

Pepperdine University Pepperdine Digital Commons

Religion Division Faculty Scholarship

Religion

2010

What Does the Mob Want Lot to Do in Genesis 19:9?

R. Christopher Heard Pepperdine University, cheard@pepperdine.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/religionworks



Part of the Biblical Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Heard, R. Christopher, "What Does the Mob Want Lot to Do in Genesis 19:9?" (2010). Pepperdine University, Religion Division Faculty Scholarship. Paper 2.

http://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/religionworks/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Religion at Pepperdine Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Religion Division Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Pepperdine Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Kevin.Miller3@pepperdine.edu.

WHAT DOES THE MOB WANT LOT TO DO IN GENESIS 19:9?

Christopher Heard

Pepperdine University

Most English Bible translations render בָּשׁ־הָלְאָה in Gen 19:9 with some variant of "Stand back!" However, a very few interpreters recommend a translation along the lines of "Come closer!" more in keeping with the typical gloss on בנש A detailed study of the syntax and semantics of both בנש and הַלְאָה well as constructions similar to בָּשֹׁ־הָלְאָה demonstrates the strength of the minority suggestion.

Genesis 19:9 presents an interesting semantic conundrum. In this familiar scene, the men of Sodom have gathered outside of Lot's house to demand that he bring out to them his two visitors. When Lot himself comes out instead and interposes himself between the rapacious mob and the closed door behind him, the narrator reports, "They said, "They said, 'This one came to live as a stranger, and he keeps on playing the judge! Now we will do worse to you than to them.' They pressed very hard against the man, against Lot, and they drew near to break down the door." The curious phrase מַּשׁ־הָּלְאָּה rarely draws explicit comment from interpreters and translators, although it admits of two virtually opposite translations. Since שֹבּי has the sense "to approach, to draw near," and respected lexicographers regard הַּלְאָה as an adverb meaning something like "yonder," most translators and commentators render שִּשֹׁ בְּיִלְאָה using some variation on "Stand back!" A very few interpreters, however, have rendered בִּשִׁ בִּיִּשְׁ as something like "Come closer!" They very fact that scholars have translated the phrase in precisely

¹ For הְּלְּאָה as "yonder," see F. Brown, S. R. Driver, and C. A. Briggs, A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament (Oxford: Clarendon, 1907); W. L. Holladay, A Concise Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 1988); B. K. Waltke and M. O'Connor, An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax (Winona Lake, Ind.: Eisenbrauns, 1990), §172.b. For אוֹלְאָה as "stand back," see ASV, AV, CEV, HCSB, JPS, NASB, NET, NIV, NLT, NRSV; R. Alter, Genesis: Translation and Commentary (New York: Norton, 1996), p. 86; V. P. Hamilton, The Book of Genesis: Chapters 18–50 (NICOT; Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 1995), p. 29; J. Skinner, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Genesis (2nd ed.; ICC; Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1930), p. 307 n. 9; E. A. Speiser, Genesis: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary (AB 1; New York: Doubleday, 1964), p. 136; C. Westermann, Genesis 12–36 (trans. J. J. Scullion; Minneapolis: Augsburg, 1985), p. 295. Alter, Skinner, Speiser, and Westermann simply render the phrase without comment. Hamilton merely claims that הלאה "permits the translation 'stand back!'" without further comment on the function of הלאה "permits the translation 'stand back!" without further comment on the function of הלאה (מ ווהלאה לומעלה) הולאה (מ ווהלאה לומעלה) והלאה (מ ווהלאה לומעלה) והלאה (מ ווהלאה) והלאה (מ ווהלאה (מ ווהלאה) והלאה (מ ווהלאה) ו

² So D. M. Gunn, "Narrative Criticism," in *To Each Its Own Meaning: Biblical Criticisms and Their Application* (2nd ed.; ed. S. L. McKenzie and S. R. Haynes; Louisville, Ky.: Westminster John Knox, 1999), p. 216 and L. Bechtel in two different studies, "A Feminist Reading of Genesis 19.1–11," in *Genesis*

opposite ways attracts sufficient interest to invite a more careful examination of this intriguing phrase.

1. THE SEMANTICS AND SYNTAX OF どは

Because the verb בּגשׁ generally has the sense "to draw near, to approach," some readers might consider it unusual to find a speaker using the verb to mean "move away." Thus one line of argument in favor of translating as "come here" concerns the semantics of the verb itself. In two separate studies, Lyn Bechtel has argued for translating בַּשׁ־הָּלְאָה along these lines, but her two treatments differ somewhat from one another.

