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## Chapter 1- Introduction and Literature Review

## The Epidemic Occurrence of Obesity

Obesity, world wide has reached epidemic proportions. The World Health Organization reported in 2008, that over 400 million adults are obese (96). In the United States alone, obesity affects up to one third of the adult population (about 60 million) and will claim more than 300,000 lives a year (3). The number of obese Americans has continued to increase at an alarming rate and is the second leading cause of unnecessary deaths (3). Obesity is projected to escalate to affect approximately 2.3 billion people by 2015 (96). This alarming rate of obesity heightens concern due to its implication with increased risk of many diseases and health conditions, such as, hypertension, coronary artery disease, dyslipidemias and even cancers (12). Obesity results when energy input exceeds expenditure, giving rise to both hypertrophy and hyperplasia of adipocytes. Hyperplasia is essential to the pathology of obesity through the enlistment and proliferation of preadipocytes followed by differentiation to adipocytes in the vascular stroma of adipose tissue, while hypertrophy simply refers to increased cell size $(25,61)$.


Figure 1 - Hyperplasia and hypertrophy of adipocytes leads to the increase of adipose tissue associated with obesity (Adapted from Otto and Lane (61)).

## Diabetes Associated with Obesity.

The prevalence of obesity among adult type II diabetics is approaching 90 percent, which illustrates a strong correlation between obesity and diabetes type II (60). According to the World Health Organization, more than 180 million people worldwide suffer from diabetes (96). The National Diabetes Information Clearinghouse estimates that in the United States $\$ 174$ billion dollars are spent every year for the treatment of diabetes (58).

Type II diabetes, previously known as adult-onset diabetes, maturity-onset diabetes, or non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) is a disorder of carbohydrate metabolism that is characterized by persistent hyperglycemia. Normal blood glucose levels range between 4 to $6 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$, levels of glucose as seen in type II diabetes are in excess of $20 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$. The elevated glucose levels are a result of insulin resistance, when normal or higher amounts of insulin are inadequate to produce an insulin response from fat and muscle resulting in the diminish ability of these tissues to transport glucose across the cellular membrane, ultimately leading to type II diabetes (3).

In a lean healthy individual, small adipocytes store free fatty acids (FFA) as triacylglycerols (20), these triacylglycerols can then be mobilized to generate ATP via mitochondrial $\beta$-oxidation in the muscle during caloric deprivation (27). However, obesity alters adipose tissue metabolism resulting in the elevation of inflammatory mediators and the initial insulin resistance in skeletal muscle (27). During times of excess caloric intake an increase in TAG storage results in adipocyte hypertrophy (27).

Yet, in a non-diabetic individual this increase of TAG storage and $\beta$-oxidation in the muscle can be maintained and insulin resistance is prevented (27). Conversely, excessive TAG overload in an overweight individual will result in adipocyte hypertrophy and increased secretion of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), which augments macrophage infiltration, adding to the pro-inflammatory state (27). Furthermore, the infiltrating macrophages secrete tumor-necrosis factor a (TNF-a), which in turn impairs TAG deposition and increases lipolysis (27). The over abundance of ectopic TAG and FFA accumulation in the muscle disrupts mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and insulin-stimulated glucose transport, thus triggering insulin resistance (27).

It is necessary to understand the development and regulation of adipogenesis in order to manage the health implications of adipose tissue in obesity and diabetes (61). This review will address key components involved in the regulation of adipocyte differentiation and therefore provide insight into the development of obesity.

## Adipose Tissue, the Adipocyte and Obesity.

Practically all members of the animal kingdom, from C. elegans to Homo sapiens, have evolved means to store energy during excess caloric intake for future need (25). In most species, fat is stored as triacylglycerol in the adipocyte and can be mobilized via lipolysis, resulting in secretion of free fatty acids and glycerol (1). In mammals, two distinct types of adipose tissue have been classified: white adipose tissue(36), the primary site of energy storage, and brown adipose tissue which is
specialized to metabolize fatty acids and generate heat $(32,62)$. White adipose tissue is distributed throughout the body in multiple depots, both subcutaneously (buttocks, thighs, and abdomen) and intra-abdominally (omentum, intestines, and perirenal area) $(25,87)$. It is important to note that mature adipocytes are not the only cell type that constitutes the adipose organ; fibroblasts, endothelial cells and macrophages compose up to $50 \%$ of the total cellular content (87).

Adipose tissue is important not only because it is the body's largest energy reservoir but it is now recognized as playing a major role in the regulation of metabolism and homeostasis through paracrine and endocrine functions $(27,61)$. Adipocytes and adipose tissue produce a diverse range of secreted factors termed adipokines or adipocytokines, that effect numerous functions, including glucose metabolism (e.g. adiponectin, resistin), feeding behavior (leptin), lipid metabolism (e.g. cholesteryl ester transfer protein, CETP), inflammation (e.g. TNFa, IL-6), coagulation (PAI-1), blood pressure (e.g. angiotensinogen, angiotensin II), as well as, influencing reproductive functions, insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion $(28,61)$.

A key adipocytokine in this system is leptin, a 16 kDa secreted hormone that acts on the hypothalamus of the brain inducing satiety. Leptin informs the brain on the status of body fat abundance that results in an alteration in feeding behavior (99). Leptin was first discovered in 1994, as the product of the Ob gene in genetically obese (ob/ob) mice (87). Plasma levels of leptin are generally proportional to adipose tissue mass, in a lean individual circulating levels are between 5-15 ng/ml (99). Increased expression of leptin is induced by overfeeding, insulin, glucocorticoids, and cytokines.

Leptin expression is decreased by fasting, testosterone, thyroid hormone and exposure to cold temperatures (99). To induce satiety, leptin binds to leptin receptors (Ob-R) in the basomedial hypothalamus. Neurons in this region are stimulated to synthesize proopiomelanocortin (POMC), which is cleaved to produce a-melanocyte stimulating hormone (aMSH), which then binds downstream melanocortin (MC) receptors. Signaling through MC-3 and MC-4 receptors limits food intake and body fat mass (73). Leptin also signals through another hypothalamic signaling pathway that decreases food intake by inhibiting neuropeptide Y (NPY) production. NPY, the most potent orexigenic substance in our body, stimulates food intake and decreases energy expenditure while inducing lipogenic enzymes in liver and adipose tissue (73).

Adipose tissue also secretes cytokines like TNF-a and peptides such as angiotensinogen. TNF- $\alpha$ is involved in inflammation, immune modulation and type II diabetes (as described previously), while angiotensinogen is implicated in wound healing, vascular remodeling, and regulation of blood pressure $(27,61)$.

Deregulation of adipose cells can cause obesity, type II diabetes, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, sleep apnea and muscular skeletal problems (1, 61). Obesity develops when energy intake persistently exceeds energy expenditure. Obesity is associated with marked changes in adipocyte gene expression that affects many pathways. Equally important to the development of obesity is the alteration of the secretory functions and the disregulated cellular homeostasis associated with larger adipocytes due to hypertrophy (67). Dysregulation of adipokine expression is now thought to be one of the key events in the pathogenesis of this metabolic disease (89).

There is a great need to understand the molecular mechanisms regulating this dynamic cell type and its involvement in disease states. The adipocyte can no longer be considered a inert cell whose exclusive function is to store lipids due to the emergence of new roles in diverse pathways of physiological and pathological processes (55).

## Adipose Development and Regulation

Adipose tissue, bone and muscle originate from a multipotent stem cell population of mesodermal origin $(25,62)$. Mesodermal formation begins with the migration of cells during gastrulation, these cells form an additional germ layer between the primitive endoderm and ectoderm cell layers of the developing embryo (25). A great deal of our understanding of these events comes from studies using the $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{~T} 1 / 2$, a multipotent stem cell line, isolated from 14 to 17 day C 3 H mouse embryos. The current model for stem cell commitment suggests that a common mesoderm/mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) gives rise to adipoblasts, osteoblasts, chondrocytes, myoblasts, and connective tissue in response to the appropriate developmental cues $(25,62)$. A MSC gives rise to an early precursor of the adipose cell lineage, the adipoblast, which then develops into committed white and brown preadipocytes in the vascular stroma $(25,61)$. One of these developmental cues necessary for this transition has been suggested to be bone morphogenetic protein- 2 (BMP2) and BMP4, members of the transforming growth factor $\beta$ superfamily, which are cytokines that have been shown to induce commitment of $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{T1} / 2$ pluripotent stem cells to the adipocyte lineage $(34,80)$.

Adipogenesis is the processes by which preadipocytes differentiate into mature fat cells. This progression includes the expression of genes needed for the alteration of cell shape, glucose metabolism, the acquisition of insulin sensitivity, expression and secreting of adipogenic products, and the appearance of cytoplasmic lipid droplets (55). This shift in gene expression is regulated by a cascade of transcription factors that interact in an intricate manner to control expression of hundreds of adipogenic genes. There are two families of transcription factors critical to the process that have been studied at length, they include the CCAAT enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBPs) and the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) family of nuclear hormone receptors, Fig $2(29,55)$.

The C/EBPs belong to a large family of basic-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors. The general structure of the C/EBPs includes a C-terminal leucine zipper domain that allows dimmer formation, and a basic domain that binds to a consensus sequence on DNA. Six members of this family have been characterized, $\alpha, \beta$, $\delta, \gamma, \varepsilon$, and $\zeta$; they are all capable of forming hetero- and homodimers that will bind to promoters/enhancers of different genes to alter expression. C/EBPa, $\beta$ and $\delta$ are three family members that have been extensively studied due to their important involvement in the regulation of adipogenesis $(29,55,70)$. Freytag and colleagues demonstrated that ectopic expression of $C / E B P a$ in a variety of fibroblastic cells could induce adipogenesis (20). Furthermore, total ablation of C/EBPa (except liver) in knockout mice demonstrated that C/EBPa is required for white adipose tissue formation, and not brown adipose tissue formation (50). Ectopic expression of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ alone induces
adipogenesis in nonadipogenic NIH 3 T3 fibroblasts (19). McKnight and colleagues agree that C/EBPS alone possesses minimal adipogenic activity, but together with $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$, play important roles in the induction of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBPa}(11)$.

The PPARs represent a subset of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily whose transcriptional activity is altered by ligand-receptor interactions (55). The PPAR family members include PPARa, PPAR $\gamma$, and PPAR8, which bind to the peroxisome proliferator response elements on DNA. PPAR $\gamma$ has been implicated as the only PPAR family member to play a major role in adipogenesis. Studies have shown that ectopic expression of PPAR $\gamma$ in nonadipogenic mouse fibroblasts can initiate the entire adipogenic program, giving rise to mature adipocytes (86). PPAR $\gamma$ is expressed in two isoforms generated by alternative promoter usage of the same gene, PPAR $\gamma 1$ and PPARy2 (19). These isoforms gives rise to four distinct mRNAs, ppary1-4. All four mRNAs encode the PPAR $\gamma 1$ polypeptide, while ppar $\gamma 2$ mRNA encodes the PPAR $\gamma 2$ polypeptide, which is identical to PPAR $\gamma 1$ with the exception of an additional 30 amino acids at the N -terminus (19). PPAR $\gamma 1$ is expressed in many tissues, however PPAR $\gamma 2$ expression is almost exclusively found in adipose tissue (19). Both PPAR $\gamma 1$ and PPARy2 isoforms form heterodimers with retinoid $X$ receptor (RXR) then bind to promoters/enhancers of target genes (90).


Figure 2 - Transcription factor pathway that mediates adipocyte differentiation (Adapted from Morrison and Farmer (55) ). C/EBP $\beta$ and C/EBPS transcription factors transactivate expression of PPAR $\gamma$ and C/EBPa. PPAR $\gamma$ and C/EBPa co-regulate each others expression and together transactivate the expression of the genes responsible for the acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype.

The PPAR $\gamma$-RXR complex binds ligands, such as, 9-cis-retinoic acid, 15d-PGJ2 or fatty acids in the C-terminal hydrophobic pocket (29, 72, 90). Recent work by Spiegelman and Walkey, suggest that ligand binding is not a requirement for PPAR $\gamma$ function in the adipose differentiation process (90).

The regulation of gene expression is important for the acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype and depends on a cooperative interplay and transactivation between the C/EBPs and PPARy transcription factors. In knockout mice, Tanaka et al demonstrated that mice lacking both $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ and $-\delta$ were defective in the ability to generate adipose tissue (75). McKnight and associates showed that C/EBP $\beta$ and $-\delta$ are expressed earlier than C/EBPa during adipogenesis and are responsible for the expression $C / E B P a$ (19). It was hypothesized that $C / E B P \beta$ and $\delta$ simultaneously controlled both PPAR $\gamma$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBPa}$ (19). Others hypothesized that C/EBP $\beta$ induces C/EBPa and together those two factors regulated PPAR $\gamma$. Recently, studies with retroviral expression of C/EBP $\beta$ in ppar-/- MEFs, showed that C/EBP $\beta$ was incapable of stimulating C/EBPa expression in the absence of active PPARY (107). Furthermore, ectopic expression of $C / E B P \beta$ in Swiss fibroblasts was able to induce expression of $\operatorname{PPAR}_{\gamma}$ but was unable to induce C/EBPa expression to any significant degree in the absence of a potent PPAR $\gamma$ ligand (19). Therefore, as shown in figure 3, the cascade of transcription factors of adipogenesis involves the induction of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP}$, which then mediates the expression of PPAR $\gamma(107)$. PPAR $_{\gamma}$ along with C/EBP $\beta$ and $\delta$ then activates $C / E B P a$ by binding to C/EBP consensus sequences in the promoter
region. Once PPAR $\gamma$ and $C / E B P a$ are activated, they can then maintain each others gene expression through cross-regulation, even after C/EBP $\beta$ and C/EBP $\delta$ expression has diminished $(55,66)$. The co-expression of PPARY and C/EBPa leads to the expression of adipogenic specific genes.

An additional transcription factor family known as the sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBPs) is also recognized to play a role in the modulation of transcription of numerous genes encoding proteins essential in both cholesterol and fatty acid metabolism (55). The SREBP family consists of three members, SREBP-1a, -1 c , and -2 , which contain two transmembrane domains that anchor the protein to the endoplasmic reticulum. When sterol levels are low, two proteolyic events occur and cause the release of the N-terminal fragments of the SREBP protein into the cytoplasm. The SREBP fragments then translocate to the nucleus where they can bind to promoters of target genes. SREBP-1a and -1c have been primarily implicated in the regulation of fatty acid biosynthesis and SREBP-2 regulates cholesterol metabolism (55). Studies with SREBP1c in regulating adipogenesis demonstrated that its expression significantly augmented the 3T3-L1 adipocytes ability to respond to insulin. Moreover, ectopic expression of a dominate-negative SREBP1c was shown to inhibit differentiation. Others have shown that SREBP1c is linked to the induction of PPAR $\gamma 1$ expression through SREBP binding sites in the promoter regions responsible for ppar $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma^{3}$ transcription (19). Many additional transcription factors are components to this complex cascade of factors that are responsible for induction of adipogenesis, the factors outlined above are considered the main players.

## 3T3-L1 preadipocyte: A model for the mechanism of adipogenesis

Adipogenesis follows a highly ordered temporal sequence of events. The knowledge of these events and the factors involved have been greatly enhanced by the development of cell lines that differentiate from fibroblastic like cells into mature adipocytes (55). The 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cell line derived from Swiss 3 T3 cells, has been extensively characterized and is one of the most notable of these cell lines that are committed to the adipocyte lineage (61). In the first steps of adipogenesis in vitro, the proliferating 3T3-L1 preadipocytes exhibit contact inhibition of growth. These growtharrested cells reenter the cell cycle after addition of the differentiation inducers (MDI), a combination of isobutylmethylxanthine ( M , a cAMP phosphodiesterase inhibitor), dexamethasone (D, a glucocorticoid), insulin (I, which acts through the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor), and fetal bovine serum (FBS); the cells then undergo several rounds of cell division referred to as mitotic clonal expansion (29, 65, 72). Mitotic clonal expansion mimics the increase in cell number (hyperplasia) observed in obesity (19). Recent evidence, demonstrating that inhibition of proliferation with drugs that block cell cycle at S phase prevent adipogenesis, is consistent with MCE being required for terminal adipocyte differentiation (19). It is believed that re-organization of chromatin during mitosis is needed to facilitate accessibility of cis-elements to trans-factors essential for transcription of genes that give rise to the acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype $(19,61)$. In 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, the onset of MCE is characterized by changes in expression of cyclin E/A, cdk2 and turnover of cyclin-depended kinase inhibitors p18, p21 and p27 (61). As the cells traverse the G1/S check point, the
initiation of the transcription factor signaling cascade, as shown in Fig. 2, is started when C/EBP $\beta$ acquires DNA-binding activity (81). Figure 3, displays the protein levels of the three members of the C/EBP family involved in the differentiation program. Although it has been shown that C/EBP $\beta$ gene is rapidly expressed after induction of differentiation with protein found in the nucleus within 2 hours, DNA-binding activity is not acquired until dual phosphorylation by mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and glycogen synthase kinase $3 \beta$ (GSK3 $\beta$ ) that occurs within $14 \mathrm{hrs}(76,101)$. This event triggers the transcription factor cascade as described in the previous section. Terminal differentiation is maintained, at least in part, through the expression of C/EBPa and PPAR $\gamma$. The expression of C/EBPa has been shown to be antimitotic and is thought to be responsible for termination of MCE as the cells exit the cell cycle (61). This anti-mitotic activity elicited by C/EBPa is through direct interaction with cyclindependent inhibitor p21 and cyclin-dependent kinase cdk2 to directly inhibit the cdk enzymatic activity required for cell cycle progression (30). Importantly, it is pertinent to note that C/EBP $\beta$ expression is essential for the movement of the cells from a quiescent stage through MCE and terminal differentiation.


Figure 3 - CCAAT/ enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) family members involved in adipogenesis. Basal level C/EBP $\beta$ protein is found -4 days prior to cell confluence. Together C/EBP $\beta$ and C/EBP $\delta$ protein levels rise after treatment with differentiation inducers. C/EBPa protein levels dramatically rise 2 days post induction of differentiation and remain at $100 \%$ activity. As C/EBPa reaches $100 \%$ activity C/EBP $\beta$ protein levels begin to steadily decline and lipogenic enzyme gene expression increases. C/EBPS protein levels dramatically decrease after induction of C/EBPa expression.

## CCAAT/ Enhancer Binding Protein $\beta$ (C/EBP $\beta$ ) mRNA

C/EBP $\beta$ is our transcription factor of interest, as there is considerable data to support its role as a key regulator of adipogenesis $(79,81,102)$. C/EBP $\beta$ is encoded by an intronless gene and its mRNA produces several protein isoforms due to alternative translation initiation sites $(4,68)$. These in frame AUG start codons produce three N terminal truncated isoforms corresponding to protein species of, 38, 35, and $20 \mathrm{kDa}(4$, 68). The p35 protein, the full length transcription factor, is referred to as LAP (liverenriched transcriptional activator protein), which is a potent transactivator of gene expression. The p38 isoform ( $\mathrm{LAP}^{*}$ ) is also a full length transcription factor but is not produced as frequently as p 35 . The p 20 protein is referred to as LIP (liver inhibitory protein), which lacks the amino terminal transactivation domain but contains the bZIP domain $(29,79)$. LIP can act as a dominate-negative repressor of LAP activity and can actually block adipogenesis of preadipocytes in culture if the ratio of LAP to LIP is $<1$ (29).

The molecular mechanisms that regulate the selection of alternative translational start sties of C/EBP $\beta$ are not well understood. Three mechanisms have been proposed. First, selection is controlled by an out of frame upstream open reading frame (uORF) in the $5^{\prime}$ untranslated region (UTR) $(29,79)$. Xiong et al (98) demonstrated that multiple $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ isoforms are produced by alternative translation initiation at the multiple AUG start sites, and demonstrated a role for the uORF in the regulation of alternative translation initiation by a ribosomal scanning mechanism. Work by Calkhoven et al (10) suggests that the LAP to LIP ratio is controlled by the $5^{\prime}$ uORF and
the availability/activity of translation initiation factors eIF2a and eIF4E. They demonstrated using COS-1 cells transfected with C/EBP $\beta$ constructs, that overexpression of eIF2a and eIF4E enhanced expression of the truncated isoform (59). Secondly, Welm et al have proposed an alternate mechanism involving a proteolytic cleavage of LAP to generate LIP, in vivo and in vitro $(5,95)$. Finally, data by Timchenko et al, suggests that translation of LIP and LAP is controlled by CUG repeat binding protein -1 (CUGBP1), which binds to the $5^{\prime}$ untranslated region of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA and regulates a leaky ribosomal scanning mechanism $(83,84)$. Although the role of CUGBP1 has been studied in myogenesis, nothing is known about the role it plays in preadipocyte differentiation (4).

## Post-Transcriptional Gene Regulation and RNA Binding Proteins.

In recent years post-transcriptional processes have gained recognition as key points of precise gene regulation. Post-transcriptional regulation is an adaptive mechanism that allows the cell to adjust gene expression according to environmental changes and physiological processes such as, stress, proliferation, and differentiation $(14,16,31)$. Steps in the post-transcriptional mRNA processing, including splicing, polyadenylation, stability, editing, localization, decay and translation, can provide points of regulation to modulate changes in protein levels of a specific gene $(31,63)$.

Post-transcriptional regulation is dependent on the activity of a variety of transacting factors, known as RNA-binding proteins (RBPs). It has been estimated that there are more than one thousand RBPs in the human genome. From the beginning of a pre-
mRNA molecule's journey in the nucleus to the ribosome in the cytosol, RBPs are a constant accessory. RBPs bind to cis-elements (protein binding sites) which are frequently present within the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region (UTR) of the messenger RNA but can also be located in the $5^{\prime} \mathrm{UTR}$, in introns, and even in coding sequences. The $3^{\prime}$ UTR of mRNA is widely known for bearing sequence motifs that determine the rate of mRNA decay. The most commonly studied group of destabilizing motifs are the adenine- and uridine-rich elements (AREs), which are characterized by a highly conserved AUUUA pentamer sequence $(16,63)$. Many mRNAs subject to rapid response to cell environmental stimuli, such as, growth-response genes, cytokines and proto-oncogenes, contain these AREs (91). While intrinsic instability of these mRNAs may be mediated by RBPs such as AUF-1, a family of proteins known as the Huproteins bind to the AREs and this results in stabilization $(31,47)$.

The Hu-proteins are a group of classical RBPs that share homology with the Dropsphila ELAV proteins. The Hu family consists of four highly homologous members, HuR (HuA), HuB (Hel-N1), HuC (PLE21), and HuD. Hu proteins B, C and D are expressed specifically in neurons and serve as very early markers for the commitment stage of developing neuronal cells (63). HuB is also expressed in the nervous tissue, testis, and ovaries. HuR is the most widely expressed of the Hu antigens (63). Hu proteins were first identified as target antigens of paraneoplastic neurological syndrome. The presence of certain types of tumors, like small cell lung carcinoma, ectopic expression of antigenic neuronal Hu proteins on the cell surface elicits an autoimmune response, resulting in the production of antibodies. These
antibodies cross the blood-brain barrier and lead to sub-acute sensory neuropathy, dementia and/or encephalomyelopathy (63).

Structurally, the four vertebrate Hu proteins contain three $\sim 90$ amino acid-long RNA recognition motifs ( $\mathrm{RRMs} 1-3$ ). The first two RRMs are positioned at the N terminus and are separated from the third RRM at the C-terminus by a flexible hinged region (63, 85). RRMs are the most common RNA-binding domain and are characterized by two a-helices packed against four anti-parallel $\beta$-strands. Structural studies have shown that RRM1 and 2 interact with RNA through amino acids located on the $\beta$-strands, in a manner similar to other RBPs $(63,85)$. RRM3 has been shown to aid in maintaining the stability of the RNA-protein complex, implicated in proteinprotein interactions, and might also bind to poly (A) tails (31). There is a substantial body of evidence that demonstrates that the Hu proteins are involved in the regulation of the essential steps of mRNA processing. Some of these studies include mRNA export, stability, translation efficiency (46, 64), alternative splicing (105), and polyadenylation (106).

HuR , the focus of our studies, is a 36 kDa protein that is ubiquitously expressed and found predominantly in the nucleus. HuR contains a shuttling sequence in the hinge region between RRM2 and RRM3, deemed the HNS ( $\underline{H} u \mathrm{R}$ nucleocytoplasmic shuttling sequence). The HNS contains both a nuclear localization signal and a nuclear export signal, that allows for shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm (31). HuR was initially suggested to function as a chaperon for mRNA ligands, accompanying them into the cytoplasm and providing protection from degradation (7). Strengthening
this argument, HuR has been found to associate with other RBPs, such as, SETa, SET $\beta$, pp32 and APRIL proteins, which have nucleocytoplasmic shuttling capabilities (63). Fan and Steitz revealed that overexpression of HuR resulted in an increase in the stability of ARE-containing mRNAs (18) Several others have described the ability of HuR to stabilize specific mRNA targets including, GLUT1 (37), p27 (92), TNFa (49), cyclin D1, p21 (47) and cyclins A and B1 (91). In addition, previous studies from our lab demonstrated that HuR binding to the GLUT1 mRNA results in an increased efficiency of translation initiation (24). Additionally, Lou and Zhu established that HuR is able to block polyadenylation of the SV40 late (SVL) mRNA in transfected cells (106). Conversely, in a recent study Meisner et al demonstrated that RRM3 of HuR contains a functionally conserved $\mathrm{Me}^{2+}$-binding motif in which ATP is a natural ligand (54). They further show that this HuR-ATP interaction is associated with terminal adenosyl transferase activity and RNA-modification (54). As the investigation of HuR function continues our understanding of the multiple mechanisms by which HuR acts to modify gene expression are coming to light.

