



Evidence for the presence of A₁ adenosine receptors in the aorta of spontaneously hypertensive rats

*^{1,2}Mohammed Fahim & ¹Syed Jamal Mustafa

¹Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina, NC 27858, U.S.A.

1 Isolated aortic rings (endothelium-intact and -denuded) from spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) and Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) rats were used in this study to examine the vasoactive effects of various adenosine analogues.

2 In phenylephrine contracted aortic rings, concentration-response curves were constructed by cumulative additions (10^{-11} – 10^{-5} M) of (2S)-N⁶-[2-endo-Norbornyl] adenosine (ENBA), N⁶-cyclopentyladenosine (CPA), R-N⁶-(2-phenylisopropyl) adenosine (R-PIA), 2-p-(-2-carboxyethyl) phenethylamino-5'-N-thylcarboxamido adenosine (CGS-21680).

3 A non-specific adenosine receptor agonist 2-chloroadenosine (CAD) resulted in biphasic response with a small contraction at lower concentrations (10^{-9} – 10^{-8} M) followed by a significant relaxation at higher concentration in endothelium-intact SHR tissues, suggesting presence of both A₁ and A₂ adenosine receptors in SHR aorta. However, only relaxation was observed in WKY.

4 Contractile response in SHR had the following rank order of potency: ENBA > CPA > R-PIA > CAD. The relaxation response in SHR and WKY had the following rank order of potency: CGS 21680 > CAD > R-PIA > CPA > ENBA.

5 Removal of endothelium abolished the adenosine analogue induced contractions in SHR aorta and attenuated the vasorelaxation responses in the WKY and SHR.

6 The contractile response in SHR was abolished by A₁ adenosine receptor antagonist N⁶-endonorboman-2-yl-9-methyladenine (N-0861). A₂ adenosine receptor antagonist, 3,7-dimethyl-1-propargylxanthine (DMPX) did not affect the contraction response of adenosine analogues.

7 Endothelium-dependent contractions elicited by A₁ receptor agonists were blocked by indomethacin and by free radical scavengers.

8 These data suggest that the contractile response to adenosine analogues in SHR aorta is probably mediated by free radicals which are generated through the increased cyclo-oxygenase activity occurring in the vascular endothelium of SHR but not the WKY rats.

British Journal of Pharmacology (2001) **134**, 1760–1766

Keywords: A₁ adenosine receptor; A₂ adenosine receptor; vascular endothelium; vascular smooth muscle; indomethacin; free radicals

Abbreviations: CAD, 2-chloroadenosine; CGS-21680, 2-p-(-2-carboxyethyl)phenethylamino-5'-N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine; CPA, N⁶-cyclopentyladenosine; DMPX, 3,7-dimethyl-1-propargylxanthine; ED₅₀, the concentration of phenylephrine producing approximately 50% of the maximum tension developed; EDCF, endothelium derived contracting factor; EDRF, endothelium derived relaxing factor; ENBA, (2S)-N⁶-[2-endo-Norbornyl]adenosine; L-NMMA, N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine; N-0861, N⁶-endonorboman-2-yl-9-methyladenine; NO, nitric oxide; PE, phenylephrine; R-PIA, R-N⁶-(2-phenylisopropyl) adenosine; SHR, spontaneously hypertensive rats; U ml⁻¹, units per ml; WKY, Wistar-Kyoto

Introduction

Adenosine is known for its protective role in myocardial ischemia by reducing myocardial oxygen requirement and increasing oxygen supply to the heart by vasodilation (Belardinelli *et al.*, 1989; Bruns, 1991). The effects of adenosine are mediated through cell surface receptors subdivided into A₁ coupled to adenylate cyclase in an inhibitory manner and A₂ coupled to adenylate cyclase in a stimulatory manner (Bruns *et al.*, 1980). The vasodilatory effects of adenosine and its analogues are mediated through

