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Knowing tag-shedding probability is important

Capture-Mark-Recapture methods rely on identifying tags and their traceability with time, allowing the estimation of population parameters such as abundance, survival, growth and movement.
Due to ageing, wear and tear or molting, tags may become detached, leading to biased parameter estimates.
Studies on the benefits of marine protected areas for the spiny lobster Palinurus elephas (population size, emigration, spillover) are based on tagrecapture data (Goñi et al. 2006, Goñi et al. 2010).

## Double tagging experiments to estimate tag-shedding

Three tagging surveys (2000-2002) and nine (2001-2009) recapture surveys carried out in June in the Columbretes MPA and surrounding fishing grounds. Lobsters were double-tagged with Hallprint ${ }^{\circledR}$ T-bar anchor tags.


Double tagging P. elephas: Conspicuous tags were inserted dorso-laterally on both sides between the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ abdominal segments. The detection and reporting probabilities from fishermen involved in the tag recovery program are similar to those obtained by scientific staff during the experimental surveys.


## Tag-shedding estimation

Tag-shedding rate estimated by weighted linear regression (Chapman et al., 1965) of the log-transformed percentage of tags lost with time.

Number of re-encountered animals ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {double }}+\mathrm{N}_{\text {single }}$ ) at every particular instant $\boldsymbol{t}$ used as weights (Equation 1).

Equation 1
$\rho$ : Immediate tag loss (Type I loss)
L: Instantaneous rate of tag loss in the long term (Type II loss)
$\hat{P}=1-\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\hat{\mathrm{L} t}} \quad \begin{array}{r}1-\rho=\text { immediatetag loss } \\ \hat{L}=\text { instantaneous rate of tag loss }\end{array}$
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## For further information

Please contact lucia.gonzalez@ba.ieo.es
More information on this and related projects can be obtained at http://www.ba.ieo.es/en/investigacion/grupos-de-investigacion/RESMARE

Instantaneous rate of tag loss (L) and the resulting probability of tag loss (PTL) after the first year at liberty.


Using PTL estimates, the probabilities of keeping two, one or no tags were computed.


## RESULTS: Immediate \& long-term tag-loss

## Immediate tag loss: Negligible

Long-term tag loss: 6\% /year (sexes combined) after the $1^{\text {st }}$ year at liberty (Figure 2)
This probability was back-transformed (Figure 3) and compared with observed data showing a good fit (Figure 4).

## IMPLICATIONS

1. Immediate tag loss is negligible.
2. Long-term tag loss is lower than reported in studies of other species in a variety of conditions (Gonzalez-Vicente et al. 2009) and similar to Jasus edwardsii (Frusher et al. 2008).
3. Males have higher odds to lose tags than females due to their greater molt frequency.
4. Results forecast a high long-term retention, indicating that T-bar anchor tags as well as the insertion method are suitable for the study of $P$. elephas populations in the wild.
5. These estimates will enable us to correct population parameters obtained with Capture-Mark-Recapture data.
