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## Executive Summary

The ICES Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and the Iberic waters Ecoregion (WGBIE) met in Copenhagen, Denmark during 13-14 May 2016. There were 22 stocks in its remit distributed from ICES Divisions 3.a-4.a though mostly distributed in Sub Areas 7, 8 and 9. There were 21 participants, some of whom joined the meeting remotely. The group was tasked with conducting assessments of stock status for 22 stocks using analytical, forecast methods or trends indicators to provide catch forecasts for eight stocks and provide a first draft of the ICES advice for 2016 for fourteen stocks. For the remaining stocks, the group had to update catch information and indices of abundance where needed. Depending on the result of this update, namely if it would change the perception of the stock, the working group drafted new advice.

Analytical assessments using age-structured models were conducted for the northern and southern stocks of megrim and the Bay of Biscay sole. The two hake stocks and one southern stock of anglerfish were assessed using models that allow the use of only length-structured data (no age data). A surplus-production model, without age or length structure, was used to assess the second southern stocks of anglerfish. No analytical assessments have been provided for the northern stocks of anglerfish after 2006. This is mostly due to ageing problems and to an increase in discards in recent years, for which there is no reliable data at the stock level. The state of stocks for which no analytical assessment could be performed was inferred from examination of commercial LPUE or CPUE data and from survey information.

Three nephrops stocks from the Bay of Biscay and the Iberian waters are scheduled for benchmark assessments in October 2016. The WGBIE meeting spent some time reviewing the progress towards the benchmark (see Annex 6) together with longer term benchmarks (2017 and after, see section 1.) for sea bass in the Bay of Biscay, all anglerfish and hake stocks assessed by the WG. For the northern megrim stock, the schedule an inter-benchmark meeting was completed successfully and the group reviewed the outcome and accepted the category 1 update assessment.

A recurrent issue significantly constrained the group's ability to address the terms of reference this year. Despite an ICES data call with a deadline of six weeks before the meeting, data for several stocks were resubmitted during the meeting which lead to increased workloads during the working group, as in that case, the assessments could not be carried out in National Laboratories prior to the meeting as mentioned in the ToRs. This is an important matter of concerns for the group members.

Section 1 of the report presents a summary by stock and discusses general issues. Section 2 provides descriptions of the relevant fishing fleets and surveys used in the assessment of the stocks. Sections 3-18 contains the single stock assessments.

Introduction

### 1.1 Participants

| Name | Country |
| :---: | :---: |
| Esther Abad | Spain |
| Ricardo Alpoim | Portugal |
| Ewen Bell | UK |
| Maria de Fatima Borges | Portugal |
| Santiago Cerviño | Spain |
| Anne Cooper | ICES Secretariat |
| Mickael Drogou | France |
| Spyros Fifas | France |
| Dorleta Garcia | Spain |
| Hans Gerritsen | Ireland |
| Isabel González Herraiz | Spain |
| Agurtzane Urtizberea Ijurco | Spain |
| Ane Iriondo | Spain |
| Muriel Lissardy | France |
| David Miller | ICES Secretariat |
| Joao Figueiredo Pereira | Portugal |
| Lisa Readdy | UK (Chair) |
| Paz Sampedro | Spain |
| Cristina Silva | Portugal |
| Joana Silva | UK |
| Yolanda Vila | Spain |
| Ching-Maria Villanueva | France |

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

WGBIE- Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and Iberian Waters Ecoregion
2015/2/ACOM12 The Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and Iberian Waters Ecoregion (WGBIE), chaired by Lisa Readdy* (UK), will meet in the ICES Secretariat, 13-19 May 2016 to:
a) Address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups
b) Assess the progress on the benchmark preparation of Nephrops;
c) Check the relevance of the reopening procedure and report on reopened advice if appropriate.

The assessments will be carried out on the basis of the stock annex. The assessments must be available for audit on the first day of the meeting.

Material and data relevant to the meeting must be available to the group no later than 1st April 2016 according to the Data Call 2016.

WGBIE will report by 31 May 2016 for the attention of ACOM. Concerning ToR c) the group will report on the ACOM guidelines on reopening procedure of the advice before 14 October and will report on reopened advice before 28 October.

| FIsH <br> Stock | STock NAME |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| nep- <br> 2829 | Nephrops in Southwest and <br> South Portugal (FU 28-29) | Portugal | Portugal | Spain | Update |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nep-30 | Nephrops in Gulf of Cadiz (FU <br> $30)$ | Spain | Spain | Portugal | Update |

### 1.3 Summary by Stock

The stocks assessed within WGBIE are distributed from ICES Division 3.a-9.a (Figure 1.1). Figure 1.2 shows the distribution areas of the Nephrops Functional Units (FUs). Brief summaries are given here and more detailed information can be found in the relevant stock sections.

## Anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a, b, d

Both species are caught on the same grounds and by the same fleets and are usually not separated by species in the landings. Anglerfish is an important component of mixed fisheries taking hake, megrim, sole, cod, plaice and Nephrops. Spain and France together contribute about $80 \%$ of total stock landings. The TAC for both species combined was set at 42496 t for 2015 and 2016. For 2015, landings were estimated to be 35585 t , which is a decline in landings from the previous year.

Age determination problems and an increase in the uncertainty in the discard levels have prevented the performance of an analytical assessment since 2007. Since then, the assessment is based on examining commercial LPUEs and survey data (biomass, abundance indices and length distributions from surveys). Four surveys are available, covering a large part of the distribution area of the stocks, with little overlap between them.
For L. piscatorius the available data indicate that the biomass has been increasing as a consequence of the good recruitment observed in 2001, 2002 and 2004 and has stabilized in recent years. There is evidence of good recruitments in 2008, 2009 and 2010. 2008 and 2009 recruitments have entered the fishery giving one of the highest yields of the time-series. Recruitment in 2011, 2012 and 2013 were lower than in previous years but there is indication that the 2014 recruitment could be high.

For L. budegassa survey data give indication that the biomass has increased since the mid 2000's as a consequence of several good incoming recruitments. A strong recruitment was observed in 2008. The EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 shows evidence of large recruitment in 2011, 2012 and 2013 and a slightly lower level for 2014 and 2015. Length frequency distributions from the two available surveys show contradictory signals for 2009, 2011 and 2012 recruitments, but the working group considers that the trend of EVHOE is more representative due to the larger coverage of the survey.
In view of available data, the WG considers that fishing at present level should not harm either stock. More details on the anglerfish assessment can be found in Section 3.

## Anglerfish (L. piscatorius and L. budegassa) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a

Both species are caught in mixed bottom-trawl fisheries and in artisanal fisheries using mainly fixed nets. The two species are usually landed together for the majority of commercial categories and they are recorded together in the ports' statistics. Landings of both species combined in 2015 were 2790 t . The combined TAC was set at 2987 t in 2015and 2569 t in 2016.

The two species are assessed separately, using a surplus-production model (software ASPIC), tuned with commercial LPUE series for L. budegassa and a length based SS3 implementation for L. piscatorius.

Biomass of L. piscatorius decreased during the 1980s and early 1990s, but has progressively increased over the last two decades to 8015 tonnes in 2014 declining again since then but remaining above the biomass reference point MSY B triger. Fishing mortality peaked during the late 1980's but has since declined, now below Fmsy (0.31) from 2008. Recruitment has been relatively low in recent years and shows little evidence of strong year classes since 2001.
Trends in relative biomass of $L$. budegassa indicate a steady decrease since the beginning of the series until 2001. Since then a slight recovery was observed and in 2016 the biomass is estimated to be at $108 \%$ of $B_{\text {MSY }}$. Fishing mortality remained at high levels between late eighties and late nineties, dropping after that. In 2015, fishing mortality is estimated to be below Fmsy.

Although the stocks are assessed separately, they are managed together.
More details are provided in Section 4.

## Megrim (Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d

L. whiffiagonis in Div. 7.b-k and 8.a, $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}$ is caught in a mixed demersal fishery catching anglerfish, hake and Nephrops, both as a targeted species and as valuable bycatch. The 2015 and 2016 TAC were set at 19101 t , including a $5 \%$ contribution of L. boscii in the landings for which stock there is no assessment. Landings in recent years were relatively stable around 15000 t . Discarding of smaller megrim is substantial and also includes individuals above the minimum landing size of 20 cm . The discards were variable, between 2000 and 4000 t

After several years without assessment, a Bayesian catch-at-age model was investigated during a benchmark held in 2012 and again in 2016. The underlying issues with the catch-at-age data were resolved in 2016 and it was concluded that the model could be considered as a full analytical assessment. The model fit to the data are adequate and the WG considers that the current assessment can be fully accepted and not only as indicator of trends. Catch, landing and discard data and survey indices do not appear to indicate the presence of important changes in trends of recruitment or the overall biomass.

Details of the assessment are presented in Section 5.

## Megrims (L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a

Southern megrims L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii are caught in mixed fisheries targeting demersal fish including hake, anglerfish and Nephrops and are not separated by species in the landings. The majority of the catches are taken by Spanish trawlers. Landings of both species combined in 2015 were 1424 t ( of which $80 \%$ correspond to L. whiffiagonis). The agreed combined TAC for megrim and four-spot megrim in ICES Divisions 8.c and 9.a was 1377 t in 2015 and 1363 t in 2016.

The species are assessed separately, using XSA.
For L. whiffiagonis the assessment indicates that fishing mortality has increased since 2011. The SSB values in 2007-2010 were the lowest in the series but since 2011, SSB has increased to a value close to the average of the historical series. After a very high recruitment (at age 1) in 2010 the recruitment has decreased to an average value.

For L. boscii the assessment indicates that SSB decreased gradually from 1989 to 2001, the lowest value in the series, and has since increased. In 2015 the SSB is estimated to be one of the highest of the series. Recruitment has fluctuated around 45 million fish during all the series. Very weak year classes are found in 1993, 1998 and 2008. The highest value occurred in 2014 at 90 million but needs to be confirmed when more data are made available. Estimates of fishing mortality values show two different periods: an initial period with values around 0.5 from 1989 to 1996 followed by a decreasing trend with the lowest value estimated in 2012 ( $\mathrm{F}=0.24$ ). In 2014 and 2015, F has increased ( $\mathrm{F}=0.41$ in 2015).

Details of the assessments are presented in Section 6.

## Sole in Divisions 8.a, b (Bay of Biscay)

Bay of Biscay sole is caught in ICES divisions 8.a and b. The fishery has two main components: one is a French gillnet fishery directed at sole (about two thirds of total catch) and the other one is a trawl fishery (French otter or twin trawlers and Belgian beam trawlers). The TAC was set at 3800 t for 2015 and 3420 t for 2016. Landings in 2016 declined further to 3641 t .

Discards are not included in the assessment as discards are considered to be low for the ages included in the assessment, which starts at age 2.

Since 1984, fishing mortality has gradually increased, peaking in 2002, decreased substantially the following two years. After 2005, F was stable at around 0.43 (= $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ ). In 2015 F is estimated at 0.44 , above $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mSY}}$. The SSB trend in earlier years increased from 1984 to a high value in 1993. Afterwards SSB shows a continuous decrease until 2003, the lowest value of the series. SSB has been increasing and was above $B_{p a}$ from 2004-2013. In 2014, SSB dropped below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ at 10600 t and the recruitment values are lower since 1992. Between 2004 and 2008 the recruitment series is stable at around 17 or 18 million with the 2009-year class providing the highest value since the early 1990s. The 2010 and 2011 values are closed to the GM93-13 (21.3 million). However, the 2012 and 2013 values are the lowest of the series ( 12.5 million). In 2014, the recruitment increased to 15.5 million.

Details on the assessment are in Section 7.

## Sole in subdivisions 8.c and 9.a

Portugal and Spain are the main participants in this fisheries. Solea solea is mainly caught with gillnets and trammelnets. In Portugal Solea solea is caught together with and other similar species Solea senegalensis and Pegusa lascaris and it is only in recent years that official catches are reported separated by species. Total landings of solea solea was 681 t and 646 t for 2014 and 2015 respectively. The available information is insufficient to evaluate stock trends and exploitation status. Therefore, the state of the sole in Divisions 8.c and 9.a is unknown.

Details on the assessment are in Section 8

## Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and divisions 8.a, b, d (Northern stock)

Hake is caught in nearly all fisheries in Subareas 7, 8. and in some fisheries in Subareas 4,6 . In recent years, Spain accounted for the main part of the landings, followed by France. Stock landings have been steadily increasing throughout the last decade, from 36700 t in 2001 to 101100 t in 2015, the highest value since 1963. Since 2009, landings have been above the agreed TAC.

The stock had a benchmark assessment in February 2014 (WKSOUTH, 2014). One of the main objectives of the workshop was to address a strong retrospective pattern which appeared in the 2013 assessment. It was felt that this pattern was mainly due to changes in the size of hake caught by the majority of the fleets which the assessment model had difficulties coping with. Most of the benchmark workshop was thus focused on obtaining the most appropriate way to account for the changes in retention and selectivity for the two most influential fleets and the group agreed that the model was an improvement in terms of taking into account the changes in stock structure and accepted the assessment model with the proviso that the model be developed and finetuned as more data and information become available.

This year, the assessment was carried out following the stock annex, revised during the benchmark, and although the retrospective patterns are still present, the group accepted the assessment as appropriate to providing advice. The recruitment appears to fluctuate without substantial trend over the whole series with the 2008 being the highest of the whole series ( 806 million). In 2013, the recruitment decreased below mean level ( 374 million). From high levels at the start of the series (100 000 t in 1980), the SSB decreased steadily to a low level at the end of the 90s ( 26000 t in 1998). Since that year, SSB has increased to the highest value of the series in 2015 ( 361000 t ). The fishing mortality is calculated as the average annual F for sizes $15-80 \mathrm{~cm}$. This measure of F is nearly identical with the average $F$ for ages $1-5$. Values of $F$ increased from values around $0.5-0.6$ in the late 70 s and early 80 s to values around 1.0 during the 90 s . They declined sharply afterwards to 0.21 in 2012 and increased up to 0.23 in 2014.

Details about the assessment of this stock are provided in Section 9.

## Hake in Divisions 8.c and 9.a

Hake in Divisions 8.c and 9.a is caught in a mixed fishery by Spanish and Portuguese trawlers and artisanal fleets. Spain accounts for the main part of the landings. Total landings in 2014 were 12011 t and 11790 t in 2015. Total discards in 2014 were 2602 t and 2290 t in 2015.

The southern hake stock had a benchmark assessment in February 2014 (WKSOUTH). One of the main issues addressed during the benchmark workshop was related to the difficulties encountered by the GADGET model in its search for the set of parameters that maximize the likelihood function. The work confirmed that the model fitting procedure is finding a genuine optimum and can thus continue to be used as the assessment model. Further work to improve the optimization characteristics of the model has been suggested and implemented intersessionally.

The recruitment (age 0) is highly variable and presents two different periods: one from 1982-2003 with mean figures around 70 million, ranging from 40 to 120, and a recent period from 2004 to latest with a mean of 100 million ranging from 65 to 170 million. Fishing mortality increased from the beginning of the time-series ( $\mathrm{F}=0.36$ in 1982) peaking in 1995 at 1.19; declining to 0.79 in 1999 and remaining relatively stable until 2009 ( $\mathrm{F}=0.95$ ). F then progressively decreased to reach 0.52 in 2015. The SSB was very high at the beginning of the time-series with values around 40000 t , then decreased to a minimum of 5800 t in 1998. Since then biomass has continuously increased, reaching 20120 t in 2015, slightly below the 2014 figure ( 20653 t )

Details on the assessment of this stock are in Section 10.

## Nephrops in ICES Division 8.a,b

There are two Functional Units in ICES Division 8.a,b: FU 23 (Bay of Biscay North) and FU 24 (Bay of Biscay South), see Figure 1.2. Nephrops in these FUs are exploited by French trawlers almost exclusively. Landings declined until 2000, from 5900 t in 1988 to 3100 t in 2000. After that year, they increased again to around 3700 t , staying at that level for some time. Since 2006 landings have been around 3,300 t. In 2012 and 2013, a reduction in the landings occurred ( 2520 t in 2012, 2380 t in 2013) followed by an increase to 3569 t in 2015. The agreed TAC for 2016 was 3899 t .
A French regulation increased the minimum landing size in 2006 and several effort and gear selectivity regulations have also been put in place in recent years. The use of selective devices for trawlers targeting Nephrops became compulsory in 2008. All these measures are expected to be contributing in various ways to the changing patterns of landings and discards observed recently. In general, discards values after year 2000 have been higher than in earlier years, although sampling only occurred on a regular basis starting from 2003, so information about discards is considerably weaker for the earlier period.

This stock underwent an inter-benchmark protocol in 2012. The outcome of this process was inconclusive with a recommendation that the work undertaken should be considered in a full benchmark scheduled in October this year.

No quantitative analytical assessment was carried out this year, however, based on the stability of the commercial LPUEs in recent years with no update, the WG considered that the perception of the stock has not changed when compared to last assessment.

Details can be found in Section 11.

## Nephrops in ICES Division 8.c

There are two Functional Units in Division 8.c (Figure 1.2): FU 25 (North Galicia) and FU 31 (Cantabrian Sea).

Nephrops are caught in the mixed bottom-trawl fishery in the North and Northwest Iberian Atlantic. Landings from both FUs have declined dramatically in recent years reaching less than 15 t in each FU in 2015, below the TAC in recent years, which has not been restrictive. The TACs were set at 60 t and 46 t for the whole Division 8.c for 2015 and 2016, respectively.

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks has been in force since 2006. The aim of the recovery plan is to rebuild the stocks within 10 years, with a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relatively to the previous year and the TAC set accordingly (Council Regulation (EC) No. 2166/2005).
According to the ICES data-limited approach, both stocks are considered as category 3.1.4. The two stocks are assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend. The perception of the stocks is the same as last year indicating an extremely low abundance level.
Additional details are provided in Section 12.

## Nephrops in ICES Division 9.a

There are five Functional Units in Div. 9.a (Figure 1.2): FU 26 (West Galicia); FU 27 (North Portugal); FU 28 (Alentejo, Southwest Portugal); FU 29 (Algarve, South Portugal) and FU 30 (Gulf of Cádiz).

Landings in 2015 from the five FUs combined were 274 t . The TAC set for the whole Division 9.a was 254 t and 320 t for 2015 and 2016.

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks has been in force since 2006. The aim of the recovery plan is to rebuild the stocks within 10 years, with a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relatively to the previous year and the TAC set accordingly (Council Regulation (EC) No. 2166/2005).
FU 26+27 (West Galicia and North Portugal): The fishery shares the same characteristics of that in Division 8.c, described above.
Landings are reported by Spain and minor quantities by Portugal. Spanish fleets fish in FU 26 and FU 27, whereas Portuguese artisanal fleets fish with traps in FU 27. Two periods can be distinguished in the time-series of landings available 1975-2014. During 1975-1989, the mean landing was 680 t , fluctuating between 575 and 800 t approximately. Since 1990 onwards there has been a marked downward trend in landings, being below 50 t from 2005 to 2011. In the last four years, landings continued to decrease and were below 10 t . Discards rates are negligible.

According to the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is considered as category 3.1.4. These FU 26-27 are assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend, as was done in 2012. The perception of the stocks is the same as last year indicating an extremely low abundance level.

FU 28+29 (SW and S Portugal): Nephrops is taken by a multispecies and mixed bottomtrawl fishery. The trawl fleet comprises two components, one targeting fish operating along the entire coast, and another one targeting crustaceans, operating mainly in the southwest and south, in deep waters. There are two main target species in the crustacean fishery, Norway lobster and deep-water rose shrimp, with different but overlapping depth distributions. In years of high rose shrimp abundance, the fleet directs its effort preferably to this species.

For the period 1984-1992, the recorded landings from FUs 28 and 29 have fluctuated between 420 and 530 t , with a long-term average of about 480 t , falling drastically in the period 1990-1996, down to 132 t. From 1997 to 2005 landings have increased to levels observed during the early 1990s but decreased again in recent years. The value landings in 2009-2011 was approximately at the same level $(\approx 150 \mathrm{t})$, increasing to around 200 t in the years 2012-2015.
According the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is classified in the category 3.2.0. The advice is based on survey and fishery cpue and effort trends. A standardized effort shows a consistent declining trend since 2005 reaching a historic low in 2009-2010. In the following years, the effort had a slight increase however still remaining at a low level. The fleet standardized cpue, used as index of biomass, decreased in the period 2006-2011. The update of the index does not change the perception of the stock status, the index has been increasing in recent year.

FU 30 (Gulf of Cádiz): Nephrops in the Gulf of Cádiz is caught in a mixed fishery by the trawl fleet. Landings are markedly seasonal with high values from April to September. Landings were reported by Spain and minor quantities by Portugal. Landings increased from 100 t in the mid 90s to a higher level at the beginning of the 2000s. Landings have decreased again until 2008 and then remained around 100 t from 2008 to 2012. From 2013, landings dropped to around $20 t$, the main reason being is that the quota in 2012 was exceeded and the European Commission applied a sanction so that the Nephrops fishery was closed with vessels only fishing for Nephrops for a few days during the summer and winter periods.

According to the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is considered as category 3.2.0. FU 30 is assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend. The update of the LPUE series and abundance survey index shows two conflicting signals. The LPUE decreasing while the survey index is increasing however, WG express concerns over the ability of those two indices to reflect variations in the abundance in 2013 and 2014. The WG considers that no new information is available to change the perception of the status of the stock.

The five Nephrops FUs (assessed as 3 separate stocks) are managed jointly, with a single TAC set for the whole of Division 9.a. This may lead to unbalanced exploitation of the individual stocks. The northernmost stocks (FUs 26-27) are at extremely low levels, whereas the southern ones (FUs 28-29 and FU 30) are in better condition. To protect the stock in these Functional Units, management should be implemented at the Functional Unit level.

Additional details can be found in Section 13.

## European Sea bass in Division 8.a,b

Sea bass in the Bay of Biscay are targeted by France (more than $90 \%$ of international landings) by line fisheries which take place mainly from July to October, by nets, pelagic trawlers, and in mixed bottom-trawl fisheries from November to April on prespawning and spawning grounds when sea bass aggregate. Since the late 90s total landings are stable around 2500 t . Landing of netters have however increased since 2011 due to a decrease of sole quotas from 2011 and a redistribution of effort towards this species combined with good weather condition in 2014. Recreational fisheries are an important part of the total removals but these are not accurately quantified. Discards are known to take place but are not fully quantified. Anecdotal information suggests that discards may be very low in the area.

Last year, 2015, during the expert working group a decision was made to categorize this stock as data limited category 3.2.0 and based its advice on a commercial LPUE index. However, this year the methodology was change in how this index was produced and the working group concluded that that there was insufficient information to indicate a change in the perception of the stock.
Additional details can be found in Section 14.

## European Sea bass in Division 8.c, 9.a

Spanish and Portuguese vessels represent almost of the total annual landings in divisions 8.c and 9.a. Commercial landings represent 821 t in 2015, a slight decline on the previous year. A peak of landings is observed in the early 90's and in 2013, reaching more than 1000 t , and lowest landings have been observed in 1980 and 1981 and more recently in 2003 ( 466 t ). No discards have been observed for this stock by the observer program.

No stock assessment is carried out as the stock is considered as category 5.2.0. Information on abundance or exploitation is not yet available and this year, there are no new data available that change the perception of the stock.

Additional details can be found in Section 15.

## Plaice in Subarea 8. and Division 9.a

Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) are caught as a bycatch by various fleets and gear types covering small-scale artisanal and trawl fisheries. Portugal and France are the main participants in this fishery with Spain playing a minor role. Present fishery statistics are considered to be preliminary as there are concerns about the reliability of the French data from 2008-09. Landings may also contain misidentified flounder (Platichthys flesus) as they are often confounded at sales auctions in Portugal. The quantity of discarding is uncertain. For these reasons, the landings are unlikely to be a good indicator of total removals and ICES considers that it is not possible to quantify the catches.

This stock is currently ranked as a Data Limited Stock in category 5.2 as only landings data are available. This year, there are no new data available that change the perception of the stock.

Additional details can be found in Section 16.

## Pollack in Subarea 8. and Division 9.a

Landings have been reported by the three countries with quota: France, Spain and Portugal. Pollack is exploited by several type of gears. The main part of the landings are made by gillnets and lines. Since the early 2000s, the landings have been relatively stable between 1500 t and 2000 t .

Discards estimates in the Spanish fleet indicate that the discards may be low.
The stock is currently ranked as a Data Limited Stock in category 5.2 as there is information on landings only. This year, there are no new data available that change the perception of the stock.

Additional details can be found in Section 17.

## Whiting in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a

Whiting (Merlangius merlangus) are caught in mixed demersal fisheries primarily by France and Spain. Present fishery statistics are considered to be preliminary. Total landings in recent years have fluctuated around 2000 t . Whiting has never been recorded in Spanish discards and is negligible in Portuguese discards. However there are indications that some discarding occurs in the French fleet.

This species is at the southern extent of its range in the Bay of Biscay and Iberian Peninsula. It is not clear whether this is a separate stock from a biological point of view.

This stock is currently ranked as a Data Limited Stock in category 5.2 as there is information on landings only. This year, there are no new data available that change the perception of the stock.

Additional details can be found in Section 18.

### 1.4 Data available

Catch (totals and/or age-length structured) and effort data according to species, country, area and métier were requested in the ICES standard data call for WGBIE. A deadline of the 6 April 2016 was set in order to prepare the datasets for the working group and progress on the use of InterCatch.

For some stocks, the group noted that some data were very poor and recommends that a basic data check be carried out by the data providers before uploading the data in InterCacth. This includes checking if the landings by métier are consistent with the historical landings and checking the quality of the length or age frequency distributions. A substantial increase in workload was reported for the stocks where data were considered poor and data were continuously resubmitted during the working group.

For most of the stocks assessed by WGBIE, InterCatch was used mainly to download un-raised data. The data delivered to accessions via worksheet format was used as the primary data source and compared to the data submitted on InterCatch.

The main data problems detected by the Working Group and for which action is required are described in the "Stock Data Problems" table included in Annex 07.

Several stocks assessed by the Group are managed by means of TACs that apply to areas different from those corresponding to individual stocks, notably in Subarea 7, as well as for the Nephrops FUs in 8.c and 9.a, or to a combination of species in the cases of anglerfish and megrim.

Biological sampling levels by country and stock are summarized in Table 1.4a and b.

### 1.5 Stock Data Problems Relevant to Data Collection

WGBIE identified a number of issues for further discussion by the WGDATA in relation to stock data problems relevant to data collection. These are listed in the table included in Annex 07 of the report.

### 1.6 Frequency of assessment

The following table provides the review and evaluation carried out by WGBIE for the criteria identified by ICES in relation to the frequency of assessment.

The frequency of assessments was discussed at the ACOM December 2014 meeting and a subgroup was established to develop a set of criteria to be applied in identifying candidate stocks for less frequent assessment.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { STOCK } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | Stock name | LIFESPAN | STOCK <br> STATUS <br> ReLATIVE <br> to FMSY | stock status ReLATIVE TO MSYBTRIGGER | PERCENTAGE OF RECRUITING YEAR CLASSES IN CATCH | Mohn's RHO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anb-8c9a | Black-bellied anglerfish in Divisions 8c and 9a | medium | Green tick | Green tick | Unknown. | -0.22 |
| anp-8c9a | White anglerfish in Divisions 8c and 9a | medium | Green tick | Green tick | 0.60\% | -0.1 |
| hke-nrtn | Hake in Subareas <br> 4,6 , and 7 and <br> Divisions 3a, <br> 8a,b,d (Northern <br> stock) | medium | Green tick | Green tick | 7\% | -0.66 |
| hke-soth | Hake in Divisions <br> 8c and 9a <br> (Southern stock) | medium | $\operatorname{Red}$ X | Green tick | $<5 \%$ on average | 0.203 |
| mgb-8c9a | Four-spot megrim in Divisions 8c and 9a | medium | $\operatorname{Red}$ X | Green tick | $1 \%$ on average last 5 years | 0.06 |
| mgw-78 | Megrim in Divisions 7b-k and $8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}$ | medium | $\operatorname{Red} \mathrm{x}$ | Green tick | 0.06\% | 0.13 |
| mgw-8c9a | Megrim in <br> Divisions 8c and $9 \mathrm{a}$ | medium | $\operatorname{Red}$ X | Green tick | $32 \% \text { on }$ <br> average | 0.09 |
| sol-bisc | Sole in Divisions 8a, b | medium | $\operatorname{Red}$ X | $\operatorname{Red} X$ | $16 \% \text { on }$ <br> average | 0.01 |

### 1.7 Estimation of precautionary reference points

With the exception of megrim in subareas 7 and 8 all category 1 stocks assessed by WGBIE were reviewed by WKMSYREF4 and MSY and PA reference points were either calculated or evaluated. Megrim in ICES Subareas 7 and 8 was benchmarked in 2016 and reference points were calculated and subsequently reviewed and accepted by WGBIE.

### 1.8 Use of InterCatch by WGBIE

Progress has been made by the group with regards to the use of InterCatch. However, only one stock is using InterCatch exclusively as a tool to compute the model entry
files. Several stocks are partly using InterCatch in this process but as a place to hold all the raw data with the files being processed and raised externally.

Previously, northern hake files were exclusively processed with in InterCatch, this year the files were processed both with in InterCatch and externally using R script. Because of the complexity of the data, with the number of countries and métier, raising the data were cumbersome and difficult with no one year being repeatable. It was therefore necessary to produce a simplified and repeatable process. R script was developed and the resulting raised data were compared to that raised with in InterCatch. It was found that the raising of the length distribution data with in InterCatch produced results which were not as expected unlike the R script. Further details of the analysis can be found in the northern hake section, section 09 . Given the results from using the R Script the WG decided to use the R script to re-raise the historic time-series.

### 1.9 Stock annexes

All stocks assessed by this WG have a stock annex.

### 1.10 Proposals for future benchmarks

The following table summarizes WGBIE proposals for short and long-term benchmarking.

| Name | Assement STATUS | LATEST Benchmark | Benchmark NEXT YEAR | Planning Year + 2 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sea Bass in <br> Divisions 8.a,b | No new assessment | IBP New $2012$ | Yes |  | With Sea Bass in Divisions IVbc and 7.a,dh |
| Anglerfish <br> (Lophius <br> budegassa) in <br> Divisions 7.b-k <br> and 8.a,b,d | Update | WKFLAT $2012$ | Data compilation |  | All Anglerfish together |
| Anglerfish <br> (Lophius piscatorius) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d | Update | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WKFLAT } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Data compilation |  | All Anglerfish together |
| Anglerfish <br> (Lophius <br> budegassa) in <br> Divisions 8.c and <br> 9.a | Update | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WKFLAT } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Data compilation |  | All Anglerfish together |
| Anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a | Update | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WKFLAT } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Data compilation |  | All Anglerfish together |
| Hake in Subareas <br> 4,6 , and 7 and Divisions 3a, 8a,b,d (Northern stock) | Update | WKSouth $2014$ |  | Yes |  |
| Hake in Divisions 8c and 9a (Southern stock) | Update | WKSouth 2014 |  | Yes |  |

### 1.10.1 Benchmark planning

The WG reviewed the situation this year and decided to go ahead with the benchmarks proposed for 2016 and 2017. The ICES benchmark preparation tables by stock were reviewed during the WG meeting. The WG identified potential directions of solution to improve the assessments of those stocks without deciding yet on any preferred options for Nephrops and bass. It was however not possible during the WG to make a proposal for external experts.

It was agreed during the WG that ICES will launch a data-call on data availability for anglerfish and that a scoping meeting will be organized for the beginning of 2017 to assess the availability and quality of the data and start preparing for a benchmark later in the year or early in 2018.

A preliminary time table for a data analysis workshop and the benchmark workshop has been proposed. Given the data constraints it appears that the beginning of 2017 would be the best timing for the scoping meeting.
The updated tables and relevant comments regarding the 2016 and 2017 benchmarks are included in Annex 06 ("Benchmark planning").

### 1.10.2 Longer-term benchmark planning

WGBIE is also proposing longer term benchmarks and issues that should be addressed in the next round of benchmarks, although they are several years in the future. For 2018, the group proposed a benchmark for both stocks of hake (Merluccius merluccius) assessed by WGBIE, to address issues related to stock identity as well as the inclusion of commercial tuning series for the larger fish and to further develop the assessment methods used.

### 1.11 Mixed Fisheries considerations

Some progress has been made on the development of a mixed-fishery analysis since last year. The WG notes however that the Working Group on Mixed Fisheries Advice that will meet from 23-27 May will update the Iberian mixed fisheries analysis carried out in 2015. The WG also noted that mixed fishery analyses of the Bay of Biscay and Iberian waters was carried out during an STECF meeting from 25-29 May 2015 on the development of a multiannual mixed fishery management plan for the Southwestern Waters (EWG 15-04).

### 1.12 Assessment and forecast auditing process

WGBIE carried out the standard audits of individual assessments and forecasts were available for all stocks assessed. WGBIE stocks subjected to review are shown in the table below. Following a template provided by ICES secretariat, the choice of assessment model, the model configuration and the data used in the assessments have been checked against the corresponding settings described in the Stock Annex. Not all audits could be completed by the end of the meeting and the remaining stocks were audited after the meeting. No concerns were raised by the auditors.

| Fish Stock | Stock Name | Stock Coord. | Advice | Review |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anp-78ab | Anglerfish (L. piscatorius) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b | Spain/UK | Update | Ireland/France |
| anb-78ab | Anglerfish (Lophius <br> budegassa) in Divisions 7.bk and $8 . a, \mathrm{~b}$ | Spain/UK | Update | Ireland/France |
| anb-8c9a | Anglerfish (Lophius budegassa) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a | Portugal | Update | France/Spain |
| anp-8c9a | Anglerfish (L. piscatorius) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a | Spain | Update | France/Spain |
| hke-nrtn | Hake in Division IIIa, Subareas IV, VI and 7. and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock); | Spain | Update | Spain/UK |
| hke-soth | Hake in Division 8.c and 9.a (Southern stock); | Spain | Update | Spain/Portugal |
| mgb-8c9a | Megrim (Lepidorhombus boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a | Spain | Update | France |
| mgw-8c9a | Megrim (Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a | Spain | Update | Spain |
| mgw-78 | Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Subarea 7. \& Divisions 8.a,b,d,e | Spain | Update | Portugal |
| sol-bisc | Sole in Divisions 8.a,b,d (Bay of Biscay) | France | Update | Spain |
| nep-2324 | Nephrops in Divisions 8.a,b (Bay of Biscay, FU 23, 24) | France | Biennial <br> 1st year | Spain |
| nep-25 | Nephrops in North Galicia (FU 25) | Spain | Biennial <br> 1st year | France |
| nep-31 | Nephrops in the Cantabrian Sea (FU 31) | Spain | Biennial <br> 1st year | UK |
| nep-2627 | Nephrops in West Galicia and North Portugal (FU 2627) | Portugal | Biennial <br> 1st year | Spain |
| nep-2829 | Nephrops in Southwest and South Portugal (FU 28-29) | Portugal | Biennial <br> 1st year | Spain |
| nep-30 | Nephrops in Gulf of Cadiz (FU 30) | Spain/Portugal | Biennial <br> 1st year | UK |

### 1.13 Ecosystem overviews

Last year, 2015, Iñigo Martínez (ICES) requested a review of the draft report "Ecosystem Overview", section Bay of Biscay and Iberian waters, and to include considerations from WGBIE. WGBIE had a subgroup meeting and provided comments for consideration. This year the group reviewed the advice sheets produced as result of the finalized report.
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Table 1.4a Biological sampling levels by stock and country. Number of fish measured and aged from landings in 2015

|  |  | ANGLER (L.PISC.) |  | Angler (L.bude.) |  | MeGrim (L.whiff.) |  | Megrim (L. boscil) | Sole (S. solea) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VIIb-k \& VIIIa,b,d | VIIIC \& IXa | VIIb-k \& VIIIa,b,d | VIIIC \& IXa | VIIb-k \& VIIIa,b,d | VIIIC \& IXa | VIIİ \& IXa | VIIIa, b | VIIIc \& IXa |
| Belgium | No. lengths | 7972 |  | 4490 |  | 5473 |  |  | 9293 |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | 523 |  |  | 188 |  |
|  | No. samples** | 341 |  | 61 |  | 151 |  |  | 56 |  |
| E \& W (UK) | No. lengths | 12908 |  | 2952 |  | 16125 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | 1245 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples* | 97 |  | 69 |  | 378 |  |  |  |  |
| France | No. lengths | 20431 |  | 14816 |  | NA |  |  | 21018 |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  | 1598 |  |
|  | No. samples* | 1277 |  | 1277 |  | NA |  |  | 181 |  |
| Portugal | No. lengths |  | 221 |  | 1158 |  | 61 | 2956 |  |  |
|  | No. ages*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples* |  | 72 |  | 106 |  | 3 | 64 |  |  |
| Republic of | No. lengths | 6262 |  | 2587 |  | 36487 |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland | No. ages |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples** | 100 |  | 71 |  | 255 |  |  |  |  |
| Spain | No. lengths | 5907 | 7635 | 11717 | 5188 | 18377 | 6142 | 28818 |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | 908 | 910 | 957 |  |  |
|  | No. samples | 80 | 289 | 78 | 284 | 90 | 151 | 196 |  |  |
| Denmark | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | No. lengths 40572 |  |  | 36562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nb. in international landings ('000) |  | 25266 | 1748 |  | 1042 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nb . measured as \% of annual nb. caught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 1.4a (continued)

|  |  | Hake |  | NEPHROPS |  |  | Sea Bass |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pollack } \\ \hline \text { VIII \& IXa } \end{gathered}$ | WhitingVIII \& IXa | $\frac{\text { Plaice }}{\text { VIII \& IXa }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IIIa, IV, VI, VII \& VIIIa,b | VIIIc \& IXa | VIIIab FU 23-24 | VIIIc FU 25-31 | IXa FU 26-30 | VIIIab | VIIIc \& IXa |  |  |  |
| Scotland (UK) | No. lengths | 10606 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples* | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E \& W (UK) | No. lengths | 17265 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples* | 901 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | No. lengths | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  | ??? | ??? | ??? |
|  | No. Ages***** | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples**** | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  | ??? | ??? | ??? |
| Portugal | No. lengths | - | 21098 |  |  | 9104 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2233 |
|  | No. ages*** | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples* | - | 466 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| Republic of | No. lengths | 9202 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | No. ages***** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples* | 158 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | No. lengths | 65734 | 58755 |  | 1930 | 1870 |  |  | 0 | 521 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  | 1173 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples* | 458 |  |  | 44 | 30 |  |  | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Denmark | No. lengths | 12960 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples* | 968 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | No. lengths | 123356 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 521 | 2233 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total No. in international landings ('000) |  | 80787 | 63715 |  | 43 | 6224 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nb . meas. as \% of annual nb. caught |  | 0.2\% | 0.92 |  | 4.5\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |

## *essels, ${ }^{* *}$ Categories

*** Ages, surveys, **** Boxes/hauls (for sampling on board)
***** Otoliths collected and prepared but not read

Table 1.4b Biological sampling levels by stock and country. Number of fish measured and aged from discards in 2015

|  |  | ANGLER (L.PISC.) |  | Angler (L.bude.) |  | MeGrim (L.whiff.) |  | Megrim (L. boscil) <br> VIIIc \& IXa | Sole (S. solea) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VIIb-k \& VIIIa,b,d | VIIIc \& IXa | VIIb-k \& VIIIa,b,d | VIIIc \& IXa | VIIb-k \& VIIIa,b,d | VIIIc \& IXa |  | VIIIa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | VIIIc \& IXa |
| Belgium | No. lengths |  |  |  |  | 699 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | 129 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| E \& W (UK) | No. lengths |  |  |  |  | 993 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples | 140 |  | 140 |  | 286 |  |  |  |  |
| France | No. lengths | 1601 |  | 2530 |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples | 816 |  | 816 |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Portugal (a) | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic of | No. lengths | 2169 |  | 1458 |  | 19318 |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples | 51 |  | 51 |  | 337 |  |  |  |  |
| Spain | No. lengths | 1 |  | 43 |  | 1854 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples | 1 |  | 40 |  | 350 |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | No. lengths | 3771 |  | 4031 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total no. in international discards ('000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nb . meas. as \% of annual nb. Discarded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.4b (continued)

|  |  | Hake |  | NEPHROPS |  |  | Sea Bass |  | PollackVIII \& IXa | WhitingVIII \& IXa | $\frac{\text { PLAICE }}{\text { VIII \& IXa }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IIIa, IV, VI, VII \& VIIIa, b | VIIIc \& IXa | VIIIab FU 2324 | VIIIc FU 2531 | IXa FU 26-30 | VIIIab | VIIIC \& IXa |  |  |  |
| Scotland (UK) | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E \& W (UK) | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal (a) | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Republic of | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | No. lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No. ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Total no. in international discards ('000)
Nb . meas. as \% of annual nb. Discarded


Figure 0.1. Map of ICES Divisions. Northern (3.a, 4, 6, 7. and 8.abd) and Southern (8.c and 9.a) Divisions with different shading.


Figure 1.2. ICES Division 8, 9.a. Nephrops Functional Units. Division 8.ab (Management Area N): FUs 23-24. Division 8.c (Management Area O): FUs 25 and 31. Division 9.a (Management Area Q): FUs 26-30.

## 2 Description of Commercial Fisheries and Research Surveys

### 2.1 Fisheries description

This Section describes the fishery units relevant to the stocks assessed in this WG. Additionally, to facilitate the use of InterCatch, it presents the "fleets" that the WG proposes to use for data submission in InterCatch.

### 2.1.1 Celtic - Biscay Shelf (Subarea 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d).

The fleets operating in the ICES Subarea 7 and Divisions $8 . a, b, d$ are used in this WG following the Fishery Units (FU) defined by the "ICES Working Group on Fisheries Units in subareas 7 and 8" (ICES, 1991):

Under the implementation of the mixed fisheries approach in the ICES WG's new information updating some national fleet segmentations was presented in WGHMM reports in the last few years, from general overviews (ICES, 2004; ICES, 2005) to detailed national descriptions: French fleets (ICES, 2006), Irish fleets (ICES, 2007), and Spanish fleets (ICES, 2008). This new information in relation to the métiers definition did not change the Fishery Units used in the single-stock assessments. However, the hierarchical disaggregation of FU into métiers is essential not only for carrying out mixedfisheries assessments, but also for a deeper understanding of the fisheries behaviour.

| Fishery Unit | Description | Sub-area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FU1 | Longline in medium to deep water | 7 |
| FU2 | Longline in shallow water | 7 |
| FU3 | Gillnets | 7 |
| FU4 | Non-Nephrops trawling in medium to deep water | 7 |
| FU5 | Non-Nephrops trawling in shallow water | 7 |
| FU6 | Beam trawling in shallow water | 7 |
| FU8 | Nephrops trawling in medium to deep water | 7 |
| FU9 | Nephrops trawling in shallow to medium water | 8 |
| FU10 | Trawling in shallow to medium water | 8 |
| FU12 | Longline in medium to deep water | 8 |
| FU13 | Gillnets in shallow to medium water | 8 |
| FU14 | Trawling in medium to deep water | 8 |
| FU15 | Miscellaneous | $7 \& 8$ |
| FU16 | Outsiders | $3 . a, 4,5 \& 6$ |
| FU00 | French unknown |  |

The EU Data Collection Framework (DCF; Council Regulation (EC) 199/2008; EC Regulation 665/2008; Decision 2008/949/EC) establishes a framework for the collection of economic, biological and transversal data by Member States. One of the most relevant changes of this new period with respect to the previous Data Collection Regulation (DCR; Reg. (EC) No 1639/2001) has been the inclusion of the ecosystem approach by means of moving from stock-based sampling to métier-based sampling. The new DCF defines the métier as "a group of fishing operations targeting the same species or a similar assemblage of species, using similar gear, during the same period of the year and/or within the same area, and which are characterized by a similar exploitation pattern". Due to the new sampling design, established since 2009, which can affect the fishery data supplied to
this WG, it has been agreed to detail the métiers related with the stocks assessed by this WG, trying to find the correspondence with the Fishing Units.

Data for stock assessment are typically provided to stock coordinators either still according to the old FUs and the traditional tuning fleets or to the DCF métiers. In the case of discards and/or biological data, although sampling may be done at the DCF métier Level 6, estimates are often re-aggregated to Level 5 due to low sampling levels reached by countries. Thus, this WG agreed to use DCF Level 5 (without mesh size) as the "fleet" level to introduce data in InterCatch. The table below shows the "fleets" to be used for InterCatch and their correspondence with the old Fishery Units and the DCF métiers at Level 6.

| FU | FLEET FOR InterCatch | DCF MÉTIER (Level 6) | DESCRIPTION | FR | IR | SP | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FU1 | LLS_DEF | LLS_DEF_0_0_0 | Set longline directed to demersal fish |  |  | X | X |
| FU2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FU3 | GNS_DEF | GNS_DEF_100-219_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal fish ( $100-219 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | X | X | X |  |
| FU4 | OTB_DEF | OTB_DEF_70-99_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to demersal fish ( $70-99 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  | X | X | X |
|  |  | OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to demersal fish (100-119 mm) |  |  | X | X |
| FU5 | OTB_DEF |  | Otter trawl directed to demersal Fish shallow water |  |  |  | X |
| FU6 | TBB_DEF |  | Beam trawl |  |  |  | X |
| FU8 | OTB_CRU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FU9 | OTB_CRU | OTB_CRU_70-99_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to crustaceans ( $70-99 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | X | X |  | X |
| FU10 | OTB_DEF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FU12 | LLS_DEF | LLS_DEF_0_0_0 | Set longline directed to demersal fish | X |  | X |  |
| FU13 | GNS_DEF | GNS_DEF_45-59_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal fish ( $45-59 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | X |  |  |  |
|  |  | GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal fish (at least 100 mm ) | X |  | X |  |
| FU14 | OTB_DEF | OTB_DEF_>=70_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to demersal fish (at least 70 mm ) | X |  | X |  |
|  | OTB_MCF | OTB_MCF _>=70_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to mixed cephalopods and demersal fish (at least 70 mm ) |  |  | X |  |
|  | OTT_DEF | OTT_DEF _>=70_0_0 | Multi-rig otter trawl directed to demersal fish (at least 70 mm ) | X |  |  |  |
|  | OTB_CRU | OTB_CRU _>=70_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to crustaceans (at least 70 mm ) | X |  |  |  |
|  | OTT_CRU | OTT_CRU _>=70_0_0 | Multi-rig otter trawl directed to crustaceans (at least 70 mm ) | X |  |  |  |
|  | OTB_MPD | OTB_MPD _>=70_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to mixed pelagic and demersal fish (at least 70 mm ) |  |  | X |  |
|  | PTB_DEF | PTB_DEF _>=70_0_0 | Bottom pair trawl directed to demersal fish (at least 70 mm ) |  |  | X |  |
| FU15 | SSC_DEF |  | Fly shooting seine directed to demersal fish |  |  |  |  |
| FU16 | OTB_DEF | OTB_DEF _100-119_0_0 | Bottom otter trawl directed to demersal fish (100-119 mm) | X |  | X | X |
|  | LLS_DEF | LLS_DEF _0_0_0 | Set longline directed to demersal fish |  |  | X |  |
|  | SSC_DEF |  | Fly shooting seine directed to demersal fish |  |  |  |  |
| FU00 | PTM_DEF |  | Midwater pair trawl directed to demersal fish |  |  |  |  |

For the Bay of Biscay sole stock, the correspondence with DCF métiers is somewhat complicated because the fleets used are:

Inshore-gillnets (French gillnetters with length < 12 m ) (GNx or GTx)
Offshore-gillnets (French gillnetters with length $>12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) ( GNx or GTx)
Inshore-trawlers (French trawlers with length < 12 m ) (OTx, TBx, PTx)

Offshore-trawlers (French trawlers with length > 12 m )
In other words, the fleets used correspond to netters and trawlers fishing for sole in the Bay of Biscay, grouped according to vessel length.

### 2.1.2 Atlantic Iberian Peninsula Shelf (Divisions 8.c and 9.a).

The Fishery Units operating in the Atlantic Iberian Peninsula waters were described originally in the report of the "Southern hake task force" meeting (STECF, 1994), and have been used for several years in this WG as follows:

| Country | Fishery Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Spain | Small Gillnet | Gillnet fleet using "beta" gear (60 mm mesh size) for <br> targeting hake in Divisions 8c and 9.a North |
|  | Gillnet | Gillnet fleet using "volanta" gear (90 mm mesh size) for <br> targeting hake in Division 8c |
|  | Gillnet fleet using "rasco"gear (280 mm mesh size) for <br> targeting anglerfish in Division 8c |  |
|  | Longline | Longline fleet targeting a variety of species (hake, great fork <br> beard, conger) in Division 8c |
|  | Sorthern Artisanal | Miscellaneous fleet exploiting a variety of species in <br> Divisions 8c and 9.a North |
| Northern Trawl | Miscellaneous fleet exploiting a variety of species in Division <br> 9.a South (Gulf of Cádiz) |  |

The Spanish and Portuguese fleets operating in the Atlantic Iberian Peninsula shelf were segmented into métiers under the EU project IBERMIX (DG FISH/2004/03-33), and the results were described in Section 2 of the 2007 WGHMM report (ICES, 2007).

The correspondence between Fishing Units and DCF métiers has been also compiled for the southern stocks fleets and is presented in the following table. As for the CelticBiscay shelf, sampling inconsistencies among biological and commercial data make the use of the DCF Level 5 preferable to introduce Iberian data in InterCatch. This re-aggregation affects the Spanish gillnet operating in the Northern Spanish waters, because
the set gillnet ("beta") directed to hake (GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0) and the set gillnet ("volanta") also targeting hake (GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0) must be sampled together. It must take into account that the set gillnet using more than 280 mm mesh size (GNS_DEF_280_0_0) targets mostly anglerfish and cannot be distinguished at Level 5 (the level proposed for the InterCatch fleets) from the two gillnet métiers previously mentioned (which are directly mainly to hake). So a revision of the current InterCatch fleet proposal may be required in this case (to be decided by the WG by mid-September, as stated at the start of Section 2.1).

| COUNTRY | FU | Fleet for InterCatch | MÉTIERS (Level 6) | DESCRIPTION <br> (MESH SIZE IN BRACKETS) | SP | PT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gillnet |  | GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal species (80-99 mm ) | X |  |
|  |  | GNS_DEF | GNS_DEF_280_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal species (at least 280 mm ) | X |  |
|  | Northern <br> Arisanal |  | GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal fish (60-79 mm ) | X |  |
|  | Longline | LLS_DEF | LLS_DEF_0_0_0 | Set longline directed to demersal fish | X |  |
| Spain | Southern artisanal | LLS_DWS | LLS_DWS_0_0_0 | Set longline directed to deep-water species | X |  |
|  |  | PTB_DEF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTB_DEF _> = } \\ & 55 \_0 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | Pair bottom trawl directed to demersal fish (at least 55 mm ) | X |  |
|  | Northern <br> Trawl | OTB_DEF | OTB_DEF_>=55_0_0 | Otter bottom trawl directed to demersal fish (at least 55 mm ) | X |  |
|  |  | OTB_MPD | OTB_MPD_>=55_0_0 | Otter bottom trawl directed to mixed pelagic and demersal fish (at least 55 mm ) | X |  |
|  | Southern trawl | OTB_DEM | OTB_DEM_>=55_0_0 | Otter bottom trawl directed to demersal species (at least 55 mm ) | X |  |
|  |  | GTR_DEF | GTR_DEF_>=100_0_0 | Trammelnet directed to demersal fish (at least 100 mm ) |  | X |
|  | Artisanal | GNS_DEF | GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0 | Set gillnet directed to demersal fish (80-99 mm ) |  | X |
| Portugal |  | LLS_DEF | LLS_DEF_0_0_0 | Set longline directed to demersal fish |  | X |
|  |  | LLS_DWS | LLS_DWS_0_0_0 | Set longline directed to deep-water species |  | X |
|  | Trawl | OTB_CRU | OTB_CRU_>=55_0_0 | Otter bottom trawl directed to crustaceans (at least 55 mm ) |  | X |
|  |  | OTB_DEF | OTB_DEF_60-69_0_0 | Otter bottom trawl directed to demersal fish (60-69 mm) |  | X |

### 2.2 Description of surveys

This section gives a brief description of the surveys referred to in this WG report. The surveys are listed in the following table, including the acronym used by WGHMM in 2010, the DCF acronym and the new ICES survey acronym which will be used throughout this WG report and Stock Annexes. The new survey acronyms used this year were provided by ICES Secretariat, aiming for consistency across all ICES Expert Groups. When ICES Secretariat has not included a survey in the list for which it has provided acronyms, the WGHMM 2010 acronym will remain in use.

| Survey | WGHMM 2010 ACRONYM | DCF ACRONYM | ICES SURVEY ACRONYM AS OF 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanish groundfish survey quarter 4 | SP-GFS | IBTS-EA-4Q | SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |
| Spanish Porcupine groundfish survey | SP-PGFS | IBTS-EA | SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |
| Spanish Cadiz groundfish survey - Autumn | SP-GFS-caut |  | SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4 |
| Spanish Cadiz groundfish survey - Spring | SP-GFS-cspr |  | SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1 |
| Portuguese groundfish survey - October | P-GFS-oct | IBTS-EA-4Q | PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |
| Portuguese groundfish survey <br> - July (terminated) | P-GFS-jul |  | ---- |
| Portuguese crustacean trawl survey / Nephrops TV survey offshore Portugal | P-CTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UWFT (FU } \\ & \text { 28-29) } \end{aligned}$ | PT-CTS (UWTV (FU 28-29)) |
| Portuguese winter groundfish survey/Western IBTS 1st quarter | PESCADA-BD |  | PtGFS-WIBTS-Q1 |
| French EVHOE groundfish survey | EVHOE | IBTS-EA-4Q | EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 |
| French RESSGASC groundfish survey (ended in 2002) | RESSGASC |  | ---- |
| French Bay of Biscay sole beam trawl survey | ORHAGO |  | ORHAGO |
| French Nephrops survey in Bay of Biscay | LANGOLF |  | LANGOLF |
| UK west coast groundfish survey (ended in 2004) | UK-WCGFS |  | ----- |
| UK Western English Channel Beam Trawl Survey |  |  | UK-WECBTS |
| UK Bottom-trawl Survey |  |  | EN-Cefas-A, B |
| English fisheries science partnership survey | EW-FSP |  | FSP-Eng-Monk |
| Irish groundfish survey | IGFS | IBTS-EA-4Q | IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |

A brief description of each survey follows. A general map identifying survey areas can be found in ICES IBTS WG reports.

### 2.2.1 Spanish groundfish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

The SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 covers the northern Spanish shelf comprised in ICES Division 8 c and the northern part of 9.a, including the Cantabrian Sea and off Galicia waters. It is a bottom-trawl survey that aims to collect data on the distribution, relative abundance and biology of commercial fish species such as hake, monkfish and white anglerfish, megrim, four-spot megrim, blue whiting and horse mackerel. Abundance indices are estimated by length and in some cases by age, with indices also estimated for Nephrops, and data collected for other demersal fish and invertebrates. The survey is ca. 120 hauls and is from $30-800 \mathrm{~m}$ depths, usually starts at the end of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter (September) and finishes in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter.

### 2.2.2 Spanish Porcupine groundfish survey (SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

The SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 occurs at the end of the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quarter (September) and start of the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. It is a bottom-trawl survey that aims to collect data on the distribution, relative abundance and biology of commercial fish in ICES Division 7.b-k, which corresponds to the Porcupine Bank and the adjacent area in western Irish waters between 180-800m. The survey area covers $45880 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ and approximately 80 hauls per year are carried out.

### 2.2.3 Cadiz groundfish surveys - Spring (SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) and Autumn (SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4)

The bottom-trawl surveys SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1 and SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4 occur in the southern part of ICES Division 9.a, the Gulf of Cádiz, and collect data on the distribution, relative abundance, and biology of commercial fish species. The area covered is $7224 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ and extends from 15-800m. The primary species of interest are hake, horse mackerel, wedge sole, sea breams, mackerel and Spanish mackerel. Data and abundance indices are also collected and estimated for other demersal fish species and invertebrates such as rose and red shrimps, Nephrops and cephalopod molluscs.

### 2.2.4 Portuguese groundfish survey October (PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 extends from latitude $41^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ to $36^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES Div. 9.a) and from $20-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth. The survey takes place in Autumn. The main objectives of the survey is to estimate the abundance and study the distribution of the most important commercial species in the Portuguese trawl fishery ( hake, horse mackerel, blue whiting, sea bream and Nephrops), mainly to monitor the abundance and distribution of hake and horse mackerel recruitment. The surveys aim to carry out ca. 90 stations per year.

### 2.2.5 Portuguese crustacean trawl survey / Nephrops TV survey offshore Portugal (PT-CTS (UWTV (FU 28-29))

The PT-CTS (UWTV (FU 28-29)) survey is carried out in May-July and covers the southwest coast (Alentejo or FU 28) and the south coast (Algarve or FU 29). The main objectives are to estimate the abundance, to study the distribution and the biological characteristics of the main crustacean species, namely Nephrops norvegicus (Norway lobster), Parapenaeus longirostris (rose shrimp) and Aristeus antennatus (red shrimp). The average number of stations in the period 1997-2004 was 60 . Sediment samples have been collected since 2005 with the aim to study the characteristics of the Nephrops fishing grounds. In 2008 and 2009, the crustacean trawl survey conducted in Functional Units 28 and 29, was combined with an experimental video sampling.

### 2.2.6 Portuguese winter groundfish survey/Western IBTS 1 st quarter (PtGFS-WIBTS-Q1)

The PtGFS-WIBTS-Q1survey has been carried out along the Portuguese continental waters from latitude $41^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ to $36^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES Div. 9.a) and from 20-500m depth. The winter groundfish survey plan comprises 75 fishing stations, 66 at fixed positions and 9 at random. The main aim of the survey is to estimate spawning biomass of hake.

### 2.2.7 French EVHOE groundfish survey (EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4)

The EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey covers the Celtic Sea with ICES Divisions 7.f,g,h,j, and the French part of the Bay of Biscay in divisions 8ab. The survey is conducted from 15 to 600 m depths, usually in the fourth quarter, starting at the end of the October. The primary species of interest are hake, monkfish, anglerfish, megrim, cod, haddock and whiting, with data also collected for all other demersal and pelagic fish. The sampling strategy is stratified random allocation, the number of set per stratum based on the 4 most important commercial species (hake, monkfish and megrim) leaving at least two stations per stratum and 140 valid tows are planned every year although this number depends on available sea time.

### 2.2.8 French RESSGASC groundfish survey (RESSGASC)

The RESSGASC survey was conducted in the Bay of Biscay from 1978-2002. Over the years 1978-1997 the survey was conducted with quarterly periodicity. It was conducted twice a year after that (in Spring and Autumn). Survey data prior to 1987 are normally excluded from the time-series, since there was a change of vessel at that time.

### 2.2.9 French Bay of Biscay sole beam trawl survey (ORHAGO)

The ORHAGO survey was launched in 2007, with the aim of producing an abundance index and biological parameters such as length distribution for the Bay of Biscay sole. It is usually carried out in November, with approximately 23 days of duration and sampling 70-80 stations. It uses beam trawl gear and is coordinated by the ICES WGBEAM.

### 2.2.10 French Nephrops survey in the Bay of Biscay (LANGOLF)

This survey commenced in 2006 specifically for providing abundance indices of Nephrops in the Bay of Biscay. It is carried out on the area of the Central Mud Bank of the Bay of Biscay (ca. $11680 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), in the second quarter (May apart from the $1^{\text {st }}$ year when the survey occurred in April), using twin trawl, with hours of trawling around dawn and dusk. The whole mud bank is divided to five sedimentary strata and the sampling allocation combines the surface by stratum and the fishing effort concentration. 70-80 experimental hauls are carried out by year. Since the IBP Nephrops 2012, this survey is included as tuning series in the stock assessment.

### 2.2.1 1 UK west coast groundfish survey (UK-WCGFS)

This survey, which ended in 2004, was conducted in March in the Celtic sea with ca. 62 hauls. It does not include the 0 -age group with one of the primary aims to investigate the 1 and 2 age groups. Numbers-at-age for this abundance index are estimated from length compositions using a mixed distribution by statistical method.

### 2.2.12 English fisheries science partnership survey (FSP-Eng-Monk)

The FSP-Eng-Monk survey, part of the English fisheries science partnership programme, has been carried out every year since 2003 with 208 valid hauls in 2010. The aims of the survey are to investigate abundance and size composition of anglerfish on the main UK anglerfish fishing grounds off the southwest coast of England within ICES Subdivisions 7.e-h.

### 2.2.13 English Western English Channel Beam Trawl Survey

Since 1989 the survey has remained relatively unchanged, apart from small adjustments to the position of individual hauls to provide an improved spacing. In 1995, two inshore tows in shallow water ( $8-15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) were introduced. The survey now consists of 58 tows of 30 minutes duration, with a towing speed or 4 knots in an area within 35 miles radius of Start Point. The objective is to provide indices of abundance, which are independent of commercial fisheries, of all age groups of sole and plaice on the western Channel grounds, and an index of recruitment of young (1-3 year-old) sole prior to full recruitment to the fishery.

### 2.2.14 English Bottom-trawl Survey

This bottom-trawl survey covered the Irish, Celtic Sea and Western English Channel but it was discontinued in 2004.

### 2.2.15 Irish groundfish survey (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

The IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 is carried out in 4th quarter in divisions 6.a, 7.b,c,g,j, though only part of $6 . a$ and the border of Division 7.c, in depths of $30-600 \mathrm{~m}$. The annual target is 170 valid tows of 30 minute duration which are carried out in daylight hours at a speed of 4 knots. Data are collected on the distribution, relative abundance and biological parameters of a large range of commercial fish such as haddock, whiting, plaice and sole with survey data provided also for cod, white and black anglerfish, megrim, lemon sole, hake, saithe, ling, blue whiting and a number of elasmobranchs as well as several pelagics (herring, horse mackerel and mackerel).

## 3 Anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius and Lophius budegassa) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d

There has been no accepted assessment for either L. piscatorius or L. budegassa since 2007. The Working Group in 2007 found that the input data showed deficiencies, especially as discarding was known to be increasing and that ageing problems had become more obvious. The stock went through a benchmark process during 2012 (WKFLAT 2012) but no analytical assessment was found acceptable.

## L. piscatorius and L. budegassa:

Type of assessment in 2015: Same Advice as Last Year (SALY).
Data revisions this year: EHVOE survey 2011 index revised for L. piscatorius and L. budegassa. Revised LPUE for UK (E\&W) for L. budegassa in 2014.

## Review Group issues:

The RG noted that unless discarding of small fish is taken into account, it may be difficult to develop a length-based analytical assessment for this stock.

### 3.1 General

3.1.1 Summary of ICES advice for 2016 and management for 2015 and 2016

ICES advice for 2016

## Lophius piscatorius

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings in 2016 should be no more than 26691 tonnes. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding total catches.

## Lophius budegassa

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings in 2016 should be no more than 10757 tonnes. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding total catches.

Management of the two anglerfish species under a combined TAC prevents effective control of the single-species exploitation rates and could lead to overexploitation of either species.

## Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

The TAC applied to both species and including Division 7.a was set at 42496 t for 2015 and for 2016.

Since $1^{\text {st }}$ February 2006 a ban on gillnet at depth greater than 200 m was set in Subareas 6.a,b and 7.b,c,j,k.

### 3.1.2 Landings

Landings have increased since 2000 and have fluctuated around 33000 t since 2003. The landings of both species combined were estimated to be 28880 t in 2010, 28357 t in 2011 and 33373 t in 2012. Estimated landings of 36855 t in 2013 are at the highest level over the last 10 years and the fourth highest of the time-series, landings of 36200 in 2014, are close to levels seen in 2013 but in 2015 decreased to 35585 t . In the last year, estimated landings in Subarea 7 are stable, with an apparent decrease in Subarea 8
(Table 3.1-1).There was a revision for the Spanish data for the years 2011 to 2012 due to the new method in estimating the landings. Although the total landings for the two species combined are similar to the previous estimates this has had an affect on how the species are split for assessment purposes. Therefore, the WG decided not to use these data until details of the sampling used and the effects of the new method are clarified.

### 3.1.3 Discards

Estimates of discards have been carried out and new data have been made available to the working group by all countries for the first time. This information shows that an increasing proportion of small fish of both species are caught and discarded. After an extensive analysis of discard data by WKFLAT 2012, discard estimates were considered not to be precise with a high level of uncertainty due to raising methods using very limited sampling, therefore the group decided not to use the discard estimates in the assessment or for advice purposes.

Table 3.1-1. Anglerfish in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d -Total landings from 1984-2015: Working Group estimates

| Year | 7.B-K | 8.A,B,D | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  |  | 19895 |
| 1978 |  |  | 23445 |
| 1979 |  |  | 29738 |
| 1980 |  |  | 38880 |
| 1981 |  |  | 39450 |
| 1982 |  |  | 35285 |
| 1983 |  |  | 38280 |
| 1984 | 28847 | 7909 | 36756 |
| 1985 | 28491 | 7161 | 35652 |
| 1986 | 25987 | 5897 | 31883 |
| 1987 | 22295 | 7233 | 29528 |
| 1988 | 22494 | 5983 | 28477 |
| 1989 | 24674 | 5276 | 29950 |
| 1990 | 23434 | 5950 | 29384 |
| 1991 | 20256 | 4684 | 24940 |
| 1992 | 17412 | 3530 | 20942 |
| 1993 | 16517 | 3507 | 20024 |
| 1994 | 18023 | 3841 | 21864 |
| 1995 | 21822 | 4862 | 26684 |
| 1996 | 24153 | 6102 | 30255 |
| 1997 | 23928 | 5846 | 29774 |
| 1998 | 23295 | 4876 | 28171 |
| 1999 | 21845 | 3143 | 24988 |
| 2000 | 18129 | 2456 | 20585 |
| 2001 | 19534 | 2875 | 22409 |
| 2002 | 22648 | 3571 | 26220 |
| 2003 | 28552 | 4681 | 33233 |
| 2004 | 29510 | 5640 | 35150 |
| 2005 | 27908 | 5167 | 33075 |
| 2006 | 26795 | 4823 | 31618 |
| 2007 | 30121 | 5213 | 35334 |
| 2008 | 26724 | 5032 | 31756 |
| 2009 | 22733 | 5193 | 27926 |
| 2010 | 23338 | 5542 | 28880 |
| 2011 | 22458 | 5900 | 28357 |
| 2012 | 24370 | 9004 | 33373 |
| 2013* | 25994 | 10861 | 36855 |
| 2014 | 27950 | 8251 | 36200 |
| 2015** | 27919 | 7666 | 35585 |
| * revised |  |  |  |
| ** preliminary |  |  |  |

### 3.2 Anglerfish (L. piscatorius) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d

### 3.2.1 Data

### 3.2.1.1 Commercial Catch

The Working Group estimates of landings of L. piscatorius by fishery unit (defined in Section 2 of the report) are given in Table 3.2-1.

The landings have declined steadily from 23666 t in 1986 to 12766 t in 1992, then increased to 22162 t in 1996 and declined to 13941 t in 2000. The landings have increased since then reaching the maximum of the time-series in 2007 ( 28977 t ). The 2008 value shows a $16 \%$ drop to 24376 t . In 2009 the decreasing trend continued with a $24 \%$ drop ( 18844 t ) and in 2010 landings recovered to historic mean levels at 19521 t .

The 2011 landings started an increasing trend with landings estimates of 20370 t . The 2012 landings showed a further increase to 24409 t . In 2013 a slight decrease of the landings gave a figure of 23759 t . In 2014 the estimated landings of L. piscatorius were 25328 t , similar to 2015 preliminary estimated data 25266 t .

### 3.2.1.2 Commercial LPUE

Effort and LPUE data for the three Spanish fleets and English FU6 were available up to 2014 (Table 3.2-2 and Figure 3.2-1), but in 2015 the effort and LPUE of the fleet SPBAKON8 was not updated in 2015 due to a change in the way data were reported as it is now using e-logbooks for the first time. Fishing effort for most fleets showed a decrease until the mid-1990's. Effort remained relatively stable thereafter, from 2011 to 2015 a sharp decrease in SP-VIGO7 (69 \% reduction) and SP-CORUTR7 (81 \% reduction) was recorded maybe due to the vessels with in the fleet landing under a different country but operating as in previous years.

All the commercial LPUE series decreased steadily until 1992. Since then, they have increased up to 2007 except for the 2 BAKA fleets. Most showed a decline in 2008. In 2009 and 2010 EW-FU06 and both BAKA fleets showed an increasing trend but SPVIGO7 and SP-CORUTR7 showed a decreasing one. In 2011 all available fleets showed an increasing trend that continues in 2012 for all fleets with the exception of EW-FU06. Since 2013 LPUE of Spanish fleet SP-VIGO7 increased, and showed the highest LPUE of the time-series in 2015. Meanwhile, SP-CORUTR7 decreased in 2015, though it should be noted that this fleet is currently represented by one single boat targeting hake, so any trend should be viewed with caution. LPUE for EW-FU06 increased in 2014 with the second highest LPUE of the time-series but in 2015 decreased again by 55\%.

