place) and Tait-style [11] (i.e., 1-place) minimal sequent calculi following the method of translations described in Subsection 4.2 of [7].

1. Introduction

Here we entirely follow the general study [8] extending it to Łukasiewicz's finitely-valued logics [4] in addition to Dunn's finitely-valued normal extensions of RM [1] as well as Gödel's finitely-valued logics [3] completely

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 03B22, 03B50, 03F05; Secondary: 03F03.

The work is supported by the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

studied in [8]. Lukasiewicz's logics do deserve a particular emphasis because, as opposed to Dunn's and Gödel's logics, they do *all* have both equality determinant (in the sense of [5]) and singularity determinant (in the sense of [7])(cf. Proposition 6.10 of [6] and Corollary 6.2 of [7] for positive results as well as Propositions 6.5 and 6.8 therein for negative ones), in which case many-place sequent calculi (in the sense of [10, 9]) to be constructed following [8] for the former logics are naturally translated into both Gentzen-style [2](i.e., 2-place) and Tait-style [11] (i.e., 1-place) sequent calculi according to Subsections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 of [7].

2. Main results

$L = \{\neg, \wedge, \vee, \supset\}$. Take any $n \geq 2$. Here we deal with the matrix underlying algebra \mathfrak{A}_n specified as follows. The carrier A_n of \mathfrak{A}_n is set to be n . Finally, operations of \mathfrak{A}_n are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \neg^{\mathfrak{A}_n} a &\triangleq n - 1 - a, \\ a \wedge^{\mathfrak{A}_n} b &\triangleq \min(a, b), \\ a \vee^{\mathfrak{A}_n} b &\triangleq \max(a, b), \\ a \supset^{\mathfrak{A}_n} b &\triangleq \min(n - 1, n - 1 - a + b), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A_n$.

LEMMA 2.1. *For any $i \in n \setminus \{0\}$ and any $j \in n \setminus \{n - 1\}$, we have the following introduction rules for $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{A}_n}$:*

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\{\{I_{n-1-i}:p_0\}\}}{\{F_i:\neg p_0\}}}{\{\{F_i:p_0\}, \{F_i:p_1\}\}}}{\{F_i:(p_0 \wedge p_1)\}}}{\{\{F_i:p_0, F_i:p_1\}\}}}{\{F_i:(p_0 \vee p_1)\}}}{\{\{I_{n-2-k}:p_0, F_{i-k}:p_1\} \mid 0 \leq k < i\}}}{\{F_i:(p_0 \supset p_1)\}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\{\{F_{n-1-j}:p_0\}\}}{\{I_j:\neg p_0\}}}{\{\{I_j:p_0, I_j:p_1\}\}}}{\{I_j:(p_0 \wedge p_1)\}}}{\{\{I_j:p_0, \{I_j:p_1\}\}}}{\{I_j:(p_0 \vee p_1)\}}}{\{\{F_{n-1-j}:p_0, \{I_j:p_1\}\}}}{\{I_j:(p_0 \supset p_1)\}} \cup \{\{F_{n-1-j}:p_0, \{I_j:p_1\}\}\}}}{\{I_j:(p_0 \supset p_1)\}}}$$

PROOF: Let $i \in n \setminus \{0\}$ and $j \in n \setminus \{n-1\}$. Checking (1) of [8] for the introduction rules of types $s:\gamma$, where $s \in \{F_i, I_j\}$ and $\gamma \in \{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$, is trivial. As for those of types $s:\supset$, where $s \in \{F_i, I_j\}$, take any $a, b \in n$. Remark that $(a \supset^{\mathfrak{A}_n} b) \in F_i \Leftrightarrow n-1-a+b \geq i$. Likewise, $(a \supset^{\mathfrak{A}_n} b) \in I_j \Leftrightarrow n-1-a+b \leq j$.

Suppose $n-1-a+b \geq i$, that is, $n-1-i+b \geq a$. Consider any $0 \leq k < i$. Suppose $a \in F_{n-1-k} = n \setminus I_{n-2-k}$, that is, $a \geq n-1-k$. Combining two inequalities, we get $k \geq i-b$, that is, $b \in F_{i-k}$.

Conversely, assume $n-1-a+b < i$, in which case $n-1-a < i$ too. As $0 \leq n-1-a$, we can choose $k \triangleq n-1-a$. If a was in I_{n-2-k} , we would have $0 \leq -1$. Likewise, by the inequality under assumption, if b was in F_{i-k} , we would have $b > b$. Thus, both $a \notin I_{n-2-k}$ and $b \notin F_{i-k}$.