adenosine A₂ receptors (Cushing *et al.*, 1991; Laurent *et al.*, 1999; Lewis *et al.*, 1994; Makujina *et al.*, 1992; Mustafa & Askar, 1985; Prentice & Hourani, 1996). Vasorelaxant responses to adenosine are partly mediated through adenosine induced release of endothelium derived relaxing factor (EDRF) in some blood vessels (Fahim *et al.*, 2001; Li & Bukoski, 1993; Lockette *et al.*, 1986; Luscher *et al.*, 1990). The negative inotropic and chronotropic actions of adenosine have been shown to be mediated *via* the A₁ adenosine receptors (Ramagopal *et al.*, 1993). Some of the reports have indicated the presence of A₁ adenosine receptors in blood vessels of guinea-pig (Stoggall & Shaw, 1990) and dogfish shark (Evans, 1992). In isolated vascular smooth muscle from the ventral aorta of the dogfish shark A₁ agonist ENBA

*Author for correspondence.

²Current address: Department of Physiology, V.P. Chest Institute, University of Delhi, P.O. Box-2101, DELHI-110 007 (India); E-mail: vpciphysiology@yahoo.com

produced significant increase in the tension only at higher concentration (Evans, 1992).

Contractile adenosine A₁ receptors have been demonstrated in only a very few tissues, therefore the role of A₁ adenosine receptor in the regulation of vascular tone remains unresolved. There are several reports showing diminished vasorelaxation response to adenosine in hypertension (Azevedo & Osswald, 1992; Biaggioni, 1992; Fahim *et al.*, 2001; Illes *et al.*, 1989), however there is no clear cut evidence of exaggerated contractile response to adenosine A₁ receptor in hypertension.

Therefore, in the present study we examined the effects of specific A₁ (Evans, 1992) and A₂ (Makujina *et al.*, 1992) adenosine receptor agonists on the isolated aorta of normotensive (WKY) and spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) rats.

Methods

Spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) and Wistar Kyoto (WKY) male rats of same age (15–17 weeks) were purchased from Taconic (NY) and housed in plastic cages. Mean body weights of these rats were 282 ± 4 g ($n=18$) and 345 ± 5 g ($n=20$) for SHR and WKY, respectively. All animals were fed standard chow and water *ad libitum*. Twelve hour light/dark cycle was maintained. All experiments were approved by the School of Medicine, East Carolina University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were carried out under the guidelines for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. Each rat was weighed before use and blood pressure was measured in the conscious state by using tail cuff pump (model 20-NW), pulse amplifier (model 59) and HR-pressure meter (model 72) of IITC, Inc. Woodland Hills, CA, U.S.A., using a polygraph (model 7D, Grass Inst. Co., Quincy, MA, U.S.A.). Mean systolic blood pressures were 175 ± 4 and 124 ± 2 mm Hg in SHR and WKY, respectively.

The rats were sacrificed by decapitation. Aortae were dissected and cleaned of fat and connective tissue. Rings of approximately 4 mm in length were prepared and vertically mounted in 10 ml organ baths filled with Krebs–Henseleit solution and oxygenated with 95% O₂ + 5% CO₂ (pH 7.4, 37°C). The composition of Krebs–Henseleit buffer was (mM): NaCl, 118; KCl, 4.8; MgSO₄, 1.2; KH₂PO₄ 1.2; NaHCO₃ 25; CaCl₂, 2.5 and glucose, 11. Changes in isometric tension were measured with force transducers (Grass FT .03) connected to Sensormedics dynographs (R 611). Rings were equilibrated for 1 h under an initial tension of 2 g (determined separately from the length–tension relationship); the buffer being changed every 15 min. Rings were challenged with 10⁻⁷ M (ED ~50) phenylephrine (PE) until constant and reproducible contractions were achieved. ED ~50 values for phenylephrine were calculated from phenylephrine concentration-response curves. The concentration of phenylephrine producing approximately 50% of the maximum tension developed in the aortic rings represents ED ~50. After achieving sustained and reproducible contractions with 10⁻⁷ M PE, various agonists were added in a cumulative fashion to the bath to obtain concentration-response curves. In some of the aortic rings endothelium was denuded by rotating a forcep inside the rings. The integrity of endothelium was assessed by the ability of acetylcholine

(10⁻⁶ M) to relax the PE-contracted vascular rings. In view of the possible biphasic response of adenosine analogues, the tissues were initially contracted with 10⁻⁷ M phenylephrine followed by concentration-response observations.