## C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA and the RNA Binding Protein HuR.

Previous studies have shown that HuR is constitutively expressed in 3T3-L1 cells and localized predominantly to the nucleus. Within 30 minutes of induction of differentiation, there was a $30 \%$ increase in the cytosolic content of HuR protein (23). This rapid translocation of HuR into the cytosol on induction of differentiation suggests
that HuR plays an important function early in adipogenesis. Gallouzi and Steitz (22) proposed that HuR may function as a mRNA chaperon protein during nuclear export. We then began to examine for potential mRNA ligands expressed very early in the differentiation program to determine if HuR plays a role in regulation of adipogenesis through binding to mRNA ligands. Cole et al. (13) established that within 2 hours of induction of differentiation, C/EBP $\beta$ protein could be found in the nucleus, suggesting that C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA must be present in the nucleus shortly after induction of differentiation. Examination of the $C / E B P \beta$ mRNA sequence in the $3^{\prime}$ UTR revealed a substantial ARE which we predicted could serve as a HuR binding site (Fig 4A). Binding of HuR to the ARE was confirmed in vitro (23). Further analysis of the entire message supports the existence of a single HuR binding site to ARE in the $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{UTR}$, as shown in figure 4B (38).
A. C/EBP $\beta$ 3'Untranslated Region and Adenylate Rich Element


Figure 4 - C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA is a Ligand for HuR in vitro. (A) 3'UTR and ARE of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA (B) RNA gel shift analysis of HuR binding to C/EBP $\beta$ 3'UTR ARE (23). Lane 1 is radioactive probe for $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta 3^{\prime} \mathrm{UTR}$ only, Lane 2 is radioactive probe and 3T3-L1 protein lysate, Lane 3 is radioactive probe, 3T3-L1 protein lysate, and HuR 3A2 antibody, Lane 4 is the addition of non-radioactive probe (competition control) .

## Statement of Hypothesis

Based on the accumulated data as well as substantial work in the field, we proposed that HuR binding to the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA represents a control mechanism in the metabolism of this message and that HuR may play a role in the onset and maintenance of the adipocyte phenotype. The work described in the body of this dissertation addresses this hypothesis.

## Chapter 2 - Experimental Procedures

## Materials

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) was purchased from Gibco/Invitrogen (Grand Island, New York). Bovine calf serum and fetal calf serum were purchased from Hyclone Laboratories (Logan, Utah). The 3T3-L1 cells used in this work were obtained from Howard Green (Harvard University, Boston, MA). Antibodies directed against: Cyclin A were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Cyclin D1 and p53 were from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA). Cyclin E antibodies were from Upstate (Charlottesville, VA). $\beta$-actin antibodies were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). p21 antibodies were from Calbiochem (LaJolla, CA). The $\beta$ tubulin antibody was a generous gift of Ted Bertrand, Department of Medicine, Brody School of Medicine (Greenville, NC). The antibody used as a control, anti-ArmenianSyrian hamster monoclonal antibody was obtained from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). The rabbit Zfp 206 antibodies $\mathrm{Ab}-1, \mathrm{Ab}-2$ and $\mathrm{Ab}-3$ were the generous gift of Lawrence Stanton, Department of Stem Cell and Developmental Biology, Genomic Institute of Singapore, Singapore. The 3A2 monoclonal antibody directed against HuR as well as the VSV-G, C/EBP $\beta$, and PPAR $\gamma$ antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). The BCA protein assay kit, NE-PER ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ cell fractionation kit, and HALT ${ }^{T M}$ protease inhibitor mix were from Pierce. The siGENOME SMARTpoolm reagent and siCONTROLт ${ }^{\text {т }}$ non-targeting siRNA were obtained from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO) Opti-MEM I reduced serum medium, RNase inhibitor, Lipofectamine 2000 were purchased from Invitrogen. The BD Retro-X

Universal packaging system, RevTet-Off™ system, MEF/3T3 Tet-Off cell line, vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSV-G) and enhanced green fluorescent protein expression vectors were obtained from BD Biosciences/Clontech. pENTR223.1-Zscan10 vector obtained from Open Biosystems. pcDNA-DEST53 Gateway ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Vector, OneShot ${ }^{\circledR}$ ccdB Survival ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ T1 Phage-Resistant cells, and Gatway ${ }^{\circledR}$ Clonase ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ II Enzyme Mix were obtained from Invitrogen. The QuikChange-XL site-directed mutagenesis kit and XL-10 Gold Ultracompetent cells were purchased from Stratagene (Cedar Creek, TX). The plasmid midi kit was obtained from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). The MAXIScript T7 kit and KinaseMax ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ 5'end labeling kit was from Ambion (Austin, TX). SDS-PAGE Electrophoresis Gel reagents (Protogel ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) were purchased from National Diagnostics (Atlanta, GA). All other chemicals were of reagent grade and purchased from SigmaAldrich Biochemical (St. Louis, MO). Reagents for molecular biology were purchased from Invitrogen.
Table 1. Primers and oligonucleotides used for experimental procedures.

| Gene | Primer Name | Forward Primer (5'-3') | Reverse Primer ( $\mathbf{5}^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime}$ ) | Amplicon Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mutagenesis-poly(A) |  |  |  |  |
| C/EBP $\beta$ | TOPO T34A G38C and anti- | 5'-GGTGACACTATAGCGGGGTTGTA GATCTTTTTTGGTTTTGTTTTTGTTTT- 3 | 5'- AAAACAAAAACAAAACCAAAAAGA TCTACAACCCCGCTATAGTGTCACC-3' | N/A |
| C/EBP $\beta$ | Beta T1389A and anti | 5'-GTCTTATTATTTTTTTTGTATTATATAAAAAA GATCTATTTCTATGAGAAAAGAGGCGTATG-3' | 5'-CATACGCCTCTTTTCTCATACAAATAGATC ttttttatatantacanaananatantangac-3' | N/A |
| Polyadenylation Determination |  |  |  |  |
| C/EBP $\beta$ | Oligo dT Adapter | GCGAGCTCCGCGGCCGGCGTTTTTTTTTTTT |  | N/A |
| C/EbP $\beta$ | 275NT5PA | AAACGTGGCTGAGCGCGTGT |  | N/A |
| Real Time PCR |  |  |  |  |
| C/EBP $\beta$ | CEBPBETA For 1173 and CEBPBETER Rev 1256 | CGGGTTTCGGGACTTGATGCAAT | GACAGTTACACGTGTGTTGCGTAG | 88 |
| $\beta$-Laminin | Laminin For and rev | TCGCTTGTTCTCATGCCCTACTGT | agGtttcccagccactattgGtga |  |
| Zfp206exon 6 | Zfp qPCR Fwd and Rev | agttgcaccacacgatctiacg | CAGGAGTACCTCCCCAACCA | 71 |
| Zfp206 exon 2 | Zfp qPCR2 Fwd and Rev | GTGGTACAGCTATTGGAGGG | CATTCTTGCCAGCACTGAAG | 72 |
| PCR |  |  |  |  |
| C/EBP $\beta$ cDNA | Human Beta UTR For and Rev | TGTTCCTACGGGCTTGTT | GGCTTTGTAACCATTCTCAAAA | $\sim 300$ |
| C/EBP $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{gDNA}}$ | Human Beta SP6 and Rev | GGATCCATTTAGGTGACACTATAGTGTTCCTACGGGCTTGTT | GGCTTTGTAACCATTCTCAAAA | $\sim 300$ |
| C/EBP $\beta$ cDNA | Human Beta gene | GGATCCATTTAGGTGACACTATAGCCAAACCAACCGCACATG | CACCAAAACCTCCAAAAATAACAGCAGCCCCC | $\sim 442$ |
| C/EBP $\beta$ | Beta H site F and Rev | GTtTCGGGACTTGATGCAAT | GGCTTTTAAACATTCTCCCAAA | 322 |
| Zfp206 | ZFP Iso Fwd and Rev | GACGGAGAGGAGGTGGTACA | agCtGcgTccanaagTctic | $\mathrm{FL}=844$ |
| Oct4 | Oct 4 Fwd and Rev | GGCGTTCTCTTTGGAAAGGTGTTC | CTCGAACCACATCCTTCTCT | 313 |
| Sox2 | Sox 2 Fwd and Rev | ATGGCCCAGGAGAACCCCAA | TCGTAGCGGTGCATCGGTTG | 428 |

## Methods

## Cell Culture

3T3-L1 cell culture - 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were cultured, maintained, and differentiated as described previously (37). Differentiation was induced 2 days post confluence by exposure of the cells to $115 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ isobutyl methyl xanthine (MIX), $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ dexamethasone(50), and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ insulin in the presence of $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, referred throughout the text as "MDI" or "differentiation inducers". Two days later, the culture medium was changed to medium lacking MIX and DEX, yet supplemented with Insulin. Two additional days later, the culture medium was supplemented with a decreased concentration of insulin ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$; 48hrs later, insulin was omitted.

Transfections - GP2-293 packaging cells were maintained in growth medium consisting of DMEM with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) on collagen I-coated plates. Upon reaching $90 \%$ confluency, the medium was changed to Opti-MEM I reduced serum medium with $10 \%$ FBS (no antibiotics). Co-transfections of the particular $\beta$ construct along with an expression construct for VSV-G were performed utilizing Lipofectamine $2000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ according to the manufacturer's recommendations. All transfections were accompanied by an enhanced green fluorescent protein transfection efficiency control. At 6 hours post-transfection, the medium was changed to fresh DMEM with $10 \%$ FBS. At 48 hours after the medium change, the virus particlecontaining supernatants were harvested, filtered through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ filter, and either used immediately or stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A second harvest was carried out at 120 hrs .

Production of virus particles was confirmed by Western blot analysis for VSV-G in the culture supernatants.

Transductions - The multipotential MEFs (MEF/3T3 Tet-Off cell line) express the tetracycline-controlled transactivator and were cultured in growth medium consisting of DMEM containing $10 \%$ calf serum and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ doxycycline on 6-well plates. Cells were plated and transduced at 15-20\% confluency by first removing one ml of spent media followed by the addition of 2 ml of virus particle-containing medium plus $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ polybrene. Cells were then spinoculated via centrifugation at room temperature for 90 min at 2000 rpm . Following an overnight incubation, the medium was changed to DMEM with $10 \%$ FBS and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ doxycycline. For cells that would follow the differentiation protocol, doxycycline was removed at $50 \%$ confluency. Cells were allowed to reach confluency and then subject to the differentiation protocol as previously described with the addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ troglitizone. Notably, because of the high transduction efficiency (always in excess of $90 \%$, similar to reports by Neal and Clipstone (59), no drug selection was required. In every experiment, control transductions were performed in duplicate using a construct in which expression of enhanced green fluorescent protein was quantified by flow cytometry.

SiRNA treatment of the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes - Transfection of the cells was performed using Dharmacon siGENOME SMARTpool ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ reagent (catalog number M-053812-00-0020) as we have previously described (23), a four-siRNA oligo system designed specifically for HuR (mouse ELAV1; GenBank ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ accession number

NM_010485 [GenBank]) by Dharmacon. Cells were transfected with siRNA using Lipofectamine $2000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ as a carrier according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Control transfections were carried out with Lipofectamine $2000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ alone. Briefly, preadipocytes were transfected in 12-well plates at $60 \%$ confluency and again 24 h later while the cells remain pre-confluent. The cells were exposed to the transfection mixture for 6 h , at this time the transfection medium was replaced with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. At 24 h after the second transfection, two monolayers were combined to generate immediate confluency, 48 h after the second transfection differentiation was initiated as we have previously described. Cells were then analyzed for acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype and harvested at 0 time, $8,12,16,20$ and 24 h post MDI.

Similar procedure was followed for Zfp206 suppression, transfection of the cells was performed using Dharmacon ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ reagent (catalog number J-054446-09), a four-siRNA oligo system designed specifically for Zfp206 (mouse Zfp206; GenBank ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ accession number NM_001033425 [GenBank]) by Dharmacon.

## DNA Procedures

Preparation of C/EBP $\beta$ 3'UTR Polyadenylation Analysis constructs Amplification of the $324 \mathrm{bp} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{UTR} / 3^{\prime}$ end of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta(\beta \mathrm{wt})$ was cloned into pCR4 TOPO TA vector (Invitrogen). Primers used for procedure and site directed mutagenesis are described in Table 1. Briefly, the mutant C/EBP $\beta$ construct with point mutations
flanking the HuR binding site ( $\beta \mathrm{pm}$ ) was created by site directed mutagenesis using QuikChange-XLTM site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). This resulted in the creation of BglII restriction sites flanking the HuR binding site. Removal of the 101-base BglII fragment and subsequent replacement with a 113-base BglII fragment derived from the GLUT1 3'-UTR (bases 1758-1871) resulted in the creation of the mutant C/EBP $\beta$ construct with the HuR binding site deleted and substituted ( $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ ). Confirmation of mutations and appropriate orientation was obtained by sequencing at the Genomics Core Facility East Carolina University, Greenville, NC. Constructs were sent to Hua Lou, Case Western Reserve, Columbus, OH for further analysis.

Preparation of ZFP206-GFP fusion Construct - Gateway ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Technology system was utilized to create a Zfp206-GFP fusion construct, a universal cloning method that uses site-specific recombination properties to move gene of interest into multiple vector systems. Briefly, the open reading frame of murine Zfp206 was inserted into pENTR223.1 vector by Open Biosystems. pENTR-zfp206 was used in a LR Clonase II reaction with pcDNA-DEST53, a Gateway ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ destination N-terminus GFP fusion vector. Example reaction: attL1-gene-attL2 (entry clone) x attR1-ccdB-attR2(destination clone) $=$ attB1-gene-attB2 (expression clone) $x$ attP1-ccdB-attP2 (pDONR). This reaction resulted in a pcDNA-DEST53-ZFP206 where GFP is fused to the N-terminus of the ZFP206 ORF.

## RNA Procedures

Immunoprecipitation of mRNP Complexes - The mRNP complexes from either nuclear or cytosolic fractions were immunoprecipitated and mRNA isolated using RNase- and DNase-free conditions by a modification of the protocol described by Tennenbaum et al (82). Protein A-Sepharose beads were resuspended overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 10 ml of HNTM buffer ( 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$, and $1 \%$ Triton X-100). For immunoprecipitation, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of cytosolic lysate or $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of nuclear lysate was utilized. Seven samples were prepared for each immunoprecipitation, six for the isolation of RNA and one as a Western blot analysis control. Starting with the protein extract in HNTM buffer, the following were added to an RNase/DNase-free microfuge tube: Halt protease inhibitor ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), vanadyl ribonucleoside complex ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), 40 units $/ \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNase inhibitor ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l})$, 0.1 M dithiothreitol $(1 \mu \mathrm{l})$, and 0.5 M EDTA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). The antibody was then added; for the isotype control, anti-Armenian/anti-Syrian hamster IgG1 monoclonal antibody was used, and for HuR, monoclonal 3A2 was used. Finally, the appropriate volume of lysate was added and the mixture rotated for 3 h at room temperature followed by the addition of protein A-Sepharose beads with continued mixing for an additional 2 h at room temperature. This was followed by two washes with HNTM buffer alone. The mixture was then centrifuged (13,200 rpm at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and washed three times with cold 1 M urea in HNTM buffer. One of the samples was taken at this time for the Western analysis control, and RNA was extracted from
the remaining samples using the TriZol RNA isolation protocol as per the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted RNA was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Real Time PCR - Real-time PCR analysis was performed as described previously (24) with minor modifications. Briefly, total RNA ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, integrity demonstrated by ethidium-stained agarose gels) was subjected to reverse transcription with random primers, oligo $d(T)$ and reverse transcriptase reagents from the iScript ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{cDNA}$ synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with specific primers designed for each gene with the Beacon Designer tool (Bio-Rad). All primers were subjected to Blast search to ensure specificity and fold analysis to eliminate any primers with potential to form secondary structure. Amplification and detection were done with the iCycler IQ real-time PCR detection system with IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Standard curves were prepared for each target gene, and PCR efficiency was determined to be in excess of $90 \%$ for all primer sets. Threshold temperatures were selected automatically, and all amplifications were followed by melt-curve analysis, i.e. plot of the negative first derivative of the fluorescence versus temperature with the software assigning the melt temperature. Single-melt temperatures were recorded in all cases. To calculate relative C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA, the threshold cycle $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ determined for the cells transduced with the empty vector (EV) (endogenous C/EBP $\beta$ ) was subtracted from the average $C_{T}$ for $\beta w t$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}\left(\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$, thus correcting for the minor levels of endogenous expression. The standard curve was generated for each real-time PCR determination using a dilution series ( $50 \mathrm{ng}, 33.3 \mathrm{ng}, 11.1 \mathrm{ng}, 3.7 \mathrm{ng}, 367 \mathrm{pg}, 120 \mathrm{pg}$ ) of
total RNA from 3T3-L1 adipocytes (day 2). A plot of $\log$ starting quantity (ng) on the $x$ axis and the $C_{T}$ on the $y$ axis was utilized to determine the arbitrary $C / E B P \beta$ mRNA levels of the unknown samples. Real-time PCR analyses with $90 \%$ or higher efficiency were utilized for quantification. For multiple independent runs after normalization to $\beta$ laminin expression, results were corrected for endogenous $C / E B P \beta$ expression using the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ values obtained from the cells harboring the EV.

Polyadenylation Length Assay - Polyadenylation of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA was determined using the primer/adapter reverse transcription-PCR method as described by Huarte et al. (35). The primer/adapter and primers utilized for this procedure are described above in Table 1. Briefly, RNA was isolated from $\beta w t, \beta \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{s}$ and pRT (empty vector control) transduced MEF cells using TriZol ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ RNA isolation protocol as per the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was preformed on 3ug of RNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with oligo $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{T})$ adapter/primer. An aliquot of oligo $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{T})$ adapter primer was then $5^{\prime}$ end labeled with $\left[\gamma^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP using KinaseMax ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ kit (Ambion) as per manufacturer's instructions. The labeled oligo $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{T})$ adapter/primer was then purified by MicroSpin Columns (GE Healthcare) as per manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription products (cDNA) from above were then used in a PCR reaction with $7.5 \mathrm{E}+05 \mathrm{CPM}$ of labeled adapter/primer and 25 pmol 275nt5PA primer using illustra ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ puReTaq Ready-To-Go PCR beads (GE Healthcare). PCR products were then extracted using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) then precipitated for 1 h with 3 M sodium acetate and ethanol. Purified PCR products
were then separated on a 6\% Acrylamide 8M Urea SequaGel ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (National Diagnostics) as per manufacturer's instructions. Gels were dried under vacuum and exposed to x-ray film.

C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA Half Life Determination - The MEF-3T3 cells were transduced with the series of constructs and induced to differentiate as described above. At 24 h after induction of differentiation, doxycycline was added at a final concentration of 0.2 $\mu_{\mathrm{M}}$ to the cultures to stop transcription of Tet-off constructs. Total RNA was then isolated with respect to time, and analysis of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA content was carried out using real-time PCR as described above. The data were plotted as log RNA content versus time. The equation $y=a e^{-b x}$ was fitted to the data, and half-lives were calculated.

RIP-CHIP (RNP Immunoprecipitation) - The mRNP complexes from total 3T3-L1 lysate and cytosolic fractions were immunoprecipitated and mRNA isolated using RNase- and DNase-free conditions by a modification of the protocol described by Keene et al (43). Briefly, protein A-Sepharose beads were resuspended overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 10 ml of NT2 buffer ( 50 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl2}$, and $0.5 \%$ IGEPAL). Beads were incubated with antibody at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight; for the isotype control, anti-Armenian/anti-Syrian hamster IgG1 monoclonal antibody was used, and for HuR, monoclonal 3A2 was used. Four samples were prepared for each fraction and time point. A typical RIP contains the following: NT2 buffer, Halt protease inhibitor, vanadyl ribonucleoside complex, 40 units/ $\mu$ l RNase OUTTM, 0.1 M dithiothreitol (DTT), and 0.5 M EDTA. Finally, 3 mg of protein lysate was added. The protein sepharose beads incubated with antibody was then combined with the IP mixture and rotated for 2 h at
room temperature. The beads were then washed twice with NT2 buffer alone. The mixture was then centrifuged $\left(13,200 \mathrm{rpm}\right.$ at $\left.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and washed three times with cold 1 M urea in NT2 buffer. RNA was extracted from the IP using the TriZol ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNA isolation protocol as per the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted RNA was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. RNA was sent to Duke University Array Facility (Durham, NC) for microarray analysis.

## Protein Procedures

Isolation of Cytosolic and Nuclear Fractions - The NE-PER ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ cell fractionation kit was used to isolate cytosolic and nuclear fractions from the 3T3-L1 cells as per the manufacturer's (Pierce) instructions with minor modifications. Briefly, (5) 10-cm culture dishes were used as a source of material for the separation. In addition to the standard protease inhibitors, an RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen) was added to the lysate. Incubation time for the initial extract was increased from 10 to 15 min . Once the cytosolic fraction was isolated, the nuclear fraction was subjected to a brief centrifugation, and the interface was removed to reduce cytoplasmic contamination. The isolated fractions were stored at $-80 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ until use. Because of high salt concentrations, nuclear fractions were dialyzed prior to use.

Western blot analysis - Protein extracts were prepared by scraping cells off culture dishes in 1x PBS followed by centrifugation (3000 rpm, 3min) to isolate cell pellets. Cell pellets were resuspended in cell lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tis- $\mathrm{HCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 1\% deoxycholic acid (Na-deoxycholate), 4\% IGEPAL, 0.4\% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and $1 \%$ Halt ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ proteinase inhibitor) and frozen $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 1 h . Lysates were
then subjected to BCA assay and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until needed. Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-PAGE, Protogel ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (National Diagnostics) ( $10 \%$ gel) and electro-transferred to a Polyvinylidene Difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Invitrogen). The membranes were processed as instructed by the manufacturer, and the proteins were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (LumiGlo ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ).

## Bioinformatics

Microarrays - RNA samples from 0 time and 30min cytosolic RIPs, prepared as previously described above, were utilized for spotted array analysis. Oligonucleotide arrays were printed at the Duke Microarray Facility (Durham, NC) using Operon's Mouse Genome Oligo sets, which consists of 35,852 oligonucleotide probes representing 25,000 genes and approximately 38,000 transcripts. All arrays were subject to Loess normalization within each array and across all arrays using the Array Magic package in R (9). Replicate probes were collapsed to one probe corresponding to the median value of all the replicates. Probes were filtered for a raw signal $2 x$ greater than background. Log (10) ratios were then converted to $\log (2)$ ratios and $\operatorname{IgG}$ control IP values were subtracted from each matched HuR IP value. Data was gated to greater than 2 fold enrichment across all IPs. The resulting data, 495 genes, were then used for further analysis.

## Chapter 3

An Early Event in Adipogenesis, the Nuclear Selection of the CCAAT/ Enhancerbinding Protein $\beta$ (C/EBP $\beta$ ) mRNA by HuR and its Translocation to the Cytosol*
*Gantt, K., Cherry, J., Tenney, R., Karschner, V., and Pekala, P. H. (2005) J Biol Chem 280(26), 24768-24774

## Introduction

When confluent 3T3-L1 preadipocytes are treated with differentiation inducers, they synchronously reenter the cell cycle and undergo approximately two rounds of cell division as they enter a process that has become known as mitotic clonal expansion (29, 65,72 ). This increase in cell number and commitment to differentiation mimics the increase in adipose mass in obesity $(19,81)$. In the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, mitotic clonal expansion has been demonstrated to be required for terminal adipocyte differentiation $(19,61)$. A transcription factor expressed prior to the start of the process, C/EBP $\beta$ plays an essential role in the mitotic clonal expansion as well as in subsequent events in the differentiation program $(78,79)$. Transcription of the C/EBP $\beta$ gene is increased shortly after exposure of the cells to the differentiation inducers, and the $C / E B P \beta$ protein can be detected in the nucleus within $2 \mathrm{~h}(76,101)$. When termination of the mitotic clonal expansion phase begins, $C / E B P \beta$ has been proposed to be responsible for the transactivation of C/EBPa and PPAR $\gamma$ genes, two transcription factors responsible for establishing and maintaining the adipocyte phenotype (70, 78). As C/EBPa expression is increased, C/EBP $\beta$ expression is attenuated (77). Thus, C/EBP $\beta$ plays a critical early regulatory role in the differentiation process.

HuR is an RNA-binding protein belonging to the Hu/ELAV family of mRNAbinding proteins and is expressed in adipose (23), intestine, spleen, thymus, and testis with minor expression in liver and uterus $(51,52)$. HuR contains a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling sequence and functions as an adapter protein in the nuclear export of mRNAs that contain adenylate-uridylate-rich elements (AREs) in their 3'-untranslated regions
(31). Depending on the specific message, the nuclear HuR-containing messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP) is bound by APRIL and/or pp32 and then CRM1, which is recognized by a specific binding domain on the nuclear pore complex, facilitating nucleocytoplasmic transport. An alternative to the CRM1 route is mediated by transportin- 2 binding to the HuR mRNA complex for exit through the nuclear pore $(8$, 22). Once in the cytosol, HuR functions to stabilize mRNA ligands (18, 37, 49, 91, 92). Based on results obtained with the ectopic expression of the neuronal Hu family homolog HuB in both the 3T3-L1 adipocytes and human teratocarcinoma cells, HuR may also participate in control of translation initiation $(2,37)$. The selection of ligands and the translocation of HuR to the cytosol have been proposed to be under tight control (49).

HuR has been suggested to be involved in control of the cell cycle through stabilization of the mRNAs for cyclins A and B1 during S and G2, leading to increased expression of these proteins (91). HuR involvement in differentiation was supported by RNA interference knockdowns of the protein in C2C12 cells, which resulted in an inhibition of myogenesis coincident with decreased expression of MyoD and myogenin mRNAs, which are known ligands for HuR (88). Thus, it might be argued that HuR, by selecting specific mRNA ligands and controlling their expression, is capable of controlling critical events in the cell cycle as well as the differentiation process. In the current study we demonstrate that the C/EBP $\beta$ message is a ligand for HuR and that the time frame for cytosolic translocation of the complex is consistent with an early regulatory event in the differentiation process.