### 3.2.1.3 Surveys data

### 3.2.1.3.1 The French EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey

This survey covers the largest proportion of the area of stock distribution. Standardized biomass and abundance indices are given in Figure 3.2-2 and the length distributions in Figure 3.2-3.

The biomass indices show an overall increasing trend from the start of the time-series in 1997-2012 and a decrease thereafter. The 2014 and 2015 estimates were below-average. Abundance in numbers shows three peaks in 2001, 2002, 2004. Since 2005 the abundance in numbers remained relatively stable although the estimates in the last three years were lower than those of the preceding years

The length distribution shows that these peaks in numbers of abundance correspond to strong incoming year classes that can be tracked from year to year with modes between 10-25 cm for the first age group (in 2001, 2002, 2004, 2008, 2009, 2010,2011 and 2014), 25-45 for the second (2002, 2003, 2005, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2015) and 45-55 for the third $(2003,2004,2006,2010$ and 2011), although, the third mode is not as clearly defined.

Recruitment in 2014 seems reasonably high, although not as strong as in 2001, 2002 and 2004. The 2015 recruitment is very low and it does not show signals of second age group ( $25-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The high peak at 20 cm is a consequence of the sampling procedure, where the whole catch was not sampled due to a high catch of herring in one single haul, with the remaining species catch being estimated using the subsample ratio.

In Figure 3.2-4 and, Figure 3.2-5 the distribution of recruits (identified as individuals of less than 23 cm ) show that contrasting to the years 2001, 2002 and 2004 where the recruits were found in both Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay areas along the shelf, the recruits were found almost only south of the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay in 2008 and 2009. The results from 2010-2012 show a uniform distribution of recruits through the sampling area of the survey. 2013 shows a uniform distribution with low levels of recruitment. In 2014 the recruitment was found only in the Bay of Biscay area, but in 2015 they are mainly distributed in the Celtic Sea.

### 3.2.1.3.2 The Spanish Porcupine Groundfish Survey (SPPGFS (WIBTS-Q4))

This survey was initiated in 2001 and covers the Porcupine Bank. Standardized biomass and abundance indices are given in Figure 3.2-6 and the length distributions in Figure 3.2-7. Although covering a small area of the total stock distribution, similar pulses of recruitment are detected in 2001 and to a lower extent in the years 2002 to 2004. In 2010 a recruitment level similar to 2002-2004 was found. In 2011 the recruitment level was low and in 2012 the recruitment returned to medium values. In 2013 a revision of the indices for the period 2003-2012 was presented with no effects in the trends of the series. 2013 values are the second higher of the series for both biomass and abundance indices. 2014 values are the maximum of the series for both indices, in 2015 the recruitment returned to low levels.

### 3.2.1.3.3 The Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

Abundance indices in numbers per ten square kilometres from this survey are given in Table 3.2-3 and length distributions from 2001 to 2015 in Figure 3.2-8. The index shows the same drop as the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 and the SPPGFS (WIBTS-Q4) after the peak in 2004. The 2009 index showed a recovery in abundance, although it was still lower than the 2005 value. In 2010 and 2011 a value close to the 2004 maximum has been found. In 2012 a value similar to the 2009 medium level was recorded. In 2013 the value continued in medium levels but higher than in 2012. In 2014 the index shows the maximum of the series with $114.9 \mathrm{Nb} / 10 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$, and the length distribution of the catch shows the highest recruitment of the series. In 2015 the index is the second highest of the timeseries, with the presence of a second age group $25-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ following the high recruitment of the previous year.

### 3.2.1.3.4 Other surveys

Other surveys may be indicative of this species' spatial distribution, abundance and biomass in subareas 7 and 8 , such as:

- English Cefas Q1 Southwest Ecosystem Survey (Q1SWECOS)
- Q3 UK (E\&W) beam trawl survey in divisions 7afg
- Q1 Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS) (Gerritsen, H, WD01)
- Q1 Irish Beam trawl Ecosystem survey (IBES) (Gerritsen, H, WD02).

The Q1 Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS) is specifically designed to provide an abundance index for anglerfish and it is expected that this survey will be used in future assessments.

### 3.2.2 Biological reference points

A Stochastic Production Model in Continuous Time (SPiCT) was applied to L. piscatorius and was used to determine stock status in WKProxy (2016). The input data were time-series of landings from 1986-2014, LPUE from a Spanish fleet SP-VIGOTR7 from 1986-2014 and an abundance index from the French quarter 4 EVHOE survey for the period 1997-2014. Thus proxies of MSY reference points were defined using the methods developed in WKProxy (2016).

| REFERENCE POINT | ESTIMATE | CILOW | CIUPP | CV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BMSYS | 41.2628 | 15.9815 | 106.537 | 50.22 |
| FMSYS $^{\text {MSYs }}$ | 0.5696 | 0.2278 | 1.4243 | 48.34 |
| MSY | 23.4958 | 20.2627 | 27.2448 | 7.41 |

The result was that the stock was in desirable status.

| Estimated States | ESTIMATE | CILOW | CIUPP | CV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B_2015.25 | 45.6391 | 15.5043 | 134.3457 | 58.16 |
| F_2015.25 | 0.4867 | 0.167 | 1.4182 | 57.55 |
| B_2015.25/Bmsy | 1.1061 | 0.7666 | 1.5959 | 18.49 |
| F_2015.25/Fmsy | 0.8544 | 0.602 | 1.2126 | 17.64 |

### 3.2.3 Conclusion

LPUE's and survey data (biomass, abundance indices and length distributions) give indication that the biomass has been increasing as a consequence of the good recruitment observed in 2001, 2002 and 2004 and has stabilized in recent years. There is evidence of good recruitments in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. 2008 and 2009.These have entered the fishery giving higher yields Recruitment in 2012 and 2013 was lower than previous years In 2014 the all surveys show very high recruitment, however, this is not picked up by EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 in the following year (although it is detected by the IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 survey).

Landings data submitted by the main countries created problems in the estimation of landings due to different levels of métiers combinations comparatively to the previous year (Annex 7).

The problems described above, prevented further analysis of the discards data available for L. piscatorius. However, future submission of discards information will allow
for a more extensive analysis of the estimates so that catch information can be presented with greater confidence.

Preliminary information on discards shows that an increasing proportion of small fish are caught and discarded (WKFLAT12) and results from 2014 data made available for the first time to the working group shows that around nine percent of the catch is discarded. Due to the low levels of sampling and the uncertainties in the precision of the estimates the group recommends that the discard estimates are not used in the assessment or for advice purposes.

As discard information has been made available to the working group further years submissions will allow for a more extensive analysis of the estimates so that catch information can be presented with confidence

With the discarding of small fish caught, measures should be taken to ensure good survival of the recent recruits such as spatial and technical measures.

The Working Group concludes that in view of the available data, continuing fishing at present level should not harm the stock.

### 3.2.4 Comments on the assessment

For L. piscatorius the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey mainly covers the shelf area in the Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay. The estimated biomass index with the survey shows a variable, but overall increasing trend over time, but with a decrease in the last two years. However, adult anglerfish are known to migrate down the slope as they grow, and this is where the majority of the fishery occurs. The survey is a good index of recruitment for the stock and may not reflect the trends in the adult biomass. The other indices, IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and SPPGFS -WIBTS-Q4 show a different picture of the stock in the final years with increasing number and biomass, respectively. The EVHOE-WI-BTS-Q4 survey shows lower than average estimates for recruitment in 2015 when excluding the 20 cm length class which is considered not well estimated. The commercial LPUE indices show conflicting trends but there is no evidence of an overall decrease in LPUE in recent years.

Data from surveys give scope for the use of length based models for assessment, growth studies and aging validation that should be initiated as soon as possible.

Table 3.2-1 Lophius piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8a,b,d - Landings in tonnes by Fishery Unit.

| 7.b,C, e-K |  |  |  |  |  | 8.A,B,D |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Medium/Deep Trawl | Shallow <br> Trawl | Shallow/medium |  | UnALLOCATED | Neph.Trawl | Shallow <br> Trawl | Medium/Deep <br> Trawl | Unallocated | TOTAL$7+8$ |
|  | Gillnet |  |  | Beam Trawl | Neph.Trawl |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (UNIT 3+13) | (UNIT 4) | (UNIT 5) | (UNIT 6) | (UNIT 8) |  | (UNIT 9) | (Unit 10) | (UNIT 14) |  |  |
| 1986 | 429 | 13781 | 2877 | 1437 | 1021 | 0 | 746 | 720 | 2657 | 0 | 23666 |
| 1987 | 560 | 11414 | 2900 | 1520 | 787 | 0 | 1035 | 542 | 3152 | 0 | 21909 |
| $1988$ | 643 | 9812 | 3105 | 1814 | 774 | 0 | 927 | 534 | 2487 | 0 | 20095 |
| 1989 | 781 | 8448 | 5259 | 2998 | 754 | 0 | 673 | 444 | 1772 | 0 | 21130 |
| $1990$ | $1021$ | 8787 | 3950 | 1736 | 880 | 0 | 410 | 391 | 2578 | 0 | 19753 |
| 1991 | 1752 | 7563 | 2793 | 1142 | 752 | 0 | 284 | 218 | 1657 | 0 | 16160 |
| $1992$ | 1773 | 6254 | 1492 | 998 | 887 | 0 | 254 | 166 | 942 | 0 | 12766 |
| 1993 | 1742 | 5776 | 2125 | 1258 | 969 | 0 | 360 | 278 | 950 | 0 | 13458 |
| 1994 | 1377 | 7344 | 2595 | 1523 | 1236 | 0 | 261 | 198 | 1586 | 0 | 16120 |
| 1995 | 1915 | 8461 | 3195 | 1805 | 1242 | 0 | 501 | 429 | 1954 | 228 | 19730 |
| 1996 | 2244 | 9796 | 2658 | 2189 | 1149 | 138 | 441 | 379 | 2229 | 938 | 22162 |
| 1997 | 2538 | 9225 | 2945 | 2031 | 964 | 39 | 429 | 376 | 2045 | 1068 | 21660 |
| 1998 | 3398 | 8714 | 2138 | 1722 | 812 | 3 | 397 | 149 | 1699 | 542 | 19572 |
| 1999 | 3162 | 9037 | 2369 | 1409 | 780 | 19 | 98 | 116 | 1259 | 0 | 18250 |
| 2000 | 2034 | 7067 | 1642 | 1434 | 726 | 6 | 91 | 77 | 863 | 0 | 13941 |
| 2001 | 2002 | 7880 | 2293 | 1978 | 886 | 17 | 146 | 76 | 1402 | 0 | 16681 |
| 2002 | 2719 | 9465 | 2609 | 1836 | 924 | 22 | 247 | 96 | 1908 | 0 | 19826 |
| 2003 | 3498 | 12332 | 2786 | 1983 | 974 | 81 | 470 | 168 | 2575 | 0 | 24865 |
| 2004 | 5004 | 12770 | 2642 | 2460 | 852 | 14 | 457 | 218 | 3296 | 0 | 27714 |


| 2005 | 5154 | 11556 | 2400 | 2388 | 594 | 7 | 342 | 165 | 2936 | 2 | 25543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 3741 | 13409 | 2216 | 2421 | 700 | 3 | 429 | 218 | 2758 | 2 | 25898 |
| 2007 | 4594 | 14949 | 2382 | 2836 | 660 | 11 | 286 | 244 | 3015 | 0 | 28977 |
| 2008 | 5107 | 11766 | 1885 | 1990 | 491 | 10 | 227 | 325 | 2573 | 1 | 24376 |
| 2009 | 3957 | 9938 | 358 | 1880 | 48 | 16 | 221 | 0 | 2153 | 275 | 18844 |
| 2010 | 3398 | 9851 | 539 | 2503 | 21 | 31 | 301 | 0 | 2373 | 504 | 19521 |
| 2011 | 2152 | 8968 | 548 | 3019 | 12 | 1658 | 231 | 0 | 2285 | 1497 | 20370 |
| 2012 | 2905 | 10392 | 513 | 3231 | 14 | 1260 | 195 | 0 | 3731 | 2168 | 24409 |
| 2013* | 2045 | 11118 | 392 | 3081 | 71 | 1191 | 216 | 0 | 4245 | 1400 | 23759 |
| 2014 | 2681 | 15018 | 494 | 2568 | 102 | 342 | 286 | 0 | 3754 | 84 | 25328 |
| 2015** | 2404 | 15182 | 579 | 2670 | $0^{* *}$ | 415 | 0** | 0 | 4006 | 10 | 25266 |

* revised
** preliminary

Table 3.2-2 L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d Effort and LPUE data

| EFFORT | YEAR | SP-VIGO7 | SP-CORUTR7 | French Benthic TRAWLERS* | French Benthic <br> Twin Trawls | French Benthic TRAWLERS* | French Benthic <br> Twin Trawls | EW FU06 | SP-BAKON7 | SP-BAKON8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | in Sub-Area ViI | in Sub-Area VII | Celtic Sea | Celtic Sea | BAY Of BISCAY | BAY Of BISCAY | Beam trawlers in VII |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | FU04 |  | FUl4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ('000 DAYS*HP) | ('000 DAYS*HP) | ('000 HRS) | ('000 HRS) | ('000 HRS) | ('000 HRS) | ('00 DAYS) | (DAYS) | (DAYS) |
|  | 1986 | 6875 | 9527 | 418 | N/A | 123 | N/A | N/A |  |  |
|  | 1987 | 6662 | 10453 | 349 | N/A | 199 | N/A | N/A |  |  |
|  | 1988 | 6547 | 10886 | 334 | N/A | 150 | N/A | N/A |  |  |
|  | 1989 | 7585 | 10483 | 378 | N/A | 187 | N/A | N/A |  |  |
|  | 1990 | 8021 | 9630 | 380 | N/A | 208 | N/A | N/A |  |  |
|  | 1991 | 7822 | 8522 | 380 | N/A | 210 | N/A | N/A |  |  |
|  | $1992$ | 6370 | 5852 | 331 | N/A | 186 | N/A | 100 |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 5988 | 5001 | 274 | N/A | 159 | N/A | 114 | 1094 | 5590 |
|  | 1994 | 5655 | 4990 | 249 | N/A | 148 | N/A | 116 | 980 | 5619 |
|  | 1995 | 5070 | 4403 | 287 | N/A | 174 | N/A | 127 | 1214 | 4474 |
|  | 1996 | 5416 | 3746 | 196 | 121 | 144 | 19 | 126 | 1170 | 4378 |
|  | 1997 | 5058 | 3738 | 178 | 133 | 133 | 33 | 126 | 540 | 4286 |
|  | 1998 | 5360 | 3684 | 182 | 134 | 117 | 40 | 121 | 1196 | 3002 |
|  | 1999 | 5084 | 3512 | 110 | 110 | 83 | 59 | 115 | 1384 | 2337 |
|  | 2000 | 5519 | 2773 | 165 | 104 | 87 | 49 | 104 | 1850 | 2227 |
|  | 2001 | 5678 | 2356 | 135 | 133 | 61 | 66 | 186 | 1451 | 2118 |
|  | 2002 | 5041 | 2258 | 116 | 120 | 57 | 75 | 111 | 949 | 2107 |
|  | 2003 | 5437 | 2597 | 147 | 136 | 68 | 81 | $166$ | 1022 | 2296 |


|  | 2004 | 5347 | 2292 | 160 | 133 | 78 | 89 | 174 | 910 | 2159 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 5246 | 2120 | 127 | 137 | 83 | 121 | 109 | 544 | 2263 |
|  | 2006 | 5392 | 2257 | 140 | 145 | 72 | 101 | 94 | 487 | 2398 |
|  | 2007 | 5812 | 2323 | 149 | 152 | 48 | 127 | 97 | 476 | 2098 |
|  | 2008 | 5432 | 1640 | 118 | 126 | 58 | 113 | 138 | 105 | 2017 |
|  | 2009 | 5155 | 1626 |  |  |  |  | 75 | 0 | 1807 |
|  | 2010 | 4843 | 1988 |  |  |  |  | 77 | 138 | 1358 |
|  | 2011 | 4553 | 1725 |  |  |  |  | 82 | 57 | 1384 |
|  | 2012 | 3276 | 937 |  |  |  |  | 84 |  | 1384 |
|  | 2013 | 2683 | 563 |  |  |  |  | 146 |  | 1185 |
|  | 2014 | 1530 | 292 |  |  |  |  | 79 |  | 1694 |
|  | 2015 | 1395 | 329 |  |  |  |  | 133 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | French Benthic | French Benthic | French Benthic | French Benthic |  |  |  |
| LPUE | YEAR | Vigo | la Coruna | TRAWLERS* | Twin Trawls | TRAWLERS* | Twin Trawls | EW (FU06) | SP-BAKON7 | SP-BAKON8 |
|  |  | in Sub-Area VII | in Sub-Area VII | Celtic Sea | Celtic Sea | Bay of Biscay | Bay of Biscay | Beam trawlers in VII |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | FU04 |  | FU14 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (KG/DAYS*HP) | (KG/DAYS*HP) | (KG/10 HRS) | (KG/10 HRS) | (KG/10 HRS) | (KG/10 HRS) | (KG/DAYS) | (KG/DAY) | (KG/DAY) |
|  | 1986 | 286 | 383 | 143 |  | 131 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1987 | 235 | 326 | 142 |  | 119 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1988 | 182 | 272 | 132 |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1989 | 210 | 236 | 102 |  | 61 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1990 | 206 | 228 | 104 |  | 85 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1991 | 184 | 234 | 82 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 188 | 200 | 56 |  | 35 |  | 94 |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 268 | 172 | 60 |  | 42 |  | 93 | 60 | 23 |


| 1994 | 289 | 187 | 111 |  | 75 |  | 81 | 73 | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 410 | 131 | 131 |  | 84 |  | 77 | 99 | 56 |
| 1996 | 520 | 212 | 117 | 159 | 81 | 113 | 110 | 130 | 70 |
| 1997 | 440 | 245 | 105 | 133 | 78 | 84 | 117 | 132 | 71 |
| 1998 | 451 | 193 | 95 | 113 | 60 | 66 | 111 | 134 | 66 |
| 1999 | 428 | 136 | 52 | 76 | 42 | 44 | 95 | 125 | 34 |
| 2000 | 203 | 182 | 87 | 73 | 34 | 45 | 109 | 186 | 31 |
| 2001 | 239 | 170 | 103 | 119 | 56 | 85 | 82 | 184 | 61 |
| 2002 | 469 | 218 | 138 | 152 | 69 | 120 | 123 | 218 | 72 |
| 2003 | 598 | 286 | 191 | 186 | 102 | 154 | 80 | 274 | 76 |
| 2004 | 563 | 249 | 134 | 188 | 87 | 172 | 93 | 249 | 119 |
| 2005 | 591 | 356 | 170 | 146 | 99 | 133 | 144 | 287 | 100 |
| 2006 | 568 | 383 | 183 | 196 | 108 | 137 | 175 | 221 | 89 |
| 2007 | 611 | 409 | 233 | 214 | 118 | 151 | 202 | 261 | 71 |
| 2008 | 466 | 542 | 214 | 190 | 97 | 122 | 106 | 171 | 101 |
| 2009 | 350 | 252 |  |  |  |  | 198 |  | 144 |
| 2010 | 298 | 454 |  |  |  |  | 250 | 217 | 132 |
| 2011 | 417 | 384 |  |  |  |  | 266 | 484 | 157 |
| 2012 | 599 | 526 |  |  |  |  | 235 |  | 212 |
| 2013 | 649 | 724 |  |  |  |  | 136 |  | 246 |
| 2014 | 683 | 891 |  |  |  |  | 263 |  | 100 |
| 2015 | 815 | 412 |  |  |  |  | 145 |  |  |

Table 3.2-3 L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d-Abundance indices in Nb/sq Km from 2003-2015 from the IGFS-WIBTS-Q4.

| YEAR | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB/SQKM | 69.3 | 94.4 | 67.5 | 33.1 | 21.1 | 19.4 | 45.2 | 83.6 | 80.8 | 49.6 | 60.1 | 114.9 | 99.5 |  |




Figure 3.2-1 L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d- Effort and LPUE data


Figure 3.2-2 L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8a,b,d- Time-series of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey indices Kg (left) and Nb (right) per 30 minutes tow from 1997-2015.


Figure 3.2-3 - L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d. Time-series of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 Length distributions in Nb per 30 minutes tow from 1997-2015.


Figure 3.2-4 - L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d, distribution of recruits ( $\mathbf{( l t}<\mathbf{2 3} \mathbf{~ c m}$ ) in Nb per 30m observed in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 surveys from 1997-2007.


Figure 3.2-5 - L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8a,b,d, distribution of recruits ( $\mathbf{l t}<\mathbf{2 3} \mathrm{cm}$ ) in $\mathbf{N b}$ per 30m observed in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 surveys from 2008-2015.


Figure 3.2-6 - L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8a,b,d- Time-series of the SPPGFS (WIBTS-Q4) survey indices Kg (left) and Nb (right) per 30 minutes tow from 2001-2015


Figure 3.2-7 - L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8a,b,d- Time-series of the SPPGFS (WIBTS-Q4) Length distributions in Nb per 30 minutes tow from 2001-2015


Figure 3.2-8 - L. piscatorius in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d Time-series of the IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 Length distributions in Nb per $10 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ from 2001-2015

### 3.3 Anglerfish (L. budegassa) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d

### 3.3.1 Data

### 3.3.1.1 Commercial Catch

The Working Group estimates of landings of L. budegassa by fishery unit (defined in Section 2) are given in Table 3.3-1.

The landings have fluctuated over the studied period between $5720 \mathrm{t}-12655 \mathrm{t}$ with a succession of high (1989-1991, 1998 and 2009-2014) and low values (1994, 2001 and 2006). The total estimated landings dropped from 2003-2006 and since then have risen to the highest of the time-series with an estimated landings value of 12655 t in 2013. Although landings have since decreased to 10872 t in 2014 and 10319 t in 2015, these are still among the highest values of the time-series.

### 3.3.1.2 Commercial Effort and LPUE

Effort and LPUE data were available in 2015 for the two Spanish fleets, and for the English EW-FU06 (Table 3.3-2 and Figure 3.3-1). The effort and LPUE of the fleet SPBAKON8 was not updated in 2015 due to a change in the way data were reported as it is now using e-logbooks for the first time. Fishing effort for most fleets shows a decrease until the early 2000's. Effort remained relatively stable thereafter for EW-FU6 and SP-BAKON7 but the effort in the other fleets reduced again in recent years. SPCORUTR7 is currently represented by one single boat targeting hake, so any trend should be viewed with caution.

LPUEs have fluctuated over the time-series with increasing trends since 2006 and conflicting trends for the most recent period. In 2012 the LPUE for the SP-VIGO7 fleet was the highest of the time-series, the other fleets SP-CORUTR7 and SP-BAKON8 showed their series maximum in 2013 and the EW-FU06 in 2014. In the last year, LPUE for both EW-FU06 and SP-CORUTR7 decreased, contrary to the SP-VIGO7 fleet that, although not substantially, shows signs of increase.

### 3.3.1.3 Surveys data

### 3.3.1.3.1 The French EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey

This survey covers the largest proportion of the area of stock distribution. Standardized biomass and abundance indices are given in Figure 3.3-2. The biomass index shows patterns of increase and decrease over the time-series, with a continuous increase from 2005 to its maximum value in 2008 followed again by a decrease to 20032005 levels. The most recent year continues the decline in biomass, since 2012, to below the average of the time-series. The abundance index shows a similar pattern reach its highest values in the time-series in 2008 and 2013. In 2009 and 2010 the indices returned to 2004-2005 levels, the most recent year shows a decline in abundance and it is below the mean level for the time-series.

The length distributions (Figure 3.3-3.) show that the above mentioned results correspond to strong incoming year classes from 2004 until 2008 that can be tracked from year to year with modes between $10-17 \mathrm{~cm}$ for the first age group (since 2004), 18-32 for the second (2005, 2007 and 2008), 33-45 for the third and 50-55 for the fourth (more obvious in 2008).

For 2009 the length distribution does not show a strong signal of recruitment nor can the signal from 2008's strong recruitment be followed. 2010 shows a medium level recruitment and 2011, 2012 and 2013 gives the strongest signals of the time-series for recruits. Since 2014, there is signs of lower recruitment, with smaller fish decreasing in abundance in the last two years.

The localization of juveniles (individuals less than 16 cm ) caught during the survey from 1997 to 2008 show two nursery areas one in the western Celtic Sea and another in the northwestern area of the Bay of Biscay (Figure 3.3-4 and Figure 3.3-5), in some of the years, juveniles are also found in a more southern area of the Bay of Biscay in deeper waters. In 2010 to 2014 the normal pattern was found again with a more confined distribution in the western Celtic Sea. In 2015, juvenile L. budegassa were primarily found in the most western area of the survey grid, showing a contraction in their spatial distribution.

### 3.3.1.3.2 The English Fisheries Science Partnership survey.

This survey samples a fraction of each of the areas 7.e, 7.f, 7.g and 7.h and was discontinued in 2013. The survey covers a restricted area of the species distribution but the pulses of recruitment observed in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 surveys are also present in the FSP-ENG-MONK survey in the following year. Length distribution of $L$. budegassa catches are available and presented in Figure 3.3-6.

For 2009 the English survey has recorded its historical maximum for recruitment and the good recruitment can be tracked from 2008. In 2010-2012 the recruitment returned to low levels and the good recruitments from 2008 and 2009 can be followed.

The first mode of this survey's length distributions tends to be found at slightly larger lengths than the first mode of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey and strong recruitment signal according to EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 in a given year tends to be followed by a strong signal around 16-28 cm for this survey in the following year. However, the strong incoming year class from the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 in 2011 does not appear in the FSP-ENG-MONK in 2012.

### 3.3.1.3.3 Other surveys

The coverage of the other surveys (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and SPPGFS (WIBTS-Q4)) are mostly outside the preferred area of the distribution of the species. Therefore, information is scarce. However, in recent years the Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTSQ4) has shown similar patterns to that seen in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey, suggesting a possible expansion or northerly movement of the stocks distribution. Length distributions (Figure 3.3-7) and index of abundance, Table 3.3-3, in numbers per ten square kilometres from this survey are presented.

The abundance index shows a similar drop after the peak in 2013, for 2014as that shown in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4. However, in the last year contrary to the later survey, the IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 shows a stable abundance index of L. budegassa. The estimated abundance since 2013 were the highest of the time-series. The length distributions also show similar recruitment patterns in the previous two years of the survey with 2013 giving the highest abundance of the time-series. Contrary to the EVHOE-WBITS-Q4 survey, the Irish Groundfish Survey shows a higher recruitment (fish $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in the last year.

Other surveys may be indicative of this species' spatial distribution, abundance and biomass in subareas 7 and 8 , such as:

- English Cefas Q1 Southwest Ecosystem Survey (Q1SWECOS)
- Q3 UK (E\&W) beam trawl survey in divisions 7afg
- Q1 Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS) (Gerritsen, H, WD01)
- Q1 Irish Beam trawl Ecosystem survey (IBES) (Gerritsen, H, WD02).

The Q1 Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS) is specifically designed to provide an abundance index for anglerfish and it is expected that this survey will be used in future assessments.

### 3.3.2 Biological reference points

Contrary to L. piscatorius proxies of MSY reference points were not determined in WKProxy 2016 due to problems with the high uncertainty in estimated landings and the cpue index from the EHVOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey. Although, the later shows variable confidence intervals it suggests an overall constant trend. Therefore, the model susceptibility to these makes the SPiCT model unable to converge with no reference points determined.

### 3.3.3 Conclusion

Survey data give indication that the biomass has shown a continuous increase since the mid 2000's as a consequence of several good incoming recruitments. There is good evidence of a strong incoming recruitment for 2008. The EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 shows evidence of a medium level of recruitment in 2010 and in the most recent year and record strong recruitment from 2011-2013. Length frequency distributions from two of the available surveys, EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 and FSP-ENG-MONK, show contradictory signals for 2009, 2011 and 2012 recruitments, but the working group considers that the trend of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 is more representative due to the larger coverage of the survey.

Preliminary information on discards shows that an increasing proportion of small fish are caught and discarded (WKFLAT12) and results from 2014 data available for the first time to the working group shows that around 11 percent of the catch is discarded. Due to the low levels of sampling and the uncertainties in the precision of the estimates the group recommends that the discard estimates are not used in the assessment or for advice purposes.

Landings data submitted by the main countries created problems in the estimation of landings due to different levels of métiers combinations comparatively to the previous year (Annex 7).

The problems described above, prevented further analysis of the discards data available for L. budegassa. However, future submission of discards information will allow for a more extensive analysis of the estimates so that catch information can be presented with greater confidence.

When good recruitment occurs, measures should be taken to ensure good survival of the recent recruits such as spatial and technical measures.

In the past, the precautionary buffer was not applied due to a steady decrease in fishing effort since the early 1990s. The survey index used for advice, has fluctuated without a clear overall trend with high uncertainty in some years. Therefore, the perception of the stock has not changed.

Comments on the assessment

Data from surveys give scope for the use of length based models for assessment, growth studies and aging validation that should be initiated as soon as possible.

Table 3.3-1 Lophius budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d - Landings in tonnes by Fishery Unit.

| Year | VIllb,c,e-k |  |  |  |  |  | VIIIa,b,d |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { VII +VIII } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Medium/Deep | Shallow |  | Shallow/medium |  |  | Shallow | Medium/Deep |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gill-Net } \\ (\text { Unit } 3+13) \end{gathered}$ | Trawl (Unit 4) | Trawl (Unit 5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beam Trawl } \\ \text { (Unit 6) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Neph.Trawl (Unit 8) | Unallocated | Neph.Trawl (Unit9) | Trawl (Unit 10) | Trawl (Unit 14) | Unallocated |  |
| 1986 | 23 | 5126 | 348 | 540 | 406 | 0 | 443 | 150 | 1181 | 0 | 8217 |
| 1987 | 30 | 3493 | 696 | 462 | 434 | 0 | 483 | 116 | 1904 | 0 | 7619 |
| 1988 | 34 | 4072 | 1095 | 751 | 394 | 0 | 435 | 102 | 1498 | 0 | 8382 |
| 1989 | 40 | 4398 | 976 | 505 | 515 | 0 | 446 | 112 | 1829 | 0 | 8820 |
| 1990 | 53 | 4818 | 631 | 905 | 653 | 0 | 550 | 156 | 1865 | 0 | 9632 |
| 1991 | 0 | 4416 | 934 | 397 | 507 | 0 | 475 | 117 | 1933 | 0 | 8780 |
| 1992 | 0 | 4808 | 301 | 305 | 594 | 0 | 459 | 191 | 1518 | 0 | 8176 |
| 1993 | 0 | 3415 | 429 | 405 | 399 | 0 | 433 | 101 | 1385 | 0 | 6566 |
| 1994 | 0 | 2935 | 265 | 209 | 540 | 0 | 232 | 49 | 1515 | 0 | 5744 |
| 1995 | 10 | 3963 | 455 | 159 | 617 | 0 | 312 | 62 | 1286 | 90 | 6953 |
| 1996 | 118 | 4587 | 477 | 245 | 524 | 28 | 374 | 109 | 1239 | 392 | 8092 |
| 1997 | 134 | 4836 | 602 | 132 | 474 | 9 | 313 | 17 | 1128 | 471 | 8114 |
| 1998 | 179 | 5565 | 246 | 230 | 288 | 1 | 258 | 72 | 1454 | 305 | 8599 |
| 1999 | 18 | 4311 | 119 | 282 | 338 | 0 | 144 | 76 | 1450 | 0 | 6739 |
| 2000 | 57 | 4489 | 161 | 284 | 228 | 0 | 124 | 31 | 1270 | 0 | 6645 |
| 2001 | 41 | 3758 | 107 | 266 | 306 | 0 | 121 | 29 | 1100 | 0 | 5728 |
| 2002 | 30 | 4272 | 147 | 251 | 372 | 0 | 112 | 14 | 1195 | 0 | 6394 |
| 2003 | 92 | 5748 | 337 | 342 | 376 | 5 | 195 | 26 | 1248 | 0 | 8368 |
| 2004 | 122 | 4684 | 242 | 343 | 376 | 0 | 254 | 9 | 1407 | 0 | 7436 |
| 2005 | 73 | 4837 | 162 | 409 | 329 | 0 | 235 | 56 | 1431 | 0 | 7532 |
| 2006 | 9 | 3661 | 145 | 271 | 218 | 0 | 286 | 1 | 1128 | 1 | 5720 |
| 2007 | 92 | 3874 | 168 | 306 | 250 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 1424 | 0 | 6357 |
| 2008 | 21 | 4620 | 187 | 392 | 254 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 1669 | 0 | 7379 |
| 2009 | 72 | 5963 | 24 | 441 | 36 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 2047 | 145 | 9082 |
| 2010 | 224 | 6137 | 9 | 597 | 27 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 1763 | 223 | 9359 |
| 2011 | 172 | 3562 | 11 | 591 | 16 | 1747 | 378 | 0 | 1413 | 96 | 7988 |
| 2012 | 110 | 4314 | 6 | 483 | 6 | 1135 | 275 | 0 | 2250 | 384 | 8964 |
| 2013 | 155 | 5564 | 4 | 551 | 64 | 1332 | 559 | 0 | 3564 | 862 | 12655 |
| 2014 | 719 | 5048 | 27 | 595 | 74 | 282 | 730 | 0 | 3176 | 221 | 10872 |
| 2015* | 761 | 5012 | 26 | 557 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 3556 | 94 | 10319 |

Table 3.3-2 L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d- Effort and LPUE data


Table 3.3-3 - L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and .8.a,b,d- Abundance indices in $\mathrm{Nb} / 10 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ from the IGFS-WIBTS-Q4.

| YEAR | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB/10 KM2 | 10.1 | 39.1 | 22.1 | 16.0 | 12.5 | 34.1 | 30.9 | 41.2 | 23.7 | 14.7 | 80.9 | 60.2 | 60.4 |



Figure 3.3-1 L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d Effort and LPUE data



Figure 3.3-2 L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and .8a,b,d. Time-series of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey's indices Kg (left) and Nb (right) per 30 minutes tow from 1997-2015


Figure 3.3-3 - L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d- Time-series of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 length distributions in Nb per 30 minutes tow from 1997-2015.


Figure 3.3-4 - L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d, distribution of recruits ( $\mathrm{lt}<\mathbf{1 6} \mathrm{cm}$ ) in Nb per 30min observed in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 surveys from 1997-2007.


Figure 3.3-5 - L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d, distribution of recruits ( $\mathbf{l t}<\mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{~ c m}$ ) in $\mathbf{N b}$ per 30min observed in the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 surveys from 2007-2015.


Figure 3.3-6 - L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d- Time-series of the FSP-ENG-MONK length distributions in Nb per 30 minutes tow from 2003-2012.


Figure 3.3-7 - L. budegassa in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d- Time-series of the IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 length distributions in Nb per $10 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ from 2003-2015.

## 4 Anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa) in Divisions 8 c and 9 a

## L. piscatorius and L. budegassa

Type of assessment in 2016: Update (the assessment models and settings were approved in the benchmark WKFLAT-2012).

Software used: SS3 for L. piscatorius and ASPIC for L. budegassa.
Data revisions this year: For Lophius budegassa, the abundance and biomass values for 2014 from survey SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4were revised.

### 4.1 General

Two species of anglerfish, Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa, are found in ICES Divisions 8c and 9a. Both species are caught in mixed bottom-trawl fisheries and in artisanal fisheries using mainly fixed nets.

The two species are not usually landed separately, for the majority of the commercial categories, and they are recorded together in the ports' statistics. Therefore, estimates of each species in Spanish landings from Divisions 8c and 9a and Portuguese landings of Division 9a are derived from their relative proportions in market samples.

The total anglerfish landings are given in Table 4.1 .1 by ICES division, country and fishing gear. Landings increasing in the early eighties and reaching maximum in 1986 (9433 t) and $1988(10021 \mathrm{t})$, and decreasing after that to the minimum in 2001 (1801 t) and 2002 (1802 t). In 2002-2005 period landings increased reaching 4541 t , this period was followed by another one where landings gradually declined and in 2011 landings were less than half of the 2005 amount ( 2085 t). From 2011 to 2014 landings slightly increased to 2989 t with a decrease by $7 \%$ in 2015 ( 1748 t of L. piscatorius and 1042 t of L. budegassa).

The species proportion in the landings has changed since 1986. In the beginning of the time-series (1980-1986) L. piscatorius represented more than $70 \%$ of the total anglerfish landings. After 1986 the proportion of L. piscatorius decreased and in 1999-2002 both species had approximately the same weight in the annual landings. Since then the $L$. piscatorius proportion increased. The mean proportion of $L$. piscatorius in the landings from 2005 to 2015 is $66 \%$.

ICES performs assessments for each species separately. The benchmark assessment of anglerfish in Division 8c and 9a was carried out in 2012, a new assessment using Stock Synthesis (SS3) for L. piscatorius was approved and new settings and data were incorporate to the ASPIC model for L. budegassa.

The ageing estimation problems, detected in a previous benchmarck (see WGHMM2007 report) continue unsolved for L. piscatorius (ICES, 2012a) and no new studies were carried out for L. budegassa. The grow pattern inferred from mark-recapture and length composition analysis (Landa et al., 2008) was used in the assessment of L. piscatorius.

### 4.2 Summary of ICES advice for 2016 and management for 2015 and 2016

## ICES advice for 2016 :

As both species of anglerfish are caught in the same fisheries and are subject to a combined TAC, the same multiplicative factor for current fishing mortality is assumed for both species. The change is driven by L. piscatorius, as it is the species in poorest condition. Following the ICES MSY approach implies fishing mortality to be decreased by $10 \%$.

ICES advises the following landings for 2016 on the basis of the MSY approach:
L. piscatorius: less than 1343 t; L. budegassa: less than 1070 t; Combined anglerfish: less than $2413 t$.

## Management applicable for 2015 and 2016:

The two species are managed under a common TAC that was set at 2987 t for 2015 and 2569 t for 2016 . The reported landings in 2015 were $93 \%$ of the established TAC.

There is no minimal landing size for anglerfish but an EU Council Regulation (2406/96) laying down common marketing standards for certain fishery products fixes a minimum weight of 500 g for anglerfish. In Spain this minimum weight was put into effect in 2000.

## Management considerations

Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa are subject to a common TAC, so the joint status of these species should be taken into account when formulating management advice. Both species of anglerfish are reported together because of their similarity but are assessed separately.

It should be noted that both anglerfish are essentially caught in mixed fisheries. Hence, management measures applied to these species may have implications for other stocks and vice versa. It is necessary to take into account that a recovery plan for hake and Nephrops is taking place in the same area.

Although these stocks are assessed separately they are managed together. Due to the differences in the current status of the individual stocks, it is difficult to give common advice.

Table 4.1.1 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius and L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Tonnes landed by the main fishing fleets for 1978-2015 as determined by the Working Group.

| Year | Div. 8c |  |  |  | Div. 9a |  |  |  |  |  | Div. 8c+9a | Unallocated | Div. 8c+9a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPAIN |  |  | TOTAL | SPAIN |  |  | PORTUGAL |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
|  | Trawl | Gillnet | Others |  | Trawl | Gillnet | Others | Trawl | Artisanal |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| 1978 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |  | n/a | 506 |  |  | n/a | 222 | 728 | n/a |  | n/a |
| 1979 | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | 625 |  |  | n/a | 435 | 1060 | n/a |  | n/a |
| 1980 | 4008 | 1477 |  | 5485 | 786 |  |  | n/a | 654 | 1440 | 6926 |  | 6926 |
| 1981 | 3909 | 2240 |  | 6149 | 1040 |  |  | n/a | 679 | 1719 | 7867 |  | 7867 |
| 1982 | 2742 | 3095 |  | 5837 | 1716 |  |  | n/a | 598 | 2314 | 8151 |  | 8151 |
| 1983 | 4269 | 1911 |  | 6180 | 1426 |  |  | n/a | 888 | 2314 | 8494 |  | 8494 |
| 1984 | 3600 | 1866 |  | 5466 | 1136 |  |  | 409 | 950 | 2495 | 7961 |  | 7961 |
| 1985 | 2679 | 2495 |  | 5174 | 977 |  |  | 466 | 1355 | 2798 | 7972 |  | 7972 |
| 1986 | 3052 | 3209 |  | 6261 | 1049 |  |  | 367 | 1757 | 3172 | 9433 |  | 9433 |
| 1987 | 3174 | 2571 |  | 5745 | 1133 |  |  | 426 | 1668 | 3227 | 8973 |  | 8973 |
| 1988 | 3583 | 3263 |  | 6846 | 1254 |  |  | 344 | 1577 | 3175 | 10021 |  | 10021 |
| 1989 | 2291 | 2498 |  | 4789 | 1111 |  |  | 531 | 1142 | 2785 | 7574 |  | 7574 |
| 1990 | 1930 | 1127 |  | 3057 | 1124 |  |  | 713 | 1231 | 3068 | 6124 |  | 6124 |
| 1991 | 1993 | 854 |  | 2847 | 878 |  |  | 533 | 1545 | 2956 | 5802 |  | 5802 |
| 1992 | 1668 | 1068 |  | 2736 | 786 |  |  | 363 | 1610 | 2758 | 5493 |  | 5493 |
| 1993 | 1360 | 959 |  | 2319 | 699 |  |  | 306 | 1231 | 2237 | 4556 |  | 4556 |
| 1994 | 1232 | 1028 |  | 2260 | 629 |  |  | 149 | 549 | 1327 | 3587 |  | 3587 |
| 1995 | 1755 | 677 |  | 2432 | 814 |  |  | 134 | 297 | 1245 | 3677 |  | 3677 |
| 1996 | 2146 | 850 |  | 2995 | 749 |  |  | 265 | 574 | 1589 | 4584 |  | 4584 |
| 1997 | 2249 | 1389 |  | 3638 | 838 |  |  | 191 | 860 | 1889 | 5527 |  | 5527 |
| 1998 | 1660 | 1507 |  | 3167 | 865 |  |  | 209 | 829 | 1903 | 5070 |  | 5070 |
| 1999 | 1116 | 1140 |  | 2256 | 750 |  |  | 119 | 692 | 1561 | 3817 |  | 3817 |
| 2000 | 710 | 612 |  | 1322 | 485 |  |  | 146 | 675 | 1306 | 2628 |  | 2628 |
| 2001 | 614 | 364 |  | 978 | 247 |  |  | 117 | 459 | 823 | 1801 |  | 1801 |
| 2002 | 559 | 415 |  | 974 | 344 |  |  | 104 | 380 | 828 | 1802 |  | 1802 |
| 2003 | 1190 | 771 |  | 1961 | 617 |  |  | 96 | 529 | 1242 | 3203 |  | 3203 |
| 2004 | 1510 | 1389 |  | 2898 | 549 |  |  | 77 | 602 | 1229 | 4127 |  | 4127 |
| 2005 | 1651 | 1719 |  | 3370 | 653 |  |  | 60 | 458 | 1171 | 4541 |  | 4541 |
| 2006 | 1490 | 1371 |  | 2861 | 801 |  |  | 68 | 381 | 1250 | 4111 |  | 4111 |
| 2007 | 1327 | 1076 |  | 2404 | 866 |  |  | 78 | 303 | 1247 | 3651 |  | 3651 |
| 2008 | 1280 | 1238 |  | 2518 | 473 |  |  | 50 | 246 | 770 | 3288 |  | 3288 |
| 2009 | 1151 | 1207 |  | 2358 | 386 |  |  | 43 | 262 | 691 | 3049 |  | 3049 |
| 2010 | 665 | 1036 |  | 1701 | 355 |  |  | 72 | 203 | 630 | 2331 |  | 2331 |
| 2011 | 458 | 598 | 105 | 1160 | 216 | 88 | 146 | 122 | 199 | 770 | 1930 | 154 | 2085 |
| 2012 | 432 | 610 | 89 | 1131 | 163 | 60 | 132 | 161 | 533 | 1049 | 2180 | 339 | 2519 |
| 2013 | 495 | 853 | 52 | 1400 | 142 | 85 | 140 | 114 | 412 | 893 | 2293 | 288 | 2582 |
| 2014 | 545 | 1073 | 35 | 1653 | 211 | 93 | 8 | 143 | 408 | 863 | 2516 | 474 | 2989 |
| 2015 | 557 | 943 | 5 | 1505 | 190 | 114 | , | 161 | 422 | 890 | 2395 | 395 | 2790 |

### 4.3 Anglerfish (L. piscatorius) in Divisions 8c and 9a

### 4.3.1 General

### 4.3.2 Ecosystem aspects

The ecosystem aspects of the stock are common with L. budegassa, and are described in the Stock Annex.

### 4.3.3 Fishery description

L. piscatorius is mainly caught by Spanish and Portuguese bottom trawlers and gillnet fisheries. For some gillnet fishery, it is an important target species, while it is also a by catch of the trawl fishery targeting hake or crustaceans (see Stock Annex). Since 2001 Spanish landings were on average $88 \%$ of total landings of the stock.
The length distribution of the landings is considerably different between both fisheries, with the gillnet landings showing higher mean lengths compared to the trawl landings. Since 2001-2015, the Spanish landings were on average $45 \%$ from the trawl fleet (mean lengths in 2015 of 56 cm and 52 cm in Divisions 8c and 9a, respectively) and $54 \%$ from the gillnet fishery (mean length of 79 cm in Division 8c in 2015). For the same period, Portuguese landings were on average $11 \%$ from bottom trawlers (mean length of 61 cm in 2015) and $89 \%$ from the artisanal fleet (mean length of 61 cm in 2015).

### 4.3.4 Data

### 4.3.4.1 Commercial catches and discards

Total landings by country and gear for the period 1978-2015, as estimated by the WG, are given in Table 4.3.1. Unallocated landings for this stock are available for the years from 2011 to 2015. The unallocated values are considered realistic and are taken into account for the assessment. Since 2011 there was an increasing trend in official landing with increases of $15 \%$ and $23 \%$ in 2013 and 2014 respectively. In 2015 official landings decreased by $8 \%$. Unallocated landings represent between 7 and $19 \%$ of total landings and not a specific trend was observed.

Spanish discards estimates of L. piscatoriusin weight and associated coefficient of variation (CV) are shown in the Table 4.3.2. For the available time-series anglerfish discards represent less than $18 \%$ of Spanish trawl catches. The maximum value of the time-series occurred in 2013 with 66 t. The Spanish gillnet fleet discards value are only available from 2013 to 2015 with quantities between $0 t$ and 144 t . The occasional high and the zero value of discards reported for the gillnet fleet could be related with a very low sampling level. L. piscatorius discards in the Portuguese trawl fisheries are considered negligible (Fernández\&Prista, 2012; Prista et al., 2014). Based on the partial information on the Spanish and Portuguese discards the WG concluded that discards could be considered negligible.

### 4.3.4.2 Biological sampling

The procedure for sampling of this species is the same as for L. budegassa (see Stock Annex).

The sampling levels for 2015 are shown in Table 1.3. The métier sampling adopted in Spain and Portugal in 2009, following the requirement of the EU Data Collection

Framework, can have an effect in the provided data. Spanish sampling levels are similar to previous years but an important reduction of Portuguese sampling levels was observed in 2009-2011, since 2012 Portugal increased the sampling effort.

## Length composition

Table 4.3.3 gives the available annual length compositions by ICES division, country and gear and adjusted length composition for total stock landings for 2015.The annual length compositions for all fleets combined for the period 1986-2015 are presented in Figure 4.3.1.

Landings in number, the mean length and mean weight in the landings between 1986 and 2015 are showed in Table 4.3.4. The lowest total number in landings (year 2001) is $4 \%$ of the maximum value (year 1988). After 2001, increases were observed up to 2006, with decreases every year since then to year 2011. Mean lengths and mean weights in the landings increased sharply between 1995 and 2000. In 2002 low values of mean lengths and mean weights were observed, around the minimum of the time-series, due to the increase in smaller individuals. After that, increases were observed reaching 71 cm in 2010. In 2015 mean weight and mean length of landings decreased with respect to the previous year but they were above average values of the time-series.

## Biological information

The growth pattern used in the assessment follows a von Bertalanffy model with fixed $\mathrm{k}=0.11$ and Linf estimated by the model. Length-weight relationship, maturity ogive and natural mortality used in the assessment are described in the Stock Annex.

### 4.3.4.3 Abundance indices from surveys

Spanish and Portuguese survey results for the period 1983-2015 are summarized in Table 4.3.5.

The abundance index from Spanish survey SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 is shown in Figure 4.3.2. Since 2000 the highest abundance values were detected in 2001 and 2006, since this year a downward trend was observed. In 2011, the abundance and biomass indices decreased by $44 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively, relative to 2010 values. In 2013 an increase in the index in biomass and in number was observed. In 2015, the abundance index was one of the lowest of the series (Figure 4.3.2) and no individuals $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$ were recorded (Figure 4.3.3).

Since 2013 the SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 is conducted using a different vessel. The results of two inter-calibration experiments carried out between the two oceanographic vessels in 2012 and 2014 indicated that catches of white anglerfish has not been affected by the change of the vessel.

### 4.3.4.4 Commercial catch-effort data

Landings, effort and LPUE data are given in Table 4.3.6 and Figure 4.3.4 for Spanish trawlers (Division 8c) from the ports of Santander and Avilés since 1986, for A Coruña since 1982 and for the Portuguese trawlers (Division 9a) since 1989. A Coruña fleet series (landings, effort and LPUE) were updated to incorporate years at the beginning of the series (1982-1985). Three series are presented for A Coruña fleet: A Coruña port for trips that are exclusively landed in the port, A Coruña trucks for trips that are landed in other ports and A Coruña fleet that takes into account all the trips of the fleet. For 2014 only information for A Coruña port was provided. Also a review of A Coruña port series for the period 2009-2013 is available to the WG (WD WD-04, ICES 2015a).

Although A Coruña port is a potential abundance series to be used in the assessment a previous analysis of the whole time-series must be done before taking it into account. The A Coruña fleet index, used in the assessment as abundance index from 1982-2012, is not available since 2013.

For the Portuguese fleets, until 2011 most logbooks were filled in paper but have thereafter been progressively replaced by e-logbooks. In 2013 more than $90 \%$ of the logbooks are being completed in the electronic version. The LPUEs series were revised from 2012 onwards. To revise the series backwards further refinement of the algorithm is required.

For each fleet the proportion of the landings in the stock is also given in the table. In 2007 a dataseries from the artisanal fleet from the port of Cedeira in Division 8.c was provided. This LPUE series is annually standardized to incorporate a new year data, latest available standardized series, from 1999-2011, is presented. Due to the reduction in the number of vessels of Cedeira fleet, this tuning series could not be considered as a representative abundance index of the stock and it is no longer recorded. Standardized effort provided for Portuguese trawl fleets (1989-2008) and their corresponding LPUEs are also given in Table 4.3.6, but not represented in Figure 4.3.4.

All fleets show a general decrease in landings during the eighties and early nineties. A slight landings increase in 1996 and 1997 can be observed in all fleets. From 2000 to 2005 Spanish fleets of A Coruña, Avilés and Cedeira show an increase in landings while the Portuguese fleets are stabilized at low levels. Since 2005-2009 landings from A Coruña and Cedeira fleets showed an overall decreasing trend. Proportion in total landings is higher for the Cedeira and A Coruña fleets. Landings for both Portuguese fleets increased in 2014 and 2015.

Effort trends show a general decline since the mid-nineties in all trawl fleets. In last five years they kept low effort values with some slight fluctuations. The artisanal fleet of Cedeira despite fluctuations along the time-series shows an overall increasing trend until 2008. After this year the effort sharply declined to the minimum value of the series in 2011. From 2007-2011 the effort from A Coruña fleet was reduced by $47 \%$, showing the lowest values of the series in 2011. The Portuguese Crustacean fleet shows high effort values in 2001 and 2002 that might be related to a change in the target species due to very high abundance of rose shrimp during that period.

LPUEs from all available fleets show a general decline during the eighties and early nineties followed by some increase. From 2002 to 2005 LPUEs increased for all fleets. This general LPUE trend is consistent between fleets including the artisanal fleet. In 2009 and 2010 an important increase of Cedeira LPUE was observed. Portuguese fleets shown a one-off increase in 2011.

### 4.3.5 Assessment

A new model assessment was adopted in 2012 benchmark (WKFLAT2012). The assessment approved in the WGHMM2012 was updated with 2015 data.

### 4.3.5.1 Input data

Input data used in the assessment are presented in the Stock Annex.
Due to the problems described in previous section (see Commercial catch-effort data), the A Coruña-fleet and Cedeira-fleet abundance indices for 2013, 2014 and 2015were not included in the assessment.

### 4.3.5.2 Model

The Stock Synthesis 3 (SS3) software was selected to be used in the assessment (Methot, 2000). The description of the model including the structure, settings, and parameters assumptions are provided in the Stock Annex.

### 4.3.5.3 Assessment results

The model diagnosis is carried out means the analysis of residuals of abundance indices. Residual plots of the fits to the abundance indices are shown in Figure 4.3.5. Although some minor trends have been detected, as it happens for A Coruña indices from 1995 to 2000, it can be considered that the model follows trends of the abundance indices used in the model (A Coruña, Cedeira and the Spanish survey). Pearson residual plots are presented for the model fits to the length-composition data of the abundance indices (Figure 4.3.6). There were not detected specific patterns in any of the abundance indices. Some high positive residual are evident for A Coruña indices in the first and second quarter. Nevertheless, the model fits reasonably well.

The model estimates size-based selectivity functions for commercial fleets (Figure 4.3.7) and for population abundance indices (Figure 4.3.8). All the selection patterns were assumed constant over the time. The selection pattern for the Spanish trawl fleet is efficient for a wide range of lengths, since the smaller fish until very large individuals. The Spanish artisanal fleet is most efficient at a narrow length range and for large fish, mainly from 75 to 90 cm . The Portuguese trawl fleet selection pattern indicates that this fishery is most efficient at the length range between 30 and 60 cm . This selection pattern shows strange selection over larger fish that could be an effect of an insufficient length sampling.

The selection patterns are equal for all quarters in A Coruña and Cedeira indices. For A Coruña index the selection pattern has a wide length range while Cedeira index shows the selectivity is directed to larger individuals. The Spanish survey index shows well defined selectivity to the smaller individuals.

### 4.3.5.4 Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality and recruitment

Table 4.3.7 and Figure 4.3 .9 provide the summary of results from the assessment model and observed landings. Maximum values of recruitment are recorded at the beginning of the time-series (1982, 1986 and 1987) with values over the 4 million. Along the timeseries other high recruitment values were detected in 1989, 1994 and 2001. Since 2006 the recruitment has been below 1 million except in 2010, 2011 and 2014.The abundance of age 0 in 2015, estimated at 178 thousands, was the lowest value throughout the timeseries. Landings steadily decreased from 3.6 Kt in 2005 to 1.1 Kt in 2011, coinciding with the decrease in F, from 0.38 in 2005 to 0.16 in 2011. Respect to 2014, landings and F decreased in 2015 by 13\% and 9\% respectively. From 2005 to 2012 SSB was at stable medium values around 6.5 kt , increasing to 8 kt in 2014 and in 2015.

### 4.3.5.5 Retrospective pattern for SSB, fishing mortality, yield and recruitment

In order to assess the consistency of the assessment from year to year, a retrospective analysis was carried out. It was conducted by removing one year (2015), two years (2015 and 2014), three years $(2015,2014,2013)$ and four years $(2015,2014,2013,2012)$ of data while using the same model configuration (Figure 4.3.10). All the retrospective analysis runs were similar in the estimates of recruitment. Although there is some uncertainty in recent recruitment estimates no consistent bias was observed. Retrospective analysis showed an underestimation of the SSB in the final years an overestimation
of F. Nevertheless, there was no strong retrospective pattern and the assessment was accepted for projections.

A retrospective analysis based on 7 peel years was also carried out to estimate the Mohn's Rho index for fishing mortality. The estimated Rho=-0.10 indicates that fishing mortality is overestimated by $10 \%$.

### 4.3.6 Catch options and prognosis

### 4.3.6.1 Short-term projections

This year the projections were performed on the basis of present assessment.
For fishing mortality, the F status quo equal to 0.21 , estimated as the average of fishing mortality the last three years $\mathrm{F}_{2013-2015}$ over lengths $30-130 \mathrm{~cm}$, was used for 2016. In the case of recruitment, the geometric mean of the whole period (1980-2015) was used following the default option indicated in the Stock Annex.

Projected landings in 2017 and SSB at the beginning of 2018 for different management options in 2017 are presented in Table 4.3.8. Under F status quo scenario in 2017 is expected a very small decrease in landings with respect to 2016, and a decrease in SSB in 2018 with respect to 2017.

### 4.3.6.2 Yield and biomass per recruit analysis

The summary table of Yield and SSB per recruit analysis is given in the table below:

|  | SPR level | Fmult | F(30-130cm) | YPR(land) | SSB/R |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fmax | 0.12 | 1.42 | 0.30 | 2.20 | 6.36 |
| F0.1 | 0.24 | 0.90 | 0.19 | 2.08 | 12.37 |
| F40\% | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 1.73 | 21.13 |
| F35\% | 0.35 | 0.63 | 0.13 | 1.85 | 18.38 |
| F30\% | 0.30 | 0.73 | 0.15 | 1.96 | 15.81 |

The F that maximizes the yield-per-recruit, $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$, is estimated at 0.30 which is over $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ ( 0.21 ) and which corresponds to a SPR level of $12 \%$. The $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$, rate of fishing mortality at which the slope of the YPR curve falls to $10 \%$ of its value at the origin, is equal to 0.19 and it is corresponding to a SPR level of $24 \%$. The fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{30} \%, 35 \%$ and $40 \%$ is estimated in $0.15,0.13$ and 0.11 respectively. The status quo F is below Fax and above from any of the reference points based on SSB per recruit analysis.

### 4.3.7 Biological Reference Points of stock biomass and yield.

In 2015, the WKMSREF4 has estimated new reference points for this stock (ICES, 2016a,b). The new accepted values are presented in the following table:

| Framework | Reference point | Value | Technical basis | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY approach | MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 5400 t | $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile of SSB $_{2015}$ (WGBIE2015) | ICES, 2016a |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.31 | F that maximises median equilibrium yield | ICES, 2016a |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ range <br> [lower, upper] | 0.18, 0.41 | 5\% reduction in long-term yield compared with MSY | ICES, 2016a |
| Precautionary approach | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | 1900 t | Bloss (lowest value of SSB) | ICES, 2016b |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 2600 t | Blim $\mathrm{xexp}(1.645 \times \sigma)$, where $\sigma=0.2$ | ICES, 2016b |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 0.60 | Segmented regression with Blim as breakpoint | ICES, 2016b |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.43 | Flim $x \exp (-\sigma \times 1.645)$, where $\sigma=0.2$ | ICES, 2016b |

The estimated $\mathrm{Fmsy}_{\text {( }}$ ( 0.31 ) differs substantially from the value $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.19$ used previously as a proxy of FMSY.

### 4.3.8 Comments on the assessment

The spawning-stock biomass has increased from 2011 to 2014 decreasing slightly in 2015. SSB in 2016 is estimated at 8 thousand tonnes which is well above of $B_{p a}(2600 t)$ and MSY Btrigger $(5400 \mathrm{t})$. Fishing mortality in 2014 has increased by $44 \%$ related to 2011. $F$ in 2015 is estimated to be at a value of 0.21 , below $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.43)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mSY}}(0.31)$. An increase in landings occurred from 1.1 kt in 2011 to 2.0 kt in 2014 and they decreased to 1.7 in 2015.

### 4.3.9 Quality considerations

The available unallocated landings, for years 2011-2015, are included in the present stock assessment, as the estimates were considered realistic information. However the importance of unallocated landings is difficult to assess and the results of the assessment could be affected by the inclusion of these data.

Uncertainty of the assessment model may have increased due to the missing data for commercial abundance indices since 2011.