Concentration-response curves to ENBA, CAD, R-PIA, and CGS-21680 were carried out in endothelium-intact and -denuded rings from SHR and WKY aortae. Concentration-response curves to ENBA, CPA and R-PIA were also carried out following the treatment of endothelium-intact tissues with N-0861, an adenosine A₁ receptor antagonist (Barrett *et al.*, 1992), indomethacin (cyclo-oxygenase inhibitor), superoxide dismutase plus catalase and deferoxamine (free radical scavengers) and dilazep (an adenosine uptake inhibitor). Concentration-response curves to adenosine analogues were also carried out in endothelium-intact rings from SHR and WKY before and after treating the tissues with DMPX (10⁻⁵ M), an adenosine A₂ receptor antagonist (VanGalen *et al.*, 1992).

Drugs

Phenylephrine, acetylcholine, indomethacin, superoxide dismutase, catalase, deferoxamine and 2-chloroadenosine, were obtained from Sigma. N⁶-cyclopentyladenosine (CPA), R-N⁶-(2-phenylisopropyl)adenosine, (R-PIA), (2S)-N⁶-[2-endo-Norbornyl]adenosine (S-ENBA), 2-p-(2-carboxyethyl)-phenethylamine-5'-N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (CGS-21680), N⁶-endonorboman-2-yl-9-methyladenine (N-0861), and 3,7-dimethyl-1-propargylxanthine (DMPX), N^G monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) were purchased from Research Biochemicals, Inc. (MA). Dilazep was a gift from Asta Medica (Frankfurt, Germany) and N-0861 was a gift from Discovery Therapeutics Inc. (Richmond, VA, U.S.A.).

Statistical analysis

The responses to adenosine analogues were expressed as per cent contraction over that obtained with 10⁻⁷ M PE. All values are expressed as mean \pm s.e.mean. Statistical significance was determined using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences from the control (tension produced by 10⁻⁷ M phenylephrine) were calculated using a paired, 2-tailed, Student's *t*-test. The *P* value for statistical significance was set at 0.05 level.

Results

Concentration-response curves to adenosine receptor agonists

Phenylephrine (10⁻⁷ M) produced 750 ± 66 mg tension in endothelium intact aortic rings of WKY, 895 ± 87 mg in SHR, 790 ± 71 mg and 882 ± 79 mg in endothelium-denuded aortic rings of WKY and SHR respectively. The contractile responses to 10⁻⁷ M phenylephrine were not statistically different ($P > 0.05$) between WKY and SHR. ENBA (10⁻¹⁰–10⁻⁶ M) produced significant ($P < 0.05$) contractile response in endothelium-intact aortic rings from SHR (Figure 1A). It produced maximum contractile effect at a concentration of 10⁻⁸ M in SHR rings. Higher concentrations of ENBA caused reduction in contractile response in SHR and at 10⁻⁵ M concentration, contractile effect of ENBA had disappeared




Figure 1 Concentration-response curves for adenosine analogues ENBA (A), R-PIA (B), CPA (C), CAD (D) and CGS 21680 (E) in endothelium-intact and -denuded aortic rings from WKY and SHR. *Significantly different from the control (C on X-axis); $P < 0.05$. The points represent the mean and the vertical lines the s.e.mean.

(Figure 1A). Endothelium-denuded rings from SHR and endothelium-intact or -denuded rings from WKY did not show any significant ($P > 0.05$) contractile response to ENBA (Figure 1A).

