# Journal of the National Association of Administrative Law Judiciary

Volume 23 Issue 3 Manual for Administrative Law Judges

Article 5

1-15-2004

# Appendix IV - Books, Articles (Federal & State) & State (Books & Articles)

Morell E. Mullins

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/naalj



Part of the Administrative Law Commons, and the Judges Commons

# Recommended Citation

Morell E. Mullins, Appendix IV - Books, Articles (Federal & State) & State (Books & Articles), 23 J. Nat'l Ass'n Admin. L. Judges. (2004) available at http://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/naalj/vol23/iss3/5

This Special is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at Pepperdine Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the National Association of Administrative Law Judiciary by an authorized administrator of Pepperdine Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Kevin.Miller3@pepperdine.edu.

# APPENDIX IV: BIBLIOGRAPHY BOOKS & ARTICLES

# BIBLIOGRAPHY BOOKS, ARTICLES (FEDERAL & STATE) & STATE (BOOKS & ARTICLES)

#### **BOOKS**

BERNSTEIN, MARVER H., REGULATING BUSINESS BY INDEPENDENT COMMISSION. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1955.

BORCHERS, ET AL., FUNDAMENTALS OF ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION. Government Law Center of Albany Law School, Albany NY, 1997.

BURGER, PAULA, JUDGES IN SEARCH OF A COURT: CHARACTERISTICS, FUNCTIONS AND PERCEPTIONS OF FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES. University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor, MI, 1984. (Ph.D. dissertation, Johns Hopkins University, 1984.)

CHAMBERLAIN, JOSEPH; DOWLING, NOEL; AND HAYS, PAUL, THE JUDICIAL FUNCTION IN FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES, The Commonwealth Fund, 1942; reprinted by Books for Libraries Press, Freeport, NY, 1970.

COFER, DONNA, JUDGES, BUREAUCRATS AND THE QUESTION OF INDEPENDENCE: A STUDY OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION HEARING PROCESS, Greenwood Press, Westport, CT, 1985.

DIXON, ROBERT G., JR., SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY AND MASS JUSTICE: A PROBLEM IN WELFARE ADMINISTRATION. Praeger Publishers, New York, NY, 1973.

GOLDBERG, MELVIN D., GOLDBERG'S DESKBOOK ON EVIDENCE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES. National Judicial College, Reno, NV. 1993

MASHAW, JERRY L., BUREAUCRATIC JUSTICE: MANAGING SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY CLAIMS. New Haven: Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, 1983.

MASHAW, JERRY L., ET. AL., SOCIAL SECURITY HEARINGS AND APPEALS, Lexington Books, Lexington, MA, 1978.

MILLER, EDWARD, AN ADMINISTRATIVE APPRAISAL OF THE NLRB, University of Pennsylvania, The Wharton School, Industrial Research Unit, Labor Relations and Public Policy Series No. 16, 1977.

MUSOLF, LLOYD D., FEDERAL EXAMINERS AND THE CONFLICT OF LAW AND ADMINISTRATION. The Johns Hopkins University Studies in Historical and Political Science, Series LXX, Number 1, The Johns Hopkins Press, 1953; reprinted by Greenwood Press, Inc., Westport, CT, 1979.

RICH, MALCOLM C., AND BRUCAR, WAYNE E., THE CENTRAL PANEL SYSTEM FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: A SURVEY OF SEVEN STATES. American Judicature Society; University Publications of America, Inc., Frederick, MD, 1983.

RUHLEN, MERRITT, MANUAL FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES, rev., ed. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1982. Prepared for the Administrative Conference of the United States.

#### ARTICLES: FEDERAL AND STATE

Abrams, Norman, Administrative Law Judge Systems: The California View, 20 Admin. L. Rev. 487 (1977).

Ames, H.C., The Hearing Examiner and the Riss Decision, 18 ICC Prac. J. 729 (1951).

Asimow, Michael, *The Administrative Judiciary: ALJ's in Historical Perspective*, 19 J. NAALJ 25 (1999).

Asimow, Michael, When the Curtain Falls: Separation of Functions in the Federal Administrative Agencies, 81 Colum. L. Rev. 759 (1981).

Asimow, Michael, Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals (Symposium: Contemporary Issues in Administrative Adjudication), 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067 (1992).

Auerbach, Carl A., Scope of Authority of Federal Administrative Agencies to Delegate Decision-Making to Hearing Examiners, 48 Minn. L. Rev. 823 (1964).

Barlow, Joyce Krutick, Contempt Powers of the Administrative Law Judge, 12 J. NAALJ 4 (1992).

Benkin, Isaac D., The Shadow World of Administrative Law Judges, 22 Judges J. 20 (Fall 1983).

Berg, Richard, Re-examining Policy Procedures: The Choice Between Rulemaking and Adjudication, 38 Admin. L. Rev. 149 (1986).

Birch, Adolph A., Jr., Equal Justice and the ALJ, 16 J. NAALJ 169 (1996)

Bloomfield, David S., Disability Claims Under the Social Security Act: A Practitioner's Guide to Administrative Procedures, 6 Cap. U.L. Rev. 371 (1977).

Bok, Derek C., A Flawed System, Howard Magazine, May-June 1983, p. 38.

Bono, Charles N., The Evolution and Role of the Administrative Law Judge at the Office of Hearings and Appeals in the Social Security Administration, 15 J. NAALJ 213 (1995)

Borchers, Patrick, Making Findings of Fact and Preparing a Decision, 11 J.NAALS 85 (1991) [cited in Frost, *The Unseen Hand in Administrative Law Decisions: Organizing Principles for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law*, 17 J. NAALJ 151, 171, n. 7 (1997)].

Boyd, Robert R., A Hearing Examiner Comments on the APA and the Rule-Making or Adjudication Controversy, 11 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 424 (1969).

Caccavelli, Michael J., A Too Quick Fix: NLRB One Year Experimental Regulations Granting ALJs the Power to Dispense with Briefs and Decide Cases from the Bench, 9 Admin. L. J. Am. U. 791 (1995).

Caldwell, Louis G., A Federal Administrative Court, 84 U. Pa. L. Rev. 970 (1936).

Cary, William L., Why I Oppose the Divorce of the Judicial Function from Federal Regulatory Agencies, 51 A.B.A.J. 33 (1965).

Cass, Ronald, Allocation of Authority Within Bureaucracies: Empirical Evidence and Normative Analysis, 66 B.U.L. Rev. 1 (1986).

Champagne, Anthony and Danube, Amos, An Empirical Analysis of Decisions of Administrative Law Judges in the Social Security Disability Programs, 64 Geo. L.J. 43 (1975).

Cleary, Timothy F., Some Aspects of Agency Review of Initial Decisions of Administrative Law Judges, 31 Lab. L.J. 531 (1980).

Comment, Social Security Hearings for the Disabled--Who Decides: Trial Examiners or Administrative Law Judges? 69 Nw. U.L. Rev. 915 (1975).

Comment, Administrative Law Judges and the Code of Judicial Conduct: A Need for Regulated Ethics, 94 Dickinson L. Rev. 929 (1990).