### 4.3.10 Management considerations

Management considerations are describing for both anglerfish stocks in section 4.2.
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Table 4.3.1 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Tonnes landed by the main fishing fleets for 1978-2015 as determined by the Working Group.

| Year | Div. 8c |  |  |  | Div. 9a |  |  |  |  |  | Div. $8 \mathrm{c}+9 \mathrm{a}$ | Div. 8c+9a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPAIN |  |  | TOTAL | SPAIN |  |  | PORTUGAL |  | TOTAL | SUBTOTAL | Unallocated | TOTAL |
|  | Trawl | Gillnet | Others |  | Trawl | Gillnet | Others | Trawl | Artisanal |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | 258 |  |  |  | 115 | 373 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | 319 |  |  |  | 225 | 544 |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 2806 | 1270 |  | 4076 | 401 |  |  |  | 339 | 740 | 4816 |  | 4816 |
| 1981 | 2750 | 1931 |  | 4681 | 535 |  |  |  | 352 | 887 | 5568 |  | 5568 |
| 1982 | 1915 | 2682 |  | 4597 | 875 |  |  |  | 310 | 1185 | 5782 |  | 5782 |
| 1983 | 3205 | 1723 |  | 4928 | 726 |  |  |  | 460 | 1186 | 6114 |  | 6114 |
| 1984 | 3086 | 1690 |  | 4776 | 578 |  |  | 186 | 492 | 1256 | 6032 |  | 6032 |
| 1985 | 2313 | 2372 |  | 4685 | 540 |  |  | 212 | 702 | 1454 | 6139 |  | 6139 |
| 1986 | 2499 | 2624 |  | 5123 | 670 |  |  | 167 | 910 | 1747 | 6870 |  | 6870 |
| 1987 | 2080 | 1683 |  | 3763 | 320 |  |  | 194 | 864 | 1378 | 5141 |  | 5141 |
| 1988 | 2525 | 2253 |  | 4778 | 570 |  |  | 157 | 817 | 1543 | 6321 |  | 6321 |
| 1989 | 1643 | 2147 |  | 3790 | 347 |  |  | 259 | 600 | 1206 | 4996 |  | 4996 |
| 1990 | 1439 | 985 |  | 2424 | 435 |  |  | 326 | 606 | 1366 | 3790 |  | 3790 |
| 1991 | 1490 | 778 |  | 2268 | 319 |  |  | 224 | 829 | 1372 | 3640 |  | 3640 |
| 1992 | 1217 | 1011 |  | 2228 | 301 |  |  | 76 | 778 | 1154 | 3382 |  | 3382 |
| 1993 | 844 | 666 |  | 1510 | 72 |  |  | 111 | 636 | 819 | 2329 |  | 2329 |
| 1994 | 690 | 827 |  | 1517 | 154 |  |  | 70 | 266 | 490 | 2007 |  | 2007 |
| 1995 | 830 | 572 |  | 1403 | 199 |  |  | 66 | 166 | 431 | 1834 |  | 1834 |
| 1996 | 1306 | 745 |  | 2050 | 407 |  |  | 133 | 365 | 905 | 2955 |  | 2955 |
| 1997 | 1449 | 1191 |  | 2640 | 315 |  |  | 110 | 650 | 1075 | 3714 |  | 3714 |
| 1998 | 912 | 1359 |  | 2271 | 184 |  |  | 28 | 497 | 710 | 2981 |  | 2981 |
| 1999 | 551 | 1013 |  | 1564 | 79 |  |  | 9 | 285 | 374 | 1938 |  | 1938 |
| 2000 | 269 | 538 |  | 808 | 107 |  |  | 4 | 340 | 451 | 1259 |  | 1259 |
| 2001 | 231 | 294 |  | 525 | 57 |  |  | 16 | 190 | 263 | 788 |  | 788 |
| 2002 | 385 | 341 |  | 726 | 110 |  |  | 29 | 168 | 307 | 1032 |  | 1032 |
| 2003 | 911 | 722 |  | 1633 | 312 |  |  | 29 | 305 | 645 | 2278 |  | 2278 |
| 2004 | 1260 | 1269 |  | 2528 | 264 |  |  | 27 | 335 | 626 | 3154 |  | 3154 |
| 2005 | 1378 | 1622 |  | 3000 | 371 |  |  | 29 | 244 | 643 | 3644 |  | 3644 |
| 2006 | 1166 | 1247 |  | 2413 | 260 |  |  | 29 | 260 | 549 | 2963 |  | 2963 |
| 2007 | 955 | 1009 |  | 1964 | 181 |  |  | 13 | 192 | 386 | 2350 |  | 2350 |
| 2008 | 894 | 1168 |  | 2062 | 138 |  |  | 11 | 127 | 275 | 2337 |  | 2337 |
| 2009 | 850 | 1058 |  | 1909 | 213 |  |  | 10 | 148 | 371 | 2280 |  | 2280 |
| 2010 | 313 | 955 |  | 1268 | 158 |  |  | 2 | 119 | 279 | 1547 |  | 1547 |
| 2011 | 243 | 483 | 73 | 799 | 59 | 28 | 48 | 46 | 80 | 260 | 1060 | 80 | 1140 |
| 2012 | 271 | 527 | 67 | 866 | 54 | 20 | 42 | 6 | 163 | 285 | 1151 | 230 | 1381 |
| 2013 | 274 | 718 | 38 | 1029 | 47 | 30 | 50 | 15 | 154 | 296 | 1325 | 190 | 1516 |
| 2014 | 358 | 947 | 28 | 1334 | 91 | 47 | 4 | 30 | 122 | 294 | 1628 | 374 | 2001 |
| 2015 | 324 | 802 | 4 | 1129 | 86 | 53 | 2 | 34 | 200 | 375 | 1504 | 244 | 1748 |

Table 4.3.2 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius ) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Weight and percentage of discards for Spanish fleets.

| Year | Trawl |  |  | Gillnet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (t) | CV | \% Catches | Weight (t) | \% Catches |
| 1994 | 20.9 | 34.05 | 2.4 |  |  |
| 1995 | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 1996 | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 1997 | 5.4 | 68.13 | 0.3 |  |  |
| 1998 | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 1999 | 0.8 | 71.30 | 0.1 |  |  |
| 2000 | 5.7 | 33.64 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 2001 | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 2002 | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 2003 | 25.1 | 54.42 | 2.0 |  |  |
| 2004 | 48.2 | 32.53 | 3.1 |  |  |
| 2005 | 44.1 | 30.97 | 2.5 |  |  |
| 2006 | 43.7 | 48.33 | 3.0 |  |  |
| 2007 | 17.1 | 28.44 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 2008 | 4.9 | 56.47 | 0.5 |  |  |
| 2009 | 20.0 | 26.11 | 3.6 |  |  |
| 2010 | 11.5 | 36.87 | 2.4 |  |  |
| 2011 | 22.6 | 19.27 | 7.0 |  |  |
| 2012 | 62.6 | 43.65 | 11.4 |  |  |
| 2013 | 65.8 | n/a | 17.0 | 143.8 | 16.1 |
| 2014 | 24.4 | n/a | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2015 | 20.8 | n/a | 4.8 | 7.6 | 0.9 |

n/a: not available
CV: coefficient of variation

| Table 4.3.3 <br> Length (cm) | ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. <br> Length composition by fleet and ajusted length composition for total landings (thousands) in 2015. Ajusted TOTAL: ajusted to landings from fleets without length compostion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Div. 8c |  |  | Div. 9a |  |  |  | Div. 8c+9a |  |
|  | SPAIN |  | TOTAL | SPAIN <br> Traw | PORTUGAL |  | TOTAL | TOTAL | Ajusted <br> TOTAL |
|  | Trawl | Gillnet |  |  | Trawl | Artisanal |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 1.72 |
| 15 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 16 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 17 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 18 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 19 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21 | 0.197 | 0.000 | 0.197 | 0.231 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| 22 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 23 | 0.044 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | 0.044 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.049 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| 27 | 0.044 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 28 | 0.088 | 0.000 | 0.088 | 0.053 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| 29 | 0.358 | 0.000 | 0.358 | 0.130 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.49 | 0.50 |
| 30 | 1.181 | 0.000 | 1.181 | 0.783 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 1.96 | 2.00 |
| 31 | 0.814 | 0.000 | 0.814 | 0.167 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 32 | 1.828 | 0.000 | 1.828 | 0.692 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 2.52 | 2.58 |
| 33 | 2.073 | 0.000 | 2.073 | 0.680 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 2.75 | 2.81 |
| 34 | 3.214 | 0.000 | 3.214 | 1.036 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 1.04 | 4.25 | 4.33 |
| 35 | 2.808 | 0.000 | 2.808 | 0.557 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 3.37 | 3.42 |
| 36 | 4.386 | 0.000 | 4.386 | 2.555 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 2.55 | 6.94 | 7.04 |
| 37 | 3.320 | 0.000 | 3.320 | 1.069 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 1.07 | 4.39 | 4.44 |
| 38 | 4.052 | 0.000 | 4.052 | 2.153 | 0.611 | 0.00 | 2.76 | 6.82 | 6.88 |
| 39 | 2.945 | 0.000 | 2.945 | 0.993 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 3.94 | 4.01 |
| 40 | 2.980 | 0.000 | 2.980 | 0.916 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 3.90 | 3.96 |
| 41 | 2.290 | 0.000 | 2.290 | 0.902 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 3.19 | 3.25 |
| 42 | 2.645 | 0.000 | 2.645 | 0.993 | 0.636 | 6.42 | 8.05 | 10.69 | 10.73 |
| 43 | 2.491 | 0.000 | 2.491 | 1.336 | 0.266 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 4.09 | 4.14 |
| 44 | 1.525 | 0.000 | 1.525 | 0.656 | 0.132 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 2.31 | 2.34 |
| 45 | 2.028 | 0.000 | 2.028 | 0.874 | 0.445 | 0.50 | 1.82 | 3.85 | 3.89 |
| 46 | 1.968 | 0.000 | 1.968 | 0.793 | 0.170 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 2.93 | 2.99 |
| 47 | 1.735 | 0.033 | 1.769 | 0.632 | 0.132 | 1.01 | 1.77 | 3.54 | 3.58 |
| 48 | 1.442 | 0.000 | 1.442 | 0.509 | 0.009 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 2.09 | 2.12 |
| 49 | 1.340 | 0.000 | 1.340 | 0.621 | 0.000 | 0.24 | 0.87 | 2.21 | 2.23 |
| 50 | 1.751 | 0.029 | 1.780 | 0.779 | 0.000 | 5.33 | 6.11 | 7.89 | 7.93 |
| 51 | 0.856 | 0.087 | 0.943 | 0.390 | 0.102 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 1.44 | 1.46 |
| 52 | 1.005 | 0.267 | 1.272 | 0.132 | 0.000 | 1.14 | 1.27 | 2.54 | 2.58 |
| 53 | 1.241 | 0.149 | 1.390 | 0.425 | 0.105 | 0.53 | 1.06 | 2.45 | 2.49 |
| 54 | 0.807 | 0.144 | 0.951 | 0.445 | 1.446 | 0.26 | 2.15 | 3.11 | 3.13 |
| 55 | 0.788 | 0.243 | 1.032 | 0.175 | 0.000 | 2.14 | 2.32 | 3.35 | 3.38 |
| 56 | 0.986 | 0.346 | 1.333 | 0.329 | 0.000 | 2.66 | 2.99 | 4.32 | 4.36 |
| 57 | 1.272 | 0.497 | 1.769 | 0.188 | 0.000 | 0.80 | 0.99 | 2.76 | 2.81 |
| 58 | 1.074 | 0.377 | 1.452 | 0.261 | 0.029 | 1.01 | 1.30 | 2.75 | 2.80 |
| 59 | 0.810 | 0.693 | 1.503 | 0.278 | 0.000 | 0.99 | 1.26 | 2.77 | 2.83 |
| 60 | 1.014 | 1.341 | 2.355 | 0.110 | 0.208 | 0.56 | 0.87 | 3.23 | 3.33 |
| 61 | 1.085 | 1.508 | 2.593 | 0.367 | 0.000 | 1.62 | 1.99 | 4.58 | 4.71 |
| 62 | 1.124 | 1.528 | 2.652 | 0.262 | 0.029 | 1.57 | 1.86 | 4.51 | 4.64 |
| 63 | 1.503 | 1.972 | 3.475 | 0.261 | 0.147 | 1.56 | 1.97 | 5.45 | 5.60 |
| 64 | 1.148 | 1.757 | 2.906 | 0.480 | 0.073 | 0.29 | 0.84 | 3.75 | 3.89 |
| 65 | 1.146 | 2.168 | 3.314 | 0.101 | 0.042 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 3.61 | 3.78 |
| 66 | 0.836 | 2.716 | 3.552 | 0.280 | 0.397 | 0.79 | 1.47 | 5.02 | 5.20 |
| 67 | 1.286 | 2.772 | 4.059 | 0.048 | 0.362 | 2.50 | 2.91 | 6.97 | 7.17 |
| 68 | 1.326 | 2.763 | 4.090 | 0.248 | 0.000 | 0.52 | 0.77 | 4.86 | 5.04 |
| 69 | 0.835 | 3.674 | 4.509 | 0.144 | 0.479 | 0.71 | 1.33 | 5.84 | 6.10 |
| 70 | 1.799 | 4.301 | 6.100 | 0.255 | 0.105 | 1.61 | 1.97 | 8.07 | 8.38 |
| 71 | 1.092 | 4.016 | 5.108 | 0.266 | 0.000 | 1.25 | 1.52 | 6.63 | 6.91 |
| 72 | 1.522 | 4.636 | 6.158 | 0.551 | 0.433 | 0.99 | 1.98 | 8.13 | 8.46 |
| 73 | 1.318 | 4.884 | 6.202 | 0.138 | 0.426 | 1.83 | 2.39 | 8.59 | 8.93 |
| 74 | 0.926 | 4.662 | 5.588 | 0.297 | 0.009 | 0.71 | 1.02 | 6.61 | 6.94 |
| 75 | 1.103 | 4.947 | 6.050 | 0.222 | 0.000 | 1.10 | 1.32 | 7.37 | 7.72 |
| 76 | 0.920 | 4.080 | 5.000 | 0.255 | 0.073 | 0.27 | 0.60 | 5.60 | 5.86 |
| 77 | 1.159 | 3.587 | 4.746 | 0.314 | 0.000 | 1.07 | 1.38 | 6.13 | 6.40 |
| 78 | 0.789 | 3.248 | 4.037 | 0.265 | 0.000 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 4.50 | 4.73 |
| 79 | 0.697 | 3.296 | 3.992 | 0.177 | 0.000 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 4.51 | 4.73 |
| 80 | 1.016 | 2.708 | 3.725 | 0.228 | 0.000 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 3.98 | 4.19 |
| 81 | 0.629 | 2.558 | 3.187 | 0.193 | 0.000 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 3.41 | 3.60 |
| 82 | 1.126 | 2.558 | 3.684 | 0.657 | 0.122 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 4.46 | 4.67 |
| 83 | 0.930 | 2.508 | 3.437 | 0.235 | 0.000 | 0.70 | 0.94 | 4.37 | 4.56 |
| 84 | 0.635 | 2.568 | 3.203 | 0.297 | 0.000 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 4.11 | 4.29 |
| 85 | 0.757 | 2.431 | 3.188 | 0.214 | 0.029 | 0.14 | 0.38 | 3.57 | 3.75 |
| 86 | 0.387 | 1.862 | 2.248 | 0.111 | 0.000 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 2.51 | 2.63 |
| 87 | 0.809 | 2.184 | 2.993 | 0.346 | 0.000 | 0.40 | 0.74 | 3.74 | 3.89 |
| 88 | 0.439 | 2.187 | 2.626 | 0.032 | 0.000 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 3.04 | 3.18 |
| 89 | 0.928 | 1.970 | 2.898 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 3.21 | 3.35 |
| 90 | 0.867 | 2.269 | 3.136 | 0.099 | 0.000 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 3.75 | 3.90 |
| 91 | 0.814 | 1.671 | 2.485 | 0.052 | 0.000 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 2.90 | 3.03 |
| 92 | 0.640 | 1.178 | 1.818 | 0.167 | 0.000 | 0.70 | 0.87 | 2.68 | 2.78 |
| 93 | 0.618 | 1.594 | 2.212 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 2.36 | 2.45 |
| 94 | 0.456 | 1.450 | 1.906 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.97 | 2.06 |
| 95 | 0.235 | 1.306 | 1.542 | 0.045 | 0.000 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 1.61 | 1.70 |
| 96 | 0.657 | 1.232 | 1.889 | 0.057 | 0.397 | 0.56 | 1.01 | 2.90 | 2.98 |
| 97 | 0.295 | 1.369 | 1.664 | 0.054 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.72 | 1.81 |
| 98 | 0.523 | 1.194 | 1.717 | 0.077 | 0.000 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 1.82 | 1.90 |
| 99 | 0.227 | 0.960 | 1.187 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 1.25 |
| $100+$ | 2.086 | 7.894 | 9.980 | 0.567 | 0.362 | 0.40 | 1.33 | 11.31 | 11.87 |
| TOTAL | 96 | 112 | 209 | 31 | 8 | 50 | 89 | 298 | 307 |
| Tonnes | 324 | 802 | 1126 | 86 | 34 | 200 | 321 | 1446 | 1504 |
| Mean Weight (g) Mean length (cm) | 3365 56.3 | 7137 79.4 | 5398 68.8 | 2748 51.6 | 4392 61.1 | 4007 60.6 | 3596 57.5 | 4858 65.4 | 4902 65.7 |

Table 4.3.4 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius ). Divisions 8c and 9a.
Numbers, mean weight and mean length of landings between 1986 and 2015.

| Year | Total (thousands) | Mean Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | Mean Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1872 | 3670 | 61 |
| 1987 | 2806 | 1832 | 44 |
| 1988 | 2853 | 2216 | 50 |
| 1989 | 1821 | 2744 | 54 |
| 1990 | 1677 | 2261 | 49 |
| 1991 | 1657 | 2197 | 50 |
| 1992 | 1256 | 2692 | 54 |
| 1993 | 857 | 2719 | 54 |
| 1994 | 704 | 2850 | 54 |
| 1995 | 876 | 2093 | 48 |
| 1996 | 1153 | 2564 | 52 |
| 1997 | 1043 | 3560 | 60 |
| 1998 | 583 | 5113 | 68 |
| 1999 | 290 | 6674 | 71 |
| 2000 | 190 | 6885 | 72 |
| 2001 | 127 | 6189 | 64 |
| 2002 | 381 | 2766 | 50 |
| 2003 | 784 | 2907 | 54 |
| 2004 | 809 | 3456 | 61 |
| 2005 | 856 | 4259 | 63 |
| 2006 | 923 | 3211 | 58 |
| 2007 | 553 | 4251 | 62 |
| 2008 | 540 | 4327 | 63 |
| 2009 | 492 | 4630 | 64 |
| 2010 | 288 | 5569 | 71 |
| 2011 | 249 | 4252 | 62 |
| 2012 | 244 | 4711 | 65 |
| 2013 | 269 | 4929 | 66 |
| 2014 | 289 | 5630 | 70 |
| 2015 | 307 | 4902 | 66 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3.5 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius). Divisions 8c and 9a.
Abundance indices from Spanish and Portuguese surveys.

| Year | SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |  |  |  |  | PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September-October (total area Miño-Bidasoa) |  |  |  |  | October |  |  |
|  | Hauls | $\mathrm{kg} / 30$ min |  | n º/30 min |  | Hauls | $\mathrm{kg} / 60 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{n}$ \%/60 min |  |
|  |  | Yst | se | Yst | se |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 145 | 2.03 | 0.29 | 3.50 | 0.46 | 117 | n/a | n/a |
| 1984 | 111 | 2.60 | 0.47 | 2.90 | 0.55 | na | n/a | n/a |
| 1985 | 97 | 1.33 | 0.36 | 1.90 | 0.26 | 150 | n/a | n/a |
| 1986 | 92 | 4.28 | 0.80 | 10.70 | 1.40 | 117 | n/a | n/a |
| 1987 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | 81 | n/a | n/a |
| 1988 | 101 | 3.33 | 0.70 | 1.50 | 0.25 | 98 | n/a | n/a |
| 1989 | 91 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 2.40 | 0.30 | 138 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| 1990 | 120 | 1.19 | 0.22 | 1.20 | 0.22 | 123 | 0.46 | 0.05 |
| 1991 | 107 | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 99 | + | + |
| 1992 | 116 | 0.76 | 0.15 | 1.18 | 0.16 | 59 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| 1993 | 109 | 0.88 | 0.16 | 1.20 | 0.14 | 65 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| 1994 | 118 | 1.66 | 0.62 | 3.70 | 0.49 | 94 | + | 0.02 |
| 1995 | 116 | 2.19 | 0.32 | 5.70 | 0.69 | 88 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 1996* | 114 | 1.54 | 0.26 | 1.40 | 0.16 | 71 | 0.27 | 0.18 |
| 1997 | 116 | 1.69 | 0.39 | 0.67 | 0.11 | 58 | 0.49 | 0.03 |
| 1998 | 114 | 1.40 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 96 | + | + |
| 1999* | 116 | 0.75 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 79 | + | + |
| 2000 | 113 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.88 | 0.18 | 78 | + | + |
| 2001 | 113 | 1.09 | 0.24 | 2.88 | 0.28 | 58 | + | + |
| 2002 | 110 | 1.34 | 0.21 | 2.76 | 0.29 | 67 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| 2003* | 112 | 1.67 | 0.40 | 1.41 | 0.16 | 80 | 0.29 | 0.15 |
| 2004* | 114 | 2.09 | 0.32 | 2.71 | 0.32 | 79 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| 2005 | 116 | 3.05 | 0.54 | 2.04 | 0.19 | 87 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| 2006 | 115 | 1.88 | 0.40 | 2.86 | 0.30 | 88 | + | + |
| 2007 | 117 | 1.65 | 0.25 | 2.56 | 0.25 | 96 | + | + |
| 2008 | 115 | 1.85 | 0.37 | 1.96 | 0.35 | 87 | + | + |
| 2009 | 117 | 1.07 | 0.17 | 1.91 | 0.17 | 93 | + | + |
| 2010 | 114 | 1.29 | 0.25 | 1.95 | 0.28 | 87 | + | + |
| 2011 | 114 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 1.09 | 0.18 | 86 | + | + |
| 2012 | 115 | 1.11 | 0.27 | 1.06 | 0.14 | ns | ns | ns |
| 2013** | 114 | 2.09 | 0.64 | 2.30 | 0.30 | 93 | 0.34 | 0.02 |
| 2014** | 116 | 1.57 | 0.36 | 1.24 | 0.17 | 81 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2015** | 114 | 1.14 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

se = standard error
ns $=$ no survey
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ not available
$+=$ less than 0.01

* For Portuguese Surveys - R/V Capricornio, other years R/V Noruega
**For Spanish Surveys - R/V Miguel Oliver, other years R/V Coornide de Saavedra

Table 4.3.6
ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius ) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Landings, fishing effort and landings per unit effort for trawl and gillnet fleets.
For landings the percentage relative to total annual stock landings is given.

|  | SP-AVITR8C |  |  |  | SP-SANTR8C |  |  |  | STAND-SP-CEDGNS8C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | LANDING S | $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FORT } \\ & \left.s^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right. \end{gathered}$ | LANDING S |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FORT } \\ & ; * 100 \mathrm{hp}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right. \end{gathered}$ | LANDINGS |  | EFFORT (soaking days) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \text { (kg/soaking } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1986 | 500 | 7 | 10845 | 46.1 | 516 | 8 | 18153 | 28.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 500 | 10 | 8309 | 60.2 | 529 | 10 | 14995 | 35.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 401 | 6 | 9047 | 44.3 | 387 | 6 | 16660 | 23.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 214 | 4 | 8063 | 26.5 | 305 | 6 | 17607 | 17.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 260 | 7 | 8497 | 30.6 | 278 | 7 | 20469 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 245 | 7 | 7681 | 31.9 | 281 | 8 | 22391 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 198 | 6 | -- | - | 222 | 7 | 22833 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 76 | 3 | 7635 | 9.9 | 186 | 8 | 21370 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 116 | 6 | 9620 | 12.0 | 188 | 9 | 22772 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 192 | 10 | 6146 | 31.2 | 186 | 10 | 14046 | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 322 | 11 | 4525 | 71.1 | 270 | 9 | 12071 | 22.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 345 | 9 | 5061 | 68.1 | 381 | 10 | 11776 | 32.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 286 | 10 | 5929 | 48.3 | 316 | 11 | 10646 | 29.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 108 | 6 | 6829 | 15.8 | 182 | 9 | 10349 | 17.6 | 342 | 18 | 4582 | 74.5 |
| 2000 | 28 | 2 | 4453 | 6.3 | 75 | 6 | 8779 | 8.6 | 140 | 11 | 2981 | 46.8 |
| 2001 | 23 | 3 | 1838 | 12.5 | 54 | 7 | 3053 | 17.6 | 87 | 11 | 1932 | 44.8 |
| 2002 | 75 | 7 | 2748 | 27.5 | 57 | 6 | 3975 | 14.3 | 130 | 13 | 2398 | 54.3 |
| 2003 | 111 | 5 | 2526 | 44.0 | 85 | 4 | 3837 | 22.1 | 159 | 7 | 2703 | 59.0 |
| 2004 | 216 | 7 | -- | -- | 106 | 3 | 3776 | 28.1 | 382 | 12 | 4677 | 81.6 |
| 2005 | 278 | 8 | -- | -- | 59 | 2 | 1404 | 41.9 | 434 | 12 | 3325 | 130.4 |
| 2006 | 148 | 5 | -- | -- | 89 | 3 | 2718 | 32.7 | 415 | 14 | 3911 | 106.2 |
| 2007 | 101 | 4 | -- | -- | 103 | 4 | 4334 | 23.8 | 233 | 10 | 3976 | 58.6 |
| 2008 | 99 | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 228 | 10 | 5133 | 44.3 |
| 2009 | 69 | 3 | -- | -- | 35 | 2 | 1125 | 31.3 | 183 | 8 | 2300 | 79.5 |
| 2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 44 | 3 | 1628 | 27.1 | 231 | 15 | 1880 | 122.7 |
| 2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 44 | 4 | -- | -- | 60 | 6 | 522 | 115.9 |
| 2012 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 22 | 2 | - | -- | 63 | 5 | -- | -- |


|  | SP-CORTR8C-PORT |  |  |  | SP-CORTR8C-TRUCKS |  |  |  | SP-CORTR8C-FLEET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | LANDING S |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}{ }^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right. \end{gathered}$ | LANDING S |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right. \end{gathered}$ | LANDINGS | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1982 | 1618 | 28 | 63313 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 1618 | 28 | 63313 | 25.6 |
| 1983 | 1490 | 24 | 51008 | 29 |  |  |  |  | 1490 | 24 | 51008 | 29.2 |
| 1984 | 1560 | 26 | 48665 | 32 |  |  |  |  | 1560 | 26 | 48665 | 32.1 |
| 1985 | 1134 | 18 | 45157 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 1134 | 18 | 45157 | 25.1 |
| 1986 | 825 | 12 | 40420 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 825 | 12 | 40420 | 20.4 |
| 1987 | 618 | 12 | 34651 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 618 | 12 | 34651 | 17.8 |
| 1988 | 656 | 10 | 41481 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 656 | 10 | 41481 | 15.8 |
| 1989 | 508 | 10 | 44410 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 508 | 10 | 44410 | 11.4 |
| 1990 | 550 | 15 | 44403 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 550 | 15 | 44403 | 12.4 |
| 1991 | 491 | 13 | 40429 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 491 | 13 | 40429 | 12.1 |
| 1992 | 432 | 13 | 38899 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 432 | 13 | 38899 | 11.1 |
| 1993 | 385 | 17 | 44478 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 385 | 17 | 44478 | 8.7 |
| 1994 | 245 | 12 | 39602 |  | 63 | 3 | 12795 | 5 | 309 | 15 | 52397 | 5.9 |
| 1995 | 260 | 14 | 41476 | 6 | 57 | 3 | 10232 | 6 | 316 | 17 | 51708 | 6.1 |
| 1996 | 413 | 14 | 35709 | 12 | 83 | 3 | 8791 | 9 | 496 | 17 | 44501 | 11.2 |
| 1997 | 411 | 11 | 35494 | 12 | 59 | 2 | 9108 | 6 | 470 | 13 | 44602 | 10.5 |
| 1998 | 138 | 5 | 29508 | 5 | 30 | 1 | -- | -- | 168 | 6 | -- | -- |
| 1999 | 168 | 9 | 30131 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2000 | 85 | 7 | 30079 | , | 2 | 0 | -- | -- | 88 | 7 | -- | -- |
| 2001 | 84 | 11 | 29935 | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2002 | 130 | 13 | 21948 |  | 61 | 6 | 6747 | 9 | 191 | 19 | 28695 | 6.7 |
| 2003 | 228 | 10 | 18519 | 12 | 115 | 5 | 7608 | 15 | 342 | 15 | 26127 | 13.1 |
| 2004 | 277 | 9 | 19198 | 14 | 162 | 5 | 10342 | 16 | 439 | 14 | 29540 | 14.9 |
| 2005 | 391 | 11 | 20663 | 19 | 248 | 7 | 10302 | 24 | 639 | 18 | 30965 | 20.6 |
| 2006 | 242 | 8 | 19264 | 13 | 273 | 9 | 12866 | 21 | 515 | 17 | 32130 | 16.0 |
| 2007 | 222 | 9 | 21651 | 10 | 233 | 10 | 13187 | 18 | 455 | 19 | 34838 | 13.1 |
| 2008 | 274 | 12 | 20212 | 14 | 153 | 7 | 9812 | 16 | 428 | 18 | 30024 | 14.2 |
| 2009 | 165 | - | 16152 | 10 | 152 | 7 | 12930 | 12 | 317 | 14 | 29092 | 10.9 |
| 2010 | 129 | - | 16680 |  | 70 | 5 | 9003 | 8 | 165 | 11 | 22746 | 7.3 |
| 2011 | 92 | 8 | 12835 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 146 | 13 | 18617 | 7.9 |
| 2012 | 132 | 10 | 14446 | 9 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 142 | 10 | 21110 | 6.7 |
| 2013 | 122 | 8 | 14736 | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |  |
| 2014 | 114 | 6 | 18060 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 2015 | 88 | 5 | 13309 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |


|  | PT-CRUST |  |  |  |  |  | PT-FISH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | LANDING S |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (1000 hours) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFORT } \\ & \text { (1000 hauls) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \text { hour }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{haul}) \end{gathered}$ | LANDINGS | $\%$ | EFFORT (1000 hours) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFORT } \\ & \text { (1000 hauls) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { LPUE } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \text { hour }) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | LPUE (kg/haul) |
| 1989 | 85 | 2 | 76 | 23 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 175 | 3 | 52 | 18 | 3.3 | 9.9 |
| 1990 | 106 | 3 | 90 | 20 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 219 | 6 | 61 | 17 | 3.6 | 12.8 |
| 1991 | 73 | 2 | 83 | 17 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 151 | 4 | 57 | 15 | 2.6 | 9.8 |
| 1992 | 25 | 1 | 71 | 15 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 51 | 2 | 49 | 14 | 1.0 | 3.7 |
| 1993 | 36 | 2 | 75 | 13 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 75 | 3 | 56 | 13 | 1.3 | 5.7 |
| 1994 | 23 | 1 | 41 | 8 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 47 | 2 | 36 | 10 | 1.3 | 4.9 |
| 1995 | 22 | 1 | 38 | 8 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 45 | 2 | 41 | 9 | 1.1 | 4.9 |
| 1996 | 45 | 2 | 64 | 14 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 88 | 3 | 54 | 12 | 1.6 | 7.1 |
| 1997 | 51 | 1 | 43 | 11 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 59 | 2 | 27 | 9 | 2.2 | 6.7 |
| 1998 | 11 | <1 | 48 | 11 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 17 | 1 | 35 | 10 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| 1999 |  | <1 | 24 | 8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6 | <1 | 18 | 6 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| 2000 |  | <1 | 42 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2 | <1 | 19 | 6 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| 2001 | 9 | 1 | 85 | 18 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 7 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| 2002 | 18 | 2 | 62 | 10 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| 2003 | 13 | 1 | 42 | 10 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 6 | 0.9 | 2.8 |
| 2004 |  | <1 | 21 | 7 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 14 | <1 | 14 | 4 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| 2005 | 12 | <1 | 20 | 5 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 17 | <1 | 13 | 4 | 1.3 | 4.7 |
| 2006 | 13 | <1 | 22 | 5 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 1.3 | 4.2 |
| 2007 |  | <1 | 22 | 6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 6 | <1 | 8 | 3 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| 2008 | 6 | <1 | 14 | 4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 5 | <1 | 5 | 2 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
| 2009 |  | <1 | 15 | -- | 0.3 | -- | 5 | <1 | 6 | -- | 0.7 | -- |
| 2010 |  | <1 | 21 | -- | 0.0 | -- | 1 | <1 | 14 | -- | 0.1 | -- |
| 2011 | 24 | 2 | 18 | -- | 1.3 | -- | 22 | 2 | 9 | -- | 2.4 | -- |
| 2012 |  | <1 | 36 | -- | 0.1 | -- | 3 | <1 | 27 | -- | 0.1 | -- |
| 2013 |  | <1 | 27 | -- | 0.3 | -- | 7 | <1 | 12 | -- | 0.6 | -- |
| 2014 | 16 | <1 | 32 | -- | 0.5 | -- | 14 | <1 | 22 | -- | 0.7 | -- |
| 2015 | 18 | 1 | 17 | -- | 1.1 | -- | 16 | 1 | 14 | -- | 1.2 | -- |

Table 4.3.7 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius ) - Division 8c and 9a.
Summary of the assessment results.

| Year | Recruit Age0 <br> (thousands) | Total Biomass <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Total SSB <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Landings <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Yield/SSB | F <br> $(30-130 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 425 | 13554 | 7566 | 4817 | 0.64 | 0.32 |
| 1981 | 1676 | 15216 | 9923 | 5566 | 0.56 | 0.33 |
| 1982 | 6733 | 14658 | 11200 | 5782 | 0.52 | 0.37 |
| 1983 | 2934 | 13671 | 10193 | 6113 | 0.60 | 0.51 |
| 1984 | 797 | 13578 | 8445 | 6031 | 0.71 | 0.54 |
| 1985 | 1695 | 12903 | 8223 | 6139 | 0.75 | 0.55 |
| 1986 | 5993 | 10829 | 7765 | 6870 | 0.88 | 0.83 |
| 1987 | 4061 | 7456 | 4863 | 5139 | 1.06 | 0.96 |
| 1988 | 1631 | 7384 | 3291 | 6321 | 1.92 | 1.48 |
| 1989 | 3002 | 5772 | 2485 | 4995 | 2.01 | 1.22 |
| 1990 | 2399 | 4752 | 2257 | 3790 | 1.68 | 0.89 |
| 1991 | 921 | 4661 | 2117 | 3640 | 1.72 | 0.88 |
| 1992 | 1169 | 4414 | 2103 | 3382 | 1.61 | 0.92 |
| 1993 | 1391 | 3526 | 1902 | 2329 | 1.22 | 0.69 |
| 1994 | 2890 | 3354 | 1851 | 2007 | 1.08 | 0.60 |
| 1995 | 2165 | 3895 | 1932 | 1835 | 0.95 | 0.39 |
| 1996 | 451 | 5763 | 2756 | 2956 | 1.07 | 0.43 |
| 1997 | 208 | 6877 | 3823 | 3715 | 0.97 | 0.48 |
| 1998 | 181 | 6327 | 4330 | 2981 | 0.69 | 0.39 |
| 1999 | 481 | 5402 | 4288 | 1939 | 0.45 | 0.30 |
| 2000 | 570 | 4734 | 4010 | 1256 | 0.31 | 0.25 |
| 2001 | 3164 | 4470 | 3722 | 788 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| 2002 | 1590 | 5191 | 3807 | 1034 | 0.27 | 0.20 |
| 2003 | 397 | 7269 | 4392 | 2279 | 0.52 | 0.31 |
| 2004 | 1747 | 8696 | 5533 | 3156 | 0.57 | 0.33 |
| 2005 | 1129 | 9009 | 6531 | 3646 | 0.56 | 0.38 |
| 2006 | 1364 | 8532 | 6327 | 2932 | 0.46 | 0.37 |
| 2007 | 587 | 8173 | 6006 | 2349 | 0.39 | 0.31 |
| 2008 | 516 | 8319 | 6169 | 2338 | 0.38 | 0.29 |
| 2009 | 725 | 8234 | 6419 | 2280 | 0.36 | 0.29 |
| 2010 | 1034 | 7807 | 6376 | 1548 | 0.24 | 0.21 |
| 2011 | 1038 | 7948 | 6488 | 1140 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| 2012 | 457 | 8680 | 6919 | 1382 | 0.20 | 0.18 |
| 2013 | 640 | 9355 | 7428 | 1516 | 0.20 | 0.18 |
| 2014 | 1181 | 9772 | 8015 | 2002 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| 2015 | 178 | 9596 | 8008 | 1748 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3.8 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Catch option table.

| SSB(2016) | Rec proj | $F(30-130 \mathrm{~cm})$ | Land(2016) | SSB(2017) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 7941 | 1084 | 0.21 | 1623 | 7984 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Fmult | Fland |  |  |  |
|  | $(30-130 \mathrm{~cm})$ | Landings(2017) | SSB(2018) |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 9638 |  |
| 0.1 | 0.02 | 179 | 9453 |  |
| 0.2 | 0.04 | 354 | 9272 |  |
| 0.3 | 0.06 | 525 | 9096 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.08 | 692 | 8923 |  |
| 0.5 | 0.1 | 855 | 8754 |  |
| 0.6 | 0.12 | 1014 | 8589 |  |
| 0.7 | 0.15 | 1170 | 8428 |  |
| 0.8 | 0.17 | 1322 | 8270 |  |
| 0.9 | 0.19 | 1471 | 8115 |  |
| 1 | 0.21 | 1616 | 7965 |  |
| 1.1 | 0.23 | 1758 | 7817 |  |
| 1.2 | 0.25 | 1897 | 7673 |  |
| 1.3 | 0.27 | 2033 | 7532 |  |
| 1.4 | 0.29 | 2165 | 7394 |  |
| 1.5 | 0.31 | 2295 | 7259 |  |
| 1.6 | 0.33 | 2422 | 7126 |  |
| 1.7 | 0.35 | 2546 | 6997 |  |
| 1.8 | 0.37 | 2667 | 6871 |  |
| 1.9 | 0.4 | 2785 | 6747 |  |
| 2 | 0.42 | 2901 | 6626 |  |



Figure 4.3.1. ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Length distributions of landings (thousands for 1986 to 2015


Figure 4.3.2 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Abundance index from survey SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 in numbers $/ 30 \mathrm{~min}$. Bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

## Lophius piscatorius <br> $$
1-20 \mathrm{~cm}
$$



Figure 4.3.3. ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Spatial distribution of juveniles (length $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in North Spanish Coast demersal survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) between 2006 and 2015


Figure 4.3.4ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a Trawl and gillnet landings, effort and LPUE data between 1986-2015


Figure 4.3.5 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Residuals of the fits to the surveys in $\log (a b u n d a n c e ~ i n d i c e s)$. A Coruña and Cedeira are by quarters


Figure 4.3.6 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Pearson residuals of the fit to the length distributions of the abundance indices. Blue=positive residuals and red=negative residuals


Figure 4.3.6 (continued)


Figure 4.3.7 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Relative selection patterns at length by fishery estimated by SS3


Figure 4.3.8 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Relative selection patterns at length by abundance index estimated by SS3. A Coruña and Cedeira indices are by quarter.


Figure 4.3.9 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Summary plots of stock trends


Figure 4.3.10 ANGLERFISH (L. piscatorius) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Retrospective plots from SS3

### 4.4 Anglerfish (Lophius budegassa) in Divisions 8c and 9a

### 4.4.1 General

### 4.4.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

Biological/ecosystem aspects are common with L. piscatorius and are described in the Stock Annex.

### 4.4.2 Fishery description

L. budegassa is caught by Spanish and Portuguese bottom trawlers and gillnet fisheries. As L. piscatorius, L. budegassa is an important target species for the artisanal fleet, while it is a by catch for the trawl fleet targeting hake or crustaceans (see Stock Annex).

The length distribution of the landings is considerably different between both fisheries, with the gillnet landings showing higher mean lengths compared to the trawl landings. Since 2006, the Spanish landings were on average split $72 \%$ from the trawl fleet (mean lengths in 2015 of 41 cm in both Divisions 8.c and 9.a), $22 \%$ from the gillnet fleet (mean length of 52 cm in 2015 in Division 8.c) and $6 \%$ from others fleets. Portuguese landings, for the same period, were on average split, $32 \%$ from the trawl fleet (mean length of 47 cm in 2015) and $68 \%$ from the artisanal fleet (mean length of 52 cm in 2015).

### 4.4.3 Data

### 4.4.3.1 Commercial catches and discards

Total landings of L. budegassa by country and gear for the period 1978-2015, as estimated by the Working Group, are given in Table 4.4.1. See historical landings analysis in the Stock Annex. Unallocated landings for this stock were available from 2011 to 2015. The unallocated values were considered realistic and are taken into account for the assessment. From 2002 to 2007 landings increased to 1301 t , decreasing afterwards to levels between 770-784 t in 2009-2010. Since 2010 catches fluctuated between 945 t and 1139 t .

Spanish trawl discards estimates of $L$. budegassa in weight and associated coefficient of variation (CV) are shown in Table 4.4.2. The estimated Spanish discards rate observed from 1994-2015, shows two peaks, in 2006 (92 t) and 2010 ( 61 t). The coefficient of variation for weight data varied from $24-99 \%$.
Sampling effort and percentage of occurrence of L. budegassa discards in the trawl Portuguese fisheries were presented for the 2004-2013 period (Prista et al. 2014 - WD3 WGBIE 2014). The maximum occurrence of discards in the trawl fleet targeting fish was $2 \%$ (sampling effort varies between 50 and 194 hauls per year). The maximum occurrence of discards in the trawl fleet targeting crustaceans was $8 \%$ (sampling effort varies between 28 and 111 hauls per year). Due to the low frequency of discards, it is not possible to apply to anglerfish, the algorithm used in the WD for hake, at that moment discards estimates have not been calculated. The same situation was observed in 2014 and 2015.

Partial information on the Spanish and Portuguese discards was available and the WG concluded that discards could be considered negligible.

### 4.4.3.2 Biological sampling

The procedure for sampling of this species is the same as for L. piscatorius (see Stock Annex).

The sampling levels for 2015 are shown in Table 1.3. The métier sampling adopted in Spain and Portugal in 2015, following the requirement of EU Data Collection Framework, can have an effect on the provided data. Spanish sampling levels are similar to previous years but an important reduction of Portuguese sampling levels was observed in 2009-2011, since 2012 Portugal increased the sampling effort.

## Length composition

Table 4.4.3 gives the annual length compositions by ICES division, country and gear and the adjusted length composition for total stock landings (excluding unallocated landings, length composition are not used in the actual assessment of L. budegassa) for 2015. The annual length compositions between 1986 and 2015 are presented in Figure 4.4.1.

In 2002 an increase of smaller individuals is apparent (around $30-35 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), that is confirmed in the 2003 length distribution. In 2006 and 2007 there was an increase in the number of smaller individuals which was confirmed by the lowest annual mean lengths ( 37 and 39 cm ) observed since 1986. From 2008 to 2013 these small fish were not observed, in 2014 a small mode was observed at smaller lengths decreasing the annual mean length, but in 2015 there are much lower levels of small fish in the sampled catches. The total annual landings in numbers and the annual mean length and mean weight are in Table 4.4.4.

In 2005 the total number of landed individuals was low, being $9 \%$ of the maximum value (year 1987). In 2006 and 2007 the number of landed fish more than doubled the 2005 number. The number of landed fish decreased to a minimum in 2009. In 2010 and 2011 the number increased, but since then have been decreasing being in recent years at minimum levels. The mean weight continued at relative high levels.

### 4.4.3.3 Abundance indices from surveys

Spanish and Portuguese survey results for the period 1983-2015 are summarized in Table 4.4.5.and Figure 4.4.2. The Portuguese survey was not performed in 2012. Considering the very small amount of caught anglerfish in the two surveys, these indices were not considered to reflect the change in the abundance of this species.

Nevertheless the absence of $L$ budegassa in the Portuguese surveys and the near zero numbers of $L$. budegassa less than 21 cm in the Spanish surveys in the last two years (2014-2015) suggests a lack of recruitment.

### 4.4.3.4 Commercial catch-effort data

Landings, effort and LPUE data are given in Table 4.4.6 and Figure 4.4.3 for Spanish trawlers from ports of Santander, Avilés and A Coruña (all in Division 8.c) since 1986 and for Portuguese trawlers (Division 9.a) since 1989. For each fleet the proportion related to the total landings is also given in the table.

Since 2013 Spain only provided information for A Coruña port series. Effort data in 2013 for this tuning fleet was calculated using the information from electronic logbooks and following different criteria than those established for previous years. In order to check the consistency of the Spanish time-series a backward revision of the time-series
should be realized to compare the different methods of estimating and sources of information employed.

Three LPUE series were presented in the past for the A Coruña fleet: "A Coruña port" for trips that are exclusively landed in the port, "A Coruña trucks" for trips that are landed in other ports and "A Coruña fleet" that takes into account all the trips of the fleet. The LPUE series used in the assessment (A Coruña fleet) was not updated for 2013-2015. The new revision was carried out only for the A Coruna port series, it was not possible during the WG to analyse the potentiality of using this series for the assessment instead of the incomplete A Coruña fleet series.

For the Portuguese fleets, until 2011 most logbooks were filled in paper but have thereafter been progressively replaced by e-logbooks. Since 2013 more than $90 \%$ of the logbooks are being completed in the electronic version. The LPUE series were revised from 2012 onwards. To revise the series backwards further refinement of the algorithms is required.

Excluding the Avilés and Santander fleets, from the late eighties to mid-nineties the overall trend in landings for all fleets was decreasing. A slight increase was observed from 1995 to 1998 in all fleets. The A Coruña trawler fleet showed in 2002 the most important drop in landings and in relative proportion of total landings. The lowest observed landings for both trawlers and gillnets was in 2009. From 2009 onwards an increasing trend was observed, especially for the Portuguese fleets.

Effort trends are analysed in section 4.3.2.4.
LPUEs of Spanish Aviles and Santander fleets show high values during the second half of the 90 's, while the Portuguese fleets have fluctuated. Despite the variability, from 2000 to 2005, a decreasing trend was observed for all fleets and since then a slightly increasing trend can be observed. From 2010-2012 an increase in catches rates were observed especially in the Portuguese fleets. After a decrease in the LPUEs of both Portuguese groundfish trawl fleets, LPUEs increased in 2015 being at their high or highest levels of the series.

### 4.4.4 Assessment

In WKFLAT2012 the assessment of the status of each anglerfish species was carried out separately, the white anglerfish based on SS3 model and the black anglerfish based on ASPIC (Prager, 1994; Prager, 2004). This year an update of that assessment was carried out.

### 4.4.4.1 Input data

At the WKFLAT2012 it was accepted, as the basis for advice, to run the ASPIC model with the following dataseries. Except for the Spanish fleet 'A Coruña', all series were updated till 2015 for this assessment:

- Spanish fleet 'A Coruña': the longest of the potential tuning series and represents the bulk of the fishery (SPCORTR8c: 1982-2012).
- Portuguese Trawler fleet directing to crustaceans (PT.crust.tr: 1989-2015).
- Portuguese Trawler fleet directing to groundfish (PT.fish.tr: 1989-2015).

The input data are presented in Table 4.4.7.

### 4.4.4.2 Model

The ASPIC (version 5.34.8) model (which implements the Schaeffer population growth model) was used for the WKFLAT 2012 assessment. Runs were performed conditioning on yield rather than on effort. The model options, the starting estimates and the minimum and maximum constraints of each parameter are indicated in the input file (Table 4.4.7).

### 4.4.4.3 Assessment results

During the WGHMM 2013, using the Stock Annex/WKFLAT2012 settings, with the inclusion of the new 2011 and 2012 data, the fit of the ASPIC model gets worse than the one performed at the benchmark. The model continued to show strong sensitivity to the starting guess settings ( $B 1 / K, M S Y, K$, seed and $q$ 's) leading to different levels of $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{Bmsy}_{\text {an }} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {mSY, }}$ nevertheless it keeps the trends in the relative biomass and fishing mortality.

It was suggested, by the ADGBBI (June 2013), that until the next benchmark the WG should explore the sensitivity of $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ and $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ (like retrospective pattern) by keeping the $B 1 / K$ fixed (e.g. at the current value or based on some expert judgment about the state of the stock in the beginning of the time-series). Following this suggestion in the WGBIE 2014 the $B 1 / K$ was fixed at 0.6 . Fixing $B 1 / K$ the model became stable and is no more sensitivity to the starting guess settings of MSY, K and seed. This value seems reasonable but doesn't have a strong scientific basis, it was also the value agreed in the benchmark for the starting guess.

The correlation coefficient between input fleets is acceptable but the $r$ square between observed and fitted cpue values are low (assessment results were uploaded in the ICES SharePoint in the Data folder). Point estimates and bias-corrected bootstrap confidence intervals for parameters are presented in Table 4.4.8, whereas Figure 4.4.4 plots observed and estimated cpues for each of the series used in the model. $\mathrm{B}_{2016} / \mathrm{Bmsy}_{\mathrm{ms}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2015} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ have respectively $0.98 \%$ and $0.33 \%$ of bias and both have more than $15 \%$ relative inter-quartile ranges. Biomass in 2016 is estimated to be $111 \%$ of Bmsy with $90 \%$ bias-corrected confidence interval between $89 \%$ and $130 \%$. Fishing mortality in 2015 is estimated to be 0.52 times Fmsy with $^{90}$ \% bias-corrected confidence interval between 0.42 and 0.67 times FMSY. MSY is estimated to be 1856 t with $90 \%$ CI from 1718 t to 1963 t.

Trends in relative biomass (Figure 4.4.5) indicate a steady decrease since the beginning of the series till 2001, since then a slight recovery was observed, been in 2016 at 111\% of Bmsy. Fishing mortality remained at high levels between late eighties and late nineties, dropping after that. In 2015, fishing mortality is estimated to be below Fmsy.

Comparison between the update assessments since the 2012 benchmark are showed in Table 4.4.9 and Figure 4.4.6. Fixing B1/K at 0.60 don't change the trend of the previous assessments and the 2014-2016 results are in the middle of the previous assessments.

A retrospective analysis was done taking one year each time to the accepted assessment (Figure 4.4.7). Despite some retrospective pattern (downwards for $F$ and upwards for B) in all series the model shows good stability.

A retrospective analysis based on 7 peel years was also carried out to estimate the Mohn's Rho index for fishing mortality. The estimated Rho=-0.22 indicates that fishing mortality is overestimated by $22 \%$.

### 4.4.5 Projections

Projections were performed based on the "benchmark settings" with B1/K fixed at 0.60 ASPIC estimates. The projected B/Вмяу and yield are presented in Table 8.4.10, where each column corresponds to a fishing mortality scenario. Projections were performed for F status quo (assumed as the average of the last 3 years - F 2013-2015), Fmsy and with zero catches. A set of projections were performed with the necessary F to obtain 2017 yield for both anglerfish species combined corresponding to the 2016 TAC (2569 t) and $+/-15 \% 2015$ TAC. New projections were done not specified in the stock annex which took in to account the new Reference Points (see table below) for L.budegassa. A set of projections were also done using the F multipliers used in the projections of L. piscatorius.

For L. budegassa, fishing mortality equal to F status quo in 2017 is expected to keep the stock above Bmsy in 2018. The biomass is expected to increase in the near future under all fishing mortality scenarios with the exception of projections based on high values of F such as Flim or the Fs that bring biomass to levels of MSY B trigger or Blim (Table 4.4.10).

### 4.4.6 Biological Reference Points

WKFLAT (ICES, 2012) endorsed the basis for MSY reference points previously assumed by ICES (i.e. Fmsy based on the ASPIC output and a proxy for MSY Btrigger as $50 \%$ of BMsY of the ASPIC output). WKMSYRef4 / ICES (2016a) approved new reference points as described in the following table.

| FRAMEWORK | REFERENCE POINT | VALUE | TECHNICAL BASIS | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY <br> approach | MSY Btrigger | 50\% BMSY | BMSY is implicitly estimated from the surplus production model. Biomass values are expressed relative to В Ms . | (ICES, 2012) |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | Relative value. | Implicit, estimated from the surplus production model. Fishing mortality values are expressed relative to Fmsy. | (ICES, 2012) |
|  | Fmsy range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (0.78 FMSY, } \\ & \text { FiSY }_{\text {M }} \end{aligned}$ | Implicit, estimated from the surplus production model. Fishing mortality values are expressed relative to Fmsy. | (ICES, 2016a) |
| Precautionary approach | Blim | 30\% BMSY | BMSY is implicitly estimated from the surplus production model. Biomass values are expressed relative to $B_{\text {Msy. }}$ | (ICES, 2016b) |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | Not defined |  |  |
|  | Flim | 1.70 FMSY | Implicit, estimated from the surplus production model. Fishing mortality values are expressed relative to Fmsy. | (ICES, 2016b) |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | Not defined |  |  |
| Management plan | SSBmgt | Not defined |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{FmgT}^{\text {m }}$ | Not defined |  |  |

### 4.4.7 Comments on the assessment

From previous sensitivity analyses (ICES, 2014; 2015) fixing B1/K the model became stable and is no more sensitivity to the starting guess settings. The B1/K was fixed at 0.6 , this was the value agreed at the benchmark for the starting value. This value is reasonable as it is thought that the fishery started late 70 's early 80 's, but there is no strong scientific basis.

During the benchmark (WKFLAT 2012) the same model (SS3) applied to the white anglerfish was tested for the black anglerfish with some promising results but need to be tested more carefully before its application. SS3 is a length-based model so the length sampling is key information for this stock. A benchmark for this stock was considered during the WG (see section 1 ).

### 4.4.8 Quality considerations

Three LPUE series were presented in the past for the A Coruña fleet: "A Coruña port" for trips that are exclusively landed in the port, "A Coruña trucks" for trips that are landed in other ports and "A Coruña fleet" that takes into account all the trips of the fleet. The LPUE series used in the assessment (A Coruña fleet) was not update for 20132015. The new revision was carried out only for the A Coruña port series, it was not possible during the WG to analyse the potentiality of using this series for the assessment instead of the incomplete A Coruña fleet series.

For the Portuguese fleets, until 2011 most logbooks were filled in paper but have thereafter been progressively replaced by e-logbooks. Since 2013 more than $90 \%$ of the logbooks are being completed in the electronic version. The LPUE series were revised from 2012 onwards in 2015. To revise the series backwards further refinement of the algorithms is required.

### 4.4.9 Management considerations

Management considerations are in section 4.2.

Table 4.4.1. ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa ) - Divisions 8 c and 9 a .
Tonnes landed by the main fishing fleets for 1978-2015 as determined by the Working Group.

| Year | Div. 8c |  |  |  | Div. 9a |  |  |  |  |  | Div. 8c+9a |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPAIN |  |  | TOTAL | SPAIN |  |  | PORTUGAL |  | TOTAL | SUBTOTAL | Unallocated | TOTAL |
|  | Trawl | Gillnet | Others |  | Trawl | Gillnet | Others | Trawl | Artisanal |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | 248 |  |  | n/a | 107 | 355 | 355 |  | 355 |
| 1979 | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | 306 |  |  | n/a | 210 | 516 | 516 |  | 516 |
| 1980 | 1203 | 207 |  | 1409 | 385 |  |  | n/a | 315 | 700 | 2110 |  | 2110 |
| 1981 | 1159 | 309 |  | 1468 | 505 |  |  | n/a | 327 | 832 | 2300 |  | 2300 |
| 1982 | 827 | 413 |  | 1240 | 841 |  |  | n/a | 288 | 1129 | 2369 |  | 2369 |
| 1983 | 1064 | 188 |  | 1252 | 699 |  |  | n/a | 428 | 1127 | 2379 |  | 2379 |
| 1984 | 514 | 176 |  | 690 | 558 |  |  | 223 | 458 | 1239 | 1929 |  | 1929 |
| 1985 | 366 | 123 |  | 489 | 437 |  |  | 254 | 653 | 1344 | 1833 |  | 1833 |
| 1986 | 553 | 585 |  | 1138 | 379 |  |  | 200 | 847 | 1425 | 2563 |  | 2563 |
| 1987 | 1094 | 888 |  | 1982 | 813 |  |  | 232 | 804 | 1849 | 3832 |  | 3832 |
| 1988 | 1058 | 1010 |  | 2068 | 684 |  |  | 188 | 760 | 1632 | 3700 |  | 3700 |
| 1989 | 648 | 351 |  | 999 | 764 |  |  | 272 | 542 | 1579 | 2578 |  | 2578 |
| 1990 | 491 | 142 |  | 633 | 689 |  |  | 387 | 625 | 1701 | 2334 |  | 2334 |
| 1991 | 503 | 76 |  | 579 | 559 |  |  | 309 | 716 | 1584 | 2162 |  | 2162 |
| 1992 | 451 | 57 |  | 508 | 485 |  |  | 287 | 832 | 1603 | 2111 |  | 2111 |
| 1993 | 516 | 292 |  | 809 | 627 |  |  | 196 | 596 | 1418 | 2227 |  | 2227 |
| 1994 | 542 | 201 |  | 743 | 475 |  |  | 79 | 283 | 837 | 1580 |  | 1580 |
| 1995 | 924 | 104 |  | 1029 | 615 |  |  | 68 | 131 | 814 | 1843 |  | 1843 |
| 1996 | 840 | 105 |  | 945 | 342 |  |  | 133 | 210 | 684 | 1629 |  | 1629 |
| 1997 | 800 | 198 |  | 998 | 524 |  |  | 81 | 210 | 815 | 1813 |  | 1813 |
| 1998 | 748 | 148 |  | 896 | 681 |  |  | 181 | 332 | 1194 | 2089 |  | 2089 |
| 1999 | 565 | 127 |  | 692 | 671 |  |  | 110 | 406 | 1187 | 1879 |  | 1879 |
| 2000 | 441 | 73 |  | 514 | 377 |  |  | 142 | 336 | 855 | 1369 |  | 1369 |
| 2001 | 383 | 69 |  | 452 | 190 |  |  | 101 | 269 | 560 | 1013 |  | 1013 |
| 2002 | 173 | 74 |  | 248 | 234 |  |  | 75 | 213 | 522 | 770 |  | 770 |
| 2003 | 279 | 49 |  | 329 | 305 |  |  | 68 | 224 | 597 | 926 |  | 926 |
| 2004 | 250 | 120 |  | 370 | 285 |  |  | 50 | 267 | 603 | 973 |  | 973 |
| 2005 | 273 | 97 |  | 370 | 283 |  |  | 31 | 214 | 527 | 897 |  | 897 |
| 2006 | 323 | 124 |  | 447 | 541 |  |  | 39 | 121 | 701 | 1148 |  | 1148 |
| 2007 | 372 | 68 |  | 440 | 684 |  |  | 66 | 111 | 861 | 1301 |  | 1301 |
| 2008 | 386 | 70 |  | 456 | 336 |  |  | 40 | 119 | 495 | 951 |  | 951 |
| 2009 | 301 | 148 |  | 449 | 172 |  |  | 34 | 114 | 320 | 769 |  | 769 |
| 2010 | 352 | 81 |  | 432 | 197 |  |  | 70 | 84 | 351 | 784 |  | 784 |
| 2011 | 214 | 115 | 32 | 361 | 157 | 60 | 98 | 75 | 119 | 510 | 871 | 74 | 945 |
| 2012 | 161 | 83 | 22 | 265 | 109 | 40 | 90 | 156 | 370 | 765 | 1030 | 109 | 1139 |
| 2013 | 221 | 135 | 14 | 370 | 95 | 55 | 90 | 100 | 258 | 598 | 968 | 98 | 1066 |
| 2014 | 187 | 126 | 7 | 319 | 120 | 47 | 4 | 113 | 286 | 569 | 888 | 100 | 988 |
| 2015 | 233 | 141 | 1 | 375 | 103 | 62 | 2 | 126 | 222 | 515 | 890 | 152 | 1042 |

Table 4.4.2. ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Weight and percentage of discards for Spanish trawl and gillnet fleets.
trawl

| Year | Weight $(\mathrm{t})$ | CV | \% Trawl Catches | \% Total Catches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 6.1 | 24.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 1995 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 1996 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 1997 | 21.3 | 35.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| 1998 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 1999 | 19.7 | 43.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| 2000 | 8.7 | 35.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 2001 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2002 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2003 | 1.1 | 53.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2004 | 8.1 | 70.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| 2005 | 13.6 | 45.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| 2006 | 92.0 | 56.8 | 9.6 | 8.0 |
| 2007 | 0.3 | 98.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 1.9 | 59.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 2009 | 29.3 | 53.8 | 5.8 | 3.8 |
| 2010 | 61.2 | 63.2 | 10.0 | 7.8 |
| 2011 | 12.4 | 33.2 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| 2012 | 5.8 | 52.8 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 2013 | 22.3 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 6.6 | 2.1 |
| 2014 | 27.8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 8.3 | 2.8 |
| 2015 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.2 | 0.0 |

## GILLNETS

| Year | Weight (t) | CV | \% Gillnets Catches | \% Total Catches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 2015 | 0.4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.18 | 0.04 |

$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not available
CV : coefficient of variation

Table 4.4.3
ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Length composition by fleet for landings in 2015 (thousands).
Ajusted Total: Ajusted to landings from fleets without length composition.

$\qquad$ Length (cm) 0.000
0.000
0.575
0.638
0.404 0.404
1.774
1.902 4.088
4.107
4.739
$\begin{array}{ll}4.029 \\ 4.739 & 0.646 \\ 5.499 & 1.213\end{array}$
1.213
1.384
0.884
4.812

| 5.158 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6.193 | 1.2 | |  |
| :---: |
| SP |
| Tr |
| 0. |
| 0.000 |


| Div.9a |  |  |  | Div. 8c+9a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAIN |  | GAL |  |  | Adjusted |
| Trawl | Trawl | Artisanal | TOTAL | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.590 | 0.668 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.638 | 0.724 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.404 | 0.459 |
| 0.000 | 0.041 | 0.000 | 0.041 | 1.970 | 2.231 |
| 0.106 | 0.277 | 0.000 | 0.383 | 2.285 | 2.556 |
| 0.129 | 0.151 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 2.550 | 2.874 |
| 0.156 | 0.491 | 1.208 | 1.855 | 6.238 | 6.851 |
| 0.085 | 1.206 | 0.000 | 1.291 | 6.044 | 6.698 |
| 0.082 | 2.906 | 0.449 | 3.438 | 9.389 | 10.205 |
| 0.256 | 3.514 | 0.078 | 3.847 | 10.730 | 11.695 |
| 0.401 | 3.748 | 0.449 | 4.598 | 10.293 | 11.117 |
| 0.657 | 4.041 | 1.657 | 6.355 | 12.723 | 13.672 |
| 0.915 | 3.440 | 2.148 | 6.503 | 15.314 | 16.628 |
| 1.089 | 3.173 | 4.798 | 9.060 | 16.798 | 17.991 |
| 1.001 | 3.918 | 1.584 | 6.504 | 15.589 | 16.953 |
| 1.464 | 3.962 | 2.548 | 7.975 | 16.552 | 17.909 |
| 1.420 | 3.268 | 3.514 | 8.202 | 19.117 | 20.785 |
| 1.688 | 2.709 | 4.512 | 8.909 | 17.571 | 18.970 |
| 1.089 | 3.472 | 3.096 | 7.657 | 15.066 | 16.214 |
| 0.993 | 1.661 | 3.065 | 5.718 | 12.710 | 13.789 |
| 0.922 | 3.501 | 2.109 | 6.532 | 11.522 | 12.321 |
| 1.249 | 1.828 | 1.198 | 4.275 | 8.916 | 9.713 |
| 0.438 | 0.758 | 4.829 | 6.025 | 10.959 | 11.685 |
| 0.840 | 0.790 | 2.150 | 3.780 | 7.515 | 8.133 |
| 0.470 | 1.680 | 11.209 | 13.360 | 17.121 | 17.693 |
| 0.649 | 1.344 | 0.904 | 2.897 | 7.264 | 7.942 |
| 0.348 | 1.853 | 2.049 | 4.250 | 8.928 | 9.607 |
| 0.350 | 0.456 | 1.072 | 1.878 | 5.948 | 6.546 |
| 0.217 | 0.278 | 1.296 | 1.791 | 6.740 | 7.438 |
| 0.167 | 1.996 | 1.475 | 3.638 | 8.385 | 9.049 |
| 0.225 | 1.140 | 1.585 | 2.949 | 7.593 | 8.251 |
| 0.336 | 0.817 | 1.381 | 2.533 | 6.984 | 7.631 |
| 0.110 | 1.097 | 2.136 | 3.343 | 7.958 | 8.597 |
| 0.174 | 1.546 | 0.508 | 2.229 | 6.340 | 6.919 |
| 0.171 | 0.959 | 0.969 | 2.099 | 5.280 | 5.733 |
| 0.306 | 0.571 | 9.217 | 10.094 | 12.989 | 13.422 |
| 0.038 | 0.701 | 1.011 | 1.750 | 4.323 | 4.676 |
| 0.218 | 0.643 | 0.460 | 1.321 | 3.392 | 3.702 |
| 0.147 | 1.077 | 0.228 | 1.452 | 3.555 | 3.859 |
| 0.137 | 0.653 | 0.281 | 1.070 | 2.883 | 3.146 |
| 0.049 | 0.569 | 0.389 | 1.008 | 2.245 | 2.419 |
| 0.136 | 0.000 | 1.369 | 1.505 | 2.764 | 2.952 |
| 0.182 | 0.206 | 0.074 | 0.463 | 1.795 | 2.000 |
| 0.098 | 0.577 | 0.000 | 0.675 | 1.916 | 2.097 |
| 0.044 | 0.639 | 0.000 | 0.683 | 1.714 | 1.859 |
| 0.221 | 0.165 | 0.000 | 0.386 | 1.188 | 1.326 |
| 0.181 | 0.056 | 0.888 | 1.125 | 2.001 | 2.144 |
| 0.235 | 0.088 | 0.452 | 0.774 | 1.284 | 1.384 |
| 0.297 | 0.428 | 0.607 | 1.332 | 1.909 | 2.027 |
| 0.381 | 0.010 | 1.025 | 1.416 | 1.891 | 2.007 |
| 0.372 | 0.010 | 0.244 | 0.626 | 0.908 | 0.996 |
| 0.390 | 0.114 | 0.161 | 0.665 | 0.910 | 0.996 |
| 0.322 | 0.000 | 0.244 | 0.566 | 0.843 | 0.924 |
| 0.218 | 0.089 | 0.244 | 0.551 | 0.708 | 0.759 |
| 0.157 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.157 | 0.460 | 0.523 |
| 0.225 | 0.033 | 0.731 | 0.989 | 1.083 | 1.126 |
| 0.177 | 0.056 | 1.027 | 1.261 | 1.362 | 1.400 |
| 0.225 | 0.008 | 0.161 | 0.394 | 0.548 | 0.599 |
| 0.151 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.184 | 0.224 | 0.250 |
| 0.095 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.095 | 0.164 | 0.186 |
| 0.068 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.068 | 0.087 | 0.099 |
| 0.158 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 0.179 |
| 0.141 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.149 | 0.217 | 0.245 |
| 0.254 | 0.000 | 0.302 | 0.556 | 0.556 | 0.590 |
| 0.037 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.046 | 0.111 | 0.124 |
| 0.137 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.137 | 0.164 | 0.186 |
| 0.000 | 0.128 | 0.000 | 0.128 | 0.146 | 0.148 |
| 0.143 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.162 |
| 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.030 |
| 0.034 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.039 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| 0.034 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.039 |
| 0.065 | 0.000 | 0.083 | 0.148 | 0.148 | 0.157 |
| 0.074 | 0.000 | 0.472 | 0.546 | 0.546 | 0.556 |
| 24 | 69 | 84 | 177 | 376 | 406 |
| 103 | 126 | 222 | 452 | 826 | 890 |
| 4245 | 1835 | 2651 | 2553 | 2199 | 2195 |
| 53.2 | 46.5 | 52.0 | 50.0 | 48.7 | 48.7 |
| n/a | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.2 | n/a | n/a |

Table 4.4.4 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Number, mean weight and mean length of landings between 1986 and 2015

|  | Total (thousands) | Mean Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | Mean Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1704 | 1504 | 43 |
| 1987 | 4673 | 820 | 34 |
| 1988 | 2653 | 1395 | 43 |
| 1989 | 1815 | 1420 | 44 |
| 1990 | 1590 | 1468 | 44 |
| 1991 | 1672 | 1294 | 42 |
| 1992 | 1497 | 1410 | 45 |
| 1993 | 1238 | 1799 | 48 |
| 1994 | 1063 | 1486 | 44 |
| 1995 | 1583 | 1157 | 40 |
| 1996 | 1146 | 1422 | 44 |
| 1997 | 1452 | 1248 | 41 |
| 1998 | 1554 | 1380 | 42 |
| 1999 | 1268 | 1487 | 42 |
| 2000 | 680 | 2010 | 47 |
| 2001 | 435 | 2329 | 49 |
| 2002 | 514 | 1497 | 41 |
| 2003 | 507 | 1826 | 46 |
| 2004 | 468 | 1974 | 47 |
| 2005 | 408 | 2198 | 49 |
| 2006 | 1030 | 1115 | 37 |
| 2007 | 1036 | 1255 | 39 |
| 2008 | 503 | 1889 | 48 |
| 2009 | 298 | 2585 | 51 |
| 2010 | 387 | 1940 | 43 |
| 2011 | 531 | 1641 | 43 |
| 2012 | 435 | 2366 | 49 |
| 2013 | 406 | 2011 | 49 |
| 2014 |  | 2195 | 49 |
| 2015 |  |  | 49 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.4.5 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Abundance indices from Spanish and Portuguese surveys.

| Year | SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |  |  |  |  | PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September-October (total area M iño-Bidasoa) |  |  |  |  | October |  |  |
|  | Hauls | $\mathrm{kg} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ |  | Hauls | $\mathrm{N} / 60$ min | $\mathrm{kg} / 60$ min |
|  |  | Yst | Sst | Yst | Sst |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 145 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 117 | n/a | n/a |
| 1984 | 111 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 0.60 | 0.11 | na | n/a | n/a |
| 1985 | 97 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.07 | 150 | n/a | n/a |
| 1986 | 92 | 1.42 | 0.32 | 2.50 | 0.33 | 117 | n/a | n/a |
| 1987 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | 81 | n/a | n/a |
| 1988 | 101 | 2.27 | 0.38 | 1.50 | 0.21 | 98 | n/a | n/a |
| 1989 | 91 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.21 | 138 | 0.23 | 0.19 |
| 1990 | 120 | 1.52 | 0.47 | 1.50 | 0.22 | 123 | 0.11 | 0.17 |
| 1991 | 107 | 0.83 | 0.14 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 99 | + | 0.02 |
| 1992 | 116 | 1.16 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 0.11 | 59 | + | + |
| 1993 | 109 | 0.90 | 0.20 | 0.90 | 0.13 | 65 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1994 | 118 | 0.75 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 94 | 0.06 | 0.09 |
| 1995 | 116 | 0.72 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 88 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| 1996* | 114 | 0.95 | 0.17 | 1.30 | 0.18 | 71 | 0.27 | 0.50 |
| 1997 | 116 | 1.16 | 0.20 | 0.97 | 0.11 | 58 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 1998 | 114 | 0.88 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 96 | 0.02 | 0.12 |
| 1999* | 116 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 79 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| 2000 | 113 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 78 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 2001 | 113 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 0.10 | 58 | + | + |
| 2002 | 110 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003* | 112 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 80 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| 2004* | 114 | 0.76 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 79 | 0.14 | 0.21 |
| 2005 | 116 | 0.64 | 0.20 | 1.62 | 0.30 | 87 | 0.01 | + |
| 2006 | 115 | 1.08 | 0.22 | 1.16 | 0.19 | 88 | 0.02 | 0.46 |
| 2007 | 117 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 96 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 2008 | 115 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 87 | 0.07 | 0.36 |
| 2009 | 117 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 93 | 0.02 | + |
| 2010 | 127 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 87 | 0.09 | 0.18 |
| 2011 | 111 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.52 | 0.08 | 86 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 2012 | 115 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.11 | ns | ns | ns |
| 2013** | 114 | 1.27 | 0.36 | 1.40 | 0.35 | 93 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 2014** | 116 | 1.11 | 0.27 | 0.87 | 0.15 | 81 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2015** | 114 | 0.55 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Yst $=$ stratified mean
Sst = mean standar error
ns = no survey
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ not available
$+=$ less than 0.01

* For Portuguese Surveys - R/V Capricornio, other years R/V Noruega
** For Spain Surveys - R/V Miguel Oliver, other years R/V Cornide Saavedra

Table 4.4.6 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa ) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Landings, fishing effort, standardized fishing effort, landings per unit effort and standardized landings per unit effort for trawl and gillnet fleets.
For landings the percentage relative to total annual stock landings is given.