Endothelium-intact rings from SHR showed contractile response to R-PIA and CPA at concentrations ranging from 10^{-10} – 10^{-6} M which were diminished at 10^{-5} M (Figure 1B,C). Endothelium-denuded rings from SHR did not show any significant contractile response to R-PIA or CPA (Figure 1B,C). R-PIA or CPA did not produce any contractile effect in WKY tissues with or without endothelium (Figure 1B,C). At higher concentrations (10^{-6} – 10^{-5} M) of R-PIA or CPA, a vasorelaxant effect was observed which was attenuated in endothelium-denuded rings from WKY (Figure 1B,C).

CAD and CGS-21680 at concentrations ranging from 10^{-9} – 10^{-8} M showed a small contractile response in endothelium-intact SHR tissues which was not found to be statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) (Figure 1D,E). CAD and CGS-21680 did not produce any contraction in WKY tissues (Figure 1D,E). CAD (10^{-7} – 10^{-5} M) and CGS-21680 (10^{-8} – 10^{-5} M) produced significant relaxations in endothelium-

intact aortic rings which were attenuated in the absence of endothelium in rings from SHR (Figure 1E).

Effect of N-0861 on the responses to adenosine analogues

N-0861 (10^{-5} M), a relatively A₁ selective antagonist (Barrett *et al.*, 1992) did not produce any significant ($P > 0.05$) effect on the contractile response to phenylephrine (10^{-7} M) in WKY and SHR tissues and abolished the contractions elicited by ENBA (Figure 2A), R-PIA and CPA. R-PIA produced a concentration-dependent relaxant response in endothelium-intact aortic rings from SHR (Figure 3A,C). However, the relaxation response in WKY was not affected by N-0861.

Effect of dilazep and indomethacin on responses to ENBA

Treatment of aortic rings from WKY and SHR with dilazep (10^{-5} M) caused a significant fall in the contractile response to phenylephrine (10^{-7} M) (Table 1). The contractile response to higher concentrations of ENBA in SHR was more in the rings treated with dilazep than the control




Figure 2 Effect of N-0861 (A), dilazep (B), indomethacin (C) and free radical scavenger (superoxide dismutase + catalase + deferoxamine) (D) treatment on the concentration-response curve for ENBA in WKY and SHR before and after the treatment. *Significantly different from the control (C on X-axis); $P < 0.05$. The points represent the mean and vertical lines the s.e.mean.




Figure 3 Effect of N-0861 (A, C) and free radical scavengers (superoxide dismutase + catalase + deferoxamine) treatment on the concentration-response curves for R-PIA (B) and CPA (D) in WKY and SHR before and after the treatment. *Significantly different from the control (C on X-axis); $P < 0.05$. The points represent the mean and vertical lines the s.e.mean.

(Figure 2B). Indomethacin produced a significant fall in the contractile response to phenylephrine (10^{-7} M) only in SHR and not in WKY (Table 1). In the presence of Indomethacin (10^{-5} M) ENBA produced significant relaxations in endothelium-intact aortic rings from WKY and SHR (Figure 2C).

Effect of free radical scavengers on the responses to adenosine analogues

Incubation of tissues with free radical scavengers produced a significant fall in contractile response to phenylephrine (10^{-7} M) in SHR (Table 1). Pretreatment of endothelium-

Table 1 Influence of indomethacin (10 µM), dilazap (10 µM), free radical scavenger (superoxide dismutase 150 U ml⁻¹, catalase 600 U ml⁻¹ and deferoxamine 10⁻⁴ M and DMPX on contractile response (mg) to 10⁻⁷ M phenylephrine in WKY and SHR.

Treatment	n	WKY		n	SHR	
		Cont.	Treated		Cont.	Treated
Indomethacin	5	810 ± 72	824 ± 91	5	935 ± 82	812 ± 70*
Dilazap	5	827 ± 59	732 ± 66*	5	902 ± 101	792 ± 111*
Free radical scavenger	5	790 ± 85	752 ± 75*	5	907 ± 92	810 ± 88*
DMPX	3	875 ± 79	952 ± 102	5	914 ± 101	972 ± 98

The data are expressed as mean ± s.e.mean, *n* = number of animals in each group, from each animal five tissues were taken. A paired test was used to test the differences in response to phenylephrine between control and after treatment. *Significantly different from the control; *P* < 0.05.

intact tissues with superoxide dismutase (150 U ml⁻¹), catalase (600 U ml⁻¹) and deferoxamine (10⁻⁴ M) abolished the contractile response to ENBA in SHR (Figure 2D) and produced an increased relaxation in both SHR and WKY tissues. Similar responses were observed with R-PIA and CPA in both SHR and WKY tissues treated with free radical scavengers (Figure 3B,D).