In a 1998 essay, Bechtel translated בָּשׁ־הְּלְּאָה as "Draw near!" In doing so, she appealed to the use of שׁבּשׁ in the judicial context of Deut 25:1. Bechtel contends that the mob's use of the verb שׁבּשׁ invites readers to hear judicial overtones in the mob's speech. In making this argument, however, Bechtel seems to have construed בְּשֹּ־הְּלְאָה as a statement made by the men of Sodom to each other; according to her, "The townsmen are to 'draw near' in judgment of Lot." However, the grammar speaks against this idea. In saying "הַּלְּאָה," the mob uses a masculine singular imperative, but in Gen 19:9 the mob speaks with one voice as "we," using the first person plural form בְּשֹּ־הְלָאָה ("we will do harm"), and the narrator describes the mob's speech using plural verb forms (as בַּשֹּׁרְהָלִאָּר 'they said'). It seems unlikely that in the midst of all these plurals, the mob would address itself (or the men of the mob each other) using a singular imperative rather than, say, a plural cohortative. בַּשֶׁרְהָלְאָר surely stands as a command that the crowd barks at Lot, not at itself

(A Feminist Companion to the Bible, Second Series; ed. A. Brenner; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1998), pp. 124–125 and L. Bechtel, "Boundary Issues in Genesis 19.1–38," in *Escaping Eden: New Feminist Perspectives on the Bible* (ed. H. C. Washington, S. L. Graham, and P. Thimmes; New York: New York University Press, 1999), p. 33. The sense "come here" was also proposed by E. König in his *Die Genesis* (Gutersloh, 1925), according to R. I. Lettelier, *Day in Mamre, Night in Sodom: Abraham and Lot in Genesis 18 and 19* (Leiden: Brill, 1995), p. 151 n. 271.

The intriguing verb נגש appears 125 times in the Tanak, making it wellattested though not exceptionally common. In some verses, writers use both Qal and Niphal forms of with to refer to the same event, demonstrating clearly that no significant semantic difference obtains for between these two binyanim. For example, Gen 33:7 reads, "Leah also drew near [קובוש], Qal] with her children, and they bowed down, and afterward Joseph drew near โซม, Niphal] with Rachel, and they bowed down." The verb implies movement, "drawing near" toward some destination, but writers may indicate such destinations in various ways. When they specify the destination, biblical writers usually use the preposition by to mark it, but they can also use a variety of other prepositions, such as לְבָנִי and לְּבָנִי, and לִבְּנִי. In a handful of cases, the adverb הַלֹם or the adverb הַלֹם, both meaning "here," appear alongside تنت In about ten cases, the destination goes unspecified, but the purpose of the "drawing near," usually indicated using ל (or, once, אל), imply the direction of motion. Over forty appearances of the verb בנש lack any preposition or adverb marking the direction or purpose. In many of these instances, the clause that follows with a wavvigtol form potentially indicating purpose. Table 1 summarizes these data.

This information funds an assessment of Bechtel's treatment of נגש in Gen 19:9. Bechtel bases her jurisprudential interpretation of the scene almost entirely on the appearance of ששש later in the verse. If one defines a "judicial context" quite loosely as one in which "judicial language," broadly construed, appears, then מושל arguably appears in nine (other) "judicial contexts." Bechtel's parade examples, Deut 25:1 and Isa 50:8, do not provide close conceptual or syntactical parallels to Gen 19:9. In Deut 25:1, two disputing parties "draw near for judgment" (מְנְגְּשׁר אֶל-הַמִּשְׁבָּשׁ), appearing before

2

³ See also Exod 24:2, "Moses drew near [קְנְבֶּנֶּשׁ, Niphal] to יהוה alone, but [the people] did not draw near [קְנָשׁהַן, Qal]," and Jer 30:21, "I will bring him near and he will approach [קַנָּשׁ, Niphal] me, for otherwise, who would dare to approach [קֹנָשֶׁה, Qal] me, declares "יהוה"." These comments presuppose the accuracy of the masoretic vocalization of נֵנִשׁ (All), person instance, the Niphal forms attested in the MT—third person masculine singular perfect (8), third person feminine singular perfect (1), third person common plural perfect (5), second person masculine plural perfect (2); and masculine plural participle (1)—would be indistinguishable from the corresponding Qal forms in an unpointed text. Using the masoretic vocalizations, שוב is never attested in the Qal perfect or the Niphal imperfect. According to P. A. Siebesma, The Function of the Niph'al in Biblical Hebrew (Assen and Maastricht: Van Gorcum, 1991), p. 90, this use of Niphal in the perfect and Qal in the imperfect is a pattern with this verb and others (קום and שוף, to name but two). In this, Siebesma follows M. Lambert, "L'emploi du Nifal en Hébreu," REJ 41 (1900); unfortunately, I was unable to obtain a copy of Lambert's article while preparing this study.