|  | Avilés, SP-AVITR8C |  |  |  | Santander, SP-SANTR8C |  |  |  | Standardized Cedeira, STAND-SP-CEDGNS8C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | LANDINGS | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \end{gathered}$ | LPUE (kg/day* 100 hp ) | LANDINGS | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LANDINGS | \% | EFFORT (soaking days) | LPUE (kg/soaking day) |
| 1986 | 64 | 3 | 10845 | 5.9 | 21 | 1 | 18153 | 1.1 | -- | -- | - |  |
| 1987 | 85 | 2 | 8309 | 10.3 | 16 | 0 | 14995 | 1.1 | -- | -- | -- | - -- |
| 1988 | 125 | 3 | 9047 | 13.9 | 30 | 1 | 16660 | 1.8 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1989 | 119 | 5 | 8063 | 14.7 | 32 | 1 | 17607 | 1.8 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1990 | 58 | 2 | 8497 | 6.8 | 40 | 2 | 20469 | 1.9 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1991 | 52 | 2 | 7681 | 6.7 | 62 | 3 | 22391 | 2.8 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1992 | 33 | 2 | -- | -- | 107 | 5 | 22833.0 | 4.7 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1993 | 53 | 2 | 7635 | 7.0 | 143 | 6 | 21370 | 6.7 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1994 | 65 | 4 | 9620 | 6.7 | 196 | 12 | 22772 | 8.6 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1995 | 141 | 8 | 6146 | 23.0 | 126 | 7 | 14046 | 9.0 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1996 | 162 | 10 | 4525 | 35.8 | 89 | 5 | 12071 | 7.4 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1997 | 143 | 8 | 5061 | 28.3 | 122 | 7 | 11776 | 10.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1998 | 91 | 4 | 5929 | 15.3 | 114 | 5 | 10646 | 10.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1999 | 41 | 2 | 6829 | 5.9 | 67 | 4 | 10349 | 6.5 | 14 | 1 | 4582 | 3.0 |
| 2000 | 23 | 2 | 4453 | 5.1 | 44 | 3 | 8779 | 5.0 | 4 | <1 | 2981 | 1.3 |
| 2001 | 12 | 1 | 1838 | 6.7 | 28 | 3 | 3053 | 9.3 | 6 | 1 | 1932 | 3.0 |
| 2002 | 11 | 1 | 2748 | 4.1 | 16 | 2 | 3975 | 4.1 | 7 | 1 | 2398 | 3.0 |
| 2003 | 9 | 1 | 2526 | 3.6 | 15 | 2 | 3837 | 4.0 | 3 | <1 | 2703 | 0.9 |
| 2004 | 32 | 3 | -- | -- | 23 | 2 | 3776.0 | 6.0 | 5 |  | 4677 | 1.1 |
| 2005 | 54 | 6 | -- | -- | 7 | 1 | 1404.0 | 4.9 | 2 | <1 | 3325 | 0.7 |
| 2006 | 16 | 1 | -- | -- | 18 | 2 | 2717.5 | 6.8 | 4 | <1 | 3911 | 1.0 |
| 2007 | 11 | 1 | -- | -- | 19 | 1 | 4333.7 | 4.5 | 2 | <1 | 3976 | 0.6 |
| 2008 | 10 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | <1 | 5133 | 0.1 |
| 2009 | 5 | 1 | -- | -- | 8 | 1 | 1124.8 | 6.8 | 4 | 1 | 2300 | 1.7 |
| 2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 19.4 | 2 | 1627.8 | 11.9 | 4 | 1 | 1880 | 2.1 |
| 2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 36.4 | 4 | -- | -- | 1 | <1 | 522 | 1.3 |
| 2012 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 21.8 | 2 | -- | -- | 4 | <1 | -- |  |


|  | ACoruña-Port, SP-CORTR8C-PORT |  |  |  | A Coruña-Trucks, SP-CORTR8C-TRUCKS |  |  |  | A Coruña-Fleet, SP-CORTR8C-FLEET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | LANDINGS | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { LPUE } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LANDINGS | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days*100hp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LPUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LANDINGS | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFORT } \\ \text { (days* } 100 \mathrm{hp} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | LPUE (kg/day ${ }^{*} 100 \mathrm{hp}$ ) |
| 1982 | 655 | 28 | 63313 | 10.3 | -- | - | -- |  | 655 | 28 | 63313 | 10.3 |
| 1983 | 765 | 32 | 51008 | 15.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 765 | 32 | 51008 | 15.0 |
| 1984 | 574 | 30 | 48665 | 11.8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 574 | 30 | 48665 | 11.8 |
| 1985 | 253 | 14 | 45157 | 5.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 253 | 14 | 45157 | 5.6 |
| 1986 | 352 | 14 | 40420 | 8.7 | -- | -- | - -- | -- | 352 | 14 | 40420 | 8.7 |
| 1987 | 673 | 18 | 34651 | 19.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 673 | 18 | 34651 | 19.4 |
| 1988 | 570 | 15 | 41481 | 13.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 570 | 15 | 41481 | 13.7 |
| 1989 | 344 | 13 | 44410 | 7.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 344 | 13 | 44410 | 7.7 |
| 1990 | 288 | 12 | 44403 | 6.5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 288 | 12 | 44403 | 6.5 |
| 1991 | 225 | 10 | 40429 | 5.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 225 | 10 | 40429 | 5.6 |
| 1992 | 211 | 10 | 38899 | 5.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 211 | 10 | 38899 | 5.4 |
| 1993 | 199 | 9 | 44478 | 4.5 | -- | - | -- | -- | 199 | 9 | 44478 | 4.5 |
| 1994 | 166 | 11 | 39602 | 4.2 | 37 | 2 | 12795 | 2.9 | 204 | 13 | 52397 | 3.9 |
| 1995 | 353 | 19 | 41476 | 8.5 | 75 | 4 | 10232 | 7.3 | 428 | 23 | 51708 | 8.3 |
| 1996 | 334 | 21 | 35709 | 9.4 | 68 | 4 | 8791 | 7.8 | 403 | 25 | 44501 | 9.0 |
| 1997 | 298 | 16 | 35494 | 8.4 | 43 | 2 | 9108 | 4.8 | 341 | 19 | 44602 | 7.7 |
| 1998 | 323 | 15 | 29508 | 10.9 | 72 | 3 | -- | -- | 394 | 19 | -- | -- |
| 1999 | 374 | 20 | 30131 | 12.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2000 | 287 | 21 | 30079 | 9.6 | 6 | 0 | -- | -- | 293 | 21 | -- | -- |
| 2001 | 281 | 28 | 29935 | 9.4 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2002 | 76 | 10 | 21948 | 3.5 | 31 | 4 | 6747 | 4.6 | 107 | 14 | 28695 | 3.7 |
| 2003 | 85 | 9 | 18519 | 4.6 | 43 | 5 | 7608 | 5.6 | 128 | 14 | 26127 | 4.9 |
| 2004 | 68 | 7 | 19198 | 3.5 | 40 | 4 | 10342 | 3.8 | 107 | 11 | 29540 | 3.6 |
| 2005 | 54 | 6 | 20663 | 2.6 | 32 | 4 | 10302 | 3.1 | 86 | 10 | 30965 | 2.8 |
| 2006 | 70 | 6 | 19264 | 3.6 | 81 | 7 | 12866 | 6.3 | 151 | 13 | 32130 | 4.7 |
| 2007 | 109 | 8 | 21651 | 5.1 | 113 | 9 | 13187 | 8.6 | 223 | 17 | 34838 | 6.4 |
| 2008 | 163 | 17 | 20212 | 8.1 | 98 | 10 | 9812 | 10.0 | 261 | 27 | 30024 | 8.7 |
| 2009 | 80 | 10 | 16152 | 5.0 | 67 | 9 | 12930 | 5.2 | 147 | 19 | 29092 | 5.1 |
| 2010 | 74 | 9 | 16680 | 4.4 | 87 | 11 | 9003 | 9.7 | 199 | 25 | 22746 | 8.7 |
| 2011 | 64 | 7 | 12835 | 5.0 | -- | -- | - | -- | 144 | 15 | 18617 | 7.7 |
| 2012 | 102 | 9 | 14446 | 7.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 172 | 15 | 21110 | 8.2 |
| 2013 | 88 | 8 | 14736 | 6.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2014 | 79 | 8 | 18060 | 4.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2015 | 67 | 6 | 13309 | 5.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |


|  | Portugal Crustacean, PT-TRC9A |  |  |  |  |  | Portugal Fish, PT-TRF9A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | LANDINGS | \% | EFFORT (1000 hours) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EFFORT (1000 } \\ \text { hauls) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LPUE } \\ \text { (kg/hour) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LPUE } \\ (\mathrm{kg} \text { haul) } \end{array}$ | LANDINGS | \% | EFFORT (1000 hours) | EFFORT (1000 hauls) | LPUE (kg/hour) | LPUE (kg/haul) |
| 1989 | 89 | 3 | 76 | 23 | 1.17 | 3.92 | 183 | 7 | 52 | 18 | 3.51 | 10.4 |
| 1990 | 127 | 5 | 90 | 20 | 1.41 | 6.19 | 261 | 11 | 61 | 17 | 4.29 | 15.2 |
| 1991 | 101 | 5 | 83 | 17 | 1.22 | 6.05 | 208 | 10 | 57 | 15 | 3.65 | 13.5 |
| 1992 | 94 | 4 | 71 | 15 | 1.32 | 6.19 | 193 | 9 | 49 | 14 | 3.97 | 14.1 |
| 1993 | 64 | 3 | 75 | 13 | 0.85 | 4.78 | 132 | 6 | 56 | 13 | 2.37 | 10.1 |
| 1994 | 26 | 2 | 41 | 8 | 0.64 | 3.38 | 53 | 3 | 36 | 10 | 1.50 | 5.5 |
| 1995 | 22 | 1 | 38 | 8 | 0.58 | 2.84 | 46 | 2 | 41 | 9 | 1.11 | 5.0 |
| 1996 | 45 | 3 | 64 | 14 | 0.70 | 3.11 | 88 | 5 | 54 | 12 | 1.62 | 7.1 |
| 1997 | 38 | 2 | 43 | 11 | 0.88 | 3.32 | 43 | 2 | 27 |  | 1.60 | 4.9 |
| 1998 | 70 | 3 | 48 | 11 | 1.45 | 6.30 | 111 | 5 | 35 | 10 | 3.16 | 11.5 |
| 1999 | 41 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 1.72 | 5.00 | 69 | 4 | 18 | , | 3.85 | 12.2 |
| 2000 | 66 | 5 | 42 | 10 | 1.56 | 6.55 | 76 | 6 | 19 | 6 | 4.04 | 12.6 |
| 2001 | 59 | 6 | 85 | 18 | 0.69 | 3.21 | 42 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 2.27 | 8.5 |
| 2002 | 47 | 6 | 62 | 10 | 0.75 | 4.81 | 28 | 4 | 14 |  | 2.00 | 6.2 |
| 2003 | 30 | 3 | 42 | 10 | 0.71 | 3.11 | 38 | 4 | 17 | 6 | 2.17 | 6.7 |
| 2004 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 1.07 | 3.51 | 27 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 1.90 | 6.2 |
| 2005 | 12 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 0.63 | 2.42 | 19 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 1.38 | 5.0 |
| 2006 | 18 | 2 | 22 | 5 | 0.80 | 3.31 | 22 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 1.73 | 5.6 |
| 2007 | 34 | 3 | 22 | 6 | 1.53 | 5.61 | 31 | 2 |  |  | 3.98 | 10.5 |
| 2008 | 21 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 1.50 | 5.40 | 19 | 2 | 5 | , | 3.56 | 10.6 |
| 2009 | 18 | 2 | 15 | -- | 1.14 | -- | 16 | 2 | 6 | - | 2.65 |  |
| 2010 | 37 | 5 | 21 | -- | 1.75 | -- | 34 | 4 | 14 | -- | 2.37 | -- |
| 2011 | 39 | 4 | 18 | -- | 2.15 | -- | 36 | 4 | 9 | -- | 3.91 |  |
| 2012 | 81 | 7 | 36 | -- | 2.26 | -- | 75 | 7 | 16 | -- | 4.73 |  |
| 2013 | 52 | 5 | 27 | -- | 1.92 | -- | 48 | 4 | 12 | - | 3.95 |  |
| 2014 | 60 | 6 | 17 | -- | 3.52 | -- | 56 | 6 | 16 | -- | 3.45 |  |
| 2015 | 66 | 6 | 17 | -- | 3.99 | -- | 61 | 6 | 14 | -- | 4.29 | $-$ |

Table 4.4.7 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa ) - Divisions 8c and 9a. ASPIC input settings and data (landings in tonnes, SPCORTR8c LPUE in kg/days*100HP, PT LPUEs in tonnes/hour trawl).
FIT \#\# Run type (FIT, BOT, or IRF)
Southern Anglerfish - ank
LOGISTIC YLD SSE
2 \#\# Verbosity
100095 \#\# Number of bootstrap trials, <= 1000
110000 \#\# 0=no MC search, 1=search, 2=repeated srch; N trials
$1.0000 \mathrm{E}-08$ \#\# Convergence crit. for simplex
$3.0000 \mathrm{E}-088$ \#\# Convergence crit. for restarts, N restarts
1.0000E-04 \#\# Conv. crit. for F; N steps/yr for gen. model
8.0000 \#\# Maximum F when cond. on yield
1.0 \#\# Stat weight for B1>K as residual (usually 0 or 1)

3 \#\# Number of fisheries (data series)
8.5900E-01 1.2000E+00 9.8100E-01 \#\# Statistical weights for data series
0.6 \#\# B1/K (starting guess, usually 0 to 1 )
$1.81126 \mathrm{E}+03 \mathrm{\#} \mathrm{\#}$ MSY (starting guess)
$1.81126 \mathrm{E}+04 \mathrm{\#} \mathrm{\#} \mathrm{~K}$ (carrying capacity) (starting guess)
8.2523E-04 1.1196E-07 2.7279E-07 \#\# q (starting guesses -- 1 per data series)

111111 \#\# Estimate flags (0 or 1) (B1/K,MSY,K,q1...qn)
$1.81126 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.62252 \mathrm{E}+03$ \#\# Min and max constraints -- MSY
$1.81126 \mathrm{E}+03$ 3.62252E+05 \#\# Min and max constraints -- K
1025957 \#\# Random number seed
36 \#\# Number of years of data in each series

| SPCORTR8cCC |  |  | PT.crust.tr |  | PT.fish.trI1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I1 |  |  |  |
| 1980 | -1.00E+00 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1980 | $-1.00 E+00$ | 1980 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1981 | -1.00E+00 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1981 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1981 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1982 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1982 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1982 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1983 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1983 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1983 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1984 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1984 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1984 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1985 | $5.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1985 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1985 | -1.00E+00 |
| 1986 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1986 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1986 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1987 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.83 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1987 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1987 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1988 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1988 | -1.00E+00 | 1988 | $-1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1989 | $7.74 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1989 | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1989 | $3.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1990 | $6.49 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.33 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1990 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1990 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1991 | $5.56 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1991 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1991 | 3.65E-03 |
| 1992 | $5.41 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1992 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1992 | 3.97E-03 |
| 1993 | $4.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1993 | 8.53E-04 | 1993 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1994 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1994 | 6.37E-04 | 1994 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1995 | $8.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1995 | $5.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1995 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1996 | $9.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1996 | 7.03E-04 | 1996 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1997 | 7.65E+00 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1997 | $8.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1997 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1998 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1998 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1998 | 3.16E-03 |
| 1999 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1999 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1999 | 3.85E-03 |
| 2000 | $9.55 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2000 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2000 | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2001 | 9.40E+00 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2001 | 6.86E-04 | 2001 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2002 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.70E+02 | 2002 | 7.54E-04 | 2002 | 2.00E-03 |
| 2003 | $4.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.26 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2003 | 7.14E-04 | 2003 | 2.17E-03 |
| 2004 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.72 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2004 | 1.07E-03 | 2004 | 1.90E-03 |
| 2005 | $2.76 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.97E+02 | 2005 | 6.34E-04 | 2005 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2006 | $4.69 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2006 | 8.01E-04 | 2006 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2007 | $6.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2007 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2007 | 3.98E-03 |
| 2008 | 8.69E+00 | $9.51 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2008 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2008 | 3.56E-03 |
| 2009 | $5.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2009 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2009 | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2010 | $8.75 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.84E+02 | 2010 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2010 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2011 | $7.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.45 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2011 | 2.15E-03 | 2011 | 3.91E-03 |
| 2012 | 8.17E+00 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2012 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2012 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2013 | $-1.00 E+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2013 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2013 | 3.95E-03 |
| 2014 | -1.00E+00 | $9.88 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2014 | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2014 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2015 | $-1.00 E+00$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2015 | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2015 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


#### Abstract

Table 4.4.8 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. ASPIC results: parameter estimates, non parametric bootstrap relative bias and bias corrected confidence interval, interquartil (IQ) range and relative range. Ye (2016): equilibrium yield available in 2016; Y(Fmsy): yield availabe at Fmsy in 2016; Ye2016/MSY: equilibrium yield available in 2016 as proportion of MSY;fmsy (1): fishing effort rate at MSY for SPCORTR8c; fmsy (2): fishing effort rate at MSY for P-TRC; fmsy (3): fishing effort rate at MSY for P-TRF (K, MSY, Yield, and Biomass in tonnes).


| Parameter | WG2016 (WKFLAT2012/Stock Annex settings), B1/K fixed at 0.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Point estimates | Relative bias | Bootstrap Confidence Interval |  |  |  | RelativeIQ-RangeIQ-Range |  |
|  |  |  | Lower 80\% | Higher $80 \%$ | Lower 90\% | Higher 90\% |  |  |
| B1/K | 0.60 | 0.00\% | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00\% |
| K | 31610 | 0.80\% | 27000 | 38490 | 25800 | 41510 | 6073 | 19.20\% |
| q(1) | 6.62E-04 | 1.78\% | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 8.44E-04 | 4.66E-04 | $9.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 27.70\% |
| $\mathrm{q}(2)$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.88\% | $8.95 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 8.12E-08 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 28.20\% |
| $\mathrm{q}(3)$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.45\% | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.62E-07 | $7.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 28.50\% |
| MSY | 1856 | 0.30\% | 1746 | 1937 | 1718 | 1963 | 100 | 5.40\% |
| Ye(2016 | 1834 | -1.53\% | 1770 | 1933 | 1745 | 1945 | 82 | 4.50\% |
| Y.(Fmsy | 1087 | -0.09\% | 1077 | 1102 | 1074 | 1106 | 13 | 1.20\% |
| Bmsy | 15810 | 0.80\% | 13500 | 19250 | 12900 | 20760 | 3037 | 19.20\% |
| Fmsy | 0.117 | 1.98\% | 0.091 | 0.144 | 0.082 | 0.153 | 0.028 | 23.60\% |
| fmsy(1) | 177.3 | 1.28\% | 155.4 | 203.5 | 150.3 | 209.6 | 24.68 | 13.90\% |
| fmsy(2) | 997200 | 1.51\% | 857300 | 1157000 | 827600 | 1208000 | 157300 | 15.80\% |
| fmsy(3) | 451600 | 1.08\% | 389100 | 535600 | 373600 | 559700 | 71500 | 15.80\% |
| B./Bmsy | 1.11 | 0.98\% | 0.94 | 1.26 | 0.89 | 1.30 | 0.16 | 14.60\% |
| F./Fmsy | 0.52 | 0.33\% | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 18.10\% |
| Ye./MSY | 0.99 | -1.76\% | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.02 | 2.40\% |
| q2/q1 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.46\% | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.15E-04 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 14.50\% |
| q3/q1 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.06\% | $3.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.77E-04 | $6.37 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 16.20\% |

Table 4.4.9 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a.

| Outputs | WKFLAT2012 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WG2013 } \\ \hline \text { Benchmark } \\ \text { Settings } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WG2014 |  | WG2015 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WG2016 } \\ \hline \text { Bench. Set. } \\ \text { B1/K fixed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Benchmark Settings | Bench. Set. <br> B1/K fixed | Benchmark Settings | Bench. Set. <br> B1/K fixed |  |
| B1/K | 0.93 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| MSY | 1375 | 1881 | 1900 | 1633 | 3622 | 1749 | 1856 |
| K | 43910 | 58390 | 59360 | 47260 | 101800 | 38600 | 31610 |
| q(1) | 3.09E-04 | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.22E-04 | 4.08E-04 | 5.33E-04 | 5.15E-04 | 6.62E-04 |
| q(2) | 4.85E-08 | 6.78E-08 | 6.78E-08 | 6.57E-08 | 8.78E-08 | 8.65E-08 | 1.18E-07 |
| q(3) | 1.17E-07 | 1.58E-07 | 1.58E-07 | 1.53E-07 | 2.02E-07 | 1.99E-07 | 2.60E-07 |
| TOF | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.18E+01 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| mse | 1.60E-01 | 1.57E-01 | 1.57E-01 | 1.55E-01 | 1.53E-01 | 1.53E-01 | 1.62E-01 |
| rmse | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.96E-01 | 3.96E-01 | 3.93E-01 | 3.91E-01 | 3.91E-01 | 4.03E-01 |
| CI | 0.5015 | 0.2162 | 0.2114 | 0.3080 | 0.1013 | 0.3345 | 0.3707 |
| CN | 1.0000 | 0.9438 | 0.9356 | 1.0000 | 0.6994 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Rest | 111 | 19 | 8 | 7 | 82 | 7 | 8 |
| Error | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| r sq 1 | 0.181 | 0.165 | 0.165 | 0.169 | 0.139 | 0.148 | 0.120 |
| rsq 2 | 0.010 | 0.132 | 0.131 | 0.125 | 0.366 | 0.336 | 0.446 |
| rsq 3 | 0.052 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.106 | 0.121 | 0.222 |
| Y.@Fmsy | 1436 | 1300 | 1352 | 1463 | 1476 | 1718 | 1087 |
| Bmsy | 21950 | 29190 | 29680 | 23630 | 50890 | 19300 | 15810 |
| Fmsy | 0.063 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.069 | 0.071 | 0.091 | 0.117 |
| B./Bmsy | 1.040 | 0.684 | 0.705 | 0.893 | 0.399 | 0.982 | 1.109 |
| F./Fmsy | 0.522 | 0.806 | 0.589 | 0.539 | 0.706 | 0.587 | 0.517 |

B./Bmsy: By+1/Bmsy
F./Fmsy: Fy/Fmsy
Y.@Fmsy: yield fishing at Fmsy for the next year of the assessment.

ERROR 11: Estimate of MSY is at or near maximum bound, $3.622 \mathrm{E}+03$

Table 4.4.10. ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa ) - Divisions 8 c and 9 a
Point estimates of B/BMSY (from 2015 to 2019) and Yield (from 2016 to 2019) for projections with F status quo (Fsq), FMSY,
zero catches. Reductions to obtain yields equal to 2016 TAC, and $+/-15 \% 2016$ TAC are also presented. The value of
F2016/FMSY is equal to Fsq (mean F of 2013-2015) in all scenarios proposed. Values for F/FMSY are also given.

| Fishing mortality trends in relation to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Fsq | zero catches | Flow | Flim | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSY Btrigger } \\ & (2018) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Blim (2018) | $\begin{gathered} -15 \% \mathrm{TAC}= \\ 2184 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAC }= \\ & 2569 \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} +15 \% \mathrm{TAC}= \\ 2954 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2016 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 |
| 2017 | 1.000 | 0.541 | 0.000 | 0.780 | 1.700 | 8.336 | 12.830 | 0.415 | 0.494 | 0.575 |
| 2018 | 1.000 | 0.541 | 0.000 | 0.780 | 1.700 | 8.336 | 12.830 | 0.415 | 0.494 | 0.575 |
| 2019 | 1.000 | 0.541 | 0.000 | 0.780 | 1.700 | 8.336 | 12.830 | 0.415 | 0.494 | 0.575 |
| Biomass trends in relation to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Fsq | zero catches | Flow | Flim | MSY Btrigger (2018) | Blim (2018) | $\begin{gathered} -15 \% \mathrm{TAC}= \\ 2184 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TAC}= \\ & 2569 \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} +15 \% \mathrm{TAC}= \\ 2954 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2016 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 |
| 2017 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 |
| 2018 | 1.134 | 1.192 | 1.265 | 1.161 | 1.049 | 0.500 | 0.300 | 1.209 | 1.198 | 1.188 |
| 2019 | 1.117 | 1.228 | 1.370 | 1.169 | 0.966 | 0.228 | 0.082 | 1.259 | 1.239 | 1.219 |
| 2020 | 1.103 | 1.259 | 1.467 | 1.175 | 0.897 | 0.106 | 0.023 | 1.305 | 1.276 | 1.247 |
| Yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Fsq | zero catches | Flow | Flim | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSY Btrigger } \\ & (2018) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Blim (2018) | $\begin{gathered} -15 \% \mathrm{TAC}= \\ 2184 \mathrm{t} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAC= } \\ & 2569 \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} +15 \% \mathrm{TAC}= \\ 2954 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2016 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 |
| 2017 | 2122.0 | 1177.0 | 0.0 | 1675.0 | 3469.0 | 12020.0 | 14970.0 | 910.2 | 1078.0 | 1249.0 |
| 2018 | 2088.0 | 1214.0 | 0.0 | 1687.0 | 3175.0 | 5347.0 | 4004.0 | 951.4 | 1118.0 | 1284.0 |
| 2019 | 2060.0 | 1248.0 | 0.0 | 1697.0 | 2936.0 | 2467.0 | 1108.0 | 988.5 | 1154.0 | 1315.0 |

Table 4.4.10. (cont.) ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa ) - Divisions 8c and 9a.
Fishing mortality trends in relation to $\mathbf{F}_{\text {MSY }}$

| year | Lpiscatorius <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | Lpiscatorius Flow | Lpiscatorius $F$ upp | Lpiscatorius Fpa | Lpiscatorius Flim | Lpiscatorius MSY Btrigger (2018) | Lpiscatorius <br> Bpa (2018) | Lpiscatorius Blim (2018) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 | 0.541 |
| 2017 | 0.793 | 0.455 | 1.053 | 1.105 | 1.547 | 1.708 | 4.247 | 5.536 |
| 2018 | 0.793 | 0.455 | 1.053 | 1.105 | 1.547 | 1.708 | 4.247 | 5.536 |
| 2019 | 0.793 | 0.455 | 1.053 | 1.105 | 1.547 | 1.708 | 4.247 | 5.536 |

Biomass trends in relation to $B_{\text {MSY }}$

| year | Lpiscatorius $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | L piscatorius Flow | Lpiscatorius $F$ upp | Lpiscatorius Fpa | Lpiscatorius Flim | Lpiscatorius MSY Btrigger (2018) | Lpiscatorius <br> Bpa (2018) | Lpiscatorius <br> Blim (2018) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 | 1.109 |
| 2017 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 | 1.153 |
| 2018 | 1.160 | 1.203 | 1.127 | 1.121 | 1.067 | 1.048 | 0.791 | 0.685 |
| 2019 | 1.166 | 1.249 | 1.105 | 1.093 | 0.997 | 0.964 | 0.562 | 0.424 |
| 2020 | 1.171 | 1.290 | 1.086 | 1.069 | 0.939 | 0.895 | 0.408 | 0.269 |


| Yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | Lpiscatorius $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | Lpiscatorius Flow | Lpiscatorius $F$ upp | Lpiscatorius Fpa | Lpiscatorius Flim | Lpiscatorius MSY Btrigger (2018) | L piscatorius <br> Вра (2018) | L piscatorius <br> Blim (2018) |
| 2016 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 | 1135.0 |
| 2017 | 1702.0 | 995.7 | 2227.0 | 2330.0 | 3184.0 | 3484.0 | 7546.0 | 9193.0 |
| 2018 | 1712.0 | 1036.0 | 2181.0 | 2269.0 | 2961.0 | 3187.0 | 5270.0 | 5579.0 |
| 2019 | 1720.0 | 1073.0 | 2141.0 | 2217.0 | 2777.0 | 2944.0 | 3784.0 | 3498.0 |



Figure 4.4.1 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Length distributions of landings (thousands for 1986-2015).

## Lophius budegassa

 $1-20 \mathrm{~cm}$

Figure 4.4.2 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Distribution of black anglerfish (L. budegassa) juveniles ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 between 2006-2015.


Figure 4.4.3 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Trawl and gillnet landings, effort and LPUE data between 1986-2015.


Figure 4.4.4. ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa)- Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Observed cpue for the three commercial fleets and estimated values by the model.


Figure 4.4.5. ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Confidence intervals ( $80 \%$ ) of the F/Fmsy and B/Bmsy ratios.


Figure 4.4.6. ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Trends of the F/Fmsy and B/BMSY ratios from the, 2012 benchmark, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 WG assessments.


Figure 4.4.7 ANGLERFISH (L. budegassa) - Divisions 8c and 9a. Retro analysis of the F/Fmsy and B/BMSY ratios of 2016 WG assessment.

## 5 Megrim (Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d

Assessment type: An update assessment has been carried out as this stock was benchmarked in 2016 executing a full assessment for this stock and is now category 1.

Data revisions: data revision was done in the Inter-Benchmark 2016 and no additional revision has been done for this WG.

### 5.1 General

### 5.1.1 Fishery description

Megrim in the Celtic Sea, west of Ireland, and in the Bay of Biscay are caught in a mixed fishery predominantly by French followed by Spanish, UK and Irish demersal vessels. In 2015, the four countries together have reported around $97 \%$ of the total landings (Table 5.1.1.1.). Estimates of total landings (including unreported or miss-reported landings) and catches (landings\&discards) as used by the Working Group up to 2015 are shown in Table 5.1.1.2.

### 5.1.2 Summary of ICES Advice for 2016 and Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

## ICES advice for 2016

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings in 2016 should be no more than 18216 tonnes. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding catches.

## Management applicable for 2015 \& 2016

The 2015 TAC was set at 19101 t and 2016 TAC 20056 t , including a 5\% contribution of $L$. boscii in the landings for which there is no assessment.

The minimum landing size of megrim was reduced from 25 to 20 cm length in 2000.

### 5.2 Data

### 5.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Stock catches for the period 1984-2015, as estimated by the WG, are given in Table 5.2.1.1. This is the first year where all landing and discard data have been uploaded to Intercatch, so it has been the tool to extract and make data allocations.

Landings in 2015 are lower than in 2015 (13\%), reaching up to 11570 t.
Spanish data since 2011 has been provided by SGP, the official national administration responsible for fishery statistics. In previous years catches have been estimated by the WG based on IEO and AZTI scientific estimations. They show a decreasing trend from 2009 onwards. During Inter-Benchmark 2016, France landing dataseries were updated from 2003-2014. Landing data from France shows a decreasing trend from 2013 onwards. Landing information from year 2015 by UK, Ireland and Belgium show a slight increase.

Regarding discard data, French discards were provided from 2004-2014 to the InterBenchmark 2016, and they have been updated in 2015. There is a decrease in all discard
information provided by Ireland, Spain, UK and Belgium but the most significant decreases are the Spanish discards with a decrease of $62 \%$ in the last year.
Discard data available by country and the procedure to derive them are summarized in Table 5.2.1.1. The discards decrease in year 2000 can be partly explained by the reduction in the minimum landing size from 25 cm to 20 cm . Since 2000, fluctuating trends are observed with a peak in 2004 and the minimum observed level in year 2015.
In the following table the discard ratio in percentage (\%) from catches in weight of the most recent years is presented.

| Year | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discard ratio (\%) | 11\% | 13\% | 15\% | 20\% | 30\% | 20\% | 24\% | 19\% | 21\% | 18\% | 26\% | 24\% | 20\% | 24\% | 16\% | 12\% |

### 5.2.2 Biological sampling

Age and Length distribution provided by countries are explained in Stock Annex- Meg 78 (Annex E).

## Age

Spain, Ireland, UK and Belgium provided numbers-at-age in Intercatch and consequently completed number and weights at age up to 2015. Age distribution for landings and discards from 2002-2015 are presented in Figure 5.2.2.1.

## Lengths

Table 5.2.2.1 shows the available original length composition of landings by Fishing Unit in 2015.

## Natural Mortality

$\mathrm{M}=0.2$ has been used as input data for all ages and years in the final model.
However, an extensive review of methods to estimate M for megrim and their impact on the assessment results was presented in IBP Megrim 2016. But they were not used because more in deep work is needed for their approval.

### 5.2.3 Survey data

UK survey Deep Waters (UK-WCGFS-D, Depth > 180 m ) and UK Survey Shallow Waters (UK-WCGFS-S, Depth < 180 m ) indices for the period 1987-2004 and French EVHOE survey (EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4) results for the period 1997-2015 are summarized in Table 5.2.3.1.

The UK-WCGFS-D and UK-WCGFS-S show the same pattern in the indices for ages 2 and 3 since 1997; in agreement with the high values of EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 age 1 index for the years 1998 and 2000. These high indices in the Deep component of the UK Surveys are even more remarkable in 2003 for all ages and in 2004 for the younger ages.

EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 indices for age 1+2 showed no evident trend. Oscillations of high and low values are present in all the time-series (Figure 5.2.3.1). In Figure 5.2.3.4 the time-series of the age composition of abundances from 2007 to 2015 of EVHOE survey is presented.

An abundance index in ages was provided for Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTSQ4) from 2003-2015. For the last five years of the dataseries, the survey provides the
lowest values of older ages and a sharp decrease of medium age individuals. For the younger ages, it is quite stable in the last five years.

A revised abundance index in ages was provided for the Spanish Porcupine Groundfish Survey (SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4) from 2001 to 2015 due to a change in the calculation methodology of the tow trawling time. In Figure 5.2.3.3 the time-series of the age composition of abundances from 2007-2015 is presented.

When comparing Spanish, French and Irish survey biomass indices some contradictory signals are detected (Figure 5.2.3.2). The EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 index decreased from 2001 until 2005 and since then has sharply increased until 2011. In the last years 2015, it slightly increased. The SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 Porcupine survey (SP-PGFS) shows fluctuation trends from year 2003 to 2008. Afterwards, an increasing trend is observed until 2014 with a slight decrease in 2015.

Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4) gives the highest estimates in 2005 with a decrease in trend to 2007 and increasing again till 2009 in agreement with EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4. In 2011 a slight increase occurred in agreement with Spanish survey and in the last years remains stable.

For a more detailed inspection of the abundances indices of different age groups, these were inspected along the whole dataseries for surveys (Figure 5.2.3.2). Ages groups were identified as: i) age $1+$ age 2 ; ii) age $3+$ age $4+$ age 5 and iii) age $6+$ age 7 +age $8+$ age $9+$ age $10+$. The most abundant age group was ii) at the beginning and the end of the dataseries for all the surveys but it shows a decreasing trend in the last three years. Age group i) appear most abundant during years 2005 to 2008. As a consequence it is difficult to conclude on the recent abundance trends by age group.

It must be noted that the areas covered by the three surveys almost do not overlap (Figure 5.2.3.5). There is some overlap between the northern component of EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 and the southern coverage of IGFS-WIBTS-Q4, whereas the eastern boundary of SP-PGFS essentially coincides with the western one of IGFS-WIBTS-Q4.

### 5.2.4 Commercial catch and effort data

For 2012 Benchmark, a new Irish trawler index was provided as the result of the revision carried out for the Irish Otter trawl fleet. Irish beam trawl (TBB) data are limited to TBB with mesh sizes of $80-89 \mathrm{~mm}$, larger mesh sizes are disused since 2006.

The general level of effort is described in Figure 5.2.4.1. SP-CORUTR7 and SPVIGOTR7 fleets have decreased sharply until 1993, since then it has been decreasing slightly. SP-VIGOTR7 showed a very slight increase in 2007, decreasing slightly till 2014. SP-CANTAB7 remains quite stable since 1991 and decreased slightly since 2000. In 2009, no effort has been deployed by this fleet but in 2010, some trips were recorded, for the last four years no effort was deployed. The effort of the French benthic trawlers fleet in the Celtic Sea decreased until 2008 and no more information was provided to the WG.

Commercial series of catch-at-age and effort data were available for three Spanish fleets in Subarea 7 (Figure 5.2.4.2): A Coruña (SP-CORUTR7) from 1984-2015, Cantábrico (SP-CANTAB7) from 1984-2010 as no effort has been deployed by this fleet in subarea 7 during the last four years and Vigo (SP-VIGOTR7) from 1984-2015. The cpue of SPCORUTR7 has fluctuated until 1990, when it started to decrease, with a slight increase in 2003 and a peak in cpue in 2011 and a decrease afterwards. Over the same period, SP-VIGOTR7 has remained relatively stable until 1999, reaching in 2004 the historical
maximum. In the last years it was fluctuations with a decrease in 2015. SP-CANTAB7 LPUE was fluctuating and after 2011 no effort was deployed.

From 1985 to 2008, LPUEs from four French trawling fleets: FR-FU04, Benthic Bay of Biscay, Gadoids Western Approaches and Nephrops Western Approaches were available. (Table 5.2.4.1.\& Figure 5.2.4.3). No data from 2009 onwards was deployed by this fleet.

The LPUE of all Irish beam trawlers fleets oscillates up and down. From 2007 an increase in the LPUE is observed with a peak in 2013 (Figure 5.2.4.4).
Summarizing no particular LPUE changes have been observed, so no stock changes is observed.

An analysis of the abundance indices of different age groups in dataseries for commercial fleets was carried out (Figure 5.2.4.5). Ages groups were identified as: i) age 1+age 2; ii) age 3+age 4+age 5 and iii) age 6+age 7+age 8+age 9+age 10+. For Spanish and Irish commercial fleets, the most abundant age group was ii) at the beginning and the end of the dataseries. Age group i) appear more abundant than older ages (iii) from 2003 onwards in the Spanish fleet. French fleets appear to land mostly old individual at the beginning of the dataseries but a marked decrease in abundance index of old fish was observed for French fleet. In 2015, a decrease is observed in Spanish fleet but an increase is observed in Irish fleets, but the proportion of age groups catches is maintained.

Based on age groups of commercial fleets, a decrease in small ages is observed mainly from Spanish fleet.

### 5.3 Assessment

An analytical assessment was conducted using updated French landings and discards data. With the inclusion of French discard data, some changes to the model were executed in relation to the discard estimation coefficient and data input from the Bayesian model.

### 5.3.1 Data Exploratory Analysis

In summary, the stock catch-at-age matrix shows three periods: 1984-1989; 1990-1998 and 1999-2015.

The data analysed consist of landed, discarded and catch numbers-at-age and abundance indices-at-age. Five of the available fleets were considered appropriate to inclusion in the assessment model as tuning fleets: Spanish Porcupine survey (SpPGFS_WIBTS-Q4), French Survey (EVHOE-WIBTSQ4), Vigo commercial trawl cpue series separated in two periods: 1984-1998 (VIGO84) and 1999-2010 (VIGO99), and Irish Otter trawlers lpue (IRTBB), based on their representativeness of megrim stock abundance. An exploratory data analyses was performed to examine their ability to track cohorts through time.
Several exploratory analyses were carried out on the data with the software R. The analysis of the standardized log abundance indices revealed a decrease in ages 1 and 2 in EVHOE-WIBTSQ4 survey (Figure 5.3.1.1). Otherwise, in SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 an increase in ages 1 and 2 was observed and a decrease in ages 4 and 5 .

The analysis of the standardized log abundance indices revealed year trends for VIGO99 and the same decrease in the index of old individuals was detected by this
fleet in 2008 and 2009. In the last years negative values of ages 1-2 are observed. However, IRTBB shows positive values of ages 1-2.

The time-series of catch-at-age (Figure 5.3.1.2) showed very low catches of ages 1-5 from 1984 to 1989. From 2004 to 2010, the catch of older ages (>6) was remarkably low, whereas catches of ages 1 and 2 increased markedly from 2003. This could be a result of an underestimation of catches of these ages (specially age 1 ) before this year, probably, due to the sparseness of discard data in that period. For ages 6 and older, large discrepancies in the amount caught before and after 1990 are apparent, with large catches of these ages before 1990 and a decrease of all ages at the end of the dataseries.

The analysis of landings is presented since 1990 (Figure 5.3.1.3). Landings of ages 1 and 2 decreased from the beginning of the series to the last years where negative values have increased from 2009 onwards. In fact, the proportion of older ages in the landings decreased significantly from 2004 to 2009, as already discussed in relation to the catch. In 2015, ages 1 decreased significantly and older ages too.

The signal coming from the discard data showed that at the beginning of the dataseries discards of age 1 was low (Figure 5.3.1.4-5). Discards of this age increased along the dataseries, particularly from 2003 onwards. From year 2010 to 2013, ages 1 to 3 appear to be highly discarded but in 2014 and 2015 general discards decrease.

### 5.3.2 Model

The model explored during the benchmark is an adaptation of one developed originally for the southern hake stock, published in Fernández et al. (2010). It is a statistical catch-at-age model that allows incorporating data at different levels of aggregation in different years and also allows for missing discards data by certain fleets and/or in some years. These are all relevant features in the megrim stock.

The model is described in Stock Annex.

### 5.3.3 Results

The model results were analysed looking at three different kinds of plots: convergence plots (to analyse the convergence behavior of the MCMC chains), diagnostic plots (to analyse the goodness of the fit) and, finally, plots of the models estimates (displaying the estimated stock status over time).

Regarding the settings of the prior for the final run, some changes have been done in relation to the inclusion of discards information from France, which will be included as data instead of being estimated by the model. Settings used in WGBIE 2016 are listed in Table 5.3.3.1.

In order to be sure that the model has produced a representative sample of the posterior distribution, the MCMC chain was examined for behaviour ("convergence" properties). This was done by examining trace plots and autocorrelation plots for most parameters in the model (Figure 5.3.3.1 to Figure 5.3.3.3) showing a good behaviour.

Model diagnostics plots examined were: prior-posterior plots and time-series and bubble plots of the residuals. Prior-posterior distributions are shown in Figures 5.3.3.4. Posterior distributions for log-population abundance in first assessment year (1984), $\log -\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ and log-catchabilities of abundance indices were much more concentrated than the priors and were often centred at different places. This indicated that the model was able to extract information from the data in order to substantially revise the prior distribution. In these cases, the model fits are mostly driven by the data, with the prior
having only a small influence. The posterior distributions for log-rSPD, log-rFR or logrOTD in the first assessment year (1984) were similar to the prior distributions in most of the cases. This was especially true for log-rOTD, were data directly associated with it was not available to the model. This indicates that the available data does not contain very much information concerning these parameters and that the priors have to be chosen carefully trying to be realistic.
Results of time-series of estimated spawning-stock biomass (SSB), reference fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}$ ), recruits and catch, landings and discards are shown in Figure 5.3.3.5. The SSB shows an overall decreasing trend from the start of the series in 1984-2005 with a marked increasing trend till 2015. The uncertainty in the SSB was low in the whole time-series. The median recruitment fluctuated between 200000 and 300000 thousand in the whole series with a decrease in the last two years. The fishing mortality showed three marked periods which coincide with the data periods, 1984-1989, 19901998 and 1999-2015. The lowest Fbar was observed in the first period and the highest one in the year 2005 and then it decreases to its lowest in 2015 with small uncertainty. This decreasing F trend in recent years explains the increase of SSB since catches and recruitment remain relatively constant. Overall, the catches showed weak decreasing trend with a minimum in 2015 with landings showing similar trend and discards remain stable with a minimum in 2015.

### 5.4 Retrospective pattern

Retrospective analysis was conducted for 5 years, the retrospective time-series of most relevant indicators are shown in Figures 5.4.1. In terms of SSB, estimates were very similar throughout the entire time-series and there was a downward revision of SSB. The recruitment estimates towards the end of the time-series showed significant revisions in the retrospective analysis, but this is something common, as recruitment in the most recent year(s) is usually not correctly estimated by assessment models. The fishing mortality was revised upward year by year.

### 5.5 Short-term forecasts

Short-term projections have been made using Rscript developed by Fernández et al. (2010). Some modifications have been done to the script during IBP 2016 as the previous results of the projection were inconsistent with the stock dynamic estimated by the assessment model.

For the current projection, the following short-term forecast settings are agreed: the average of the last three years is used to average F-at-age, the proportion landed-atage, and the vectors of weight-at-age and maturity-at-age. As there is a decreasing trend of F in the results of the assessment time-series, F status quo is scaled to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ of the final assessment year. For the recruitment, the geometric mean of the recruitment posteriors in all assessment years except for the final 2 is used.

Landings in 2017 and SSB in 2018 predicted for various levels of fishing mortality in 2017 are given in Table 5.5.1. Maintaining F status quo in 2017 is expected to result in an increase in landings with respect to 2016 and an increase in SSB in 2017 with respect to 2016.

### 5.6 Biological reference points

Biological reference points were calculated in IBP Megrim 2016 and reviewed by WGBIE 2016 and RGPA 2016. The reference points for this stock used methods based on the recommendations from WKMSYREF4 (ICES, 2016). They are listed in Table 5.6.1. and included in the Stock Annex.

During WGBIE 2016 there was an update of the reference points calculated in the IBP Megrim 2016. A sensitivity analysis of the reference points obtained in the per recruit equilibrium analysis was done to the number of years assumed for the biological parameters and the exploitation pattern. It was observed that the highest the number of years assumed, the lowest the value of the reference point was (Figure 5.6.1.). This could be explained due to a change in the selection pattern or mean weight at age (Figure 5.6.2.). So it was considered that the default 10 year range used for biological parameters was not adequate. 3 years range was considered appropriate as this was the year range used in the calculations of yield-per-recruit done in the IBP Megrim 2016.

### 5.7 Conclusions

The incorporation of the requested data, mainly French discards data (but also French landings review) was completed and the script to deal with these new data were updated. The model results show that the new data does not alter substantially the perception of stock status and F compared with the preliminary model performed by WGBIE (2015).

The group considers that the model diagnosis is adequate to evaluate the quality fit. The use of the Bayesian statistical catch-at-age model, the methodology for deriving biological reference points, the methodology for short-term forecast and the estimation of discards are statistically sound and adequate to the stock. The WG considers it can be used for future advice.

Nevertheless, as in most stock assessments, the stock-recruitment relationship and natural mortality remain uncertain, which have an impact in the assessment and the reference points that should be investigated in the future.

Table 5.1.1.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Nominal landings and catches ( $\mathbf{t}$ ) by country provided by the Working Group.

|  | Landings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total catches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | France | Spain | U.K. <br> (England \& Wales) | U.K. <br> (Scotland) | Ireland | Northern Ireland | Belgium | Unallocated | Total landings | France | Spain | U.K. | Ireland | Northern Ireland | Belgium | Others | Total discards |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16659 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2169 | 2169 | 18828 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17865 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1732 | 1732 | 19597 |
| 1986 | 4896 | 10242 | 2048 |  | 1563 |  | 178 |  | 18927 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2321 | 2321 | 21248 |
| 1987 | 5056 | 8772 | 1600 |  | 1561 |  | 125 |  | 17114 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1705 | 1705 | 18819 |
| 1988 | 5206 | 9247 | 1956 |  | 995 |  | 173 |  | 17577 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1725 | 1725 | 19302 |
| 1989 | 5452 | 9482 | 1451 |  | 2548 |  | 300 |  | 19233 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2582 | 2582 | 21815 |
| 1990 | 4336 | 7127 | 1380 |  | 1381 |  | 147 |  | 14370 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3284 | 3284 | 17654 |
| 1991 | 3709 | 7780 | 1617 |  | 1956 |  | 32 |  | 15094 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3282 | 3282 | 18376 |
| 1992 | 4104 | 7349 | 1982 |  | 2113 |  | 52 |  | 15600 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2988 | 2988 | 18588 |
| 1993 | 3640 | 6526 | 2131 |  | 2592 |  | 40 |  | 14929 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3108 | 3108 | 18037 |
| 1994 | 3214 | 5624 | 2309 |  | 2420 |  | 117 |  | 13684 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2700 | 2700 | 16384 |
| 1995 | 3945 | 6129 | 2658 |  | 2927 |  | 203 |  | 15862 |  | 554 |  | 422 |  |  | 2230 | 3206 | 19068 |
| 1996 | 4146 | 5572 | 2493 |  | 2699 |  | 199 |  | 15109 |  |  |  | 410 |  |  | 2616 | 3026 | 18135 |
| 1997 | 4333 | 5472 | 2875 |  | 1420 |  | 130 |  | 14230 |  | 414 |  | 568 |  |  | 2083 | 3066 | 17296 |
| 1998 | 4232 | 4870 | 2492 |  | 2621 |  | 129 |  | 14345 |  | 381 |  | 681 |  |  | 4309 | 5371 | 19716 |
| 1999 | 3751 | 4615 | 2193 |  | 2597 |  | 149 |  | 13305 |  | 3135 |  | 162 |  |  |  | 3297 | 16601 |
| 2000 | 4173 | 6047 | 2185 |  | 2512 |  | 115 |  | 15031 |  | 1033 | 208 | 630 |  |  |  | 1870 | 16750 |
| 2001 | 3645 | 7575 | 1710 |  | 2767 |  | 80 |  | 15778 |  | 1275 | 250 | 736 |  |  |  | 2262 | 18040 |
| 2002 | 2929 | 8797 | 1787 |  | 2413 |  | 62 |  | 15987 |  | 1466 | 435 | 912 |  |  |  | 2813 | 18800 |
| 2003 | 3227 | 8340 | 1732 |  | 2249 |  | 163 |  | 15711 |  | 3147 | 279 | 582 |  |  |  | 4008 | 19719 |
| 2004 | 2817 | 7526 | 1622 |  | 2288 |  | 106 |  | 14358 | 1003 | 4511 | 257 | 472 |  |  |  | 6243 | 20602 |
| 2005 | 2972 | 5841 | 1764 |  | 2155 |  | 156 |  | 12888 | 697 | 1831 | 289 | 458 |  |  |  | 3275 | 16163 |
| 2006 | 2763 | 5916 | 1509 |  | 1751 |  | 99 |  | 12037 | 382 | 2568 | 271 | 529 |  |  |  | 3751 | 15788 |
| 2007 | 2745 | 6895 | 1462 |  | 1763 |  | 195 |  | 13060 | 330 | 2114 | 272 | 317 |  |  |  | 3033 | 16092 |
| 2008 | 2578 | 5402 | 1387 |  | 1514 |  | 167 |  | 11048 | 329 | 1479 | 289 | 764 |  |  |  | 2860 | 13908 |
| 2009 | 3032 | 8062 | 1840 |  | 1918 | 2 | 209 |  | 15064 | 674 | 1761 | 389 | 454 |  |  |  | 3278 | 18342 |
| 2010 | 3651 | 7095 | 1805 |  | 2283 | 5 | 261 |  | 15101 | 937 | 3489 | 463 | 453 |  |  |  | 5343 | 20444 |
| 2011 | 3235 | 3500 | 1845 |  | 2227 |  | 330 | 2089 | 13226 | 847 | 2097 | 898 | 344 |  |  |  | 4187 | 17413 |
| 2012 | 4012 | 4055 | 1744 |  | 3047 |  | 609 | 966 | 14433 | 796 | 2668 | 88 | 152 |  |  |  | 3704 | 18137 |
| 2013 | 4549 | 4982 | 2918 |  | 3038 |  | 538 |  | 16025 | 748 | 3792 | 53 | 286 |  | 5 |  | 4885 | 20910 |
| 2014 | 4311 | 3318 | 2753 | 176 | 2391 |  | 179 | 150 | 13277 | 795 | 1337 | 72 | 360 |  | 5 |  | 2569 | 15846 |
| 2015 | 3073 | 2864 | 2804 | 147 | 2436 |  | 246 |  | 11569 | 634 | 513 | 47 | 308 |  | 4 |  | 1507 | 13076 |

Table 5.1.1.2. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Nominal landings and catches (t) provided by the Working Group.

|  | Total landings | Total discards | Total catches | Agreed TAC (1) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | 16659 | 2169 | 18828 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 17865 | 1732 | 19597 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | 18927 | 2321 | 21248 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | 17114 | 1705 | 18819 | 16460 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | 17577 | 1725 | 19302 | 18100 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | 19233 | 2582 | 21815 | 18100 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 14370 | 3284 | 17654 | 18100 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 15094 | 3282 | 18376 | 18100 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 15600 | 2988 | 18588 | 18100 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 14929 | 3108 | 18037 | 21460 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 13684 | 2700 | 16384 | 20330 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 15862 | 3206 | 19068 | 22590 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 15109 | 3026 | 18135 | 21200 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 14230 | 3066 | 17296 | 25000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 14345 | 5371 | 19716 | 25000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 13305 | 3297 | 16601 | 20000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 15031 | 1870 | 16750 | 20000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 15778 | 2262 | 18040 | 16800 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 15987 | 2813 | 18800 | 14900 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 15711 | 4008 | 19719 | 16000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 14358 | 6243 | 20602 | 20200 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 12888 | 3275 | 16163 | 21500 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 12037 | 3751 | 15788 | 20425 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 13060 | 3033 | 16092 | 20425 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 11048 | 2860 | 13908 | 20425 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 15064 | 3278 | 18342 | 20425 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 15101 | 5343 | 20444 | 20106 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 13226 | 4187 | 17413 | 20106 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 14433 | 3704 | 18137 | 19101 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 16025 | 4885 | 20910 | 19101 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 13277 | 2569 | 15846 | 19101 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 11569 | 1507 | 13076 | 19101 |

(1) for both megrim species and VIIa included.

Table 5.2.1.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Discards information and derivation.

|  | FR | SP | IR | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | FR84-85 | - | - | - |
| 1985 | FR84-85 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | (FR84-85) | (SP87) | - | - |
| 1987 | (FR84-85) | SP87 | - | - |
| 1988 | (FR84-85) | SP88 | - | - |
| 1989 | (FR84-85) | (SP88) | - | - |
| 1990 | (FR84-85) | (SP88) | - | - |
| 1991 | FR91 | (SP94) | - | - |
| 1992 | (FR91) | (SP94) | - | - |
| 1993 | (FR91) | (SP94) | - | - |
| 1994 | (FR91) | SP94 | - | - |
| 1995 | (FR91) | (SP94) | IR | - |
| 1996 | (FR91) | (SP94) | IR | - |
| 1997 | (FR91) | (SP94) | IR | - |
| 1998 | (FR91) | (SP94) | IR | - |
| 1999 | - | SP99 | IR | - |
| 2000 | - | SP00 | IR | UK |
| 2001 | - | SP01 | IR | UK |
| 2002 | - | (SP01) | IR | UK |
| 2003 | - | SP03 | IR | UK |
| 2004 | FR04 | SP04 | IR | UK |
| 2005 | FR05 | SP05 | IR | UK |
| 2006 | FR06 | SP06 | IR | UK |
| 2007 | FR07 | SP07 | IR | UK |
| 2008 | FR08 | SP08 | IR | UK |
| 2009 | FR09 | SP09 | IR | UK |
| 2010 | FR10 | SP10 | IR | UK |
| 2011 | FR11 | SP11 (*) | IR | UK |
| 2012 | FR12 | SP12 (*) | IR | UK |
| 2013 | FR13 | SP13 ${ }^{*}$ ) | IR | UK |
| 2014 | FR14 | SP14 (*) | IR | UK |
| 2015 | FR15 | SP15 (*) | IR | UK |

- In bold: years where discards sampling programs provided information
- In (): years for which the length distribution of discards has been derived
(*) Scientific estimates were provided.

Table 5.2.2.1 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Length composition by fleet (thousands).

| Length | FRANCE |  | SPAIN | IRELAND | UNITED KINGDOM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class (cm) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { OTB_DEF_>=70_99 } \\ 0 \_0 \text { VII } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTT_DEF_100- } \\ & 119 \_0 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | OTB_DEF_7099_0_0. Otter trawlmed\&deep VII | ALL FISHING UNITS | FU03:Fixed nets | FU05:Otter trawl- shallow | FU06:Beam trawl- <br> all depths |
| 10 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 |  | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  | 3 | 1 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 |  | 0 | 68 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 25 |  | 30 | 390 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 26 |  | 0 | 723 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 27 | 0.40 | 109 | 776 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 28 | 0.00 | 0 | 698 | 246 | 0 | 2 | 88 |
| 29 | 3.60 | 182 | 622 | 426 | 0 | 4 | 88 |
| 30 | 0.00 | 0 | 483 | 536 | 0 | 6 | 83 |
| 31 | 2.40 | 229 | 397 | 593 | 0 | 9 | 102 |
| 32 | 0.00 | 0 | 287 | 514 | 0 | 8 | 90 |
| 33 | 5.20 | 246 | 223 | 541 | 0 | 16 | 102 |
| 34 | 0.00 | 0 | 227 | 451 | 0 | 32 | 95 |
| 35 | 0.80 | 259 | 183 | 516 | 0 | 23 | 118 |
| 36 | 0.00 | 0 | 148 | 385 | 0 | 37 | 95 |
| 37 | 4.00 | 242 | 112 | 345 | 1 | 38 | 104 |
| 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 101 | 316 | 1 | 51 | 103 |
| 39 | 4.40 | 222 | 87 | 285 | 1 | 45 | 110 |
| 40 | 0.00 | 0 | 76 | 208 | 1 | 43 | 96 |
| 41 | 6.40 | 209 | 44 | 209 | 1 | 26 | 105 |
| 42 | 0.00 | 0 | 40 | 136 | 1 | 26 | 86 |
| 43 | 6.00 | 168 | 39 | 123 | 1 | 19 | 86 |
| 44 | 3.60 | 122 | 33 | 90 | 0 | 12 | 64 |
| 45 | 3.60 | 78 | 22 | 73 | 0 | 7 | 56 |
| 46 |  |  | 23 | 72 | 0 | 4 | 45 |
| 47 | 1.20 | 51 | 17 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| 48 |  |  | 10 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 49 |  | 21 | 6 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 50 |  |  | 7 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 51 |  | 8 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 52 |  |  | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 53 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 54 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 55 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 56 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 57 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 58 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 63 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 64 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 65 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 66 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 67 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 68 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 69 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 70 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| TOTAL | 42 | 2182 | 5853 | 7080 | 8 | 413 | 1961 |

Table 5.2.3.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Abundance Indices for UK-WCGFS-D, UK-WCGFS-S, IGFS, SP-PGFS and FR- EVHOE.


|  |  | IGFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Effort | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 2003 | 100 | 0 | 152 | 316 | 368 | 238 | 96 | 36 | 14 | 5 | 2 |
| 2004 | 100 | 0 | 153 | 461 | 595 | 454 | 162 | 57 | 30 | 12 | 3 |
| 2005 | 100 | 29 | 414 | 643 | 431 | 370 | 215 | 68 | 44 | 18 | 17 |
| 2006 | 100 | 44 | 505 | 548 | 481 | 215 | 154 | 68 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| 2007 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 293 | 125 | 91 | 70 | 25 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| 2008 | 100 | 5 | 140 | 481 | 349 | 101 | 66 | 60 | 17 | 12 | 5 |
| 2009 | 100 | 3 | 1 | 234 | 371 | 455 | 346 | 159 | 53 | 44 | 23 |
| 2010 | 100 | 6 | 1 | 128 | 377 | 259 | 173 | 90 | 38 | 13 | 10 |
| 2011 | 100 | 5 | 2 | 121 | 333 | 331 | 144 | 69 | 40 | 25 | 30 |
| 2012 | 100 | 4 | 24 | 141 | 140 | 108 | 52 | 36 | 16 | 9 | 33 |
| 2013 | 100 | 9 | 31 | 132 | 93 | 83 | 58 | 30 | 10 | 8 | 22 |
| 2014 | 100 | 40 | 62 | 143 | 106 | 56 | 57 | 52 | 22 | 23 | 17 |
| 2015 | 100 | 26 | 127 | 149 | 154 | 57 | 44 | 30 | 16 | 10 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NEW | SP-PGFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Effort | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7+ |  |  |
| 2001 | 100 | 43 | 1770 | 2208 | 2842 | 3434 | 1941 | 1357 | 740 |  |  |
| 2002 | 100 | 6 | 1069 | 2502 | 3168 | 3997 | 2237 | 1107 | 515 |  |  |
| 2003 | 100 | 11 | 1081 | 2913 | 4105 | 5262 | 2789 | 1284 | 636 |  |  |
| 2004 | 100 | 7 | 719 | 3457 | 5498 | 5569 | 3071 | 1125 | 828 |  |  |
| 2005 | 100 | 77 | 633 | 626 | 2279 | 8249 | 4959 | 2605 | 688 |  |  |
| 2006 | 100 | 5 | 1776 | 1443 | 3275 | 4719 | 3312 | 901 | 383 |  |  |
| 2007 | 100 | 30 | 4856 | 6990 | 3556 | 3622 | 1814 | 852 | 399 |  |  |
| 2008 | 100 | 14 | 260 | 2219 | 5406 | 4010 | 1807 | 1219 | 428 |  |  |
| 2009 | 100 | 6 | 534 | 661 | 5320 | 7097 | 1635 | 877 | 606 |  |  |
| 2010 | 100 | 39 | 318 | 2158 | 2557 | 6723 | 2313 | 494 | 476 |  |  |
| 2011 | 100 | 37 | 393 | 1174 | 2510 | 3940 | 5141 | 1452 | 626 |  |  |
| 2012 | 100 | 5 | 157 | 692 | 3759 | 2862 | 3207 | 2926 | 1902 |  |  |
| 2013 | 100 | 6 | 1473 | 1184 | 1174 | 1619 | 3703 | 2657 | 2579 |  |  |
| 2014 | 100 | 39 | 243 | 3174 | 1001 | 2286 | 4400 | 3409 | 2198 |  |  |
| 2015 | 100 | 23 | 2220 | 2188 | 4056 | 2078 | 1847 | 2099 | 1830 |  |  |

Table 5.2.3.1 (cont). Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Abundance Indices by kilograms and numbers by 30 minutes haul duration.


Table 5.2.4.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. French and Spanish cpues for different bottom-trawl fleets.

|  | French (single and twin bottom trawls combined) CPUE (kg/h) |  |  |  | Spanish CPUE (kg/(100day*100 hp)) |  |  | Irish LPUE ('000 h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Benthic Bay of Biscay | Benthic Western Approaches | Gadoids Western Approaches | Nephrops Western Approaches | A Coruña -VIII | Cantábrico- VIII | Vigo-VIII | Otter trawlers |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  | 16.3 | 130.1 | 99.1 | - |
| 1985 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 39.5 | 108.9 | - |
| 1986 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 21.1 | 52.8 | 105.1 | - |
| 1987 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 80.7 | 96.2 | - |
| 1988 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 9.8 | 78.3 | 106.1 | - |
| 1989 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 14.6 | 48.1 | 92.1 | - |
| 1990 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 15.1 | 18.4 | 73.8 | - |
| 1991 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 12.9 | 25.9 | 85.4 | - |
| 1992 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 32.8 | 105.6 | - |
| 1993 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 33.5 | 92.3 | - |
| 1994 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 52.7 | 78.7 | - |
| 1995 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 7.8 | 61.3 | 94.3 | 13.7 |
| 1996 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 58.4 | 79.3 | 13.6 |
| 1997 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 46.9 | 96.0 | 12.1 |
| 1998 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 35.7 | 82.4 | 10.0 |
| 1999 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 32.5 | 137.0 | 11.3 |
| 2000 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 45.0 | 128.9 | 13.4 |
| 2001 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 75.6 | 131.2 | 13.1 |
| 2002 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 76.4 | 185.3 | 12.2 |
| 2003 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 11.2 | 54.0 | 192.1 | 8.2 |
| 2004 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 60.0 | 211.0 | 9.3 |
| 2005 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 58.46 | 135.3 | 10.0 |
| 2006 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 76.42 | 146.1 | 7.5 |
| 2007 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 87.86 | 144.3 | 8.5 |
| 2008 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 37.58 | 114.0 | 8.4 |
| 2009 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 8.3 | 0.00 | 173.2 | 10.3 |
| 2010 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 7.9 | 38.78 | 198.3 | 11.8 |
| 2011 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 19.7 | 0.0 | 151.2 | 13.5 |
| 2012 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 6.4 | 0.0 | 135.3 | 19.3 |
| 2013 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 10.0 | 0.0 | 210.2 | 19.4 |
| 2014 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3.4 | 0.0 | 116.7 | 15.4 |
| 2015 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4.5 |  | 89.7 | 17.9 |

Table 5.6.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Reference points table updated in WGBIE 2016.

| From the IBP MEGRIM (ICES, 2016): | TYPE | $\begin{gathered} \text { IBP MeGRIM } \\ 2016 \\ \text { VALUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WGBIE 2016 <br> NEW Value | Technical Basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY approach | MSY Btrigger | 41800 | 41800 | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, because the fishery has not been at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ in the last 10 years |
|  | FMSY | 0.161 | 0.191 | F giving maximum yield at equilibrium. Computed using Eqsim. Using 3 years range for bio. Parameters. |
| Precautionary approach | Blim | 37100 | 37100 | Bloss, which is the lowest biomass observed corresponding to year 2006 |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 41800 | 41800 | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}} e^{1.645 \sigma}$ <br> where $\sigma=0.07$ is the standard deviation of the logarithm of SSB in 2014 |
|  | Flim | 0.489 | 0.533 | It is the F that gives $50 \%$ probability of SSB being above Blim in the long term. It is computed using Eqsim based on segmented regression with the breakpoint fixed at Blim, without advice/assessment error and without Btrigger |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.412 | 0.451 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{lim}} e^{-1.645 \sigma}$ <br> where $\sigma=0.105$ is the standard deviation of the logarithm of F in 2014 |

Table 5.3.3.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. IBP 2016 Prior distributions of final run.

$L N(\mu, \psi)$ denotes the lognormal distribution with median $\mu$ and coefficient of variation $\psi$, and $\Gamma(u, v)$ denotes the Gamma distribution with mean $u / v$ and variance $u / v^{2}$.

| Parameter and prior distribution | Values used in prior settincs |
| :---: | :---: |
| $N(y, 1) \sim L N($ medrec, 2$)$ | medrec $=250000$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N(1984, a) \sim L N(\text { medrec } \\ & \left.\exp \left[-(a-1) M-\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \operatorname{med} F(j)\right], 2\right), a=2, \ldots, 9 \end{aligned}$ | medrec as above, $M=0.2$, <br> med $F=(0.05,0.1,0.3,0.3,0.3,0.3,0.3,0.3,0.3)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N(1984,10+) \sim L N(\text { medrec } \exp [-9 M- \\ & \left.\left.\sum_{j=1}^{9} \operatorname{med} F(j)\right] /\{1-\exp [-M-\operatorname{medF}(9)]\}, 2\right) \end{aligned}$ | medrec, $M$, medrec $F$ as above |
| $f(y) \sim L N\left(\right.$ med $\left._{f}, C V_{f}\right)$ | med $_{f}=0.3, C V_{f}=1$ |
| $\rho \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1)$ |  |
| $r_{L}(1984, a) \sim L N\left(\right.$ medr $\left.r_{L}(a), 1\right), a=1, \ldots, 8$ | medr $r_{L}=(0.0005,0.05,1,1,1,1,1,1)$ |
| $r_{L}(y, 9)=r_{L}(y, 10+)=1$ |  |
| $r_{\text {SPD }}(1984, a) \sim L N\left(m e d r_{\text {SPD }}(a), 1\right), a=1, \ldots, 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { medr }_{\text {SPD }}=(0.002,0.02,0.02,0.02, \\ & 0.01,0.01,0.01) \end{aligned}$ |
| $r_{\text {IRD }}(1984, a) \sim L N\left(\right.$ medr $\left._{\text {IRD }}(a), 1\right), a=1, \ldots, 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { medr }_{\text {IRD }}=(0.001,0.01,0.01,0.01, \\ & 0.005,0.005,0.005,0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
| $r_{U K D}(1984, a) \sim L N\left(\right.$ med $\left.r_{U K D}(a), 1\right), a=1, \ldots, 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { medr } V_{U K D}=(0.00001,0.001,0.001,0.001, \\ & 0.001,0.001,0.001,0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
| $r_{F R D}(1984, a) \sim L N\left(\text { medr } r_{F R D}(a), 1\right), a=1, \ldots, 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{medr}_{F R D}=(0.002,0.020 .02,0.02 \\ & 0.01,0.010 .01,0.01) \end{aligned}$ |
| $r_{\text {OTD }}(1984, a) \sim L N\left(\right.$ medr $\left.r_{\text {OTD }}(a), 1\right), a=1, \ldots, 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { medr } \text { OTD }=(0.002,0.02,0.02,0.02, \\ & 0.01,0.01,0.01,0.002) \end{aligned}$ |


| $r_{S P D}(y, 7)=r_{S P D}(y, a)=r_{I R D}(y, a)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=r_{U K D}(y, a)=r_{F R D}(y, a)=r_{O T D}(y, a)=0, a=8,9,10+$ |  |
| $\tau_{C}(a), \tau_{L}(a), a=1,2,3 ; \tau_{D}(a), a=1, \ldots, 8$ | $\Gamma(4,0.345)$ |
| $\tau_{C}(a), \tau_{L}(a), a=4, \ldots, 10+$ | $\Gamma(10,0.1)$ |
| $\tau_{S P D}(a), a=1, \ldots 7 ; \tau_{I R D}(a), \tau_{U K D}(a), \tau$ |  |
| $\log \left[q_{k}(a)\right] \sim N\left(\mu_{I k}, \tau_{I k}\right), a \leq 8, a=1, \ldots 8$ | $\Gamma(4,0.345)$ |
| index $k=1, \ldots, 5$ | $\mu_{I k}=-7, \tau_{I k}=0.2$ |
| $q_{k}(a)=q_{k}(8), a>8$, indices $k$ with ages $>8$ |  |
| $\tau_{k}(a)$, index $k=1, \ldots, 5$ | $\Gamma(4,0.345)$ |

Table 5.5.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Catch forecast: management option table.

| Short term forecast table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F scaled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recluit 2016=R(GM84-13) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rec_2016 | SSB_2016 | TSB_2016 | Fbar_2016 | Catch_2016 | Land_2016 | Disc_2016 | SSB_2017 |
| 234864 | 80624 | 101074 | 0.22 | 15951 | 13018 | 2924 | 86360 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fmult | F_2017 | Catch_2017 | Land_2017 | Disc_2017 | Rec_2018 | SSB_2018 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234864 | 109941 |  |
| 0.1 | 0.02 | 1954 | 1678 | 272 | 234864 | 107639 |  |
| 0.2 | 0.04 | 3859 | 3315 | 540 | 234864 | 105330 |  |
| 0.3 | 0.06 | 5717 | 4906 | 803 | 234864 | 103112 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.09 | 7530 | 6461 | 1062 | 234864 | 100931 |  |
| 0.5 | 0.11 | 9300 | 7977 | 1317 | 234864 | 98859 |  |
| 0.6 | 0.13 | 11028 | 9449 | 1568 | 234864 | 96831 |  |
| 0.7 | 0.15 | 12712 | 10886 | 1814 | 234864 | 94808 |  |
| 0.8 | 0.17 | 14369 | 12284 | 2057 | 234864 | 92800 |  |
| 0.9 | 0.19 | 15969 | 13647 | 2295 | 234864 | 90863 |  |
| 1 | 0.22 | 17540 | 14969 | 2531 | 234864 | 89011 |  |
| 1.1 | 0.24 | 19070 | 16263 | 2763 | 234864 | 87218 |  |
| 1.2 | 0.26 | 20564 | 17525 | 2991 | 234864 | 85447 |  |
| 1.3 | 0.28 | 22025 | 18759 | 3214 | 234864 | 83721 |  |
| 1.4 | 0.3 | 23456 | 19968 | 3435 | 234864 | 82026 |  |
| 1.5 | 0.32 | 24853 | 21143 | 3652 | 234864 | 80350 |  |
| 1.6 | 0.34 | 26207 | 22295 | 3866 | 234864 | 78734 |  |
| 1.7 | 0.37 | 27536 | 23412 | 4076 | 234864 | 77171 |  |
| 1.8 | 0.39 | 28830 | 24492 | 4282 | 234864 | 75619 |  |
| 1.9 | 0.41 | 30098 | 25558 | 4487 | 234864 | 74113 |  |
| 2 | 0.43 | 31341 | 26593 | 4688 | 234864 | 72634 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 5.2.2.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Age composition of catches for the years 2002-2015.


Figure 5.2.3.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Scaled Biomass Indices for FR-EVHOE, SP-PGFS and IR-IGFS.


Figure 5.2.3.2. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Abundance Indices for EVHOE, IGFS and SP-PGFS by ages grouped: i) $1+2$; ii) $3+4+5$ and iii) $6+7+8+9+10+$.


Figure 5.2.3.3. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Age composition of SPPORCUPINE survey in abundance (numbers).


Figure 5.2.3.4. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Age composition of FREVHOE survey in abundance (numbers/30min haul).


Figure 5.2.3.5. Station positions for the IBTS Surveys carried out in the Western Atlantic and North Sea Area in autumn/winter of 2008. (From IBTSWG 2009 Report). Just to be used as general location of the Surveys.


Figure 5.2.4.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Evolution of effort for different bottom-trawler fleets.


Figure 5.2.4.2. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b,c,e-k and 8a,b,d. Spanish cpue for different bottom-trawler fleets.


Figure 5.2.4.3. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b,c,e-k and 8a,b,d. French LPUE for different bottom-trawler fleet.


Figure 5.2.4.4. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b,c,e-k and 8a,b,d. Irish LPUE for beam trawl fleet.


Figure 5.2.4.5. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Abundance Indices for SPVIGOTR7, FR-FU04 and IRTBB by ages grouped: i) $1+2$; ii) $3+4+5$ and iii) $6+7+8+9+10^{+}$.


Megrim: $\log ($ CPUE VIGO84) with each age standardised separately

years

Megrim: $\log (C P U E$ VIGO99) with each age standardised separately


Megrim: $\log ($ LPUE IRTBB) with each age standardised separately


Figure 5.3.1.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Bubble plots of the standardized $\log$ abundance indices of the surveys and commercial fleets used as tuning fleets.


Figure 5.3.1.2. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Bubble plots for catch num-bers-at-age from 1984-2015.


Figure 5.3.1.3. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Bubble plots for landing num-bers-at-age from 1990-2015.

Discarded numbers-at-age: total 1990-1998; missing Others 1999-2015 and France 1999-2003 (each age standardised separately by subtracting mean and dividing by standard deviation)


Figure 5.3.1.4. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Bubble plots for discarded numbers-at-age from 1990-2015.

Discarded numbers-at-age: stock total 1990-1998; missing Others (UTD) 1999-2015 and France (FRD) 1999-2003


Figure 5.3.1.5. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Discarded numbers-at-age separated by age from 1990-2015.


Figure 5.3.3.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Trace plots of recruitment draws from 2004-2015.


Figure 5.3.3.2. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Trace plots of $f(y)$ fishing mortality in ages 9 and 10 from 1999-2015.
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Figure 5.3.3.3. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Autocorrelation plots of rL for years 1996 and 2015.