## Results

HuR Expression in 3T3-L1 - In the 3T3-L1 cells HuR is constitutively expressed and localized predominantly to the nucleus in the preadipocytes with HuR expression increasing $\sim 3$-fold as the cells differentiate. Notable is the $30 \%$ increase of HuR content in the cytosol with respect to differentiation. This data is consistent with HuR regulating the availability of relevant mRNAs for translation. Examination of the $C / E B P \beta$ mRNA sequence demonstrates the presence of an ARE in the $3^{\prime}$ UTR that might serve as a potential binding site for HuR. Using in vitro RNA gel shifts, we have demonstrated that the C/EBP $\beta$ message is a ligand for $\operatorname{HuR}$ (data not shown).

C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA and HuR Complex Formation in the Nucleus and Translocation into the Cytosol - To determine whether the $C / E B P \beta$ message was present in an mRNP complex with HuR in the intact 3T3-L1 cells, immunoprecipitations were performed on both nuclear and cytosolic extracts prepared at 0 and 30 min after the addition of the differentiation inducers, MDI. As shown in Fig.5A. western blot analysis indicates that the HuR protein was detected in the nuclear fractions at both time points. Additionally, a decrease nuclear presence of the HuR protein 30 min after induction of differentiation was readily apparent. Analysis of the mRNA present in the immunoprecipitated mRNP complex shown in Fig. 5B, indicates that prior to the addition of the differentiation inducers, HuR-C/EBP $\beta$ complexes could not be detected.

## Nuclear



Figure 5 - Formation of nuclear HuR-C/EBP $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ complexes. Panel $A$, time course Western analysis confirms the presence of HuR in the immunoprecipitation. Shown is the preadipocyte at time 0 and 30 min after exposure to the differentiation inducers. $R$, immunoprecipitation performed with the HuR monoclonal antibody; C, immunoprecipitation performed with control antibody (arrow indicates HuR. The other bands are the heavy and light immunoglobulin chains from the immunoprecipitations). Panel B, using six identical immunoprecipitations, RNA was extracted and subjected to reverse transcription and PCR analysis for the presence of C/EBP $\beta$ (arrow indicates C/EBP $\beta$ ). Designations and times are as stated in panel $A$. $M$, marker of DNA ladder. $+C$, positive control total day 2 cDNA. $-C$, no cDNA template negative control.

However, within 30 min of addition of the inducers $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta \mathrm{mRNA}$ was found to be present in the immunoprecipitated complex. Examination of the cytosol for the presence of these complexes over the same time period demonstrates the presence of HuR protein in the cytosol (Fig. 6A) with a detectable increase 30 min after the induction of differentiation, consistent with translocation. In Fig. 6B the presence of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA in the immunoprecipitated cytosolic complex at the 30 min time point is demonstrated. The data presented in Fig. 5 and 6 support a model in which treatment of the preadipocytes with the differentiation inducers results in formation of a HuRC/EBP $\beta$ message complex in the nucleus, which is then followed by translocation to the cytosol. This incident represents a very early event in the differentiation program.

Effect of HuR Depletion on Adipocyte Differentiation - The proximity of the mRNP complex formation event, described above, to the introduction of adipogenic stimuli and the importance of C/EBP $\beta$ to the differentiation process has led us to hypothesize a role for HuR in the regulation of the onset of adipogenesis. To support this hypothesis, small interfering RNA suppression of HuR protein content was preformed. When HuR expression was reduced the cells retained their preadipocyte morphology, failed to express normal levels of $C / E B P \beta$, and did not accumulate lipid droplets through a 5-day time course (data not shown).
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Figure 6 - The translocation of HuR-C/EBP $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ complex to the cytosol. Panel $A$, time course Western analysis demonstrates the presence of HuR protein in the cytosol with an increase detectable at 30 min after the induction of differentiation, consistent with translocation. $R$, immunoprecipitation performed with the HuR monoclonal antibody; C, immunoprecipitation performed with control antibody Panel B shows the presence of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA in the immunoprecipitated complex at the $30-\mathrm{min}$ time point. Designations and times are as stated in panel $A$. $M$, marker of DNA ladder. $+C$, positive control total day $2 \mathrm{cDNA} .-\mathrm{C}$, no cDNA template negative control.

## Discussion

Our results describe the constitutive expression of the RNA-binding protein HuR in the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes with a modest 3-fold increase in protein content with respect to differentiation. At confluence, the majority of the HuR protein is retained in the nucleus, in a preadipocyte. However, upon exposure of the cells to the differentiation inducers there is a rapid formation of a nuclear HuR-C/EBP $\beta$ complex followed by a translocation of the complex to the cytosol. When HuR protein expression was reduced by siRNA, the cells exhibited an inhibition of $C / E B P \beta$ protein expression and an attenuation of the differentiation process.

These observations are consistent with maintenance of HuR protein content and the ability of the cells to express $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ to progress through the differentiation program. Our data are consistent with a step involving the HuR-mediated movement of the C/EBP $\beta$ message to the cytosol. Looking deeper into this process reveals that the proposed major pathway of cytosolic translocation from the nucleus involves recognition of the exon-junction complex by adapter proteins that are recognized by specific nucleoporins $(7,18,22,48)$. However, the $C / E B P \beta$ gene has no introns and must utilize an alternative export mechanism, which our data would suggest is likely mediated by HuR recognition of the $3^{\prime}$-ARE (7). This selection of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA by HuR and mediation of its translocation to the cytosol becomes a potentially critical control step in the onset of adipogenesis. In addition, these data are consistent with other models where cytosolic translocation of Hu proteins and their associated mRNAs correlated with the onset of myogenesis or neural development $(82,88)$.

Although decreasing HuR expression using siRNA resulted in a significant decrease in C/EBP $\beta$ expression, we anticipate numerous ligands in which HuR binds to at this early event that may influence the differentiation program. We would suggest that the tight temporal control of specific mRNA selection and translocation to the cytosol during the differentiation process would be an absolute necessity and that these data support the existence of a new control point in the differentiation process. In the next chapter we will explore the following major questions:

1. What is the importance of the interaction between HuR and C/EBP $\beta$ ?
2. What is HuR regulating post transcriptionally?
3. What is its function?
4. Is it important to the adipocyte differentiation process?

## Chapter 4

Post-transcriptional Control of CCAAT/Enhancer-binding Protein $\beta$ (C/EBP $\beta$ ) Expression: Formation of a Nuclear HuR-C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA Complex Determines the Amount of Message Reaching the Cytosol*
*Karschner, V.A., Cherry, J., Jones, H., and Pekala, P. H. (2008) J Biol Chem 283(45), 30812-30820

## Introduction

Adipocyte differentiation is a complex process regulated in large part by the temporally controlled expression and activation of numerous transcription factors (61). Among these proteins, the CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBPs) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) families of transcriptional activators have been identified as critical to initiation of the differentiation process as well as to maintenance of the adipocyte phenotype (61). Functional roles for these factors have been established at least in part through use of the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte model system (61). When 3T3-L1 preadipocytes are induced to differentiate, the cells reenter the cell cycle and undergo mitotic clonal expansion followed by growth arrest and expression of the adipocyte phenotype (61), as previously described in the literature review. Briefly, the transcription factor $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ is expressed coincidentally with induction of differentiation and is essential for both mitotic clonal expansion and the transcriptional activation of PPAR $\gamma$ and C/EBPa genes (29, 81, 101, 102, 107). The indispensable nature of suitable C/EBP $\beta$ expression was demonstrated in studies with C/EBP $\beta^{-/-}$murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), which when treated with the differentiation inducers could neither reenter the cell cycle and undergo mitotic clonal expansion nor express the adipocyte phenotype (81). Similar results were observed in 3T3-L1 cells expressing a dominant-negative C/EBP (102).

Messenger RNA export from the nucleus, mRNA turnover, and translation initiation are important control points in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene
expression. Control of these processes, at least in part, is exerted through recognition of cis elements in the mRNA by specific binding proteins. One of these proteins is HuR, a 36 kDa protein that belongs to the $\mathrm{Hu} / \mathrm{ELAV}$ (embryonic lethal abnormal vision) family of RNA-binding proteins (69). HuR is ubiquitously expressed, localized predominantly to the nucleus, and has been demonstrated to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm. The shuttling activity suggests but has not yet proved that HuR functions by binding to nascent mRNAs in the nucleus and protecting them from degradation by actively participating in their nucleocytoplasmic transport $(2,7,8,18,21,22,37,42,52$, 57). Once in the cytosol, there is compelling evidence to suggest that HuR functions to control the stability and translational efficiency of its ligand mRNAs (2, 7, 8, 18, 21, 22, $37,42,52,57$ ). Recent data have also supported a role for HuR in the regulation of polyadenylation by competitively inhibiting the binding of the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor, thereby attenuating polyadenylation and nuclear export (106). It is not clear that any one mRNA is subjected to all four HuR-mediated regulations, i.e. 1) control of polyadenylation, 2) translocation to the cytosol, 3) stability, and 4) translational initiation/efficiency. It is important to realize that HuR is a regulatory protein involved in the post-transcriptional processing of certain mRNAs and that the particular function(s) may depend on the particular message.

In 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, HuR is constitutively expressed and localized predominantly to the nucleus (23). Within 30 min of exposure to the differentiation stimulus, the HuR content in the cytosol increases, consistent with HuR regulating the
availability of relevant mRNAs for translation. We have demonstrated in the previous chapter (23) that one of the relevant mRNAs forming a nuclear complex with HuR upon induction of the differentiation program and translocating to the cytosol as a messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP) complex with HuR is the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ message.

In this study, we address the functional significance of the interactions between HuR and the C/EBP $\beta$ 3'-untranslated region (UTR). Using non-adipogenic MEF-3T3 cells, we examine the effects of conditional ectopic expression of $C / E B P \beta$ and mutants unable to bind HuR on the metabolism of the C/EBP $\beta$ message.


#### Abstract

\section*{Results}

Ectopic Expression of Both Wild Type and Mutant C/EBP $\beta$ mRNAs in the Multipotential Precursor MEF-3T3 Cells - Our previous work (23) demonstrated that upon exposure of the cells to the differentiation inducers there is a rapid formation of a nuclear HuR-C/EBP $\beta$ complex followed by a translocation of the complex to the cytosol. Our recent detailed analysis demonstrated the presence of a single binding site for HuR in the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA. That site is in the AU-rich element (ARE) in the 3'-UTR of the message (38). Therefore, to examine the function of HuR in the translocation and expression of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA, we created constructs that expressed wild type C/EBP $\beta$ as well as mutants that could not bind HuR, Fig 7, 1) full-length wild type C/EBP $\beta$ cDNA $(\beta \mathrm{wt}), 2) \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ cDNA with point mutations flanking the $\operatorname{ARE}(\beta \mathrm{pm}), 3)$ deletion of the ARE ( $\beta \mathrm{del}$ ), and 4) deletion of the ARE and substitution with a sequence that does not contain a HuR binding site $(\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s})$. Expression of these constructs in murine embryonic fibroblasts resulted in significant adipose conversion relative to those cells expressing wild type C/EBP $\beta$. C/EBP $\beta$ protein content was increased markedly in both $\beta \mathrm{del}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$, which correlated with the acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype (Data not shown). Analysis of the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ cell line demonstrated a robust expression of C/EBPa coincident with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$ expression (Data not shown).


Figure 7 - A Schematic of C/EBP $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ Mutant Constructs- Representative diagram of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA indicating the approximate translation initiation sites for liver activating protein 1 and $2(L A P)$ and liver inhibitory protein forms of C/EBP $\beta$, the HuR binding domain, and termination of transcription is shown. The graphic below describes the sequence alterations in the mutants. In $\beta w t$, the uppercase bases indicate the sequence altered to form $\beta \mathrm{pm}$, in which the uppercase bases indicate the mutations used to create BgIII restriction sites in $\beta w t$. $\beta$ del is a re-ligation of the construct after removal of the BgIII fragment. $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ consists of removal of the BgIII fragment and insertion of a 101-base fragment that does not bind HuR.


C/EBP- $\beta$ PM


Accumulation of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA in $\beta \mathbf{w t}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ Cell Lines- We examined the accumulation of total cellular C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA after removal of doxycycline and exposure of the cells to the differentiation mixture. The real time PCR results shown in Fig 8A indicate that total RNA in both $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ cells accumulated in a similar manner. The loss of the HuR-binding ARE in $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ had no effect on the accumulation of total cellular message. This might be expected as the constructs were driven by the same tetracycline/doxycycline-regulated promoter. We next examined the appearance and accumulation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA in the cytosolic compartment. Using the Pierce NE-PER ${ }^{T M}$ kit, we isolated cytosolic and nuclear compartments prior to and after removal of doxycycline and induction of differentiation, as we have described previously (23). The real-time PCR data shown in Fig. 8B indicate that at all time points $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNA accumulated in the cytosol to a greater degree than the $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ message. Thus, the loss of the ability to bind HuR at the canonical ARE (present in $\beta w t$, but absent in $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ ) did not hinder the movement of the $C / E B P \beta$ mRNA into the cytosol. The previous data (Fig. $8 A$ ) indicated that total cellular C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA accumulated to a similar degree in both $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$. These data (Fig. 8B) would suggest that a greater percentage of the total $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNA is in the cytosol, available for translation and driving the accumulation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ protein seen earlier. To confirm this hypothesis, we selected the 48 hr time point and performed five independent isolations and subsequent determinations of the cytosolic versus nuclear distribution of $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$. Those data are displayed in Fig. 8C and demonstrate that there is approximately twice the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNA in the cytosol relative to the $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ mRNA. We note that in separate
experiments a minimum 2 -fold differential has been demonstrated to be maintained at least through day 8 of the differentiation program. Thus, in the absence of HuR binding, more $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA accumulates in the cytosol.

C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA Half-life - The accumulation of the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNA in the cytosol could be a result of stabilization of the message upon removal of the ARE. To examine for this possibility, half-life determinations were made 24 h after induction of differentiation (Fig. 9). The $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNAs exhibited half-lives of 120 and 60 min, respectively, calculated using exponential decay regression. With the consideration that the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNA has a more rapid half-life, the increased cytosolic accumulation is all the more significant.

C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA Polyadenylation- The data presented to this point are consistent with involvement of HuR in nuclear processing of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ message. It would appear that once this mRNP complex forms, its translocation to the cytosol is attenuated. To investigate the influence of HuR on nuclear processing of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA, we examined nuclear polyadenylation of the $C / E B P \beta$ transcripts from the $\beta w t$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ cell lines. To accumulate the data presented in Fig 9, RNA was isolated from the nuclear fraction and subjected to reverse transcription using an oligo (dT) primer/adapter followed by PCR using a forward primer located 298 nucleotides upstream of the site of $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{A})$ addition of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA in conjunction with the ${ }^{32}$ P-labeled oligo(dT) primer/adapter.

Figure $8-\mathrm{C} / E B P \boldsymbol{\beta}$ mRNA from $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{w t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta d} / \mathbf{s}$ cell lines. $A$, total RNA was isolated with respect to time after removal of doxycycline from the culture medium and exposure of the cells to the differentiation protocol. Accumulation of total C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA was determined by real-time PCR analysis as described under Experimental Procedures. The experiment was performed three times with identical results. $B$, cytosolic accumulation of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA in $\beta \omega t(B w / t)$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}(B d / s)$ cell lines is shown. The cytosolic fraction (cyto) of the cells was isolated using the Pierce NE-PER ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ kit as we have described under Experimental Procedures. Cytosolic RNA was isolated using the TRIZol $^{\text {TM }}$ reagent. Accumulation of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA was determined by real-time PCR analysis as described under Experimental Procedures. The experiment was performed three times with similar results. Data are plotted as the mean $\pm$ S.D. C, the levels of $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNAs in the cytosol at the 48 -h time point (five independent determinations) are shown. Normalization was to $\beta$-laminin mRNA, the expression of which does not change over the time course of the experiment, followed by correction for endogenous expression as discussed under Experimental Procedures. $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ content was defined as $100 \%$, and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ is expressed relative to that value.
A. ${ }^{25}$
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Figure 9 - C/EBP $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ mRNA half-life of $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{w t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta d} / \mathbf{s}$ cell lines. At 24 h after induction of differentiation, doxycycline was added to the culture medium, and total RNA was isolated with respect to time. Real-time PCR analysis was utilized to quantify the C/EBP $\beta \mathrm{wt}(B w / t)$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}(B d / s)$ mRNAs remaining after addition of the doxycycline. The half-lives of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNAs were determined graphically using a plot of $\log [\mathrm{mRNA}]$ versus time as described under Experimental Procedures. A, Real time results are plotted as the mean $\pm$ S.D. of two independent experiments analyzed in triplicate. $B$, halflife plot of real-time results, $\beta \mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{O})$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}(\Delta)$ mRNAs remaining after addition of doxycycline with respect to time are shown. The equation $y=a e^{-b x}$ was fitted to the data, and half-lives were calculated. The experiment was performed twice with similar results.

Reverse transcription-PCR with these primers of the mRNA from the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ cells (Fig. 10, lane 4) produced a smear of products ranging in size from $\sim 300$ to almost 400 nucleotides. The minimal size predicted was 329 nucleotides (298 bp of C/EBP $\beta$ plus 31 nucleotides of the primer/adapter). Whereas there is evidence of polyadenylation with $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ ( Fig. 10, lane 2), densitometric analysis indicated that it is $\sim 35 \%$ less than that found in the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$. Notably, there is no evidence of polyadenylation occurring when mRNA was isolated from cells containing the empty vector (Fig. 10, lane 6). The data suggest that in the absence of HuR binding, the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA is more extensively polyadenylated, leading to translocation to the cytosol. However, in $\beta w t$, which binds HuR, polyadenylation appears to occur to a lesser extent, with approximately one-third of the RNA (relative to $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ ) reaching the cytosol. Similar results were obtained using an RNase H-based approach for determination of poly (A) tail size for total cellular RNA.

The process of polyadenylation is a closely coupled set of reactions by which the $3^{\prime}$ end of mRNA is formed. The first step of polyadenylation factors bind to the premRNA to be processed. These factors include: cleavage polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF), cleavage stimulation factor (CstF), cleavage factors I \& II (CFI \& II) and polyadenylation polymerase (98). Next, the pre-mRNA is endonucleolytically cleaved at a specific site about 10-30 nucleotides downstream of the poly (A) signal. Then during the slow step approximately 10 adenosine residues are polymerized to the cleaved end.


Figure 10 - Identification of a differential nuclear polyadenylation of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA from $\beta \boldsymbol{w t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ cell lines. Poly (A) tail lengths on $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ were determined by reverse transcription-PCR poly (A) analysis of a preparation of total nuclear RNA from $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ cell lines. Reverse transcription was primed with an oligo (dT) primer/adapter as described, and poly (A) tail lengths on the $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNAs were determined by PCR using a $5^{\prime}$-32P-labeled primer/adapter for the reverse primer and a gene-specific primer for the forward primer. The products of the PCRs were visualized by autoradiography after electrophoresis on $6 \%$ polyacrylamide/urea gels. Size markers are in nucleotides. The regions corresponding to polyadenylation of the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA are boxed for comparison. Lanes 2, 4, and 6 contain the full PCRs, whereas lanes 1, 3, and 5 contain $20 \%$ of the reactions. The autoradiogram presented is representative of an experiment performed 3 times with similar results.

Finally, the elongation step or the fast step, adds approximately 250 adenosine residues forming the poly (A) tail. Recent findings indicate that U-rich sequences upstream of the highly conserved polyadenylation signal AAUAAA can have significant effects on regulation of polyadenylation (41). The Hu proteins are known to bind to AU-rich regions within the same proximity as these U-rich elements (106). Recent in vitro assays by Zhu et al, show that mammalian Hu proteins regulate polyadenylation by blocking U-rich sequences (106). These U-rich sequences were found to be essential for full activity of the downstream poly(A) signal sequence (41). In Figure 10, our data show that when HuR cannot bind to C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA, polyadenylation is more extensive, resulting in more message exiting the nucleus. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that competitive binding of HuR for Urich regions in the $3^{\prime} U T R$ of $C / E B P \beta$ may cause attenuation of polyadenylation. Therefore, to examine the function of HuR in the regulated polyadenylation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA, we created genomic constructs that contain the $3^{\prime}$ UTR and the downstream signal sequences of the wild type C/EBP $\beta(\beta \mathrm{wt})$ as well as a mutant where the ARE was deleted and substituted with a sequence that does not contain a HuR binding site $(\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s})$. Expression of both constructs was under control of an SP6 promoter as detailed in Experimental Procedures. These genomic constructs were then used to create riboprobes for a polyadenylation and cleavage assay to be preformed in collaboration with Hua Lou, Ph.D. Department of Molecular Genetics, Case Western Reserve University. The addition of 2 ug of rHuR during the incubation time course resulted in no significant difference in cleavage or polyadenylation between the $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ and the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$
riboprobes (Fig. 11). The band visible in figure 11 is the precursor; no visible cleaved product band was present. This negative result would suggest that HuR does not regulate the polyadenylation event of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ mRNA in this in vitro assay system. It is important to note that the polyadenylation and cleavage assay was preformed under controlled conditions that may have lacked in vital components specific to the 3T3-L1 cells or this particular message required for proper regulation of polyadenylation. With the consideration of the complexity of polyadenylation and plethora of components required for adequate measurement of HuR involvement this set of reactions, we made the decision to focus our efforts on the involvement of $H u R$ in other areas of the differentiation program and not pursue the polyadenylation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta \mathrm{mRNA}$ at this time.


Figure 11 - Polyadenylation and cleavage assay. Riboprobes of the $3^{\prime}$ UTR and polyadenylation signal sequences were created from C/EBP $\beta$ wild type ( $\beta \mathrm{wt}$ ) and C/EBP $\beta$ delete substitute ( $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ ) genomic constructs. Each probe was incubated with 2 ug of recombinant GST-HuR for $0,30,60,90$, or 120 minutes at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Precursor riboprobe band visible at $\sim 334 \mathrm{nt}$, size markers in nucleotides.

## Discussion

As preadipocytes differentiate, controlled expression of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ is essential to acquisition of the adipose phenotype. Transcriptional activation of the C/EBP $\beta$ gene in 3T3-L1 cells occurs within minutes of exposure to the differentiation inducers and is controlled, at least in part, by the cAMP-response element-binding protein $(26,45)$. In the differentiation program of 3T3-L1 cells, C/EBP $\beta$ first controls the entry of the cells into mitotic clonal expansion, and then the expression of $C / E B P a$ and $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma(29,56$, $81,97,101,102,107)$. The timing of expression during these processes is critical because $C / E B P \beta$ is promitotic and $C / E B P a$ is antimitotic, and thus $C / E B P \beta$ expression must attenuate as C/EBPa expression initiates. This study describes a critical early posttranscriptional regulation initiated in the nucleus involving formation of a HuRC/EBP $\beta$ mRNA complex. Formation of this mRNP appears to control the rate of C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA translocation to the cytosol but is not essential for the translocation process itself. In our previous work, we identified the HuR binding site in the C/EBP $\beta$ 3'-UTR and demonstrated that it is the only site within the entire message (38). As evidenced in the data presented in Fig. 8, deletion of this HuR binding site did not disrupt nuclear to cytoplasmic translocation of the message. Indeed, in the absence of HuR binding, 2-7-fold (dependent on the time point) more C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA was localized to the cytosol. Conceivably, accumulation of $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ in the cytosol could be a consequence of deletion of the ARE instability element, resulting in a stabilized message. However, as displayed in Fig. 9, the $\beta \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ mRNA actually has a shorter half-
life than the $\beta$ wt message, making its accumulation more difficult. Overall, our data are consistent with C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA translocation to the cytosol occurring more readily when HuR is not bound, consistent with HuR functioning as an attenuator of movement of the complex to the cytosol. When HuR binds to the C/EBP $\beta$ message in the nucleus, movement to the cytosol is not prohibited, simply diminished. The $C / E B P \beta$ mRNA reaches the cytosol in lower quantities and is translated into protein. The differentiation program is initiated and maintained. When the binding site is altered such that HuR cannot bind, more C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA per unit time is found in the cytosol. The presence of more message drives the overexpression of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ protein, resulting in overexpression of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBPa}$ protein and a more robust differentiation program.

In the previous chapter, siRNA reduction of HuR resulted in a significant decrease in C/EBP $\beta$ expression and an attenuated differentiation program. In this chapter we demonstrated that the absence of a HuR binding site on $C / E B P \beta$ resulted in an increase amount of message in the cytosol, increased C/EBP $\beta$ protein, as well as, increased C/EBPa and the acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype. Taken together these data are consistent with HuR functioning at an earlier time during adipogenesis, prior to formation of the HuR-C/EBP $\beta$ complex. As we have stated previously, we predict that HuR associated with numerous mRNA ligands, during the early phase of the differentiation program any of which may influence the process. We suggest that HuR may be influencing mitotic clonal expansion, which is required for proper
acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype. To begin to understand the exact role of HuR in the differentiation process, we will address the following questions in the next chapter:

1. Does HuR influence mitotic clonal expansion?
2. Will suppression of HuR affect cell cycle?

## Chapter 5

HuR Involvement in Mitotic Clonal Expansion during Acquisition of the Adipocyte Phenotype*

## Introduction

Fundamental to obesity is adipocyte hyperplasia that occurs through recruitment and proliferation of pre-adipose cells present in the vascular stroma of adipose tissue (25). This hyperplasia is mimicked in culture by 3T3-L1 preadipocytes which when induced to differentiate, synchronously reenter the cell cycle and undergo several rounds of mitotic clonal expansion prior to growth arrest and expression of the adipocyte phenotype (61). Critical to the differentiation process is the RNA-binding protein, HuR (23). HuR is a 32 kDa protein containing three RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) and belongs to the Hu/ELAV family (embryonic lethal, abnormal vision) of RNA-binding proteins (31). Unlike the other three family members, $\mathrm{HuB}, \mathrm{HuC}$ and HuD, which are exclusively neuronal, $H u R$ is ubiquitously expressed, localized predominantly to the nucleus and demonstrated to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm (17). In the nucleus, recent data have supported roles for HuR in the splicing as well as in the regulation of polyadenylation by competitively inhibiting the binding of the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor, thereby attenuating polyadenylation and nuclear export (31, 106). In the cytosol there is compelling evidence to suggest that HuR functions to control the stability and translational efficiency of its ligand mRNAs (31).