Cox, Michael P., *The Model Adjudication Rules* (MARs), 11 T.M. Cooley L. Rev. 75 (1994).

Cramton, Roger C., A Title Change for Federal Hearing Examiners? 'A Rose by Any Other Name. . . ', 40 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 918 (1972).

Cross, Hugh W., Statement to the President's Conference on Administrative Procedure Concerning Appointment and Status of Federal Hearing Officers, 22 ICC Prac. J. 120 (1954).

Cygan, Stanley J., Development of the Model Code of Judicial Conduct for State Administrative Law Judges, 14 J. NAALJ 269 (1994)

Davis, Frederick, Judicialization of Administrative Law: The Trial-Type Hearing and the Changing Status of the Hearing Officer, 1977 Duke L.J. 389.

De Seife, Rudolphe J.A., Administrative Law Reform: A Focus on the Administrative Law Judge, 13 Val. U.L. Rev. 229 (1979).

Dixon, Robert G. Jr., The Welfare State and Mass Justice: A Warning from the Social Security Disability Program, 1972 Duke L.J. 681.

Dorsey, William R., Florida's Continuing Experiment with the Central Panel Process: The Division of Administrative Hearings, 15 J. NAALJ 77 (1995).

Dullea, Charles J., Development of the Personnel Program for Administrative Law Judges, 25 Admin. L. Rev. 41 (1973).

Edles, Gary, The ICC Hearing Process: A Cost-Benefit Approach to Administrative Agency Alternative Dispute Resolution, 16 Trans. L. J. 99 (1987).

Etelson, Jesse, The New ALJ Examination: A Bright, Shining Lie Redux, 43 Admin. L. Rev. 185 (1991).

Fallon, Richard, Enforcing Aviation Safety Regulations: The Case for a Split-Enforcement Model of Agency Adjudication, 4 Admin. L. J. 389 (1991).

Fallon, Richard, Of Legislative Courts, Administrative Agencies and Article III, 101 Harv. L. Rev. 915 (1988).

Fauver, William, An Agenda for Investigation: Should the APA Be Amended to Provide Standards for Agency Review of Administrative Trials? 1973 Duke L.J. 135.

Felter, Edwin L., Jr., The Hidden Executive Branch Judiciary: Colorado's Central Panel Experience - Lessons for the Feds, 14 J. NAALJ 95 (1994).

Felter, Edwin L., Jr. Maintaining the Balance Between Judicial Independence and Judicial Accountability in Administrative Law, 17 J. NAALJ 89 (1997).

Fennell, Wendell, and Young, Fred, *Judicial Independence Under Siege*, 17 J. NAALJ 211 (1997).

Freedman, James O., Review Boards in the Administrative Process, 117 U. Pa. L. Rev. 546 (1969).

Friendly, Henry J., Some Kind of Hearing, 123 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1267 (1975).

Frost, Michael, The Unseen Hand in Administrative Law Decisions: Organizing Principles for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, 17 J. NAALJ 151 (1997).

Fuchs, Ralph F., The Hearing Examiner Fiasco Under The Administrative Procedure Act, 63 Harv. L. Rev. 737 (1950).

Fuchs, Ralph F., Hearing Commissioners, 30 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1342 (1955).

Fuchs, Ralph F., *The Hearing Officer Problem--Symptom and Symbol*, 40 Cornell L.J. 281 (1955).

Funk, William F., Close Enough for Government Work? – Using Informal Procedures for Imposing Administrative Penalties, 24 Set on Hall L. Rev. 1 (1993)

Gardner, Warner, *The Procedures by Which Informal Action is Taken*, 24 Admin. L. Rev. 155 (1972).

Gelder, Larry, and Hemingway, Peter, Argument and Courtroom Theatrics, 16 J. NAALJ 175 (1996)

Gifford, Daniel, Adjudication in Independent Tribunals: The Role of an Alternative Agency Structure, 66 Notre Dame L. Rev. 965 (1991).

Gifford, Daniel, Federal Administrative Law Judges: The Relevance of Past Choices to Future Decisions, 49 Administrative Law Review 1 (1997)

Gilboy, Janet, Regulatory and Administrative Agency Behavior: Accommodation, Amplification, and Assimilation, 17 Law & Policy 3 (1995)

Gladstone, Arthur A., Commentary: The Adjudication Process in Administrative Law, 31 Admin. L. Rev. 237 (1979).

Golin, Elain, Solving the Problem of Gender and Racial Bias in Administrative Action," 95 Colum. L. Rev. 1532 (1995)

Graham, Michael, Application of the Rules of Evidence in Administrative Agency Formal Adversarial Adjudications: A New Approach, 1991 U. Ill. L. Rev. 353 (1991).

Greer, C. Stuart, Expanding the Judicial Power of the Administrative Law Judge to Establish Efficiency and Fairness in Administrative Adjudication, 27 U. Rich. L. Rev. 103 (1992).

Habermann, Robert S., Kalet, Linda, Kobin, Nathan, Lamb, Steve, Lohans, Ellen, and Tapp, Rodney, A Synopsis of the ACUS Report on the Federal Administrative Judiciary, 39 Federal Bar News & Journal, 428 (August 1992) [synopsis of Changing Roles, Changing Attitudes: The New Look of Today's Federal Judiciary).

Hantman, Jack H., For an Administrative Court, 62 A.B.A.J. 360 (1976).

Hale, Gina L., Professionalism: A Call to Excellence, 15 J. NAALJ 6 (1996)

Harders, R. Terrence, Striking a Balance: Administrative Law Judge Independence and Accountability, 19 J. NAALJ 1 (1999).

Hardwicke, John W., The Central Hearing Agency: Theory and Implementation in Maryland, 14 J. NAALJ 5 (1994).

Hardwicke, John, and Yoder, Ronnie A., Does Mandatory Quality Assurance Oversight of ALJ Decisions Violate ALJ Decisional Independence, Due Process or Ex Parte Prohibitions? 17 J. N.A.A.L.J. 75 (1997).

Harves, Duane, The 1981 Model State Administrative Procedure Act: The Impact on Central Panel States, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 661 (1984).

Harwood, Gerald, How Necessary is the Administrative Law Judge? 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 793 (1984).

Hayes, Social Security Disability and the Administrative Law Judges, 17 A.F. L. Rev. 73 (1975).

Hayes, Hearing Examiner Regulations Promulgated Under Section 11 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 18 ICC Prac. J. 189 (1951).

Hayes, Hearing Examiner Status--A Recurrent Problem in Administrative Law, 1954 Ind. L. J. 86 (1954).

Hector, Louis J., Problems of the CAB and the Independent Regulatory Commission, 69 Yale L.J. 931 (1960).

Hector, Louis J., *The Hidden Judiciary and What It Does*, U.S. News & World Report, Nov. 1, 1982.

Heifetz, Alan W., ALJs, ADR, and ADP: The Future of Administrative Adjudication, 1 Widener Journal of Public Law 13 (1992) (Symposium: Administrative Law in the Twenty-First Century).

Hoberg, Allen, Administrative Hearings: State Central Panels in the 1990s, 46 Admin. L. Rev. 75 (1994).