Prior (red) and posterior (black) distributions of $\log (\mathrm{rL})$ in 1984

Figure 5.3.3.4. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Prior (red) and posterior distribution of $\log (\mathrm{L})$ in 1984, $\log (\mathrm{rSPD})$ at age in 1984.


Figure 5.3.3.5. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Time-series of spawningstock biomass (SSB), recruits, Fbar, catch, landings and discards from 1984-2015. The solid dotted lines correspond to the median of the distribution and the dashed lines with $5 \%$ and $95 \%$ quantiles.


Figure 5.4.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7b-k and 8a,b,d. Time-series of median SSB, recruitment and Fbar in retrospective analysis.


Figure 5.6.1. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d. Sensitivity of the reference points obtained in the per recruit equilibrium analysis to the number of years assumed for the biological parameters and the exploitation pattern.


Figure 5.6.2. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7.b-k and V8.a,b,d. Time-series of mean weight at age and selection at age.

## 6 Megrims (Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis and L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a

## Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis:

Type of assessment in 2016: Update.
Data revisions this year:
No revisions this year.

## Lepidorhombus boscii:

Type of assessment in 2016: Update.

## Data revisions this year:

No revisions this year.

## General

See Stock annex general aspects related to megrim assessment.

## Ecosystem aspects

See Stock annex for ecosystem aspects related to megrim assessment.

## Fishery description

See Stock annex for fishery description.

## Summary of ICES advice for 2016 and management for 2015 and 2016

ICES advice for 2016(as extracted from ICES Advice 2015, Book 7):
Because the two megrim species (L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii) are not separated in the landings, the advice of the two stocks is linked. Fsq is above Fmsy for L. boscii and for $L$. whiffiagonis. To get fishing mortality for both stocks at or below Fmsy, the F multiplier of $L$. boscii is applied to both stocks.

For L. boscii, following the ICES MSY approach implies fishing mortality to be reduced to 0.17 (FMSY), resulting in landings of no more than 841 t in 2016. If discard rates do not change from the average of the last five years (2010-2014), this implies catches of no more than 1072 t . This is expected to lead to an SSB of 6918 t in 2017. For L. whiffiagonis, the ICES MSY approach implies a reduction in fishing mortality to 0.15 , resulting in catches of no more than 186 t in 2016. If the discard rate do not change from the average of the last five years (2010-2014), this implies landings of no more 172 t . This is expected to lead to an SSB of 1051 t in 2017.

## Management applicable for 2015 and 2016:

The agreed combined TAC for megrim and four-spot megrim in ICES Divisions 8.c and 9. a was 1377 t in 2015 and 1363 t in 2016

### 6.1 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a

### 6.1.1 General

See general section for both species.

### 6.1.2 Data

### 6.1.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Working Group estimates of landings, discards and catches for the period 1986 to 2015 are given in Table 6.1.1. Estimates of catches currently include an unallocated landing category. These estimates are considered the best information available at this time. In 2015, data revised for period 2011-2013 were provided. This revision produced an improvement in the allocation of sampling trips and data revised are used in the assessment. The total estimated international landings in Divisions 8.c and 9.a for 2015 was 276 t . Landings reached a peak of 977 t in 1990 , followed by a steady decline to 117 t in 2002. Some increase in landings has been observed since then, but landings have again decreased annually 2007-2010 were the lowest value of the entire series occurred. Since 2011, the stock is increasing again. Historical landings for both species combined are shown in Figure 6.1.1. In 2015, international landings are 1424 t , according to last year's values.

Discards estimates were available from "observers on board sampling programme" for Spain in the years displayed in Table 6.1.2(a). Discards in number represent between $10-45 \%$ of the total catch, with the exception of the year 2007 when discards have been very low and 2011 with discards extremely high. Following recommendations, during the Benchmark WKSOUTH in 2014, an effort was made to complete the time-series back until 1986 in years without samplings. Total discards are given in tons in Table 6.1.1 and in numbers-at-age in Table 6.1.2(b), these data are included in the assessment model.

### 6.1.2.2 Biological sampling

Annual length compositions of total stock landings are displayed in Figure 6.1.2 for the period 1986-2015 and in Table 6.1.3. (a). Unallocated value is raised to total length distribution. The bulk of sampled specimens corresponds to fish of 21-36 cm .

Sampling levels for both species are given in Table 1.3.
Mean lengths and mean weights in landings since 1990 are shown in Table 6.1.3(b). The mean length and mean weight values in 2013 are the highest in the historic series.

Age compositions of catches are presented in Table 6.1.4 and weights-at-age of catches in Table 6.1.5, from 1986-2015. These values were also used as the weights-at-age in the stock.

More biological information, the parameters used in the length-weight relationship, natural mortality and maturity ogive are shown in the stock annex.

### 6.1.2.3 Abundance indices from surveys

Two Portuguese (PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4, also called "October" survey, and PT-CTS (UWTV (FU 28-29)), also called "Crustacean" survey) and one Spanish (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) survey indices are summarized in Table 6.1.6. In 2012, Portuguese surveys were not conducted due to budgetary constraints of national scope turned unfeasible to repair the RV.

As noted in the Stock Annex, indices from these Portuguese surveys are not considered representative of megrim abundance, due to the very low catch rates.

The Spanish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) covers the distribution area and depth strata of this species in Spanish waters (covering both 8.c and 9.a). Total biomass and abundance indices from this survey were higher during the period 1988-1990, subsequently declining to lower mean levels, which are common through the rest of the time-series. There has been an overall declining trend in the abundance index after year 2000, with the values for 2008 and 2009 being the two lowest in the entire series. Since then, there is a general increasing trend. (Figure 6.1.3(a), bottom right panel). In 2013 the survey was carried out in a new vessel and with new fishing doors. This year the abundance indices were high for flatfish and benthic species. Although there was an inter-calibration exercise between both vessels, the results were not consistent with the results of the inter-calibration, therefore the working group decided not to include the abundance index value for that year in the assessment model. In 2014 the gear used was similar to the gear used in the survey before 2013. A new inter-calibration exercise was conducted in 2014. The index for 2014 was found consistent with the index before 2013 and the working group decided to use it. However for 2013 the index is still inconsistent with the time-series and the group decided not to include it. The gear configuration continues being the same in 2015 and the index is suitable to include.

The Spanish survey recruitment index for age 1 (Recruitment age) indicate an extremely weak year class in 1994, followed by better values. From 2000-2014year classes appear to be in low values except for 2010 . However, in 2015 , there is a very important increase in age 1 , being the highest value for the time-series.

Catch numbers-at-age per unit effort and effort values for the Spanish survey are given in Table 6.1.7. In addition, Figure 6.1.3(b) displays a bubble plot of $\log$ (survey indices-at-age), with the values for each age standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation over the years. The size of the bubbles is related to the magnitude of the standardized value, with white and black bubbles corresponding to positive and negative values, respectively. The figure indicates that the survey is quite good at tracking cohorts through time and highlights the weakness of the last few cohorts.

### 6.1.2.4 Commercial catch-effort data

The commercial LPUE and effort data of the Portuguese trawlers fishing in Division 9.a covers the period 1988-2015 (Table 6.1.8 and Figure 6.1.3(a)).

It is known that the Northern Spanish coastal bottom otter trawl fleet is a fleet deploying a variety of fishing strategies with different target species. In fact, these fishing strategies are identified under the current DCF sampling programme, so that they can be then re-aggregated under two DFC métiers: bottom otter trawl targeting demersal species ( $\mathrm{OB} \_\mathrm{DEF}_{-}=55 \_0 \_0$ ) and OTB targeting pelagic stocks accompanied by some demersal species (OTB_MPD_>55_0_0). Therefore, the LPUE of these métiers was recovered backwards (until 1986) and two new time-series of bottom otter trawl targeting demersal species, one per port (A Coruña and Avilés), were provided to the Benchmark WKSOUTH in 2014. These new tuning fleets (SP-LCGOTBDEF and SPAVSOTBDEF) were accepted to tune the assessment model instead of the old ones A Coruña trawl (SP-CORUTR8c) and Avilés trawl (SP-AVILESTR). The LPUEs and effort values are given in Table 6.1.8 and Figure 6.1.3(a).

## Commercial fleets used in the assessment to tune the model

Before 2003, A Coruña (SP-LCGOTBDEF) effort was generally stable. After that year, the trend was similar but in lower values. The 2011 effort value is the lowest in the series. In 2014, effort is the highest value and in 2015 decreases again. The LPUE shows relatively high stable values for 1986-2002. Since 2003 LPUE shows lower values, is increasing since 2010 till 2012 followed by two years decreasing and an increase in 2015.

Avilés (SP-AVSOTBDEF) effort does not present any trend throughout the whole period. The highest value occurred in 1998 and the lowest in 2001. LPUE shows a decreasing from 1986 to 2003. Since then, it has had a further upward and downward fluctuation, with a peak in 2011. Landed numbers-at-age per unit effort and effort data for these fleets are given in Table 6.1.7.

Figure 6.1.3(c) displays bubble plots of standardized log (landed numbers-at-age per unit effort) values for these commercial fleets, with the standardization performed by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation over the years. The panel corresponding to A Coruña trawl fleet clearly indicates below average values from year 2003 to 2010, but since then, values are above average except for 2014.

## Commercial fleets not used in the assessment to tune the model

Portuguese effort values are quite variable, except in 2001 and 2002 when they are significantly lower and in 2015, the lowest value in the time-series (Table 6.1.8 and Figure 6.1.3(a)). The LPUE series were revised from 2012 onwards. To revise the series backwards further refinement of the algorithms is required. The LPUE shows a steep decrease between 1990-1992, and has since remained at low levels, with the exception of a peak in 1997-1998. LPUE for the last two years represent an increase in relation to the previous year.

### 6.1.3 Assessment

An update assessment was conducted, according to the Stock Annex specifications. Assessment years are 1986-2014 and ages 1-7+.

### 6.1.3.1 Input data

It follows the Stock Annex, incorporating discards and landed numbers-at-age resulting in catch numbers-at-age as input data from 1986-2015 and the 2015 indices from A Coruña (SP-LCGOTBDEF) tuning fleet and Avilés tuning fleet (SP-AVSOTBDEF) and Spanish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4).

### 6.1.3.2 Model

## Data screening

Figure 6.1.4(a) shows catch proportion at age where larger proportions can be observed for ages 1 and 2 till 2000 due to the high discards at these ages in this period, and for age 1 also since 2011. The top panel of Figure 6.1.4(b) shows landings proportions at age, indicating that the bulk of the landings consisted of ages 1 and 2 before 1994, shifting after that mostly to ages $2-4$. The bottom panel of the same figure displays standardized (subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation over the years) proportions at age, indicating the same change around the mid 1990's, with proportions at age decreasing for ages 1 and 2 and increasing for the older ages. Some weak and strong cohorts can be noticed in this figure, particularly around the mid 1990's.

The 2010 year shows an increase in landings of older ages, especially ages 5 to $7+$. In the last period, the high abundance of age 1 in the Spanish survey in 2010 can be tracked following years. Figure 6.1.4(a) shows discards proportion at age, being more abundant for age 1 from 2000 onwards. Before this year, discarding was higher in age 2. Visual inspection of Figures 6.1.3(b) and 6.1.3(c) indicates that all tuning series are good up to age 5 in relation to their internal consistency. Age 6 is harder to track along cohorts, particularly for the Spanish survey and the A Coruna tuning fleet.

## Final run

XSA model was selected for use in this assessment. Model description and settings are those detailed in the Stock Annex.

The retrospective analysis shows a small but consistent pattern of overestimation of SSB and underestimation of F and recruitment in recent years (Figure 6.1.5).

### 6.1.3.3 Assessment results

Diagnostics from the XSA run are presented in Table 6.1.9 and log-catchability residuals plotted in Figure 6.1.6. For all tuning fleets the magnitude of the residuals is larger for older ages. Residuals in A Coruña tuning fleet in the last years present mainly positive values. Until 1997 many of the survey residuals were negative, whereas many are positive since 1999. Since 2008, there appears to be a change towards negative survey residuals again. Several year effects are apparent in all tuning series. As has been the case in the last few years the model shows that it hasn't converged, however the differences which activate this criteria was so small ( 0.00062 difference) and close to zero that we have confidence that the assessment has converged. The results presented correspond to a run of 140 iterations, as increasing the number of iterations led to larger total absolute residuals value between iterations.

Fishing mortality and population numbers-at-age from the final XSA run are given in Tables 6.1.10 and 6.1.11, respectively, and summary results presented in Table 6.1.12 and Figure 6.1.7(a).

Fishing mortality presents an increasing trend since 2011, which may be explained by the increase in catches in that years. 2015 values represent a decrease for both, F and catches. The SSB values in 2007-2010 are the lowest in the series. Since 2011 values are significantly higher and more or less stable. After a very high recruitment (at age 1) value in the series in 2010 and the followings decreases and increases, the last year the recruitment value shows a significant increase, with a very high value.

Bubble plots of standardized (by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation over the years) estimated F-at-age and relative F-at-age (F-at-age divided by $F_{b a r}$ ) are presented in Figure 6.1.7(b). The top panel of the figure indicates that fishing mortality has been lower for all ages since about year 2000. The reduction occurred earlier for ages 1 and 2, at around 1994. In terms of the relative exploitation pattern-atage (bottom panel of the figure), the most obvious changes are the reduction for ages 1 and 2 around 1994 and the increase for age 3 soon after that. This might be related to discarding practices. There is no clear pattern over time in the age 4 selection, whereas for ages 5 and older there seems to have been an increase during the mid to late 1990's but they have since come back down to lower values. Since 2010, there appears to have been an increase of the relative exploitation towards older ages, with high values above the average for ages 5-7+.

### 6.1.3.4 Year-class strength and recruitment estimations

The 2012 year class is estimated to have 5.0 million fish at 1 year of age, based on the Spanish survey (SpGFS-WITBS-Q4) ( $60 \%$ of weight), two commercial fleets SPLCGOTBDEF ( $20 \%$ of weight) and SP-AVSOTBDEF ( $16 \%$ of weight) and F shrinkage (6\%).

The 2013 year class is estimated to have 3.5 million individuals at 1 year of age based on the information from the Spanish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) ( $71 \%$ of weight), Pshrinkage ( $26 \%$ of the weight) and F shrinkage (3\%).

The 2014 year class is estimated to have 9.6 million fish at 1 year of age, based on the information from the Spanish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) ( $63 \%$ of weight), P-shrinkage ( $32 \%$ of the weight) and F shrinkage ( $6 \%$ ).

The working group considered that the XSA last year recruitment is poorly estimated. In accordance with the stock annex specifications, GM recruitment is computed over years 1998-2013. Working Group estimates of year-class strength used for prediction can be summarized as follows:

Recruitment-at-age 1 :

| Year CLASS | Thousands | Basis | SURVEYS | Commercial $^{\text {SHRINKAGE }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 4984 | XSA | $60 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 2013 | 3482 | XSA | $71 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| 2014 | 3301 | GM $_{(98-13)}$ |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 3301 | GM $_{(98-132)}$ |  |  |  |

### 6.1.3.5 Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality and recruitment

From Table 6.1.12 and Figure 6.1.7, we see that SSB decreased from 2416 t in 1990 to 1001 t in 1995. From 1996-2003, it remained relatively stable at low levels with an average value of around 1300 t . Starting from 2004, SSB is estimated to have been even lower. The values for 2004-2010 are the lowest in the series, with SSB in 2008 (689 t) corresponding to the lowest values. Since 2011, SSB values are increasing, being 1264 t , the 2014 value, the highest of the last years. In 2015 the value is quite similar, 1223 t .

After a decline from 2006 (0.39) to 2010 (0.07), the fishing mortality follows an increasing trend, with a decrease in 2015.

Recruitment (at age 1) varies substantially throughout the time-series, but shows a general decline from the high levels seen until the 1992 year class. Since 1998 recruitment has been continuously at low levels (recruitment in 2009 is estimated to be the lowest value of the series). In 2010 a good recruitment occurred, with a value more similar to those estimated for the previous decade. However, in 2011 and 2012, values of recruitments decreased again. 2013 showed a small increase followed by a decrease in the last year. In 2015 the recruitment seems to be very high, with a value similar to those of middle nineties.

### 6.1.3.6 Catch Options and prognosis

Stock projections were calculated according to the settings specified in the Stock Annex.

### 6.1.3.7 Short-term projections

Short-term projections have been made using MFDP.

The input data for deterministic short-term predictions are shown in Table 6.1.13. Average $F_{b a r}$ for the last three years is assumed for the interim year. The exploitation pattern is the scaled F-at-age computed for each of the last five years and then the average of these scaled five years was weighted to the final year. This selection pattern was split into selection-at-age of landings and discards (corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.26$ for landings and $F_{b a r}=0.02$ for discards, being 0.28 for catches).

According with stock annex, GM recruitment is computed over years 1998-final assessment year minus 2 . Age 2 for 2016 is replaced by the recruitment GM reduced by total estimated mortality obtained from the fishing mortality of age 1 of the last year and the natural mortality.

Management options for catch prediction are in Table 6.1.14. Figure 6.1.8 shows the short-term forecast summary. The detailed output by age group is given in Table 6.1.15 for landings and discards.

Under status quo F, landings in 2016 and 2017 are predicted to be 285 t and 266 t respectively, and discards 25 t and 24 t respectively. SSB would decrease from the 1085 t estimated for 2016 to 1000 t in 2017 and to 928 t in 2018.

The contributions of recent year classes to the predicted landings in 2017 and SSB in 2018, assuming GM98-13 recruitment, are presented in Table 6.1.16. The assumed GM9812 age 1 recruitment for the 2015 and 2016 year classes contributes $16 \%$ to landings in 2017 and $40 \%$ to the predicted SSB at the beginning of 2018. Megrim starts to contribute strongly to SSB at 2 years of age (see maturity ogive in Table 6.1.13).

### 6.1.3.8 Yield and biomass per recruit analysis

The results of the yield- and SSB-per-recruit analyses are in Table 6.1 .17 (see also left panel of Figure 6.1.8, which plots yield-per-recruit and SSB-per-recruit vs. Fbar). Assuming status quo exploitation $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.26$ for landings and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.02$ for discards and GM98-13 for recruitment, the equilibrium yield would be 206 t of landings and 24 t of discards with an SSB of 820 t .

### 6.1.4 Biological reference points

The stock-recruitment time-series is plotted in Figure 6.1.9.All recruitment values since 1998 have been low, until 2010, with a high recruitment value, followed by not so higher ones and another very high in 2015.

See Stock Annex for information about Biological reference points.
The BRP are:

|  | Type | Value | Technical basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY <br> Approach | MSY ${ }_{\text {trigger }}$ | 980 t | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ |
|  | Fmsy | 0.19 |  |
|  | Fmsy lower | 0.12 | based on 5\% reduction in yield |
|  | Fmsy upper (with advice rule) | 0.29 | based on 5\% reduction in yield |
|  | Fmsy upper (without advice rule) | 0.24 | based on 5\% reduction in yield |
|  | FP. 05 | 0.24 | $5 \%$ risk to $B_{\text {lim }}$ without $B_{\text {trigger }}$. |
| Precautionary <br> Approach | Blim | 700 t | Bloss estimated in 2015 |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 980 t | 1.4 Blim |
|  | Flim | 0.45 | Based on segmented regression simulation of recruitment with Blim as the breakpoint and no error |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.32 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\lim } \times \exp (-\sigma \times 1.645) \sigma=0.2$ |

### 6.1.5 Comments on the assessment

The behaviour of commercial fleets with regards to landings of age 1 individuals appears to have changed in time. Hence, data from commercial fleets used for tuning is only taken for ages 3 and older, as how it is set in the stock annex. However, the Spanish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) provides good information on age 1 abundance.

Comparison of this assessment with the one performed last year shows that there are quite similar without appreciable shifts (Figure 6.1.10)

Megrim starts to contribute strongly to SSB at 2 years of age. Around $40 \%$ of the predicted SSB in 2018 relies on year classes for which recruitment has been assumed to be GM98-13.

### 6.1.6 Management considerations.

It should be taken into account that megrim, L. whiffiagonis, is caught in mixed fisheries. There is a common TAC for both species of megrim (L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii), so the joint status of the two species should be taken into consideration when formulating management advice. Megrims are bycatch in mixed fisheries generally directed to white fish. Therefore, fishing mortality of megrims could be influenced by restrictions imposed on demersal mixed fisheries, aimed at preserving and rebuilding the overexploited stocks of southern hake and Nephrops.

This is a small stock (average stock SSB since 1986 is 1300 t ). Managing according to a very low F for megrim could cause serious difficulties for the exploitation of other stocks in the mixed fishery (choke species effect). Both Iberian megrim stocks are assessed separately but managed together, situation that may produce inconsistencies when these stocks are considered in a mixed fisheries approach. In fact, this effect was observed in the results of the last mixed fisheries analysis developed for Iberian stocks by the WGMIXFISH_METH (ICES, 2013).Of course, any F to be applied for the management of megrim must be in conformity with the precautionary approach.

Working group considers that this stock could be just "the tail" of the much larger stock of megrim in ICES Subarea 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d and suggests to reconsider the stock limits and the inclusion in the Northern megrim stock. This option was studied during the Stock Identification Methods Working Group (SIMWG) in 2015 and the conclusion was that SIMWG did not find strong evidence to support combining the northern and southern stock areas and recommends that the current stock separation stand till more studies are developed (ICES, 2015)

Table. 6.1.1 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Landings, discards and catch (t).

| Year | Spain landings |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Portugal landings } \\ \hline 9 a \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Unallocated | Total landings | Discards | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8c | 9a*** | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 508 | 98 | 606 | 53 |  | 659 | 46 | 705 |
| 1987 | 404 | 46 | 450 | 47 |  | 497 | 40 | 537 |
| 1988 | 657 | 59 | 716 | 101 |  | 817 | 42 | 859 |
| 1989 | 533 | 45 | 578 | 136 |  | 714 | 47 | 761 |
| 1990 | 841 | 25 | 866 | 111 |  | 977 | 45 | 1022 |
| 1991 | 494 | 16 | 510 | 104 |  | 614 | 41 | 655 |
| 1992 | 474 | 5 | 479 | 37 |  | 516 | 42 | 558 |
| 1993 | 338 | 7 | 345 | 38 |  | 383 | 38 | 421 |
| 1994 | 440 | 8 | 448 | 31 |  | 479 | 13 | 492 |
| 1995 | 173 | 20 | 193 | 25 |  | 218 | 40 | 258 |
| 1996 | 283 | 21 | 305 | 24 |  | 329 | 44 | 373 |
| 1997 | 298 | 12 | 310 | 46 |  | 356 | 52 | 408 |
| 1998 | 372 | 8 | 380 | 66 |  | 446 | 36 | 482 |
| 1999 | 332 | 4 | 336 | 7 |  | 343 | 43 | 386 |
| 2000 | 238 | 5 | 243 | 10 |  | 253 | 35 | 288 |
| 2001 | 167 | 2 | 169 | 5 |  | 175 | 19 | 193 |
| 2002 | 112 | 3 | 115 | 3 |  | 117 | 19 | 137 |
| 2003 | 113 | 3 | 116 | 17 |  | 134 | 15 | 148 |
| 2004 | 142 | 1 | 144 | 5 |  | 149 | 11 | 159 |
| 2005 | 120 | 1 | 121 | 26 |  | 147 | 19 | 166 |
| 2006 | 173 | 2 | 175 | 35 |  | 210 | 16 | 226 |
| 2007 | 139 | 2 | 141 | 14 |  | 155 | 0.4 | 155 |
| **2008 | 114 | 2 | 116 | 17 |  | 133 | 11 | 144 |
| 2009 | 74 | 2 | 77 | 7 |  | 84 | 11 | 94 |
| 2010 | 66 | 8 | 74 | 10 |  | 83 | 5 | 88 |
| *+2011 | 242 | 0 | 242 | 34 | 26 | 302 | 69 | 371 |
| *+2012 | 151 | 11 | 161 | 18 | 83 | 262 | 31 | 293 |
| *+2013 | 128 | 3 | 131 | 11 | 90 | 231 | 18 | 250 |
| *2014 | 225 | 5 | 231 | 30 | 116 | 377 | 23 | 399 |
| *2015 | 188 | 2 | 190 | 23 | 63 | 276 | 21 | 297 |
| +Data revised in WG2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{IX}$ a is without Gulf of Cádiz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Data revised in WG2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Official dat | y country | nd unallo | ated landin |  |  |  |  |  |

Table. 6.1.2(a) Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Discard/Total Catch ratio and estimated CV for Spain from sampling on board

| Year | 1994 | 1997 | 1999 | 2000 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weight Ratio | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| CV | 50.83 | 32.23 | 33.4 | 48.41 | 19.93 | 29.24 | 43.17 | 31.62 | 55.01 | 58.8 |
| Number Ratio | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.20 |


| Year | 2009 | 2010 | $2011^{*}$ | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weight Ratio | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| CV | 52.9 | 61.6 | 23.7 | 28.8 | 30.3 | 44.7 | 49.8 |
| Number Ratio | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.29 |

All discard data revised in WG2011
*Data revised in WG2013

Table. 6.1.2(b) Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Discards in numbers-at-age (thousands) for Spanish trawlers

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 104 | 138 |
| 2 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 93 | 339 |
| 3 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 136 | 425 |
| 4 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 51 | 130 |
| 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 10 |
| 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |


|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 138 | 41 | 138 | 270 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| 2 | 339 | 453 | 339 | 471 | 611 | 338 | 338 | 239 | 164 | 223 |
| 3 | 425 | 857 | 425 | 284 | 160 | 82 | 82 | 57 | 28 | 61 |
| 4 | 130 | 142 | 130 | 197 | 73 | 31 | 31 | 12 | 6 | 38 |
| 5 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 26 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 |
| 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |


|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | $2011^{*}$ | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 330 | 442 | 624 |
| 2 | 19 | 11 | 126 | 142 | 119 | 2044 | 808 | 53 | 94 | 10 |
| 3 | 108 | 0 | 86 | 21 | 6 | 346 | 85 | 13 | 16 | 4 |
| 4 | 115 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 41 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6.1.3(a) Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Annual length distributions in landings in 2015.

| Length (cm) | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | 427 |
| 19 | 2712 |
| 20 | 9973 |
| 21 | 29550 |
| 22 | 55465 |
| 23 | 86298 |
| 24 | 147036 |
| 25 | 156788 |
| 26 | 179564 |
| 27 | 154345 |
| 28 | 134409 |
| 29 | 117388 |
| 30 | 108607 |
| 31 | 88555 |
| 32 | 67747 |
| 33 | 62958 |
| 34 | 41580 |
| 35 | 35561 |
| 36 | 28707 |
| 37 | 25450 |
| 38 | 19094 |
| 39 | 15095 |
| 40 | 9827 |
| 41 | 8905 |
| 42 | 5617 |
| 43 | 3963 |
| 44 | 3004 |
| 45 | 1511 |
| 46 | 1062 |
| 47 | 627 |
| 48 | 334 |
| 49 | 463 |
| 50+ | 156 |
| Total | 1602777 |

Table 6.1.3(b) Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) Divisions 8.c and 9.a.

| Year | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean length (cm) | 22.3 | 23.5 | 24.6 | 23.4 | 25.1 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 26 |
| Mean weight (g) | 105 | 108 | 129 | 108 | 124 | 121 | 120 | 118 | 119 | 127 | 134 | 124 | 137 |


| Year | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean length (cm) | 25.7 | 26.1 | 25.32 | 26.15 | 26.68 | 26.64 | 27.58 | 29.4 | 27.63 | 28.2 | 29.39 | 28.6 | 28.72 |
| Mean weight (g) | 134 | 137 | 127 | 137 | 148 | 146.8 | 163.2 | 187.4 | 159.5 | 163.2 | 187.5 | 170.7 | 172.3 |

*Mean lengths and mean weights in landings since 1990

Table 6.1.4 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Catch numbers-at-age.


Table 6.1.5 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Catch weights at age (kg).


Table 6.1.6 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Abundance and Recruitment indices from Portuguese and Spanish surveys.


Table 6.1.7 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Tuning data.

FLT01: SP-LCGOTBDEF 1000 Days by 100 HP (thousan،FLTO3: SPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 (n/30 min) 19862015

19882015


1011988
911989
1201990
1071991
1161992
1091993
1181994
1161995
1141996
1161997
1141998
1161999
1132000
1132001
1102002
1122003
1142004
1162005
1152006
1172007
1152008
1172009
1142010
1112011
1152012
1142013
1162014
1142015

FLT02: SP-AVSOTBDEF 1000 Days by 100 HP (thousand) (*)

| 1986 | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 408 | 516 | 428 | 209 | 182 | 153 | 92 | 3.9 | 1986 |  |
| 10 | 590 | 471 | 510 | 242 | 145 | 168 | 55 | 3.0 | 1987 |  |
| 10 | 1458 | 905 | 749 | 357 | 155 | 193 | 85 | 3.4 | 1988 |  |
| 10 | 836 | 514 | 539 | 253 | 145 | 174 | 68 | 3.3 | 1989 |  |
| 10 | 4366 | 949 | 225 | 173 | 46 | 50 | 71 | 3.2 | 1990 |  |
| 10 | 980 | 855 | 229 | 100 | 84 | 15 | 7 | 3.5 | 1991 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.2 | 1992 |  |
| 10 | 1149 | 1490 | 91 | 100 | 53 | 25 | 19 | 2.4 | 1993 |  |
| 10 | 19 | 176 | 547 | 135 | 133 | 51 | 24 | 4.5 | 1994 |  |
| 10 | 41 | 2 | 43 | 140 | 70 | 26 | 14 | 3.5 | 1995 |  |
| 10 | 135 | 797 | 14 | 117 | 259 | 74 | 62 | 2.3 | 1996 |  |
| 10 | 96 | 880 | 621 | 34 | 153 | 128 | 46 | 2.6 | 1997 |  |
| 10 | 16 | 309 | 375 | 233 | 52 | 69 | 38 | 5.1 | 1998 |  |
| 10 | 10 | 110 | 398 | 263 | 162 | 38 | 70 | 4.9 | 1999 |  |
| 10 | 29 | 54 | 239 | 230 | 146 | 36 | 53 | 2.5 | 2000 |  |
| 10 | 37 | 200 | 193 | 122 | 115 | 84 | 85 | 1.3 | 2001 |  |
| 10 | 54 | 158 | 239 | 65 | 93 | 53 | 47 | 2.0 | 2002 |  |
| 10 | 26 | 84 | 105 | 70 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 2.2 | 2003 |  |
| 10 | 53 | 231 | 208 | 248 | 193 | 103 | 60 | 1.6 | 2004 |  |
| 10 | 118 | 182 | 309 | 117 | 107 | 59 | 26 | 3.0 | 2005 |  |
| 10 | 43 | 182 | 236 | 120 | 83 | 46 | 12 | 2.8 | 2006 |  |
| 10 | 25 | 48 | 72 | 93 | 41 | 24 | 20 | 2.2 | 2007 |  |
| 10 | 5 | 153 | 85 | 51 | 49 | 18 | 16 | 2.0 | 2008 |  |
| 10 | 12 | 41 | 67 | 50 | 39 | 39 | 21 | 2.3 | 2009 |  |
| 10 | 50 | 45 | 66 | 160 | 136 | 121 | 62 | 2.0 | 2010 |  |
| 10 | 6 | 483 | 95 | 133 | 168 | 134 | 110 | 2.2 | 2011 |  |
| 10 | 0 | 28 | 118 | 23 | 29 | 18 | 28 | 2.6 | 2012 |  |
| 10 | 11 | 35 | 129 | 279 | 38 | 31 | 62 | 1.5 | 2013 |  |
| 10 | 7 | 116 | 64 | 73 | 117 | 22 | 53 | 3.0 | 2014 |  |
| 10 | 33 | 42 | 100 | 52 | 63 | 63 | 33 | 1.8 | 2015 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.1.8 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis). LPUE data by fleet in Divisions 8.c and 9.a.

|  | SP-LCGOTBDEF |  |  | SP-AVSOTBDEF |  |  | Portugal trawl in 9a |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Landings (t) | Effort L | LPUE ${ }^{1}$ | Landings (t) | Effort L | LPUE ${ }^{1}$ | Landings (t) | Effort L | LPUE ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1986 | 16 | 7.1 | 2.24 | 83 | 3.9 | 21.17 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 36 | 12.7 | 2.85 | 52 | 3.0 | 17.65 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 29 | 11.3 | 2.59 | 83 | 3.4 | 24.65 | 74.9 | 38.5 | 1.95 |
| 1989 | 24 | 11.9 | 2.03 | 65 | 3.3 | 19.76 | 92.2 | 44.7 | 2.06 |
| 1990 | 27 | 8.8 | 3.05 | 120 | 3.2 | 36.91 | 86.0 | 39.0 | 2.20 |
| 1991 | 29 | 9.6 | 3.05 | 52 | 3.5 | 14.96 | 85.5 | 45.0 | 1.90 |
| 1992 | 32 | 10.2 | 3.10 | 35 | 2.3 | 15.46 | 32.6 | 50.9 | 0.64 |
| 1993 | 11 | 7.1 | 1.53 | 45 | 2.4 | 18.55 | 31.7 | 44.2 | 0.72 |
| 1994 | 32 | 8.5 | 3.79 | 52 | 4.5 | 11.39 | 25.8 | 45.8 | 0.56 |
| 1995 | 12 | 13.4 | 0.86 | 34 | 3.5 | 9.72 | 21.4 | 37.0 | 0.58 |
| 1996 | 26 | 11.0 | 2.36 | 39 | 2.3 | 17.13 | 22.2 | 46.5 | 0.48 |
| 1997 | 30 | 12.5 | 2.43 | 51 | 2.6 | 19.16 | 41.5 | 33.4 | 1.24 |
| 1998 | 30 | 8.2 | 3.65 | 62 | 5.1 | 12.19 | 60.1 | 43.1 | 1.39 |
| 1999 | 23 | 8.8 | 2.65 | 63 | 4.9 | 12.67 | 4.3 | 25.3 | 0.17 |
| 2000 | 35 | 10.5 | 3.33 | 26 | 2.5 | 10.49 | 6.9 | 27.0 | 0.25 |
| 2001 | 28 | 12.1 | 2.30 | 15 | 1.3 | 11.15 | 1.3 | 43.1 | 0.03 |
| 2002* | 22 | 11.0 | 2.01 | 18 | 2.0 | 9.14 | 1.0 | 31.2 | 0.03 |
| 2003* | 18 | 10.2 | 1.73 | 12 | 2.2 | 5.72 | 15.3 | 40.5 | 0.38 |
| 2004 | 12 | 7.0 | 1.66 | 23 | 1.6 | 14.77 | 3.4 | 35.4 | 0.10 |
| 2005 | 9 | 7.1 | 1.29 | 33 | 3.0 | 11.10 | 19.0 | 42.6 | 0.45 |
| 2006 | 11 | 7.8 | 1.44 | 27 | 2.8 | 9.62 | 26.3 | 40.3 | 0.65 |
| 2007** | 13 | 7.3 | 1.78 | 11 | 2.2 | 4.85 | 10.5 | 43.8 | 0.24 |
| 2008** | 12 | 9.0 | 1.30 | 11 | 2.0 | 5.27 | 14.4 | 38.4 | 0.37 |
| 2009 | 9 | 8.0 | 1.06 | 11 | 2.3 | 5.05 | 6.0 | 49.3 | 0.12 |
| 2010 | 12 | 5.8 | 2.02 | 24 | 2.0 | 11.74 | 7.3 | 48.0 | 0.15 |
| 2011 | 17 | 5.1 | 3.43 | 41 | 2.2 | 18.67 | 24.8 | 49.4 | 0.50 |
| 2012 | 43 | 7.6 | 5.58 | 11 | 2.6 | 4.40 | 14.5 | 30.9 | 0.47 |
| 2013*** | 33 | 10.8 | 3.02 | 16 | 1.5 | 11.07 | 8.1 | 28.0 | 0.29 |
| 2014 | 20 | 13.4 | 1.47 | 26 | 3.0 | 8.80 | 25.7 | 49.2 | 0.52 |
| 2015 | 29 | 9.8 | 3.00 | 14 | 1.8 | 7.54 | 18.0 | 17.7 | 1.02 |

${ }^{1}$ LPUE as catch (kg) per fishing day per 100 HP .
${ }^{2}$ LPUE as catch ( kg ) per hour.

* Effort from Portuguese trawl revised from original value presented
** Effort from Portuguese trawl revised in WG2010 from original value presented
*** Effort from SP-LCGOTBDEF and SP-AVSOTBDEF revised in WG2015 from original value presented

Table 6.1.9. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Tuning diagnostic. Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1

2/05/2016 13:42
Extended Survivors Analysis
Megrim (L. whiffiagonis.) in Divisions 8c and 9a
CPUE data from file fleetw.txt
Catch data for 30 years. 1986 to 2015. Ages 1 to 7 .

| Fleet | First <br> year | Last year | First age |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Last } \\ & \text { age } \end{aligned}$ |  | Alpha | Beta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF | 1986 | 2015 |  | 3 |  | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| SP-AVSOTBDEF | 1986 | 2015 |  | 3 |  | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| SP-GFS | 1990 | 2015 |  | 1 |  | 6 | 0.75 | 0.83 |

Time series weights :
Tapered time weighting not applied

Catchability analysis :

Catchability dependent on stock size for ages $<3$
Regression type $=\mathrm{C}$
Minimum of 5 points used for regression
Survivor estimates shrunk to the population mean for ages < 3

Catchability independent of age for ages $>=5$

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F
of the final 5 years or the 3 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk $=1.500$

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet $=.200$
Prior weighting not applied

Tuning had not converged after 140 iterations

Total absolute residual between iterations
139 and $140=.00062$

| Final year F values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Iteration ** | 0.0859 | 0.1076 | 0.2785 | 0.399 | 0.5185 | 0.4088 |
| Iteration** | 0.086 | 0.108 | 0.279 | 0.399 | 0.518 | 0.409 |


| Regression weights |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing mortalities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| 1 | 0.053 | 0.035 | 0.088 | 0.131 | 0.023 | 0.520 | 0.322 | 0.083 | 0.161 | 0.086 |
| 2 | 0.344 | 0.102 | 0.197 | 0.099 | 0.040 | 0.233 | 0.118 | 0.091 | 0.232 | 0.108 |
| 3 | 0.427 | 0.250 | 0.193 | 0.121 | 0.062 | 0.222 | 0.282 | 0.196 | 0.388 | 0.278 |
| 4 | 0.418 | 0.403 | 0.260 | 0.132 | 0.118 | 0.394 | 0.365 | 0.346 | 0.443 | 0.399 |
| 5 | 0.353 | 0.394 | 0.420 | 0.211 | 0.178 | 0.450 | 0.724 | 0.326 | 0.557 | 0.518 |
| 6 | 0.240 | 0.260 | 0.287 | 0.259 | 0.342 | 0.721 | 0.324 | 0.532 | 0.561 | 0.409 |

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 2006 | 2360 | 2000 | 1850 | 893 | 679 | 570 |
|  | 2007 | 2890 | 1830 | 1160 | 989 | 482 | 391 |
|  | 2008 | 1740 | 2290 | 1350 | 738 | 541 | 266 |
|  | 2009 | 1530 | 1310 | 1540 | 914 | 466 | 291 |
|  | 2010 | 7220 | 1100 | 970 | 1110 | 656 | 309 |
|  | 2011 | 5600 | 5780 | 865 | 746 | 812 | 449 |
|  | 2012 | 3260 | 2730 | 3750 | 567 | 412 | 424 |
|  | 2013 | 4980 | 1930 | 1980 | 2310 | 322 | 163 |
|  | 2014 | 3480 | 3760 | 1440 | 1340 | 1340 | 190 |
|  | 2015 | 9560 | 2430 | 2440 | 803 | 702 | 629 |
| Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:

| 5020 | 3500 | 2250 | 1390 | 832 | 425 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Standard error of the weighted $\log ($ VPA populations) :

| 0.6452 | 0.6271 | 0.5184 | 0.4742 | 0.4166 | 0.4507 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Log catchability residuals.

## Fleet : SP-LCGOTBDEF

| Age |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 No data for this fleet at this age 2 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | -0.2 |  | -0.74 | -0.57 | -0.58 | -0.6 | -0.7 | 0.2 | -0.54 |
|  | 4 | -0.42 | -0.62 | -0.48 | -0.17 | -0.18 | 0.02 | -0.27 | -0.44 | 0.42 | -0.1 |
|  | 5 | -0.44 | -0.74 | -0.43 | -0.75 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.35 | -0.45 | 1.1 | -0.28 |
|  | 6 | -0.51 | -0.81 | -0.49 | -0.52 | -0.2 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.08 | 1.4 | -0.35 |
| Age |  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|  | 1 No data for this fleet at this age 2 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | -1.33 | 0.02 | -0.03 | -0.02 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.52 | -0.32 | -0.47 | 0.34 |
|  | 4 | -0.46 | -0.93 | 0.45 | -0.04 | 0.55 | 0.21 | -0.23 | -0.28 | -0.3 | -0.5 |
|  | 5 | 0.3 | -0.07 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.34 | -0.08 | 0.24 | -0.32 | -0.38 | -0.68 |
|  | 6 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 1.19 | 0.79 | -0.27 | 0 | -0.33 | -0.57 | 0.37 | -0.73 |
| Age |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | 1 No data for this fleet at this age 2 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.17 | 0.85 | 2.07 | 0.69 | -0.02 | 0.69 |
|  | 4 | 0.08 | 0.4 | -0.02 | -0.44 | 0.09 | 0.98 | 1.85 | 0.7 | -0.4 | 0.53 |
|  | 5 | -0.47 | 0.14 | 0.05 | -0.54 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 1.98 | -0.06 | -0.77 | 0.3 |
|  | 6 | -0.7 | -0.25 | -0.4 | -0.38 | 0.71 | 0.31 | 0.76 | 0.49 | -0.56 | 0.05 |

Mean $\log$ catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log$ q | -6.3956 | -5.9978 | -5.5403 | -5.5403 |
| S.E(Log q) | 0.6381 | 0.5589 | 0.5796 | 0.5945 |

Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

| Age | Slope |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1.07 | -0.267 | 6.31 | 0.37 | 30 | 0.69 | -6.4 |
|  | 4 | 1.43 | -1.384 | 5.47 | 0.27 | 30 | 0.78 | -6 |
|  | 5 | 1.67 | -1.6 | 4.74 | 0.17 | 30 | 0.95 | -5.54 |
|  | 6 | 1.35 | -1.062 | 5.32 | 0.25 | 30 | 0.8 | -5.51 |

Fleet: SP-AVSOTBDEF


Mean $\log$ catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log$ q | -4.644 | -4.4767 | -4.2053 | -4.2053 |
| S.E(Log q) | 0.7071 | 0.4211 | 0.37 | 0.7139 |

Regression statistics :

Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

| Age | Slope |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 0.76 | 1.267 | 5.39 | 0.5 | 29 | 0.53 | -4.64 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.82 | 1.318 | 4.97 | 0.66 | 29 | 0.34 | -4.48 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.81 | 1.438 | 4.68 | 0.68 | 29 | 0.29 | -4.21 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 1.26 | -0.701 | 3.56 | 0.21 | 29 | 0.89 | -4.08 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
|  | 199.99 |  | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -0.24 | -0.49 | -0.13 | -0.05 | -1.29 | -0.21 |
|  | 2 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.04 | -0.3 | -0.56 | -0.02 | -0.88 | -0.83 |
|  | 3 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.2 | -0.76 | -0.34 | -1.02 | 0.29 | -1.29 |
|  | 4 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.69 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.31 |
|  | 5 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.58 | -0.19 | 0.31 | -0.06 |
|  | 6 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.69 | -0.42 | -0.56 | -0.48 | -0.03 | -0.31 |


| Age |  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | -0.02 | -0.09 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.69 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.45 |
|  | 2 | -0.07 | -0.03 | -0.14 | 0.4 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.27 | -0.02 |
|  | 3 | -1.18 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.89 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.59 |
|  | 4 | -0.47 | -0.45 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 0.61 | -0.53 | -0.14 | -0.02 | 0.32 |
|  | 5 | -0.37 | -0.11 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.33 | -0.2 | -0.26 | 0.37 |
|  | 6 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.54 | 1.17 | -0.12 | -0.55 | -0.64 | -0.99 | 0.61 | -0.14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.13 | 0.3 | -0.24 | -0.16 | 0.1 | -0.15 | -0.3 | 99.99 | -0.01 | 0.45 |
|  |  |  | 0.27 | -0.02 | 0.12 | -0.17 | -0.36 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 99.99 | 0.02 |
|  |  | 0.0 .12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.1 | 0.13 | -1.15 | 0.64 | 0.52 | 99.99 | 0.25 | -0.25 |
|  | 3 | 0.37 | -0.25 | -0.08 | -0.48 | -0.78 | -0.21 | 99.99 | 0.2 | 0.07 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.26 | -0.12 | -0.38 | -0.49 | -0.3 | -0.77 | 99.99 | -0.06 |
|  |  | -0.14 | -0.07 | -0.56 | -0.16 | 0.35 | 0.06 | -0.49 | 99.99 | 0.09 | -0.39 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log q$ | -6.7951 | -6.5717 | -6.3521 | -6.3521 |
| S.E( $\log$ q) | 0.6176 | 0.3801 | 0.327 | 0.5076 |

Regression statistics :
Ages with q dependent on year class strength
Age Slope $\quad \mathrm{t}$-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Log q

| 1 | 0.51 | 3.686 | 7.86 | 0.71 | 25 | 0.4 | -7.34 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.64 | 2.649 | 7.38 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.4 | -6.99 |

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age |  |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 0.9 | 0.451 | 6.88 | 0.47 | 25 | 0.57 | -6.8 |
|  | 4 | 0.73 | 2.457 | 6.73 | 0.78 | 25 | 0.25 | -6.57 |
|  | 5 | 0.77 | 1.79 | 6.43 | 0.72 | 25 | 0.24 | -6.35 |
|  | 6 | 1.35 | -1.139 | 6.63 | 0.31 | 25 | 0.66 | -6.47 |

Terminal year survivor and F summaries :
Age 1 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=2014$


Weighted prediction :


Age 2 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=2013$


Weighted prediction :


Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=2012$


Weighted prediction :


Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=2011$



Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=2010$

| Fleet | Est | Int | Ext | Var | N | Scaled |  | Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Su | s.e | s.e | Ratio |  | Weights |  | F |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF | 399 | 0.358 | 0.29 | 0.81 |  | 3 | 0.169 | 0.459 |
| SP-AVSOTBDEF | 276 | 0.271 | 0.098 | 0.36 | 3 | 0.319 | 0.612 |  |
| SP-GFS | 372 | 0.207 | 0.057 | 0.27 | 4 | 0.491 | 0.486 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F shrinkage mean | 409 | 1.5 |  |  |  | 0.021 | 0.45 |  |

Weighted prediction:


Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 5
Year class $=2009$

| Fleet | Est | Int | Ext | Var | N |  | Scaled | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Su | s.e | s.e | Ratio |  |  | Weights | F |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF | 416 | 0.33 | 0.483 | 1.47 |  | 4 | 0.226 | 0.347 |
| SP-AVSOTBDEF | 315 | 0.263 | 0.171 | 0.65 |  | 4 | 0.31 | 0.437 |
| SP-GFS | 329 | 0.222 | 0.153 | 0.69 |  | 5 | 0.44 | 0.423 |
| F shrinkage mean | 350 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.024 | 0.401 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors at end of year | Int |  |  | Ext | N | Var |  | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | s.e |  | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |
|  | 343 |  | 0.15 | 0.14 |  | 14 | 0.893 | 0.409 |

Table 6.1.10. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) Div. 8.c and 9.a. Estimates of fishing mortality-at-age.
Run title : Megrim (L. whiffiagonis.) in Divisions 8c and9a

At 2/05/2016 13:44

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

Table 8 Fishing mortality ( F ) at age

| YEA | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1583 | 0.2191 | 0.367 | 0.1199 | 0.4756 | 0.2847 | 0.1393 | 0.1959 | 0.067 | 0.0989 |
| 2 | 0.4063 | 0.5495 | 0.6503 | 0.4783 | 0.4501 | 0.6017 | 0.2773 | 0.3247 | 0.1924 | 0.3474 |
| 3 | 0.3027 | 0.2577 | 0.4829 | 0.2743 | 0.3442 | 0.2911 | 0.3466 | 0.2372 | 0.5177 | 0.1925 |
| 4 | 0.4463 | 0.2466 | 0.3631 | 0.5381 | 0.5427 | 0.4913 | 0.6949 | 0.4096 | 0.5248 | 0.3346 |
| 5 | 0.6128 | 0.3713 | 0.6007 | 0.4478 | 0.6018 | 1.0461 | 1.0749 | 0.4968 | 1.2762 | 0.4687 |
| 6 | 0.4262 | 0.1811 | 0.4172 | 0.4458 | 0.7238 | 0.562 | 0.3173 | 0.5242 | 1.2674 | 0.436 |
| +gp | 0.4262 | 0.1811 | 0.4172 | 0.4458 | 0.7238 | 0.562 | 0.3173 | 0.5242 | 1.2674 | 0.436 |
| FBAR 2 | 0.3851 | 0.3513 | 0.4988 | 0.4302 | 0.4457 | 0.4614 | 0.4396 | 0.3238 | 0.4116 | 0.2915 |


| Table 8 | Fishing mortality (F) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YEA | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0609 | 0.0786 | 0.1053 | 0.2183 | 0.1851 | 0.1227 | 0.1453 | 0.14 | 0.0714 | 0.1458 |  |
| 2 | 0.3369 | 0.3204 | 0.2796 | 0.1874 | 0.1877 | 0.1684 | 0.1034 | 0.1682 | 0.1412 | 0.1266 |  |
| 3 | 0.2031 | 0.3499 | 0.3871 | 0.4289 | 0.389 | 0.3509 | 0.2199 | 0.1722 | 0.1718 | 0.3672 |  |
| 4 | 0.2171 | 0.1356 | 0.5032 | 0.3724 | 0.3529 | 0.2472 | 0.1594 | 0.1716 | 0.2291 | 0.2052 |  |
| 5 | 0.494 | 0.4718 | 0.6977 | 0.5135 | 0.324 | 0.2448 | 0.2528 | 0.1957 | 0.273 | 0.2214 |  |
| 6 | 0.5818 | 0.6442 | 1.0793 | 0.9006 | 0.1647 | 0.2337 | 0.1326 | 0.1221 | 0.4105 | 0.1965 |  |
| +gp | 0.5818 | 0.6442 | 1.0793 | 0.9006 | 0.1647 | 0.2337 | 0.1326 | 0.1221 | 0.4105 | 0.1965 |  |
| FBAR 2 | 0.2524 | 0.2686 | 0.3899 | 0.3295 | 0.3099 | 0.2555 | 0.1609 | 0.1707 | 0.1807 | 0.233 |  |

Table 8 Fishing mortality (F) at age

| YEA | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | FBAR 13-15 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0529 | 0.035 | 0.0881 | 0.131 | 0.0231 | 0.5196 | 0.3222 | 0.0829 | 0.1612 | 0.0859 | 0.11 |  |
| 2 | 0.3444 | 0.1022 | 0.197 | 0.0985 | 0.04 | 0.233 | 0.1181 | 0.0909 | 0.2325 | 0.1076 | 0.1437 |  |
| 3 | 0.4269 | 0.2504 | 0.1929 | 0.1214 | 0.0623 | 0.2223 | 0.2822 | 0.1963 | 0.3875 | 0.2785 | 0.2874 |  |
| 4 | 0.4178 | 0.4034 | 0.2603 | 0.1316 | 0.1177 | 0.3943 | 0.3654 | 0.3456 | 0.4426 | 0.399 | 0.3957 |  |
| 5 | 0.3534 | 0.3943 | 0.4205 | 0.2105 | 0.1784 | 0.4498 | 0.7241 | 0.3257 | 0.5566 | 0.5183 | 0.4669 |  |
| 6 | 0.24 | 0.2603 | 0.287 | 0.2588 | 0.3422 | 0.7214 | 0.3236 | 0.5318 | 0.5613 | 0.4086 | 0.5006 |  |
| +gp | 0.24 | 0.2603 | 0.287 | 0.2588 | 0.3422 | 0.7214 | 0.3236 | 0.5318 | 0.5613 | 0.4086 |  |  |
| FBAR 2 | 0.3964 | 0.252 | 0.2167 | 0.1172 | 0.0733 | 0.2832 | 0.2552 | 0.211 | 0.3542 | 0.2617 |  |  |

Table 6.1.11. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) Div. 8.c and 9.a. Estimates of stocks numbers-at-age

Run title : Megrim (L. whiffiagonis.) in Divisions 8c and 9a

At 2/05/2016 13:44

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

| Table 10 Stock number at age (start of year) |  |  |  |  |  | Numbers* ${ }^{*} 0^{* *}$-3 |  |  | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEA | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10204 | 13248 | 11931 | 10749 | 13340 | 6053 | 11908 | 5330 | 2267 | 9956 |
| 2 | 7868 | 7131 | 8712 | 6768 | 7806 | 6788 | 3728 | 8482 | 3587 | 1736 |
| 3 | 3377 | 4291 | 3370 | 3723 | 3434 | 4074 | 3045 | 2313 | 5019 | 2423 |
| 4 | 1992 | 2043 | 2715 | 1702 | 2317 | 1993 | 2493 | 1763 | 1494 | 2449 |
| 5 | 1218 | 1044 | 1307 | 1546 | 814 | 1102 | 998 | 1019 | 958 | 724 |
| 6 | 643 | 540 | 590 | 587 | 809 | 365 | 317 | 279 | 508 | 219 |
| +gp | 612 | 471 | 418 | 770 | 1181 | 150 | 274 | 97 | 179 | 223 |
| TOTAL | 25916 | 28769 | 29042 | 25845 | 29701 | 20526 | 22764 | 19282 | 14012 | 17729 |


| Table 10YEA | 0 Stock number at age (start of year) |  |  |  |  | Numbers*10**-3 |  |  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10042 | 7825 | 4600 | 2767 | 4055 | 3619 | 3015 | 3113 | 3366 | 2819 |
| 2 | 7384 | 7735 | 5923 | 3389 | 1821 | 2759 | 2621 | 2135 | 2216 | 2566 |
| 3 | 1004 | 4316 | 4597 | 3667 | 2301 | 1236 | 1909 | 1935 | 1477 | 1575 |
| 4 | 1636 | 671 | 2491 | 2556 | 1955 | 1277 | 712 | 1254 | 1333 | 1019 |
| 5 | 1435 | 1078 | 480 | 1233 | 1442 | 1125 | 816 | 497 | 865 | 868 |
| 6 | 371 | 717 | 551 | 195 | 604 | 854 | 721 | 519 | 335 | 539 |
| +gp | 201 | 267 | 245 | 379 | 1166 | 622 | 328 | 460 | 234 | 253 |
| TOTAL | 22072 | 22610 | 18885 | 14186 | 13345 | 11491 | 10123 | 9913 | 9827 | 9639 |


| Table 10 Stock number at age (start of year) |  |  |  |  |  | Numbers*10**-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEA | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 98-13 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2359 | 2893 | 1744 | 1531 | 7224 | 5601 | 3258 | 4984 | 3482 | 9564 | 0 | 3301 |
| 2 | 1995 | 1832 | 2287 | 1307 | 1099 | 5780 | 2728 | 1933 | 3756 | 2426 | 7187 |  |
| 3 | 1851 | 1158 | 1354 | 1538 | 970 | 865 | 3749 | 1984 | 1445 | 2437 | 1784 |  |
| 4 | 893 | 989 | 738 | 914 | 1115 | 746 | 567 | 2314 | 1335 | 803 | 1511 |  |
| 5 | 679 | 482 | 541 | 466 | 656 | 812 | 412 | 322 | 1341 | 702 | 441 |  |
| 6 | 570 | 391 | 266 | 291 | 309 | 449 | 424 | 163 | 190 | 629 | 343 |  |
| +gp | 185 | 268 | 154 | 140 | 163 | 390 | 552 | 236 | 366 | 268 | 488 |  |
| TOTAL | 8533 | 8012 | 7083 | 6186 | 11536 | 14644 | 11688 | 11937 | 11915 | 16830 | 11754 |  |

Table 6.1.12 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Summary of landings and XSA results.

Run title : Megrim (L. whiffiagonis.) in Divisions 8c and 9a

At 2/05/2016 13:44

Table 16 Summary (without SOP correction)

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

| Age 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 10204 | 2629 | 2278 | 705 | 0.3095 | 0.3851 |
| 1987 | 13248 | 2378 | 1920 | 537 | 0.2797 | 0.3513 |
| 1988 | 11931 | 2594 | 2181 | 858 | 0.3935 | 0.4988 |
| 1989 | 10749 | 2738 | 2356 | 761 | 0.3231 | 0.4302 |
| 1990 | 13340 | 2839 | 2416 | 1022 | 0.423 | 0.4457 |
| 1991 | 6053 | 1839 | 1641 | 655 | 0.3991 | 0.4614 |
| 1992 | 11908 | 1844 | 1573 | 558 | 0.3548 | 0.4396 |
| 1993 | 5330 | 1593 | 1422 | 421 | 0.2961 | 0.3238 |
| 1994 | 2267 | 1307 | 1226 | 492 | 0.4012 | 0.4116 |
| 1995 | 9956 | 1344 | 1001 | 258 | 0.2577 | 0.2915 |
| 1996 | 10042 | 1676 | 1345 | 373 | 0.2773 | 0.2524 |
| 1997 | 7825 | 1616 | 1398 | 408 | 0.2919 | 0.2686 |
| 1998 | 4600 | 1526 | 1393 | 482 | 0.346 | 0.3899 |
| 1999 | 2767 | 1248 | 1168 | 386 | 0.3305 | 0.3295 |
| 2000 | 4055 | 1399 | 1289 | 288 | 0.2234 | 0.3099 |
| 2001 | 3619 | 1083 | 968 | 194 | 0.2004 | 0.2555 |
| 2002 | 3015 | 999 | 905 | 136 | 0.1503 | 0.1609 |
| 2003 | 3113 | 1136 | 1021 | 149 | 0.1459 | 0.1707 |
| 2004 | 3366 | 956 | 831 | 160 | 0.1925 | 0.1807 |
| 2005 | 2819 | 1000 | 885 | 166 | 0.1876 | 0.233 |
| 2006 | 2359 | 953 | 848 | 226 | 0.2665 | 0.3964 |
| 2007 | 2893 | 893 | 760 | 155 | 0.2039 | 0.252 |
| 2008 | 1744 | 746 | 689 | 144 | 0.2091 | 0.2167 |
| 2009 | 1531 | 732 | 690 | 95 | 0.1378 | 0.1172 |
| 2010 | 7224 | 931 | 745 | 88 | 0.1182 | 0.0733 |
| 2011 | 5601 | 1288 | 1141 | 371 | 0.3252 | 0.2832 |
| 2012 | 3258 | 1298 | 1215 | 293 | 0.2411 | 0.2552 |
| 2013 | 4984 | 1226 | 1083 | 250 | 0.2309 | 0.211 |
| 2014 | 3482 | 1381 | 1264 | 399 | 0.3157 | 0.3542 |
| 2015 | 9564 | 1482 | 1223 | 297 | 0.2427 | 0.2617 |

Arith.

| Mean | 6095 | 1489 | 1296 | 378 | 0.2692 | 0.3004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units | (Thousands) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) |  |  |

Table 6.1.13. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Division 8.c, 9.a. Prediction with management option table: Input data

MFDP version 1a
Run: MEG
Time and date: 16:38 03/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 2-4
Fbar age range Fleet 1 : 2-4

| Age 2016 | Stock size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef. Spaw. | Prop. of M bef. Spaw. | Weight <br> in Stock | Exploit pattern | Weight CWt | Exploit pattern | Weight DWt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3301 | 0.2 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.033 | 0.006 | 0.063 | 0.229 | 0.031 |
| 2 | 2480 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.091 | 0.119 | 0.100 | 0.035 | 0.063 |
| 3 | 1784 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.133 | 0.266 | 0.134 | 0.008 | 0.089 |
| 4 | 1511 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.163 | 0.396 | 0.164 | 0.003 | 0.112 |
| 5 | 441 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.204 | 0.524 | 0.205 | 0.001 | 0.116 |
| 6 | 343 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.258 | 0.522 | 0.259 | 0.001 | 0.092 |
| 7 | 488 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.375 | 0.523 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.038 |


| Age 2017 | Stock size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef. Spaw. | Prop. of M bef. Spaw. | Weight <br> in Stock | Exploit pattern | Weight CWt | Exploit pattern | Weight DWt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3301 | 0.2 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.033 | 0.006 | 0.063 | 0.229 | 0.031 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.091 | 0.119 | 0.100 | 0.035 | 0.063 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.133 | 0.266 | 0.134 | 0.008 | 0.089 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.163 | 0.396 | 0.164 | 0.003 | 0.112 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.204 | 0.524 | 0.205 | 0.001 | 0.116 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.258 | 0.522 | 0.259 | 0.001 | 0.092 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.375 | 0.523 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.038 |


| Age 2018 | Stock <br> size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef. Spaw. | Prop. of M bef. Spaw. | Weight in Stock | Exploit pattern | Weight CWt | Exploit pattern | Weight DWt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3301 | 0.2 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.033 | 0.006 | 0.063 | 0.229 | 0.031 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.091 | 0.119 | 0.100 | 0.035 | 0.063 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.133 | 0.266 | 0.134 | 0.008 | 0.089 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.163 | 0.396 | 0.164 | 0.003 | 0.112 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.204 | 0.524 | 0.205 | 0.001 | 0.116 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.258 | 0.522 | 0.259 | 0.001 | 0.092 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.375 | 0.523 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.038 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Table 6.1.14. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Div. 8.c and 9.a catch forecast: management option table

MFDP version 1a
Run: MEG
Time and date: 16:38 03/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 2-4
Fbar age range Fleet 1 : 2-4

| 2016 |  |  | Catch Landings |  | Discards |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Yield | FBar | Yield |  |
| 1179 | 1085 | 1 | 0.2603 | 285 | 0.0153 | 25 |  |


| 2017 |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Landings | Discards | 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Yield | FBar | Yield | Biomass | SSB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1091 | 1000 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 1370 | 1274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.1 | 0.0260 | 32 | 0.0015 | 3 | 1328 | 1233 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.2 | 0.0521 | 62 | 0.0031 | 5 | 1288 | 1194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.3 | 0.0781 | 91 | 0.0046 | 8 | 1250 | 1156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.4 | 0.1041 | 120 | 0.0061 | 10 | 1213 | 1119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.5 | 0.1301 | 146 | 0.0077 | 13 | 1178 | 1084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.6 | 0.1562 | 172 | 0.0092 | 15 | 1144 | 1051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.7 | 0.1822 | 197 | 0.0107 | 17 | 1111 | 1018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.8 | 0.2082 | 221 | 0.0123 | 20 | 1079 | 987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 0.9 | 0.2342 | 244 | 0.0138 | 22 | 1049 | 957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1 | 0.2603 | 266 | 0.0153 | 24 | 1019 | 928 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.1 | 0.2863 | 287 | 0.0169 | 26 | 991 | 901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.2 | 0.3123 | 308 | 0.0184 | 28 | 964 | 874 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.3 | 0.3383 | 327 | 0.0199 | 30 | 938 | 848 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.4 | 0.3644 | 346 | 0.0215 | 32 | 912 | 823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.5 | 0.3904 | 364 | 0.0230 | 34 | 888 | 799 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.6 | 0.4164 | 382 | 0.0245 | 36 | 865 | 776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.7 | 0.4425 | 398 | 0.0261 | 38 | 842 | 754 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.8 | 0.4685 | 415 | 0.0276 | 40 | 820 | 732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 1.9 | 0.4945 | 430 | 0.0291 | 42 | 799 | 712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 1000 | 2 | 0.5205 | 445 | 0.0307 | 44 | 779 | 692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Table 6.1.15. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Single option prediction: Detail Tables.


Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

| Table | 6.1.16 |  | Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8c and 9a <br> Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in predictions, and the relative (\%) contributions to landings and SSB (by weight) of these year classes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class |  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  | 016 |
| Stock No. | thousan |  | 4984 | 3482 | 3301 | 3301 |  | 301 |
| of |  | 1 year-olds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source |  |  | XSA | XSA | GM98-13 | GM98-13 | GM98-13 |  |
| Status Quo F: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% in | 2016 | catch | 23.8 | 16.7 | 9.6 | 6.4 |  | - |
| \% in | 2017 |  | 21.8 | 18.7 | 17.3 | 8.7 |  | 6.9 |
| \% in | 2016 | SSB | 22.7 | 21.8 | 18.7 | 3.4 |  | - |
| \% in | 2017 | SSB | 17.0 | 18.1 | 23.1 | 17.5 |  | 3.7 |
| \% in | 2018 | SSB | 11.2 | 13.5 | 19.1 | 21.4 |  | 8.8 |

Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8c and 9a: Year-class \% contribution to


Table 6.1.17. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a, yield-per-recruit results.

MFYPR version 2a
MFYPR ver
Run: MEG
Time and date: 16:41 03/05/2016
Yield per results
Catch $\quad$ Landing

| Catch | Landings |  |  | Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FMult | Fbar | CatchNos | Yield | Fbar | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SpwnNosJan | SSBJan | SpwnNosSpwn | SSBSpwn |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.5167 | 1.0955 | 4.7748 | 1.0664 | 4.7748 | 1.0664 |
| 0.1 | 0.026 | 0.1263 | 0.0324 | 0.0015 | 0.0237 | 0.0009 | 4.7698 | 0.8487 | 4.0299 | 0.8197 | 4.0299 | 0.8197 |
| 0.2 | 0.0521 | 0.2047 | 0.0494 | 0.0031 | 0.0467 | 0.0017 | 4.2653 | 0.6901 | 3.5271 | 0.6613 | 3.5271 | 0.6613 |
| 0.3 | 0.0781 | 0.2565 | 0.0585 | 0.0046 | 0.0691 | 0.0025 | 3.8973 | 0.5804 | 3.161 | 0.5518 | 3.161 | 0.5518 |
| 0.4 | 0.1041 | 0.2919 | 0.0632 | 0.0061 | 0.0908 | 0.0032 | 3.6142 | 0.5005 | 2.8797 | 0.472 | 2.8797 | 0.472 |
| 0.5 | 0.1301 | 0.3166 | 0.0654 | 0.0077 | 0.1119 | 0.004 | 3.3878 | 0.4399 | 2.655 | 0.4116 | 2.655 | 0.4116 |
| 0.6 | 0.1562 | 0.3339 | 0.0661 | 0.0092 | 0.1323 | 0.0047 | 3.2011 | 0.3926 | 2.47 | 0.3645 | 2.47 | 0.3645 |
| 0.7 | 0.1822 | 0.346 | 0.0658 | 0.0107 | 0.1522 | 0.0053 | 3.0436 | 0.3546 | 2.3141 | 0.3267 | 2.3141 | 0.3267 |
| 0.8 | 0.2082 | 0.3542 | 0.065 | 0.0123 | 0.1716 | 0.006 | 2.9081 | 0.3236 | 2.1803 | 0.2958 | 2.1803 | 0.2958 |
| 0.9 | 0.2342 | 0.3594 | 0.06 | 0.0138 | 0.1904 | 0.0066 | 2.79 | 0.2977 | 2.0636 | 0.2701 | 2.0636 | 0.2701 |
| 1 | 0.2603 | 0.3624 | 0.0624 | 0.0153 | 0.2087 | 0.0073 | 2.6853 | 0.2759 | 1.9607 | 0.2483 | 1.9607 | 0.2483 |
| 1.1 | 0.2863 | 0.3637 | 0.061 | 0.0169 | 0.2264 | 0.0079 | 2.592 | 0.2571 | 1.8688 | 0.2297 | 1.8688 | 0.2297 |
| 1.2 | 0.3123 | 0.3636 | 0.0594 | 0.0184 | 0.2437 | 0.0084 | 2.5078 | 0.2409 | 1.7861 | 0.2136 | 1.7861 | 0.2136 |
| 1.3 | 0.3383 | 0.3625 | 0.0579 | 0.0199 | 0.2606 | 0.009 | 2.4314 | 0.2267 | 1.7112 | 0.1996 | 1.7112 | 0.1996 |
| 1.4 | 0.3644 | 0.3604 | 0.0563 | 0.0215 | 0.2769 | 0.0095 | 2.3616 | 0.2141 | 1.6428 | 0.1871 | 1.6428 | 0.1871 |
| 1.5 | 0.3904 | 0.3577 | 0.0548 | 0.023 | 0.2928 | 0.0101 | 2.2975 | 0.2029 | 1.58 | 0.176 | 1.58 | 0.176 |
| 1.6 | 0.4164 | 0.3544 | 0.0533 | 0.0245 | 0.3083 | 0.0106 | 2.2383 | 0.1929 | 1.5221 | 0.1661 | 1.5221 | 0.1661 |
| 1.7 | 0.4425 | 0.3506 | 0.0519 | 0.0261 | 0.3234 | 0.011 | 2.1833 | 0.1838 | 1.4685 | 0.1572 | 1.4685 | 0.1572 |
| 1.8 | 0.4685 | 0.3465 | 0.0505 | 0.0276 | 0.3381 | 0.0115 | 2.1322 | 0.1756 | 1.4187 | 0.1491 | 1.4187 | 0.1491 |
| 1.9 | 0.4945 | 0.3421 | 0.0491 | 0.0291 | 0.3524 | 0.012 | 2.0845 | 0.1681 | 1.3722 | 0.1417 | 1.3722 | 0.1417 |
| 2.0 | 0.5205 | 0.3375 | 0.0478 | 0.0307 | 0.3663 | 0.0124 | 2.0399 | 0.1612 | 1.3287 | 0.1349 | 1.3287 | 0.1349 |
| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet1 Landings Fbar(2-4 | 1 | 0.2603 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMax | 0.6138 | 0.1597 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F0.1 | 0.3556 | 0.0925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F35\%SPR | 0.5795 | 0.1508 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Weights in kilograms


Spanish Landings of 2008 revised in WG2010 from original value presented
Figure 6.1.1 Historical landings and biomass indices of Spanish survey of megrims (both species combined).