Effect of DMPX on the responses to adenosine analogues

Treatment of tissues with A₂ adenosine receptor antagonist DMPX produced larger contractile response to 10⁻⁷ M phenylephrine in both WKY and SHR (Table 1). Concentration-response curves to adenosine analogues were carried out in the absence and presence of A₂ adenosine receptor antagonist DMPX (10⁻⁵ M) (VanGalen *et al.*, 1992). Blocking of A₂ adenosine receptors, which are known to be involved in vasorelaxation elicited by adenosine, did not alter the contractile response of adenosine analogues R-PIA and CPA in SHR tissues while blocking the relaxation response (data not shown). Also, the inhibition of NO production with N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) did not abolish the contractile response in SHR tissues to adenosine analogues R-PIA and CPA (data not shown).

Discussion

Our results clearly demonstrate a contractile response to several adenosine analogues (ENBA, CPA and R-PIA) in endothelium-intact aortic rings from SHR but not in WKY. These contractions are known to be directed by activation of A₁ adenosine receptors (Linden, 1991). The contractions by these adenosine analogues in SHR tissues were blocked by N-0861, an antagonist for A₁ adenosine receptor. DMPX, an A₂ adenosine receptor antagonist (VanGalen *et al.*, 1992) did not affect the contractile response to the adenosine analogues with a preference to A₁ receptor in SHR suggesting that the nature of A₂ antagonism by DMPX was competitive.

Previous studies have shown that the vasorelaxation response to adenosine and its analogues is attenuated in certain pathological conditions affecting the blood vessels, e.g., hypertension (Fahim *et al.*, 1993; Li & Bukoski, 1993; Lockette *et al.*, 1986; Luscher *et al.*, 1987) and diabetes (Tefamariam & Cohen, 1992). Furthermore, the use of a more specific A₁ adenosine receptor agonist ENBA, which has an A₁/A₂ potency ratio of 4700 in rat brain membranes (Trivedi *et al.*, 1989) produced a significant contraction response in comparison to other A₁ adenosine analogues (R-

PIA and CPA) (Linden, 1991). Vascular smooth muscle from WKY did not show contractile response to any A₁ adenosine receptor agonist, therefore, it is unlikely that under normal conditions there is counteraction of A₁ receptor mediated contractions by A₂ receptor-mediated relaxations as firstly, A₁-selective agonists did not evoke contractions in WKY, and secondly, antagonism of A₂ receptors did not reveal contractions. However, in our study, neither DMPX, an A₂ receptor antagonist (VanGalen *et al.*, 1992) nor inhibition of NO synthesis by L-NMMA could influence the contractile response to adenosine analogues in SHR aorta. Removal of the endothelium abolished the contractile response to ENBA, R-PIA and CPA, while response to CGS-21680, and CAD was significantly reduced. CGS-21680 produced the maximum relaxation in WKY in comparison to other analogues, suggesting its high specificity for A₂ receptor mediation. In vascular tissues from WKY rats, CAD and CGS-21680 did not produce any contraction and caused stronger relaxations as compared to SHR. The difference in the vasorelaxant responses to CAD and CGS-21680 between SHR and WKY vascular tissues were reduced in endothelium-denuded rings, suggesting that the attenuation in vasorelaxation to CAD and CGS-21680 in SHR tissues is due to endothelium malfunction. The biphasic response to several adenosine analogues in endothelium-intact tissues of SHR suggests the presence of both constrictor (A₁) and dilator (A₂) adenosine receptors. The presence of A₁ and A₂ adenosine receptors has been reported in guinea-pig aorta (Stogall & Shaw, 1990) and ventral aorta of the dogfish shark (Evans, 1992). Since DMPX or L-NMMA did not produce any significant effect on contractile response of ENBA, R-PIA, and CPA, therefore, it is unlikely that inhibition of A₂ receptor mediated relaxation or release of EDRF would have any role in the adenosine A₁ receptor induced vasoconstrictor response in SHR. The chronic exposure of rats to A₁ adenosine receptor agonist R-PIA decreases A₁ receptor number along with an increase in basal and agonist stimulated adenylate cyclase activity in adipocytes and it is suggested that the regulation of both G₂ and G₁ proteins had a major role in the desensitization process of A₁ receptor-adenylate cyclase system (Parsons & Stiles, 1987). Therefore, the lack of contraction in aortae from SHR at higher concentrations of the agonists during cumulative concentration-response desensitization of the A₁ receptor.