Hoberg, Allen, Administrative Hearings: State Central Panels in the 1990s, 14 J. NAALJ 107 (1994).

Holmes, John C., ALJ Update: A Review of the Current Role, Status and Demographics of the Corps of Administrative Law Judges, 38 Fed. Bar News & J. 202 (May 1991).

Horne, Brockman, Committee on Hearing Officers Asks for Help, 37 J. of the Am. Judicature Soc., 182 (1954).

Hugg, Patrick, Professional Legal Writing: Declaring Your Independence, XI J. NAALS 114 (1991) [cited in Frost, *The Unseen Hand in Administrative Law Decisions: Organizing Principles for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law*, 17 J. NAALJ 151, 171, n. 7 (1997)]

Hugg, Patrick R., Professional Writing Methodology, 14 J. NAALJ 165 (1994)

Huss, Erin Park, Note: Response to the Experimental Role of Settlement Judges in Unfair Labor Practice Proceedings, 37 ARIZ. L. REV. 895 (1995)

Improving the Administrative Process--Time for a New APA? Proceedings of the National Conference on Federal Regulation: Roads to Reform, 32 Admin. L. Rev. 357 (1980).

Independence and the Federal ALJ (Panel Discussion), 18 J. NAALJ 47 (1998).

Jaksetic, Emilio, Computer Literacy for Administrative Law Judges, J. NAALJ 185 (1996).

Jarvis, Donald B., What Does the Administrative Judiciary Do? Judges Journal, Vol. 38, #4 (Fall), p. 1 (1999).

Jones, John Paul, Administrative Law Judges: Past, Present and Future, 12 J. NAALJ 15 (1992).

Joost, Robert and Battaglia, Nancy, The Board for Correction of Military Records of the Coast Guard: A Unique Dispute Resolution Mechanism, 41 Admin. L. J. 441 (1989).

Judging the Judges - An Outsize Job - and Getting Bigger, Time, August 20, 1979, p. 49.

Katcher, Monroe I., New York's Elusive Administrative Law Judge, 54 N.Y. St.B.J. 80 (1982).

Kauper, Karen, Protecting the Independence of Administrative Law Judges: A Model Administrative Law Judge Corps Statute, 18 J. of Law Reform 537 (1985).

Kinnane, Charles H., Administrative Law: Federal Trial Examiners and the Ramspeck Case, 4 De Paul L. Rev. 1 (1954).

Klement, Alice, 'Hidden Judiciary' Fights Back, Nat. L. J., September 24, 1979, p. 18.

Koch, Charles H., Administrative Presiding Officials Today, 46 Admin. L. Rev. 271 (1994).

Koch, Jr., Charles H. and Koplow, David A., The Fourth Bite at the Apple: A Study of the Operation and Utility of the Social Security Administration's Appeals Council, 17 Fla. St. L. Rev. 199 (1990).

Kolb, Harold H. Jr., Res Ipsa Loquitur: The Writing of Opinions XII J. NAALS 53 (1992). [cited in Frost, The Unseen Hand in Administrative Law Decisions: Organizing Principles for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, 17 J. NAALJ 151, 171, n. 7 (1997)].

Lacy, Elizabeth B., Administrative Law: Working Together for Professionalization; Administrative Law Judges, the Judiciary, and the Community, 15 J. NAALJ 21 (1996).

Levant, Michael, A Unified Corps of Administrative Law Judges -- The Transition From a Concept to an Eventual Reality, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 705 (1984).

Levin. A. Leo, and Kunz, Michael E., *Thinking About Judgeships*, 44 AM. U.L. REV. 1627 (1995).

Levinson, Harold, Elements of the Administrative Process: Formal, Semi-Formal and Free-Form Models, 26 Am. U. L. Rev. 872 (1977).

Levinson, Harold, Professional Responsibility Issues in Administrative Adjudication, 2 BYU J. of Pub. Law 219 (1988).

Levinson, Harold, The Proposed Administrative Law Judge Corps: An Incomplete But Important Reform Effort, 19 New Eng. L. Rev. 733 (1983-84).

Lewis, Karen S., Administrative Law Judges and the Code of Judicial Conduct: A Need for Regulated Ethics, 94 Dickinson L. Rev. 929 (1990)(Symposium Issue: Ethics).

Liebman, Ernst., Quality Control for Administrative Law Judges, 18 Judges J. 15 (1979).

Lindh, Frank, An Examination of the Proposed "Closed Record" Administrative Law Judge Hearing in the Social Security Disability Program, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 745 (1984).

Litt, Nahum, Doing It With Mirrors: The Illusion of Independence of Federal Administrative Law Judges, Judges Journal, Vol. 36, #2, p. 27.

Litt, Nahum and Simone, Joseph, An Administrative Law Judge Corps: Its Value and Relation to the Traditional Justice System, 11 Whittier L. Rev. 569 (1989).

Lorch, Robert S., *The Administrative Court Idea Before Congress*, 20 W. Pol. Q. 65 (1967).

Lorch, Robert S., Administrative Court via the Independent Hearing Office, 51 Judicature 114 (1967).

Lorch, Robert S., Federal Administrative Court Idea, 52 A.B.A.J. 635 (1966).

Lubbers, Jeffrey S., The Federal Administrative Judiciary: Establishing an Appropriate System of Performance Evaluations for ALJs, 7 Admin. L.J. Am. U. 589 (1994).

Lubbers, Jeffrey S., Federal Administrative Law Judges: A Focus on Our Invisible Judiciary, 31 Admin. L. Rev. 109 (1981).

Lubbers, Jeffrey S., Federal Agency Adjudications: Trying to See the Forest and the Trees, 33 Fed. Bar News and J. 388 (1984).

Lubbers, Jeffrey S., A Unified Corps of ALJs: A Proposal to Test the Idea at the Federal Level, 68 Judicature 266 (1981).

Lussier, Edward, *The Role of the Article I "Trial Judge"*, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 775 (1984).

McCown, F. Scott, and Leo, Monica, When Can an Agency Change the Findings or Conclusions of an Administrative Law Judge [Part One], 50 Baylor L. Rev. 65 (1998).

McCown, F. Scott, and Leo, Monica, When Can an Agency Change the Findings or Conclusions of an Administrative Law Judge [Part Two], 51 Baylor L. Rev. 63 (1999).

McNeil, Christopher B., The Administrative Hearing Officer and the National Appeals Division of the United States Department of Agriculture: A Brief History, A Contemporary Perspective, and Some Thoughts for the Future, 19 J. NAALJ 75 (1999).

McNeil, Christopher B., Similarities and Differences Between Judges in the Judicial Branch and the Executive Branch: The Further Evolution of Executive Adjudications Under the Administrative Central Panel, 18 J. NAALJ 1 (1998).

Macy, John W., Jr., The APA and the Hearing Examiner: Products of a Viable Political Society, 27 Fed. Bar J. 351 (1967).

Mann, Julian III, Striving for Efficiency in Administrative Litigation: North Carolina's Office of Administrative Hearings, 15 J. NAALJ 151 (1995).