Figure 6.1.2 Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Annual length compositions of landings ('000)


Spanish Landings of 2008 revised in WG2010 from original value presented

* Portuguese Trawl Effort of 2007 and 2008 revised in WG2010 from original value presented

Figure 6.1.3(a) Megrim (L.whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Catches ( $\mathbf{t}$ ), Efforts, LPUEs and Abundance Indices.

Standardized log (abundance index at age) from survey SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 (black bubbles means <0)


[^1]Figure 6.1.3(b): Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a

Standardized log (abundance index at age) from A Coruña fleet (SP-LCGOTBDEF) (black bubble means $<0$ )


Standardized $\log$ (abundance index at age) from Avilés fleet (SP-AVSOTBDEF) (black bubble means < 0)


Figure 6.1.3(c): Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a

## Catches proportions at age



Standardized catches proportions at age (black bubble means $<0$ )


Figure 6.1.4(a). Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a.

Landings proportions at age


Standardized landings proportions at age (black bubble means <0)


Figure 6.1.4(b). Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a.

Discards proportions at age

years
Standardize discards proportions at age (black bubble means < 0)


Figure 6.1.4(c). Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a.


Figure 6.1.5. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Retrospective XSA


Figure 6.1.6. Megrim in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. LOG-CATCHABILITY RESIDUAL PLOTS (XSA)


Figure 6.1.7(a) Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Stock Summary

Standardized F-at-age (black bubbles means $<0$ )


Standardized relative F-at-age (black bubble means $<0$ )


Figure 6.1.7(b): Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a


Figure 6.1.8. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a, forecast summary


Figure 6.1.9. Megrim (L.whiffiagonis) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. SSB-Recruitment plot. (numbers in graph, 1987-2014, are recruitment years)


Figure 6.1.10. Megrim (L. whiffiagonis) in Div. 8.c and 9.a. Recruits, SSB and F estimates from WG15 and WG16

### 6.2 Four-spot megrim (Lepidorhombus boscii)

### 6.2.1 General

See general section for both species.

### 6.2.2 Data

### 6.2.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

The WG estimates of four-spot megrim international landings, discards and catches for the period 1986-2015 are given in Table 6.2.1. Estimates of catches currently include an unallocated landing category. These estimates are considered the best information available at this time. In 2015, data revised for period 2011-2013 were provided. This revision produced an improvement in the allocation of sampling trips and data revised are used in the assessment. Landings reached a peak of 2629 t in 1989 and have generally declined since then to their lowest value of 720 t in 2002 . There has been some increase again in the last few years. Landings in 2010 are 1297 t , the highest value after 1995. After a similar value in 2011, landings in 2013 are 931 t , a significant drop. In 2015, the landings value of 1148 t is quite similar to the last year.
Discards estimates were available from "observers on board sampling programme" for Spain in the years displayed in Table 6.2.2(a). Discard / Total Catch ratio and CV are also presented, where discards in number represent between $39-67 \%$ of the total catch. Following the ICES recommendations in the advice sheet and using the same methodology described for L. whiffiagonis in section 6.1.2.1, discards missing data were also estimated for L. boscii in the Benchmark WKSOUTH in 2014. Spanish discards in num-bers-at-age are shown in Table 6.2.2(b), indicating that the bulk of discards (in numbers) is for ages 1 to 3. Total discards are given in tons in Table 6.2.1

### 6.2.2.2 Biological sampling

Annual length compositions of total stock landings are given in Figure 6.2.1 and Table 6.2.3(a) for the period 1986-2015. Unallocated value is raised to total length distribution.

Mean length and weights in landings since 1990 are shown in the Table 6.2.3(b).
Age compositions of catches are presented in Table 6.2.4 Weights-at-age of catches (given in Table 6.2.5) were also used as weights-at-age in the stock. There is some variability in the weights-at-age through the historical time-series.

For more information about biological data see Stock Annex.

### 6.2.2.3 Abundance indices from surveys

Portuguese and Spanish survey indices are summarized in Table 6.2.6.
Two Portuguese surveys, named "Crustacean" (PT-CTS (UWTV(FU28-29))) and "October" (PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4), provide indices for 2014. The October survey was conducted with a different vessel and gear in 2003 and 2004. Excluding these two years, the biomass indices from this survey in 2007 and 2011 were the highest observed since 1994, whereas the value in 2010 is the second lowest in the series. In 2011, both the biomass and abundance indices from the Crustacean survey are the highest in the timeseries. In 2012, Portuguese Survey was not carried out due to budgetary constraints of national scope turned unfeasible to repair the RV.

Total biomass, abundance and recruitment indices from the Spanish Groundfish Survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) are also presented in Table 6.2.6. Total biomass indices from this survey generally remained stable after a maximum level in 1988 till 2003, when a very low value was obtained (as done in previous years, the 2003 index has been excluded from the assessment, as it was felt to be too much in contradiction with the rest of the time-series). Since then, this was followed by the period of the higher values till present days, with the only exception of 2008. In 2013, the biomass and the abundance indices were the highest of the series. For the same raison that for L. whiffiagonis, survey carried out in a new vessel and with new fishing doors, the abundance values of 2013 is not included in the assessment models.

The recruitment index for age 0 in 2005 was very high and also in 2009 and 2014. The high index in 2009 applies to all ages and not just the recruitment (see Table 6.2.7, which gives abundance indices by age, and Figure 6.2.2, which is a bubble plot of $\log$ (abundance index at age) standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation over the years). Since 2009, almost all ages appears to be above average. From Figure 6.2.2, the survey appears to have been quite good at tracking cohorts, in the last ten years, good cohorts of 2005 and 2009 can be followed, especially the second one.

### 6.2.2.4 Commercial catch-effort data

Two new commercial tuning indices were provided also for this stock as in the case of L. whiffiagonis. The LPUEs of the métiers of bottom otter trawl targeting demersal species, previously describe in section 6.1.2.4, one per port (A Coruña and Avilés), were made available for the benchmark WKSOUTH in 2014. From these new tuning fleets, SP-LCGOTBDEF and SP-AVSOTBDEF, only the first one was accepted to tune the assessment model. The LPUEs and effort values and landed numbers-at-age are given in Table 6.2.7 and Figure 6.2.3(a).

These fleets operate in different areas, each covering only a small part of the distribution of the stock, which may partly explain differences between patterns from these fleets and those from the Spanish survey in some years. Furthermore, commercial catches are mostly composed of ages 3 and 4, while the Spanish survey catches mostly fish of ages 1 and 2.

Table 6.2.8 displays landings (in tonnes), fishing effort and LPUE for the two Spanish trawl fleets just mentioned for the period 1988-2015 and for the Portuguese trawl fleet fishing in Division 9.a for the period 1988-2015 (see also Figure 6.2.3). After very high value in 2010, the LPUE of Coruña (SP-LCGOTBDEF) shows in 2015 an increase in relation to last year. A decrease is observed in the LPUE from Avilés (SP-AVSOTBDEF) in 2015. For the Portuguese fleets, until 2011 most logbooks were filled in paper but have thereafter been progressively replaced by e-logbooks. In 2013 more than $90 \%$ of the logbooks are being completed in the electronic version. The LPUE series were revised from 2012 onwards. To revise the series backwards further refinement of the algorithms is required.

## Commercial fleets used in the assessment to tune the model

Because of the trend in the residuals, A Coruña fleet (SP-LCGOTBDEF) was split in two (SP-LCGOTBDEF -1 and SP-LCGOTBDEF-2) for tuning, considering values until 1999 and from 2000 to 2015, as indicated in the Stock Annex. In Figure 6.2.3(b), the bubble plots of $\log$ (abundance index at age) standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation over the years) of these two fleets are presented.

Some cohorts can be followed in the time-series. The effort of this fleet had been generally stable till year 2009, when effort is declining to its lowest value in the series, reached in 2011. After this year, the effort is increasing till 2014 the highest value of the time-series, decreasing in 2015 again.

## Commercial fleets not used in the assessment to tune the model

The effort of the Avilés fleet (SP-AVSOTBDEF) present two periods, the first one with a mean value of 3.2 and the second with 2.2 (days $/ 1000) \times(\mathrm{HP} / 100)$. The value in 2013 is one of the lowest of the series and it is similar in 2015.

The effort of the Portuguese trawl fleet appears to fluctuate within stable bounds, with the lowest values corresponding to 1999 and 2000. It shows a slightly declining trend through the 1990s until these two lowest years and a slightly increasing one since then. The 2015 value represents a significant decrease, being the lowest of the time-series.

The LPUE series from the Avilés trawl fleet (SP-AVSOTBDEF) shows a generally upwards trend during all the series. The LPUE of the Portuguese trawl fleet has generally declined since 1992, with an increase in the last year till 2010, when the values started a decreasing trend. The value in 2015 is the highest over the years.

### 6.2.3 Assessment

An update assessment was conducted, according to the Stock Annex specifications. Assessment years are 1986-2015 and ages 0-7+.

### 6.2.4 Model

## Data screening

Figures 6.2.4(a), (b) and (c) are bubble plots representing catch, landings and discards proportions at age. These plots clearly indicate that the bulk of the landings generally corresponds to ages 2 to 4 and the discards at ages 1-2. Although in the last years, it seems to be an increase in age 5 and a decrease in age 2 . The bottom panel of Figures 6.2.4(a), (b) and (c) also present bubble plots corresponding to standardized catch, landings and discards proportions at age, showing that the one corresponding to landings is the best to follow cohorts.

Very weak cohorts corresponding to year classes of 1993 and 1998 can be clearly identified from the standardized landing proportions at age matrix and good cohorts corresponding to year classes of 1991, 1992, 1995 and 2005 can also be tracked.

## Final XSA run

Settings for the assessment are those detailed in the Stock Annex.
The retrospective analysis shows no particular worrying features (Figure 6.2.5). The model has a tendency to underestimate F and an overestimate SSB in the last years.

### 6.2.4.1 Assessment results

Diagnostics from the XSA final run are presented in Table 6.2.9 and log-catchability residuals plotted in Figure 6.2.6. Diagnostics and residuals are similar to those found in the previous assessment. Many of the survey residuals are negative until the mid2000's. After that, positive survey residuals are more abundant in this period.

Table 6.2.10 presents the fishing mortality-at-age estimates. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}\left(=\mathrm{F}_{2-4}\right)$ is estimated to be 0.40 in 2015.

Population numbers-at-age estimates are presented in Table 6.2.11.

### 6.2.4.2 Year-class strength and recruitment estimations

The 2013 year class estimate is 48.2 million individuals, obtained by averaging estimates coming from the Spanish survey tuning data ( $95 \%$ of weight) and F-shrinkage (5\% weight).

The 2014 year class estimate is 90 million individuals, estimated from the Spanish survey ( $95 \%$ of weight) and F-shrinkage ( $5 \%$ weight).

The 2015 year class estimate is 27.1 million individuals, obtained a value from the Spanish survey ( $79 \%$ weight) and F-shrinkage ( $21 \%$ weight).

The working group considered that the XSA last year recruitment is poorly estimated. Following the procedure stated in the Stock Annex, the geometric mean of estimated recruitment over the years 1990-2013 has been used for computation of 2015 and subsequent year classes, for prediction purposes. Working Group estimates of year-class strength used for prediction are:

Recruitment-at-age 0:

| Year CLASS | Thousand | BASIS | SURVEY | Commercial | SHRINKAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 48207 | XSA | $95 \%$ | - | $5 \%$ |
| 2014 | 90047 | XSA | $95 \%$ | - | $5 \%$ |
| 2015 | 43283 | GM90-13 |  | - |  |
| 2016 | 43283 | GM90-13 |  |  |  |

### 6.2.4.3 Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality, and recruitment

Estimated fishing mortality and population numbers-at-age from the XSA run are given in Tables 6.2.10 and 6.2.11. Further results, including SSB estimates, are summarized in Table 6.2.12 and Figure 6.2.7(a).
SSB decreased gradually from 6742 t in 1989 to 3216 t in 2001, the lowest value in the series, and has since increased. In 2015 the SSB is estimated at 6615 t , one of the highest.

Recruitment has fluctuated around 45 million fish during all the series. Very weak year classes are found in 1993 and 1998. The second highest value occurred in 2009, while 2014 value is the highest in the series, with 90 million fish.

Estimates of fishing mortality values show two different periods: an initial one with higher values from 1989 to 1996 and, following a decrease in 1997, a second period stabilized at a lower level, with small ups and downs. From 2007, the F has been decreasing till the last three years, especially in the last, when a significant increase has occurred with a value of 0.40 .

There seems to be interannual variability of the relative fishing exploitation pattern at age (F over Fbar, see Figure 6.2.7(b), bottom panel), with alternating periods of time with higher and lower relative exploitation pattern on the older ages.

### 6.2.5 Catch options and prognosis

Stock projections were calculated according to the settings specified in the Stock Annex.

### 6.2.5.1 Short-term projections

Short-term projections have been made using MFDP software. The input data for deterministic short-term projections are given in Table 6.2.13. Average Fbar for the last three years is assumed for the interim year. The exploitation pattern was the scaled F-at-age computed for each of the last five years and then the average of these scaled five years was weighted to the final year. This selection pattern was split into selection-atage of landings and discards (corresponding to Fbar $=0.21$ for landings and Fbar $=0.16$ for discards, being 0.36 for catches). The recruitment in 2015 (age 0 ) has been replaced by GM (according with stock annex, GM is computed over years 1990-final assessment year minus 2), age 1 in 2016 has been recalculated from GM reduced by total estimated mortality obtained from the fishing mortality of age 0 of the last year and the natural mortality.

Table 6.2.14 gives the management options for 2017, and their consequences in terms of projected landings and stock biomass. Figure 6.2 .8 (right panel) plots short-term yield and SSB vs. Fbar. The detailed output by age group, assuming F status quo, is given in Table 6.2.15 for landings and discards. Under this scenario, projected landings for 2016 and 2017 are 1411 and 1497 t, respectively. Projected discards for the same years are 743 and 601 t .

Under F status quo, projected SSB values for 2017 and 2018 are about 6940 t in 2017 and 6422 t in 2018.

The contributions of recent year classes to the projected landings and SSB are presented in Table 6.2.16. The year classes for which GM90-13 recruitment is assumed contribute in a 5\% to catches in 2017 and with a 28\% to SSB in 2018.

### 6.2.5.2 Yield and biomass per recruit analysis

The analysis is conducted following the Stock Annex specifications and results presented in Table 6.2.17. The left panel of Figure 6.2 .8 plots yield-per-recruit and SSB-perrecruit vs. Fbar.

Under F status quo ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.21$ for landings and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.16$ for discards), yield-per-recruit is 0.02 kg for landings and 0.01 kg for discards and SSB-per-recruit is 0.11 kg . Assuming GM90-13 recruitment of 43 million, the equilibrium yield would be around 1004 t of landings and 450 t of discards, with an SSB value of 4809 t .

### 6.2.5.3 Biological reference points

The stock-recruitment time-series is plotted in Figure 6.2.9. See Stock Annex for more information about Biological reference points.

The BRP are:

|  | Type | Value | Technical basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY <br> Approach | MSY Btrigger | 4600 t | Bpa |
|  | Fmsy | 0.19 |  |
|  | Fmsy lower | 0.13 | based on 5\% reduction in yield |
|  | Fmš upper (with advice rule) | 0.29 | based on 5\% reduction in yield |
|  | Fmsy upper (without advice rule) | 0.29 | based on 5\% reduction in yield |
|  | Fp. 05 | 0.40 | $5 \%$ risk to $\mathrm{Blim}_{\text {lim }}$ without Brrigger . |
| Precautionary <br> Approach | Blim | 3300 t | Bloss estimated in 2015 |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 4600 t | 1.4 Blim |
|  | Flim | 0.57 | Based on segmented regression simulation of recruitment with Blim as the breakpoint and no error |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.41 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\lim } \times \exp (-\sigma \times 1.645) \sigma=0.2$ |

### 6.2.6 Comments on the assessment

Two commercial fleets (SP-LCGOTBDEF-1 and SP-LCGOTBDEF-2) and the Spanish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) were used for tuning. The commercial fleet data used for tuning corresponds to ages 3 and older, which are not well represented in the survey. The Spanish survey covers a large part of the distribution area of the stock. The survey appears to have been quite good at tracking cohorts.

With the new settings, discards data and new tuning fleets, the model converges. It seems that the convergence issue is solved for this stock.

Comparison of this assessment with the one performed in 2015 shows minor differences in SSB in recent years which have been revised downward (Figure 6.2.10).

### 6.2.7 Management considerations

This assessment indicates that SSB decreased substantially between 1988 and 2001, the year with lowest SSB, and that there has been a smooth increasing trend from 2001 to present. Fishing at status quo F during 2016 and 2017 would result in some biomass increase for 2016 and 2017.

There is no evidence of reduced recruitment at low stock levels.
As with L. whiffiagonis, it should be noted that four-spot megrim (L. boscii) is caught in mixed fisheries, and management measures applied to this species may have implications for other stocks. Both species of megrim are subject to a common TAC, so the joint status of these species should be taken into account when formulating management advice.

### 6.3 Combined Forecast for Megrims (L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii)

Figure 6.3.1 plots total international landings and estimated stock trends for both species of megrim in the same graph, in order to facilitate comparisons. The two species of megrim are included in the landings from ICES Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Both are taken as bycatch in mixed bottom-trawl fisheries.

Assuming status quo F for both species in 2016 (average of estimated F over 2013-2015, corresponding to Fbar $=0.26$ for landings and Fbar $=0.02$ for discards for L. whiffiagonis and Fbar $=0.21$ for landings and Fbar $=0.16$ for discards for L. boscii), Figure 6.3 .2 gives the combined predicted landings for 2017 and individual SSB for 2018, under different multiplying factors of their respective status quo F values. The combined projected values for the two species have been computed as the sum of the individual projected values obtained for each species separately under its assumed exploitation pattern. As usual, the exploitation pattern for each species has been assumed to remain constant during the forecast period.

At status quo F (average F over 2013-2015) for both species, predicted combined catches in 2016 are 1763 t and individual SSBs in 2017 are 928 t for L. whiffiagonis and 6422 t for L. boscii.

Table 6.2.1. Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Total landings (t).

| Year | Spain landings |  |  | Portugal landings | Unallocated | Total landings | Discards | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8c | 9a*** | Total | 9a |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 799 | 197 | 996 | 128 |  | 1124 | 284 | 1408 |
| 1987 | 995 | 586 | 1581 | 107 |  | 1688 | 333 | 2021 |
| 1988 | 917 | 1099 | 2016 | 207 |  | 2223 | 363 | 2586 |
| 1989 | 805 | 1548 | 2353 | 276 |  | 2629 | 408 | 3037 |
| 1990 | 927 | 798 | 1725 | 220 |  | 1945 | 409 | 2354 |
| 1991 | 841 | 634 | 1475 | 207 |  | 1682 | 447 | 2129 |
| 1992 | 654 | 938 | 1592 | 324 |  | 1916 | 437 | 2353 |
| 1993 | 744 | 419 | 1163 | 221 |  | 1384 | 438 | 1822 |
| 1994 | 665 | 561 | 1227 | 176 |  | 1403 | 517 | 1920 |
| 1995 | 685 | 826 | 1512 | 141 |  | 1652 | 406 | 2058 |
| 1996 | 480 | 448 | 928 | 170 |  | 1098 | 368 | 1466 |
| 1997 | 505 | 289 | 794 | 101 |  | 896 | 308 | 1204 |
| 1998 | 725 | 284 | 1010 | 113 |  | 1123 | 378 | 1501 |
| 1999 | 713 | 298 | 1011 | 114 |  | 1125 | 317 | 1442 |
| 2000 | 674 | 225 | 899 | 142 |  | 1041 | 373 | 1414 |
| 2001 | 629 | 177 | 807 | 124 |  | 931 | 290 | 1221 |
| 2002 | 343 | 247 | 590 | 130 |  | 720 | 308 | 1028 |
| 2003 | 393 | 314 | 707 | 169 |  | 876 | 191 | 1067 |
| 2004 | 534 | 295 | 829 | 177 |  | 1006 | 348 | 1354 |
| 2005 | 473 | 321 | 794 | 189 |  | 983 | 375 | 1358 |
| 2006 | 542 | 348 | 891 | 201 |  | 1092 | 335 | 1427 |
| 2007 | 591 | 295 | 886 | 218 |  | 1104 | 292 | 1396 |
| **2008 | 546 | 262 | 808 | 172 |  | 980 | 202 | 1182 |
| 2009 | 577 | 342 | 919 | 215 |  | 1134 | 279 | 1413 |
| 2010 | 616 | 484 | 1100 | 197 |  | 1297 | 265 | 1562 |
| ${ }^{+} 2011$ | 390 | 384 | 774 | 181 | 172 | 1128 | 269 | 1397 |
| ${ }^{+} 2012$ | 240 | 239 | 479 | 98 | 374 | 952 | 369 | 1321 |
| ${ }^{+} 2013$ | 338 | 283 | 621 | 80 | 230 | 931 | 496 | 1427 |
| *2014 | 427 | 313 | 739 | 142 | 273 | 1154 | 788 | 1942 |
| *2015 | 460 | 255 | 715 | 137 | 296 | 1148 | 597 | 1745 |

+Data revised in WG2015
${ }^{* * *}$ IXa is without Gulf of Cádiz
** Data revised in WG2010

* Official data by country and unallocated landings

Table. 6.2.2(a) Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Discard/Total Catch ratio and estimated CV for Spain from sampling on board

| Year | 1994 | 1997 | 1999 | 2000 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight Ratio | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| CV | 23.2 | 11.2 | 14.4 | 16.5 | 10.2 | 23.1 | 24.0 | 48.4 | 18.3 | 22.6 |
| Number Ratio | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.42 |


| Year | 2009 | 2010 | $2011^{*}$ | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weight Ratio | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.34 |
| CV | 21.1 | 18.8 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 23.2 | 17.8 | 20.1 |
| Number Ratio | 0.39 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.60 |

**All discard data revised in WG2011
*Data revised in WG2013

Table. 6.2.2(b) Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Discards in numbers-at-age (thousands) for Spanish trawlers

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 678 | 1289 |
| 1 | 3322 | 3322 | 3322 | 3322 | 3322 | 3322 | 3322 | 3322 | 2741 | 3322 |
| 2 | 4322 | 4322 | 4322 | 4322 | 4322 | 4322 | 4322 | 4322 | 4134 | 4322 |
| 3 | 2211 | 2211 | 2211 | 2211 | 2211 | 2211 | 2211 | 2211 | 2710 | 2211 |
| 4 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 581 | 605 |
| 5 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 189 | 94 |
| 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 55 | 20 |
| 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 4 |


| 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1289 | 256 | 1289 | 2933 | 354 | 208 | 208 | 238 | 33 | 10 |
| 1 | 3322 | 3273 | 3322 | 3954 | 6148 | 5673 | 5673 | 4479 | 6393 | 3515 |
| 2 | 4322 | 6099 | 4322 | 2734 | 1207 | 1750 | 1750 | 989 | 3053 | 5482 |
| 3 | 2211 | 2108 | 2211 | 1815 | 1888 | 1025 | 1025 | 495 | 693 | 609 |
| 4 | 605 | 146 | 605 | 1088 | 1218 | 477 | 477 | 50 | 163 | 183 |
| 5 | 94 | 90 | 94 | 3 | 171 | 67 | 67 | 2 | 27 | 56 |
| 6 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  | 23 |
| 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 6 |


|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | $2011^{*}$ | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1 | 100 | 202 | 2 | 2879 | 30 | 682 | 275 | 0 | 157 |
| 1 | 1233 | 3248 | 2342 | 1525 | 10362 | 5132 | 5313 | 5499 | 5645 | 2437 |
| 2 | 2497 | 4541 | 2374 | 2490 | 1301 | 3595 | 2480 | 4379 | 11089 | 7061 |
| 3 | 1445 | 757 | 1384 | 1970 | 696 | 544 | 1057 | 3030 | 2139 | 4588 |
| 4 | 486 | 105 | 52 | 480 | 283 | 174 | 15 | 707 | 582 | 532 |
| 5 | 168 | 44 | 10 | 51 | 83 | 37 | 5 | 39 | 161 | 26 |
| 6 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 4 |
| 7 | 9 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6.2.3(a) Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Annual length distributions in landings in 2015.

| Length (cm) | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | 55 |
| 16 | 408 |
| 17 | 7382 |
| 18 | 59343 |
| 19 | 314085 |
| 20 | 827341 |
| 21 | 1266196 |
| 22 | 1498142 |
| 23 | 1295963 |
| 24 | 1194427 |
| 25 | 895341 |
| 26 | 767151 |
| 27 | 536378 |
| 28 | 389803 |
| 29 | 260471 |
| 30 | 173377 |
| 31 | 79916 |
| 32 | 60022 |
| 33 | 33619 |
| 34 | 16643 |
| 35 | 10949 |
| 36 | 9929 |
| 37 | 8026 |
| 38 | 5667 |
| 39 | 1003 |
| 40 | 410 |
| 41 |  |
| 42 | 191 |
| 43 |  |
| 44 |  |
| 45 |  |
| 46 |  |
| 47 |  |
| 48 |  |
| 49 |  |
| 50+ |  |
| Total | 9712235 |

Table 6.2.3(b) Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Mean lengths and mean weights in landings since 1990

|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 1990 | 193.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean length (cm) | 23.1 | 23.5 | 23.8 | 24.2 | 23.3 | 22.3 | 23 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 23.8 | 23.1 |
| Mean weight (g) | 116 | 118 | 122 | 128 | 111 | 96 | 107 | 112 | 109 | 113 | 121 | 114 | 105 |


|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 2003 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 24.2 | 24.1 |
| Mean length (cm) | 22.9 | 2.7 | 23.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean weight (g) | 101 | 98 | 97.0 | 99.4 | 109.1 | 109.7 | 110.7 | 118.4 | 112.2 | 112.0 | 114.0 | 117.8 | 117.4 |

Table 6.2.4 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Catch numbers-at-age.

| YEAR | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 1289 | 678 | 1289 |
| 1 | 3432 | 5605 | 4847 | 4055 | 4766 | 4482 | 4168 | 3868 | 2824 | 4743 |
| 2 | 7797 | 15902 | 14414 | 11462 | 9506 | 8001 | 6989 | 6656 | 7049 | 6527 |
| 3 | 5901 | 7284 | 7666 | 7603 | 4096 | 5539 | 6211 | 4307 | 7225 | 8349 |
| 4 | 4545 | 4198 | 5384 | 6514 | 4434 | 2516 | 5784 | 4404 | 2849 | 6201 |
| 5 | 1226 | 1438 | 2460 | 3573 | 2405 | 2744 | 2294 | 1245 | 1801 | 1150 |
| 6 | 869 | 589 | 1181 | 1798 | 1403 | 1048 | 758 | 655 | 894 | 602 |
| +gp | 233 | 145 | 467 | 634 | 807 | 483 | 71 | 282 | 457 | 284 |
| TOTALNUM | 25292 | 36450 | 37708 | 36928 | 28706 | 26102 | 27564 | 22706 | 23777 | 29145 |
| TONSLAND | 1408 | 2021 | 2586 | 3037 | 2354 | 2129 | 2353 | 1822 | 1920 | 2058 |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 103 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| YEAR | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1289 | 256 | 1289 | 2933 | 354 | 208 | 208 | 238 | 33 | 10 |
| 1 | 3719 | 3308 | 3367 | 3992 | 6193 | 5840 | 5863 | 4846 | 6785 | 3638 |
| 2 | 6458 | 7343 | 5526 | 3895 | 1862 | 2888 | 4139 | 3791 | 5568 | 8004 |
| 3 | 3478 | 4978 | 6447 | 4596 | 3533 | 2276 | 3386 | 3368 | 3777 | 3604 |
| 4 | 4419 | 890 | 3545 | 4996 | 4000 | 2870 | 1220 | 1526 | 2602 | 2024 |
| 5 | 1990 | 1714 | 792 | 1405 | 2020 | 1937 | 454 | 501 | 1155 | 1426 |
| 6 | 224 | 1069 | 849 | 235 | 797 | 941 | 240 | 447 | 279 | 802 |
| +gp | 555 | 443 | 353 | 489 | 840 | 358 | 360 | 142 | 337 | 399 |
| TOTALNUM | 22132 | 20001 | 22168 | 22541 | 19599 | 17318 | 15870 | 14859 | 20536 | 19907 |
| TONSLAND | 1466 | 1204 | 1501 | 1442 | 1414 | 1221 | 1028 | 1067 | 1354 | 1358 |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 102 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 100 |
| YEAR | 2006 | 2007 | *2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 211** | 2012** | 2013** | 2014 | 2015 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 100 | 202 | 2 | 2879 | 30 | 682 | 275 | 0 | 157 |
| 1 | 1267 | 3257 | 2357 | 1546 | 10377 | 5139 | 5342 | 5499 | 5646 | 2438 |
| 2 | 5232 | 6147 | 3935 | 3136 | 2364 | 4397 | 3260 | 4919 | 11954 | 7412 |
| 3 | 5951 | 3390 | 4879 | 4887 | 3568 | 2454 | 4101 | 4820 | 4249 | 7742 |
| 4 | 2639 | 2705 | 2204 | 4640 | 3817 | 2833 | 1926 | 4113 | 3214 | 3622 |
| 5 | 1156 | 1909 | 1003 | 1662 | 2529 | 2711 | 1620 | 1363 | 2983 | 1580 |
| 6 | 274 | 855 | 354 | 640 | 496 | 1164 | 991 | 846 | 751 | 1105 |
| +gp | 228 | 461 | 298 | 222 | 438 | 399 | 422 | 371 | 562 | 462 |
| TOTALNUM | 16748 | 18824 | 15232 | 16735 | 26468 | 19127 | 18344 | 22206 | 29359 | 24518 |
| TONSLAND | 1427 | 1396 | 1182 | 1413 | 1562 | 1397 | 1321 | 1427 | 1942 | 1745 |
| SOPCOF \% | 101 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 100 |

* Data revised in WG2010 from original value presented
** Data revised in WG2014 from original value presented

Table 6.2.5 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a. Mean weights at age in Catchs (kg).

| YEAR | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| 1 | 0.013 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.023 | 0.030 |
| 2 | 0.034 | 0.046 | 0.049 | 0.055 | 0.051 | 0.055 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.056 | 0.046 |
| 3 | 0.055 | 0.062 | 0.069 | 0.079 | 0.081 | 0.097 | 0.093 | 0.092 | 0.082 | 0.082 |
| 4 | 0.090 | 0.089 | 0.100 | 0.108 | 0.134 | 0.114 | 0.120 | 0.136 | 0.114 | 0.096 |
| 5 | 0.129 | 0.125 | 0.138 | 0.144 | 0.154 | 0.164 | 0.159 | 0.174 | 0.148 | 0.143 |
| 6 | 0.159 | 0.151 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.190 | 0.225 | 0.218 | 0.178 | 0.168 |
| +gp | 0.263 | 0.239 | 0.280 | 0.275 | 0.272 | 0.263 | 0.351 | 0.295 | 0.243 | 0.255 |
| SOPCOFAC | 1.0014 | 1.0022 | 1.0034 | 0.9996 | 1.0009 | 0.9930 | 1.0284 | 0.9892 | 1.0015 | 0.9963 |
| YEAR | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.0060 |
| 1 | 0.023 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.027 | 0.021 |
| 2 | 0.043 | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.045 | 0.057 | 0.050 | 0.057 | 0.066 | 0.053 | 0.050 |
| 3 | 0.054 | 0.063 | 0.073 | 0.072 | 0.066 | 0.073 | 0.090 | 0.088 | 0.081 | 0.083 |
| 4 | 0.106 | 0.091 | 0.105 | 0.090 | 0.087 | 0.099 | 0.109 | 0.123 | 0.108 | 0.108 |
| 5 | 0.135 | 0.123 | 0.137 | 0.147 | 0.126 | 0.122 | 0.163 | 0.142 | 0.131 | 0.122 |
| 6 | 0.209 | 0.180 | 0.179 | 0.197 | 0.169 | 0.166 | 0.209 | 0.201 | 0.175 | 0.132 |
| +gp | 0.231 | 0.252 | 0.293 | 0.268 | 0.228 | 0.255 | 0.247 | 0.247 | 0.235 | 0.197 |
| SOPCOFAC | 0.9993 | 1.0171 | 1.0027 | 1.009 | 1.001 | 1.0012 | 0.9993 | 1.0129 | 1.0069 | 1.0038 |
| YEAR | 2006 | 2007 | *2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011** | 2012** | 2013** | 2014 | 2015 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.008 |
| 1 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.02 | 0.033 | 0.017 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 2 | 0.06 | 0.045 | 0.053 | 0.045 | 0.056 | 0.039 | 0.052 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.04 |
| 3 | 0.091 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.069 | 0.084 | 0.078 | 0.076 | 0.063 | 0.071 | 0.066 |
| 4 | 0.104 | 0.114 | 0.112 | 0.104 | 0.108 | 0.099 | 0.105 | 0.099 | 0.101 | 0.099 |
| 5 | 0.136 | 0.123 | 0.151 | 0.142 | 0.141 | 0.128 | 0.127 | 0.131 | 0.133 | 0.136 |
| 6 | 0.176 | 0.152 | 0.201 | 0.175 | 0.182 | 0.168 | 0.159 | 0.159 | 0.165 | 0.172 |
| +gp | 0.233 | 0.198 | 0.235 | 0.288 | 0.271 | 0.24 | 0.199 | 0.21 | 0.222 | 0.23 |
| SOPCOFAC | 1.0066 | 1.0109 | 1.0063 | 1.0011 | 1.0104 | 1.009 | 1.006 | 1.0065 | 1.0046 | 1.0018 |

* Data revised in WG2010 from original value presented
** Data revised in WG2014 from original value presented

Table 6.2.6 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) Divisions 8.c, 9.a Abundance and Recruitment indices of Portuguese and Spanish surveys.


Table 6.2.7 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Tuning data


Table 6.2.8 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii). LPUE data by fleet in Divisions 8.c, 9.a.

|  | SP-LCGOTBDEF |  | SP-AVSOTBDEF |  | Portugal trawl in IXa |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Landings(t) | Effort LPUE | Landings(t) |  | Effort LPUE | Landings(t) | Effort LPUE ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1986 | 69.0 | 7.1 | 9.8 | 26.5 | 3.9 | 6.8 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 189.8 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 30.7 | 3.0 | 10.4 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 78.6 | 11.3 | 7.0 | 47.3 | 3.4 | 14.0 | 146 | 38.5 | 3.8 |
| 1989 | 72.9 | 11.9 | 6.2 | 36.1 | 3.3 | 10.9 | 183 | 44.7 | 4.1 |
| 1990 | 68.8 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 63.8 | 3.2 | 19.7 | 164 | 39.0 | 4.2 |
| 1991 | 94.0 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 42.1 | 3.5 | 12.2 | 166 | 45.0 | 3.7 |
| 1992 | 67.2 | 10.2 | 6.6 | 35.2 | 2.3 | 15.5 | 280 | 50.9 | 5.5 |
| 1993 | 55.2 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 38.9 | 2.4 | 16.1 | 180 | 44.2 | 4.1 |
| 1994 | 90.8 | 8.5 | 10.6 | 63.7 | 4.5 | 14.0 | 146 | 45.8 | 3.2 |
| 1995 | 147.6 | 13.4 | 11.0 | 85.9 | 3.5 | 24.7 | 121 | 37.0 | 3.3 |
| 1996 | 78.7 | 11.0 | 7.2 | 37.1 | 2.3 | 16.4 | 155 | 46.5 | 3.3 |
| 1997 | 99.0 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 49.5 | 2.6 | 18.7 | 76 | 33.4 | 2.3 |
| 1998 | 117.4 | 8.2 | 14.4 | 56.2 | 5.1 | 11.0 | 83 | 43.1 | 1.9 |
| 1999 | 103.9 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 55.9 | 4.9 | 11.3 | 73 | 25.3 | 2.9 |
| 2000 | 172.3 | 10.5 | 16.4 | 34.1 | 2.5 | 13.8 | 93 | 27.0 | 3.4 |
| 2001 | 245.0 | 12.1 | 20.2 | 16.5 | 1.3 | 12.5 | 89 | 43.1 | 2.1 |
| 2002 | 143.8 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 22.5 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 97 | 31.2 | 3.1 |
| 2003 | 118.7 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 2.2 | 5.7 | 117 | 40.5 | 2.9 |
| 2004 | 127.3 | 7.0 | 18.2 | 23.5 | 1.6 | 14.8 | 111 | 35.4 | 3.1 |
| 2005 | 96.0 | 7.1 | 13.6 | 45.0 | 3.0 | 15.2 | 140 | 42.6 | 3.3 |
| 2006 | 123.5 | 7.8 | 15.9 | 32.3 | 2.8 | 11.6 | 149 | 40.3 | 3.7 |
| $2007^{*}$ | 130.5 | 7.3 | 17.9 | 19.9 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 165 | 43.8 | 3.8 |
| $2008^{*}$ | 196.8 | 9.0 | 22.0 | 14.5 | 2.0 | 7.2 | 146 | 38.4 | 3.8 |
| 2009 | 138.8 | 8.0 | 17.3 | 42.0 | 2.3 | 18.5 | 183 | 49.3 | 3.7 |
| 2010 | 170.7 | 5.8 | 29.3 | 51.1 | 2.0 | 25.4 | 150 | 48.0 | 3.1 |
| 2011 | 126.9 | 5.1 | 24.8 | 43.1 | 2.2 | 19.6 | 134 | 49.4 | 2.7 |
| 2012 | 127.8 | 7.6 | 16.7 | 11.1 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 78 | 30.9 | 2.5 |
| $2013^{* *}$ | 212.8 | 10.8 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 1.5 | 13.2 | 59 | 28.0 | 2.1 |
| 2014 | 220.8 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 31.9 | 3.0 | 10.7 | 120 | 49.2 | 2.4 |
| 2015 | 219.1 | 9.8 | 22.5 | 13.8 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 109 | 17.7 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ LPUE as catch (kg) per fishing day per 100 HP
${ }^{2}$ LPUE as catch (kg) per hour.

* Effort from Portuguese trawl revised in WG2010 from original value presented
** Effort from SP-LCGOTBDEF and SP-AVSOTBDEF revised in WG2015 from original value presented

Table 6.2.9. Four-spot megrim (L.boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Tuning diagnostic. Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1

## 3/05/2016 13:54

Extended Survivors Analysis
Four spot megrim (L. boscii ) Division 8c and 9a

CPUE data from file fleetb.txt
Catch data for 30 years. 1986 to 2015. Ages 0 to 7 .

| Fleet | First Last |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | yearyear | First <br> age | Last <br> age | Alpha |  | Beta |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF1 | 1986 | 2015 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF2 | 2000 | 2015 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| SP-GFS | 1988 | 2015 | 0 | 6 | 0.75 | 0.83 |

Time series weights

Tapered time weighting not applied

Catchability analysis:
Catchability independent of stock size for all ages
Catchability independent of age for ages $>=5$

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F of the final 5 years or the 3 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk $=1.500$

Minimum standard error for population estimates derived from each fleet $=.300$

Prior weighting not applied

Tuning converged after 34 iterations

| Regression weights |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing mortalities <br> Age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.006 | 0 |

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YEAR |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 51500 | 43000 | 21300 | 15500 | 7450 | 3720 | 1010 |
|  | 2007 | 37500 | 42100 | 34000 | 12700 | 7320 | 3710 | 2000 |
|  | 2008 | 27800 | 30600 | 31600 | 22300 | 7360 | 3550 | 1310 |
|  | 2009 | 63400 | 22600 | 22900 | 22300 | 13900 | 4030 | 2000 |
|  | 2010 | 47000 | 51900 | 17100 | 16000 | 13800 | 7140 | 1800 |
|  | 2011 | 47900 | 35900 | 33100 | 11900 | 9830 | 7860 | 3560 |
|  | 2012 | 75800 | 39200 | 24700 | 23100 | 7500 | 5490 | 3980 |
|  | 2013 | 48200 | 61400 | 27300 | 17300 | 15200 | 4400 | 3030 |
|  | 2014 | 90000 | 39200 | 45300 | 17900 | 9790 | 8760 | 2370 |
|  | 2015 | 27100 | 73700 | 27000 | 26300 | 10800 | 5110 | 4470 |

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2016

| 0 | 22000 | 58200 | 15400 | 14500 | 5560 | 2750 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45400 | 37700 | 26300 | 16100 | 8690 | 3980 | 1710 |
| Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3296 | 0.3361 | 0.359 | 0.366 | 0.4106 | 0.4426 | 0.5191 |

Log catchability residuals
Fleet : SP-LCGOTBDEF1

| Age |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.56 | 0.87 | -0.09 | -0.41 | -0.76 | -0.19 | -0.46 | -0.03 | -0.1 | 0.36 |
|  | 4 | 0.3 | 0.28 | -0.6 | -0.54 | -0.2 | -0.58 | -0.09 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.12 |
|  | 5 | 0.07 | -0.24 | -0.83 | -0.85 | -0.19 | 0.42 | -0.01 | -0.25 | 0.53 | 0.79 |
|  | 6 | -0.26 | -0.16 | -0.41 | -0.25 | 0.12 | 0.79 | 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.67 | 0.97 |
| Age |  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|  | 0 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | -0.56 | -0.31 | 0.7 | 0.42 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 4 | 0.04 | -0.46 | 0.64 | 0.28 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 5 | -0.33 | -0.07 | 0.77 | 0.19 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 6 | -0.1 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
| Age |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | 0 No data for this fleet at this age <br> 1 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 4 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 5 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 6 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log$ q | -6.7098 | -5.8449 | -5.4113 | -5.4113 |
| S.E(Log q) | 0.5018 | 0.4162 | 0.511 | 0.4932 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression statistics : |  |  |  |  |

Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

| Age | Slope |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.57 | 2.052 | 8.03 | 0.66 | 14 | 0.26 | -6.71 |  |
| 4 | 0.95 | 0.178 | 6.01 | 0.53 | 14 | 0.41 | -5.84 |  |  |
|  | 5 | -30.73 | -4.667 | 95.92 | 0 | 14 | 9.74 | -5.41 |  |
|  | 6 | 1.16 | -0.504 | 4.86 | 0.47 | 14 | 0.52 | -5.19 |  |

Fleet : SP-LCGOTBDEF2

| Age |  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -0.6 | 0.34 | -0.27 | 0.2 | 0.43 | 0.1 |
|  | 4 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -0.06 | 0.75 | -0.5 | -0.39 | 0.38 | -0.34 |
|  | 5 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -0.23 | 0.98 | -0.64 | -0.24 | -0.05 | 0.2 |
|  | 6 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.15 | 0.22 | -0.31 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.07 |
| Age |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | 0 No data for this fleet at this age <br> 1 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.17 | -0.15 | 0.18 | -0.38 | 0.1 | -0.3 | -0.38 | -0.1 |
|  | 4 | -0.2 | 0.13 | 0.22 | -0.09 | 0.02 | -0.2 | 0.34 | -0.01 | -0.16 | 0.13 |
|  | 5 | -0.52 | 0.34 | -0.08 | -0.11 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.04 | -0.3 | -0.08 |
|  | 6 | -0.54 | 0.14 | -0.06 | -0.43 | 0.06 | 0.3 | 0.07 | -0.22 | -0.49 | -0.39 |

Mean $\log$ catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| $\quad$ Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log q$ | -5.6698 | -4.9697 | -4.6953 | -4.6953 |
| S.E $(\log q)$ | 0.322 | 0.32 | 0.3849 | 0.291 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression statistics : |  |  |  |  |
| Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time. |  |  |  |  |


| Age | Slope |  |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean Q |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1.09 | -0.34 | 5.33 | 0.53 | 16 | 0.36 | -5.67 |  |
|  | 4 | 0.95 | 0.256 | 5.18 | 0.64 | 16 | 0.31 | -4.97 |  |
|  | 5 | 0.88 | 0.684 | 5.14 | 0.69 | 16 | 0.34 | -4.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 0.97 | 0.259 | 4.86 | 0.81 | 16 | 0.28 | -4.77 |  |

Fleet : SP-GFS

| Age |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.49 | 1.63 | -1.04 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -1.1 | 0.84 | 0.03 |
|  | 1 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.4 | -0.11 | 0.11 | -0.29 | 0.52 | 0.1 | -1.13 | 0.25 |
|  | 2 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.14 | -0.35 | -0.18 | -0.44 | -0.87 | -0.16 | -0.46 | -0.96 |
|  | 3 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -0.32 | -0.86 | -1 | -0.81 | -0.55 | -0.7 | -0.54 | -0.67 |
|  | 4 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -1.1 | -0.64 | -0.33 | -0.7 | -0.36 | -0.63 | -0.22 | -0.41 |
|  | 5 | 99.99 | 99.99 | -0.48 | -0.61 | 0.23 | -0.11 | -0.04 | -0.84 | -0.24 | -0.47 |
|  | 6 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0 | -0.07 | 0.19 | -0.36 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | -0.36 |
| Age |  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|  | 0 | 0.99 | 1.31 | -0.87 | -0.13 | -0.06 | -0.69 | -0.19 | 99.99 | 0.02 | 1.04 |
|  | 1 | 0.05 | -0.03 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.47 | -0.1 | 99.99 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 2 | 0.08 | -0.25 | -0.2 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 99.99 | 0.06 | 0.57 |
|  | 3 | -0.54 | 0.21 | -0.07 | -0.09 | 0.2 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 99.99 | 0.14 | 0.65 |
|  | 4 | -0.73 | -0.12 | 0.03 | -0.48 | 0.41 | 0.88 | 0.43 | 99.99 | 0.14 | 0.31 |
|  | 5 | 0.11 | -0.15 | 0.4 | -0.51 | -0.23 | 1.13 | -0.09 | 99.99 | -0.46 | 0.68 |
|  | 6 | 0.06 | -0.06 | -0.03 | -0.17 | -0.23 | -0.07 | -0.01 | 99.99 | -0.16 | 0.11 |
| Age |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | 0 | -1.02 | -0.3 | -0.87 | 0.51 | -0.78 | -0.51 | -0.33 | 99.99 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
|  | 1 | -0.23 | -0.43 | -0.44 | -0.24 | 0.6 | -0.44 | -0.27 | 99.99 | -0.21 | 0.05 |
|  | 2 | 0.26 | 0.19 | -0.39 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.49 | 99.99 | 0.02 | 0.13 |
|  | 3 | 0.33 | 0.58 | -0.29 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.88 | 0.99 | 99.99 | 0.38 | 0.33 |
|  | 4 | -0.18 | 0.53 | -0.22 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.57 | 1.02 | 99.99 | 0.62 | 0.53 |
|  | 5 | -0.39 | 0.31 | -0.65 | 0.83 | -0.19 | -0.05 | 0.43 | 99.99 | 0.89 | 0.49 |
|  | 6 | 0.27 | 0.13 | -0.06 | 0.32 | -0.34 | -0.44 | 0.04 | 99.99 | 0.22 | 0.03 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log q$ | -10.1987 | -7.5656 | -7.2299 | -7.2777 | -7.2642 | -7.3593 | -7.3593 |
| S.E(Log q) | 0.7574 | 0.3873 | 0.4154 | 0.5745 | 0.5541 | 0.5191 | 0.1999 |

Regression statistics :

Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age

| Slope |  | t-value |  | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.6 | 1.551 | 10.4 | 0.38 | 26 | 0.44 | -10.2 |  |
| 1 | 0.79 | 1.092 | 8.18 | 0.53 | 26 | 0.31 | -7.57 |  |
| 2 | 1.14 | -0.528 | 6.81 | 0.36 | 26 | 0.48 | -7.23 |  |
| 3 | 1.48 | -1.056 | 6.13 | 0.17 | 26 | 0.85 | -7.28 |  |
| 4 | 1.72 | -1.621 | 5.98 | 0.17 | 26 | 0.92 | -7.26 |  |
| 5 | 0.98 | 0.067 | 7.38 | 0.41 | 26 | 0.52 | -7.36 |  |
| 6 | 0.99 | 0.159 | 7.4 | 0.88 | 26 | 0.2 | -7.39 |  |

Terminal year survivor and F summaries:

Age 0 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=2015$

| Fleet | E | Int | Ext |  | Var |  | N | Scaled |  | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | s.e | s.e |  | Ratio |  |  |  |  | F |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF2 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-GFS | 28814 | 0.772 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0.79 | 0 |
| F shrinkage mean | 8066 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.21 | 0.017 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors at end of year | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s.e | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |  |
| 22043 | 0.69 | 0.58 |  | 2 | 0.851 |  | 0.006 |

Age 1 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=2014$

| Fleet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ext } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ | Var <br> Ratio | N | Scaled Weights |  | Estimated <br> F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-GFS | 63728 | 0.351 | 0.087 | 0.25 |  | 2 | 0.946 | 0.034 |
| F shrinkage mean | 11664 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.054 | 0.173 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors at end of year | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s.e | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |
| 58155 | 0.34 | 0.29 |  | 3 | 0.833 | 0.037 |

Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

Year class $=2013$

| Fleet | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ext } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ | Var <br> Ratio | N |  | Scaled <br> Weights | Estimated <br> F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-GFS | 14857 | 0.29 | 0.167 | 0.57 |  | 2 | 0.945 | 0.373 |
| F shrinkage mean | 28594 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.055 | 0.211 |
| Weighted prediction : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Survivors at end of year <br> 15401 | s.e $e^{\text {Int }}$ | Ext | N | Var <br> Ratio | F |  |  |  |
|  |  | s.e |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.29 | 0.16 | 3 | 0.552 |  |  |  |  |

Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

Year class $=2012$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors at end of year | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s.e | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |
| 14528 | 0.23 | 0.1 |  | 5 | 0.414 | 0.394 |

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=2011$

| Fleet | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ | Ext <br> s.e | Var <br> Ratio | N |  | Scaled <br> Weights | Estimated <br> F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF2 | 5105 | 0.237 | 0.249 | 1.05 |  | 2 | 0.612 | 0.496 |
| SP-GFS | 6298 | 0.278 | 0.233 | 0.84 |  | 4 | 0.36 | 0.419 |
| F shrinkage mean | 7095 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.027 | 0.38 |

Weighted prediction :
Survivors

s.e 0.18


Var Ratio
at end of year

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
5556 & 0.18 & 0.13 & 7 & 0.743 & 0.464
\end{array}
$$

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

Year class $=2010$
Fleet

SP-LCGOTBDEF1
SP-LCGOTBDEF2 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Estir } & \text { Int } \\ \text { Surv } & \text { s.e }\end{array}$ SP-GFS
shrinkage mean

| Surv | s.e |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 |  |
| 2334 |  | 0.212 |
| 3600 |  | 0.249 |

Ext Var N

| Scaled | Estimat |
| :--- | :---: |
| Weights | F |

Weighted prediction :
Survivors
Int
eint $\quad$ Ext s.e $\quad 0.13$

```
Var F
```

at end of year


| Year class $=2009$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fleet |  | Estir | Int |  |  |  | Var |  | N |  | Scaled | Estimated |
|  |  | Surv | s.e |  |  |  | Ratio |  |  |  | Weights | F |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SP-LCGOTBDEF2 |  | 2104 |  | 0.182 |  | 0.112 |  | 0.62 |  | 4 | 0.546 | 0.389 |
| SP-GFS |  | 3605 |  | 0.207 |  | 0.165 |  | 0.79 |  | 6 | 0.439 | 0.245 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 1870 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.015 | 0.428 |
| Weighted prediction : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Survivors | Int |  | Ext |  | N |  | Var |  | F |  |  |  |
| at end of year | s.e |  | s.e |  |  |  | Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2661 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.12 |  | 11 |  | 0.904 |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.2.10 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Estimates of fishing mortality-atage.

Run title : Four spot megrim (L. boscii) Division 8c and 9a At 3/05/2016 13:59

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

| Table 8 Fishing mortality (F) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.02 | 0.0276 | 0.0252 | 0.0269 | 0.0359 | 0.0227 | 0.0245 | 0.0495 | 0.0157 | 0.0242 |
| 1 | 10.064 | 0.1135 | 0.1375 | 0.1033 | 0.1316 | 0.1688 | 0.0952 | 0.0952 | 0.1458 | 0.1457 |
| 2 | 20.2426 | 0.4681 | 0.4741 | 0.555 | 0.3735 | 0.3401 | 0.4312 | 0.2167 | 0.2515 | 0.5854 |
| 3 | 30.3783 | 0.3758 | 0.4331 | 0.4956 | 0.3913 | 0.389 | 0.4847 | 0.5205 | 0.3868 | 0.5338 |
| 4 | 40.7218 | 0.5101 | 0.5308 | 0.8268 | 0.6106 | 0.4451 | 0.9324 | 0.7767 | 0.8024 | 0.6834 |
|  | 50.6278 | 0.5258 | 0.6469 | 0.8384 | 0.8673 | 1.0109 | 0.9793 | 0.5193 | 0.8833 | 0.9335 |
| 6 | 61.0255 | 0.7186 | 1.1843 | 1.6712 | 0.993 | 1.3269 | 0.891 | 0.8671 | 0.9092 | 0.8658 |
| +gp | 1.0255 | 0.7186 | 1.1843 | 1.6712 | 0.993 | 1.3269 | 0.891 | 0.8671 | 0.9092 | 0.8658 |
| FBAR 2-4 | 0.4476 | 0.4514 | 0.4793 | 0.6258 | 0.4585 | 0.3914 | 0.6161 | 0.5046 | 0.4802 | 0.6008 |
| Table 8 Fishing mortality ( F ) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 00.0339 | 0.0094 | 0.0689 | 0.0936 | 0.011 | 0.0062 | 0.0058 | 0.0052 | 0.001 | 0.0002 |
|  | 10.0904 | 0.1142 | 0.1644 | 0.3143 | 0.2917 | 0.2509 | 0.2416 | 0.1807 | 0.1995 | 0.1435 |
| 2 | 20.3023 | 0.259 | 0.2836 | 0.291 | 0.2362 | 0.2143 | 0.2835 | 0.2432 | 0.3257 | 0.383 |
| 3 | 30.7295 | 0.404 | 0.3813 | 0.405 | 0.4687 | 0.5071 | 0.4191 | 0.3942 | 0.408 | 0.363 |
|  | 40.6087 | 0.409 | 0.5673 | 0.5787 | 0.7567 | 0.8994 | 0.5663 | 0.3376 | 0.6088 | 0.4 |
| 5 | 50.4851 | 0.5059 | 0.7967 | 0.4614 | 0.4895 | 1.1059 | 0.3309 | 0.4814 | 0.464 | 0.8233 |
| 6 | 60.4576 | 0.527 | 0.5082 | 0.5825 | 0.5213 | 0.4452 | 0.3653 | 0.6381 | 0.5457 | 0.6947 |
| +gp | 0.4576 | 0.527 | 0.5082 | 0.5825 | 0.5213 | 0.4452 | 0.3653 | 0.6381 | 0.5457 | 0.6947 |
| FBAR 2-4 | 0.5468 | 0.3573 | 0.4107 | 0.4249 | 0.4872 | 0.5403 | 0.423 | 0.325 | 0.4475 | 0.382 |


| Table 8 | Fishing mortality (F) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 FBAR 13-15 |

AGE

| 0 | 0 | 0.0029 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.0701 | 0.0007 | 0.01 | 0.0063 | 0 | 0.0064 | 0.0042 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0331 | 0.0893 | 0.0889 | 0.0786 | 0.2495 | 0.1724 | 0.1632 | 0.1041 | 0.1733 | 0.0372 | 0.1049 |
| 2 | 0.3161 | 0.2226 | 0.1483 | 0.1637 | 0.1656 | 0.1586 | 0.1576 | 0.2224 | 0.3445 | 0.3615 | 0.3094 |
| 3 | 0.5512 | 0.3484 | 0.2767 | 0.2777 | 0.284 | 0.2593 | 0.2179 | 0.3685 | 0.3048 | 0.3935 | 0.3556 |
| 4 | 0.4966 | 0.5247 | 0.4019 | 0.4624 | 0.3644 | 0.3834 | 0.3337 | 0.3541 | 0.4507 | 0.4637 | 0.4229 |
| 5 | 0.4203 | 0.8397 | 0.3746 | 0.608 | 0.4965 | 0.4802 | 0.3951 | 0.4192 | 0.4723 | 0.4184 | 0.4367 |
| 6 | 0.3566 | 0.6385 | 0.3538 | 0.4372 | 0.3639 | 0.4486 | 0.3219 | 0.3696 | 0.4315 | 0.319 | 0.3734 |
|  | 0.3566 | 0.6385 | 0.3538 | 0.4372 | 0.3639 | 0.4486 | 0.3219 | 0.3696 | 0.4315 | 0.319 |  |
| $2-4$ | 0.4546 | 0.3652 | 0.2756 | 0.3013 | 0.2713 | 0.2671 | 0.2364 | 0.315 | 0.3667 | 0.4062 |  |

Table 6.2.11 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Estimates of stock numbers-atage.

Run title : Four spot megrim (L. boscii) Division 8c and 9a
At 3/05/2016 13:59

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

| Table 10 YEAR | Stock number at age (start of year) |  |  |  |  | Numbers* ${ }^{*} 0^{* *}-3$ |  |  | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 71931 | 52338 | 57185 | 53613 | 40371 | 63379 | 58918 | 29523 | 47963 | 59500 |
| 1 | 61216 | 57726 | 41685 | 45653 | 42728 | 31887 | 50724 | 47071 | 23005 | 38655 |
| 2 | 40003 | 47014 | 42190 | 29743 | 33708 | 30670 | 22051 | 37758 | 35039 | 16280 |
| 3 | 20703 | 25696 | 24103 | 21500 | 13980 | 18997 | 17871 | 11730 | 24891 | 22309 |
| 4 | 9770 | 11611 | 14448 | 12797 | 10723 | 7740 | 10541 | 9012 | 5707 | 13842 |
| 5 | 2906 | 3886 | 5708 | 6957 | 4583 | 4768 | 4060 | 3397 | 3393 | 2094 |
| 6 | 1497 | 1270 | 1881 | 2447 | 2463 | 1576 | 1420 | 1248 | 1655 | 1149 |
| +gp | 394 | 308 | 728 | 839 | 1392 | 710 | 131 | 529 | 832 | 533 |
| TOTAL | 208420 | 199850 | 187927 | 173549 | 149949 | 159727 | 165717 | 140269 | 142484 | 154362 |


| Table 10 <br> YEAR | Stock number at age (start of year) |  |  |  |  | Numbers*10**-3 |  |  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 42792 | 30264 | 21406 | 36281 | 35916 | 37099 | 39793 | 50899 | 36780 | 52507 |
| 1 | 47548 | 33868 | 24547 | 16360 | 27050 | 29086 | 30186 | 32392 | 41457 | 30083 |
| 2 | 27356 | 35564 | 24736 | 17051 | 9782 | 16543 | 18529 | 19409 | 22135 | 27803 |
| 3 | 7423 | 16554 | 22473 | 15252 | 10436 | 6324 | 10931 | 11425 | 12461 | 13085 |
| 4 | 10711 | 2930 | 9049 | 12566 | 8329 | 5347 | 3118 | 5886 | 6307 | 6784 |
| 5 | 5722 | 4771 | 1594 | 4201 | 5768 | 3200 | 1781 | 1449 | 3438 | 2809 |
| 6 | 674 | 2884 | 2355 | 588 | 2168 | 2894 | 867 | 1047 | 733 | 1770 |
| +gp | 1655 | 1183 | 969 | 1210 | 2262 | 1091 | 1290 | 329 | 876 | 869 |
| TOTAL | 143881 | 128019 | 107130 | 103509 | 101711 | 101584 | 106496 | 122836 | 124188 | 135711 |


| Table 10 <br> YEAR | Stock number at age (start of year) |  |  |  |  | Numbers*10**-3 |  |  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | GM 90-13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 51472 | 37528 | 27845 | 63439 | 46987 | 47921 | 75809 | 48207 | 90047 | 27096 | 0 | 43283 |
| 1 | 42980 | 42141 | 30635 | 22615 | 51938 | 35864 | 39207 | 61450 | 39220 | 73724 | 22043 |  |
| 2 | 21338 | 34043 | 31555 | 22949 | 17116 | 33134 | 24713 | 27267 | 45335 | 27002 | 58155 |  |
| 3 | 15521 | 12736 | 22310 | 22274 | 15951 | 11875 | 23149 | 17284 | 17873 | 26301 | 15401 |  |
| 4 | 7452 | 7323 | 7360 | 13851 | 13815 | 9831 | 7502 | 15242 | 9789 | 10789 | 14528 |  |
| 5 | 3723 | 3713 | 3548 | 4032 | 7142 | 7857 | 5486 | 4399 | 8758 | 5107 | 5556 |  |
| 6 | 1010 | 2002 | 1313 | 1997 | 1797 | 3559 | 3980 | 3026 | 2368 | 4471 | 2752 |  |
| +gp | 834 | 1067 | 1097 | 687 | 1575 | 1209 | 1683 | 1317 | 1757 | 1856 | 3765 |  |
| TOTAL | 144330 | 140553 | 125662 | 151844 | 156321 | 151250 | 181528 | 178191 | 215148 | 176346 | 122200 |  |

Table 6.2.12 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Summary of landings and XSA results.

Run title : Four spot megrim (L. boscii) Division 8c and 9a At 3/05/2016 13:59

Table 16 Summary (without SOP correction)

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)


Table 6.2.13 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Prediction with management option table: Input data

MFDP version 1a
Run: LDB
Time and date: 15:15 03/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 2-4
Fbar age range Fleet $1: 2-4$

| $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | Stock size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef. Spaw. | Prop. of M bef. Spaw. | Weight in Stock | Exploit pattern | Weight CWt | Exploit pattern | Weight DWt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 43283 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.0000 | 0.002 | 0.0059 | 0.005 |
| 1 | 35211 | 0.2 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0.024 | 0.0003 | 0.035 | 0.1615 | 0.024 |
| 2 | 58155 | 0.2 | 0.86 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 | 0.0295 | 0.066 | 0.2458 | 0.041 |
| 3 | 15401 | 0.2 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.071 | 0.1855 | 0.084 | 0.1672 | 0.054 |
| 4 | 14528 | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0 | 0 | 0.101 | 0.4051 | 0.105 | 0.0548 | 0.078 |
| 5 | 5556 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.131 | 0.5039 | 0.132 | 0.0123 | 0.104 |
| 6 | 2752 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.165 | 0.4447 | 0.165 | 0.0032 | 0.128 |
| 7 | 3765 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.220 | 0.4475 | 0.220 | 0.0005 | 0.105 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2017 \\ \text { Age } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Stock size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef. Spaw. | Prop. of M bef. Spaw. | Weight in Stock | Exploit pattern | Weight CWt | Exploit pattern | Weight DWt |
| 0 | 43283 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.0000 | 0.002 | 0.0059 | 0.005 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0.024 | 0.0003 | 0.035 | 0.1615 | 0.024 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.86 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 | 0.0295 | 0.066 | 0.2458 | 0.041 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.071 | 0.1855 | 0.084 | 0.1672 | 0.054 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0 | 0 | 0.101 | 0.4051 | 0.105 | 0.0548 | 0.078 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.131 | 0.5039 | 0.132 | 0.0123 | 0.104 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.165 | 0.4447 | 0.165 | 0.0032 | 0.128 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.220 | 0.4475 | 0.220 | 0.0005 | 0.105 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Age } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stock size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef. Spaw. | Prop. of M bef. Spaw. | Weight in Stock | Exploit pattern | Weight CWt | Exploit pattern | Weight DWt |
| 0 | 43283 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.0000 | 0.002 | 0.0059 | 0.005 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0.024 | 0.0003 | 0.035 | 0.1615 | 0.024 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.86 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 | 0.0295 | 0.066 | 0.2458 | 0.041 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.071 | 0.1855 | 0.084 | 0.1672 | 0.054 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0 | 0 | 0.101 | 0.4051 | 0.105 | 0.0548 | 0.078 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.131 | 0.5039 | 0.132 | 0.0123 | 0.104 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.165 | 0.4447 | 0.165 | 0.0032 | 0.128 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.220 | 0.4475 | 0.220 | 0.0005 | 0.105 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Table 6.2.14. Megrim (L. boscii) in Div. 8.c and 9.a catch forecast: management option table

MFDP version 1a
Run: LDB
Time and date: 15:15 03/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 2-4
Fbar age range Fleet $1: 2-4$

| 2016 <br> Biomass | SSB | Total <br> FMult | Landings FBar | Yield | Discards FBar | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8191 | 7180 |  | 0.2067 | 1411 | 0.1559 | 743 |


| 2017 <br> Biomass | SSB | Total <br> FMult | Landings <br> FBar | Yield | Discards <br> FBar | Yield | 2018 <br> Biomass | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7782 | 6940 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 9810 | 8953 |
| . | 6940 | 0.1 | 0.0207 | 180 | 0.0156 | 68 | 9506 | 8653 |
| . | 6940 | 0.2 | 0.0413 | 352 | 0.0312 | 134 | 9213 | 8365 |
| . | 6940 | 0.3 | 0.0620 | 517 | 0.0468 | 199 | 8931 | 8088 |
| . | 6940 | 0.4 | 0.0827 | 675 | 0.0624 | 261 | 8659 | 7822 |
| . | 6940 | 0.5 | 0.1034 | 827 | 0.0780 | 322 | 8398 | 7565 |
| . | 6940 | 0.6 | 0.1240 | 972 | 0.0936 | 381 | 8147 | 7319 |
| . | 6940 | 0.7 | 0.1447 | 1112 | 0.1092 | 438 | 7905 | 7081 |
| . | 6940 | 0.8 | 0.1654 | 1246 | 0.1247 | 494 | 7672 | 6853 |
| . | 6940 | 0.9 | 0.1860 | 1374 | 0.1403 | 548 | 7448 | 6633 |
| . | 6940 | 1 | 0.2067 | 1497 | 0.1559 | 601 | 7232 | 6422 |
| . | 6940 | 1.1 | 0.2274 | 1616 | 0.1715 | 652 | 7024 | 6218 |
| . | 6940 | 1.2 | 0.2480 | 1729 | 0.1871 | 702 | 6823 | 6022 |
| . | 6940 | 1.3 | 0.2687 | 1838 | 0.2027 | 750 | 6631 | 5833 |
| . | 6940 | 1.4 | 0.2894 | 1942 | 0.2183 | 797 | 6445 | 5651 |
| . | 6940 | 1.5 | 0.3101 | 2043 | 0.2339 | 843 | 6266 | 5476 |
| . | 6940 | 1.6 | 0.3307 | 2139 | 0.2495 | 887 | 6093 | 5308 |
| . | 6940 | 1.7 | 0.3514 | 2231 | 0.2651 | 931 | 5927 | 5145 |
| . | 6940 | 1.8 | 0.3721 | 2320 | 0.2807 | 973 | 5767 | 4989 |
| . | 6940 | 1.9 | 0.3927 | 2405 | 0.2963 | 1014 | 5613 | 4838 |
| . | 6940 | 2 | 0.4134 | 2487 | 0.3119 | 1053 | 5464 | 4693 |

Table 6.2.15 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Single option prediction. Detail Tables.