Our previous work demonstrated that siRNA mediated suppression of HuR resulted in an inhibition of 3T3-L1 preadipocyte differentiation (23). The inhibition was transient, as the effect of the siRNA was lost after several days and the cells began to
express the adipocyte phenotype (23). Even with that consideration, those data suggest that during the differentiation process, there is formation of a HuR-mRNA complex that is essential for the differentiation program to progress. Whether the function of the complex is export from the nucleus or stabilization of the message, disruption of the interaction leads to alteration of expression and attenuation of the differentiation program. In terms of timing, formation of the HuR-mRNA complex has to be early in the process, because as we have previously demonstrated disruption of this interaction must precede expression of C/EBP $\beta$ (39). In addition, more than one ligand mRNA may be involved in the process. Our interest is in identifying these HuR ligands and characterizing their interaction with HuR in the context of mitotic clonal expansion and the differentiation program.

## Results and Discussion

## Suppression of HuR expression leads to attenuation of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-actin expression-

 Results from previous studies indicated that the $\beta$-actin mRNA was a major ligand for HuR on induction of differentiation (Karschner and Pekala, unpublished results). These data led us to examine the effect of decreased HuR expression on $\beta$-actin levels during the early phase of the differentiation process. Cells were transfected with siRNA directed against HuR as described in Experimental Procedures and induced to differentiate. As shown in Fig. 12, an $86 \%$ suppression of HuR expression was observed 48 h after induction of differentiation (Lane 1) relative to mock transfected control (Lane 2). $\beta$-actin expression decreased by $47 \%$ (Lane 1) relative to control (Lane 2). At 72 h (Lane 3) HuR suppression maintained at $78 \%$ of control (Lane 4) while $\beta$-actin levels were down by $38 \%$. $\beta$-tubulin content was used for normalization as its levels do not change during the course of the experiment, moreover, it does not bind HuR. While $\beta$ actin expression is known to decrease as the cells attain the adipocyte phenotype, this early decrease prior to mitotic clonal expansion might be expected to slow cell division. Indeed recent observations in HeLa cells by Dormoy-Raclet et al. (15) found that siRNA suppression of HuR led to decreased actin expression resulting in an increased proliferation time. In a parallel experiment, we inhibited actin polymerization by

Figure 12 - Suppression of HuR expression leads to attenuation of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-actin expression. Cells were transfected with siRNA directed against HuR and induced to differentiate as described in Materials and Methods. Extracts were prepared at 48 hr and 72 hrs postinduction of differentiation and Western blot analysis of $\beta$-tubulin, $\beta$-actin, and HuR performed. Si, siRNA transfected cell lysates. M, mock transfected cell lysates. Quantification of protein levels was performed using scanning densitometry.
exposure of the cells to cytochalasin D and examined the effect on differentiation and used C/EBPa as marker for terminal differentiation. The cytochalasin D treated cells maintained an altered morphology and never accumulated lipid, in addition, on day 8 post-induction of differentiation, C/EBPa protein levels were only $30 \%$ of that found in the untreated cells (Karschner and Pekala, unpublished results). These data were similar to what we observed for C/EBPa expression in cells treated with siRNA directed against HuR, providing further confirmation of the importance of actin expression (polymerization) for appropriate induction of differentiation. In their study, Dormoy-Raclet et al. (15) observed that key $\beta$-actin mediated functions including cell adhesion, migration and invasion, were markedly decreased and these defects correlated with the loss of the actin stress fiber network. In addition there is significant evidence to link down-regulation of $\beta$-actin expression to signaling processes that control transcription $(33,71)$.

Effect of decreased HuR expression on the expression of Cyclins A, E, and D1 -
To examine the influence of HuR on mitotic clonal expansion, we used siRNA to suppress HuR expression, induced differentiation and examined three cell cycle components. As shown in Fig. 13A, suppression of HuR was in excess of $85 \%$ at 0,8 , and 12 h after induction of differentiation and $70 \%$ for the 16,20 , and 24 h time points. With this degree of HuR depletion, Cyclins A, E, and D1 were expressed at apparent lower levels and on a slightly delayed (4-8 h) time course (Fig. 13C) than that observed for the mock transfected cells (Fig. 13B).

A


Figure 13 - Effect of decreased HuR expression on the expression of Cyclins A, E, and D1. siRNA mediated suppression of HuR expression was performed as detailed in Materials and Methods. Cells were induced to differentiate and extracts prepared over a 24 h period. Western blot analysis was performed to determine HuR content (A) as well as that of Cyclins A, E, and D1 in mock (B) and siRNA (C) treated cells. Si-siRNA transfected cell lysates. M- Mock transfected cell lysates. Quantification was by scanning densitometry.

The data are similar to those reported by Dormoy-Raclet et al. (15), a study in which depletion of HuR lead to an attenuation rather than an inhibition of movement through the cell cycle. However, one must consider that Dormoy-Raclet et al. (15) were working with cells that had lost contact inhibition of growth and were constantly moving through the cell cycle, while in our study, the 3T3-L1 cells had attained contact inhibition of growth and on induction of differentiation synchronously reenter the cell cycle, also known as, the mitotic clonal expansion phase of the differentiation program.

## siRNA mediated suppression of HuR leads to a transient suppression of p53

 and p21 - Using the same extracts we examined for alterations in the expression of p53, reported to be activated as a consequence of down-regulation of actin expression and/or loss of cytosolic actin filaments (71). As shown in Fig. 14, we observed a transient, near $60 \%$ decrease in p53 expression at the time of induction of differentiation. The p53 mRNA has a HuR binding site in the $5^{\prime}$-UTR and the interaction is thought to control translational efficiency, thus, loss of HuR led to decreased p53. We also examined for expression of the adipocyte phenotype. We should note that both p53 and p21 are expressed at significant levels throughout the differentiation program (36). While the level of expression does not address activation there is ample evidence consistent with a requirement for both proteins for a productive differentiation program. Interestingly, in the next chapter we examined for potential mRNA ligands of HuR using an RNA Immunoprecipitation-CHIP assay (43).A

B


Figure 14 - siRNA mediated suppression of HuR leads to a transient suppression of p53. Cells were prepared for experimentation as detailed in the legend to Fig. 2. Extracts were prepared from siRNA and mock transfected cells and Western blot analysis performed for p53 (A). Si-siRNA transfected cell lysates. M- Mock transfected cell lysates. Quantification was by scanning densitometry (B).

In this analysis both $\beta$-actin and p53 were demonstrated to be ligands of HuR, while p21 was not (see Appendix p119). This would suggest that when HuR protein content was decreased, the resulting mRNAs coding for $\beta$-actin and p53 were also decreased leading to decreased $\beta$-actin and p53 protein. It appears likely that the decrease in p21 protein may be mediated by loss of p53 and thus decreased transactivation of the p21 gene. Taken together down-regulation of $\beta$-actin, p53 and p21 expression most certainly leads to a decreased ability of the cells to undergo mitotic clonal expansion efficiently. Thus, our data are consistent with HuR controlling the expression of a number of gene products that individually could influence/attenuate the progress of the differentiation program. To discover additional mRNA ligands of HuR that are critical to the differentiation process, we will address the following in the next chapter:

1. Microarrays, can we dissect out HuR mRNA ligands (gene sets) that are different between 0 time and 30 min post induction of differentiation?
2. Identify new gene products that are crucial to adipogenesis.


Figure 15 - siRNA mediated suppression of HuR leads to a transient suppression of p21. Cells were prepared for experimentation as detailed in the legend to Fig. 13. Extracts were prepared from siRNA and mock transfected cells and Western blot analysis performed for p21 (A). Si-siRNA transfected cell lysates. M- Mock transfected cell lysates. Quantification was by scanning densitometry (B).

## Chapter 6

RIP-CHIP Analysis of HuR Ligands in 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cells reveals the expression of $Z f p 206$, a transcription factor involved in ES cells pluripotency.*

## Introduction

Fundamental to obesity is adipocyte hyperplasia that occurs through recruitment and proliferation of preadipose cells present in the vascular stroma of adipose tissue $(25,74)$. This hyperplasia is mimicked ex vivo by post-confluent 3T3-L1 preadipocytes which when induced to differentiate, synchronously reenter the cell cycle and undergo several rounds of mitotic clonal expansion prior to growth arrest and expression of the adipocyte phenotype $(81,101)$.

The 3T3-L1 adipocyte differentiation program is controlled by a cascade of transcription factors (fig $2 \& 3$ ), that includes the CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins (C/EBPs) and a nuclear hormone receptor, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor $\gamma$ (PPAR $\gamma$ ) (19). Superimposed on this signal cascade of C/EBPs and PPAR $\gamma$ expression is the embryonic stem cell transcription factor, $Z f p 206$, which we have recently identified through a RIP-CHIP microarray analysis.

Zfp206 (NM_00103345), belongs to the family of SCAN domain-containing poly $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ zinc finger proteins, which is associated with a large family of transcription factors found exclusively in vertebrates $(93,104) . \quad$ Zfp206 is located in a gene cluster on chromosome 17 and encodes a 782 amino acid protein $(88.4 \mathrm{kDa})$ that contains 14 zinc fingers and a SCAN-domain. Generally, transcription factors that contain many $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ zinc fingers, one of the most versatile DNA-recognition motifs, are thought to have multiple ligand specificities and in some cases bind to single or double stranded RNA $(93,104)$. The SCAN domain is a highly conserved motif ( 84 residues) that functions as a protein interaction domain and mediates self-association or selective association with
other proteins by mediating homo- and hetero-oligomerization (93, 104). Proteins containing SCAN domains with multiple zinc-fingers represent a subgroup of eukaryotic transcription factors that have been implicated in cell survival and differentiation.

Functional gene expression surveys of the mouse genome suggest that Zfp206 is expressed almost exclusively in embryonic stem cells (ESC) (103). Detailed analysis indicated that upon differentiation of human and mouse ESC, Zfp206 (ZNF206 in human) is highly expressed in pluripotent ESC and throughout certain areas of the developing embryo, but was absent in differentiated or adult tissues (93). Zhang et al. confirmed this observation by demonstrating that Zfp206 expression decreased rapidly upon differentiation of cultured mouse ESC and during development of mouse embryos (104). Furthermore, they demonstrated that Zfp206 strongly regulates the levels of a handful of transcription factors whose expression is also specific to ESC and early stages of development. These genes encode apparent regulators, such as, eIF-1A, Tcstv1 and Zscan4 (104).

The current data has demonstrated that the previously uncharacterized Zfp206 gene functions as a positive regulator of pluripotency in ESC and is down-regulated upon differentiated states $(6,104)$. The following study describes the expression of the transcription factor $Z f p 206$ in preadipocytes and the role it plays in the regulation of adipogenesis.

## Results

RIP-CHIP Analysis Reveals New HuR Ligands during Adipogenesis - In the previous chapters we described the involvement of the RNA binding protein HuR in the regulation of adipocyte differentiation. Our work demonstrated siRNA suppression of HuR expression led to an inhibition of the 3T3-L1 differentiation program; an observation consistent with a critical role for HuR in adipogenesis (23). Previous to this, our studies have focused on one HuR ligand, the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA. In order to identify other HuR ligand mRNAs early in the differentiation program, we used antibodies directed against HuR to perform an RNP immunoprecipitation-microarray (RIP-Chip) analysis, as described in Experimental Procedures. This permitted the identification of a discrete subset of mRNAs associated with HuR, as the population of mRNPs changed markedly during the initial 30 minutes of the adipocyte differentiation program. The RIP-Chip analysis identified 495 individual mRNAs that served as ligands for HuR in this time frame, see appendix A. Figure 16A, shows the distribution of HuR ligand mRNAs at 0 time and 30 minutes post differentiation. The data describe a unique subset of 193 mRNA ligands found only at 0 time, and 30 min post induction of differentiation 45 mRNA ligands unique to this time point were identified. We focused our efforts on the subpopulation of 45 mRNAs that were not ligands at 0 time but become markedly enriched 30 minutes after induction of differentiation. One such ligand identified was Zfp206 (Fig. 16B).


Figure 16 - Charts of HuR RIP-CHIP Gene Enrichment Data. A. Venn diagram showing distribution of genes (mRNA ligands) at 0 time and 30min post MDI treatment. 450 mRNA ligands identified for 0 time, 302 mRNA ligands identified at 30min, 257 mRNA ligands are common between 0 and $30 \mathrm{~min}, 193 \mathrm{mRNA}$ ligands are unique to 0 time, and 45 mRNA ligands are unique to 30 min . B. Scatter plot of gene enrichment scores of HuR mRNA ligands at 0 time vs. 30 min post MDI treatment. The position of $Z f p 206$ is shown on plot, enrichment score $(-0.082,3.49)$.

Characterization of Zfp206 in 3T3-L1 Preadipocyte and Adipocyte - Detection of Zfp206 mRNA from the RIP-Chip assay was confirmed by RT-PCR using RNA isolated from the 3T3-L1 cells during a differentiation time course. As shown in Fig. 17A, Zfp206 mRNA was detectable at day 0 and expressed at a consistent level through day 7 of the differentiation program, after which decreased to undetectable levels by day 9. Expression of PPAR $\gamma$ mRNA during the same differentiation time course is displayed in Figure 17B for comparison.

Western blot analysis of Zfp206 protein levels during the differentiation time course detected two protein species. As shown in Fig. 18, an 88 kDa protein corresponding to the full length gene product was detected at day 0 which was maintained through day 3. At which (day 3), a transient $\sim 32 \mathrm{kDa}$ isoform was detected at low levels reaching maximal expression by day 7 , and then decreasing to undetectable levels by day 11 .

Examination of the gene annotation using the Ensembl Gene Browser (http://www.ensembl.org) indicated that Zfp206 is also known as Zscan10 and genomic evidence suggests the existence of up to 8 potential splice variants (Fig. 19). One of these, Zscan10.201, is approximately 33.5 kDa making it a potential candidate for the $\sim 32 \mathrm{kDa}$ protein species identified in figure 18. Recent work in embryonic stem cells has identified at least nine isoforms of Zfp206, including seven splice variants (93, 104).

However, the description of the variant Zscan10.201 has not been identified. As shown in Figure 19, the alternative splicing event leading to the formation of Zscan10.201 results in the preservation SCAN domain and the loss of the zinc finger domain of the protein.


Figure 17-Zfp206 and PPAR $\gamma$ mRNA expression in 3T3-L1 A, RT-PCR analysis of Zfp206 mRNA expression over a 3T3-L1 differentiation time course. - Ctr lane is primers only. + Ctr lane is ESC RNA. B, RT-PCR analysis of PPAR $\gamma$ mRNA expression over the same 3T3-L1 differentiation time course in A.

## 3T3-L1 Differentiation Time Course



Figure 18 - Zfp206 protein expression in 3T3-L1 cell line. Western Blot analysis of Zfp206 protein expression over a 3T3-L1 differentiation time course. Zfp206 full length ( 88 kDa ) and Zscan10.201 isoform ( 33 kDa ) shown by arrows.

Figure 19. Gene Annotation of Zscan10. Adaptation of Ensembl genomic annotation for Zscan10 Mus musculus. Black to gray scale boxes represents exons 1-6. Protein coding, SCAN and Zinc finger domains illustrated in blue. Red arrows depict primers used in RT-PCR analysis.


Zfp206-GFP fusion protein localizes to the nucleus of 3T3-L1 - Zfp206 has been characterized as a transcription factor that is highly expressed in embryonic stem cells and is promptly repressed upon differentiation into to various tissue lineages (93). Based on our recent data, Zfp206 follows a similar expression pattern (Fig. 18). We hypothesized that overexpression of Zfp206 in the 3T3-L1 cell line would prevent or lessen the degree of differentiation into adipocytes. To begin to test this, we have created a Zfp206-GFP fusion construct, by inserting the open reading frame of Zfp206 into an N-terminal GFP fusion vector. The Zfp206-GFP plasmid was then transfected into 3T3-L1 cells and monitored by microscopy for GFP at 48 hrs post transfection. As shown in Fig. 20J\&G, cells transfected with the Zfp206-GFP construct demonstrated GFP expression localized to the nucleus. Conversely, the control IRES-EGFP vector (Fig. 20A-E) demonstrates GFP expression throughout the entire cell. This data suggests that Zfp206 is localized to the nucleus of the 3T3-L1 cell and supports Zfp206 functioning as a transcription factor. Further examination of how Zfp206 overexpression affects differentiation is currently being tested.

Figure 20. Zfp206-GFP fusion protein localizes to Nucleus. Phase and fluorescent images of 3T3-L1 cells, 48hrs post transfection with either IRES-EGFP or ZFP-GFP plasmids. A. Phase contrast, IRES-EGFP. B. Fluorescent clear filter, cell expressing IRES-EGFP (same cell as A). C. Fluorescent GFP, cell expressing IRES-EGFP. D. Fluorescent GFP, cell expressing IRES-GFP. E. Fluorescent GFP, cell expressing IRESEGFP. F. Phase contrast, ZFP-GFP. G. Fluorescent GFP filter, ZFP-GFP (same cell as F). H. Merge of F and G images. I. Phase contrast, ZFP-GFP. J Fluorescent GFP, ZFP-GFP (same cell as I). K. Merge of I and J images.

IRES-EGFP


Zfp206 gene expression in 3T3-L1 is not regulated by Oct4/Sox2 - In embryonic stem cells, Zfp206 gene expression is regulated by Oct4 and Sox2, which are embryonic transcription factors known to play important roles in the maintenance of embryonic stem cell pluripotency (94). The presence of two composite sox-oct binding elements within the first intron of Zfp206 has been shown to activate transcription synergistically (94). To determine if Oct4 and Sox2 maybe relevant to the control of $Z f p 206$ expression in the 3T3-L1 cells we examined for their expression, RNA was isolated from a differentiation time course and examined for the presence of both Sox2 and Oct4 mRNA via RT-PCR. As shown in figure 21, neither Sox 2 mRNA nor Oct4 mRNA were present in 3T3-L1 cells relative to that found in the D3 murine ESC line. These data suggest that Zfp206 gene regulation is cell type specific and Oct4/Sox2 is not required for transcriptional activation of Zfp206 in the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cell line.


Figure 21 - Oct4 and Sox2 mRNA Expression in the 3T3-L1 Differentiation Time Course. 3T3-L1 RNA was harvested at 0 time, day7, and day 11 post MDI treatment and analyzed by RT-PCR with primers for Sox2 and Oct4. Lane 1, DNA 100bp ladder. Lane 2-4, 3T3-L1 0 time, day 7, and day 11, respectively. Lane 5, positive control embryonic stem cell line D3. Lane 6, negative control $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Zfp206 is not down-regulated in C/EBP $\beta$ null MEF cell line - To further investigate the regulation of Zfp206 we utilized C/EBP $\beta$ null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (C/EBP $\beta /-$ ). These cells were harvested from 13.5 day embryos that had been derived by mating C/EBP $\beta$ +/- mice. The C/EBP $\beta$-/ MEFs will not differentiate when stimulated with induction cocktail. Cells were grown to confluency and induced to differentiation as described in Experimental Procedures. Cells were maintained for 11 days post induction of differentiation and the preadipocyte morphology was conserved. As shown in Fig. 22, western blot analysis of C/EBP $\beta^{-/-}$MEFs at days 0,7 and 11 post induction of differentiation demonstrated that Zfp206 expression was maintained throughout the differentiation time course. This expression pattern differs from that of the 3T3-L1 cells where Zfp206 is down regulated after day 7 of induction of differentiation (Fig.18). Interestingly, expression of Zscan10.201 splice variant was not observed.


Figure 22 . Zfp206 expression in C/EBP $\beta$-/- MEF cell line. C/EBP $\beta$-/- MEF cells were induced to differentiate and harvested at day 0,7 , and 11. Protein samples were analyzed by western blot for Zfp206 (88 kDa). Absence of Zscan10.201 observed (32 kDa ).

Zfp206 Expressed in Human Preadipocytes but not in Adipocytes - Cultures of human subcutaneous preadipocytes and adipocytes (2 wks post differentiation) were obtained from Zen-Bio, Inc. and maintained as described in Experimental Procedures. Analysis of $Z f p 206$ protein expression in the human cell was carried out by use of the murine Zfp206 antibody which shares $60 \%$ homology with the human protein. As shown in Fig. 23A, the 88 kDa full length species was detected in the preadipocyte and similar to that observed in the 3T3-L1 cells (Fig.18), down regulation was observed in the mature human adipocytes. Further confirmation was obtained by examination of Zfp206 mRNA levels by RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 23B, Zfp206 mRNA was detected in the human preadipocyte and was absent in the adipocyte. Importantly, these data demonstrate that Zfp206 expression is not an artifact of the 3T3-L1 cells or the culture system; and its expression and down regulation in primary human cells is consistent with the involvement of this embryonic stem cell transcription factor in the differentiation program of human preadipocytes.


Figure 23 - Zfp206 Expression in Human Subcutaneous Preadipocyte and Adipocytes. A- Western blot analysis of preadipocyte (PA) and adipocyte (Ads) lysates. BCoomassie Brilliant Blue R Staining of the blot shown in Panel A to demonstrate protein loading. C- RT-PCR of RNA isolated from 2 week post differentiated adipocytes.

Analysis of Suppression of Zfp206 mRNA by Quantitative PCR - Our hypothesis, based on the current but limited literature on Zfp206, is that expression of Zfp206 is part of a mechanism for maintenance of the preadipocyte differentiation potential, and as the adipocyte phenotype is established, expression of Zfp206 is diminished. It may be that as PPAR $\gamma$ expression achieves homeostatic levels, locking in the adipocyte phenotype, and Zfp206 expression is down regulated. To begin to address our hypothesis, we have attempted to suppress Zfp206 via several methods as described below, with minimal to no effect of Zfp206 expression.

Attempts at suppression of Zfp206 by use of siRNA-Zfp206 transiently transfected into 3T3-L1 cells resulted in no significant suppression of protein when examined by western blot analysis (data not shown). Six independent troubleshooting trials were preformed, the following are the conditions tested: Single dose transfections of siRNA Zfp206 at $60 \%$ and $90 \%$ confluency, Double dose (consecutive transfections 24 hrs apart) of siRNA Zfp206 at $60 \%$ and $90 \%$ confluence, varying harvest times at 48 hr , 72 hr and 96 hrs post transfection, and with or without combination of transfected monolayers for immediate confluence. The reason for these failures is unknown. We have used this same siRNA system (Dharmacon SMARTpool) for suppression of HuR in previous studies with higher then $80 \%$ suppression of the protein.

Next we utilized two Zfp206 shRNA constructs (gifts from Larry Stanton) for transiently transfections into 3T3-L1 cells. Two independent troubleshooting trials were preformed testing the following conditions: 1 . Cells were harvested at 48 hrs and 72 hrs post transfection, with combined monolayers for immediate confluence 2. Cells were
harvested at 92 hr , 144hr, and 192hrs, without combining monolayers. Treatments included sh1 \& sh2 independently and collectively. These trials resulted in no significant suppression of the protein when examined by western blot (data not shown). The failures of these attempts are assumed to be caused by low transfection efficiencies.

Cell lines were created by stable transfections of shRNA constructs into the 3T3L1 cell line to select for a pure shRNA expressing population. However, no effect was observed. Both 3T3-L1-sh1Zfp and 3T3-L1-sh2Zfp expressed Zfp206 protein equal to that of the control 3T3-L1 cells (data not shown).

Larry Stanton was able to show that Zfp206 mRNA levels decrease during differentiation of ES cells by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (104) Using the same qPCR primers for Zfp206 we attempted to show suppression of Zfp206 mRNA by siRNA and shRNA independently. Zfp206 mRNA content was first determined by qPCR on days 1 , 7 and 11 of a differentiation time course. Zfp206 mRNA content was observed to be maximal on day 1 after induction of differentiation; by day 7 mRNA levels were significantly diminished and remained reduced through day 11 (Fig. 24A). These data are consistent with the Zfp206 protein expression profile, (Fig. 18).

In our next qPCR trial we attempted to suppress Zfp206 expression by siRNA and shRNA, and then analyze Zfp 206 mRNA content at 24 hour and 48 hours post transfection, as displayed in Fig. 24B\&C. Approximately $60 \%$ and $80 \%$ suppression of Zfp206 mRNA was observed at 24 hrs and 48 hrs , respectively. The results demonstrate a possible trend towards mRNA suppression. However, data from these trials had extremely variable threshold values and therefore are not reliable. To better understand
the variability seen in the qPCR results we sought help from the qPCR specialist at BioRad, Inc. It was their opinion that the primer melt curves for $\beta$-laminin (control) primer and Zfp206 were valid. They suggested that we should alter the annealing temperature from $64^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; this had no overall effect on results.

Analysis of standard curve data for the two sets of primers utilized a dilution series ranging from 500ng of 5pg of Day $13 \mathrm{~T} 3-\mathrm{L} 1$ cDNA. The standard curve generated from the $\beta$-laminin primers is depicted in figure 25 A , which shows a valid standard curve and equation.

Figure 24. Quantitative PCR analysis of Zfp206 mRNA suppression. A. At day 1, 7 and 11 post induction of differentiation, total RNA was isolated from 3T3-L1 cells and subjected to RT-qPCR with Zfp206 specific primers. B. Total RNA isolated from siRNA, shRNA or Lipofectamine 2000 control treated 3T3-L1 cells 24 hrs post transfection was subjected to RT-qPCR with Zfp206 specific primers. C. Forty-eight hour time point, same conditions as panel B. B\&C are representative of the best data from 5 independent qPCR trials. Percentages in parentheses are percent reduction in expression.