Mans, Thomas C., Selecting the 'Hidden Judiciary': How the Merit Process Works in Choosing Administrative Law Judges, (2 Parts), 63 Judicature 60, 130 (1979).

Marland, Melissa K., Confessions of an Administrative law Judge: Ten Mistakes That Lawyers Make, 8 Compleat Lawyer 34 (Spring 1991).

Marquardt, Ronald G. and Wheat, Edward M., Case Processing by Administrative Agencies: Administrative Law Judge Perceptions vs. Reality, Paper presented to Western Political Science Ass'n Convention (March 26, 1982).

Marquardt, Ronald G. and Wheat, Edward M., The Developing Concept of an Administrative Court, 33 Admin. L.J. 301 (1981).

Marquardt, Ronald G. and Wheat, Edward M., Hidden Allocators: Administrative Law Judges and Regulatory Reform, 2 L. & Pol'y Q. 472 (1980).

Martin, Reforming Asylum Adjudication: On Navigating the Coast of Bohemia, 138 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1247 (1990).

Marzloff, George Ernest, Delay in Review of Initial Decisions: The Case for Giving More Finality to the Findings of Fact of the Administrative Law Judge, 35 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 393 (1978).

Mashaw, Jerry L., Organizing Adjudication: Reflections on the Prospect for Artisans in the Age of Robots (Symposium: Contemporary Issues in Administrative Adjudication), 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1055 (1992).

Meierhenry, Judith K., The Due Process Right to an Unbiased Adjudicator in Administrative Proceedings, 36 S.D. L. Rev. 551 (1991).

Miller, C.A., Federal Trial Examiners Should Not Be Subject to Presidential Appointment; Address, 21 ICC Prac. J. 4 (1953).

Miller, Edward B., The Tangled Path to an Administrative Judgeship, 25 Lab. L.J. 3 (1974).

Miller, John T., Jr., The Civil Service Commission's New Hearing Examiner Recruitment Program, 17 Admin. L. Rev. 104 (1961).

Miller, John T., Jr., Continuing Forum for Reform of Administrative Process, 27 Admin. L. Rev. 205 (1975).

Miller, John T., Jr., The Education and Development of Administrative Law Judges, 25 Admin. L. Rev. 7 (1973).

Miller, John T., Jr., Hearing Cases Before Several Agencies--Odyssey of an Administrative Judge--Comment, 27 Admin. L. Rev. 218 (1975).

Miller, John T., Jr., The Need for Improvements in the Hearing Examiner Recruitment Program for the Civil Service Commission, 19 Admin. L. Rev. 319 (1967).

Miller, John T., Jr., The Vice of Selective Certification in the Appointment of Hearing Examiners, 20 Admin. L. Rev. 477 (1968).

Minor, Robert W., *The Administrative Court: Variations on a Theme*, 19 Ohio St. L.J. 380 (1983).

Minow, Newton N., Suggestions for Improvements in the Administrative Process, 15 Admin. L. Rev. 146 (1963).

Model Act Creating a State Central Hearing Agency (Adopted by the House of Delegates of the American Bar Association), 17 J. NAALJ 3131 (1997).

Model Code of Judicial Conduct for Federal Administrative Law Judges, 10 J. NAALJ 131 (1990).

Model Code of Judicial Conduct for State Administrative Law Judges, 14 J. NAALJ 279 (1994).

Model Code of Judicial Conduct for State Administrative Law Judges, 15 J. NAALJ 251 (1995) (noting that it was adopted by the National Conference of Administrative Law Judges at the annual meeting on August 5, 1995).

Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators: American Arbitration Association American Bar Association Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution, 17 J. NAALJ 323 (1997).

Mogel, William A., The Effect of a Claim of Privilege upon the Subpoena Power of an Administrative Law Judge, 28 Drake L. Rev. 67 (1978-79).

Moore, Christine McKenna, Evidence for Administrative Law Judges, 15 J. NAALJ 201 (1995).

Morse, Marvin, The Administrative Law Judge -- A New Direction For the Corps? 30 Fed. Bar News & J. 398 (1983).

Mosher, Lawrence, Here Come the Administrative Law Judges, Nat. L.J., July 28, 1979, p. 1247.

Moss, Debra C., Judges Under Fire, 77 A.B.A.J. 56 (November 1991).

Moss, Debra C., Judges Under fire: ALJ Independence at Issue, 12 J. NAALJ 32 (1992).

Muslof, Lloyd, Administrative Law Judges: A 1948 Snapshot, 46 Admin. L. Rev. 257 (1994).

Musolf, Lloyd D., Independent Hearing Officers: The California Experiment, 14 W. Pol. Q. 195 (1961).

Nathanson, Nathaniel L., *The Administrative Court Proposal*, 57 Va. L. Rev. 996 (1971).

Nathanson, Nathaniel L., Proposals for an Administrative Appellate Court, 25 Admin. L. Rev. 85 (1973).

Neslund, Nancy, Dispute Resolution: A Matrix of Mechanisms, 1990 J. of Dispute Resolution 212 (1990).

Note, Administrative Law Judges, Performance Evaluation, and Production Standards: Judicial Independence Versus Employee Accountability, 54 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 591 (1986).

Note, Veterans' Preference in Public Employment: The History, Constitutionality and Effect on Federal Personnel Practices of Veterans' Preference Legislation, 44 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 623 (1976).

Palmer, Victor, *The Evolving Role of Administrative Law Judges*, 19 New Eng. L. Rev. 755 (1983-84).

Palmer, Victor, and Bernstein, Edwin, Establishing Federal Administrative Law Judges as an Independent Corps: The Heflin Bill, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 673 (1984).

Pfeiffer, Paul N., Hearing Cases Before Several Agencies--Odyssey of an Administrative Law Judge, 27 Admin. L. Rev. 217 (1975).

Pops, Gerald M., Judicialization of Federal Administrative Law Judges: Implications for Policymaking, 81 W. Va. L. Rev. 169 (1979).

Reflections on the Conduct of an Administrative Hearing, Panel Discussion, 20 Admin. L. Rev. 101 (1967).

Rhyne, Charles S., Developing the Prestige of the Hearing Examiner, 47 A.B.A.J. 184 (1961).

Rich, Malcolm C., ed., The Central Panel System: A New Framework for the Use of Administrative Law Judges, 65 Judicature 232 (1981).

Rich, Malcolm C., The Central Panel System and the Decisionmaking Independence of Administrative Law Judges: Lessons for a Proposed Federal Program, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 643 (1984).

Rippey, Charles P., Undermining the Administrative Procedure Act: How ACUS Threatens the Independence and Merit Selection of Federal Administrative Law Judges, 32 Judges Journal 12 (Spring, 1993).

Robie, William and Morse, Marvin, *The Federal Executive Branch Adjudicator:* Alive (and) Well Outside the Administrative Procedure Act? 33 Fed. Bar News & J. 133 (March 1986).

Rogers, James T., *Trial Examiners' Impact on Business Grows*, 21 ICC Prac. J. 187 (1953).