MFDP version 1
Run: LDB
Time and date: 15:15 03/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 2-4
Fbar age range Fleet $1: 2-4$
Year: 2016 F multiplier: 1 Fleet1 HCFbar: 0.2067 leet1 DFbar: 0.1559

| Age |  | F | CatchNos | Yield | DF | DCatchNo | DYield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0059 | 23 | 1 | 43283 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0.0003 | 9 | 0 | 0.1615 | 4771 | 115 | 35211 | 845 | 19366 | 465 | 19366 | 465 |
|  | 2 | 0.0295 | 1365 | 90 | 0.2458 | 11377 | 469 | 58155 | 2559 | 50013 | 2201 | 50013 | 2201 |
|  | 3 | 0.1855 | 2195 | 185 | 0.1672 | 1978 | 107 | 15401 | 1090 | 14939 | 1058 | 14939 | 1058 |
|  | 4 | 0.4051 | 4308 | 451 | 0.0548 | 58 | 45 | 14528 | 1462 | 14383 | 1447 | 14383 | 1447 |
|  | 5 | 0.5039 | 1999 | 263 | 0.0123 | 49 | 5 | 5556 | 728 | 5556 | 728 | 5556 | 728 |
|  | 6 | 0.4447 | 901 | 148 | 0.0032 |  | 1 | 2752 | 453 | 2752 | 453 | 2752 | 453 |
|  | 7 | 0.4475 | 1240 | 273 | 0.0005 |  | 0 | 3765 | 829 | 3765 | 829 | 3765 | 829 |
| Total |  |  | 12018 | 1411 |  | 18997 | 743 | 178651 | 8191 | 110774 | 7180 | 110774 | 7180 |
| Year: |  | 2017 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fleet1 HCFbar: | 0.2067 | Fleet1 DFbar | 0.1559 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  | F | CatchNos | Yield | DF | DCatchNo | DYield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0059 | 23 | 1 | 43283 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0.0003 | 9 | 0 | 0.1615 | 4774 | 115 | 35229 | 845 | 19376 | 465 | 19376 | 465 |
|  | 2 | 0.0295 | 576 | 38 | 0.2458 | 4797 | 198 | 24521 | 1079 | 21088 | 928 | 21088 | 928 |
|  | 3 | 0.1855 | 5152 | 435 | 0.1672 | 4644 | 252 | 36155 | 2560 | 35070 | 2483 | 35070 | 2483 |
|  | 4 | 0.4051 | 2628 | 275 | 0.0548 | 356 | 28 | 8862 | 891 | 8773 | 883 | 8773 | 883 |
|  | 5 | 0.5039 | 2702 | 356 | 0.0123 | 66 | 7 | 7510 | 984 | 7510 | 984 | 7510 | 984 |
|  | 6 | 0.4447 | 889 | 146 | 0.0032 |  | 1 | 2715 | 447 | 2715 | 447 | 2715 | 447 |
|  | 7 | 0.4475 | 1123 | 247 | 0.0005 |  | 0 | 3409 | 751 | 3409 | 751 | 3409 | 751 |
| Total |  |  | 13079 | 1497 |  | 14875 | 601 | 161683 | 7782 | 97941 | 6940 | 97941 | 6940 |

Year: 2018 F multiplier: 1 Fleet1 HCFbar: 0.2067 Fleet1 DFbar 0.1559

| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | CatchNos | Yield |  | tchNos | DYield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | $\mathrm{SSB}(\mathrm{ST})$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0059 | 231 | 1 | 43283 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.0003 | 9 | 0 | 0.1615 | 4774 | 115 | 35229 | 845 | 19376 | 465 | 19376 | 465 |
| 2 | 0.0295 | 576 | 38 | 0.2458 | 4800 | 198 | 24534 | 1079 | 21099 | 928 | 21099 | 928 |
| 3 | 0.1855 | 2173 | 183 | 0.1672 | 1958 | 106 | 15245 | 1079 | 14788 | 1047 | 14788 | 1047 |
| 4 | 0.4051 | 6170 | 645 | 0.0548 | 835 | 65 | 20803 | 2093 | 20595 | 2072 | 20595 | 2072 |
| 5 | 0.5039 | 1648 | 217 | 0.0123 | 40 | 4 | 4581 | 600 | 4581 | 600 | 4581 | 600 |
| 6 | 0.4447 | 1201 | 198 | 0.0032 | 9 | 1 | 3669 | 604 | 3669 | 604 | 3669 | 604 |
| 7 | 0.4475 | 1055 | 232 | 0.0005 | 1 | 0 | 3203 | 705 | 3203 | 705 | 3203 | 705 |
|  |  | 12831 | 1514 |  | 12647 | 490 | 150547 | 7232 | 87311 | 6422 | 87311 | 6422 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes


Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8c and 9a : Year-class \% contribution to

| a ) 2017 catches | b ) 2018 SSB |
| :---: | :---: |

Table 6.2.17 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Yield-per-recruit results.
MFYPR version 2
Run: LDB
Time and date: 16:45 03/05/2016


| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fleet1 Landings Fbar(2-4) | 1 | 0.2067 |
| FMax | 0.5102 | 0.1055 |
| F0.1 | 0.3324 | 0.0687 |
| F35\%SPR | 0.5462 | 0.1129 |

Weights in kilograms


Figure 6.2.1 Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Annual length compositions of landings ('000)

Standardized log(abundance index at age) from SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 (black bubble means <0)


Figure 6.2.2: Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c\&9.a


Figure 6.2.3(a) Four-spot megrim (L.boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Landings (t), Efforts, LPUEs and Abundance Indices.

Standardized log(abundance index at age) from SP-LCGOTBDEF-1 (black bubble means $<0$ )


Standardized log(abundance index at age) from SP-LCGOTBDEF-2 (black bubble means < 0)


Figure 6.2.3(b): Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c\&9.a

## Catches proportions at age



Standardized catches proportions at age (black bubble means < 0)


Figure 6.2.4(a). Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a.

## Landings proportions at age



Standardized landings proportions at age (black bubble means <0)


Figure 6.2.4(b). Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a.

Discards proportions at age


Standardized discards proportions at age (black bubble means $<0$ )


Figure 6.2.4(c). Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c \& 9.a.


Figure 6.2.5. Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Retrospective XSA


Figure 6.2.6. Four spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. LOG-CATCHABILITY RESIDUAL PLOTS (XSA)


Figure 6.2.7(a). Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Stock Summary

Standardized F-at-age (black bubbles means <0)


Standardized relative F-at-age (black bubble means < 0)


Figure 6.2.7(b): Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c\&9.a


Figure 6.2.8. Four-spot megrim (L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Forecast summary


Figure 6.2.9. Four spot megrim (L.boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. SSB-Recruitment plot.




Figure 6.2.10. Four-spot megrim (L. boscii). Recruits, SSB and Fs from WG14 and WG15


Figure 6.3.1. Stock trends for both stocks. Megrim and Four-spot megrim in Divisions 8.c and 9.a.


## Combined Short-term Forecasts assuming status quo in 2014 and 2015

Figure 6.3.2. Megrims (L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a.

## 7 Bay of Biscay Sole (Solea solea) in Divisions 8.a,b

Type of assessment in 2015: update.
Data revisions this year: Compared to last year assessment, there is only very limited change in data due to small revisions of 2014 landings and of 2014 commercial LPUE and survey cpue.

### 7.1 General

### 7.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See Stock Annex

### 7.1.2 Fishery description

See Stock Annex

### 7.1.3 Summary of ICES advice for 2016 and management applicable to 2015 and 2016

ICES advice for 2015:
Since 2010 the ICES advice is to decrease the fishing mortality step by step to the FMSY ( 0.261 for the Bay of Biscay sole) until 2015.

The advice provided for 2016: ICES advises on the basis of the transition to the MSY approach that catches in 2016 should be no more than 2393 tonnes. All catches are assumed to be landed because the discards are less than $5 \%$ for this stock $(1.6 \%$ in 2015 $)$.

## Management applicable to 2015 and 2016

The sole landings in the Bay of Biscay are subject to a TAC regulation. The 2015 TAC was set at 3800 t and the 2016 TAC was set at 3420 t . The minimum landing size is 24 cm and the minimum mesh size is 70 mm for trawls and 100 mm for fixed nets, when directed on sole. Since 2002, the hake recovery plan has increased the minimum mesh size for trawl to 100 mm in a large part of the Bay of Biscay but since 2006 trawlers using a square mesh panel were allowed to use 70 mm mesh size in this area.

Since the end of 2006, the French vessels must have a European Fishing Authorization when their sole annual landing is above 2 t or be allowed to have more than 100 kg on board.

The Belgian vessel owners get monthly non-transferable individual quota for sole and the amount is related to the capacity of the vessel.

A regulation establishing a management plan was adopted in February 2006. The objective was to bring the spawning-stock biomass of Bay of Biscay sole above the precautionary level of 13000 tonnes in 2008 by gradually reducing the fishing mortality rate on the stock. Once this target is reached, the Council has to decide on a long term target fishing mortality and a rate of reduction in the fishing mortality for application until the target has been reached. However, although the stock was estimated above

[^2]the SSB target in 2008 by ICES in 2009, the long term target fishing mortality rate and the associated rate of reduction have not yet been set.
A proposal for a management plan for sole in the Bay of Biscay was evaluated by ICES (2013b, 2014). The plan aims to decrease fishing mortality by applying a constant TAC until $F$ is estimated to have reached $\mathrm{Fmsy}^{\text {. The plan has provisions to reduce the TAC if }}$ F increases in two consecutive years, and to base the TAC on $F=F_{\text {MSY }}$ if SSB is estimated to be below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. ICES considered the plan to be precautionary for all the constant TAC values tested (up to 4500 t ) and that values not exceeding 4300 t would allow reaching FMSy by 2020.
In addition of this proposal the industry implemented a mesh size restriction of $>=80 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the bottom trawls for the periods 1 January- 31 May and from 1 October31 December.

A season closure was also applied during the spawning period, 1 January-31 March, for the directed fishery for common sole. The fishery during the spawning period is closed for 21 days, which consists of 3 periods of seven consecutive days.

### 7.2 Data

### 7.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

The WG estimates of landings and catches are shown in Table 7.1a. The WG landing estimates are the figure obtained by crossing auction sales, available logbooks and data communicated by the administrations of countries involved in the Bay of Biscay sole fishery. The French catches are predominant. Since 2005, the same method has been used to estimate them and, because they are nearly exclusively landed in Bay of Biscay harbours, the record of the auction sales allows us to consider that the reliability of their estimates is satisfactory for the full time-series.

The official landings are lower up to 2008 than the WG landings estimates but they become largely higher in 2009-2010 because since 2009, a new method has been implemented to calculate the French official landings. This important discrepancy in 20092010 was likely caused by some assumptions in the algorithm implemented to calculate French official landings in these years which was modified in 2011. Consequently the official and the WG landing estimates are closer since 2011. However, the WG method to estimate landings is considered to continue to provide the best available estimates of the landing series.
The 2014 landings estimate was revised to 3928 t , this is less than a $0.15 \%$ decrease.
In 2002, landings increased to 5486 t due to very favourable weather conditions for the fixed nets' fishery (frequent strong swell periods in the first quarter). In the absence of such apparently rare conditions, the landings in 2003-2008 ranged between 4000 t and 4800 t before falling to 3650 t in 2009 and increasing to 4632 t in 2011 (Table 7.1a).
The 2015 landings figure ( 3641 t ) is 7.6 \% below the landings predicted by the 2015 WG at status quo mortality $(3939 \mathrm{t})$.
Discards estimates were provided for the French offshore trawler fleet from 1984-2003 using the RESSGASC surveys. Because these estimates depend largely on some questionable hypothesis, their monitoring was not continued in 2004 and they are no longer used in the assessment. However, this survey allowed affirmation that the discards of offshore trawlers are low at age 2 and above. This low level has been confirmed by observations at sea in recent years. These observations have also shown that discards
of beam trawlers and gillnetters are generally low but that the inshore trawlers fleet may have occasionally high discards of sole. Unfortunately, they are difficult to estimate because the effort data of inshore trawlers are not precise enough to allow estimating them by relevant areas. The analyse of the discards with the data from the Obsmer project shows that the discards for the sole in the Bay of Biscay are less than 5 $\%(1.64 \%)$ for 2015 for all fleets.

### 7.2.2 Biological sampling

The quarterly French sampling for length compositions is by gear (trawl or fixed net) and by boat length (below or over 12 m long). The split of the French landings in these components is made as described in Stock Annex. The 2014 split was slightly revised because of the very small correction in the database (Table 7.1 b ).

Length compositions are available on a quarterly basis from 1984 for the French fleets and from 1994 for the Belgian beam trawlers. The 2015 sampling level is given in table 1.3 (section 1). The French length distributions are shown on Figures 7.1 a-d from 1984 onwards. The relative length distribution of landings in 2015 is shown by country in Table 7.2.

Although age reading from otoliths now uses the same method as in France and Belgium (see Stock Annex), the discrepancy between French and Belgian mean weight at age, noticed by preceding WGs, are still present. Work was carried out at the beginning of 2012 (PGCCDBS, 2012) to compare the age reading methods. The conclusion is that there was no bias between readers from the three countries using otoliths prepared with the staining technique. All readers produced the same age estimates (i.e. no bias) of otoliths with or without staining.

However, a likely effect of the weight at age samples process may also be presumed (weight-length relationship used in France and straight estimate in Belgium) and should be investigated. International age compositions are estimated using the same procedure as in previous years, as described in Stock Annex. International mean weights at age of the catch are French-Belgian quarterly weighted mean weights. The catch numbers-at-age are shown in Table 7.3 and Figures 7.2 a b, \& c and the mean catch weight at age in Table 7.4.

### 7.2.3 Abundance indices from surveys

Since 2007, a new beam trawl survey (ORHAGO) is carried out by France to provide a sole abundance index in the Bay of Biscay. This survey is coordinated by the ICES WGBEAM.

At the 2013 meeting of the WGBEAM 2013, several cpue series were compared. The one based on all the reference stations and carried out by daylight was estimated to provide the abundance index to retain for the Bay of Biscay sole.

The 2013 WGHMM assessment was carried out according to a 2013 revised stock annex, which adds the ORHAGO survey to the tuning files. This was a consequence of the interim Benchmark during the WGHMM 2013 who considered that the addition of the survey tuning fleet appears to be useful to the assessment.

In 2015 the survey vessel was changed, however the gear configuration and method were the same as in previous year and the conclusion of the WGBEAM2016 was: "This change has had no consequence on the gear configuration". On this basis, the WG agreed to retain the ORHAGO abundance indices in the assessment.

The figure 7.3 shows the ORHAGO time-series by age group excepted at age 0 , for which the ORHAGO series is not considered to provide a reliable abundance index.

### 7.2.4 Commercial catch- effort data

The French La Rochelle and Les Sables trawler series of commercial fishing effort data and LPUE indices were completely revised in 2005. A selection of fishing days (or trips before 1999) was made by a double threshold (sole landings $>10 \%$ and nephrops landings $<=10 \%$ ) for a group of vessels. The process is described in the Stock Annex.

The risk that the sole $10 \%$ threshold may lead to an underestimate of the decrease in stock abundance was pointed out by RG in 2010. This general point is acknowledged by this working group. However in this particular case using the knowledge of the fishery this threshold was set to avoid the effect of changing target species, which may also affect the trend in LPUE. Indeed, the choice of target species may affect effort repartition between sole major habitat and peripheral areas where sole abundance is lower. Because $10 \%$ is a minimum for sole percentage in catch when carrying out mixed species trawling on sole grounds, according to fishers, this percentage was retained to ensure that sole LPUE are not driven by a fishing strategy evolution (the targeting of cephalopods more particularly).

The La Rochelle LPUE series (FR-ROCHELLE) shows a decreasing trend from 1990 to 2001. Later on, the series does not exhibit any trend but some up and down variations (Table 7.5.a and Figure 7.4). The Les Sables d'Olonne LPUE series (FR-SABLES) shows also a declining trend up to 2003. Thereafter, it shows a short increase in 2004-2005 but the trend is flat from 2005 onwards.

Two new series of tuning were added to the assessment according to the WKFLAT 2011: the Bay of Biscay offshore trawler fleet ( $14-18 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the second quarter (FR-BB-OFF-Q2) and the Bay of Biscay inshore trawler fleet ( $10-12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the fourth quarter (FR-BB-IN-Q4) for 2000 to the last year. A selection of fishing days was made by a double threshold (sole landings $>6 \%$ and nephrops landings $<=10 \%$ ) The process is described in the Stock Annex.

Unfortunately, the fishing effort for the FR-BB-OFF-Q2 is not available since 2013. This is due to the use of the electronic logbooks, for which the fishing effort is not a required value. These data are not well exported in the official database, and the majority of the fishing effort is equal to 1 . Therefore, the commercial LPUE could not be calculated for this fleet.

However, LPUE for the FR-BB-IN-Q4 fleet is provided using paper logbooks which are still used by this fleet. Its LPUE are variables and the trend shows a decrease from 2014 to 2015 (Figure 7.4).
The Belgian LPUE series was relatively constant from 1990-1996, declining severely until 2002 but increased in 2003 to return to the 1997-2000 level. Later on, its trend was flat until 2009, but it changed to an increasing one in 2010. The last value is higher than 2014 and it's the second highest value since 1997.
For the ORHAGO survey, the trend of the cpue is close to the trend of the Belgian beam trawler fleet and it also shows an increase from 2007.
Consequently, except the commercial fleet FR-BB-IN-Q4, all the LPUE and cpue series available show an increase in the last year of the series.

### 7.3 Assessment

### 7.3.1 Input data

See stock annex

### 7.3.2 Model

As in previous years, the model chosen by the Group to assess this stock was XSA.
The age range in the assessment is $2-8+$, as last year assessment.
The year range used is 1984-2015.

## Catch-at-age analysis and Data screening

The results of exploratory XSA runs, which are not included in this report, are available in ICES files.

A separable VPA was run to screen the catch-at-age data. The same settings as last year were used: terminal $F$ of 0.6 on age 4 and terminal $S$ of 0.9 . There were no anomalous residuals apparent in recent years.

Four commercial LPUE series are used in the assessment: La Rochelle offshore trawlers (FR-ROCHELLE) and Les Sables d'Olonne offshore trawlers (FR-SABLES) 1991 to 2009, the Bay of Biscay offshore trawlers in the second quarter (FR-BB-OFF-Q2) 2000 to 2012 and the Bay of Biscay inshore trawlers in the last quarter (FR-BB-IN-Q4) 2000 to last year. The data for these four tuning series are in table 7.6.

The table below summarizes the available information on the commercial tuning fleets and the survey.

| FLEET TYPE | ACRONYM | PERIOD | AGE <br> RANGE | LANDING <br> CONTRIBUTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FR-SABLES | $1991-2009$ | $1-8$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Offshore otter trawlers | FR- <br> ROCHELLE | $1991-2009$ | $1-8$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Inshore otter trawlers | FR-BB-IN-Q4 | $2000-2015$ | $1-8$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Offshore otter trawlers FR-BB-OFF-Q2 $2000-2012$ | $1-8$ | $<1 \%$ |  |  |
| Beam trawler survey | FR-ORHAGO | $2007-2015$ | $0-8$ | $0 \%$ |

XSA tuning runs (low shrinkage s.e. $=2.5$, no taper, other settings as in last year tuning) were carried out on data from each fleet individually. The results show no trend and small residuals for all fleets (Figure $7.5 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ) except for the FR-BB-OFF-Q2 for age 2 in 2009, 2010 and 2011 and for FR-ORHAGO at age 5 in 2007 and 2015 and at age 6 in 2008, 2010 and in 2014.

## Result of XSA runs

The final XSA was run using the same settings than in last year assessment.
The Figure 7.2 c shows a distribution of catches-at-age, between ages $2-6$. The strong age 2 last year is now found in the age 3 this year.

As in last year's assessment, the weight of the ORHAGO survey age estimate is major, far above the weight of other fleets from age 2-6 (Table 7.7), $95 \%$ for age $2,75 \%$ for age 3 , and $71 \%$ for age 4 for example.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { XSA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $2016$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch data range |  |  | 84-14 |  |  | 84-15 |
| Catch age range |  |  | 2-8+ |  |  | 2-8+ |
| Fleets | FR - SABLES | 91-09 | 2-7 | FR - SABLES | 91-09 | 2-7 |
|  | FR - ROCHELLE | 91-09 | 2-7 | FR - ROCHELLE | 91-09 | 2-7 |
|  | FR-BB-IN-Q4 | 00-14 | 3-7 | FR-BB-IN-Q4 | 00-15 | 3-7 |
|  | FR-BB-OFF-Q2 | 00-12 | 2-6 | FR-BB-OFF-Q2 | 00-12 | 2-6 |
|  | FR-ORHAGO | 07-14 | 2-8 | FR-ORHAGO | 07-15 | 2-8 |
| Taper |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Ages catch dep Stock size |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Q plateau |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| F shrinkage se |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 1.5 |
| Year range |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| age range |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Fleet se threshold |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |
| Fbar range |  |  | 3-6 |  |  | 3-6 |

The results are given in Table 7.7. The log-catchability residuals are shown in Figure $7.5 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ and retrospective results in Figure 7.6. The retrospective pattern shows an F overestimation and a small SSB overestimation in 2014.

Because of the lack of the FR-BB-OFF-Q2 2014 abundance indices in the tuning data, the estimated survivors at age 2 are only based on the ORHAGO survey. The recruits at age 2 were overestimated for 2014.

At age 3, the only one commercial fleet estimated survivors to have a significant weight is the FR-BB-INQ4 (around $24 \%$ ) and it increases by $49 \%$ at age 7 . The FR-BB-OFF-Q2 has less weight than the others fleets, the maximum is at age 7 at around $12 \%$. The two discontinued commercial fleets FR-SABLES and FR-ROCHELLE have no more weight at all ages. At age 6, the fleets FR-BB-IN-Q4 and FR-ORHAGO have more or less the same estimated survivors around $45 \%$.

Fishing mortalities and stock numbers-at-age are given in Tables 7.8 and 7.9 respectively. The results are summarized in Table 7.10. Trends in yield, F, SSB and recruitments are plotted in Figure 7.7. Fishing mortality in 2015 is estimated by XSA to have been at 0.44 . Fishing mortality was 0.46 in 2013 , and 0.44 in 2014.

### 7.3.2.1 Estimating year-class abundance

In this year's assessment the retrospective analyses shows that the 2012 and 2013 recruitments were well estimated and that the recruitments are confirmed to be at a low level. The group therefore considers that, the estimate of the recruitment for last year (2015 in this year's assessment) is not well estimated as shown by the retrospective pattern for recruits and decided to change the value estimated by the assessment model by the geometric mean (1993 to n-2). The WG agreed to keep this calculation of the GM to be homogeneous with the previous assessment.

Recruitment-at-age 2

| YeAR CLASS | Thousands | BASIS | SURVEY | COMMERCIAL | SHRINKAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 15476 | XSA | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 21322 | GM(93-13) |  |  |  |
| 2014 \& subsequent | 21322 | GM(93-13) |  |  |  |

Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality and recruitment
A full summary of the time-series of XSA results are given in Table 7.10 and illustrated in Figure 7.7.

Since 1984, fishing mortality gradually increased, peaked in 2002 and decreased substantially the following two years. It increased in 2005 and, later on stabilized at around the new $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(=0.43)$.

The SSB trend in earlier years increases from 12300 t in 1984 to 16400 t in 1993, afterwards it shows a continuous decrease to 9600 t in 2003. After an increase between 2003 and 2006, the SSB remains close to 11300 t from 2007 to 2009. Since 2004, the SSB although above the new $B_{p a}(10600 t)$ has been decreasing since 2012. The SSB value for 2014 and 2015 are below the $\mathrm{B}_{\text {pa }}$. The 2015 SSB is estimated to 9733 t , lower $(19 \%)$ than the estimated value from WGBIE 2015.
The recruitment values are lower since 1993. Between 2004 and 2008 the series is stable around 17 or 18 million and the 2007 year class is the highest value since 1984. The 2010 and 2011 values are closed to the GM93-13 ( 21.3 million). However, the 2012 and 2013 values are the lowest of the series ( 14.5 million and 13.3 million respectively). Since 2014, the recruitment is estimated to be below GM of the time-series 1993-2013.

### 7.3.3 Catch options and prognosis

Because of the stability around the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ for the F , the WG did not consider that there was a trend (Figure 7.7). Thus, the exploitation pattern is the mean over the period 20132014 for age 2 and 2013-2015 for ages 3 and above. This status quo $F$ is estimated at 0.45 for the run.

The recruits at age 2 from 2015-2018 are assumed equal to $\mathrm{GM}_{93-13 \text {. Stock numbers-at- }}$ age 3 are derived from the GM (as described in the stock annex) at age 4 and above are the XSA survivor estimates.
Weights at age in the landings are the 2013-2015 means using the new fresh/gutted transformation coefficient of French landing which was changed from 1.11-1.04 in 2007. Weights at age in the stock are the 2013-2015 means using the old fresh/gutted transformation coefficient of French landing (1.11). The predicted spawning biomass is consequently still comparable to the biomass reference point of the management plan.

### 7.3.3.1 Short-term predictions

Input values for the catch forecast are given in Table 7.11.
The landings forecasts (Table 7.12) is 3793 t in 2016 (TAC is set at 3420 t ), closed to the 2015 landings ( 3641 t ).
Assuming recruitment at GM93-13, the SSB is predicted to increase to 10468 t in 2016 and increase to 11310 t in 2017, fishing at status quo F in 2016. It will continue to grow at status quo F , to reach 11789 t in 2018 (Tables 7.12 and 7.13).

The proportional contributions of recent year classes to the landings in 2017 and to the SSB in 2018 are given in Table 7.14. Year classes for which GM93-13 recruitment has been assumed (2014-2016) contribute $47.5 \%$ of the 2017 landings and $62.6 \%$ of the 2018 SSB.

### 7.3.3.2 Yield and Biomass per Recruit

Results for yield and SSB per recruit conditional on status quo F, are given in Table 7.15 $a \& b$, and in Figure 7.8. The $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}(0.45)$ is $31 \%$ above $\mathrm{F}_{\max }(0.34)$ and largely higher than $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(0.14)$. Long-term equilibrium landings and SSB (at F status quo and assuming GM recruitment) are estimated to be 4537 t and 12706 t respectively (Table 7.15a \& b).

### 7.3.4 Biological reference points

WKMSYRef4 for MSY approach reference points are given below with technical basis with the value adopted for the precautionary approach reference points:

|  | TYPE | Value | Technical basis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MSY | MSY <br> Btrigger | 10600 t | Bpa |
| Approach | FmSY | 0.33 | FmSY without Btrigger |
|  | Blim | 7600 t | Blim $=$ Bpa / exp $(\sigma \times 1.645)$ |
| Precautionary | Bpa | 10600 t | The third lowest value |
| Approach | Flim | 0.6 | In equilibrium gives a 50\% probability of <br> SSB $>$ Blim |
|  | Fpa | 0.43 | Fpa $=$ Flim $\times \exp (-\sigma \times 1.645)$ |

The fishing mortality pattern is known with a low uncertainty because of the limited discards and the satisfactory sampling level of the catches.

### 7.3.5 Comments on the assessment

## Sampling

The sampling level (table 1.3, section 1 ) for this stock is considered to be satisfactory.
The ORHAGO survey provides information on several year classes at age 2. At other ages, it is particularly useful to have a survey in the tuning file because the new use of electronic logbooks has caused some obvious wrong recordings of effort which limit available commercial tuning data in 2012 and 2013 and the lack of FR-BB-OFF-Q2 (since 2013) abundance indices

Stopping the use of fleets of La Rochelle and Les Sables tuning series led to a paucity of information at age 2 in 2013, which were only provided by the Offshore Q2 tuning fleet (when the data were available). That is no more the case with incorporation of the ORHAGO survey in the assessment.

The same age reading method is now adopted by France and Belgium, however a discrepancy still exist between French and Belgian weights at age which has to be investigated.

## Discarding

Available data on discards have shown that discards may be important at age 1 for some trawlers. Discard at age 2 were assumed to be low in the past because the high
commercial value of the sole catches but there are some reports of highgrading practices due to the landing limits adopted by some producers' organizations. The data available for discards do not seem representative to use them in the assessment.

## Consistency

Since the 2013 assessment, the ORHAGO survey has been included in the tuning fleets. This survey is the only one tuning fleet which provides a recruit index series up to 2013 because no LPUE data are available since 2013 for the only one commercial tuning fleet which can also provide a recruitment index.

While the previous year was not well estimated by XSA, the results confirm the good estimate of the low recruits in 2012 and 2013 with the inclusion of the ORHAGO survey in the assessment (weight $95 \%$ for age 2).

The GM is used for the 2015 and 2016 recruitment; this GM estimate has a low contribution in predicted landings and SSB because the recruits in terminal year is 20110 millions and the GM93-13 is 21322 millions. Furthermore, it is worth noting that variability of the recruit series has increased since 2001 and that, in recent period (until 2011).
The retrospective pattern in F shows an overestimation in 2014 (Figure 7.6) $8.7 \%$.
The definition of reference groups of vessels and the use of thresholds on species percentage to build the French series of commercial fishing effort data and LPUE indices is considered to provide representative LPUE of change in stock abundance by limiting the effect of long-term change in fishing power (technological creep) and of change in fishing practices in the sole fishery.

The figure 7.9 shows the difference between the assessments in 2015 and in 2016. The SSB was not revised and F in 2014 revised higher.

## Misreporting

Misreporting is likely to be limited for this stock but it may have occurred for fish of the smallest market size category in some years. There are some reports of highgrading practices due to the landing limits adopted by some producers' organizations.

## Industry input

The traditional meeting with representatives of the fishing industry was not organized in France prior to the WG to present the data used by the 2015 WGBIE to assess the state of the Bay of Biscay sole stock, but a document was provided. As in the previous year, anecdotal information from industry have highlighted that the abundance of sole in some parts of the Bay of Biscay have increased to levels close to that seen 20 years ago. In order not to use all their yearly quota at the beginning of this year, they had to reduce their fishing effort.

## Management considerations

The assessment indicates that SSB has decreased continuously to 9700 t in 2003, since a peak in 1993 ( 16500 t ), has increased to 12400 t in 2006 but it remains close to 11700 $t$ thereafter and since 2004 is above the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. It is estimated to be 10468 t (below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=10$ 600 t ) in 2016 assuming $\mathrm{GM}_{93-13}$ recruitment value for 2015 , but an increase is predicted by the short-term prediction, and SSB is assumed to be above $B_{p a}$ in 2017 and 2018.

The (EC) 388/2006 management plan is agreed for the Bay of Biscay sole but a longterm F target has not yet been set. This plan has not been evaluated by ICES.

Table 7.1 a: Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8.a,b). Internationals landings and catches used by the Working Group (in tonnes).

| Years | Official landings |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { WG } \\ \text { landings } \end{gathered}$ | Discards ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WG } \\ \text { catches } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Belgium | France | Nether. | Spain | Others | Total |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 0 | 2376 |  | 62* |  | 2443 | 2619 | - | - |
| 1980 | 33* | 2549 |  | 107* |  | 2689 | 2986 | - | - |
| 1981 | 4* | 2581* | 13* | 96* |  | 2694 | 2936 | - | - |
| 1982 | 19* | 1618* | 52* | 57* |  | 1746 | 3813 | - | - |
| 1983 | 9* | 2590 | 32* | 38* |  | 2669 | 3628 | - | - |
| 1984 | na | 2968 | 175* | 40* |  | 3183 | 4038 | 99 | 4137 |
| 1985 | 25* | 3424 | 169* | 308* |  | 3925 | 4251 | 64 | 4315 |
| 1986 | 52* | 4228 | 213* | 75* |  | 4567 | 4805 | 27 | 4832 |
| 1987 | 124* | 4009 | 145* | 101* |  | 4379 | 5086 | 198 | 5284 |
| 1988 | 135* | 4308 |  | 0 |  | 4443 | 5382 | 254 | 5636 |
| 1989 | 311* | 5471 |  | 0 |  | 5782 | 5845 | 356 | 6201 |
| 1990 | 301* | 5231 |  | 0 |  | 5532 | 5916 | 303 | 6219 |
| 1991 | 389* | 4315 |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  | 4707 | 5569 | 198 | 5767 |
| 1992 | 440* | 5928 |  | 0 |  | 6359 | 6550 | 123 | 6673 |
| 1993 | 400* | 6096 |  | ${ }^{13}$ |  | 6496 | 6420 | 104 | 6524 |
| 1994 | 466* | 6627 |  | 2*** |  | 7095 | 7229 | 184 | 7413 |
| 1995 | 546* | 5326 |  | 0 |  | 5872 | 6205 | 130 | 6335 |
| 1996 | 460* | 3842 |  | 0 |  | 4302 | 5854 | 142 | 5996 |
| 1997 | 435* | 4526 |  | 0 |  | 4961 | 6259 | 118 | 6377 |
| 1998 | 469* | 3821 | *44 | 0 |  | 4334 | 6027 | 127 | 6154 |
| 1999 | 504 | 3280 |  | 0 |  | 3784 | 5249 | 110 | 5359 |
| 2000 | 451 | 5293 |  | 5*** |  | 5749 | 5760 | 51 | 5811 |
| 2001 | 361 | 4350 | 201 | 0 |  | 4912 | 4836 | 39 | 4875 |
| 2002 | 303 | 3680 |  | 2*** |  | 3985 | 5486 | 21 | 5507 |
| 2003 | 296 | 3805 |  | 4*** |  | 4105 | 4108 | 20 | 4128 |
| 2004 | 324 | 3739 |  | 9*** |  | 4072 | 4002 | - | - |
| 2005 | 358 | 4003 |  | 10 |  | 4371 | 4539 | - | - |
| 2006 | 393 | 4030 |  | 9 |  | 4432 | 4793 | - | - |
| 2007 | 401 | 3707 |  | 9 |  | 4117 | 4363 | - | - |
| 2008 | 305 | 3018 |  | 11 | 2* | 3336 | 4299 | - | - |
| 2009 | 364 | 4391 |  |  |  | 4755 | 3650 | - | - |
| 2010 | 451 | 4248 |  |  |  | 4699 | 3966 | - | - |
| 2011 | 386 | 4259 |  |  |  | 4645 | 4632 | - | - |
| 2012 | 385 | 3819 |  |  |  | 4204 | 4321 | - | - |
| 2013 | 312 | 4181 |  |  |  | 4492 | 4235 | - | - |
| 2014 | 307 | 3793 |  | 10 |  | 4110 | 3928 | - | - |
| 2015 | 302 | 3465 |  | 8 |  | 3775 | $3641^{* *}$ | - | - |
| ${ }^{1}$ including reported in VIII or VIIIc, d ${ }^{2}$ Discards $=$ Partial estimates for the French offshore trawlers fleet  <br> reported in VIII $* *$ Preliminary $* * *$ reported as Solea $\operatorname{spp}$ (Solea lascaris and solea solea) in VIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table $7.1 \mathbf{b}$ : Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8a,b). Contribution (in \%) to the total landings by differents fleets.

| Year | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shrimp trawlers | 7 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Inshore trawlers | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| Offshore otter trawlers | 61 | 62 | 60 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 45 | 45 | 47 | 46 | 41 | 41 | 39 | 31 | 28 |
| Offshore beam trawlers | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Fixed nets | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 20 | 26 | 20 | 24 | 35 | 39 | 40 | 49 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Shrimp trawlers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inshore trawlers | 11 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 6 |
| Offshore otter trawlers | 29 | 26 | 26 | 30 | 30 | 24 | 21 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 19 |
| Offshore beam trawlers | 6 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 7 |
| Fixed nets | 52 | 53 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 61 | 63 | 59 | 70 | 60 | 60 | 63 | 64 | 61 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp trawlers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inshore trawlers | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offshore otter trawlers | 21 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offshore beam trawlers | 10 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed nets | 63 | 61 | 67 | 66 | 68 | 65 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2: Bay of Biscay Sole - 2015
French and Belgian relative length distribution of landings

| Length(cm) | France | Belgium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 0.01 |  |
| 22 | 0.07 |  |
| 23 | 1.03 | 0.54 |
| 24 | 3.73 | 4.89 |
| 25 | 5.74 | 10.52 |
| 26 | 7.41 | 13.46 |
| 27 | 9.72 | 14.92 |
| 28 | 9.19 | 13.06 |
| 29 | 11.25 | 11.78 |
| 30 | 11.29 | 10.11 |
| 31 | 10.09 | 6.50 |
| 32 | 7.64 | 5.39 |
| 33 | 5.14 | 3.33 |
| 34 | 3.62 | 2.02 |
| 35 | 2.82 | 1.42 |
| 36 | 2.21 | 0.93 |
| 37 | 1.82 | 0.47 |
| 38 | 1.44 | 0.26 |
| 39 | 1.17 | 0.12 |
| 40 | 0.97 | 0.11 |
| 41 | 0.85 | 0.07 |
| 42 | 0.70 | 0.03 |
| 43 | 0.48 | 0.01 |
| 44 | 0.41 | 0.04 |
| 45 | 0.38 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 0.27 |  |
| 47 | 0.11 |  |
| 48 | 0.15 |  |
| 49 | 0.11 |  |
| 50 | 0.08 |  |
| 51 | 0.05 |  |
| 52 | 0.03 |  |
| 53 | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 |

Table 7.3: Bay of Biscay Sole, Catch number-at-age (in thousands)

| Year | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5901 | 8493 | 6126 | 3794 | 4962 | 4918 | 7122 | 4562 | 4640 | 1897 | 2603 |
| 3 | 3164 | 4606 | 4208 | 5634 | 5928 | 6551 | 6312 | 6302 | 7279 | 7816 | 5502 |
| 4 | 2786 | 2479 | 2673 | 3578 | 4191 | 3802 | 4423 | 4512 | 4920 | 6879 | 8803 |
| 5 | 2034 | 1962 | 2301 | 2005 | 2293 | 3147 | 2833 | 2083 | 2991 | 3661 | 5040 |
| 6 | 1164 | 906 | 1512 | 1482 | 1388 | 2046 | 972 | 1113 | 2236 | 1625 | 1968 |
| 7 | 880 | 708 | 1044 | 690 | 874 | 967 | 1018 | 1063 | 1124 | 566 | 970 |
| +gp | 1181 | 729 | 1235 | 714 | 766 | 499 | 870 | 981 | 951 | 708 | 696 |
| TOTALNUM | 17110 | 19883 | 19099 | 17897 | 20402 | 21930 | 23550 | 20616 | 24141 | 23152 | 25582 |
| TONSLAND | 4038 | 4251 | 4805 | 5086 | 5382 | 5845 | 5916 | 5569 | 6550 | 6420 | 7229 |
| SOPCOF \% | 107 | 103 | 102 | 102 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Year | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 2 | 3249 | 3027 | 3801 | 4096 | 2851 | 5677 | 3180 | 5198 | 4274 | 3411 | 3976 |
| 3 | 5663 | 5180 | 9079 | 5550 | 5113 | 7015 | 6528 | 4777 | 6309 | 5415 | 3464 |
| 4 | 6356 | 5409 | 5380 | 6351 | 4870 | 5143 | 4948 | 4932 | 2236 | 3291 | 3738 |
| 5 | 3644 | 2343 | 3063 | 2306 | 2764 | 2542 | 1776 | 3095 | 1220 | 917 | 2309 |
| 6 | 1795 | 1697 | 1578 | 1237 | 1314 | 955 | 899 | 1269 | 729 | 661 | 991 |
| 7 | 843 | 1366 | 692 | 785 | 902 | 421 | 513 | 615 | 377 | 272 | 461 |
| +gp | 986 | 1319 | 877 | 1188 | 977 | 444 | 486 | 432 | 250 | 333 | 508 |
| TOTALNUM | 22536 | 20341 | 24470 | 21513 | 18791 | 22197 | 18330 | 20318 | 15395 | 14300 | 15447 |
| TONSLAND | 6205 | 5854 | 6259 | 6027 | 5249 | 5760 | 4836 | 5486 | 4108 | 4002 | 4539 |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 102 |
| Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| 2 | 3535 | 3885 | 3173 | 2860 | 2084 | 1516 | 1302 | 2312 | 3460 | 2314 |  |
| 3 | 4436 | 5181 | 4794 | 3986 | 7707 | 5222 | 4680 | 2939 | 2932 | 3052 |  |
| 4 | 2747 | 2615 | 2886 | 2233 | 3758 | 8347 | 4264 | 3777 | 1624 | 1590 |  |
| 5 | 2012 | 1419 | 1353 | 1501 | 1272 | 1019 | 3787 | 3205 | 2231 | 1884 |  |
| 6 | 1030 | 1262 | 938 | 946 | 484 | 570 | 1008 | 1450 | 1668 | 1200 |  |
| 7 | 530 | 686 | 892 | 541 | 269 | 275 | 225 | 286 | 730 | 858 |  |
| +gp | 1537 | 946 | 1193 | 960 | 284 | 516 | 517 | 635 | 483 | 580 |  |
| TOTALNUM | 15827 | 15994 | 15229 | 13027 | 15858 | 17465 | 15783 | 14604 | 13128 | 11478 |  |
| TONSLAND | 4793 | 4363 | 4299 | 3650 | 3966 | 4632 | 4321 | 4235 | 3928 | 3641 |  |
| SOPCOF \% | 101 | 100 | 100 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 110 | 110 |  |

Table 7.4: Bay of Biscay Sole, Catch weight at age (in kg )

| Year | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.121 | 0.106 | 0.102 | 0.141 | 0.134 | 0.136 | 0.131 | 0.143 | 0.146 | 0.145 | 0.147 |
| 3 | 0.168 | 0.174 | 0.173 | 0.201 | 0.19 | 0.188 | 0.179 | 0.192 | 0.196 | 0.197 | 0.195 |
| 4 | 0.213 | 0.252 | 0.245 | 0.285 | 0.272 | 0.258 | 0.241 | 0.26 | 0.262 | 0.267 | 0.251 |
| 5 | 0.269 | 0.313 | 0.328 | 0.376 | 0.357 | 0.354 | 0.348 | 0.325 | 0.341 | 0.341 | 0.324 |
| 6 | 0.329 | 0.39 | 0.409 | 0.467 | 0.495 | 0.437 | 0.436 | 0.437 | 0.404 | 0.439 | 0.421 |
| 7 | 0.368 | 0.457 | 0.498 | 0.497 | 0.503 | 0.543 | 0.601 | 0.535 | 0.49 | 0.569 | 0.569 |
| +gp | 0.573 | 0.698 | 0.657 | 0.682 | 0.604 | 0.799 | 0.854 | 0.715 | 0.715 | 0.677 | 0.774 |
| SOPCOFAC | 1.0712 | 1.0302 | 1.0197 | 1.0248 | 1.008 | 1.0055 | 1.0039 | 1.0183 | 1.0004 | 1.0008 | 1.0016 |
| Year | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.16 | 0.159 | 0.142 | 0.161 | 0.177 | 0.171 | 0.152 | 0.171 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.189 |
| 3 | 0.206 | 0.204 | 0.193 | 0.212 | 0.219 | 0.207 | 0.22 | 0.208 | 0.226 | 0.227 | 0.226 |
| 4 | 0.252 | 0.268 | 0.256 | 0.257 | 0.246 | 0.276 | 0.265 | 0.263 | 0.307 | 0.29 | 0.298 |
| 5 | 0.308 | 0.319 | 0.319 | 0.335 | 0.305 | 0.343 | 0.341 | 0.32 | 0.361 | 0.391 | 0.367 |
| 6 | 0.403 | 0.399 | 0.406 | 0.41 | 0.404 | 0.452 | 0.428 | 0.466 | 0.487 | 0.493 | 0.43 |
| 7 | 0.484 | 0.453 | 0.502 | 0.501 | 0.533 | 0.573 | 0.519 | 0.592 | 0.657 | 0.643 | 0.468 |
| +gp | 0.658 | 0.625 | 0.678 | 0.7 | 0.582 | 0.755 | 0.619 | 0.681 | 0.642 | 0.81 | 0.656 |
| SOPCOFAC | 1.0023 | 0.9998 | 1.0048 | 1.0091 | 1.0006 | 1.0066 | 1.01 | 1.0122 | 1.0056 | 1.0104 | 1.0153 |
| Year | 2006 | 2007* | 2008* | 2009* | 2010* | 2011* | 2012* | 2013* | 2014* | 2015* |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.195 | 0.176 | 0.174 | 0.17 | 0.179 | 0.193 | 0.182 | 0.208 | 0.177 | 0.198 |  |
| 3 | 0.242 | 0.225 | 0.229 | 0.215 | 0.206 | 0.223 | 0.224 | 0.24 | 0.242 | 0.227 |  |
| 4 | 0.282 | 0.298 | 0.287 | 0.275 | 0.272 | 0.253 | 0.257 | 0.272 | 0.282 | 0.318 |  |
| 5 | 0.347 | 0.326 | 0.352 | 0.317 | 0.337 | 0.342 | 0.307 | 0.304 | 0.297 | 0.312 |  |
| 6 | 0.42 | 0.388 | 0.392 | 0.361 | 0.414 | 0.432 | 0.369 | 0.368 | 0.348 | 0.385 |  |
| 7 | 0.455 | 0.419 | 0.401 | 0.447 | 0.477 | 0.489 | 0.414 | 0.518 | 0.394 | 0.365 |  |
| +gp | 0.533 | 0.511 | 0.519 | 0.601 | 0.768 | 0.606 | 0.585 | 0.521 | 0.572 | 0.512 |  |
| SOPCOFAC | 1.0136 | 1.0026 | 1 | 1.0158 | 1.0019 | 1.0046 | 1.0023 | 1.0082 | 1.0951 | 1.0978 |  |
| ${ }^{*}$ ) for 2007 to 2015, French catch weight at age computed using the new fresh/gutted transformation coefficient (1.04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before 2007, the French fresh/gutted transformation coefficient is 1.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Belgian fresh/gutted transformation coefficient is 1.04 in 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.5 a : Bay of Biscay sole LPUE and indices of fishing effort for French offshore trawlers.

| Year | CPUE |  |  | LPUE | LPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inshore (10-12 m) trawlers of French sole fishery Q4 | Offshore (14-18m) trawlers of French sole fishery Q2 | Orhago <br> Survey beam trawler kg/10km | La Rochelle offshore trawlers of French sole fishery (kg/h) | Les Sables offshore trawlers of French sole fishery (kg/h) |
| 1984 | - | - |  | 6.0 | 6.9 |
| 1985 | - | - |  | 5.6 | 6.5 |
| 1986 | - | - |  | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 1987 | - | - |  | 6.6 | 5.9 |
| 1988 | - | - |  | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| 1989 | - | - |  | 5.5 | 6.1 |
| 1990 | - | - |  | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| 1991 | - | - |  | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| 1992 | - | - |  | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| 1993 | - | - |  | 4.6 | 6.4 |
| 1994 | - | - |  | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| 1995 | - | - |  | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| 1996 | - | - |  | 4.9 | 6.0 |
| 1997 | - | - |  | 4.1 | 5.3 |
| 1998 | - | - |  | 4.2 | 5.3 |
| 1999 | - | - |  | 3.7 | 5.9 |
| 2000 | 5.7 | 3.5 |  | 4.0 | 5.7 |
| 2001 | 5.8 | 3.4 |  | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| 2002 | 4.8 | 4.1 |  | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| 2003 | 5.8 | 3.9 |  | 4.1 | 3.9 |
| 2004 | 5.4 | 3.6 |  | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| 2005 | 5.2 | 3.4 |  | 3.9 | 5.2 |
| 2006 | 5.8 | 2.2 |  | 3.4 | 5.4 |
| 2007 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 5.3 |
| 2008 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 5.6 |
| 2009 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 5.2 |
| 2010 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 5.7 |
| 2011 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 6.1 | na | na |
| 2012 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 7.0 | na | na |
| 2013 | 4.0 |  | 6.6 | na | na |
| 2014 | 5.3 |  | 7.8 | na | na |
| 2015 | 4.2 |  | 7.7 | na | na |

* French offshore trawlers in other harbours than in La Rochelle and Les Sables na : non available

Table 7.5 b : Bay of Biscay sole fishing effort and LPUE for Belgian beam trawlers.

| Year | Landing (t) | Effort (1000 h) | LPUE (kg/h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 26.3 | 1.7 | 15.5 |
| 1977 | 64.4 | 3.4 | 18.7 |
| 1978 | 29.8 | 1.7 | 17.7 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 33.1 | 1.9 | 17.9 |
| 1981 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 16.4 |
| 1982 | 20.5 | 1.1 | 18.6 |
| 1983 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 17.3 |
| 1984 |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 26.7 | 1.6 | 17.2 |
| 1986 | 52.0 | 2.8 | 18.4 |
| 1987 | 124.0 | 7.7 | 16.1 |
| 1988 | 134.7 | 5.6 | 24.1 |
| 1989 | 311.0 | 16.7 | 18.6 |
| 1990 | 309.4 | 9.0 | 34.3 |
| 1991 | 400.5 | 9.8 | 41.0 |
| 1992 | 452.9 | 14.8 | 30.6 |
| 1993 | 399.7 | 10.7 | 37.5 |
| 1994 | 467.6 | 13.5 | 34.6 |
| 1995 | 446.7 | 13.5 | 33.0 |
| 1996 | 459.8 | 13.6 | 33.9 |
| 1997 | 435.4 | 16.2 | 26.9 |
| 1998 | 463.1 | 17.8 | 26.1 |
| 1999 | 498.7 | 20.8 | 24.0 |
| 2000 | 459.2 | 19.2 | 23.9 |
| 2001 | 368.2 | 17.5 | 21.1 |
| 2002 | 310.6 | 16.5 | 18.8 |
| 2003 | 295.8 | 12.5 | 23.6 |
| 2004 | 318.7 | 12.2 | 26.2 |
| 2005 | 365.1 | 15.0 | 24.3 |
| 2006 | 392.9 | 16.7 | 23.5 |
| 2007 | 404.2 | 16.3 | 24.8 |
| 2008 | 305.1 | 12.9 | 23.6 |
| 2009 | 363.3 | 16.2 | 22.5 |
| 2010 | 451.3 | 13.1 | 34.3 |
| 2011 | 386.4 | 12.7 | 30.4 |
| 2012 | 385.2 | 9.7 | 39.5 |
| 2013 | 311.9 | 11.8 | 26.3 |
| 2014 | 307.4 | 11.1 | 27.8 |
| 2015 | 302.0 | 8.2 | 36.8 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 7.6: Sole 8ab, available tuning data (landings); commercial landings ( N in $10^{* *}-3$ ) and survey catch - Fishing effort in hours; Series, year and range used in tuning are shown in bold type

| FR-SABLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Fishing effort | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1991 | 33763 | 30.5 | 242.1 | 332.8 | 194.7 | 73.8 | 32.4 | 23.6 | 19.5 |
|  | 1992 | 30445 | 3.7 | 236.8 | 285.8 | 130.2 | 59.5 | 32.1 | 15.0 | 11.9 |
|  | 1993 | 34273 | 3.7 | 152.0 | 441.3 | 224.0 | 75.7 | 27.0 | 8.0 | 10.9 |
|  | 1994 | 20997 | 1.2 | 94.1 | 157.4 | 184.3 | 77.3 | 24.2 | 13.4 | 10.8 |
|  | 1995 | 31759 | 7.3 | 173.4 | 228.1 | 177.1 | 69.1 | 34.1 | 15.9 | 19.5 |
|  | 1996 | 31518 | 13.0 | 193.0 | 222.6 | 169.8 | 55.6 | 37.8 | 29.4 | 23.2 |
|  | 1997 | 27040 | 5.0 | 140.9 | 290.9 | 114.2 | 49.0 | 26.7 | 10.6 | 11.4 |
|  | 1998 | 16260 | 0.8 | 86.9 | 112.1 | 113.6 | 31.4 | 13.8 | 8.1 | 7.7 |
|  | 1999 | 12528 | 0.0 | 64.9 | 53.2 | 39.7 | 26.8 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 17.6 |
|  | 2000 | 11271 | 3.4 | 81.3 | 121.3 | 45.0 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
|  | 2001 | 9459 | 2.3 | 32.9 | 64.5 | 35.2 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
|  | 2002 | 10344 | 7.2 | 76.9 | 60.3 | 37.5 | 19.3 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
|  | 2003 | 7354 | 1.5 | 38.9 | 49.1 | 14.3 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 | 6909 | 2.7 | 38.4 | 36.5 | 22.7 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 2005 | 6571 | 6.6 | 46.4 | 26.6 | 25.2 | 15.3 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
|  | 2006 | 6223 | 7.7 | 63.1 | 29.7 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 6.3 |
|  | 2007 | 5954 | 1.0 | 32.6 | 28.4 | 18.0 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 8.2 |
|  | 2008 | 4321 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 16.4 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 7.8 |
|  | 2009 | 3577 | 0.7 | 23.0 | 22.2 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 5.7 |
| FR - ROCHEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  | Fishing effort | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1991 | 15250 | 14.7 | 134.8 | 157.4 | 88.9 | 30.3 | 11.6 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
|  | 1992 | 12491 | 0.8 | 99.4 | 130.1 | 58.7 | 21.2 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
|  | 1993 | 12146 | 0.6 | 53.3 | 126.5 | 51.8 | 17.2 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
|  | 1994 | 8745 | 0.7 | 42.4 | 56.5 | 52.9 | 19.4 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 1.5 |
|  | 1995 | 4260 | 1.9 | 25.9 | 31.3 | 20.7 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | 1996 | 10124 | 10.6 | 113.1 | 74.6 | 34.3 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
|  | 1997 | 12491 | 3.8 | 74.1 | 117.6 | 35.8 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
|  | 1998 | 10841 | 1.6 | 77.7 | 65.4 | 57.9 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
|  | 1999 | 8311 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 31.6 | 19.0 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
|  | 2000 | 8334 | $4.8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $64.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 44.4 | 19.2 " | $6.7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $2.8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $1.5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.5 |
|  | 2001 | 7074 | 2.3 | 24.7 | 39.9 | 23.7 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
|  | 2002 | 6957 | 9.0 | 89.2 | 36.3 | 11.8 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 2003 | 5028 | 2.2 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
|  | 2004 | 1899 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 2005 | 3292 | 2.4 | 17.3 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
|  | 2006 | 2304 | 1.5 | 11.0 | 8.3 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
|  | 2007 | 2553 | 0.2 | 12.3 | 21.5 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
|  | 2008 | 1887 | 0.2 | 11.3 | 14.6 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
|  | 2009 | 1176 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| FR-BB-IN-Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  | Fishing effort | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 2000 | 1432 | 4.06 | 20.99 | 11.21 | 3.34 | 1.00 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
|  | 2001 | 1803 | 18.04 | 37.14 | 6.56 | 2.03 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 0.52 |
|  | 2002 | 2276 | 15.06 | 23.83 | 11.09 | 1.62 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.64 | 0.51 |
|  | 2003 | 2913 | 1.65 | 29.53 | 32.18 | 4.54 | 0.87 | 0.53 | 0.38 | 0.50 |
|  | 2004 | 3081 | 4.25 | 24.42 | 24.00 | 8.76 | 3.48 | 2.96 | 0.56 | 1.38 |
|  | 2005 | 5006 | 9.90 | 47.27 | 16.31 | 13.09 | 5.31 | 2.12 | 1.11 | 2.71 |
|  | 2006 | 7248 | 23.93 | 85.26 | 27.74 | 6.90 | 4.74 | 3.99 | 2.68 | 6.22 |
|  | 2007 | 4110 | 2.75 | 34.73 | 16.22 | 7.33 | 3.75 | 3.11 | 0.69 | 2.21 |
|  | 2008 | 3820 | 0.58 | 14.07 | 16.05 | 8.70 | 3.02 | 1.69 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
|  | 2009 | 3615 | 2.66 | 47.84 | 14.71 | 3.36 | 1.81 | 1.53 | 0.64 | 1.37 |
|  | 2010 | 4279 | 1.48 | 21.80 | 33.47 | 9.45 | 3.01 | 0.93 | 0.44 | 1.06 |
|  | 2011 | 5085 | 3.41 | 40.80 | 22.69 | 13.69 | 3.61 | 1.80 | 0.79 | 1.63 |
|  | 2012 | 3088 | 1.14 | 9.74 | 21.55 | 14.44 | 7.58 | 1.50 | 0.98 | 1.17 |
|  | 2013 | 3155 | 3.38 | 11.91 | 8.28 | 7.88 | 3.22 | 2.86 | 1.04 | 1.97 |
|  | 2014 | 4767 | 16.31 | 92.80 | 16.08 | 4.89 | 3.69 | 2.72 | 0.85 | 1.08 |
|  | 2015 | 2422 | 5.71 | 30.54 | 6.95 | 2.32 | 1.90 | 1.18 | 0.80 | 0.45 |

Table 7.6: cont'd

| FR-BB-OFF-Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Fishing effort | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 2000 | 5567 | 0.00 | 22.92 | 28.32 | 23.17 | 9.54 | 2.72 | 0.90 | 1.66 |
|  | 2001 | 5039 | 0.01 | 14.87 | 30.25 | 20.82 | 5.69 | 3.64 | 1.42 | 1.08 |
|  | 2002 | 5604 | 0.01 | 36.79 | 33.91 | 17.16 | 9.07 | 4.09 | 2.12 | 0.53 |
|  | 2003 | 3324 | 0.02 | 22.88 | 27.61 | 6.99 | 1.85 | 0.81 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
|  | 2004 | 4809 | 0.00 | 13.97 | 43.91 | 14.51 | 1.37 | 0.70 | 0.26 | 0.40 |
|  | 2005 | 4535 | 3.67 | 13.13 | 19.61 | 16.22 | 5.78 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 0.57 |
|  | 2006 | 2235 | 0.00 | 3.50 | 9.56 | 2.91 | 1.50 | 0.97 | 0.33 | 0.31 |
|  | 2007 | 4013 | 0.00 | 13.41 | 46.11 | 6.41 | 1.18 | 1.69 | 0.24 | 0.54 |
|  | 2008 | 3211 | 0.00 | 16.58 | 23.51 | 7.36 | 2.33 | 0.40 | 0.83 | 0.49 |
|  | 2009 | 968 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 5.05 | 1.69 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.22 |
|  | 2010 | 2279 | 0.00 | 1.55 | 27.23 | 7.96 | 2.16 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
|  | 2011 | 2882 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 12.40 | 23.98 | 1.61 | 0.82 | 0.39 | 1.11 |
|  | 2012 | 2047 | 0.00 | 4.33 | 14.92 | 7.59 | 4.66 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 0.37 |
| FR-ORHAGO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  | Fishing effort | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 2007 | 100 | 69 | 164.2 | 68.9 | 28.0 | 15.5 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
|  | 2008 | 100 | 343 | 128.3 | 70.8 | 22.7 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
|  | 2009 | 100 | 87 | 490.1 | 101.2 | 20.5 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 2.2 |
|  | 2010 | 100 | 170 | 193.3 | 161.9 | 21.1 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
|  | 2011 | 100 | 103 | 208.9 | 76.8 | 30.5 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
|  | 2012 | 100 | 64 | 89.5 | 102.5 | 55.3 | 22.9 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 5.7 |
|  | 2013 | 100 | 169 | 84.5 | 50.6 | 61.8 | 24.3 | 16.1 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
|  | 2014 | 100 | 175 | 228.0 | 51.3 | 28.1 | 23.4 | 18.9 | 7.5 | 6.6 |
|  | 2015 | 100 | 141 | 193.6 | 55.9 | 23.1 | 17.5 | 14.8 | 7.1 | 8.8 |

Table 7.7: XSA tuning diagnostic

```
Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1
    16/05/2016 14:26
Extended Survivors Analysis
SOLE 8.a,b
cpue data from file tunfilt.dat
Catch data for 32 years. 1984 to 2015. Ages 2 to 8.
    Fleet, First, Last, First, Last, Alpha, Beta
FR-SABLES , 1991, 2015, 2, 7, .000, 1.000
FR-ROCHELLE , 1991, 2015, 2, 7, .000, 1.000
FR-BB-IN-Q4 , 2000, 2015, 3, 7, .750, 1.000
FR-BB-OFF-Q2 , 2000, 2015, 2, 6, .250, . 500
FR-ORHAGO , 2007, 2015, 2, 7, .830, .960
Time-series weights :
    Tapered time weighting not applied
Catchability analysis :
    Catchability independent of stock size for all ages
    Catchability independent of age for ages >= 6
Terminal population estimation :
    Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F
    of the final 5 years or the 3 oldest ages.
    S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk = 1.500
    Minimum standard error for population
    estimates derived from each fleet = . }20
    Prior weighting not applied
Tuning converged after 73 iterations
Regression weights
    , 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000
Fishing mortalities
    Age, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
2, & .221, & .259, & .197, & .092, & .095, & .080, & .116, & .215, & .268, & .129 \\
3, & .452, & .511, & .517, & .359, & .340, & .323, & .333, & .368, & .411, & .356 \\
4, & .464, & .466, & .528, & .429, & .598, & .662, & .422, & .434, & .317, & .363 \\
5, & .388, & .411, & .415, & .510, & .411, & .282, & .636, & .573, & .438, & .649 \\
6, & .431, & .399, & .465, & .507, & .271, & .290, & .439, & .472, & .588, & .396 \\
7, & .513, & .506, & .483, & .473, & .232, & .217, & .159, & .190, & .408, & .607
\end{tabular}
```

Table 7.7: Cont'd

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | , | 2, | 3, |  | 4, | 5, | 6, |
| 2006 | , | 1.88E+04, | 1.28E+04, | 7.78E+03, | $6.57 \mathrm{E}+03$, | 3.09E+03, | 1.39E+03, |
| 2007 | , | 1.79E+04, | 1.36E+04, | 7.38E+03, | 4.42E+03, | 4.03E+03, | 1.82E+03, |
| 2008 | , | 1.87E+04, | 1.25E+04, | 7.40E+03, | 4.19E+03, | 2.65E+03, | 2.45E+03, |
| 2009 | , | 3.41E+04, | 1.39E+04, | 6.73E+03, | 3.95E+03, | 2.50E+03, | 1.51E+03, |
| 2010 | , | 2.42E+04, | 2.81E+04, | 8.77E+03, | 3.97E+03, | 2.14E+03, | 1.36E+03, |
| 2011 | , | 2.08E+04, | 1.99E+04, | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+04$, | 4.36E+03, | 2.38E+03, | 1.48E+03, |
| 2012 | , | 1.25E+04, | 1.74E+04, | 1.30E+04, | 8.46E+03, | 2.98E+03, | 1.61E+03, |
| 2013 | , | 1.25E+04, | 1.00E+04, | 1.13E+04, | 7.73E+03, | 4.05E+03, | 1.74E+03, |
| 2014 | , | 1.55E+04, | 9.15E+03, | 6.29E+03, | 6.61E+03, | 3.95E+03, | 2.29E+03, |
| 2015 |  | 2.01E+04, | 1.07E+04, | 5.49E+03, | 4.15E+03, | 3.86E+03, | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+0$ |

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2016
$0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,1.60 \mathrm{E}+04,6.79 \mathrm{E}+03,3.46 \mathrm{E}+03,1.96 \mathrm{E}+03,2.35 \mathrm{E}+03$,

Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
$2.30 \mathrm{E}+04,1.72 \mathrm{E}+04,1.07 \mathrm{E}+04,5.93 \mathrm{E}+03,3.26 \mathrm{E}+03,1.78 \mathrm{E}+03$,

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :

$$
.2689, \quad .2858, \quad .3020, .2771, \quad .2840, .3767,
$$

1

Log catchability residuals.

| Age | , | 1991, | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | , | -.23, | -.13, | -. 38, | -.41, | -. 08 |
| 3 | , | . 11, | -. 19, | .16, | -. 11, | -. 17 |
| 4 | , | .13, | -. 27 , | -.09, | . 37, | . 14 |
| 5 | , | . 08, | -. 16, | -.11, | . 23, | . 00 |
| 6 | , | -. 19, | .17, | -. 39, | . 03 , | -. 24 |
| 7 | , | -.06, | -. 15, | -. 27 , | . 18, | . 07 |


| Age, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001, | 2002, | 2003, | 2004, | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2, | -.21, | -.12, | -.03, | -.18, | .20, | -.17, | .22, | -.13, | .30, | .48 |
| 3, | -.03, | .20, | -.01, | -.42, | .39, | .07, | .26, | .01, | -.29, | -.18 |
| 4, | .02, | .01, | .44, | -.22, | .14, | -.06, | .14, | -.29, | -.19, | -.15 |
| 5 | -.12, | -.24, | .15, | .28, | -.08, | -.27, | .34, | -.17, | -.49, | .23 |
| 6, | .24, | -.02, | -.40, | .42, | -.04, | -.22, | .36, | .04, | -.34, | .16 |
| 7, | .48, | -.01, | .11, | .54, | .08, | -.22, | .08, | .09, | -.13, | .07 |


| Age, | 2006, | 2007, | 2008, | 2009, | 2010, | 2011, | 2012, | 2013, | 2014, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2015

Table 7.7: Cont'd

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -15.0733, | -14.5210, | -14.4788, | -14.6636, | -14.6589, | -14.6589, |
| S.E (Log q), | .3107, | .1990, | .2351, | .3111, | .2991, | .2793, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 2, | 5.00, | -3.165, | 35.09, | .04, | 19, | 1.27, | -15.07, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | 1.00, | -.023, | 14.54, | .63, | 19, | .21, | -14.52, |
| 4, | .83, | 1.130, | 13.60, | .72, | 19, | .19, | -14.48, |
| 5, | 1.12, | -.390, | 15.36, | .40, | 19, | .36, | -14.66, |
| 6, | 1.39, | -1.033, | 17.28, | .29, | 19, | .42, | -14.66, |
| 7, | .73, | 2.304, | 12.61, | .81, | 19, | .17, | -14.55, |

1

Fleet : FR-ROCHELLE

| Age, | 1991, | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2, | -.09, | -.18, | -.45, | -.39, | -.04 |
| 3, | .20, | -.04, | -.01, | -.21, | -.11 |
| 4, | .45, | .13, | -.21, | .30, | .31 |
| 5, | .46, | .17, | -.08, | .20, | .22 |
| 6 | .12, | .34, | -.26, | .11, | -.35 |
| 7, | .01, | .08, | -.03, | -.01, | -.06 |


| Age, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001, | 2002, | 2003, | 2004, | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2, | .33, | -.05, | .20, | -.02, | .19, | -.23, | .70, | .16, | .37, | .12 |
| 3, | .06, | .11, | -.10, | -.49, | -.27, | -.08, | .19, | .23, | -.09, | -.38 |
| 4, | -.14, | -.07, | .48, | -.25, | -.11, | .14, | -.32, | -.06, | -.23, | -.21 |
| 5 | -.35, | -.35, | .01, | .18, | -.16, | -.05, | -.06, | -.06, | -.47, | .32 |
| 6 | -.11, | -.01, | -.53, | .52, | -.30, | .09, | .00, | .10, | -.20, | .41 |
| 7, | -.10, | -.10, | .02, | .23, | -.22, | .12, | -.08, | -.22, | -.03, | .20 |

Age , 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015
$2,-.02, .06, ~ .20,-.84,99.99,99.99,99.99,99.99,99.99,99.99$

| 3 |
| :---: |
|  |  |

$4,-.29,-.20, ~ .31, ~-.02, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99$
$5,-.29,-.27, ~ .24, ~ .34, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99, ~ 99.99$
$6,-.07,-.24, .13, ~ .23,99.99,99.99,99.99,99.99,99.99,99.99$

| 7, | -.07, | -.24, | .13, |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | .00, | .22, | .22, |

Mean $\log$ catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -15.0076, | -14.5623, | -14.7818, | -15.1377, | -15.1963, | -15.1963, |
| S.E(Log q), | .3369, | .2775, | .2599, | .2686, | .2733, | .1427, |

Table 7.7: Cont'd

```
Regression statistics :
```

Ages with $q$ independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time. Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 2, | 1.96, | -1.505, | 19.72, | .13, | 19, | .64, | -15.01, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | 1.20, | -.662, | 15.52, | .39, | 19, | .34, | -14.56, |
| 4, | .80, | 1.236, | 13.70, | .70, | 19, | .21, | -14.78, |
| 5, | .89, | .555, | 14.40, | .59, | 19, | .24, | -15.14, |
| 6, | 1.58, | -1.524, | 19.39, | .29, | 19, | .42, | -15.20, |
| 7, | .85, | 1.997, | 13.98, | .91, | 19, | .11, | -15.20, |


| Age | , | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001, | 2002, | 2003, | 2004, | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | , | No data | for t | his fle | et at t | his age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | . 28 , | -. 34, | . 30 , | . 72, | . 26, | -. 25 |
| 4 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | . 41, | -.49, | -. 66, | . 16, | . 33, | . 13 |
| 5 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | . 08 , | -. 34, | -. 12, | -. 72, | .49, | . 21 |
| 6 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -. 46 , | . 03, | . 64, | -.31, | . 87 , | . 04 |
| 7 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -. 18 , | -.11, | . 60 , | . 33, | . 24 , | -. 08 |
| Age | , | 2006, | 2007, | 2008, | 2009, | 2010, | 2011, | 2012, | 2013, | 2014, | 2015 |
| 2 |  | No data | for t | is fle | t at th | is age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | , | -.05, | -. 03, | .13, | -.15, | -. 22 , | -.44, | . 15, | -. 25, | .13, | -. 24 |
| 4 |  | -. 49, | . 20, | . 49, | -. 40 , | . 35, | -.12, | . 55, | . 08 , | -.33, | -. 22 |
| 5 |  | -. 52, | . 23 , | .15, | -. 17, | . 08, | -. 12, | . 77, | -. 07 , | -.31, | . 35 |
| 6 |  | . 05 , | . 07 , | . 01, | . 06 , | -. 66, | -. 26, | -. 04, | . 31, | -.03, | -. 33 |
| 7 |  | . 52 , | -. 55, | -. 20 , | -. 33, | -. 99, | -.67, | -.09, | -.10, | -. 80, | . 13 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -14.4955, | -14.9362, | -15.1799, | -15.1224, | -15.1224, |
| S.E (Log q), | .3021, | .3897, | .3783, | .3826, | .4762, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with q independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 3, | .96, | .148, | 14.30, | .51, | 16, | .30, | -14.50, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4, | .81, | .734, | 13.80, | .50, | 16, | .32, | -14.94, |
| 5, | .82, | .560, | 14.01, | .42, | 16, | .32, | -15.18, |
| 6, | .92, | .211, | 14.58, | .36, | 16, | .37, | -15.12, |
| 7, | 2.76, | -1.888, | 29.30, | .08, | 16, | 1.15, | -15.26, |
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$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { Fleet }: ~ F R-B B-O F F-Q 2 ~\end{array}\right]$

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -15.9014, | -14.5113, | -14.7459, | -15.3678, | -15.9076, |
| S.E (Log q), | 1.0197, | .3618, | .3055, | .5862, | .8158, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope , t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 2, | -1.56, | -1.466, | .59, | .03, | 13, | 1.52, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | 2.01, | -1.214, | 19.37, | .12, | 13, | .71, |
| 4, | .63, | 2.151, | 12.70, | .76, | 13, | .17, |
| 4, | .514 .75, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5, | .56, | 1.162, | 12.30, | .38, | 13, | .32, |
| 6, | 2.20, | -.473, | 25.63, | .01, | 13, | 1.86, |

1

Fleet : FR-ORHAGO

| Age | 2006, | 2007, | 2008, | 2009, | 2010, | 2011, | 2012, | 2013, | 2014, | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | , 99.99, | . 08, | -.27, | . 38, | -.21, | . 01 , | -.29, | -.27, | . 56, | . 01 |
| 3 | , 99.99, | . 04 , | .16, | . 27 , | . 01, | -. 40, | . 03, | -.09, | . 05, | -. 07 |
| 4 | , 99.99, | . 10, | -. 05, | -. 15, | -. 23 , | -.53, | . 18 , | . 44 , | .13, | . 11 |
| 5 | , 99.99, | . 57, | -. 68, | -. 38, | -1.00, | -1.18, | . 51, | . 60 , | . 60, | . 97 |
| 6 | , 99.99, | . 61, | -. 25, | -. 43, | -3.43, | -. 68, | . 40, | 1.20, | 1.49, | 1.09 |
| 7 | , 99.99, | -. 97, | . 03, | -1.51, | -.81, | -. 06 , | . 25 , | . 56 , | . 95, | 1.21 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -9.0537, | -9.3837, | -9.7768, | -10.3681, | -10.8184, | -10.8184, |
| S.E (Log q), | .3034, | .1855, | .2815, | .8089, | 1.4929, | .9107, |
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```
Regression statistics :
```

Ages with $q$ independent of year-class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope , t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 2, | .73, | 1.093, | 9.26, | .70, | 9, | .22, | -9.05, |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3, | 1.13, | -.595, | 9.36, | .76, | 9, | .22, | -9.38, |
| 4, | 1.29, | -.874, | 9.98, | .56, | 9, | .37, | -9.78, |
| 5, | .42, | 1.653, | 9.30, | .53, | 9, | .31, | -10.37, |
| 6, | .17, | 4.502, | 8.50, | .81, | 9, | .13, | -10.82, |
| 7, | .29, | 1.728, | 8.45, | .46, | 9, | .23, | -10.86, |

Fleet disaggregated estimates of survivors :

Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

Year class $=2013$

FR-SABLES
Age, 2,
Survivors, 0.
Raw Weights, .000,

FR-ROCHELLE

| Age, | 2, |
| ---: | ---: |
| Survivors, | $0 .$, |
| Raw Weights, | .000, |


| FR-BB-IN-Q4 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Age, | 2, |
| Survivors, | $0 .$, |
| Raw Weights, | .000, |


| FR-BB-OFF-Q2 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Age, | 2, |
| Survivors, | $0 .$, |
| Raw Weights, | .000, |


| FR-ORHAGO |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Age, | 2, |
| Survivors, | $16160 .$, |
| Raw Weights, | 8.596, |


| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, |  | Scaled, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  | Weights, | F |
| FR-SABLES | , | 1., | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000, | . 000 |
| FR-ROCHELLE | , | 1 | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-BB-IN-Q4 | , | 1 | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| $\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{BB}-\mathrm{OFF}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | , | 1 | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-ORHAGO | , | 16160., | . 320 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 1, | . 951, | . 128 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 13120., | 1.50, |  |  |  | . 049 , | . 155 |
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```
Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(15995 .\), & .31, & .05, & 2, & .148, & .129
\end{tabular}
1
Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class = 2012
FR-SABLES
            Age, 3, 2,
    Survivors,
Raw Weights, .000, .000,
FR-ROCHELLE
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
Age, & 3, & 2, \\
Survivors, & \(0 .\), & \(0 .\), \\
aw Weights, & .000, & .000,
\end{tabular}
FR-BB-IN-Q4
        Age, 3, 2
    Survivors, 5347., 0.
Raw Weights, 7.226, .000,
FR-BB-OFF-Q2
            Age, 3, 2,
    Survivors, 0., 0.
Raw Weights, .000, .000,
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
FR-ORHAGO & & \\
Age, & 3, & 2, \\
Survivors, & \(6337 .\), & \(11887 .\), \\
Raw Weights, & 17.512, & 5.240,
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimate \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & , & Weights, & F \\
\hline FR-SABLES & , & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00, & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FR-ROCHELLE & , & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000, & . 000 \\
\hline FR-BB-IN-Q4 & , & 5347., & . 311, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 238, & . 434 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{BB}-\mathrm{OFF}-\mathrm{Q} 2\) & , & 1., & . 000, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FR-ORHAGO & , & 7325., & . 171, & . 265 , & 1.55, & 2, & . 748 , & . 334 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 6795., & 1.50, & & & & . 015, & . 356 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(6790 .\), & .15, & .15, & 4, & 1.027, & .356
\end{tabular}
1
Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class = 2011
FR-SABLES
Age, 4, 3, 2
    Survivo
Raw Weights, .000, .000, .000,
```
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| $\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{BB}-\mathrm{OFF}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age, | 5, | 4, |  | 3, |  | 2, |  |  |  |
| Survivors, | $0 .$, | $0 .$, |  | 0., |  | 2904., |  |  |  |
| Raw Weights, | . 000 , | . 000 , |  | . 000 , |  | . 209 , |  |  |  |
| FR-ORHAGO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, | 5, | 4, |  | 3, |  | 2, |  |  |  |
| Survivors, | 5146., | 2240., |  | 1785., |  | 1460., |  |  |  |
| Raw Weights, | . 719 , | 4.322, |  | 6.587, |  | 2.294, |  |  |  |
| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, |  | Ext, | Var, | N, | Scaled, | Estimated |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, |  | s.e, | Ratio, |  | Weights, | F |
| FR-SABLES | , | 1., | . 000, |  | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-ROCHELLE | , | 1., | . 000 , |  | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-BB-IN-Q4 | , | 1904., | . 217, |  | . 224, | 1.03, | 3, | . 369 , | . 663 |
| FR-BB-OFF-Q2 | , | 2904., | 1.058, |  | . 000 , | . 00 , | 1, | . 009, | . 481 |
| FR-ORHAGO | ' | 1957., | . 149, |  | . 155, | 1.04, | 4, | . 603, | . 650 |
| F shrinkage | n | 2991., | 1.50, | , , , |  |  |  | . 019, | . 469 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | S.e, | ' | Ratio, |  |
| $1960 .$, | .12, | .10, | 9, | .834, | .649 |

1
Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

Year class $=2009$

FR-SABLES
Age,
Survivors,
Raw Weights,
6,
5,
4,
3,
2,
0.1,

FR-ROCHELLE
Age,
Survivors,
Raw Weights,



3,
0.
.000 ,
2, .000,

FR-BB-IN-Q4
Age,
Survivors
Raw Weights, $4.328, \quad 2.858, \quad 1.745, \quad 2.083, \quad .000$,
1691.