The other possible mechanism(s) involved in contractile response to adenosine analogues in SHR could be the release of EDCF and/or cyclo-oxygenase products from the vascular endothelium. Inhibition of endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation to agonists in blood vessels of hypertensive (Li &

Bukoski, 1993; Luscher *et al.*, 1990) and diabetic (Tsfamariam & Cohen, 1992) animals has been suggested to be due to vasoconstrictor cyclo-oxygenase products. The release of a cyclo-oxygenase dependent EDCF (Auch-Schwelk & Vanhoutte, 1992; Taddei & Vanhoutte, 1993) in SHR vessels also indicated the involvement of cyclo-oxygenase products in the vasoconstriction response. In our study, contractile response of adenosine analogues in SHR aorta was blocked by indomethacin suggesting that the contractions elicited by adenosine analogues in SHR could partly be mediated through the release of certain cyclo-oxygenase products (EDCF) from the endothelial cells of SHR aorta. Earlier studies have indicated the release of a cyclo-oxygenase dependent EDCF in SHR blood vessels (Auch-Schwelk & Vanhoutte, 1992; Taddei & Vanhoutte, 1993). Cyclo-oxygenase mediated contractile response to A₁ adenosine receptor stimulation has been reported in other tissues also. In cat lung and guinea-pig uterus and trachea, responses mediated by A₁ receptors are markedly attenuated by inhibitor of cyclo-oxygenase (Caparrotta *et al.*, 1984; Neely *et al.*, 1991; Schiemann *et al.*, 1991). These workers suggested that smooth muscle contraction in these tissues may be secondary to the synthesis of prostaglandin from arachidonic acid indicating the involvement of cyclo-oxygenase products in the contractile response to A₁ receptor stimulation.

Oxygen-derived free radicals are known to inhibit endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation (Todoki *et al.*, 1992; Tsfamariam & Cohen, 1992) and cause endothelium-dependent contraction (Katusic *et al.*, 1993) through cyclo-oxygenase pathway. In this study, free radical scavengers (superoxide dismutase + catalase + deferoxamine) blocked the contractile response to A₁ adenosine receptor agonists in

SHR aorta indicating a role of oxygen-derived free radical generation from the endothelium.

Indomethacin or free radical scavenger *per se* caused significant relaxation of the aortic rings from WKY and SHR. Abolition of contractile response of ENBA following indomethacin or free radical scavenger treatment could not be due to the fall in tension of PE contracted aortic rings because a similar fall in tension by dilazep, an adenosine uptake inhibitor, did not block ENBA induced contractile response of SHR aorta. Treatment of tissues with a higher concentration of PE (5×10^{-7} M) producing same magnitude of contraction as before incubation of tissue with indomethacin or free radical scavengers did not produce any contractile response to ENBA. Dilazep treated tissues showed a small increase in the contraction of SHR tissues by ENBA probably due to the fall in tension by dilazep.

In conclusion, these data indicate that in SHR aorta, the endothelium-dependent contractile response to adenosine analogues appeared to be A₁ adenosine receptor mediated and the relaxant response in WKY and SHR aortae appeared to be through A₂ adenosine receptors. The contractions evoked by A₁ adenosine receptor agonists in SHR aorta are likely to be mediated by free radicals possibly generated through the increased release of cyclo-oxygenase products from the endothelium of SHR aorta.