Rosenblum, Victor, Changing Judicial Perceptions of Administrative Decision-Making and of the Status of Administrative Law Judges; Interrelations of Case Law with Statutory and Pragmatic Factors in Determining ALJ Roles, 15 Judges J. 64 (1976).

Rosenblum, Victor, Contexts and Contents of "For Good Cause" as Criterion for Removal of Administrative Law Judges: Legal and Policy Factors, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 593 (1984).

Rosenblum, Victor G., Toward Heightening Impartiality in Social Security Agency Proceedings Involving Administrative Law Judges, 18 J. NAALJ 1 (1998).

Rossi, Jim, ALJ Final Orders on Appeal: Balancing Independence with Accountability, 19 J. NAALJ 1 (1999).

Ruth, Gerald E., Unification of the Administrative Adjudicatory Process: An Emerging Framework to Increase "Judicialization" in Pennsylvania, 16 J. NAALJ 221 (1996).

Rutledge, J.C., Administrative Trial Examiners: The Anonymous Matters, 30 Wash. L. Rev. 26 (1955).

Sahm, Henry S., The Hearing Examiner's Roles in the Federal Administrative Process, 20 Fed. Bar J. 74 (1960).

Scalia, Antonin, The ALJ Fiasco--A Reprise, 47 U. Chi. L. Rev. 57 (1979).

Scalia, Antonin, The Hearing Examiner Loan Program, 1971 Duke L.J. 319.

Schoenbaum, Edward J., A Brief History of the Model Act to Create a State Central Hearing Agency, 17 J. NAALJ 309 (1997).

Schoenbaum, Edward J. Managing Your Docket Efficiently and Effectively, 19 J. NAALJ 37 (1999).

Schoenberger, Allen E., The Active Administrative Law Judge: Is There Harm in an ALJ Asking?, 18 J. NAALJ 399 (1998).

Schoenberger, Allen, Security of Tenure of Administrative Law Judges: How Much Can an ALJ Say and Stay an ALJ? 17 J. NAALJ 219 (1997).

Schwartz, Bernard, Adjudication and the Administrative Procedure Act, 32 Tulsa L.J. 203 (1996) (Symposium).

Segal, Bernard G., The Administrative Law Judge: Thirty Years of Progress and the Road Ahead, 62 A.B.A.J. 1424 (1976).

Sharon, Amiel T., The Measure of an Administrative Law Judge, 19 Judges J. 20 (1980).

Sharon, Amiel and Pettibone, Craig, Merit Selection of Federal Administrative Law Judges, 70 Judicature 216 (1987).

Simeone, Joseph J., The Function, Flexibility, and Future of United States Judges of the Executive Department, 44 Admin. L. Rev. 159 (1992).

Simon, Ruth, For ALJs, Obscurity Is Ending, Nat. L. J., June 6, 1983.

Sippel, Richard L., Collegiality Among Administrative Law Judges - As Well As Independence - Would Be Lost If Judges Are Evaluated By Chief Judges on Policy Correctness, 17 J. NAALJ 97 (1997).

Skoler, Daniel L., The Administrative Judiciary: Change, Challenge, and Choices, 462 The Annals 34 (1982).

Skoler, Daniel L., The Changing Role of Administrative Law Judges: Time to Shift Gears, 22 Judges J. 24 (1983).

Status of the Trial Examiner in Administrative Agencies, 26 Harv. L. Rev. 51 (1974).

Skoler, Daniel L., The Many Faces of High-Volume Administrative Adjudication: Structure, Organization, and Management, 16 J. NAALJ 43 (1996).

Slavin, Edward A., Jr., ALJ Independence Undermined; What the Interior Department Is Doing and Why, 31 Judge's Journal 26 (Spring 1992).

Slavin, Edward A., Jr., The Pecking Order, 31 Judge's Journal 31 (Spring 1992).

Snider, Marshall A., Pet Peeves and Other Musings of an Administrative Law Judge, 26 Colorado Lawyer 17 (Dec. 1997).

Solomon, Daniel F., Medical Expert Testimony in Administrative Hearings, 17 J. NAALJ 285 (1997).

Stander, Irvin, Administrative Decision Writing, 10 J. NAALJ 149 (1990).

Stewart, Kenneth P., Take the "Alternative" Out of Alternative Dispute Resolution; Results of an ADR Survey of Administrative Judges, 62 The Journal of the Kansas Bar Association 14 (Jan. 1993).

Symposium: Administrative Law Judges, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 587 (1984).

Symposium: Contemporary Issues in Administrative Adjudication, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1055 (1992).

Symposium: The Fiftieth Anniversary of the Administrative Procedure Act, 32 Tulsa L. J. 203 (1996).

Symposium: Review of Administrative Adjudication, 26 Admin. L. Rev. 51 (1974).

Thomas, Morgan, Tales from the Forgotten Judiciary, 63 A.B.A.J. 301 (1977).

Thomas, Morgan, The Selection of Federal Hearing Examiners: Pressure Groups and the Administrative Process, 59 Yale L.J. 431 (1950).

Thomas, Morgan, The 350 Hearing Examiners: Chairman Wiley Asks for Open Choice for Witness, 33 A.B.A.J. 421 (1947).

Timony, James P., Demeanor Credibility, 49 Cath. U.L. Rev. 903 (2000).

Timony, James P., Disciplinary Proceedings Against Federal Administrative Law Judges, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 807 (1984).

Timony, James P., Performance Evaluation of Federal Administrative Law Judges, 7 Admin, L.J. Am. U. 629 (1993-94).

Tuggle, Kenneth H., Status of Federal Hearing Examiners, 22 ICC Prac. J. 129 (1954).

Vera, Jorge J., Social Security Disability Ping Pong and Contrasting Approaches in the Second Circuit: The Central Role of an Active ALJ (Case Note), 16 J. NAALJ 300 (1996).

Verkuil, Paul, A Study of Immigration Procedures, 31 UCLA L. Rev. 1141 (1984).

Verkuil, Paul, A Study of Informal Adjudication Procedures, 43 U. Chi. L. Rev. 739 (1976).

Verkuil, Paul, *The Emerging Concept of Administrative Procedure*, 78 Colum. L. Rev. 258 (1978).

Verkuil, Paul, *Reflections Upon the Federal Administrative Judiciary*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1341 (1992) (Symposium).

Von Rintelin, Victor A., Hearing Examiner Recruitment and the Government Lawyer, 35 ICC Prac. J. 7 (1967).

Wagner, Warren H., The Future of the Interstate Commerce Commission and Its Examiners, 19 ICC Prac. J. 271 (1951).

Wald, Patricia, Some Thoughts on Beginning and Ends: Court of Appeals Review

of Administrative Law Judges' Findings and Opinions, 67 Wash. U.L.Q. 661 (1989).

Weaver, Russell L., ALJ Support Systems: Staff Attorneys and Decision Writers, 15 J. NAALJ 89 (1996).

Weaver, Russell L., Management of ALJ Offices in Executive Departments and Agencies, 47 Admin. L. Rev. 303 (1995).

Westwood, Howard C., Administrative Proceedings: Techniques of Presiding, 50 A.B.A.J. 659 (1964).