## A

ZFP206 mRNA


## B

24 hour Post Transfection


C
48 hour Post Transfection


However, the standard curve data for the Zfp206 primers with the same serial dilution of cDNA shows an erratic display of threshold cycle values $(\mathrm{Ct})$ independent on concentration of starting material (Fig 25B). The data produced do not constitute an acceptable standard curve and the equation of $y=-0.229 x+29.35$ is almost a straight horizontal line. It was concluded that the Zfp206 primers used fail to amplify a true amplicon in 3T3-L1 cells and therefore all data produced with Zfp206 primers are null and void. It is possible that the targeted region of mRNA of these primers is highly structured and difficult to access. Further analysis of these primers using RNA fold prediction software showed a hairpin structure formed between the forward and reverse primers. Future attempts at qPCR for Zfp 206 mRNA will require new primer design.

Further analysis is needed to determine whether suppression of Zfp206 can be established and whether its suppression will affect the 3T3-L1 differentiation protocol. Studies using Lentiviral shRNA constructs are underway.


Figure 25. Real Time PCR Standard Curve Data. A. Standard curve of 3T3-L1 day 1 cDNA with $\beta$-Laminin primers, standard curve equation for arbitrary RNA mass shown, acceptable standard curve. B. Standard curve of 3T3-L1 day 1 cDNA with Zfp206 primers, standard curve equation for arbitrary RNA mass shown, not acceptable standard curve, target not amplified.

## Discussion

The Zfp206 mRNA was identified among 495 HuR ligands in a RIP-ChIP microarray analysis. Prior to this, Zfp206 had been described as being exclusively expressed in embryonic stem cells by Wang et al. (93) and Zhang et al. (103, 104). Our array data indicated that at 0 time, the Zfp206 mRNA was not a ligand for HuR, yet at 30 min after induction of differentiation the complex was detected. Zfp206 mRNA was detected via RT-PCR in the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte at 0 time. The data suggest that the HuR binding site may initially ( 0 time) be occupied by another protein complex. Indeed, differential occupation of an adenylate-uridylate rich site in other mRNAs has been demonstrated for HuR and AUF-1 (47).

Our findings demonstrate that Zfp206 protein is expressed in confluent 3T3-L1 preadipocytes and expression is maintained through the first three days post induction of differentiation. As the cells become fully differentiated, Zfp206 expression is terminated. Additionally, an approximate 32 kDa splice variant (Zscan10.201) was observed on day 3 post induction of differentiation with its expression diminished as the cells reach terminal differentiation. The splice variant Zscan10.201 maintains an intact SCAN domain but has lost the zinc finger domain of the protein, thus it can no longer bind DNA. This combination of domains or lack of domains, leads to the hypothesis that this variant may serve as a dominant-negative form of Zfp206. The SCAN domain is preserved and can potentially interact with other protein partners involved in either gene activation or repression. Recent work has demonstrated that Zfp206 can bind to more than 3,500 sites in the genome of embryonic stem cells (100).

Target genes include those involved in nucleic acid metabolism and several genes that encode pluripotency-regulating transcription factors, such as Klf2, Klf4, Zfp281, Oct4 and Sox2 (100). Examination of 10 of these genes indicated that Zfp206 binding could activate, suppress or have no effect on the rate of transcription. Interestingly, Oct4 and Sox2 expression is regulated by $Z f p 206$ through direct recruitment to their promoter regions. Correspondingly, Oct4 and Sox2 reciprocally regulate the expression of Zfp206 by directly binding to the Zfp206 promoter (100).

Zscan10.201 could potentially act as a Zfp206 binding partner sink, sequestering potential partners inhibiting their binding to the full length $Z f p 206$ and thus preventing gene activation and/or repression. Our hypothesis would suggest that this inhibition of Zfp206 function would permit the 3T3-L1 cells to enter a terminally differentiated state. This hypothesis has yet to be proven, but will be the focus of future studies.

In embryonic stem cells, Zfp206 gene expression is regulated by the transcription factors Oct4 and Sox2 (94). Conversely, our findings show that Oct4 and Sox2 are not expressed during the differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells, suggesting that another mode of regulation must be in effect. Future studies will define the promoter region of the Zfp206 gene and elucidate the regulatory elements that control expression of Zfp206 during differentiation.

The Zfp206 protein expression profile in the C/EBP $\beta$-/- MEFs differs from that of the 3T3-L1 cells, in that, Zfp206 is not down-regulated as seen in the mature adipocyte. Our C/EBP $\beta^{-/-}$MEF data is consistent with the hypothesis that Zfp206 plays a role in the differentiation program. The absence of $C / E B P \beta$ protein in these cells effectively
prevents activation of the differentiation program and thus the adipocyte phenotype is never established and Zfp206 is not down-regulated. Interestingly, there are several C/EBP $\beta$ and C/EBPa binding sites within the purported enhancer/repressor regions of the Zfp206 gene (unpublished data). This would be consistent with either direct or indirect C/EBP $\beta$ involvement in control of expression of both Zfp206 and Zscan10.201.

Our data indicate that human preadipocytes, isolated from subcutaneous fat depots of female donors, express Zfp206. We also demonstrate that human preadipocytes that were induced to differentiate to adipocytes show a down-regulation of Zfp206 protein. These findings show a similar pattern of expression as the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cell line. Further studies of Zfp206 expression and role in human preadipocyte tissue may lead to clinically significant findings that may be applied to obesity.

Attempts at suppressing Zfp206 mRNA by siRNA/shRNA illustrate the potential to decrease Zfp206 mRNA by eighty percent 48 hours after transfection. Further manipulation of this method is needed such that protein levels are affected and the role of Zfp206 in the differentiation program is clarified. Based on our hypothesis we predict that loss of Zfp206 will result in a spontaneous initiation of the differentiation program with a rapid onset of the adipocyte phenotype i.e. early expression of the C/EBPs and PPAR $\gamma$ as well as early deposition of lipid.

Figure 26 summarizes the protein expression pattern of Zfp206 in context with PPAR $\gamma$ and the C/EBP family of transcription factors during the differentiation process. As PPARy and C/EBPa protein levels rise and the cells enter into terminal
differentiation, Zfp206 protein diminishes. Further studies will be required to fully elucidate the mechanism(s) by which Zfp206 regulates transcription levels of its putative targets and by which it influences adipocyte differentiation. If we are to identify new diagnostic biomarkers and discover new treatment options for obesity, we need to understand the regulation of the differentiation process as well as the maintenance of the differentiated phenotype in this complex tissue.

## Chapter 7- DISCUSSION

Gene expression in eukaryotes is extensively controlled at the posttranscriptional level by RNA-binding proteins and ribonucleoprotein complexes modulating the maturation, stability, transport, editing and translation of RNA transcripts. Our studies describe an RNA binding protein, HuR, which interacts with AU-rich elements in the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region of mRNAs. Many of the genes which possess AU-rich elements regulate transient biological responses, including cell growth and differentiation, immune responses, signal transduction, hematopoiesis, apoptosis, and metabolism. Our studies focus on the involvement of HuR in the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cell and during induction of differentiation into a mature adipocyte.

With the realization that HuR shuttles between the nuclear and cytosolic compartments, our work has demonstrated that upon confluence the bulk of HuR protein is retained in the 3T3-L1 cell nucleus. However, on exposure to the differentiation inducers the distribution is altered with the cytosolic content doubling in the first 30 min . We have further demonstrated that suppression of HuR protein expression resulted in an attenuation of the differentiation program with one of the downstream effects being an inhibition of C/EBP $\beta$ protein expression.

As we have stated previously, we predict that HuR associates with numerous mRNA ligands during the early phase of the differentiation program, any of which may influence the process. Adipogenesis requires a sequence of events including growth arrest of proliferating preadipocytes, synchronous reentry into the cell cycle from $\mathrm{G}_{0}$
followed by limited mitotic clonal expansion, and growth arrest prior to terminal differentiation during which lipid accumulation occurs. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that factors involved in cell cycle regulation may have important roles in the adipocyte differentiation process. We have proposed that HuR may be influencing mitotic clonal expansion. Suppression of HuR lead to lower protein levels of cyclins A, E, and D1 and a lag in the expression pattern compared to the controls. The data are similar to those reported by Dormoy-Raclet et al. (15), a study in which depletion of HuR in HeLa cells led to attenuation of the movement through the cell cycle. In addition to the cyclins, HuR suppression led to decreased protein levels of both p53 and p21. These two factors are expressed at significant levels and have been demonstrated to be required for the differentiation process (40). The p53 mRNA has a HuR binding site in the $3^{\prime}$-UTR and the interaction is thought to control translational efficiency, thus, loss of HuR led to decreased p53. It appears likely that the decrease in p21 protein may be mediated by loss of p53 and thus decreased transactivation of the p21 gene. Taken together down-regulation of cyclins, p53 and p21 expression most certainly leads to a decreased ability of the cells to undergo an effective mitotic clonal expansion efficiently. These observations are consistent with HuR playing a significant role in the onset of adipogenesis.
$\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta$ is essential for the acquisition of the adipose phenotype and its expression occurs early in the differentiation process, for that reason, we had selected C/EBP $\beta$ as a potential target of HuR. We have verified that HuR binds to C/EBP $\beta$
mRNA 3'untranslated region forming an mRNP that translocates to the cytosol upon stimulation with differentiation inducers. Our original hypothesis suggested that HuR binding to the C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA was essential for the translocation of the message from the nucleus to the cytosol. However, our data proved quite the opposite as the message was demonstrated to translocate more effectively without the interaction with HuR. This led to increased cytosolic C/EBP $\beta$ mRNA and protein resulting in overexpression of the C/EBP $\beta$ downstream target, C/EBPa and an enhanced acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype. These observations are consistent with the formation of a HuR$C / E B P \beta$ mRNA complex controlling the rate at which $C / E B P \beta$ mRNA is available in the cytosol for protein synthesis. Taken together these data support a function of HuR in the post-transcriptional regulation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP} \beta \mathrm{mRNA}$ metabolism during early stages of adipogenesis.

Examination for potential mRNA ligands of HuR using an RNA Immunoprecipitation-Chip assay allowed the identification of a discrete subset of mRNAs associated with HuR. Notably, the population of mRNPs changed markedly during the initial 30 minutes of the adipocyte differentiation program. A unique subset of 193 mRNA targets found only at 0 time and a unique 45 mRNA targets at 30 min post induction of differentiation are genes that encode for transcription factors, as well as other proteins involved in transcriptional regulation, RNA binding proteins, proteins involved in apoptosis, and cell cycle proteins. Similarly, Tenenbaum et al. identified targets of HuB (HuR-related protein) during neuronal differentiation of P19 cells using RIP-ChIP technology (82). This revealed the existence of subsets of mRNAs that were
coordinately regulated at the post-transcriptional level. This functional approach to genomics has been termed "ribonomics" and designed to elucidate functional connections between groups of genes that are expressed concurrently during biological processes (82). The primary hypothesis of this model is that mRNAs are organized into groups sharing common functions and structural features. It is conceivable that a common RNA-binding protein could thus effectively regulate these linked mRNA subpopulations during cellular processes such as proliferation, differentiation, and the stress response. Keene et al. and Tenenbaum et al. $(44,82)$ recently proposed that mRNA-protein associations share equivalent characteristics to that of operons. The present investigation provides evidence that HuR coordinately regulates a subset of genes with critical functions maintaining preadipocyte potential and during early stages in adipogenesis, thereby lending support to the post-transcriptional operon model.

This analysis also revealed a novel HuR ligand mRNA at the 30min time point, Zfp206, a poly-zinc finger transcription factor whose expression has only been described in embryonic stem cells. Our data indicated that Zfp206 protein is transiently expressed during the differentiation program and its expression is down-regulated as the cells enter a terminal differentiated state. Also documented was a 32 kDa splice variant, Zscan10.201, which lacks the zinc finger domain and is transiently expressed when Zfp206 is down-regulated at day 3. These observations as well as the proposed regulatory role assigned to Zfp206 in ES cells have led us to hypothesize that expression of $Z f p 206$ is essential for maintenance of the adipogenic differentiation potential. Zfp206 may mediate maintenance directly or by control of specific genes that in turn control
differentiation potential. The suggestion of uncovering a regulatory gene for the maintenance of the preadipocyte state opens up the possibilities to novel therapeutics targeting obesity.

In this study, we provide evidence that expression of C/EBP $\beta$, Cyclins $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{D}$, p53, p21, and Zfp206 during adipocyte differentiation is subject to regulation by direct or indirect interaction with the RNA-binding protein HuR. Our findings support a role for HuR in coordinately regulating the expression of several genes that play pivotal roles in the maintenance or the establishment of the adipocyte phenotype. Previous studies have demonstrated a role for HuR in coordinating the expression of a set of genes involved in the same biological process. For example, HuR influenced the expression of both cyclin A and cyclin B1 during the cell division cycle by proportionally stabilizing their respective mRNAs during the proliferative phases (91). Likewise, cellular response to stresses such as exposure to UV light caused coordinate changes in the stability of several stress-response genes, like the p21 (92).

Based on the accumulated data we have confirmed that HuR plays an important role in the metabolism of several key mRNA ligands during the induction of differentiation of the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cell line. Moreover, we have described a novel mRNA ligand for HuR that may be responsible for the maintenance of the differentiation potential of the preadipocytes.

Among the metabolic disorders obesity is the most common and significantly increases the risk of the developing type II diabetes. The long-term objectives are to understand the molecular mechanisms that regulate the differentiation of preadipocytes
into fully functional adipocytes. Thus, this brings us one step closer to understanding the relationship between excess adipose tissue and the development of insulin resistance and diabetes. Our recent studies have contributed significantly to the elucidation of the network of control points in the regulation of adipogenesis; control points that could be potential drug targets. Further investigation into the role that HuR plays in regulating genes involved in adipogenesis may provide novel insights into the treatment and diagnosis of obesity and diabetes.


Figure 26 - Expression of adipogenic transcription factors during differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. The degree of expression is indicated by the intensity of the green zones on the horizontal band corresponding to each transcription factor. Transcription factors are arranged according to relationship with HuR. The differentiation protocol is as described. (adapted from Mandrup and Lane (53))
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## APPENDIX A

| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M200000084 | Cellular tumor antigen p53 (Tumor suppressor p53) | NM_011640 | ENSMUST00000005371 | 1.85347 | 0.78302 |
| M200000105 | Actin, cytoplasmic 1 (Beta-actin) | NM_007393 | ENSMUST00000031564 | 6.11008 | 3.33452 |
| M200000286 | CD81 antigen ( 26 kDa cell surface protein TAPA-1) | NM_133655 | ENSMUST00000037941 | 5.39336 | 3.02947 |
| M200000288 | Mago nashi protein homolog | NM_010760 | ENSMUST00000030348 | 0.51460 | 1.00363 |
| M200000316 | Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1 (p21-Rac1) (Ras-like protein TC25) | NM_009007 | ENSMUST00000080537 | 0.99258 | 0.94671 |
| M200000424 | Transcription factor jun-D | NM_010592 | ENSMUST00000061259 | 2.31902 | 0.89793 |
| M200000454 | Peripheral myelin protein 22 (PMP-22) (Growth-arrest-specific protein 3) (GAS3) | NM_008885 | ENSMUST00000018361 | 2.60984 | 1.45933 |
| M200000511 | MAD protein (MAX dimerizer) | NM_010751 | ENSMUST00000001184 | 0.57298 | 1.07116 |
| M200000621 | Glypican-4 precursor (K-glypican) | NM_008150 | ENSMUST00000033450 | 2.63434 | 1.51753 |
| M200000730 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 6 inhibitor (p18-INK6) (Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 inhibitor C) (p18-INK4c) | NM_007671 | ENSMUST00000030278 | 1.81080 | 1.28155 |
| M200000746 | Calreticulin precursor (CRP55) (Calregulin) (HACBP) (ERp60) |  | ENSMUST00000003912 | 1.25435 | 0.48503 |
| M200000883 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{S}) / \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{T})$ beta subunit 1 (Transducin beta chain 1) | NM_008142 | ENSMUST00000030940 | 3.61338 | 2.26719 |
| M200000923 | Protein disulfide isomerase A4 precursor (ERp72) | NM_009787 | ENSMUST00000061626 | 2.95351 | 1.89871 |
| M200001010 | WD-repeat protein 1 (Actin interacting protein 1) (AIP1) | NM_011715 | ENSMUST00000005234 | 1.29148 | 0.65939 |
| M200001014 | NA | NM_025880 | ENSMUST00000071296 | 0.64402 | 0.99504 |
| M200001021 | Platelet-derived growth factor, A chain precursor (PDGF A-chain) (PDGF-1) |  | ENSMUST00000076095 | 1.16643 | 1.10797 |
| M200001048 | Insulin-li ke growth factor IB precursor (IGF-IB) (Somatomedin) | NM_010512 | ENSMUST00000075330 | 1.09425 | 0.47959 |
| M200001058 | sialyltransferase 4C (beta-galactoside al pha-2,3-sialytransferase) | NM_009178 | ENSMUST00000034537 | 1.62235 | 1.62291 |
| M200001081 | Nucleolar transcription factor 1 (Upstream binding factor 1) (UBF-1) | NM_011551 | ENSMUST00000079589 | 1.01458 | 0.07037 |
| M200001129 | CD9 antigen | NM_007657 | ENSMUST00000032492 | 2.36504 | 1.50968 |
| M200001143 | Transmembrane 9 superfamily protein member 3 precursor | NM_133352 | ENSMUST00000025989 | 1.46884 | 0.97652 |
| M200001148 | ECT2 protein (Epithelial cell transforming sequence 2 oncogene) | NM_007900 | ENSMUST00000029248 | 5.69543 | -6.48798 |
| M200001169 | Pleiotrophin precursor (PTN) (Heparin-binding growth-associated molecule) (HB-GAM) | NM_008973 | ENSMUST00000031864 | 5.30097 | 2.43703 |
| M200001209 | G1/S-specific cyclin D2 | NM_009829 | ENSMUST00000000188 | 2.33036 | 1.10117 |
| M200001297 | 14-3-3 protein zeta/delta (Protein kinase C inhibitor protein-1) (KCIP-1) | NM_011740 | ENSMUST00000022894 | 5.25676 | 2.90007 |
| M200001395 | Voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein 1 (VDAC-1) (mVDAC1) (mVDAC5) | NM_011694 | ENSMUST00000020673 | 2.48076 | 1.53565 |
| M200001437 | Ran-specific GTPase-activating protein (Ran binding protein 1) (RANBP1) | NM_011239 | ENSMUST00000052325 | 1.47221 | 1.00919 |
| M200001461 | Syndecan-4 precursor (Ryudocan core protein) | NM_011521 | ENSMUST00000017153 | 5.03300 | 3.31248 |
| M200001508 | Caspase-2 precursor (EC 3.4.22.-) (CASP-2) (ICH-1 protease) (NEDD2 protein) | NM_007610 | ENSMUST00000031895 | 1.13717 | 1.02746 |
| M200001516 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (elF4E) (elF-4E) (mRNA cap-binding protein) | NM_007917 | ENSMUST00000029803 | 0.60339 | 1.03532 |
| M200001637 | Ran-binding protein 10 | NM_145824 | ENSMUST00000041400 | 1.26937 | 0.64671 |
| M200001645 | protein tyrosine phos phatase, receptor type, S . | NM_011218 | ENSMUST00000025037 | 2.53475 | 0.98017 |
| M200001805 | Sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase beta-1 chain | NM_009721 | ENSMUST00000027863 | 2.86157 | 1.72316 |
| M200001816 | Serine/threonine protein phosphatase PP1-beta catalytic subunit (EC 3.1.3.16) (PP-1B) | NM_172707 | ENSMUST00000015100 | 3.01084 | 2.14775 |
| M200002024 | Protein CBFA2T1 (MTG8 protein) | NM_009822 | ENSMUST00000076549 | 1.50740 | 1.22025 |
| M200002099 | Polyomavirus enhancer activator 3 (PEA3 protein) (ETS translocation variant 4) | NM_008815 | ENSMUST00000017868 | 0.74824 | 1.19292 |
| M200002183 | amyloid beta (A4) precursor protein-binding, family B, member 2 | NM_009686 | ENSMUST00000068206 | 1.31327 | 0.85689 |
| M200002348 | protein tyrosine phos phatase 4a2 | NM_008974 | ENSMUST00000030578 | 1.01766 | 0.47170 |
| M200002355 | Probable ribosome biogenesis protein NEP1 (C2f protein) | NM_013536 | ENSMUST00000004379 | 1.74695 | 1.35825 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript <br> ID | Enrichment <br> Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M200002423 | Ubiquitin ligase SIAH1A (ECC 6.3.2.-) (Seven in absentia homolog 1a) | NM_009172 | ENSMUST00000045296 | 1.19421 | 1.58314 |
| M200002499 | Long-chain fatty acid transport protein precursor (FATP) | NM_011977 | ENSMUST00000034267 | 2.59422 | 1.17772 |
| M200002531 | Ubiquitin-like protein SMT3C precursor (Ubiquitin-homology domain protein PIC1) | NM_009460 | ENSMUST00000027175 | 1.29623 | 1.10279 |
| M200002589 | Polyadenylate-binding protein 2 (Poly(A)-binding protein 2) (PolyA binding protein II) (PABII) | NM_019402 | ENSMUST00000022808 | 3.67513 | 2.72940 |
| M200002663 | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27) (p27Kip1) | NM_009875 | ENSMUST00000067327 | 1.05793 | 0.57613 |
| M200002684 | imprinted and ancient. | NM_008378 | ENSMUST00000025290 | 1.75707 | 1.30303 |
| M200002764 | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein L(hnRNP L) | NM_177301 | ENSMUST00000038572 | 4.63561 | 2.74070 |
| M200002789 | Nuclear factor 1 X -type (Nuclear factor 1/X)(CCAAT-box binding transcription factor) | NM_010906 | ENSMUST00000077717 | 2.15122 | 0.81486 |
| M200002879 | Transcriptional regulator ATRX (X-linked nuclear protein) (Heterochromatin protein 2) | NM_009530 | ENSMUST00000033580 | 1.03404 | -0.15934 |
| M200003203 | Forkhead box protein C1 (Forkhead-related protein FKHL7) (Forkhead-related transcription factor 3) | NM_008592 | ENSMUST00000062292 | 0.53221 | 1.00671 |
| M200003218 | Ceramide glucosyltransferase (EC 2.4.1.80) (Glucosylceramide synthase) | NM_011673 | ENSMUST00000030074 | 2.96637 | 1.30622 |
| M200003364 | Mothers against deca pentaplegic homolog 1 (SMAD 1) | NM_008539 | ENSMUST00000066091 | 1.89192 | 1.60878 |
| M200003449 | BTG1 protein (B-cell translocation gene 1 protein) | NM_007569 | ENSMUST00000038377 | 2.59826 | 1.87713 |
| M200003641 | Butyrate response factor 1 (TIS11B protein) | NM_007564 | ENSMUST00000021552 | 3.19448 | 1.73667 |
| M200003732 | cAMP-dependent protein kinase, al pha-catalytic subunit (EC 2.7.1.37) (PKA C-alpha) | NM_008854 | ENSMUST00000005606 | 2.19728 | 1.10439 |
| M200003737 | fatty acid elongase 1; homolog of yeast long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid el ongation enzyme | NM_134255 | ENSMUST00000034904 | 1.24576 | 1.12824 |
| M200003853 | Glutathione reductase, mitochondrial precursor (EC 1.8.1.7) (GR) (GRase) | NM_010344 | ENSMUST00000033992 | 1.70782 | 0.90444 |
| M200003903 | Testis-specific Y-encoded-like protein 1 (TSPY-like 1) | NM_009433 | ENSMUST00000061372 | 1.37632 | 0.76309 |
| M200004061 | LPS-induced TN factor; TBX1 protein; LPS-induced TNF-alpha factor | NM_019980 | ENSMUST00000023143 | 2.02835 | 1.38606 |
| M200004252 | p66 alpha homolog | NM_145596 | ENSMUST00000065169 | 3.04677 | 1.61794 |
| M200004300 | Yippee homolog (CGI-127) | NM_027166 | ENSMUST00000045174 | 2.15594 | 1.94080 |
| M200004308 | calponin 3, acidic | NM_028044 | ENSMUST00000029773 | 1.31418 | 0.52944 |
| M200004387 | golgi phosphoprotein 3 | NM_025673 | ENSMUST00000059680 | 1.44266 | 1.04267 |
| M200004431 | NA | NM_172396 | ENSMUST00000058733 | 1.75439 | 1.14288 |
| M200004448 | Collagen alpha 1(I) chain precursor. | NM_007742 | ENSMUST00000001547 | 1.17843 | -0.23394 |
| M200004463 | Ras-related protein Rab-18. | NM_011225 | ENSMUST00000025128 | 1.02783 | 1.08330 |
| M200004500 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000019276 | 1.62466 | 1.08120 |
| M200004585 | Mitochondrial import receptor subunit TOM34 (Translocase of outer membrane 34 kDa subunit) | NM_025996 | ENSMUST00000018466 | 2.12407 | 1.59499 |
| M200004686 | DNA polymerase delta interacting protein 3; polymerase delta interacting protein 46 | NM_178627 | ENSMUST00000058793 | 0.98036 | 0.72076 |
| M200005043 | KDEL endoplas mic reticulum protein retention receptor 1 | NM_133950 | ENSMUST00000002855 | 2.01009 | 1.29203 |
| M200005078 | NA | NM_025377 | ENSMUST00000020794 | 2.10721 | 1.56484 |
| M200005082 | NA | NM_145466 | ENSMUST00000038075 | 1.10340 | 0.50764 |
| M200005113 | protein phosphatase 6, catal ytic subunit | NM_024209 | ENSMUST00000028087 | 1.61846 | 1.40487 |
| M200005125 | NA | NM_203507 | ENSMUST00000033973 | 0.89309 | 1.12779 |
| M200005292 | Homeobox protein Hox-D9 (Hox-4.4) (Hox-5.2) | NM_013555 | ENSMUST00000059272 | 0.92633 | 0.99789 |
| M200005650 | Protein FAM3C precursor | NM_138587 | ENSMUST00000081288 | 3.57721 | 2.58613 |
| M200005696 | coiled-coil transcriptional coactivator; GRIP1-interacting protein | NM_026192 | ENSMUST00000023818 | 1.09767 | 0.34526 |
| M200005761 | FK506-binding protein 1A (EC 5.2.1.8) (Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase) | NM_008019 | ENSMUST00000044011 | 3.54130 | 2.10659 |
| M200005924 | Caveolin-1 | NM_007616 | ENSMUST00000007799 | 1.10380 | 0.38624 |


| Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM_031251 | ENSMUST00000006103 | 0.79519 | 1.22259 |
| NM_028173 | ENSMUST00000027068 | 4.42153 | 3.33194 |
| NM_025975 | ENSMUST00000033519 | 1.69389 | 0.95324 |
| NM_021515 | ENSMUST00000068271 | 2.60385 | 1.57619 |
| NM_018871 | ENSMUST00000055808 | 1.73624 | 1.11285 |
| NM_025647 | ENSMUST00000030491 | 0.64659 | 1.21745 |
| NM_007452 | ENSMUST00000025961 | 1.02439 | 1.02727 |
| NM_134054 | ENSMUST00000056228 | 0.67568 | 1.02304 |
| NM_008640 | ENSMUST00000020909 | 1.77753 | 0.90963 |
| NM_146243 | ENSMUST00000000137 | 1.66543 | 1.29132 |
| NM_009229 | ENSMUST00000047425 | 1.04075 | 0.16658 |
| NM_026000 | ENSMUST00000060664 | 1.00177 | 0.56220 |
| NM_019571 | ENSMUST00000029800 | 3.54505 | 2.20534 |
| NM_178744 | ENSMUST00000042779 | 0.59735 | 1.20748 |
| NM_026170 | ENSMUST00000001619 | 0.85259 | 1.18324 |
| NM_139198 | ENSMUST00000031264 | 2.82415 | 1.95319 |
|  | ENSMUST00000023913 | 1.15676 | 1.44870 |
| NM_019767 | ENSMUST00000031625 | 1.22520 | 0.84250 |
| NM_025982 | ENSMUST00000081003 | 1.86918 | 1.52289 |
| NM_008997 | ENSMUST00000048874 | 3.70217 | 2.14597 |
| NM_011933 | ENSMUST00000040907 | 2.16670 | 1.37865 |
| NM_026166 | ENSMUST00000020149 | 0.94411 | 1.38519 |
| NM_212445 | ENSMUST00000037853 | 1.25328 | 1.12910 |
| NM_026178 | ENSMUST00000004050 | 2.08691 | 1.31765 |
| NM_153529 | ENSMUST00000037623 | 1.92544 | 1.76140 |
| NM_146236 | ENSMUST00000055104 | 0.67952 | 1.06102 |
| NM_020275 | ENSMUST00000022663 | 0.80912 | 1.15014 |
| NM_019979 | ENSMUST00000044239 | 1.66939 | 1.53170 |
| NM_011263 | ENSMUST00000080359 | 1.87849 | 0.22943 |
| NM_010485 | ENSMUST00000042830 | 3.29415 | 2.22951 |
| NM_144536 | ENSMUST00000006353 | 1.90459 | 1.66100 |
| NM_020007 | ENSMUST00000029327 | 1.15840 | 0.87889 |
| NM_026552 | ENSMUST00000032412 | 1.67634 | 0.62123 |
| NM_020508 | ENSMUST00000003726 | 1.13593 | 0.48596 |
| NM_026155 | ENSMUST00000029414 | 2.19560 | 1.37449 |
| NM_030680 | ENSMUST00000075666 | 2.50716 | 1.27626 |
| NM_026270 | ENSMUST00000054343 | 1.19831 | 0.67016 |
| NM_007597 | ENSMUST00000020637 | 2.77339 | 1.37170 |
| NM_007841 | ENSMUST00000034608 | 1.40422 | 0.73153 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M200011599 | NA | NM_153807 | ENSMUST00000040228 | 1.56449 | 1.36684 |
| M200011784 | ADP-ribosylation factor-like 10B | NM_026823 | ENSMUST00000027684 | 1.84366 | 1.58007 |
| M200011942 | Hsc70-interacting protein (Hip) (Putative tumor suppressor ST13) | NM_133726 | ENSMUST00000023039 | 1.08866 | 0.23830 |
| M200011966 | limb-bud and heart | NM_029999 | ENSMUST00000024857 | 2.99638 | 1.74659 |
| M200012037 | KDEL (Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu) endoplas mic reticulum protein retention receptor 2 | NM_025841 | ENSMUST00000001908 | 5.43574 | 3.34827 |
| M200012044 | Early growth response protein 1 (EGR-1) (Krox-24 protein) (ZIF268) | NM_007913 | ENSMUST00000064795 | 1.00791 | 0.62568 |
| M200012509 | Serine/threonine protein phos phatase 4 catalytic subunit (EC 3.1.3.16) (PP4C) (Pp4) | NM_019674 | ENSMUST00000032936 | 1.09076 | 0.38901 |
| M200012559 | Nuclear transport factor 2 (NTF-2) | NM_026532 | ENSMUST00000008594 | 1.23218 | 1.29990 |
| M200012643 | Signal peptide peptidase-like 3 (EC 3.4.99.) (SPP-like 3 protein) | XM_485673 | ENSMUST00000031530 | 1.44959 | 0.53476 |
| M200012683 | acetyl-Coenzyme A a cetyltransferase 2; t-complex protein 1, related sequence 1 | NM_009338 | ENSMUST00000007005 | 1.77621 | 1.05978 |
| M200012686 | ADP-ribosylation factor 6. | NM_007481 | ENSMUST00000050063 | 3.14799 | 1.67892 |
| M200012692 | myosin regulatory light chain-like. | NM_023402 | ENSMUST00000038446 | 1.21902 | 0.55468 |
| M200012720 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A (elF-5A) (elf-4D) (Rev-binding factor) | NM_181582 | ENSMUST00000043419 | 1.11439 | 0.54985 |
| M200013007 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000025675 | 0.97236 | 1.19035 |
| M200013024 | Ubiquitin thiolesterase protein OTUB1 (EC 3.4.-.-) (Otubain 1) | NM_134150 | ENSMUST00000025679 | 1.77983 | 1.08452 |
| M200013059 | Adiponectin receptor protein 1 | NM_028320 | ENSMUST00000027727 | 1.24983 | 0.40086 |
| M200013439 | EIB-55kDa associated protein 5. | NM_144922 | ENSMUST00000043765 | 1.26491 | 0.69241 |
| M200013650 | NA | NM_028058 | ENSMUST00000026016 | 1.23020 | 0.71776 |
| M200013714 | NA | NM_029868 | ENSMUST00000030460 | 1.07801 | 1.20993 |
| M200013777 | NA | NM_026417 | ENSMUST00000024874 | 0.91312 | 1.34793 |
| M200013802 | MLN64 N-terminal domain homolog (STARD3 N -terminal like protein) | NM_024270 | ENSMUST00000039694 | 1.43577 | 0.95019 |
| M200013883 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (ULIP 2 protein) | NM_009955 | ENSMUST00000022629 | 3.08982 | 1.47366 |
| M200013917 | Spindlin (30000 Mr metaphase complex) (SSEC P) | NM_011462 | ENSMUST00000057478 | 1.56711 | 1.73481 |
| M200013976 | 14-3-3 protein epsilon (14-3-3E) | NM_009536 | ENSMUST00000067664 | 3.42037 | 1.66914 |
| M200014007 | Casein kinase I, al pha isoform (EC 2.7.1.-) (CKI-al pha) (CK1) | NM_146087 | ENSMUST00000025469 | 1.30579 | 0.75851 |
| M200014111 | Sestrin 3 | NM_030261 | ENSMUST00000034507 | 1.55570 | 0.76784 |
| M200014215 | Raver1 | NM_027911 | ENSMUST00000010349 | 1.19478 | 0.70562 |
| M200014231 | suppressor of Ty 16 homolog; suppressor of Ty 16 homolog (S.cerevisiae). | NM_033618 | ENSMUST00000046709 | 1.05617 | 0.53670 |
| M200014251 | ring finger protein 38. | NM_175201 | ENSMUST00000045793 | 1.31218 | 0.96457 |
| M200014541 | NA | NM_029649 | ENSMUST00000021296 | 1.68532 | 1.62545 |
| M200014558 | Transcription factor SOX-9. |  | ENSMUST00000000579 | 1.97465 | 1.24564 |
| M200014565 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000006851 | 1.13284 | 0.63224 |
| M200014632 | BCL2-like 12 (proline rich). | NM_029410 | ENSMUST00000003290 | 1.13060 | 1.23454 |
| M200014840 | transcription factor AP-4 (activating enhancer-binding protein 4) | NM_031182 | ENSMUST00000005862 | 1.28675 | 1.62669 |
| M200015000 | kelch repeat and BTB (POZ) domain containing 2; cDNA sequence BC022962 | NM_145958 | ENSMUST00000081115 | 1.49188 | 0.77859 |
| M200015033 | Ras-related protein Rab-14 | NM_026697 | ENSMUST00000028238 | 1.13055 | 0.49620 |
| M200015243 | repuls ive guidance molecule B. | NM_178615 | ENSMUST00000057264 | 2.99877 | 1.87965 |
| M200015255 | potassium channel, subfamily K , member 5 | NM_021542 | ENSMUST00000024011 | 1.06787 | 0.14858 |
| M200015349 | Rho-related BTB domain-containing protein 3. | NM_028493 | ENSMUST00000022078 | 2.17893 | 0.65474 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M200015439 | Beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase 5 (EC 2.4.1.-) (Beta-1,4-GalTase5) (Beta4Gal-T5) (b4Gal-T5) | NM_019835 | ENSMUST00000018073 | 1.08858 | 0.78092 |
| M200015503 | dynactin 4 | NM_026302 | ENSMUST00000025505 | 1.16674 | 0.55562 |
| M200015872 | Similar to follicular lymphoma variant translocation 1 | XM_203753 | ENSMUST00000010049 | 1.29748 | 1.25717 |
| M200015881 | NA | NM_183186 | ENSMUST00000046859 | 1.78996 | 0.81287 |
| M200016063 | Sprouty homolog 2 (Spry-2) | NM_011897 | ENSMUST00000022709 | 2.26872 | 1.24843 |
| M200016377 | ADP-ribosylation factor-like 10 C | NM_026011 | ENSMUST00000032196 | 2.64310 | 1.93540 |
| M300000003 | Insulin-like growth factor II precursor (Multiplication stimulating polypeptide) (IGF-II) |  | ENSMUST00000053222 | 1.30419 | 1.12020 |
| M300000180 | Chromatin assembly factor 1 subunit C (CAF-1 subunit C) (Chromatin assembly factor I ${ }^{\text {P }} 88$ subunit) | NM_009030 | ENSMUST00000001366 | 1.30841 | 1.11139 |
| M300000203 | Tubulin beta-5 chain | NM_011655 | ENSMUST00000001566 | 1.26189 | 0.44891 |
| M300000225 | ubiquitin-like 3 | NM_011908 | ENSMUST00000080815 | 1.67901 | 1.18529 |
| M300000226 | ubiquitin-like 3 | NM_011908 | ENSMUST00000080815 | 1.60212 | 1.08828 |
| M300000295 | Ras-related protein Rab-11B | NM_008997 | ENSMUST00000002361 | 4.16867 | 2.04127 |
| M300000373 | MLN64 N-terminal domain homolog (STARD3 N -terminal like protein) |  | ENSMUST00000039694 | 0.93882 | 1.07882 |
| M300000378 | Platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase IB beta subunit |  | ENSMUST00000003215 | 1.89841 | 1.48536 |
| M300000501 | polymerase I and transcript release factor |  | ENSMUST00000044938 | 1.17853 | 0.66277 |
| M300000556 | Ras-related protein Ral-B. | NM_022327 | ENSMUST00000004565 | 1.54248 | 1.15542 |
| M300000642 | Krueppel-like factor 5 (Intestinal-enriched krueppel-like factor) (Transcription factor BTEB2) | NM_009769 | ENSMUST00000005279 | 0.78850 | 1.24999 |
| M300000714 | breast carcinoma amplified sequence 2 | NM_026602 | ENSMUST00000005830 | 0.85147 | 1.14987 |
| M300000803 | Prefoldin subunit 2 | NM_011070 | ENSMUST00000006579 | 2.27395 | 2.02291 |
| M300000851 | sarcoma amplified sequence | NM_025982 | ENSMUST00000060991 | 2.69308 | 2.01020 |
| M300000858 | Superoxide dismutase [Mn], mitochondrial precursor (EC 1.15.1.1) | NM_013671 | ENSMUST00000007012 | 1.27851 | 0.28335 |
| M300000896 | ocular development associated gene | NM_026033 | ENSMUST00000007559 | 1.08879 | 0.78462 |
| M300000921 | Transforming protein RhoA | NM_016802 | ENSMUST00000007959 | 2.27692 | 1.30584 |
| M300000928 | NA | XM_354754 | ENSMUST00000007980 | 2.78740 | 0.99664 |
| M300001011 | RNA-binding protein EWS |  | ENSMUST00000079949 | 2.28185 | 1.41150 |
| M300001525 | Lysosome-associated membrane glycoprotein 2 precursor (LAMP-2) | NM_010685 | ENSMUST00000061755 | 4.30285 | 1.61496 |
| M300001526 | Lysosome-associated membrane glycoprotein 2 precursor (LAMP-2) | NM_010685 | ENSMUST00000061755 | 1.04727 | 1.47203 |
| M300001584 | proteasome 265 non-ATPase subunit 11 | NM_178616 | ENSMUST00000017572 | 3.05949 | 2.10305 |
| M300001652 | Profilin 1 | NM_011072 | ENSMUST00000018437 | 4.85536 | 2.87998 |
| M300001678 | carcinoma related gene | NM_033562 | ENSMUST00000018586 | 1.57608 | 1.67583 |
| M300001683 | NA | XM_126426 | ENSMUST00000018620 | 1.53518 | 0.87889 |
| M300001785 | NA | NM_007590 | ENSMUST00000019514 | 1.62545 | 0.86132 |
| M300001921 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase Sgk1 (EC 2.7.1.37) (Serum/glucocorticoid-regulated kinase 1) | NM_011361 | ENSMUST00000020145 | 0.83728 | 1.24295 |
| M300002017 | Ras-related protein Rab-21 (Rab-12) | NM_024454 | ENSMUST00000020343 | 1.01616 | 0.82368 |
| M300002101 | Ubiquitin-like protein SMT3A |  | ENSMUST00000020501 | 0.99399 | 0.96668 |
| M300002370 | Ubiquitin-like protein SMT3B (Sentrin 2) | NM_133354 | ENSMUST00000021084 | 1.60656 | 1.24983 |
| M300002374 | Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2 adapter protein) (SH2/SH3 adapter GRB2) | NM_008163 | ENSMUST00000077165,E | 1.07121 | 0.81438 |
| M300002407 | NA | XM_126676 | ENSMUST00000047715,E | 1.20706 | 1.19374 |
| M300002473 | NA | NM_172947 | ENSMUST00000021297 | 4.33244 | 2.92994 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M300002564 | Poly(A) polymerase alpha (EC 2.7.7.19) (PAP) | NM_011112 | ENSMUST00000021535 | 1.25524 | 0.80458 |
| M300002647 | UPF0143 protein C14orf1 homolog | NM_021446 | ENSMUST00000021676 | 1.49818 | 1.28742 |
| M300002718 | Transcription factor AP-2 alpha (AP2-alpha) (Activating enhancer-binding protein 2 alpha) |  | ENSMUST00000077859 | 3.57895 | 2.28959 |
| M300002876 | basic transcription factor 3 | NM_145455 | ENSMUST00000022163 | 1.67779 | 1.12247 |
| M300003298 | Twinfilin 1 (A6 protein) (Protein tyrosine kinase 9) | NM_008971 | ENSMUST00000023087 | 2.26892 | 0.80322 |
| M300003424 | NA | XM_156257 | ENSMUST00000023313 | 1.03682 | 0.67114 |
| M300003426 | CD47 antigen; integrin-associated protein; Rh-related antigen | NM_010581 | ENSMUST00000023320 | 2.89137 | 1.54277 |
| M300003481 | NA | NM_171826 | ENSMUSTOOOO0023426 | 2.34056 | 1.82682 |
| M300003525 | Follistatin-related protein 1 precursor (Follistatin-like 1) (TGF- beta-inducible protein TSC-36) | NM_008047 | ENSMUST00000023511 | 2.20723 | 0.93002 |
| M300003535 | RAB, member of RAS oncogene family-like 3 | NM_026297 | ENSMUST00000023524 | 0.87820 | 1.66709 |
| M300003601 | ATP synthase oligomycin sensitivity conferral protein, mitochondrial precursor (EC 3.6.3.14) (OSCP) | NM_138597 | ENSMUST00000023677 | 2.85222 | 0.10516 |
| М300003763 | Cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor precursor (CI Man-6-P receptor) (CI-MPR) | NM_010515 | ENSMUST00000024599 | 1.34408 | 0.17799 |
| M300003876 | Band 4.1-like protein 3 (4.1B) (Differentially expressed in adenocarcinoma of the lung protein 1) | NM_013813 | ENSMUST00000077529 | 1.70036 | 0.95864 |
| M300004057 | Tapasin precursor (TPSN) (TPN) (TAP-binding protein) |  | ENSMUST00000025161 | 2.21485 | 1.38785 |
| M300004178 | NA | NM_028392 | ENSMUST00000025377 | -0.04203 | 2.07541 |
| M300004216 | NA | NM_172832 | ENSMUST00000025472 | 2.02551 | 1.76591 |
| M300004390 | Splicing factor 1 (Zinc finger protein 162) (Transcription factor ZFM1) (mZFM) |  | ENSMUST00000076351 | 1.23418 | 0.74358 |
| M300004402 | programmed cell death 4 | NM_011050 | ENSMUST00000074371 | 3.26490 | 2.22664 |
| M300004412 | Transcription factor 7-like 2 (HMG box transcription factor 4) (T- cell-specific transcription factor 4) |  | ENSMUST00000075651 | 1.27551 | 0.86085 |
| M300004437 | Tripartite motif protein 8 (RING finger protein 27) (Glioblastoma- expressed RING finger protein) | NM_053100 | ENSMUST00000026008 | 1.44256 | 0.58771 |
| M300004801 | Protein disulfide isomerase A4 precursor (EC 5.3.4.1) (Protein ERp-72) (ERp72). |  | ENSMUST00000077290 | 3.95420 | 2.05315 |
| M300004807 | Platelet-derived growth factor, A chain precursor (PDGF A-chain) |  | ENSMUST00000046901 | 0.93841 | 1.19876 |
| M300004854 | 6130401J04Rik protein (EC 6.3.2.19) (Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2) |  | ENSMUST00000027075 | 1.79009 | 1.83329 |
| М300004860 | NA | NM_025964 | ENSMUST00000053469 | 0.67136 | 1.11708 |
| M300004917 | non-catalytic region of tyrosine kinase adaptor protein 2 | NM_010879 | ENSMUST00000069643 | 1.27612 | 1.09694 |
| M300005104 | nuclear, casein kinase and cyclin-dependent kinase substrate | NM_175294 | ENSMUSTO0000062264 | 2.52881 | 1.50562 |
| M300005193 | Sterol 0-acyltransferase 1 (EC 2.3.1.26) (Cholesterol acyltransferase 1) | NM_009230 | ENSMUST00000051396 | 1.31556 | 0.92834 |
| M300005240 | Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 3 (EC 2.5.1.18) (Microsomal GST-3) | NM_025569 | ENSMUST00000028005 | 1.14460 | 0.72073 |
| M300005817 | NA | NM_144901 | ENSMUST00000029446 | 1.82905 | 0.99332 |
| M300005827 | Vesicle trafficking protein SEC22b (SEC22 vesicle trafficking protein- like 1) | NM_011342 | ENSMUST00000029476 | 2.87430 | 1.38893 |
| M300005877 | calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II, delta; CaMK II | NM_023813 | ENSMUST00000066452 | 1.98476 | 1.29017 |
| M300005888 | secreted frizzled-related sequence protein 2; secreted frizzled-related sequence protein 5 |  | ENSMUST00000029625 | 3.08973 | 1.39130 |
| M300006081 | Beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase 1 (EC 2.4.1.-) (Beta-1,4-GalTase 1) (Beta 4Gal-T1) | NM_022305 | ENSMUST00000030121 | 1.91071 | 1.22066 |
| M300006113 | Golgi-associated plant pathogenesis-related protein 1 (Golgi-associated PR-1 protein) | NM_027450 | ENSMUST00000030202 | 3.13477 | 2.42911 |
| M300006171 | Glycoprotein 38 precursor (GP38) (OTS-8) | NM_010329 | ENSMUST00000030317 | 1.94118 | 1.12879 |
| M300006194 | Protein C1orf8 homolog precursor (Thymic dendritic cell-derived factor 1) | NM_029565 | ENSMUST00000030361 | 1.52917 | 1.02821 |
| M300006266 | Dolichyl-diphosphooligosaccharide--protein glycosyltransferase 48 kDa subunit precursor | NM_007838 | ENSMUST00000030538 | 1.49635 | 0.63649 |
| M300006729 | splicing factor, arginine/serine rich 9; splicing factor, arginine/serine rich 9 ( 25 kDa ) | NM_025573 | ENSMUST00000031513 | 5.15329 | 2.81727 |
| M300007194 | Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) |  | ENSMUST00000032561 | 2.09810 | 1.05345 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M300007474 | NA | NM_138589 | ENSMUST00000033158 | 1.40282 | 0.97622 |
| M300007712 | Glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper protein | NM_010286 | ENSMUST00000055738 | 3.71406 | 2.53035 |
| M300007781 | dCMP deaminase. | NM_178788 | ENSMUST00000033966 | 1.10868 | 0.98253 |
| M300007813 | Acid ceramidase precursor (EC 3.5.1.23) (Acylsphingosine deacylase) |  | ENSMUST00000034000 | 1.57024 | 0.98029 |
| M300008017 | Amyloid-like protein 2 precursor (CDEI-box binding protein) (CDEBP) | NM_009691 | ENSMUST00000072634 | 1.11761 | 0.56547 |
| M300008081 | Oligosaccharyl transferase STT3 subunit homolog (B5) (Integral membrane protein 1) | NM_008408 | ENSMUST00000034627 | 1.25350 | 0.72398 |
| M300008124 | ring finger 111 | NM_033604 | ENSMUST00000034739 | 1.04013 | 0.79346 |
| M300008358 | folliculin. | NM_146018 | ENSMUST00000047706 | 1.27119 | 0.41287 |
| M300008360 | NA | XM_194358 | ENSMUST00000036372 | 1.06123 | 0.59864 |
| M300008361 | Skeletal muscle LIM-protein 2 (SLIM 2) (Four and a half LIM domains protein 3) (FHL-3) | NM_010213 | ENSMUST00000038684 | 2.95157 | 1.41267 |
| M300008433 | Casein kinaselI, alpha chain (CK II) (EC 2.7.1.37). | NM_007788 | ENSMUST00000041081 | 1.95315 | 0.95827 |
| M300008745 | Beta-2-microglobulin precursor. | NM_009735 | ENSMUST00000080804 | 1.72294 | 0.81709 |
| M300008835 | RNA binding motif protein 9; fox-1 homolog (C. elegans); Fyn-binding molecule 2. | NM_053104 | ENSMUST00000063150 | 2.69416 | 1.33635 |
| M300008868 | butyrate-induced transcript 1. | NM_021345 | ENSMUST00000036615 | 1.58987 | 1.54250 |
| M300008920 | NA | NM_198625 | ENSMUST00000052457 | 1.28763 | 0.36366 |
| M300009180 | NA | NM_177093 | ENSMUST00000078717 | 1.12398 | -0.10295 |
| M300009824 | trophoblast glycoprotein | NM_011627 | ENSMUST00000006559 | 2.84270 | 1.93433 |
| M300010003 | leukocyte receptor cluster (LRC) member 5 | NM_024168 | ENSMUST00000067069 | 2.80941 | 1.51714 |
| M300010087 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000045628 | 2.28099 | 1.20981 |
| M300010092 | Lactoylglutathione Iyase (EC 4.4.1.5) (Methylglyoxalase) (Aldoketomutase) (Glyoxalase I) | NM_025374 | ENSMUST00000044467 | 1.02050 | 0.82976 |
| M300010267 | Periphilin 1. |  | ENSMUST00000049122 | 3.49408 | 1.83733 |
| M300010273 | Histone H1.2 (H1 VAR.1) (H1C) | NM_015786 | ENSMUST00000040914 | 1.46080 | 0.90010 |
| M300010327 | S-phase kinase-associated protein 1A; transcription elongation factor B (SIII) | NM_011543 | ENSMUST00000037324 | 3.48187 | 1.74680 |
| M300010609 | Ras-related protein Rap-2b | NM_028712 | ENSMUST00000049064 | 1.19554 | 0.86831 |
| M300011013 | spermatogenesis associated, serine-rich 2; serine-rich spermatocytes and round spermatid protein |  | ENSMUST00000063517 | 1.56217 | 0.54485 |
| M300011266 | Retinoic acid receptor alpha (RAR-alpha) |  | ENSMUST00000001334 | 2.49947 | 1.11847 |
| M300011580 | Reelin precursor (EC 3.4.21.-) (Reeler protein) | NM_011261 | ENSMUST00000062372 | 4.29682 | 0.04916 |
| M300011699 | Lysophosphatidic acid receptor Edg-2 (LPA receptor 1) (LPA-1) (Rec1.3) (VZG-1) | NM_010336 | ENSMUST00000055018 | 1.16851 | 1.07201 |
| M300011779 | NA | NM_145943 | ENSMUST00000038091 | 1.13419 | 0.84107 |
| M300011994 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 H (EC 6.3.2.19) (Ubiquitin-protein ligase H) | NM_009459 | ENSMUST00000036826 | 1.29234 | 0.70391 |