This work was supported by NIH grant HL 27339. The authors wish to thank Ms Pam Wynne and Ms Maninder for typing the manuscript.

References

- AUCH-SCHWELK, W. & VANHOUTTE, P.M. (1992). Contractions due to endothelium in normotensive and spontaneously hypertensive rats: role of endothelium and prostaglandins. *Blood Pressure*, **1**, 45–49.
- AZEVEDO, I. & OSSWALD, W. (1992). Does adenosine malfunction play a role in hypertension? *Pharmacol. Res.*, **25**, 227–236.
- BARRETT, R.J., DROPPLEMAN, D.A. & WRIGHT, K.F. (1992). N-0861 selectively antagonizes adenosine A₁ receptors *in vivo*. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **216**, 9–16.
- BELARDINELLI, J.L., LINDEN, J. & BERNE, R.M. (1989). The cardiac effects of adenosine. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.*, **32**, 73–97.
- BIAGGIONI, I. (1992). Contrasting excitatory and inhibitory effects of adenosine in blood pressure regulation. *Hypertension*, **20**, 457–465.
- BRUNS, R.F. (1991). Role of adenosine in energy supply/demand balance. *Nucleosides and Nucleotides*, **10**, 931–943.
- BRUNS, R.F., DALY, J.W. & SNYDER, S.H. (1980). Adenosine receptors in brain membranes: Binding of cyclohexyl [³H] adenosine and 1,3-diethyl-8[³H] phenylxanthine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **77**, 5547.
- CAPARROTTA, L., CILLO, F., FASSIAN, G. & GAION, R.M. (1984). Dual effect of (–)-N⁶-phenylisopropyladenosine on guinea-pig trachea. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **83**, 23–29.
- CUSHING, D.J., BROWN, G.L., SABOUNI, M.H. & MUSTAFA, S.J. (1991). Adenosine receptor-mediated coronary artery relaxation and cyclic nucleotide production. *Am. J. Physiol.*, **261** (Heart Circ Physiology 3B), H343–H348.
- EVANS, D.H. (1992). Evidence for the presence of A₁ and A₂ adenosine receptors in the ventral aorta of the dogfish shark, *Squalus acanthias*. *J. Comp. Physiol. B.*, **162**, 179–183.
- FAHIM, M., HUSSAIN, T. & MUSTAFA, S.J. (2001). Relaxation of rat aorta by adenosine in diabetes with and without hypertension; role of endothelium. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **412**, 51–59.
- ILLES, P., RICKMANN, H., BROD, I., BUCHER, I. & STOCLET, J.C. (1989). Subsensitization of presynaptic adenosine A₁-receptors in caudal arteries of spontaneous hypertensive rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **174**, 237–251.
- KATUSIC, Z.C., SCHUGEL, J., COSENTINO, F. & VANHOUTTE, P.M. (1993). Endothelium-dependent contractions to oxygen derived free radicals in the canine basilar artery. *Am. J. Physiol.*, **264** (Heart Circ. Physiol. 33), H859–H864.
- LAURENT, M., HILLARIE-BUYS, D., CHAPAL, J., DIETZ, S., PORTET, K., GROS, G. & MICHEL, A. (1999). Contrasting effects of streptozotocin-induced diabetes on the *in vitro* relaxant properties of adenosine in rat pancreatic vascular bed and thoracic aorta. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.*, **360**(3), 309–316.
- LEWIS, C.D., HOURANI, S.M., LONG, C.J. & COLLIS, M.G. (1994). Characterization of adenosine receptors in the rat aorta. *General Physiology*, **25**, 1381–1387.
- LI, J. & BUKOSKI, R.D. (1993). Endothelium-dependent relaxation of hypertensive resistance arteries is not impaired under all conditions. *Circ. Res.*, **72**, 290–296.
- LINDEN, J. (1991). Structures and function of A₁ adenosine receptors. *FASEB J.*, **5**, 2668–2676.
- LOCKETTE, W., OSAKA, Y. & CARRETERO, O. (1986). The loss of endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation in hypertension. *Hypertension*, **8**, II61–II66.