Wheeler, Edd, A Breach Too Far: The Assault on Judges' Professionalism by the Office of Hearings and Appeals, 15 J. NAALJ 29 (1996).

Wheeler, Edd, The Courting of Credibility, 14 J. NAALJ 253 (1994).

White, Penny J., *Judicial Courage and Judicial Independence*, 16 J. NAALJ 161 (1996).

Wolfe, Jeffrey, and Proszek, Lisa B., Interaction Dynamics in Federal Administrative Decision Making: The Role of the Inquisitorial Judge and the Adversarial Lawyer, 33 Tulsa L. J. 293 (1997).

Woodall, Emery J., Appointment and Compensation of Federal Hearing Examiners, 10 Fed. Bar J. 391 (1949).

Yoder, Ronnie A., A Critique of the ACUS Report on the Federal Administrative Judiciary, 39 Federal Bar News & Journal 423 (August 1992) [critique of Changing Roles, Changing Attitudes: The New Look of Today's Federal Judiciary).

Yoder, Ronnie A., Evaluation: Where Are We? Where Are We Going?, 14 J. NAALJ 303 (1994).

Yoder, Ronnie A., and Hardwicke, John, Yoder-Hardwicke Dialogue: Does Mandatory Quality Assurance Oversight of ALJ Decisions Violate ALJ Decisional Independence, Due Process or Ex Parte Prohibitions?, 17 J. NAALJ 75 (1997).

Young, Ann Marshall, Evaluation of ALJ's: Premises, Means, and Ends, 17 J. NAALJ 1 (Spring 1997).

Young, Ann Marshall, Judicial Administration in Administrative Adjudication: Past, Present, and Future, 19 J. NAALJ 101 (1999).

Zankel, Norman, A Unified Corps of Federal Administrative Law Judges is Not Needed, 6 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 723 (1984).

Zelenske, Ethel, and Udell, David S., Defining and Addressing ALJ Bias and Unfitness in the Social Security System, 27 Clearinghouse Review 1460 (1994).

Zwerdling, Joseph, Reflections on the Role of an Administrative Law Judge, 25 Admin. L. Rev. 9 (1973).

Zwerdling, Joseph, *The Role and Functions of Federal Hearing Examiners*, 400 The Annals 27 (1972).

# STATE ADJUDICATION: BOOKS AND ARTICLES

Abrams, Norman, Administrative Law Judge Systems: The California View, 20 Admin. L. Rev. 487 (1977).

Asimow, Michael, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals* (Symposium: Contemporary Issues in Administrative Adjudication), 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067 (1992).

Asimow, Michael, The Fiftieth Anniversary of the Administrative Procedure Act: Past and Prologue: The Influence of the Federal Administrative Procedure Act on California's New Administrative Procedure Act, 32 Tulsa L.J. 297 (1996) (Symposium).

Bybee, J.S., Agency Expertise, ALJ Independence, and Administrative Courts: The Recent Changes in Louisiana's Administrative Procedure Act, 59 La. L. Rev. 431 (1999).

Dorsey, William R., Florida's Continuing Experiment with the Central Panel Process: The Division of Administrative Hearings, 15 J. NAALJ 77 (1995).

Endris, Lori Kyle, and Penrod, Wayne E., *Judicial Independence in Administrative Adjudication: Indiana's Environmental Solution*," 12 St. John's J. Legal Comment. 125 (1996).

Hardwicke, John W., *The Central Hearing Agency: Theory and Implementation in Maryland*, 14 J. NAALJ 5 (1994).

Hoberg, Allen, Administrative Hearings: State Central Panels in the 1990s, 46 Admin. L. Rev. 75 (1994).

Hoberg, Allen, Administrative Hearings: State Central Panels in the 1990s, 14 J. NAALJ 107 (1994).

McNeil, Christopher B., Due Process and the Ohio Administrative Procedure Act: The Central Panel Proposal, 23 Ohio N.U.L. Rev. 783 (1997).

McNeil, Christopher B., Similarities and Differences Between Judges in the Judicial Branch and the Executive Branch: The Further Evolution of Executive Adjudications Under the Administrative Central Panel, 18 J. NAALJ 1 (1998).

Mann, Julian III, Striving for Efficiency in Administrative Litigation: North Carolina's Office of Administrative Hearings, 15 J. NAALJ 151 (1995).

Model Act Creating a State Central Hearing Agency (Adopted by the House of Delegates of the American Bar Association), 17 J. NAALJ 3131 (1997).

Model Code of Judicial Conduct for State Administrative Law Judges, 14 J. NAALJ 279 (1994).

Model Code of Judicial Conduct for State Administrative Law Judges, 15 J. NAALJ 251 (1995) (noting that it was adopted by the National Conference of Administrative Law Judges at the annual meeting on August 5, 1995).

National Conference of Administrative Law Judges, American Bar Association, A Model Code of Judical Conduct for State Administrative law Judges, 15 J. NAALJ 251 (1995).

Ruth, Gerald E., Unification of the Administrative Adjudicatory Process: An Emerging Framework to Increase "Judicialization" in Pennsylvania, 16 J. NAALJ 221 (1996).

Schoenbaum, Edward J., A Brief History of the Model Act to Create a State Central Hearing Agency, 17 J. NAALJ 309 (1997).

Sherrill, William C., *The Florida Division of Administrative Hearings*, 75 Fla. B. J. 22 (Jan. 2001).

Snider, Marshall A., Pet Peeves and Other Musings of an Administrative Law Judge, 26 Colorado Lawyer 17 (Dec 1997).

Swent, William B., South Carolina's ALJ: Central Panel, Administrative Court, or a Little of Both?" 48 S.C. L. Rev. 1 (1996).

Weaver, Russell, Unification of the Administrative Adjudicatory Process: An Emerging Framework to Increase 'Judicialization' in Pennsylvania, 5 Widener J. Pub. L. 297 (1995).

#### **GOVERNMENT REPORTS**

# Administrative Conference of the United States.

Lester, *Report on Section II Hearing Examiners*, to the Committee on Personnel, Administrative Conference of the U.S. (August 23, 1962).

Name Change for Hearing Officers, Transcript of ACUS Plenary Session, October 22, 1969.

Park, Robert E., Several Matters in Regard to Examiners and Government Attorneys: A Report for the Committee on Personnel on Its Current Recommendations. 1 ACUS 281 424 (1971).

Rosenblum, Victor G., The Administrative Law Judge in the Administrative Process: Interrelations of Case Law with Statutory and Pragmatic Factors in Determining ALJ Roles. Reprinted in Recent Studies Relevant to the Disability Hearings and Appeals Crisis. U.S. Congress. House Committee on Ways and Means. Subcommittee on Social Security. 94th Cong., 1st Sess., December 20, 1975, pp. 171-245.

Federal Administrative Law Judge Hearings-Statistical Report for 1975. Wash., D.C., U.S. Gov't Printing Office (1977).

Federal Administrative Law Judge Hearings-Statistical Report for 1976-1978. Wash., D.C., U.S. Gov't Printing Office (1980). (See especially Lubbers, <u>The Administrative Law Judge</u>, Chapter 1, p.7.)