Remark that (1) of [8] for the introduction rule of type $I_j:\supset$ is equivalent to the following condition:

$$n-1-a+b \leq j \Leftrightarrow \forall l \in (j+2) : a \leq n-l-1 \Rightarrow b \leq j-l \quad (2.1)$$

for all $a, b \in A_n$.

First, suppose $n-1-a+b \leq j$, that is, $n-1-j+b \leq a$. Consider any $l \in (j+2)$. Assume $a \leq n-l-1$. Combining two inequalities, we get $b \leq j-l$ as required.

Finally, assume $n-1-a+b > j$. Put $l \triangleq \min(n-1-a, j+1)$. Then, $l \in (j+2)$. Moreover, $a \leq n-l-1$. If b was not greater than $j-l$, we would have $l+b \leq j$, in which case $l \leq j$, and so $l = n-1-a$, in which case $n-1-a+b \leq j$. The contradiction with the inequality under assumption shows that $b > j-l$. Thus, (2.1) holds. This completes the argument. \square

Notice that each of the sets of premises of rules involved in the formulation of Lemma 2.1 consists of functional S_n -signed \emptyset -sequents of some type $V \subseteq \text{Var}$ and forms an anti-chain with respect to \preceq . Then, by Theorem 2.15(ii) of [8], Lemma 2.1 yields

THEOREM 2.2. *For any $i \in n \setminus \{0\}$ and any $j \in n \setminus \{n-1\}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{F_i:\neg}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{I_{n-1-i}:p_0\}\}, \\
 P_{I_j:\neg}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{F_{n-1-j}:p_0\}\}, \\
 P_{F_i:\wedge}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{F_i:p_0\}, \{F_i:p_1\}\}, \\
 P_{I_j:\wedge}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{I_j:p_0, I_j:p_1\}\}, \\
 P_{F_i:\vee}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{F_i:p_0, F_i:p_1\}\}, \\
 P_{I_j:\vee}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{I_j:p_0\}, \{I_j:p_1\}\}, \\
 P_{F_i:\supset}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{I_{n-2-k}:p_0, F_{i-k}:p_1\} \mid 0 \leq k < i\}, \\
 P_{I_j:\supset}^{\mathfrak{A}_n} &= \{\{F_{n-l}:p_0, I_{j-l}:p_1\} \mid 0 < l \leq j\} \cup \{\{F_{n-1-j}:p_0\}, \{I_j:p_1\}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

This provides the minimal $2(n-1)$ -place sequent calculus for \mathfrak{A}_n . Notice that $P_{I_{n-2}:\supset}^{\mathfrak{A}_n}$ has exactly n elements. Remark that, in case $n = 2$, the resulted calculus coincides with Gentzen's classical calculus LK [2].

References

- [1] J. M. Dunn, *Algebraic completeness results for R-mingle and its extensions*, **Journal of Symbolic Logic** 35 (1970), pp. 1–13.
- [2] G. Gentzen, *Untersuchungen über das logische Schliessen*, **Mathematische Zeitschrift** 39 (1934), pp. 176–210, 405–431.
- [3] K. Gödel, *Zum intuitionistischen Aussagenkalkül*, **Anzeiger der Akademie der Wissenschaften im Wien** 69 (1932), pp. 65–66.
- [4] J. Łukasiewicz, *O logice trójwartościowej*, **Ruch Filozoficzny** 5 (1920), pp. 170–171.
- [5] A. P. Pynko, *Sequential calculi for many-valued logics with equality determinant*, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic** 33:1 (2004), pp. 23–32.
- [6] ———, *Distributive-lattice semantics of sequent calculi with structural rules*, **Logica Universalis** 3 (2009), no. 1, pp. 59–94.
- [7] ———, *Many-place sequent calculi for finitely-valued logics*, **Logica Universalis** 4 (2010), no. 1, pp. 41–66.
- [8] ———, *Minimal sequent calculi for monotonic chain finitely-valued logics*, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic** 43:1/2 (2014), pp. 99–112.

- [9] G. Rousseau, *Sequents in many-valued logic I*, **Fundamenta Mathematicae** 60 (1967), pp. 23–33.
- [10] K. Schröter, *Methoden zur axiomatisierung beliebiger aussagen- und prädikatenkalküle*, **Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik** 1 (1955), pp. 241–251.
- [11] W. W. Tait, *Normal derivability in classical logic*, The Syntax and Semantics of Infinitary Languages (J. Barwise, ed.), **Lecture Notes in Mathematics**, no. 72, Springer Verlag, 1968, pp. 204–236.

Department of Digital Automata Theory (100)
V.M. Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics
Academician Glushkov prosp. 40
Kiev, 03680, Ukraine
e-mail: pynko@voliacable.com