- LUSCHER, T.F., AARCHUS, L.L. & VANHOUTTE, P.M. (1990). Indomethacin improves the impaired endothelium-dependent relaxations in small mesenteric arteries of the spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Am. J. Hypertension*, **3**, 55–58.
- LUSCHER, T.F., VANHOUTTE, P.M. & RAIJ, L. (1987). Antihypertensive treatment normalizes decreased endothelium-dependent relaxations in rats with salt-induced hypertension. *Hypertension*, **9**, III193–III197.
- MAKUJINA, S.R., SABOUNI, M.H., BHATIA, S. & MUSTAFA, S.J. (1992). Vasodilatory effects of adenosine A₂ receptor agonists CGS 21680 and CSG 22492 in human vasculature. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **222**, 243–247.
- MUSTAFA, S.J. & ASKAR, A.O. (1985). Evidence suggesting a R_a-type adenosine receptor in bovine coronary arteries. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **232**, 49–56.
- NEELY, C.F., HAILE, D.M., CAHILL, B.E. & KADOWITZ, P.J. (1991). Adenosine and ATP produce vasoconstriction in the feline pulmonary vascular bed by different mechanisms. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **258**, 753–761.
- PARSONS, W.J. & STILES, G.L. (1987). Heterologous desensitization of the inhibitory A₁ adenosine receptor-adenylate cyclase system in rat adipocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **262**, 841–847.
- PRENTICE, D.J. & HOURANI, S.M. (1996). Activation of multiple sites by adenosine analogues in the rat isolated aorta. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **118**, 1509–1517.
- RAMAGOPAL, V.M., MONTAMAT, S.C., BRUNS, R.F. & VESTAL, R.E. (1993). Cardiac functional responses to adenosine by P, D, 81, 723, an allosteric enhancer of the adenosine A₁ receptor. *Am. J. Physiol.*, **264** (Heart Circ. Physiol 33), H1017–H1022.
- SCHIEMANN, W.P., DOGGWILER, K.O. & BUXTON, I.L.O. (1991). Action of adenosine in estrogen-primed nonpregnant guinea-pig myometrium: Characterization of the smooth muscle receptor and coupling to phosphoinositide metabolism. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **258**, 429–437.
- STOGGALL, S.M. & SHAW, J.S. (1990). The co-existence of adenosine A₁ and A₂ receptors in guinea-pig aorta. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **190**, 329–335.
- TADDEI, S. & VANHOUTTE, P.M. (1993). Role of endothelium in endothelium-evoked contractions in the rat aorta. *Hypertension*, **21**, 9–15.
- TESFAMARIAM, B. & COHEN, R.A. (1992). Free radicals mediated endothelial cell dysfunction caused by elevated glucose. *Am. J. Physiol.*, **263** (Heart Circ Physiol 32), H321–H326.
- TODOKI, K., OKABE, E., KIYOSE, T., SEKISHITA, T. & ITO, H. (1992). Oxygen free radical-mediated selective endothelial dysfunction in isolated coronary artery. *Am. J. Physiol.*, **263** (Heart Circ. Physiol. 32), H806–H812.
- TRIVEDI, B.K., BRIDGES, J.A., PATT, W.C., PRIEBE, S.R. & BRUNS, R.F. (1989). N⁶-bicycloalkyladenosines with high potency and selectivity for the adenosine A₁ receptor. *J. Med. Chem.*, **32**, 8–11.
- VANGALEN, P.J.M., STILES, G.L., MICHAELS, G. & JACOBSON, K.A. (1992). Adenosine A₁ and A₂ receptors: Structure-function relationships. *Med. Res. Rev.*, **12**, 423–471.

(Received September 25, 2001

Revised October 8, 2001

Accepted October 9, 2001)