⁴ In all three cases where אָד marks the destination (Num 4:19; 1 Sam 9:18; 30:21), and in one case where appears (Ezek 44:13), some manuscript evidence suggests that copyists may have written these letters accidentally, distorting an original אָל Such evidence does not exist for the other case using על (Ezek 9:6).

⁵ Gen 18:23; Exod 24:14; Deut 25:1, 9; Isa 41:1, 21; 45:21; 50:8; Amos 6:3.

a third party who renders a judicial decision. Nothing of this kind happens in Gen 19:9, nor does the mob tell Lot to אל-המשפט in Isa 50:8, the speaker invites any would-be-accusers to "approach me" (יגִּשׁ אֵלִי) in the manner of a plaintiff accusing a defendant. Here too only the weakest of analogies obtains with Gen 19:9; the mob does not tell Lot to גש אלינג, as though inviting Lot to sue them. None of the other occurrences of נגש in "judicial contexts" resemble Gen 19:9 any better than these conceptually, much less syntactically. Indeed, the fact that the mob self-professedly intends to "do harm" (בַרְע לְדְּ) suggests that "justice" lies far from their top priority.

Most importantly, however, Bechtel simply ignores the adverb הַּלְאָה. If one accepts Bechtel's claim that Gen 19:9 constitutes a "judicial context" that influences the sense of גנש therein, one must still take the adverb into account. In the nine other arguably judicial contexts where בנש appears, it either stands alongside אל plus a term naming or evoking a recognized judiciary (twice: Exod 24:14; Deut 25:1), stands alongside אָל joined to a noun or pronoun naming the defendant (twice: Deut 25:9; Isa 50:8), or stands alone, without any preposition or adverb providing additional specification (the remaining five cases). Syntactically, none of these instances strongly resemble יש־הַלֹאָה in Gen 19:9. One must therefore judge Bechtel's rendering of "present yourself"—based on the nuances of נגש in judicial contexts as insufficiently warranted.

2. THE SEMANTICS AND SYNTAX OF הַלְאָה

David Gunn's translation of גַּשׁ־הַלְאָה depends not on the nuances of נגש , but on the semantic function of הַלְּאָה. Gunn himself does not go into much detail, but posits that "the Hebrew means not 'draw back out of the way' as most translations have it but 'get close and them some more!"⁶

Although Gunn does not document or otherwise attempt to justify his claim about the sense of נְשׁ־הַלְאָה, his claim finds some measure of support in Gershon Brin's study of the formulae מן ... ומעלה and מן ... ומעלה. Brin suggests that both the "positional" and "temporal" uses of these phrases "convey the idea of an unlimited continuation of the thing described." Brin's study deals mainly with the term מעלה in phrases describing someone's age, particularly in census texts, and he does not treat הלאה constructions in detail. Brin himself cites נְּשֹׁ־הָלְאָה in Gen 19:9 as an example of a

⁶ D. M. Gunn, "Narrative Criticism," p. 216.

⁷ G. Brin, "The Formulae," p. 161.

typical positional use of הָּלְאָה, translating the entire phrase as "stand back." Yet Brin's translation of בְּשׁ־הְלְאָה arguably fails to follow through on his conclusion that הלאה formulae "convey the idea of an unlimited continuation of the thing described," since the unlimited continuation of נגש would seem (at first glance, at least) to involve drawing ever nearer, not moving farther away. This reasoning seems to elucidate the substance of Gunn's implicit argument.

99

Further examination of the actual uses of הָּלְאָה, uncommon as they are, seems to confirm Brin's general characterization of the adverb's semantic value and, in so doing, lends support to Gunn's understanding of בּיֵלְאָה מִּן In eleven of its sixteen occurrences in the Tanak, הַּלְאָה מִּן־ appears in the construction [NP], where "NP" stands for a noun or noun phrase (the usually stands prefixed to the noun rather than joined by maqqeph, but this makes no semantic difference). Five of those eleven instances involve temporal references, such as "from the eighth day הַּבְּלְאָה" (Lev 22:27). Most English translations render הַּבְּלְאָה as "on," "onward," "forward," or "thereafter" in these verses, acknowledging the basic dynamic that Brin says הַּלְאָה denotes: continued movement in a specified direction. In its temporal usage, the directional aspect of הְּלְאָה conforms to the linear flow of time. The phrases cited here never imply a backward recollection of past time, but always refer to a forward temporal trajectory.