| M300012013 | Recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (J kappa- recombination signal binding protein) |  | ENSMUST00000037618 | 1.21225 | 0.65301 |
| M300012230 | NCAM | NM_010875 | ENSMUST00000053131 | 1.32101 | 0.82278 |
| M300012256 | RNA binding motif, single stranded interacting protein 3; RNA-binding protein RBMS3 | NM_178660 | ENSMUST00000074547 | 2.38127 | 1.34377 |
| M300012485 | Large neutral amino acids transporter small subunit 1 (L-type amino acid transporter 1) | NM_011404 | ENSMUST00000045557 | 1.39062 | 0.40500 |
| M300012510 | Telomerase-binding protein p23 (Hsp90 co-chaperone) (Progesterone receptor complex p23) | NM_019766 | ENSMUST00000052798 | 4.13185 | 2.19908 |
| M300012949 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000038893 | 1.52782 | 0.35705 |
| M300013540 | NA | NM_172510 | ENSMUST00000046658 | 0.22794 | 1.51382 |
| M300013796 | SHC transforming protein 1 (SH2 domain protein C 1 ) | NM_011368 | ENSMUST00000039110 | 1.96823 | 0.71048 |
| M300013817 | NA | NM_172943 | ENSMUST00000044250 | 2.45291 | 0.55787 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M300013961 | NA | NM_028627 | ENSMUST00000041391 | 1.09814 | 0.66576 |
| M300016167 | NA | NM_007705 | ENSMUST00000054666 | 2.95238 | 2.02400 |
| M300016892 | NA | XM_131400 | ENSMUST00000062977 | 1.03074 | 0.15604 |
| M300019000 | NA | NM_172871 | ENSMUST00000079544 | 1.35469 | 0.44364 |
| M300019706 | NA | NM_198016 | ENSMUST00000061490 | 1.39671 | 0.94097 |
| M300020021 | protein tyrosine phosphatase 4a1 | NM_011200 | ENSMUST00000027232 | 4.41993 | 2.76417 |
| M300020190 | Similar to cytochrome P450 monooxygenase | XM_129747 | ENSMUST00000060608 | 1.61470 | 1.12192 |
| M300020249 | NA | XM_148582 | ENSMUST00000081933 | 1.32731 | 0.98032 |
| M300021114 | NA | NM_026242 | ENSMUST00000068795 | 3.87455 | 2.14024 |
| M300022123 | Brain MY039 protein homolog (Fragment) | XM_284454 | ENSMUST00000054960 | 4.32410 | 1.81402 |
| M300022233 | NA | NM_029519 | ENSMUST00000062117 | 1.27060 | 0.78638 |
| M400000019 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000000672 | 1.75853 | 1.00830 |
| M400000066 | NA | NM_016957 | ENSMUST00000003122 | 2.65143 | 1.39155 |
| M400000143 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000065744 | 2.11388 | 0.97838 |
| M400000149 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000008286 | 1.00778 | 0.51416 |
| M400000220 | Stanniocalcin 1 precursor (STC-1) | NM_009285 | ENSMUST00000014957 | 1.64224 | 1.60615 |
| M400000306 | Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-I (elF4A-I) (elf-4A-I) | NM_144958 | ENSMUST00000018919 | 1.95074 | 1.16959 |
| M400000339 | NA | NM_178606 | ENSMUST00000020023 | 1.28594 | 0.79920 |
| M400000390 | NA | NM_007480 | ENSMUST00000020717 | 6.53200 | 3.15082 |
| M400000425 | Presynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95) (Presynaptic protein SAP90) (Synapse-associated protein 90) |  | ENSMUST00000018700 | 1.73896 | 1.65122 |
| M400000481 | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K |  | ENSMUST00000058184 | 1.01961 | 0.45596 |
| M400000579 | CREB-binding protein (EC 2.3.1.48) | XM_358750 | ENSMUST00000023165 | 2.15505 | 0.93343 |
| M400000637 | Cyclic-AMP-dependent transcription factor ATF-1 |  | ENSMUST00000023769 | 1.16482 | 0.44359 |
| M400000700 | Zinc Finger Protein 206 (zfp206)(Zscan10) |  | ENSMUST00000048869 | -0.08246 | 3.49907 |
| M400000711 | Probable serine/threonine-protein kinase SNF1LK (EC 2.7.1.37) (HRT-20) | NM_010831 | ENSMUST00000024839 | 1.72996 | 1.71755 |
| M400000721 | NA | NM_198937 | ENSMUST00000024981 | 1.17605 | 0.53454 |
| M400000747 | Adapter-related protein complex 3 sigma 1 subunit (Sigma-adaptin 3a) | NM_009681 | ENSMUST00000025357 | 3.03318 | 1.94585 |
| M400000798 | THO complex subunit 4 (Tho4) (RNA and export factor binding protein 1) (REF1-I) | NM_011568 | ENSMUST00000026125 | 3.73619 | 2.32300 |
| M400000863 | NA | NM_133797 | ENSMUST00000026989 | 1.58408 | 0.94635 |
| M400000910 | Septin 2 (NEDD5 protein) | NM_010891 | ENSMUST00000027495 | 4.07524 | 2.33469 |
| M400000965 | Weakly similar to KH type splicing regulatory protein (Fragment) |  | ENSMUST00000055244 | 2.61184 | 1.45227 |
| M400001037 | S -adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase-like 1 | NM_145542 | ENSMUST00000029490 | 1.17429 | 0.40709 |
| M400001095 | Nuclear factor 1 A-type (Nuclear factor 1/A) (NF1-A) (CCAAT-box binding transcription factor) | NM_010905 | ENSMUST00000030295 | 3.72828 | 1.73797 |
| M400001197 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000031862 | 3.48183 | 1.64415 |
| M400001198 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000072905 | 3.90824 | 2.14267 |
| M400001394 | Mouse fat 1 cadherin (Fragment) |  | ENSMUST00000034067 | 2.15168 | 0.74589 |
| M400001635 | NA | NM_054043 | ENSMUST00000070847 | 1.59151 | 0.78610 |
| M400001776 | guanine nucleotide releasing protein x | NM_023900 | ENSMUST00000036805 | 1.18022 | 0.99907 |
| M400001825 | midnolin | NM_021565 | ENSMUST00000042057 | 1.05208 | 0.45884 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M400001884 | NA | XM_203329 | ENSMUST00000036952 | 1.61201 | 0.68918 |
| M400002140 | Transcription factor Dp-1 (E2F dimerization partner 1) |  | ENSMUST00000045045 | 1.30152 | 0.83627 |
| M400002163 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000079973 | 1.29421 | 0.78360 |
| M400002322 | high glucose-regulated protein 8 | NM_145393 | ENSMUST00000040654 | 2.16995 | 1.04521 |
| M400002343 | heparan sulfate 2-O-sulfotransferase 1 |  | ENSMUST00000043325 | 1.39638 | 0.92296 |
| M400002668 | NA | NM_0010055 | ( ENSMUSTOO000043560 | 2.14384 | 1.29199 |
| M400002728 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000058233 | 1.20591 | 0.70209 |
| M400002729 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000057643 | 1.37949 | 0.68469 |
| M400002865 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000056948 | 1.76978 | 1.11846 |
| M400002923 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000053955 | 1.32044 | 0.97362 |
| M400003074 | NA | NM_023871 | ENSMUST00000059660 | 2.59430 | 1.43545 |
| M400003152 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000063059 | 0.84709 | 1.04499 |
| M400003253 | NA | XM_136032 | ENSMUST00000056162 | 1.62286 | 1.78536 |
| M400003307 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000061554 | 2.68861 | 2.03919 |
| M400003390 | microfibrillar-associated protein 1 | NM_026220 | ENSMUST00000056732 | 1.34015 | 0.34269 |
| M400003478 | NA | XM_485251 | ENSMUST00000060134 | 1.27614 | 0.69699 |
| M400003764 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000061980 | 2.67620 | 1.52573 |
| M400004073 | NA | NM_013901 | ENSMUST00000015467 | 3.25854 | 0.94365 |
| M400004233 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000064770 | 1.85289 | 0.39551 |
| M400004236 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000064785 | 1.32780 | 0.42652 |
| M400004264 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000063988 | 1.05040 | 1.03842 |
| M400004288 | Growth-arrest-specific protein 1 precursor (GAS-1) | NM_008086 | ENSMUST00000065086 | 1.61729 | 0.25654 |
| M400004473 | Vitamin K-dependent gamma-carboxylase | NM_019802 | ENSMUST00000065906 | 1.67866 | 0.85186 |
| M400004482 | Tumor necrosis factor-inducible protein TSG-6 precursor (TNF- stimulated gene 6 protein) | NM_009398 | ENSMUST00000065927 | 2.23480 | 2.44960 |
| M400004498 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000066001 | 1.10563 | 0.79356 |
| M400004560 | Dual specificity protein phosphatase 7 (EC 3.1.3.48) | NM_153459 | ENSMUST00000066312 | 2.15943 | 0.86205 |
| M400004798 | Microsomal signal peptidase 23 kDa subunit |  | ENSMUST00000067476 | 1.39793 | 1.04005 |
| M400004876 | CGG triplet repeat binding protein 1 | NM_178647 | ENSMUST00000067744 | 1.49928 | 0.57228 |
| M400004935 | SET protein (Phosphatase 2A inhibitor 12PP2A) (1-2PP2A) (Template activating factor I) (TAF-I) | NM_023871 | ENSMUST00000067996 | 2.45598 | 1.36013 |
| M400004949 | Myeloid-associated differentiation marker (Myeloid up-regulated protein) | NM_016969 | ENSMUST00000068052 | 2.43100 | 1.12394 |
| M400005175 | Transcription factor Maf (Proto-oncogene c-maf) |  | ENSMUST00000069009 | 3.00218 | 0.69942 |
| M400005232 | NA | NM_007497 | ENSMUST00000054770 | 1.26309 | 0.95510 |
| M400005290 | NA | NM_177836 | ENSMUST00000069502 | 2.92402 | 2.09940 |
| M400005455 | Ras-related protein Rab-7 | NM_009005 | ENSMUST00000070298 | 1.86197 | 0.73465 |
| M400005528 | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta (C/EBP beta) (Interleukin-6- dependent binding protein) | NM_009883 | ENSMUST00000070642 | 4.15121 | 1.74069 |
| M400005534 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 4L |  | ENSMUST00000070674 | 1.93061 | 0.60880 |
| M400005550 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000070771 | 3.51086 | 2.32295 |
| M400005551 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000070776 | 1.66116 | 0.92832 |
| M400005658 | Poly(rC)-binding protein 2 (Alpha-CP2) (Putative heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein X) (hnRNP X) |  | ENSMUST00000023811 | 2.51872 | 1.99364 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M400005941 | Proteasome subunit beta type 3 (EC 3.4.25.1) (Proteasome theta chain) |  | ENSMUST00000063203 | 1.16559 | 0.80315 |
| M400005986 | tripartite motif protein 30-like | NM_199146 | ENSMUST00000033211 | 1.02897 | 0.92704 |
| M400006200 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000072359 | 1.15445 | 0.75739 |
| M400006282 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 E2 (EC 6.3.2.19) (Ubiquitin-protein ligase E2) | NM_144839 | ENSMUST00000076133 | 1.52433 | 1.07616 |
| M400006402 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000079808 | 1.96618 | 1.23627 |
| M400007498 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000064522 | 2.96416 | -0.08722 |
| M400007551 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000072300 | 1.60739 | 0.95799 |
| M400008005 | NA | XM_484103 | ENSMUST00000081215 | 0.08702 | 1.17227 |
| M400008043 | NA | NM_010439 | ENSMUST00000074307 | 3.73559 | 2.13945 |
| M400008071 | Cyclin I | NM_017367 | ENSMUST00000058550 | 4.15282 | 2.22040 |
| M400008081 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000079188 | 2.21594 | 1.37214 |
| M400008261 | NA | XM_486667 | ENSMUST00000071581 | 2.76273 | 2.12115 |
| M400008480 | NA | NM_175416 | ENSMUST00000072496 | 0.67826 | 1.07250 |
| M400008505 | High mobility group protein 1 (HMG-1) (Amphoterin) (Heparin-binding protein p30) | XM_484795 | ENSMUST00000074738 | 1.97181 | 1.48666 |
| M400008541 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000077348 | 2.26208 | 1.55673 |
| M400008611 | Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-I (elF4A-I) |  | ENSMUST00000075434 | 1.22574 | 0.88125 |
| M400008643 | Chromobox protein homolog 3 (Heterochromatin protein 1 homolog gamma) |  | ENSMUST00000081455 | 3.70609 | 1.76494 |
| M400008677 | Putative RNA-binding protein 3 (RNA binding motif protein 3) | XM_485004 | ENSMUST00000071901 | 1.57004 | 1.17615 |
| M400008984 | Poly(rC)-binding protein 2 (Alpha-CP2) (Putative heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein X) (hnRNP X) | NM_011042 | ENSMUST00000078404 | 5.63786 | 3.43689 |
| M400009064 | Similar to 60S ribosomal protein L30 isolog. | NM_198609 | ENSMUST00000066378 | 2.58609 | 1.94211 |
| M400009098 | Transcription factor 4 (Immunoglobulin transcription factor 2) (ITF-2) (MITF-2) (SL3-3 enhancer factor 2) |  | ENSMUST00000082063 | 1.25879 | 0.56560 |
| M400009143 | Transcription factor 7-like 2 (HMG box transcription factor 4) (T-cell-specific transcription factor 4) |  | ENSMUST00000041717 | 2.20572 | 1.47930 |
| M400009294 | NA | NM_027453 | ENSMUST00000030297 | 1.15832 | 0.80732 |
| M400009413 | olfactory receptor 458; olfactory receptor MOR257-4 | NM_146444 | ENSMUST00000082332 | 5.09486 | -0.07563 |
| M400009632 | Similar to 60S ribosomal protein L30 isolog |  | ENSMUST00000034738 | 3.09211 | 2.28370 |
| M400009657 | RNA binding motif, single stranded interacting protein 3; RNA-binding protein RBMS3 |  | ENSMUST00000044901 | 0.79939 | 1.59290 |
| M400009834 | guanine nucleotide releasing protein $x$ |  | ENSMUST00000067576 | 1.28893 | 1.34737 |
| M400010118 | Tapasin precursor (TPSN) (TPN) (TAP-binding protein) |  | ENSMUST00000079128 | 2.06102 | 1.24506 |
| M400010139 | high mobility group nucleosomal binding domain 3 isoform HMGN3b; HMGN3a,HMGN3b,TRIP7 |  | ENSMUST00000082001 | 2.22077 | 1.34400 |
| M400010198 | Transcription factor 7-like 2 (HMG box transcription factor 4) (T-cell-specific transcription factor 4) |  | ENSMUST00000073670 | 2.27665 | 1.06289 |
| M400010331 | Nuclear factor 1 A-type (Nuclear factor 1/A) (NF1-A) (NFI-A) (NF-I/A) (CCAAT-box binding transcription fac |  | ENSMUST00000063932 | 3.31989 | 1.61921 |
| M400010661 | Glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper protein |  | ENSMUST00000033807 | 0.89813 | 1.45716 |
| M400010745 | Chromobox protein homolog 3 (Heterochromatin protein 1 homolog gamma) | NM_007624 | ENSMUST00000081455 | 3.80510 | 1.86406 |
| M400010838 | NA | NM_008538 |  | 4.24146 | 1.53382 |
| M400010859 | Platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase IB beta subunit (EC 3.1.1.47) (PAF acetylhydrolase 30 kDa subi | NM_008775 | ENSMUST00000003215 | 1.56707 | 0.66642 |
| M400010861 | Platelet-derived growth factor, A chain precursor (PDGF A-chain) | NM_008808 | ENSMUST00000076095 | 2.90931 | 1.64013 |
| M400010872 | Prothymosin alpha (Fragment) | NM_008972 | ENSMUST00000045897 | 1.70338 | 0.99295 |
| M400010880 | Recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (J kappa-recombination signal binding protein) | NM_009035 | ENSMUST00000037618 | 1.40263 | 0.53525 |
| M400010896 | secreted frizzled-related sequence protein 2; stromal cell derived factor 5 | NM_009144 | ENSMUST00000029625 | 2.98685 | 1.46042 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M400010899 | NA | NM_009155 |  | 1.48122 | 0.61920 |
| M400010912 | NA | NM_009238 |  | 3.51475 | 1.18607 |
| M400010924 | Tapasin precursor (TPSN) (TPN) (TAP-binding protein) (TAP-associated protein) | NM_009318 | ENSMUST00000079128 | 2.42158 | 1.25650 |
| M400010926 | Transcription factor 7-like 2 (HMG box transcription factor 4) (T- cell-specific transcription factor 4) | NM_009333 | ENSMUST00000041717 | 2.40444 | 1.34156 |
| M400010928 | Transcription factor Dp-1 (E2F dimerization partner 1) (DRTF1- polypeptide-1) | NM_009361 | ENSMUST00000045045 | 1.19665 | 0.93502 |
| M400010929 | NA | NM_009378 |  | 0.38658 | 1.01996 |
| M400011046 | Insulin-like growth factor II precursor (Multiplication stimulating polypeptide) (IGF-II) | NM_010514 | ENSMUST00000000033 | 1.31936 | 1.23164 |
| M400011065 | NA | NM_010743 |  | 1.01134 | 1.16396 |
| M400011104 | Paired mesoderm homeobox protein 1 (PRX-1) (Homeobox protein MhoX) | NM_011127 | ENSMUST00000027878 | 2.26763 | 0.97519 |
| M400011129 | Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 2 (Splicing factor SC35) | NM_011358 | ENSMUST00000072341 | 1.22675 | 1.07098 |
| M400011134 | NA | NM_011406 |  | 1.66733 | 1.44222 |
| M400011137 | NA | NM_011435 |  | 1.01916 | 0.85559 |
| M400011139 | Transcription factor SOX-9 | NM_011448 | ENSMUST00000000579 | 3.41271 | 1.88818 |
| M400011147 | Transcription factor AP-2 alpha (AP2-alpha) (Activating enhancer-binding protein 2 alpha) | NM_011547 | ENSMUST00000080429 | 3.27804 | 2.03441 |
| M400011157 | NA | NM_011657 |  | 1.00729 | 0.74507 |
| M400011182 | NA | NM_011971 |  | 0.80313 | 1.06856 |
| M400011214 | NA | NM_013685 |  | 1.63088 | 0.60217 |
| M400011239 | Zinc finger homeobox protein 1b (Smad interacting protein 1) | NM_015753 | ENSMUST00000028229 | 1.44577 | 0.85325 |
| M400011333 | NA | NM_019734 |  | 1.09750 | 1.07400 |
| M400011334 | Cop-coated vesicle membrane protein p24 precursor (p24A) (Sid 394) | NM_019770 | ENSMUST00000060226 | 1.82799 | 1.07930 |
| M400011345 | NA | NM_019929 |  | 2.20301 | 1.47210 |
| M400011390 | NA | NM_021389 |  | 1.05198 | 0.46740 |
| M400011409 | NA | NM_022314 | ENSMUST00000072359 | 1.36167 | 0.58041 |
| M400011463 | NA | NM_024431 |  | 1.48658 | 0.86118 |
| M400011467 | NA | NM_024473 | ENSMUST00000019276 | 1.15753 | 0.99246 |
| M400011488 | NA | NM_025480 | ENSMUST00000078838 | 0.32299 | 1.08538 |
| M400011681 | NA | NM_029595 |  | 0.26494 | 1.09583 |
| M400011722 | NA | NM_031249 |  | 1.96110 | 0.88033 |
| M400011724 | general transcription factor II A, 1 is oform 2; general transcription factor lia | NM_031391 | ENSMUST00000021345 | 1.77122 | 0.83164 |
| M400011821 | transmembrane 9 superfamily protein member 4 | NM_133847 | ENSMUST00000077063 | 1.05533 | 0.83813 |
| M400011828 | F-box/LRR-repeat protein 14 (F-box and leucine-rich repeat protein 14) | NM_133940 | ENSMUST00000032094 | 1.45181 | 1.32815 |
| M400011924 | Periphilin 1 | NM_146062 | ENSMUST00000049122 | 1.74354 | 1.39191 |
| M400012207 | Copine I | NM_170588 | ENSMUST00000079312 | 1.08344 | 0.83935 |
| M400012212 | NA | NM_172116 |  | 2.14635 | 1.80546 |
| M400012309 | NA | NM_175395 |  | 1.33740 | 1.14608 |
| M400012319 | NA | NM_175553 |  | 1.26631 | 0.44591 |
| M400012431 | NA | NM_177730 | ENSMUST00000080019 | 1.92428 | 1.00032 |
| M400012447 | NA | NM_177994 | ENSMUST00000045628 | 2.32524 | 1.32924 |
| M400012549 | NA | NM_183258 |  | 1.07398 | 1.44444 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq <br> NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time | Enrichment Score 30Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M400012644 | tryptophan rich basic protein | NM_207301 | ENSMUST00000023913 | 1.20680 | 1.47977 |
| M400012692 | F-box/LRR-repeat protein 14 (F-box and leucine-rich repeat protein 14) | NM_025356 |  | 1.83567 | 1.43992 |
| M400012693 | NA |  |  | 1.57359 | 0.46974 |
| M400012790 | NA |  |  | 4.51718 | 2.14299 |
| M400012854 | NA |  |  | 4.34394 | 2.14978 |
| M400012946 | NA |  |  | 3.55885 | 2.55151 |
| M400012965 | NA |  |  | 1.02565 | 0.65547 |
| M400012986 | NA |  |  | 2.02838 | 1.02861 |
| M400013004 | NA |  |  | 1.78164 | 1.28937 |
| M400013013 | NA |  |  | 1.78614 | 1.35070 |
| M400013025 | NA |  |  | 1.41672 | 0.83176 |
| M400013099 | NA |  |  | 2.06788 | 1.23607 |
| M400013178 | NA |  |  | 1.23485 | 1.02531 |
| M400013217 | NA |  |  | 1.22048 | 0.49685 |
| M400013253 | NA |  |  | 1.26814 | 1.01440 |
| M400013265 | NA |  |  | 1.73656 | 1.52532 |
| M400013287 | NA |  |  | 1.46995 | 0.84259 |
| M400013314 | NA | NM_021565 |  | 1.40456 | 0.72312 |
| M400013327 | NA |  |  | 1.45973 | 1.07997 |
| M400013372 | NA |  |  | 1.38096 | 0.78202 |
| M400013580 | NA |  |  | 1.20101 | 1.14561 |
| M400013581 | NA |  |  | 1.39027 | 0.84958 |
| M400013582 | NA | NM_008408 |  | 1.52965 | 1.49303 |
| M400013620 | NA |  |  | 1.12455 | 1.46421 |
| M400013621 | NA |  |  | 1.05968 | 0.42062 |
| M400013626 | NA |  |  | 0.52542 | 0.99135 |
| M400013705 | NA |  |  | 1.09067 | 0.95263 |
| M400013772 | NA |  |  | 1.75274 | 1.26450 |
| M400013796 | NA |  |  | 3.08087 | 1.82670 |
| M400013845 | NA |  |  | 1.61034 | 1.86381 |
| M400013951 | NA |  |  | 2.77269 | 2.60272 |
| M400013970 | NA |  |  | 1.31110 | 0.93604 |
| M400014072 | NA |  |  | 1.67382 | 0.51714 |
| M400014359 | NA |  |  | 3.65108 | 3.06173 |
| M400014412 | NA |  |  | 0.63713 | 1.19275 |
| M400014437 | NA |  |  | 0.00632 | 1.06191 |
| M400014568 | NA |  |  | 1.63471 | 0.88641 |
| M400014570 | NA | XM_132579 |  | 2.42434 | 1.56573 |
| M400014572 | NA |  |  | 1.20412 | 0.72128 |