Administrative Law Judges: Should An Independent Corps Be Created? Transcript of ACUS Plenary Session, July 27, 1987.

Frye, John, *Study of Non-ALJ Hearing Programs*, Report to the Administrative Conference of the U.S. (August, 1991). [Reprinted in 44 Admin. L. Rev. 261 (1992)].

## ACUS Recommendations (Codified at 1 CFR §305) (until 1993):

- \* Recommendation 68-6, Delegation of Final Decisional Authority Subject to Discretionary Review By the Agency
- \* Recommendation 69-6, Compilation of Statistics on Administrative Proceedings by Federal Departments and Agencies

- \* Recommendation 69-9, Recruitment and Selection of Hearing Examiners; Continuing Training for Government Attorneys and Hearing Examiners; Creation of a Center for Continuing Legal Education in Government
- \* Recommendation 72-6, Civil Money Penalties as a Sanction
- \* Recommendation 74-1, Subpena Power in Formal Rulemaking and Formal Adjudication
- \* Recommendation 78-2, Procedures for Determining Social Security Claims
- \* Recommendation 78-3, Time Limits on Agency Actions
- \* Recommendation 79-3, Agency Assessment and Mitigation of Civil Money Penalties
- \* Recommendation 83-3, Agency Structures for Review of Decisions of Presiding Officers Under the Administrative Procedure Act
- \* Recommendation 86-2, Use of Federal Rules of Evidence in Federal Agency Adjudications
- \* Recommendation 86-3, Agencies' Use of Alternative Means of Dispute Resolution
- \* Recommendation 86-4, The Split-Enforcement Model for Agency Adjudication
- \* Recommendation 86-7, Case Management as a Tool for Improving Agency Adjudication
- \* Recommendation 87-12, Adjudication Practices and Procedures of the Federal Bank Regulatory Agencies
- \* Recommendation 88-5, Agency Use of Settlement Judges
- \* Recommendation 90-1, Civil Money Penalties for Federal Aviation Violations
- \* Recommendation 90-4, Social Security Disability Program Appeals Process: Supplementary Recommendations
- \* Recommendation 91-8, Adjudication of Civil Penalties Under the Federal Aviation Act

American Bar Association. Judicial Administration Division. Conference of Administrative Law Judges, Symposium on a Unified Corps of Administrative Law Judges--How Will It Work, Washington, D.C., January 28, 1977.

Associated Staff Attorneys of the Office of Hearings and Appeals. National Treasury Employees Union, Social Security Administrative Law Judges: The Need to Change The Administrative Law Judge Examination (September 1989).

Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government, *Legal Services and Procedure*, Report to the Congress, Recommendation No. 52 (March 1955).

Committee on Administrative Procedure Appointed by the Attorney General, *Administrative Procedure in Government Agencies*, S. Doc. No. 8, 77th Cong., 1st Sess. (1941).

Development Dimensions International, *Development of Criteria and Procedures* for Selection of Administrative Judge Positions, Report to Department of the Army (January 31, 1981).

Federal Trial Examiners Conference. *The Case for Administrative Trial Judge*. Washington, D.C.: The Casillas Press, Inc., Washington, D.C., 1969.

Forum of U.S. Administrative Law Judges, Administrative Law Judges: A Case Study of Recruitment and Retention Concerns, March 25, 1990, reprinted in Hearing to Consider S. 2274 before the Sen. Committee on Governmental Affairs, 101st Cong. 2d. Sess., S. Hrg.. 101-739 (March 21, 22 1990).

Government Law Center of Albany Law School, *Art of Administrative Practice I*, (Contains training materials on prehearing management, role of ALJ in settlement, conducting heairngs), Albany, NY, (February 1991).

Government Law Center of Albany Law School, *Ethical Considerations in Administrative Adjudication*, Albany, NY, (October 1990).

Horsky, Charles and Mahin, Amy, *The Operation of the Social Security Administration Hearing and Decisional Machinery*, Report to the Social Security Commission, Covington and Burling, (December 1968).

E. Kintner, R. Doyle, E. Reynolds, and Winings, L., Appointment and Status of Federal Hearing Officers, Report of Committee on Hearing Officers of the President's Conference on Administrative Procedure (August 10, 1954).

Lester, R., McElwain, J., Maloy, L., and Scharnikov, W., *Appointment and Status of Federal Hearing Officers*, Report to the President's Conference on Administrative Procedure (September 3, 1954).

Lewis, Floyd, *The Administrative Law Judge System*, Congressional Research Service, American Law Division, (September 9, 1982).

Social Security Administration, *Operational Analysis of the Office of Hearings and Appeals* (SSA Publication 70-032) (Annually through September 30, 1986).

Social Security Administration, *Key Workload Indicators*, Office of Hearings and Appeals (FY 1988-present).

Streb, Paul, The ALJ Digest: A Summary of Statutes, Regulations of MSPB and OPM, and Decisions of MSPB and the Courts Concerning Disciplinary and Other Actions Affecting Administrative Law Judges, Administrative Law Judge, MSPB, (July 6, 1990).

#### **United States Civil Service Commission**

Report to the Committee on Hearing Officers of the President's Conference on Administrative Procedure, U.S. Civil Service Commission, 1953.

Report of Advisory Committee for Hearing Examiners to United States Civil Service Commission, Office of Director, Office of Hearing Examiners, U.S. Civil Service Commission (July 2, 1963).

Material for the Advisory Committee on Hearing Examiners, U.S. Civil Service Commission (November 1967).

Park, Selective Certification: A Study of the Arguments For and Against, Paper submitted to the U.S. Civil Service Commission (September 11, 1968).

Report of the Committee on the Study of the Utilization of Administrative Law Judges. [The La Macchia Report.] (July 30, 1974.).

Final Report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative Law Judges (February 14, 1978).

## **United States Congress. House of Representatives**

Background Material on Social Security Hearings and Appeals, Subcommittee on Social Security, Committee on Ways and Means, Committee Print 94-79, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. (September 17, 1975).

Recent Studies Relevant to the Disability Hearings and Appeals Crisis, Subcommittee on Social Security, Committee on Ways and Means, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. (December 20, 1975). Background Material on H.R. 5723: Conversion of Temporary Social Security ALJs, Subcommittee on Social Security, Committee on Ways and Means, Committee Print 95-16, 95th Cong., 1st Sess., (April 18, 1977).

Administrative Law Judges, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Civil Service of the Post Office and Civil Service Committee on H.R. 865 (change of job title and other matters), 95th Cong., 1st Sess., Committee Serial No. 95-15 (June 21, 1977).

Conversion of Temporary Administrative Law Judges. Report 95-617, to accompany H.R. 5723, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. (October 31, 1977).

Social Security Administrative Law Judges: Survey and Issue Paper, Subcommittee on Social Security, Committee on Ways and Means, Committee Print 96-2, 96th Cong., 1st Sess. (January 27, 1979).