Six verses in the Tanak exhibit a geographical use of הָּלִאָה in the construction [P]-נְהָלְאָה מִן, where "P" stands for a place name or geographically specific phrase. When such phrases concern personal motion, הַלְאָה rather clearly denotes moving along a trajectory already underway (1 Sam 10:3). In Num 32:19 and 1 Sam 20:22, 37—where this construction stands in direct discourse—speakers use this construction to verbally locate objects or places that lie beyond the addressee or point of reference along a trajectory away from the speaker, such that the addressee must follow that trajectory away from the speaker to locate the objects or reach the location envisioned. Curiously, the three instances where מָן is attached to הַלְּאָה itself attest a similar sense. If one glosses הָלְאָה as "yonder," as the standard lexicons suggest, then one might suspect that the construction [P] מָהָלְאָה לְּשׁה would mean something like "from over there toward P," but in fact the opposite case obtains. Amos 5:27 amply illustrates this point: וָהִגְלֵיתִי אֵהְכֶם מֶהָלְאָה לְדַמְשֶׂק clearly does not mean that Amos thinks his Israelite audience will start out somewhere on the far side of Damascus (with respect to Bethel) and move

⁸ G. Brin, "The Formulae," p. 161.

toward Damascus, but rather that his audience will begin from its own land and move in the direction of Damascus and then continue beyond that point. Thus, even the construction [P] מָהְלְאָה לְפּח denotes movement in a trajectory away from the place designated. The very interesting fact that phrases constructed as [P] have virtually the same semantic value as those constructed as [P] אָה בְּלְאָה מִּךְלְאָה מִּךְלְאָה מִּךְלְאָה מִּךְלְאָה מִּךְלְאָה מִּךְלְאָה מִּרְלְאָה מִּרְלָאָה מִּרְלְאָה מִּרְלְאָה מִּרְלְאָה מִּרְלָאָה מִּרְלָאָה מִרְלְאָה מוּלְלְאָה מוּלְלִאָּה מִרְלִּאָה מוּלְלְאָה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלְאָה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלְאָה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלָאָה מִרְלִאָּה מוּלְלָאָה מוּלְלִאָּה מוּלְלִיתְּלְיִים מוּלְלִיתְּלְיִים מִיּלְלִיתְּלְיִים מִרְלְיִים מוּלְלִיתְּלְיִים מוּלְלִיתְּלְיִים מִיּלְיּתְלְיִים מוּלְלִיתְּלְיִים מוּלְיִים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְייִים מוּלְייִים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְייִים מוּלְייִים מוּלְייִים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְיים מוּלְייִים מוּלְיי

Isaiah 18:2, 7 present a more complicated picture. Both verses describe a people as being מִּן־הוֹא מִּן־הוֹא מִן־הוֹא מִן־הוֹא נִהְלְאָה. English translations render מִן־הוֹא variously as "near and far" (NRSV), "far and away" (NJPS), "far and wide" (NIV), and so on. All of these renderings imply that הַּלְאָה functions chiefly to denote distance. Structurally, though, מִן־הוֹא נְהָלְאָה perfectly matches the other four occurrences of מִן־הוֹא מִן־[P] נְהָלְאָה (וּבְּלְאָה (וּבְּלְאָה (וֹאַר instead of a place name or a noun phrase denoting a place. In the other such instances, however, הַּלְאָה seems to denote continued motion rather than a specific position. One may thus plausibly suggest that מִן־הוֹא bears the sense "from this [place] and onward" (or "outward"), in whatever direction and however far one might be going.

In sum, the majority of the Tanak's uses of הַּלְאָה commend the view that the word normally functions adverbially to extend a temporal or geographical trajectory along a particular path to some indeterminate extent. Thus far, Gunn's glossing of שֵׁבּהְּלְאָה in Gen 19:9 as "get close and then some more" apparently stands on solid semantic ground with respect to the sense of הַלְאָה.