APPENDIX B

| Appendix B - HuR Ligands Unique to 0 Time Post Induction of Differentiation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time |
| M200006912 | 26 S proteas ome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 9 (26S proteasome regulatory subunit p27). | NM_026000 | ENSMUST00000060664 | 1.00 |
| M300007813 | Acid ceramidase precursor (EC 3.5.1.23) (Acylsphingosine deacylase) |  | ENSMUST00000034000 | 1.57 |
| M200007637 | actin related protein $2 / 3$ complex, subunit 1A; actin related protein $2 / 3$ complex, subunit 1 A | NM_019767 | ENSMUST00000031625 | 1.23 |
| M200013059 | Adiponectin receptor protein 1 | NM_028320 | ENSMUST00000027727 | 1.25 |
| M200002183 | amyloid beta (A4) precursor protein-binding, family B, member 2 | NM_009686 | ENSMUST00000068206 | 1.31 |
| M300008017 | Amyloid-like protein 2 precursor (CDEI-box binding protein) (CDEBP) | NM_009691 | ENSMUST00000072634 | 1.12 |
| M200009421 | ARP2/3 complex 20 kDa subunit (p20-ARC) (Actin-related protein $2 / 3$ complex subunit 4) | NM_026552 | ENSMUST00000032412 | 1.68 |
| M300003601 | ATP synthase oligomycin sensitivity conferral protein, mitochondrial precursor (EC 3.6.3.14) (OSCP) | NM_138597 | ENSMUST00000023677 | 2.85 |
| M300003876 | Band 4.1-like protein 3 (4.1B) (Differentially expressed in adenocarcinoma of the lung protein 1) | NM_013813 | ENSMUST00000077529 | 1.70 |
| M200015439 | Beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase 5 (EC 2.4.1.-) (Beta-1,4-GalTase 5) (Beta4Gal-T5) (b4Gal-T5) | NM_019835 | ENSMUST00000018073 | 1.09 |
| M300008745 | Beta-2-microglobulin precursor. | NM_009735 | ENSMUST00000080804 | 1.72 |
| M200006908 | Beta-2-syntrophin ( 59 kDa dystrophin-associated protein A1, basic component 2) | NM_009229 | ENSMUST00000047425 | 1.04 |
| M200009460 | bromodomain containing 4 isoform 1; bromodomain-containing 5; bromodomain-containing 4. | NM_020508 | ENSMUST00000003726 | 1.14 |
| M200004308 | calponin 3, acidic | NM_028044 | ENSMUST00000029773 | 1.31 |
| M200000746 | Calreticulin precursor (CRP55) (Calregulin) (HACBP) (ERp60) |  | ENSMUST00000003912 | 1.25 |
| M200014007 | Casein kinase I, alpha is oform (EC 2.7.1.-) (CKI-alpha) (CK1) | NM_146087 | ENSMUST00000025469 | 1.31 |
| M300008433 | Casein kinase II, alpha chain (CK II) (EC 2.7.1.37). | NM_007788 | ENSMUST00000041081 | 1.95 |
| M300003763 | Cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor precursor (CI Man-6-P receptor) (CI-MPR) | NM_010515 | ENSMUST00000024599 | 1.34 |
| M200005924 | Caveolin-1 | NM_007616 | ENSMUST00000007799 | 1.10 |
| M200000084 | Cellular tumor antigen p53 (Tumor suppressor p53) | NM_011640 | ENSMUST00000005371 | 1.85 |
| M400004876 | CGG triplet repeat binding protein 1 | NM_178647 | ENSMUST00000067744 | 1.50 |
| M200005696 | coiled-coil transcriptional coactivator; GRIP1-interacting protein | NM_026192 | ENSMUST00000023818 | 1.10 |
| M200004448 | Collagen alpha 1(1) chain precursor. | NM_007742 | ENSMUST00000001547 | 1.18 |
| M400012207 | Copine I | NM_170588 | ENSMUST00000079312 | 1.08 |
| M400000579 | CREB-binding protein (EC 2.3.1.48) | XM_358750 | ENSMUST00000023165 | 2.16 |
| M400000637 | Cyclic-AMP-dependent transcription factor ATF-1 |  | ENSMUST00000023769 | 1.16 |
| M200002663 | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27) (p27Kip1) | NM_009875 | ENSMUST00000067327 | 1.06 |
| M300007781 | dCMP deaminase. | NM_178788 | ENSMUST00000033966 | 1.11 |
| M300006266 | Dolichyl-diphosphooligosaccharide--protein glycosyltransferase 48 kDa subunit precursor | NM_007838 | ENSMUST00000030538 | 1.50 |
| M400004560 | Dual specificity protein phosphatase 7 (EC 3.1.3.48) | NM_153459 | ENSMUST00000066312 | 2.16 |
| M200015503 | dynactin 4 | NM_026302 | ENSMUST00000025505 | 1.17 |
| M200012044 | Early growth response protein 1 (EGR-1) (Krox-24 protein) (ZIF268) | NM_007913 | ENSMUST00000064795 | 1.01 |
| M200001148 | ECT2 protein (Epithelial cell transforming sequence 2 oncogene) | NM_007900 | ENSMUST00000029248 | 5.70 |
| M200013439 | EIB-55kDa associated protein 5. | NM_144922 | ENSMUST00000043765 | 1.26 |
| M400008611 | Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-I (elF4A-I) |  | ENSMUST00000075434 | 1.23 |
| M200012720 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A (elF-5A) (elF-4D) (Rev-binding factor) | NM_181582 | ENSMUST00000043419 | 1.11 |
| M300008358 | folliculin. | NM_146018 | ENSMUST00000047706 | 1.27 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M300003525 | Follistatin-related protein 1 precursor (Follistatin-like 1) (TGF- beta-inducible protein TSC-36) | NM_008047 | ENSMUST00000023511 | 2.21 |
| M400011724 | general transcription factor II A, 1 is oform 2; general transcription factor lia | NM_031391 | ENSMUST00000021345 | 1.77 |
| M200003853 | Glutathione reductase, mitochondrial precursor (EC 1.8.1.7) (GR) (GRase) | NM_010344 | ENSMUST00000033992 | 1.71 |
| M300002374 | Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2 adapter protein) (SH2/SH3 adapter GRB2) | NM_008163 | ENSMUST00000077165 | 1.07 |
| M400004288 | Growth-arrest-specific protein 1 precursor (GAS-1) | NM_008086 | ENSMUST00000065086 | 1.62 |
| M400001776 | guanine nucleotide releasing protein $x$ | NM_023900 | ENSMUST00000036805 | 1.18 |
| M400002343 | heparan sulfate 2-0-sulfotransferase 1 |  | ENSMUST00000043325 | 1.40 |
| M400000481 | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K |  | ENSMUST00000058184 | 1.02 |
| M300010273 | Histone H1.2 (H1 VAR.1) (H1C) | NM_015786 | ENSMUST00000040914 | 1.46 |
| M200011942 | Hsc70-interacting protein (Hip) (Putative tumor suppressor ST13) | NM_133726 | ENSMUST00000023039 | 1.09 |
| M200001048 | Insulin-like growth factor IB precursor (IGF-IB) (Somatomedin) | NM_010512 | ENSMUST00000075330 | 1.09 |
| M200015000 | kelch repeat and BTB (POZ) domain containing 2; CDNA sequence BC022962 | NM_145958 | ENSMUST00000081115 | 1.49 |
| M300010092 | Lactoylglutathione lyase (EC 4.4.1.5) (Methylglyoxalase) (Aldoketomutase) (Glyoxalase I) | NM_025374 | ENSMUST00000044467 | 1.02 |
| M300012485 | Large neutral amino acids transporter small subunit 1 (L-type amino acid transporter 1) | NM_011404 | ENSMUST00000045557 | 1.39 |
| M200009548 | lobe homolog-like; Iobe homolog-like (Drosophila); lobe homolog (Drosophila) | NM_026270 | ENSMUST00000054343 | 1.20 |
| M200006820 | Lysosomal-associated transmembrane protein 4A (Golgi 4-transmembrane spanning transporter) | NM_008640 | ENSMUST00000020909 | 1.78 |
| M400003390 | microfibrillar-as sociated protein 1 | NM_026220 | ENSMUST00000056732 | 1.34 |
| M300005240 | Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 3 (EC 2.5.1.18) (Microsomal GST- 3) | NM_025569 | ENSMUST00000028005 | 1.14 |
| M400001825 | midnolin | NM_021565 | ENSMUST00000042057 | 1.05 |
| M200013802 | MLN64 N-terminal domain homolog (STARD3 N -terminal like protein) | NM_024270 | ENSMUST00000039694 | 1.44 |
| M400001394 | Mouse fat 1 cadherin (Fragment) |  | ENSMUST00000034067 | 2.15 |
| M200009372 | Muscleblind-like protein (Triplet-expansion RNA-binding protein) | NM_020007 | ENSMUST00000029327 | 1.16 |
| M200012692 | myosin regulatory light chain-like. | NM_023402 | ENSMUST00000038446 | 1.22 |
| M400005534 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 4L |  | ENSMUST00000070674 | 1.93 |
| M300012230 | NCAM | NM_010875 | ENSMUST00000053131 | 1.32 |
| M200002789 | Nuclear factor 1 X-type (Nuclear factor 1/X)(CCAAT-box binding transcription factor) | NM_010906 | ENSMUST00000077717 | 2.15 |
| M200001081 | Nucleolar transcription factor 1 (Upstream binding factor 1) (UBF-1) | NM_011551 | ENSMUST00000079589 | 1.01 |
| M300000896 | ocular development associated gene | NM_026033 | ENSMUST00000007559 | 1.09 |
| M400009413 | olfactory receptor 458; olfactory receptor MOR257-4 | NM_146444 | ENSMUST00000082332 | 5.09 |
| M300008081 | Oligosaccharyl transferase STT3 subunit homolog (B5) (Integral membrane protein 1) | NM_008408 | ENSMUST00000034627 | 1.25 |
| M400011104 | Paired mesoderm homeobox protein 1 (PRX-1) (Homeobox protein Mhox) | NM_011127 | ENSMUST00000027878 | 2.27 |
| M400010859 | Platel et-a ctivating factor acetyl hydrolase IB beta subunit (EC 3.1.1.47) (PAF a cetyl hydrolase 30 kDa subı | NM_008775 | ENSMUST00000003215 | 1.57 |
| M300002564 | Poly(A) polymerase alpha (EC 2.7.7.19) (PAP) | NM_011112 | ENSMUST00000021535 | 1.26 |
| M300000501 | polymerase I and transcript release factor |  | ENSMUST00000044938 | 1.18 |
| M200015255 | potassium channel, subfamily K, member 5 | NM_021542 | ENSMUST00000024011 | 1.07 |
| M200009955 | Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase p54 (Oncogene RCK homolog) | NM_007841 | ENSMUST00000034608 | 1.40 |
| M400005941 | Proteasome subunit beta type 3 (EC 3.4.25.1) (Proteasome theta chain) |  | ENSMUST00000063203 | 1.17 |
| M200002348 | protein tyrosine phosphatase 4 a 2 | NM_008974 | ENSMUST00000030578 | 1.02 |
| M200001637 | Ran-binding protein 10 | NM_145824 | ENSMUST00000041400 | 1.27 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M200015033 | Ras-related protein Rab-14 | NM_026697 | ENSMUST00000028238 | 1.13 |
| M300002017 | Ras-related protein Rab-21 (Rab-12) | NM_024454 | ENSMUST00000020343 | 1.02 |
| M400005455 | Ras-related protein Rab-7 | NM_009005 | ENSMUST00000070298 | 1.86 |
| M300010609 | Ras-related protein Rap-2b | NM_028712 | ENSMUST00000049064 | 1.20 |
| M200014215 | Raver1 | NM_027911 | ENSMUST00000010349 | 1.19 |
| M200008896 | RE1-silencing transcription factor | NM_011263 | ENSMUST00000080359 | 1.88 |
| M400010880 | Recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (J kappa-recombination signal binding protein) | NM_009035 | ENSMUST00000037618 | 1.40 |
| M300012013 | Recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (J kappa-recombination signal binding protein) |  | ENSMUST00000037618 | 1.21 |
| M300011580 | Reelin precursor (EC 3.4.21.-) (Reeler protein) | NM_011261 | ENSMUST00000062372 | 4.30 |
| M200015349 | Rho-related BTB domain-containing protein 3. | NM_028493 | ENSMUST00000022078 | 2.18 |
| M300008124 | ring finger 111 | NM_033604 | ENSMUST00000034739 | 1.04 |
| M200014251 | ring finger protein 38. | NM_175201 | ENSMUST00000045793 | 1.31 |
| M200012509 | Serine/threonine protein phosphatase 4 catalytic subunit (EC 3.1.3.16) (PP4C) (Pp4) | NM_019674 | ENSMUST00000032936 | 1.09 |
| M200014111 | Sestrin 3 | NM_030261 | ENSMUST00000034507 | 1.56 |
| M300013796 | SHC transforming protein 1 (SH2 domain protein C 1 ) | NM_011368 | ENSMUST00000039110 | 1.97 |
| M200012643 | Signal peptide peptidase-like 3 (EC 3.4.99.-) (SPP-like 3 protein) | XM_485673 | ENSMUST00000031530 | 1.45 |
| M300011013 | spermatogenesis associated, serine-rich 2; serine-rich spermatocytes and round spermatid protein |  | ENSMUST00000063517 | 1.56 |
| M300004390 | Splicing factor 1 (Zinc finger protein 162) (Transcription factor ZFM1) (mZFM) |  | ENSMUST00000076351 | 1.23 |
| M300005193 | Sterol O-acyltransferase 1 (EC 2.3.1.26) (Cholesterol acyltransferase 1) | NM_009230 | ENSMUST00000051396 | 1.32 |
| M300000858 | Superoxide dismutase [Mn], mitochondrial precursor (EC 1.15.1.1) | NM_013671 | ENSMUST00000007012 | 1.28 |
| M200014231 | suppressor of Ty 16 homolog; suppressor of Ty 16 homolog (S.cerevisiae). | NM_033618 | ENSMUST00000046709 | 1.06 |
| M200006351 | T-complex associated-testis-expressed 1-like (Protein 91/23) | NM_025975 | ENSMUST00000033519 | 1.69 |
| M200003903 | Testis-specific Y-encoded-like protein 1 (TSPY-like 1) | NM_009433 | ENSMUST00000061372 | 1.38 |
| M400009098 | Transcription factor 4 (Immunoglobulin transcription factor 2) (ITF-2) (MITF-2) (SL3-3 enhancer factor |  | ENSMUST00000082063 | 1.26 |
| M300004412 | Transcription factor 7-like 2 (HMG box transcription factor 4) (T-cell-specific transcription factor 4) |  | ENSMUST00000075651 | 1.28 |
| M400002140 | Transcription factor Dp-1 (E2F dimerization partner 1) |  | ENSMUST00000045045 | 1.30 |
| M400010928 | Transcription factor Dp-1 (E2F dimerization partner 1) (DRTF1-polypeptide-1) | NM_009361 | ENSMUST00000045045 | 1.20 |
| M200000424 | Transcription factor jun-D | NM_010592 | ENSMUST00000061259 | 2.32 |
| M400005175 | Transcription factor Maf (Proto-oncogene c-maf) |  | ENSMUST00000069009 | 3.00 |
| M200002879 | Transcriptional regulator ATRX (X-linked nuclear protein) (Heterochromatin protein 2) | NM_009530 | ENSMUST00000033580 | 1.03 |
| M200001143 | Transmembrane 9 superfamily protein member 3 precursor | NM_133352 | ENSMUST00000025989 | 1.47 |
| M400011821 | transmembrane 9 superfamily protein member 4 | NM_133847 | ENSMUST00000077063 | 1.06 |
| M400005986 | tripartite motif protein 30-like | NM_199146 | ENSMUST00000033211 | 1.03 |
| M300004437 | Tripartite motif protein 8 (RING finger protein 27) (Glioblastoma- expressed RING finger protein) | NM_053100 | ENSMUST00000026008 | 1.44 |
| M300000203 | Tubulin beta-5 chain | NM_011655 | ENSMUST00000001566 | 1.26 |
| M300003298 | Twinfilin 1 (A6 protein) (Protein tyrosine kinase 9) | NM_008971 | ENSMUST00000023087 | 2.27 |
| M300011994 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 H (EC 6.3.2.19) (Ubiquitin-protein ligase H) | NM_009459 | ENSMUST00000036826 | 1.29 |
| M400004473 | Vitamin K-dependent ga mma-carboxylase | NM_019802 | ENSMUST00000065906 | 1.68 |
| M200001010 | WD-repeat protein 1 (Actin interacting protein 1) (AIP1) | NM_011715 | ENSMUST00000005234 | 1.29 |


| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M400011239 | Zinc finger homeobox protein 1b (Smad interacting protein 1) | NM_015753 | ENSMUSTO0000028229 | 1.45 |
| M400018959 | NA |  |  | 4.26 |
| M400015998 | NA |  |  | 2.45 |
| M300009180 | NA | NM_177093 | ENSMUST00000078717 | 1.12 |
| M400007498 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000064522 | 2.96 |
| M300016892 | NA | XM_131400 | ENSMUST00000062977 | 1.03 |
| M400017954 | NA |  |  | 7.47 |
| M400016461 | NA |  |  | 2.66 |
| M300012949 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000038893 | 1.53 |
| M300008920 | NA | NM_198625 | ENSMUST00000052457 | 1.29 |
| M400004233 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000064770 | 1.85 |
| M400013621 | NA |  |  | 1.06 |
| M400004236 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000064785 | 1.33 |
| M300019000 | NA | NM_172871 | ENSMUST00000079544 | 1.35 |
| M400012319 | NA | NM_175553 |  | 1.27 |
| M400011390 | NA | NM_021389 |  | 1.05 |
| M400012693 | NA |  |  | 1.57 |
| M400013217 | NA |  |  | 1.22 |
| M200005082 | NA | NM_145466 | ENSMUST00000038075 | 1.10 |
| M400000149 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000008286 | 1.01 |
| M400014072 | NA |  |  | 1.67 |
| M400000721 | NA | NM_198937 | ENSMUST00000024981 | 1.18 |
| M300013817 | NA | NM_172943 | ENSMUST00000044250 | 2.45 |
| M400015846 | NA |  |  | 1.46 |
| M400011409 | NA | NM_022314 | ENSMUST00000072359 | 1.36 |
| M300008360 | NA | XM_194358 | ENSMUST00000036372 | 1.06 |
| M400011214 | NA | NM_013685 |  | 1.63 |
| M400015052 | NA |  |  | 1.19 |
| M400010899 | NA | NM_009155 |  | 1.48 |
| M400016183 | NA |  |  | 2.34 |
| M200014565 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000006851 | 1.13 |
| M400012965 | NA |  |  | 1.03 |
| M300013961 | NA | NM_028627 | ENSMUST00000041391 | 1.10 |
| M300003424 | NA | XM_156257 | ENSMUST00000023313 | 1.04 |
| M400002729 | NA |  | ENSMUST00000057643 | 1.38 |
| M400001884 | NA | XM_203329 | ENSMUST00000036952 | 1.61 |
| M400003478 | NA | XM_485251 | ENSMUST00000060134 | 1.28 |
| M400002728 | NA |  | ENSMUSTO0000058233 | 1.21 |
| M200013650 | NA | NM_028058 | ENSMUST00000026016 | 1.23 |


| Probe ID |  | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 0 Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M400014572 | NA |  |  |  | 1.20 |
| M400013314 | NA |  | NM_021565 |  | 1.40 |
| M400011157 | NA |  | NM_011657 |  | 1.01 |
| M400006200 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000072359 | 1.15 |
| M400015754 | NA |  |  |  | 1.23 |
| M400013372 | NA |  |  |  | 1.38 |
| M400002163 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000079973 | 1.29 |
| M400001635 | NA |  | NM_054043 | ENSMUST00000070847 | 1.59 |
| M300022233 | NA |  | NM_029519 | ENSMUST00000062117 | 1.27 |
| M400004498 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000066001 | 1.11 |
| M400000339 | NA |  | NM_178606 | ENSMUST00000020023 | 1.29 |
| M400009294 | NA |  | NM_027453 | ENSMUST00000030297 | 1.16 |
| M200015881 | NA |  | NM_183186 | ENSMUST00000046859 | 1.79 |
| M400013025 | NA |  |  |  | 1.42 |
| M300011779 | NA |  | NM_145943 | ENSMUST00000038091 | 1.13 |
| M400013287 | NA |  |  |  | 1.47 |
| M400013581 | NA |  |  |  | 1.39 |
| M400011137 | NA |  | NM_011435 |  | 1.02 |
| M400011463 | NA |  | NM_024431 |  | 1.49 |
| M300001785 | NA |  | NM_007590 | ENSMUST00000019514 | 1.63 |
| M300001683 | NA |  | XM_126426 | ENSMUST00000018620 | 1.54 |
| M400011722 | NA |  | NM_031249 |  | 1.96 |
| M400014568 | NA |  |  |  | 1.63 |
| M400005551 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000070776 | 1.66 |
| M400013970 | NA |  |  |  | 1.31 |
| M400015103 | NA |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| M300019706 | NA |  | NM_198016 | ENSMUST00000061490 | 1.40 |
| M400004073 | NA |  | NM_013901 | ENSMUST00000015467 | 3.26 |
| M400000863 | NA |  | NM_133797 | ENSMUST00000026989 | 1.58 |
| M400013705 | NA |  |  |  | 1.09 |
| M400005232 | NA |  | NM_007497 | ENSMUST00000054770 | 1.26 |
| M400007551 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000072300 | 1.61 |
| M400002923 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000053955 | 1.32 |
| M300007474 | NA |  | NM_138589 | ENSMUST00000033158 | 1.40 |
| M400000143 | NA |  |  | ENSMUST00000065744 | 2.11 |
| M400017308 | NA |  |  |  | 1.05 |
| M300020249 | NA |  | XM_148582 | ENSMUST00000081933 | 1.33 |

APPENDIX C
Appendix C- HuR Ligands Unique to 30 min Post Induction of Differentiation

| Probe ID | Gene | Ref Seq NCBI | Ensembl Transcript ID | Enrichment Score 30 Min | Biological Process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M300000714 | breast carcinoma amplified sequence 2 | NM_026602 | ENSMUST00000005830 | 1.15 |  |
| M200005936 | Cystinosin | NM_031251 | ENSMUST00000006103 | 1.22 | Transport, glycoprotein |
| M200001516 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (elF4E) | NM_007917 | ENSMUST00000029803 | 1.04 | Protein Biosynthesis, RNA binding |
| M200003203 | Forkhead box protein C1 (Forkhead-related protein FKHL7) | NM_008592 | ENSMUST00000062292 | 1.01 | Transcription regulation, DNA |
| M400010661 | Glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper protein | NP_034416.3 | ENSMUST00000033807 | 1.46 | Transcription Factor, Anti-a poptotic |
| M200005292 | Homeobox protein Hox-D9 (Hox-4.4) (Hox-5.2) | NM_013555 | ENSMUST00000059272 | 1.00 | Transcription regulation, DNA |
| M300000642 | Krueppel-like factor 5 (Intestinal-enriched krueppel-like factor) (BTEB2) | NM_009769 | ENSMUST00000005279 | 1.25 | Transcription regulation, DNA |
| M200000511 | MAD protein (MAX dimerizer) | NM_010751 | ENSMUST00000001184 | 1.07 | Transcription regulation, DNA |
| M200000288 | Mago nashi protein homolog | NM_010760 | ENSMUST00000030348 | 1.00 | RNA binding protein |
| M300000373 | MLN64 N-terminal domain homolog (STARD3 N-terminal like protein) | NP_077232.2. | ENSMUST00000039694,ENS | 1.08 | Transmembrane protein |
| M200013007 | NA - AC140307.3-201 | XM_912399, | ENSMUST00000025675 | 1.19 | na |
| M400003152 | NA - AC158928.6-201 |  | ENSMUST00000063059 | 1.04 | retrotransposed, no protein prdt |
| M400008005 | NA - Adipocyte P27 protein LOC432621 | XM_484103 | ENSMUST00000081215 | 1.17 | - |
| M400014412 | NA - calmodulin binding transcription activator 1 (camta1) | NM_001081557.1 | ENSMUSG00000014592 | 1.19 | Transcription regulation, activator |
| M200007523 | NA - endoplasmic reticulum-golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) | NM_026170 | ENSMUST00000001619 | 1.18 | Vesicle-mediated transport, ER- |
| M200001014 | NA - hypothetical protein LOC668661 Putative uncharacterized protein | NM_025880 | ENSMUST00000071296 | 1.00 | na |
| M300004860 | NA - hypothetical protein LOC67099 (Fam119a) | NM_025964 | ENSMUST00000053469 | 1.12 | Transmembrane protein |
| M200007942 | NA - IKK interacting protein isoform 1 | NM_026166 | ENSMUST00000020149 | 1.39 | Induction of Apoptosis, protein |
| M300013540 | NA - major facilitator superfamily domain containing 4 | NM_172510 | ENSMUST00000046658 | 1.51 | Transmembrane protein, transport |
| M400011681 | NA - phos phatidylethanolamine binding protein 2 (Pbp2) | NM_029595 | ENSMUSG00000047104 | 1.10 |  |
| M400011182 | NA - proteasome (prosome, macropain) subunit, beta type 3 (Psmb3) | NM_011971 | ENSMUSG00000069744 | 1.07 | hydrolase, threonine protease |
| M300004178 | NA - protein phosphatase 2, regulatory subunit B (PR 52), beta isoform (Ppp2r2b) | NM_028392 | ENSMUST00000025377 | 2.08 | Apoptosis, signal transduction |
| M200005125 | NA - RWD domain containing 4A | NM_203507 | ENSMUST00000033973 | 1.13 | na |
| M200006807 | NA - small subunit of serine palmitoyltransferase A | NM_134054 | ENSMUST00000056228 | 1.02 | Transmembrane protein |
| M400010929 | NA - thrombomodulin (Thbd) | NM_009378 | ENSMUSG00000074743 | 1.02 | Calcium ion Binding, Blood |
| M400011488 | NA - transmembrane protein 128 (Tmem128) | NM_025480 | ENSMUST00000078838,ENS | 1.09 | Transmembrane protein |
| M200013777 | NA - Yip1 domain family, member 4 (Yipf4) | NM_026417 | ENSMUST00000024874,ENS | 1.35 | Transmembrane protein |
| M300004807 | Platelet-derived growth factor, A chain precursor (PDGF A-chain) (PDGF-1) | NP_032834.1 | ENSMUST00000046901 | 1.20 | Growth factor, mitogen, |
| M200002099 | Polyomavirus enhancer activator 3 (PEA3 protein) (ETS translocation variant 4) | NM_008815 | ENSMUST00000017868 | 1.19 | Transcription regulation, DNA |
| M300003535 | RAB, member of RAS oncogene family-like 3 | NM_026297 | ENSMUST00000023524 | 1.67 | GTP-binding |
| M400009657 | RNA binding motif, single stranded interacting protein 3; RNA-binding protein RBMS3 | NM_178660 | ENSMUST00000044901 | 1.59 | RNA binding protein |
| M300001921 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase Sgk1 | NM_011361 | ENSMUST00000020145 | 1.24 | Apoptosis, Protein Binding, Protein |
| M200008310 | Transcription elongation factor A (SII)-like 1 | NM_146236 | ENSMUST00000055104 | 1.06 | RNA Elongation, DNA binding, |
| M200008625 | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 10B precursor (Death receptor 5) | NM_020275 | ENSMUST00000022663 | 1.15 | Apoptosis, signal transduction |
| M200006649 | UMP-CMP kinase (EC 2.7.4.14) (Cytidylate kinase) (Deoxycytidylate kinase) | NM_025647 | ENSMUST00000030491 | 1.22 | ATP binding, Prymidine biosynthesis |
| M200007317 | Zinc finger and BTB domain containing protein 1 | NM_178744 | ENSMUST00000042779 | 1.21 | Transcription regulation |
| M400000700 | Zinc finger SCAN domain containing 10 (Zfp206) | NM_001033425 | ENSMUSG00000023902 | 3.50 | Transcription Factor |
| M400008480 | NA | NM_175416 | ENSMUST00000072496 | 1.07 |  |
| M400014437 | NA |  |  | 1.06 |  |
| M400016046 | NA |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| M400016150 | NA |  |  | 3.82 |  |
| M400018177 | NA |  |  | 2.22 |  |
| M400018934 | NA |  |  | 1.72 |  |
| M400019404 | NA |  |  | 1.19 |  |
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