Regulatory Reform Act of 1979, Hearings before the Subcommittee on Administrative Law and Governmental Relations of the Committee on the Judiciary, Committee Serial No. 41, 96th Cong., 1st and 2d Sess. on H.R. 3263. Nov. 7, 13, 16, 29, Dec. 3, 5, 10, 1979; January 29, Feb. 1 and 5, 1980 (Parts 1 and 2).

Selection and Oversight of Administrative Law Judges, Hearings before the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service on H.R. 6768, 96th Cong., 2d Sess., Serial No. 96-79 (April 24, May 6, 1980).

Administrative Law Judge Program of the Federal Trade Commission, Hearings before the Subcommittee on Investigations, House Comm. on Post Office and Civil Service, 96th Cong., 2d Sess., Serial No. 96-94 (June 17, 1980).

Administrative Law Judges, Report No. 96-1186, Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, H.R., 96th Cong., 2d Sess. (July 23, 1980).

Administrative Law Judge Corps Act, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Administrative Law and Governmental Relations, House Committee on the Judiciary on H.R. 1554 and H.R. 2726, 100th Cong., 2d Sess., Serial No. 57 (March 17, 1988).

Judicial Independence of Administrative Law Judges at the Social Security Administration, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Social Security of the Committee on Ways and Means, 101st Cong., 2d Sess., Committee Serial No. 101-117 (June 13, 1990).

#### **United States Congress. Senate**

Study on Federal Regulation, 5 vols.; Delay in the Regulatory Process, Committee on Governmental Affairs, Vol. IV, Prepared Pursuant to S. Res. 71, 95th Cong., 1st Sess., July 1977.

Increase in Number of Administrative Law Judge Positions, Committee on Governmental Affairs Report to Accompany H.R. 6975, 95th Cong., 2d Sess., Rept. No. 95-697 (March 9, 1978).

Regulatory Reform Legislation, Hearings Before the Committee on Governmental Affairs on S. 262, S. 755, S. 445, S. 93, Committee on Governmental Affairs, pts. 1-2, 96th Cong., 1st Sess. (March-May 1979).

Hearings on S. 262 and S. 755, Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure, Committee on the Judiciary, 96th Cong. (July 1979).

Administrative Law Judge System, Hearings, Subcommittee for Consumers, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. (September 4-5, 1980).

Reform of Federal Regulations, Joint Report of the Committee on Governmental Affairs and the Committee on the Judiciary, to accompany S. 262 (Oct. 30, 1980) (Parts 1 and 2).

Social Security Disability Reviews: The Role of the Administrative Law Judge, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management of the Committee on Governmental Affairs, 98th Cong., 1st Sess. (June 8, 1983).

Administrative Law Judge Corps Act, Hearings before the Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure, Committee on the Judiciary on S. 1275, 98th Cong., 1st Sess., Serial No. J-98-45, (June 23, September 20, 1983).

The Role of the Administrative Law Judge in the Title II Social Security Disability Insurance Program, Report of the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management of the Committee on Governmental Affairs, 98th Cong., 1st Sess., Committee Print 98-111 (October 1983).

Administrative Law Judge Corps Act S. 673, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure, Committee on the Judiciary, 99th Cong., 2d Sess., Committee Serial No. J-99-105 (May 13, 1986).

Administrative Law Judge Corps Act, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Courts and Administrative Practice, Committee on the Judiciary on S. 950, 100th Cong., 1st Sess., Committee Serial No. J-100-31 (June 21, 1987).

Administrative Law Judge Corps Act, Hearing before the Subcommittee on Courts and Administrative Practice, Committee on the Judiciary on S. 594, 101st Cong., 1st Sess., Serial No. J-101-22 (June 13, 1989).

Administrative Law Judge Corps Act, Committee on the Judiciary Report on S. 594, 101st Cong., 2d Sess., S. Rpt. 101-467 (September 19, 1990).

U.S. Department of Justice, *The Needs of the Federal Courts*, Report of Committee on Revision of the Federal Judicial System, (January 1977).

### **U.S. General Accounting Office**

Social Security Administration Needs to Better Manage the Travel of Its Administrative Law Judges. Report of the Comptroller General of the United States, MWD-76-18 (December 5, 1975).

Administrative Law Process: Better Management is Needed, Report by the Comptroller General to the Congress. FPCD 78-25. (May 15, 1978).

Review of Administrative Law Judge Activities and the Hearing Process at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, G.A.O. Report to Hon. John D. Dingell, House of Representatives (February 13, 1979).

Management Improvements in the Administrative Process: Much Remains to Be Done, Report by the Comptroller General to the Congress. FPCD 79-44. (May 23, 1979).

Medicare Claims: HCFA Proposal to Establish an Administrative Law Judge Unit, U.S. G.A.O. Briefing Report to Congressional Committees, GAO/HRD-88-84 BR (April 1988).

Administrative Law Judges: Appointment of Women and Social Security Administration Staff Attorneys, G.A.O. Report to Hon. Sander M. Levin, House of Representatives, GAO/GGD-89-5 (October 1988).

# **United States Office of Personnel Management**

U.S. Office of Personnel Management, *Administrative Law Judge*. Announcement No. 318. (various editions).

U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Administrative Law Judge Program Handbook (May 1989).

Sharon, Amiel T., An Investigation of Reference Ratings for Applicants for Administrative Law Judge. OPM Personnel Research Report 80-6 (May, 1980).

Sharon, Amiel T., Validation of the Administrative Law Judge Examination. OPM Personnel Research Report 80-15 (June 1980).

U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Administrative Law Judge Program Handbook, (May 1989).

## **U.S. Attorney General Opinions**

Administrative Procedure Act, Promotion of Hearing Examiners, 41 Op. Att'y Gen. 74 (February 23, 1951).

Administrative Procedure Act, Promotion to Chief Hearing Examiner at Increased Compensation, 42 Op. Att'y Gen. 289 (November 24, 1964).

Administrative Procedure Act--Reprimand of Administrative Law Judge, 43 Op. Att'y Gen., No. 9 (January 18, 1977). CULLS 2001

Allen, William, *Administrative Actions*, Paper delivered to [unidentified] Institute (November, 1981). [Mr. Allen is a partner, Covington and Burling, Washington, D.C.].

Caldwell, Louis G., The Proposed Federal Administrative Court: The Arguments for Its Adoption, 36 A.B.A.J. 13 (1950).

De Seife, Rudolphe J.A., A Proposal for the Estabishment of an Administrative Court System, Washington Legal Foundation, Critical Legal Issues: Working Paper Series No. 16 (July 1987).

Federal Trial Examiners Seek to Enjoin Civil Service Commission Regulations, 19 ICC Prac. J. 369 (1952).

Lubbers, Jeffrey S., *Management of Federal Agency Adjudication*, Paper presented at Symposium, American University School of Public Affairs, May 16, 1991.

Minor, Robert W., The Administrative Court--Hear It Comes Again, 24 ICC Prac. J. 807 (1957).

Schapiro, Mary, Remarks Before the Twenty-Third Annual Rocky Mountain State-Federal-Provincial Securities Conference, Denver, Colorado, (October 12, 1990). [Ms. Schapiro is an SEC Commissioner.]