3. PARALLEL CONSTRUCTIONS

Though Biblical Hebrew exhibits stable semantics and syntax, individual writers do employ creative variations (never mind simple grammatical mistakes). Therefore, one might do well to examine the closest verbal parallels to אָשׁ־הָלְאָה before reaching a conclusion about the phrase's sense in Gen 19:9. With regard to the use of the adverb הָּלְאָה, Num 17:2 (16:37 in most

English versions) offers the closest parallel phrase, הַּהְלְּאָה Among all sixteen biblical uses of הָּלְאָה, only the phrases in Gen 19:9 and Num 17:2 lack the usual syntactical framework of prepositions and conjunctions that clarifies the adverbial function of הַּלְאָה English translations of Num 17:2 variously render the הַּלְאָה in הַּלְאָה as "far and wide" (NRSV), "abroad" (JPS, NASB), "some distance away" (NAB, NET, NIV), "far away" (HCSB; cf. NJB), and so on, apparently taking הַּלְאָה as "yonder," as in the usual translation of Gen 19:9. However, these translations seem to absolutize the *spatial result* of the more frequent הַּלְאָה constructions rather than indicating the *semantic function* of הַּלְאָה as appropriate to this particular passage. An outward trajectory already seems to inhere in the verb הוה יל לס gather' as in Jer 31:10). In Num 17:2, then, the verb הוה indicates that the ashes will initially move away from the censers, and the adverb הַּלְאָה indicates that the ashes will continue to follow that path until they come to rest.

With regard to the verb נגש, the closest parallels stand in the three examples of direct discourse where an imperative form of נגש, followed by an adverb, appears. In all three cases, an adverb meaning "here" follows an imperative form of נגש (specifically, בּלְשׁׁ in Ruth 2:14 and 1 Sam 14:38, and in Josh 3:9). While these instances cannot, of course, demonstrate that that in Gen 19:9 means anything like "get close and then some more," neither do they give any support to the idea that characters speaking in biblical narrative might use an imperatival form of נגש to tell someone to move farther away from the speaker. Readers must resist the temptation to assume that הַלְשָׁה functions more or less as an antonym of הַלָּשְׁה for (as discussed above) such an inference would not really fit the attested sense of הַלְשְׁה.

4. CONTEXTUAL CONSIDERATIONS

The typical biblical uses of both בָּלְאָה and הָּלְאָה support the minority translation of מָשׁ־הָלְאָה as "come here" a bit better than the traditional translation, "stand back." Before drawing a conclusion from this data, however, readers would do well to examine the phrase in its immediate context to see whether that context demands one translation or the other.

-

⁹ The *BHS* apparatus offers אַרֶּהְלְּאָה as a speculative emendation, with no manuscript support; K. Elliger and W. Rudolph, eds., *Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia* (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 1983).

By the moment when the mob tells Lot to "בָּשׁ־הָלְאָה"," the narrator has drawn for readers a picture of Lot standing outside of his house, facing the crowd, with the door closed behind him. The verb נֵגשׁ frames the verse. At the beginning of the verse, the mob tells Lot to "בַּגְשׁהְרֹּלְאָה"; at the end of the verse, the narrator informs readers that the men of Sodom "drew near to break down the door" (בַּיְגְשׁהַ רְּשָׁבֶּר הַבְּיֶבֶּה). Syntactically, the use of נֵייְגָשׁ in Gen 19:9b fits the reasonably well-attested pattern in which נוֹי is followed by the preposition attached to a noun or infinitive verb that reveals the purpose of the motion denoted by שׁבֹּו, and in so doing implicitly supplies also the direction of that motion. In this case, Gen 19:9b indicates that the crowd wants to break down or breach the door of Lot's house. If Lot himself stands between the crowd and the door, then translating שׁבָּשׁ־הַבְּשָׁ as "stand back" makes good contextual sense: the mob wants him out of the way so that it might more easily invade his home.

Yet the other element of purpose in Gen 19:9b complicates this apparently straightforward reading, as the mob announces its intention to "do more harm to you [Lot] than to them [the visitors]." If the mob intends to harm Lot in some way, then it seems counterproductive to tell him to "stand back" out of the way. In this case, "come closer and then some more" would seem to fit the context better: the mob wants Lot to get closer so they can inflict physical harm upon him.

The immediate narrative context, therefore, seems to point in both directions. "Stand back" makes better sense if one emphasizes the crowd's narrated action at the end of the verse, while "come closer" makes better sense if one emphasizes the crowd's stated intention immediately following their command of "נְּשׁ־הָּלְאָה"." One could perhaps try to reconcile this tension by explaining that "we will do more harm to you than to them" does not contemplate harm against Lot's person, but rather against his household. But this explanation strains against the narrative facts. The mob appears to have intended physical harm to Lot's houseguests, so readers most naturally assume that they now state their intention to treat Lot himself more savagely. Moreover, the crowd's anger flares in response to Lot's offer of his daughters in place of his houseguests, though the daughters would provide exactly the opportunity to inflict harm on Lot's household instead of on Lot himself. No easy way to escape this contextual dilemma presents itself. The mob states one intention—to harm Lot—and acts on a different purpose—to break down the door. Depending on which of these actions one emphasizes, each of the two proposed senses of נֵשׁ־הַלְאָה in its turn better fits the passage in which the enigmatic command stands.

5. CONCLUSIONS

How, then, ought נְּשֶׁ־הְּלְאָה be translated in Gen 19:9? The attested patterns in the syntax of נֵגשׁ suggest that the direction of the motion implied in is usually specified by a phrase explicitly stating the destination or purpose of the act of "approaching." The closest parallels to the mob's בְּשֶׁה מִילְּאָה are מִּשְׁה הַלְּם and בְּשֶׁה הָלִם, but these parallels simply push attention back to the function of הַּלְאָה The translation "yonder" offered in the lexicons seems to "freeze" the typical spatial result of moving הְּלְאָה, as if the adverb connoted a static position some distance away rather than continued movement along a trajectory defined by collocated words and phrases.

In sum, while Bechtel's proposal of a judicial sense for נגשׁ in Gen 19:9 flounders on her inattention to the adverb הָּלְאָה, Gunn's proposal to read as "come closer and then some more" does seem to line up rather well with both the usual sense of נגשׁ and the usual adverbial function of אַלְאָה. Although the case is not airtight, those few interpreters who regard in Gen 19:9 not as "Stand back!" but rather as "Come here!" do stand on solid syntactical and semantic ground.

Table 1. Syntax of נגש

	Qal	Niphal	Hiphil	Hophal	Hitpael
Destination marked by אֶל	Exod 19:15;	7 times—Exod 19:22; 20:21; 24:2aα; 2 Sam 11:20, 21; 1 Kgs 20:13; Jer 30:21b	12 times—Gen 48:10, 13; Exod 21:6 (twice); Lev 2:8; 1 Sam 13:9; 14:34a; 15:32; 1 Sam 30:7b; 2 Sam 13:11; 2 Kgs 4:5, 6		
Destination marked by אֶת	3 times—Num 4:19*; 1 Sam 9:18*; 30:21*				
Destination marked by 3	2 times—Isa 65:5; Job 41:8	1 time—Amos 9:13			

D 11 11	I FY		<i>c</i> :	b .: a g	
Destination marked by	1 time—[Isa 49:20]			2 times—2 Sam 3:34; Mal 1:11	
Destination marked by לְבְנֵי			2 times—1 Sam 28:25; 2 Chron 29:23		
Destination marked by ער	2 times—Gen 33:3; Judg 9:52				
Destination marked by "נֵל	2 times—Ezek 9:6; 44:13*				
Destination is הָלְאָה	1 time— Gen 19:9a				
Destination is הֲלֹם	1 time—Ruth 2:14		1 time—1 Sam 14:38		
Destination is הַנְּה	1 time—Josh 3:9				
Purpose marked by 🐉		1 time—Deut 25:1			
Purpose marked by 수	6 times—Gen 19:9b; Lev 21:21; Judg 20:23; 2 Sam 10:13 // 1 Chron 19:14; Jer 46:3	1 time—1 Sam 7:10	3 times—2 Sam 17:29; 2 Kgs 4:27; Mal 1:8		
adverb used	18:23; 27:21, 26 , 27; 29:10; 33:6, 7;	Deut 20:2; 21:25; Isa 29:13	13 times—Gen 27:25; Exod 32:6; Judg 6:19; 1 Sam 14:18, 34b; 23:9; Isa 41:21, 22; 45:21; Amos 6:3; 9:10; Mal 3:3; Job 40:19		1 time—Isa 45:20

^{*} Manuscript evidence exists for reading אֶל in each case so marked.

Bold indicates an imperative form of נגש.

Table 2. Typical Constructions with הָּלְאָה

Construction	Occurrences	Sense	
נְהָלְאָה + temporal reference + מָן		Trajectory beginning with the temporal reference point	
	6 times—Num 32:19; 1 Sam 10:3; 20:22, 37; Isa 18:2, 7	Trajectory away from the toponym	
toponym בּמָהֶלְאָּה לְ + toponym	3 times—Gen 35:21; Jer 22:19; Amos 5:27	Trajectory away from the toponym	