6,
1724.

4,
2547.
1.745,


2,

| FR-BB-OFF-Q2 |  |  |  | 3, | 2, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age, | 6, | 5, | 4, | $328 .$, |  |
| Survivors, | $0 .$, | $0 .$, | $0 .$, | 2325, | 32, |

FR-ORHAGO

| Age, | 6, | 5, | 4, | 3, | 2, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Survivors, | $7014 .$, | $4287 .$, | $3662 .$, | $2430 .$, | $2372 .$, |
| Raw Weights, | .272, | .598, | 3.198, | 5.047, | 1.823, |
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| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, | N, | Scaled, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, | , | Weights, | F |
| FR-SABLES | , | 1., | . 000, | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-ROCHELLE | , | 1., | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-BB-IN-Q4 | , | 1984., | . 204 , | . 121, | . 59, | 4, | . 459, | . 454 |
| $\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{BB}-\mathrm{OFF}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | , | 1896., | . 354 , | . 598, | 1.69, | 2, | . 067 , | . 471 |
| FR-ORHAGO | , | 2889., | . 150, | . 127, | . 85 , | 5, | . 456 , | . 333 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 2230., | 1.50, |  |  |  | . 019, | . 413 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | R | Ratio, |  |
| $2353 .$, | .12, | .10, | 12, | .819, | .396 |

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 6
Year class $=2008$

| FR-SABLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age, | 7, | 6, | 5, |  | 4, | 3, | 2, |
| Survivors, | 0., | 0., | 0., |  | 0., | 0., | 0., |
| Raw Weights, | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 000 , |  | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 000 , |
| FR-ROCHELLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, | 7, | 6, | 5, |  | 4, | 3, | 2, |
| Survivors, | 0., | 0., | 0., |  | 0., | 0., | 0., |
| Raw Weights, | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 000 , |  | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 000 , |
| FR-BB-IN-Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, | 7, | 6, | 5, |  | 4, | 3, | 2, |
| Survivors, | 1116., | 953., | 909., |  | 1699., | 627., | 0., |
| Raw Weights, | 2.263, | 1.947, | 1.124, |  | . 694, | . 837 , | . 000 , |
| FR-BB-OFF-Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, | 7, | 6, | 5, |  | 4, | 3, | 2, |
| Survivors, | 0., | 0., | 0., |  | 858., | 497., | 238., |
| Raw Weights, | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 000 , |  | 1.115, | . 575, | . 066 , |
| FR-ORHAGO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, | 7, | 6, | 5, |  | 4, | 3, | 2, |
| Survivors, | 3290., | 4329., | 1786., |  | 1167., | 656., | 797., |
| Raw Weights, | . 592, | .122, | . 235 , |  | 1.272, | 2.028, | . 721 , |
| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, | N, Scaled, | Estimated |
| - |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, | , Weights, | F |
| FR-SABLES | , | 1., | . 000, | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0, . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-ROCHELLE | , | $1 .$, | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0, . 000 , | . 000 |
| FR-BB-IN-Q4 | , | 1004., | . 214, | . 124 , | . 58 , | 5, .489, | . 595 |
| $\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{BB}-\mathrm{OFF}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | , | 684., | . 239, | . 232, | . 97 , | 3, .125, | . 785 |
| FR-ORHAGO | , | 1041., | . 175, | . 254 , | 1.45, | 6, . 354 , | . 579 |
| F shrinkage | n | 1357., | 1.50, , , |  |  | . 032, | . 471 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | S.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| $978 .$, | .13, | .11, | 15, | .842, | .607 |

Table 7.8: Bay of Biscay Sole, Fishing mortality (F) at age


Table 7.9: Bay of Biscay Sole, Stock number-at-age (start of year) Numbers* $10^{* *}-3$

|  | YEAR | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 24161 | 29526 | 28343 | 24921 | 26744 | 28167 | 32107 | 35743 | 35347 | 24903 | 26230 | 23609 |
|  | 3 | 15413 | 16249 | 18637 | 19819 | 18940 | 19479 | 20808 | 22277 | 28002 | 27570 | 20728 | 21258 |
|  | 4 | 10268 | 10937 | 10321 | 12861 | 12573 | 11499 | 11393 | 12824 | 14162 | 18414 | 17511 | 13522 |
|  | 5 | 7278 | 6641 | 7538 | 6796 | 8234 | 7390 | 6788 | 6102 | 7311 | 8134 | 10118 | 7471 |
|  | 6 | 4474 | 4650 | 4143 | 4632 | 4242 | 5269 | 3694 | 3447 | 3540 | 3771 | 3878 | 4361 |
|  | 7 | 3247 | 2941 | 3346 | 2310 | 2781 | 2518 | 2821 | 2417 | 2061 | 1076 | 1866 | 1637 |
|  | +gp | 4344 | 3019 | 3944 | 2382 | 2428 | 1293 | 2401 | 2219 | 1731 | 1337 | 1330 | 1901 |
| 0 | TOTAL | 69186 | 73963 | 76272 | 73721 | 75943 | 75615 | 80012 | 85029 | 92154 | 85204 | 81661 | 73759 |
|  | YEAR AGE | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|  | 2 | 29429 | 23707 | 22578 | 24411 | 24963 | 16910 | 24907 | 24456 | 17109 | 18343 | 18771 | 17875 |
|  | 3 | 18272 | 23749 | 17836 | 16533 | 19376 | 17188 | 12276 | 17592 | 18063 | 12237 | 12816 | 13622 |
|  | 4 | 13848 | 11606 | 12853 | 10859 | 10096 | 10860 | 9342 | 6564 | 9917 | 11193 | 7777 | 7376 |
|  | 5 | 6189 | 7385 | 5384 | 5589 | 5193 | 4243 | 5119 | 3762 | 3812 | 5842 | 6572 | 4424 |
|  | 6 | 3294 | 3372 | 3768 | 2678 | 2428 | 2281 | 2150 | 1688 | 2243 | 2577 | 3090 | 4033 |
|  | 7 | 2238 | 1366 | 1550 | 2233 | 1173 | 1288 | 1209 | 738 | 834 | 1401 | 1389 | 1816 |
|  | +gp | 2142 | 1720 | 2330 | 2407 | 1232 | 1214 | 843 | 486 | 1017 | 1538 | 4010 | 2493 |
| 0 | TOTAL | 75413 | 72905 | 66299 | 64710 | 64462 | 53984 | 55847 | 55286 | 52996 | 53132 | 54425 | 51640 |
| 0 | YEAR | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | GMST 84-** | AMS |  |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 18684 | 34100 | 24167 | 20808 | 12466 | 12547 | 15476 | $20110^{\prime \prime}$ | (21322) | 23430 | 24201 |  |
|  | 3 | 12478 | 13888 | 28134 | 19885 | 17386 | 10041 | 9154 | 10712 | 15995 | 17796 | 18352 |  |
|  | 4 | 7397 | 6731 | 8774 | 18126 | 13025 | 11279 | 6290 | 5494 | 6790 | 11081 | 11464 |  |
|  | 5 | 4187 | 3948 | 3966 | 4365 | 8461 | 7729 | 6613 | 4147 | 3458 | 5978 | 6199 |  |
|  | 6 | 2653 | 2502 | 2145 | 2379 | 2980 | 4053 | 3945 | 3862 | 1960 | 3219 | 3347 |  |
|  | 7 | 2449 | 1508 | 1364 | 1480 | 1610 | 1738 | 2288 | 1983 | 2353 | 1754 | 1880 |  |
|  | +gp ${ }_{\text {TOTAL }}$ | 3261 | 2665 | 1436 | 2771 | 3693 | 3850 | 1508 | 1333 | 1636 |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 51109 | 65341 | 69986 | 69813 | 59621 | 51239 | 45275 | 47640 | 32192 |  |  |  |

() age 2 replaced by GM $93-2013=21322$

Table 7.10: Bay of Biscay Sole, Summary (without SOP correction)

|  | RECRUITS Age 2 | TOTALBIO | TOTSPBIO | LANDINGS | YIELD/SSB | FBAR3-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 24161 | 14814 | 12320 | 4038 | 0.3278 | 0.3116 |
| 1985 | 29526 | 16057 | 13365 | 4251 | 0.3181 | 0.3068 |
| 1986 | 28343 | 17068 | 14478 | 4805 | 0.3319 | 0.365 |
| 1987 | 24921 | 18654 | 15477 | 5086 | 0.3286 | 0.3706 |
| 1988 | 26744 | 18507 | 15356 | 5382 | 0.3505 | 0.3996 |
| 1989 | 28167 | 17779 | 14462 | 5845 | 0.4041 | 0.4954 |
| 1990 | 32107 | 18395 | 14819 | 5916 | 0.3992 | 0.4525 |
| 1991 | 35743 | 19092 | 14789 | 5569 | 0.3766 | 0.4185 |
| 1992 | 35347 | 20530 | 15976 | 6550 | 0.41 | 0.6067 |
| 1993 | 24903 | 19905 | 16379 | 6420 | 0.392 | 0.5242 |
| 1994 | 26230 | 19295 | 15854 | 7229 | 0.456 | 0.6458 |
| 1995 | 23609 | 17666 | 14251 | 6205 | 0.4354 | 0.574 |
| 1996 | 29429 | 17760 | 13833 | 5854 | 0.4232 | 0.5425 |
| 1997 | 23707 | 16498 | 13340 | 6259 | 0.4692 | 0.608 |
| 1998 | 22578 | 16475 | 13262 | 6027 | 0.4545 | 0.5377 |
| 1999 | 24411 | 15990 | 12357 | 5249 | 0.4248 | 0.6225 |
| 2000 | 24963 | 15547 | 11879 | 5760 | 0.4849 | 0.6256 |
| 2001 | 16910 | 13073 | 10596 | 4836 | 0.4564 | 0.5691 |
| 2002 | 24907 | 13200 | 9796 | 5486 | 0.56 | 0.8285 |
| 2003 | 24456 | 13370 | 9641 | 4108 | 0.4261 | 0.4847 |
| 2004 | 17109 | 14184 | 11190 | 4002 | 0.3576 | 0.3675 |
| 2005 | 18343 | 14485 | 11557 | 4539 | 0.3927 | 0.4602 |
| 2006 | 18771 | 15302 | 12220 | 4793 | 0.3922 | 0.4341 |
| 2007 | 17875 | 14272 | 11387 | 4363 | 0.3831 | 0.4468 |
| 2008 | 18684 | 14247 | 11328 | 4299 | 0.3795 | 0.4812 |
| 2009 | 34100 | 15984 | 11193 | 3650 | 0.3261 | 0.4513 |
| 2010 | 24167 | 17461 | 13221 | 3966 | 0.3 | 0.405 |
| 2011 | 20808 | 19078 | 15213 | 4632 | 0.3045 | 0.3892 |
| 2012 | 12466 | 17005 | 14548 | 4321 | 0.297 | 0.4575 |
| 2013 | 12547 | 15735 | 13316 | 4235 | 0.318 | 0.4615 |
| 2014 | 15476 | 12581 | 10134 | 3928 | 0.3876 | 0.4383 |
| 2015 | 20110 | 13111 | 9733 | 3641 | 0.3741 | 0.4409 |
| Arith. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 23801 | 16347 | 13040 | 5039 | 0.3888 | 0.4851 |
| 0 Units | (Thousands) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) |  |  |
| GM 93-2013 = ${ }^{*}$ | 21322 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.11: Multifleet prediction input data

Sole in Bay of Biscay
Multi fleet input data
Input Fs are 2013-2015 means at age 3 to 8
MFDP version 1a
Input Fs are 2013-2014 means at age 2
Run: 2016
Time and date: 17:01 16/05/2016
Catch and stock wts are 2013-2015 means
Fbar age range (Total) : 3-6
Fbar age range Fleet $1: 3-6$
Recruits are 1993-2013 GM
unscaled $F$

| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | Stock Wt | F Landings | Landing WT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 21322 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.207 | 0.2416 | 0.194 |
| 3 | 15152 | 0.1 | 0.83 | 0 | 0 | 0.251 | 0.3781 | 0.236 |
| 4 | 6790 | 0.1 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.309 | 0.3711 | 0.291 |
| 5 | 3458 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.323 | 0.5533 | 0.304 |
| 6 | 1960 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.389 | 0.4850 | 0.367 |
| 7 | 2353 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.451 | 0.4017 | 0.426 |
| 8 | 1636 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.565 | 0.4017 | 0.535 |


| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | Stock Wt | F Landings | Landing WT |
| 2 | 21322 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.207 | 0.2416 | 0.194 |
| 3 |  | 0.1 | 0.83 | 0 | 0 | 0.251 | 0.3781 | 0.236 |
| 4 |  | 0.1 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.309 | 0.3711 | 0.291 |
| 5 |  | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.323 | 0.5533 | 0.304 |
| 6 |  | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.389 | 0.4850 | 0.367 |
| 7 |  | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.451 | 0.4017 | 0.426 |
| 8 |  | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.565 | 0.4017 | 0.535 |


| Age 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N | M |  | Mat | PF |  | PM | Stock Wt | F Landings |

[^3]Table 7.12: Bay of Biscay Sole Multifleet prediction, management option table

MFDP version 1a
Run: 2016
Time and date: 17:01 16/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 3-6
Fbar age range Fleet 1:3-6

## Basis

$F(2016)=$ mean $F(13-14)$ unscaled (age 2)
$F(2016)=$ mean $F(13-15)$ unscaled (age 3 to above)
R15 and R16 = GM (1993 to $\mathbf{n - 2}$ ) = $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ million

2016

| Biomass | SSB | Landings |  | FMult |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FBar | FBings | Yield |  |  |
| 14179 | 10468 | 1.0000 | 0.4469 | 3793 |

2017

|  | Landings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landing Yield | 2018 |  |
| 15045 | 11310 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 20257 | 16306 |
| . | 11310 | 0.1000 | 0.0447 | 477 | 19699 | 15772 |
| . | 11310 | 0.2000 | 0.0894 | 936 | 19161 | 15258 |
| . | 11310 | 0.3000 | 0.1341 | 1379 | 18644 | 14764 |
| . | 11310 | 0.4000 | 0.1788 | 1805 | 18146 | 14288 |
| . | 11310 | 0.5000 | 0.2234 | 2216 | 17667 | 13831 |
| . | 11310 | 0.6000 | 0.2681 | 2611 | 17205 | 13390 |
| . | 11310 | 0.7000 | 0.3128 | 2992 | 16761 | 12967 |
| . | 11310 | 0.8000 | 0.3575 | 3359 | 16333 | 12559 |
| . | 11310 | 0.9000 | 0.4022 | 3713 | 15920 | 12166 |
| . | 11310 | 1.0000 | 0.4469 | 4054 | 15523 | 11789 |
| . | 11310 | 1.1000 | 0.4916 | 4383 | 15141 | 11425 |
| . | 11310 | 1.2000 | 0.5363 | 4699 | 14773 | 11075 |
| . | 11310 | 1.3000 | 0.5809 | 5005 | 14418 | 10738 |
| . | 11310 | 1.4000 | 0.6256 | 5299 | 14076 | 10413 |
| . | 11310 | 1.5000 | 0.6703 | 5583 | 13747 | 10101 |
| . | 11310 | 1.6000 | 0.7150 | 5857 | 13429 | 9800 |
| . | 11310 | 1.7000 | 0.7597 | 6121 | 13124 | 9510 |
| . | 11310 | 1.8000 | 0.8044 | 6375 | 12829 | 9230 |
| . | 11310 | 1.9000 | 0.8491 | 6621 | 12545 | 8961 |
| . | 11310 | 2.0000 | 0.8938 | 6858 | 12271 | 8702 |

$\mathrm{Bpa}=10600 \mathrm{t}$
$\mathrm{Fpa}=0.43$
Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Table 7.13: Bay of Biscay sole - Detailed predictions

MFDP version 1a
Run: 2016
Time and date: 17:01 16/05/2016
Fbar age range (Total) : 3-6
Fbar age range Fleet 1:3-6


| Year: 2017 F multiplier: 1 |  |  |  | Fleet1 HCFbe 0.4469 |  |  | SSB(Jan) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Landings <br> F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) |  | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 2 | 0.2416 | 4364 | 848 | 21322 | 4414 | 6823 | 1412 | 6823 | 1412 |
| 3 | 0.3781 | 4554 | 1076 | 15152 | 3803 | 12576 | 3157 | 12576 | 3157 |
| 4 | 0.3711 | 2780 | 808 | 9394 | 2900 | 9112 | 2813 | 9112 | 2813 |
| 5 | 0.5533 | 1722 | 524 | 4239 | 1371 | 4239 | 1371 | 4239 | 1371 |
| 6 | 0.485 | 661 | 242 | 1799 | 701 | 1799 | 701 | 1799 | 701 |
| 7 | 0.4017 | 345 | 147 | 1092 | 492 | 1092 | 492 | 1092 | 492 |
| 8 | 0.4017 | 763 | 408 | 2415 | 1365 | 2415 | 1365 | 2415 | 1365 |
| Total |  | 15188 | 4054 | 55413 | 15045 | 38057 | 11310 | 38057 | 11310 |


| Year: 2018 F multiplier: 1 |  |  |  | Fleet1 HCFbs 0.4469 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Landings <br> F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 2 | 0.2416 | 4364 | 848 | 21322 | 4414 | 6823 | 1412 | 6823 | 1412 |
| 3 | 0.3781 | 4554 | 1076 | 15152 | 3803 | 12576 | 3157 | 12576 | 3157 |
| 4 | 0.3711 | 2780 | 808 | 9394 | 2900 | 9112 | 2813 | 9112 | 2813 |
| 5 | 0.5533 | 2382 | 725 | 5864 | 1896 | 5864 | 1896 | 5864 | 1896 |
| 6 | 0.485 | 810 | 297 | 2206 | 859 | 2206 | 859 | 2206 | 859 |
| 7 | 0.4017 | 317 | 135 | 1002 | 452 | 1002 | 452 | 1002 | 452 |
| 8 | 0.4017 | 671 | 359 | 2124 | 1201 | 2124 | 1201 | 2124 | 1201 |
| Total |  | 15878 | 4248 | 57064 | 15523 | 39707 | 11789 | 39707 | 11789 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Table 7.14: Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in predictions and the relative (\%) contributions to landings and SSB (by weight) of these year classes

| Year-class | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stock No. (thousands) <br> of <br> Source | 12547 | 15476 | 20110 | 21322 | 21322 | 21322 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status Quo F: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sole in VIIIa,b : Year-class \% contribution to
a ) 2017 landings b) 2018 SSB


Table 7.15a: Bay of Biscay Sole Multifleet Yield-per-recruit

MFYPR version 2 a
Run: 2016
Time and date: 17:06 16/05/2016
Yield per results

| Landings FMult | Landings Fbar | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SpwnNosJan | SSBJan | SpwnNosSpwn | SSBSpwn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10.5083 | 4.7210 | 9.6499 | 4.5341 | 9.6499 | 4.5341 |
| 0.1000 | 0.0447 | 0.2828 | 0.1134 | 7.6838 | 3.1902 | 6.8306 | 3.0046 | 6.8306 | 3.0046 |
| 0.2000 | 0.0894 | 0.4380 | 0.1646 | 6.1348 | 2.3732 | 5.2865 | 2.1889 | 5.2865 | 2.1889 |
| 0.3000 | 0.1341 | 0.5357 | 0.1900 | 5.1609 | 1.8750 | 4.3175 | 1.6921 | 4.3175 | 1.6921 |
| 0.4000 | 0.1788 | 0.6027 | 0.2031 | 4.4942 | 1.5450 | 3.6553 | 1.3633 | 3.6553 | 1.3633 |
| 0.5000 | 0.2234 | 0.6515 | 0.2097 | 4.0099 | 1.3134 | 3.1756 | 1.1329 | 3.1756 | 1.1329 |
| 0.6000 | 0.2681 | 0.6885 | 0.2129 | 3.6427 | 1.1438 | 2.8127 | 0.9645 | 2.8127 | 0.9645 |
| 0.7000 | 0.3128 | 0.7176 | 0.2141 | 3.3547 | 1.0154 | 2.5289 | 0.8371 | 2.5289 | 0.8371 |
| 0.8000 | 0.3575 | 0.7411 | 0.2142 | 3.1226 | 0.9154 | 2.3009 | 0.7382 | 2.3009 | 0.7382 |
| 0.9000 | 0.4022 | 0.7605 | 0.2137 | 2.9316 | 0.8358 | 2.1138 | 0.6596 | 2.1138 | 0.6596 |
| 1.0000 | 0.4469 | 0.7768 | 0.2128 | 2.7714 | 0.7711 | 1.9574 | 0.5959 | 1.9574 | 0.5959 |
| 1.1000 | 0.4916 | 0.7907 | 0.2119 | 2.6351 | 0.7177 | 1.8247 | 0.5435 | 1.8247 | 0.5435 |
| 1.2000 | 0.5363 | 0.8027 | 0.2108 | 2.5174 | 0.6729 | 1.7106 | 0.4997 | 1.7106 | 0.4997 |
| 1.3000 | 0.5809 | 0.8132 | 0.2098 | 2.4148 | 0.6349 | 1.6114 | 0.4625 | 1.6114 | 0.4625 |
| 1.4000 | 0.6256 | 0.8225 | 0.2088 | 2.3243 | 0.6022 | 1.5243 | 0.4307 | 1.5243 | 0.4307 |
| 1.5000 | 0.6703 | 0.8308 | 0.2078 | 2.2439 | 0.5738 | 1.4472 | 0.4032 | 1.4472 | 0.4032 |
| 1.6000 | 0.7150 | 0.8382 | 0.2069 | 2.1720 | 0.5489 | 1.3783 | 0.3791 | 1.3783 | 0.3791 |
| 1.7000 | 0.7597 | 0.8449 | 0.2060 | 2.1071 | 0.5269 | 1.3165 | 0.3579 | 1.3165 | 0.3579 |
| 1.8000 | 0.8044 | 0.8510 | 0.2052 | 2.0482 | 0.5074 | 1.2606 | 0.3391 | 1.2606 | 0.3391 |
| 1.9000 | 0.8491 | 0.8566 | 0.2045 | 1.9946 | 0.4898 | 1.2098 | 0.3223 | 1.2098 | 0.3223 |
| 2.0000 | 0.8938 | 0.8617 | 0.2038 | 1.9455 | 0.4739 | 1.1635 | 0.3072 | 1.1635 | 0.3072 |


| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fleet1 Landings Fbar(3-6) | 1.0000 | 0.4469 |
| FMax | 0.7602 | 0.3397 |
| F0.1 | 0.3060 | 0.1367 |
| F35\%SPR | 0.3280 | 0.1466 |
|  |  |  |
| Weights in kilograms |  |  |

Table 7.15b: Bay of Biscay Sole Multifleet Yield-per-recruit (Long-term equilibrium)
Long-term equilibrium at $F$ status quo

| landings | SSB |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield ${ }^{*} \mathrm{GM}$ | SSBSpwn $^{*} \mathrm{GM}$ |
| 4537 | 12706 |

GM (93-12) for recruits (age 2) 21322











Figure 7.1 a:
Bay of Biscay sole French length distribution from 1984 to 1993
Total French landings
Discard estimates of the French offshore trawlers fleet


Figure 7.1 b:
Bay of Biscay sole French length distribution from 1994 to 2003
Total French landings
Discard estimates of the French offshore trawler fleet (1994 to 2003)


Figure 7.1 c: Bay of Biscay sole French length distribution from 2004-2013


Figure 7.1 d: Bay of Biscay sole French 2014 and 2015 length distribution


Figure 7.2 a: Bay of Biscay sole landings and discards age distributions from 1984-1995 (numbers in thousand)

Total landings
Discard estimates of the French offshore trawlers fleet



Figure 7.2 c: Bay of Biscay sole landings and discards age distributions from 2008-2015

## (numbers in thousand)



Figure 7.3: Orhago survey time-series


Figure 7.4: Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8.a,b). LPUE trends of the 5 available commercial tuning fleets and cpue of the ORHAGO survey (for sole greater than the minimum landing size, i.e. 24 cm )
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Figure 7.5a: Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8.a,b)
XSA (No Taper, mean q, s.e. shrink $=2.5$, s.e. $\min =.2$ )

LOG-CATCHABILITY RESIDUAL PLOTS (XSA)


Figure 7.5b: Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8.a,b)

XSA (No Taper, mean q, s.e. shrink = 2.5, s.e. $\min =.2$ )


Figure 7.6: Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8.a,b) - Retrospective results
(No taper, $q$ indep. stock size all ages, $q$ indep. of age $>=6$, shr. $=1.5$ )


Figure 7.7: Sole in Division 8.a,b (Bay of Biscay) - Trends for Landings, F, R, SSB


Figure 7.8: Sole in Division 8.a,b (Bay of Biscay)


Figure 7.9: Bay of Biscay sole (Division 8.a,b) - WG15 / WG16 comparison

## 8 Sole (Solea solea) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a

### 8.1 General biology

Common sole (Solea solea) spawning takes place in winter/early spring and varies with latitude starting earlier in the south (Vinagre, 2007). Larvae migrate to estuaries where juveniles concentrate until they reach approximately 2 years of age and move to deeper waters. In Portuguese waters, sole length of first maturity is estimated as 25 cm for males and 27 cm for females (Jardim, et al., 2011). Sole is a nocturnal predator and therefore more susceptible to be captured by fisheries at night than in daytime. It feeds on polychaetes, molluscs and amphipods. S. solea is abundant in the Tagus estuary and uses this habitat as its nursery ground (Cabral and Costa, 1999).
Recent growth studies based on S. solea otolith readings in the Portuguese coast indicate Linf of 52.1 cm for females and 45.7 cm for males. The growth coefficient (k) estimate of females ( $\mathrm{K}=0.23$ ) was slightly higher than for males $(\mathrm{k}=0.21)$ and to -0.11 and 1.57 for females and males respectively (Teixeira and Cabral, 2010). Maximum length observed between 2004 and 2011 from the landings sampling program (PNAB-DCF) attained 60 cm . According to Vinagre (2007) S. solea off the Portuguese coast presents higher growth rates compared with the northern European coasts.

### 8.2 Stock identity and possible assessment areas;

There is no clear information to support the definition of the common sole stock for ICES Subdivision 8.c and 9.a.

### 8.3 Management regulations (TACs, minimum landing size)

The minimum landing size of sole is 24 cm . There are other regulations regarding the mesh size for trammel and trawlnets, fishing grounds and vessel's size. A precautionary TAC is in place for Solea spp. in ICES divisions 8.ce, subareas 9 and 10.

### 8.4 Fisheries data

Table 8.11 presents all soles species for the official landings and ICES estimates by country, for Division 8.c and 9.a. There is evidence of solea species misclassification for Portuguese landings in Division 9.a, which means solea solea official landings might not correspond only to this species but a mix of species including Solea senegalensis. Using port sampling length data, it was possible to separate the solea complex and apply the proportions to provide a raised landings total for: Solea solea, S. senegalensis and Pegusa lascaris, for Portuguese landings in Division 9.a (Borges, et al., 2014).

Landings length compositions for Solea solea are presented for the Portuguese area (Figure 8.12) (Borges, et al., 2014).
Based on the DCF discard sampling in Portugal discards for Sole (Solea solea) are considered negligible and only occur due to the minimum landing size or damaged specimens.

### 8.5 Survey data, recruit series

Solea solea may be found along the Portuguese coast mainly from very shallow waters and estuaries up to 100 m depth. This species is rarely caught in the existing Portuguese bottom-trawl research surveys (Jardim et al., 2011). In order to monitor this sole species a dedicated independent research survey is necessary.

### 8.6 Biological sampling

Existing biological sampling is based on fishery data from commercial vessel landings.

### 8.7 Population biology parameters and a summary of other research

Solea solea maturity ogives by sex, length-weight relationship, sex-ratio by length are based on port sampling and are available from 2012 for Division 9.a (Jardim, et al., 2011).

### 8.8 General problems

Solea solea (SOL) is officially reported to ICES and provided by Spain and Portugal and to the EWG in INTERCATCH by Division. For the other sole species known to be distributed in 8.c and 9.a Pegusa lascaris and Solea senegalensis the information is only partially available in the official catches reported to ICES. Therefore, further work is necessary to revise the database of sole species.
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Table 8.11. Sole in Divisions 8.c and 9.a. Official landings and ICES estimates of soles: Solea solea, Pegusa Lascaris, Solea senegalensis and unsorted solea (Solea spp.) (in tonnes).

| Year | S. SOLEA | P. LASCARIS * | S. SENEGALENSIS | SOLEA SPP** | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 159 | 117 |  | 741 | 1017 |
| 2001 | 189 | 142 | 653 | 984 |  |
| 2002 | 115 | 98 | 508 | 721 |  |
| 2003 | 116 | 99 | 670 | 885 |  |
| 2004 | 171 | 120 | 668 | 959 |  |
| 2005 | 520 | 139 | 446 | 1105 |  |
| 2006 | 467 | 89 | 203 | 759 |  |
| 2007 | 380 | 55 | 180 | $615^{* * *}$ |  |
| 2008 | 454 | 80 | 211 | $745^{* * *}$ |  |
| 2009 | 450 | 138 | 199 | $787^{* * *}$ |  |
| 2010 | 581 | 161 | 283 | $1025^{* * *}$ |  |
| 2011 | 644 | 173 | 86 | $903^{* * *}$ |  |
| 2012 | 589 | 104 | 39 | $732^{* * *}$ |  |
| 2013 | 687 | 152 | 34 | $873^{* * *}$ |  |
| $2014 \# \#$ | 681 | 107 | 41 | $822^{* * *}$ |  |
| $2015 \# \#$ | 646 | 70 | 43 | $759^{* * *}$ |  |

** For Solea spp. (S. solea, S. senegalensis, and Pegusa lascaris).
*** Spanish and Portuguese data included for Division 8c and 9a.

* Portuguese landings only (DGRM).
** Preliminary
${ }^{8 * *}$ The compilation of official landings statistics are ambiguous and requires further work.
Solea solea Sampling length frequency


Figure 8.11- Division 9.a (Portugal. Solea solea sampling length frequency from all métiers harbour sampling DCF-IPMA.

## 9 Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock)

Type of assessment: update (stock benchmarked in 2014), stock on observation list. Data revisions: Yearly length frequency distributions since 2013, total landings of French nephrops trawlers in area 8.a,b,d in 2014 and total discards in OTHER fleet in 2014. Review Group issues: They suggested including all the discards in the assessment model.

### 9.1 General

### 9.1.1 Stock definition and ecosystem aspects

This section is described in the Stock Annex.

### 9.1.2 Fishery description

The general description of the fishery is now presented in the Stock Annex.

### 9.1.3 Summary of ICES advice for 2016 and management for 2015 and 2016

## ICES advice for 2016

The stock was considered to be above any potential MSY Btrigger. Following the ICES MSY framework implied fishing mortality to be reduced to 0.27 , resulting in landings of 96651 tonnes and total catches of 109592 tonnes in 2016.
Like the main stocks of the EU, the Northern hake stock is managed by a TAC and quotas. The TACs for recent years are presented below:

| TAC (T) | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.a, 3.b,c,d (EC Zone) | 1661 | 1661 | 1661 | 2093 | 2466 | 2738 | 2997 |
| 2.a (EC Zone), 4 | 1935 | 1935 | 1935 | 2438 | 2874 | 3190 | 3492 |
| 5.b (EC Zone), 6, 7, 12, <br> 14 | 30900 | 30900 | 30900 | 38938 | 45896 | 50944 | 61902 |
| 8.a,b,d,e | 20609 | 20609 | 20609 | 25970 | 30610 | 33977 | 40393 |
| Total Northern Stock <br> [2.a-8.abd] | 55105 | 55105 | 55105 | 69440 | 81846 | 90849 | 108784 |

## Management for 2015 and 2016

The minimum legal sizes for fish caught in Sub areas 4-6-7 and 8 is set at 27 cm total length ( 30 cm in Division 3.a) since 1998 (Council Reg. no 850/98).

From 14th of June 2001, an Emergency Plan was implemented by the Commission for the recovery of the Northern hake stock (Council Regulations N ${ }^{\circ} 1162 / 2001,2602 / 2001$ and 494/2002). In addition to a TAC reduction, 2 technical measures were implemented. A 100 mm minimum mesh size has been implemented for otter trawlers when hake comprises more than $20 \%$ of the total amount of marine organisms retained onboard. This measure did not apply to vessels less than 12 m in length and which return to port within 24 hours of their most recent departure. Furthermore, two areas have been defined, one in Sub area 7 and the other in Sub area 8, where a 100 mm minimum mesh size is required for all otter trawlers, whatever the amount of hake caught.

There are explicit management objectives for this stock under the EC Reg. No 811/2004 implementing measures for the recovery of the northern hake stock. It is aiming at increasing the quantities of mature fish to values equal to or greater than 140000 t . This is to be achieved by limiting fishing mortality to 0.25 and by allowing a maximum change in TAC between years of $15 \%$.

According to ICES advice for 2012, due to the new perspective of historical stock trends, resulting from the new assessment, the previously defined precautionary reference points are no longer appropriate. In particular, the absolute levels of spawning biomass, fishing mortality, and recruitment have shifted to different scales. As a consequence, the TAC corresponding to the current recovery plan (EC Reg. No. 811/2004) should not be considered, because the plan uses target values based on precautionary reference points that are no longer appropriate.

The initial TAC for 2015 ( 78457 t) was revised upwards ( 90849 t) by the EC after 2014 assessment working group.

The TAC for 2016 (108 784 t ) was slightly below the ICES advised TAC (109 592 t ). The difference was due to the way the STECF calculated the TAC adjustments for stocks subject to the landing obligation.

### 9.2 Data

### 9.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Total landings from the Northern stock of hake by area for the period 1961-2015 as used by the WG are given in Table 9.1. They include landings from Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7, and Divisions 8.a,b,d, as reported to ICES. Unallocated landings are also included in the table; they are high over the first decade (1961-1970), when the uncertainties in the fisheries statistics were high. In the years 2011, 2012 and 2013, they have increased again due to differences between official statistics and scientific estimations. Since 2014, the differences between scientific and official landings decreased greatly which produced a big decrease in unallocated landings. The scientific landings for 2011, 2012 and 2013 were revised before the assessment working group and resulted in an increase of 7910,10444 and 981 tonnes in landings respectively. The group decided to use scientific revised estimates to carry out the assessment. The unallocated landings were divided by métier using scientific information provided by the research institutes. Table 1 of the Stock Annex provides a historical perspective of the level of aggregation at which landings have been available to the WG.
Except for 1995, landings decreased steadily from 66500 t in 1989 to 35000 t in 1998. Up to 2003, landings fluctuated around 40000 t . Since then, with the exception of 2006, landings have been increasing up to 95045 t in 2015, the highest value since 1961. The catches in 2015, 105963 t , were slightly below the 2015 TAC (108 784t).

The discard data sampling and data availability are presented in the Stock Annex. Table 9.2 presents discard data available to the group from 1999-2015. The discards increased significantly since 2009. The increase was general to all the fleets. In 2014 the discards were the lowest in recent years. It is remarkable the case of gillnetters which did not discard before 2012 and since that year they have had high level of discards.

### 9.2.2 Biological sampling

The sampling level is given in Table 1.3.

Length compositions of the 2015 landings by Fishery Unit and quarter were provided by Ireland, France, Scotland, Spain, UK(E\&W) and Denmark.

Length compositions samples are not available for all FUs of each country in which landings are observed (see Stock Annex). Only the main FUs are sampled (Table 9.3).

### 9.2.3 Abundance indices from surveys

Four surveys provide relative indices of hake abundance over time. The French RESSGASC survey was conducted in the Bay of Biscay from 1978-2002, the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey conducted in the Bay of Biscay and in Celtic Sea with a new design since 1997, the SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 survey conducted on the Porcupine Bank since 2001, and the Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4) beginning in 2003 in the west of Ireland and the Celtic Sea. A brief description of each survey is given in the Stock Annex. Figure 9.1 present the abundances indices obtained for these surveys.

From 1985 until the end of the survey in 2002, the index from RESSGASC followed a slightly decreasing trend. The index from 2002 is not considered reliable and is not presented on the figure.

Throughout the available time-series, the abundance index provided by EVHOE-WI-BTS-Q4 showed four peaks in 2002, 2004, 2008 and 2012. The index obtained in 2012 reached the highest value of the series, 193\% higher than previous year. In 2013 and 2014 the index accumulated a decrease of $78 \%$. In 2015 the index increased slightly.

The abundance index provided by IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 is consistent with EVHOE WIBTSQ4 survey over recent years. It showed a peak in 2008 and the abundance index obtained in 2012 achieved the higher value of the series, $268 \%$ higher than previous year index. The accumulated decrease in 2013 and 2014 was equal to $86 \%$. In 2015 the index increased slightly.

SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 survey is conducted on Porcupine's Bank since 2001. The abundance index follows an increasing trend since 2003, reaching its highest value in 2009 and slightly decreases in 2010 and 2011. After two years of an increasing trend with an accumulated increase of $218 \%$ the index decreased sharply in 2015. The peaks detected by EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 and IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 are detected in this survey one year after. This is consistent with the fact that this survey catches bigger individuals.

The spatial distribution of the EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 index for hakes from 0 to 20 cm is given in Figure 9.3 for the most recent years. It is apparent from this figure that interannual variations in abundance are different between areas (7 and 8). In 2012, both areas display large abundance, even higher than in 2008, another year with high abundance index over recent years. After a decreasing trend since 2012 the recruitment abundance shows a weak increase in 2015.

### 9.2.4 Commercial catch-effort data

A description of the commercial LPUE indices available to the group is given in the Stock Annex. They are not used in the assessment model.

Effort and LPUE data for the period 1982-2015 are given in Table 9.4 and Figure 9.2.
Since the start of the time-series the effort of A Coruña and Vigo trawler fleets operating in Subarea 7 show a decreasing trend. The LPUE of A Coruña trawlers has fluctuated, with an increasing trend reaching its maximum value in 2011 and after a sharp decreased in 2012 and 2013 it has an increasing trend since 2014. Over the same period, LPUE from Vigo trawlers operating in Subarea 7 followed a slightly decreasing trend,
becoming less variable during the last 15 years. It must be taken into account that while A Coruña trawl fleet is targeting hake, the Vigo trawl fleet is directed to megrim, taking hake only as bycatch.
LPUE from Ondarroa pairtrawlers operating in Divisions 8.a,b, shows an increasing trend until 2009. The increase in LPUE in 2008 and 2009 was very high, especially in 2009. Until 2012 the LPUE decreased, although not to the low levels of the beginning of the time-series. In 2013 it increased slightly again followed by a decrease in 2014. Since 1999 the effort has a decreasing trend. The LPUE was not updated in 2015 due to a change in the way data were reported as it is now using e-logbooks for the first time.

### 9.2.5 Assessment

This is an update assessment.

### 9.2.6 Input data

See Stock Annex (under "Input data for SS3").

### 9.2.6.1 Compilation of Length Frequency Distributions.

In 2015 a problem with the calculation of length-frequency distributions (LFD) was detected. This year, the calculation was carried out using R statistical software instead of Intercatch. The new procedure allowed using a more detailed stratification of the data when calculating the LFDs and it solved the problem detected last year. In order to be consistent along time the procedure was applied to the data since 2013 when Intercatch was first used. The LFDs obtained were in agreement with those observed before 2013.

In SS3 it is not necessary that all the data has a length distribution assigned, it is enough to provide the proportion at length of the catch for the whole stratum (fleet/quarter and catch category (landings or discards) combination). Furthermore, if for one stratum there is no LFD data available or the available data are not reliable the model can work without it. Hence, unlike in Intercatch in R no allocations were done in the stratums without LFD data.

For all the samples with observed LFDs, first the catch in weight by length was calculated using the weight-at-length relationship agreed for this stock $(\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{g})=$ $0.00513^{*} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~cm})^{\wedge} 3.074$; ICES, 1991b).
Then, for SPTRAWL7, FRNEP8, SPTRAWL8, GILLNET and LONGLINE fleets all the samples within each stratum were aggregated by length class summing up the catch weight at length. The obtained length distribution of catch in weight was divided by total catch in the stratum to obtain the proportion of individuals in each length class, which was then used in SS3. For TRAWLOTH and OTHER fleet the data were further disaggregated. In TRAWLOTH the target species was taken into account and the data were divided in the samples coming from métiers with Nephrops as target stock and from métiers with demersal stocks as target. In OTHER fleet the samples were divided in two groups considering the gear, trawlers and non-trawlers. Within these groups the proportion by length was calculated in the same way done for the rest of the fleets. Finally, the overall proportion by length within the stratum was calculated using a weighted mean of the proportion in each group. The weighting factor was the total catch in weight in each group taking into account both sampled and non-sampled data.

The code use to produce the LFDs is available in the ICES SharePoint site.

The biggest differences between LFDs calculated using Intercatch and R were detected in 2014 for TRAWLOTH, GILLNET and OTHER fleet, the less homogeneous fleets in terms of métiers and countries involved (Figure 9.4). As in Intercatch season was used to define the stratums for the allocations, in TRAWLOTH fleet the LFD in season 3, the season with highest sampled landings, was biasing the LFD in the rest of the seasons. In GILLNET fleet a sample that we were not able to identify in 2015 biased the LFD in seasons 1, 2 and 4. This year the sample was identified and removed from the LFD observations which produced sensible LFDs for all the seasons. The LFD in IC of OTHER fleet was influenced by the LFD of trawlers that catch small individuals. Using R the LFDs of trawlers and non-trawlers were calculated separately, the final LFD obtained was wider and with bigger individuals.

### 9.2.7 Model

The Stock Synthesis 3 (SS3) assessment model (Methot and Wetzel 2013) was selected for use in this assessment. Model description and settings are presented in the Stock Annex (under "Current assessment" for model description and "SS3 settings (input data and control files)" for model settings).

### 9.2.8 Comparison of assessment results using Intercatch or R to calculate LFD.

The new LFDs produce a slight increase in the recruitment estimates from 2008-2011 which in turn produces a significant increase in the SSB of the final years (Figure 9.5). The new LFD, especially in the OTHER fleet, has bigger individuals; hence the model needs to increase the recruitment in 2008-2011 in order to have enough big individuals in recent years.

### 9.2.9 Assessment results

Residuals of the fits to the surveys $\log$ (abundance indices) are presented in Figure 9.6. The greater part of the upward trend, until 2012, in relative abundance observed in all three contemporary trawl surveys (EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4, SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and IGFS-WIBTS-Q4) has been captured by the model but there is still some residual trend apparent in the graphs. Pearson residuals of their length frequency distributions show a "fairly random" behaviour with no particular trend or lack of fit (Figure 9.7, where blue and red circles denote positive and negative residuals, respectively). Residuals of the length frequency distributions of the commercial fleets landings and discards (not presented in this report but available on the Share-point) show some patterns, as mentioned in the benchmark report (ICES, 2014a).

The assessment model includes estimation of size-based selectivity functions (selection pattern at length) for commercial fleets and for population abundance indices (surveys). For commercial fleets total catch is subsequently partitioned into discarded and retained portions. Figure 9.8 presents selectivity (for the total catch; solid lines) and retention functions by fleet (dashed lines) estimated by the model. The selection curve is assumed constant over the whole period for all the fleets except for that operating outside areas 7 and 8 (the others fleet). For the Spanish trawl fleets in 7, three retention functions are estimated, one for years 1978-1997 (black), a second one for 1998-2009 (red) and a third one for 2010-present (green). For the Spanish trawl fleets in 8, two retention functions are estimated one for years 1978-1997 and a second one for 1998present The change in retention in 1998 for both trawl fleets was clearly noticed when examining the length frequency distributions of the landings and might be due to a stricter enforcement of the minimum landing size. The most recent change in retention
of Spanish trawl fleet in 7 was motivated by the observed change in the mean size of discards from 23.6 cm before 2010 to 28.8 cm after that year. For the French trawlers targeting Nephrops in 8, the same retention function is assumed throughout the entire assessment period (1978-present). For the other fleet both selection and retention curves are considered constant until 2002 and are allowed to vary from year to year since then. The variation is modelled using a random walk as described in the stock annex. The assessment currently assumes that the other commercial fleets do not discard fish, although this assumption should be revised as more information on discards becomes available. It is noteworthy the high amount of discards (> 1000 tonnes) of gillnetter fleet in 7 and 8 in the last four years. Before 2012 the discards of this fleet were considered negligible.

The retrospective analysis (Figure 9.9) shows that for F and SSB the model results are sensitive to the exclusion of recent data. The inclusion of 2012 data provoked a revision upwards of the SSB and downwards of the fishing mortality. The trends of the series were almost identical but the absolute levels were slightly different. Afterwards the inclusion of further years of data did not lead to the same patterns only the last years is revised with a tendency to underestimate SSB and overestimate F over the most recent years. In recent assessments a marked retrospective pattern was observed for recruitment in 2008 with sharp increase in recruitment as more years were added to the assessment. This retrospective pattern in recruitment produced a revision upwards of the SSB and downward of F and it is especially marked with the inclusion of 2014 year data.

F2015 (average of F-at-length over lengths $15-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) was estimated at 0.23 and SSB at 360925 t.

### 9.2.10 Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality and recruitment

Summary results from SS3 are given in Table 9.5 and Figure 9.10.
For recruitment, fluctuations appear to be without substantial trend over the whole series. The recruitment in 2008 was the highest in the whole series 800 millions of individuals and in 2015 decreased below mean level ( 250 million).

From high levels at the start of the series (100 000 t in 1980), the SSB has decreased steadily to a low level at the end of the 90s (26 000 t in 1998). Since that year, SSB has increased to the highest value of the series in 2015 ( 300000 t ).

The fishing mortality is calculated as the average annual F for sizes $15-80 \mathrm{~cm}$. This measure of $F$ is nearly identical with the average $F$ for ages $1-5$. Values of $F$ increased from values around $0.5-0.6$ in the late 70 s and early 80 s to values around 1.0 during the 90s. Between 2006 and 2011 F declined sharply and afterwards it moderated the decrease. In 2015 it reached the minimum in the series (0.22).

### 9.3 Catch options and prognosis

### 9.3.1 Short - Term projection

For the current projection, unscaled $F$ is used, corresponding to $F(15-80 \mathrm{~cm})=0.23$.
The recruitment used for projections in this WG is the GM calculated from 1978 to the final assessment year minus 2.
Landings in 2017 and SSB in 2018 predicted for various levels of fishing mortality in 2017 are given in Table 9.6 and Figure 9.11. Maintaining status quo F in 2017 is expected to result in a decrease in landings and SSB with respect to 2016.

### 9.3.2 Yield and biomass per recruit analysis

Options for long term projection are indicated in the Stock Annex.
Results of equilibrium yield and SSB per recruit are presented in Table 9.7 and Figure 9.12. The F-multiplier in Table 9.7 is with respect to status quo $F$ (average $F$ in the final 3 assessment years, 2013-2015). Considering the yield and SSB per recruit curves, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max, }}$ $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}, \mathrm{~F}_{35 \%}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}$ are respectively estimated to be $126 \%, 78 \%, 86 \%$ and $100 \%$ of status quo $F$. The maximum equilibrium yield-per-recruit is around $5 \%$ above the equilibrium yield at $\mathrm{Fsq}_{\text {. }}$.

### 9.4 Biological reference points

Biological reference points for the stock of Northern Hake were calculated in 2015 (ICES 2016) in a specific working group.

|  | TYPE | VALUE | Technical basis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MSY | MSY B trigger | 45000 | $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ (ICES 2016) |

### 9.5 Comments on the assessment

The retrospective pattern in 2008 recruitment was partially corrected in last benchmark (ICES, 2014a) but it worsen again in the following assessment working group when 2013 data were included (ICES, 2014). This year the retrospective pattern in recruitment has been intensified with the revision of 2014 LFD data. This produces an SSB for 2014 75000 tonnes higher than that estimated in 2015 and a fishing mortality $32 \%$ lower. However, the inclusion of 2015 data has not had any impact in the revision upwards of 2008 year recruitment. During the last benchmark assessment the retrospective pattern was related with the length frequency distributions of the fleets and the way they are modelled. The model tried to explain the length frequency distributions observed through an increase in the recruitment. This was partially solved giving more flexibil-
ity to the selectivity and retention curves over time. As this pattern has not disappeared, in future, more work will be needed to understand what is driving such a retrospective pattern. The discards of non-Spanish trawlers in 7 and 8 have increased significantly in the recent years. Their length frequency distribution has been made available in Intercatch in the last two years, so it could be advisable to include them in the model. Last year, the inclusion in the assessment of annual Scottish discard LFD of others fleet was tested. The impact in the results of the assessment was limited. However the fit to the length frequency distribution was not very good and the working group decided not to include these data in the assessment. However, the working group noted that in the current assessment the fit to the discard data of others fleet is done without any length frequency distribution data since 2008. As the Scottish data were considered representative of this discard of this fleet the working group will investigate in future assessment the inclusion of these data into the assessment.

### 9.6 Management considerations

The big increase in SSB and decrease in fishing mortality are the consequence of the strong recruitment in 2008. However the increase rate should be taken with caution as limited information is currently available on the variation in abundance of large fish and the model is very sensitive to the data and settings used. It must be noted that the fast growth rate estimated by the model combined with the assumed high natural mortality rate ( $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ since the 2010 benchmark) generates a rapid turn-over of the hake stock dynamic. This means that short-term predictions in SSB and landings are strongly related to variations in recruitment.
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Table 9.1. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock. Estimates of landings ('000 t) by area for 1961-2011.

|  | Landings (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Discards (2) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Catches (3) } \\ \hline \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8abd | Unn. | Total | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8abd | Total |  |
| 1961 |  |  | - | - | - | 95.6 | 95.6 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 95.6 |
| 1962 |  |  | - | - | - | 86.3 | 86.3 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 86.3 |
| 1963 |  |  | - | - | - | 86.2 | 86.2 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 86.2 |
| 1964 |  |  | - | - | - | 76.8 | 76.8 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 76.8 |
| 1965 |  |  | - | - | - | 64.7 | 64.7 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 64.7 |
| 1966 |  |  | - | - | - | 60.9 | 60.9 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 60.9 |
| 1967 |  |  | - | - | - | 62.1 | 62.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 62.1 |
| 1968 |  |  | - | - | - | 62.0 | 62.0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 62.0 |
| 1969 |  |  | - | - | - | 54.9 | 54.9 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 54.9 |
| 1970 |  |  | - | - | - | 64.9 | 64.9 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 64.9 |
| 1971 | 8.5 |  |  | 19.4 | 23.4 | 0 | 51.3 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 51.3 |
| 1972 | 9.4 |  |  | 14.9 | 41.2 | 0 | 65.5 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 65.5 |
| 1973 | 9.5 |  |  | 31.2 | 37.6 | 0 | 78.3 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 78.3 |
| 1974 | 9.7 |  |  | 28.9 | 34.5 | 0 | 73.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 73.1 |
| 1975 | 11.0 |  |  | 29.2 | 32.5 | 0 | 72.7 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 72.7 |
| 1976 | 12.9 |  |  | 26.7 | 28.5 | 0 | 68.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 68.1 |
| 1977 | 8.5 |  |  | 21.0 | 24.7 | 0 | 54.2 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 54.2 |
| 1978 | 8.0 |  |  | 20.3 | 24.5 | -2.2 | 50.6 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 50.6 |
| 1979 | 8.7 |  |  | 17.6 | 27.2 | -2.4 | 51.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 51.1 |
| 1980 | 9.7 |  |  | 22.0 | 28.4 | -2.8 | 57.3 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 57.3 |
| 1981 | 8.8 |  |  | 25.6 | 22.3 | -2.8 | 53.9 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 53.9 |
| 1982 | 5.9 |  |  | 25.2 | 26.2 | -2.3 | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 55.0 |
| 1983 | 6.2 |  |  | 26.3 | 27.1 | -2.1 | 57.5 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 57.5 |
| 1984 | 9.5 |  |  | 33.0 | 22.9 | -2.1 | 63.3 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 63.3 |
| 1985 | 9.2 |  |  | 27.5 | 21.0 | -1.6 | 56.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 56.1 |
| 1986 | 7.3 |  |  | 27.4 | 23.9 | -1.5 | 57.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 57.1 |
| 1987 | 7.8 |  |  | 32.9 | 24.7 | -2.0 | 63.4 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 63.4 |
| 1988 | 8.8 |  |  | 30.9 | 26.6 | -1.5 | 64.8 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 64.8 |
| 1989 | 7.4 |  |  | 26.9 | 32.0 | 0.2 | 66.5 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 66.5 |
| 1990 | 6.7 |  |  | 23.0 | 34.4 | -4.2 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 60.0 |
| 1991 | 8.3 |  |  | 21.5 | 31.6 | -3.4 | 58.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 58.1 |
| 1992 | 8.6 |  |  | 22.5 | 23.5 | 2.1 | 56.6 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 56.6 |
| 1993 | 8.5 |  |  | 20.5 | 19.8 | 3.3 | 52.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 52.1 |
| 1994 | 5.4 |  |  | 21.1 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 51.3 |  |  |  |  |  | * | 51.3 |
| 1995 | 5.3 |  |  | 24.1 | 28.1 | 0.1 | 57.6 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 57.6 |
| 1996 | 4.4 |  |  | 24.7 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 47.2 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 47.2 |
| 1997 | 3.3 |  |  | 18.9 | 20.3 | -0.1 | 42.5 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 42.5 |
| 1998 | 3.2 |  |  | 18.7 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 35.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 35.1 |
| 1999 | 4.3 |  |  | 24.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 39.8 |  |  |  |  |  | * | 39.8 |
| 2000 | 4.0 |  |  | 26.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  | * | 42.0 |
| 2001 | 4.4 |  |  | 23.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 36.7 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 36.7 |
| 2002 | 2.9 |  |  | 21.2 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 40.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 40.1 |
| 2003* | 3.3 |  |  | 25.4 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 43.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4 | 44.6 |
| 2004* | 4.4 |  |  | 27.5 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 46.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | 49.0 |
| 2005* | 5.5 |  |  | 26.6 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 46.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | 51.1 |
| 2006* | 6.1 |  |  | 24.7 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 41.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 42.7 |
| 2007* | 7.0 |  |  | 27.5 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 45.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 47.3 |
| 2008* | 10.7 |  |  | 22.8 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 47.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 51.2 |
| 2009* | 13.1 |  |  | 25.3 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 58.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 | 69.8 |
| 2010* | 14.2 |  |  | 33.5 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 72.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.1 | 84.9 |
| 2011* | 18.8 |  |  | 18.6 | 16.6 | $32.0{ }^{(4)}$ | 87.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.9 | 101.4 |
| 2012* | 22.4 |  |  | 22.2 | 16.7 | $19.3{ }^{(4)}$ | 85.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 14.9 | 100.5 |
| 2013* | 0.3 | 10.7 | 5.2 | 28.5 | 19.9 | $13.1{ }^{(4)}$ | 77.7 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 15.4 | 93.1 |
| 2014* | 0.4 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 39.6 | 23.7 | $2.7{ }^{(4)}$ | 89.9 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 99.7 |
| 2015* | 0.4 | 14.6 | 7.1 | 44.0 | 26.2 | $2.7{ }^{(4)}$ | 95.0 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 10.9 | 105.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| (1) Sp anish data for 1961-1972 not revised, data for Sub-area VIII for 1973-1978 include data |
| :--- |
| Divisions VIIIa,b only. Data for 1979-1981 are revised based on French surveillance data. |

Divisions VIIIa,b only. Data for 1979-1981 are revised based on French surveillance data
Divisions IIIa and IVb,c are included in column "IIIa, IV and VI" only after 1976.
There are some unallocated landings ( moreover for the period 1961-1970)
(2) Discard estimates from observer programmes. In years marked with *
partial discard estimates are available and used in the assess
For remaining years for which no values are presented,
ome estimates are available but not considered valid and thus not used in the assessment
In the years with data only Spanish discards and discards from French Nephrops trawlers are included
(3) From 1978 total catches used for the Working Group.
(4) Unnallocated landings for years 2011-2014 were revised in 2015

Table 9.2. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Summary of discards data available (weight ( $t$ ) in bold, numbers ('000) in italic)). The discards of Fleet 2 and Fleet 3 (in red) are not included in the assessment,

| SS3 Fleets | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLEET 1 | 1034 | 1530 | na | 537 | 1712 | 2010 | 5674 | 5077 | 5054 | 3495 | 1464 | 2604 |
|  | 10666 | 17393 | na | 4526 | 21437 | 17542 | 27619 | 27954 | 26452 | 38293 | 8335 | 5241 |
| FLEET 2 | 32 | 94 | na | na | na | 1025 | 1192 | 130 | 1142 | 2934 | 2510 | 1560 |
|  | 282 | 629 | na | na | na | 6814 | 3831 | 1037 | 5101 | 16863 | 7483 | 4460 |
| FLEET 3 | 1359 | 1597 | 532 | 767 | 858 | 4283 | 726 | 871 | 624 | 1475 | 392 | 1133 |
|  | 39550 | 37740 | 18031 | 24277 | 18245 | 68524 | 14709 | 21208 | 25228 | 32535 | 4099 | 19126 |
| FLEET 4 | 30 | 489 | 206 | 471 | 352 | 580 | 101 | 292 | 364 | 379 | 184 | 589 |
|  | 451 | 8475 | 3397 | 10002 | 7153 | 7925 | 1719 | 5036 | 5329 | 5552 | 2718 | 8011 |
| FLEET 5 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | 1503 | 1256 | 42 | 857 |
|  | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | 4061 | 3283 | 53 | 623 |
| FLEET 6 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | nа | 558 |
|  | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | 402 |
| FLEET 7 | 159 | 873 | 484 | 390 | 446 | 3135 | 4425 | 7533 | 6183 | 6287 | 4343 | 4151 |
|  | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | 16855 | 4866 | 4171 |
| Total Weight (t) | 2614 | 4583 | 1222 | 2165 | 3368 | 11033 | 12118 | 13903 | 14870 | 15826 | 8935 | 11452 |
| Total Number ('000) | 51724 | 64237 | 21428 | 39654 | 47488 | 101349 | 48325 | 58210 | 66171 | 113381 | 27554 | 42034 |

Table 9.3. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Landings (L) and Length Frequency Distribution (LFD) provided in 2011.

| Country |  | France | Ireland | Spain | UK(E+W) | Scotland | Denmark | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | L |  | L+LFD | L | L |  |  |
| $1+2$ | 2 | L |  | L+LFD | L | L |  |  |
|  | 3 | L |  | L+LFD | L | L |  |  |
|  | 4 | L |  | L+LFD | L | L |  |  |
|  | 1 | L | L+LFD | L | L+LFD | L |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | L | L+LFD | L | L+LFD | L |  |  |
|  | 3 | L+LFD | L+LFD | L | L+LFD | L |  |  |
|  | 4 | L | L+LFD | L | L+LFD | L |  |  |
|  | 1 | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L |  |  |
| $4+5+6$ | 2 | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L |  |  |
|  | 3 | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L |  |  |
|  | 4 | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L |  |  |
|  | 1 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
| 8 | 2 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
|  | 3 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
|  | 4 | LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
|  | 1 | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
| $10+14$ | 2 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  | L |
|  | 3 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | L |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 2 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | L |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | L |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2 | L |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | L+LFD |  | L+LFD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | L+LFD | L+LFD |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
| 15 | 2 | L+LFD | L+LFD |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
|  | 3 | L+LFD | L+LFD |  | L+LFD | L |  | L |
|  | 4 | L+LFD | L+LFD |  | L | L |  | L |
|  | 1 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD |
| 16 | 2 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD |
|  | 3 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD |
|  | 4 | L+LFD |  |  | L+LFD | L+LFD | L+LFD | L |

Table 9.4. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Effort and LPUE values of commercial fleets.


Table 9.5. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Summary of landings and assessment results.

| Year | Recruit | Total | Total | Landings | Discards ${ }^{(1)}$ | Catch | Yield/SSB | F ( $15-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 0 | Biomass | SSB |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 281637 | 120626 | 82187 | 50551 | NA | 50551 | 0.62 | 0.49 |
| 1979 | 260639 | 129948 | 102990 | 51096 | NA | 51096 | 0.5 | 0.53 |
| 1980 | 290485 | 127797 | 105271 | 57265 | NA | 57265 | 0.54 | 0.63 |
| 1981 | 555498 | 110505 | 90498 | 53918 | NA | 53918 | 0.6 | 0.63 |
| 1982 | 378599 | 101577 | 73877 | 54994 | NA | 54994 | 0.74 | 0.66 |
| 1983 | 135756 | 107573 | 71340 | 57507 | NA | 57507 | 0.81 | 0.61 |
| 1984 | 261746 | 112996 | 83803 | 63286 | NA | 63286 | 0.76 | 0.65 |
| 1985 | 589947 | 97680 | 79394 | 56099 | NA | 56099 | 0.71 | 0.8 |
| 1986 | 351557 | 80584 | 59280 | 57092 | NA | 57092 | 0.96 | 0.89 |
| 1987 | 420334 | 75910 | 44027 | 63369 | NA | 63369 | 1.44 | 0.97 |
| 1988 | 469557 | 76847 | 46689 | 64823 | 2.2 | 64825.2 | 1.39 | 0.98 |
| 1989 | 458102 | 76956 | 45727 | 66473 | 72.8 | 66545.8 | 1.45 | 1.06 |
| 1990 | 465839 | 70952 | 42915 | 59954 | NA | 59954 | 1.4 | 1.01 |
| 1991 | 260556 | 67820 | 41778 | 58129 | NA | 58129 | 1.39 | 0.95 |
| 1992 | 281499 | 66703 | 40233 | 56617 | NA | 56617 | 1.41 | 0.99 |
| 1993 | 503517 | 59084 | 39098 | 52144 | NA | 52144 | 1.33 | 1.04 |
| 1994 | 281769 | 53006 | 30796 | 51259 | 356.2 | 51615.2 | 1.66 | 1.04 |
| 1995 | 144080 | 59165 | 30125 | 57621 | NA | 57621 | 1.91 | 1.1 |
| 1996 | 350084 | 54503 | 35173 | 47210 | NA | 47210 | 1.34 | 0.96 |
| 1997 | 247985 | 46926 | 30487 | 42465 | NA | 42465 | 1.39 | 1.04 |
| 1998 | 408474 | 44488 | 24707 | 35060 | NA | 35060 | 1.42 | 0.96 |
| 1999 | 203240 | 48882 | 28069 | 39814 | 348.6 | 40162.6 | 1.42 | 0.95 |
| 2000 | 184012 | 54401 | 31070 | 42026 | 82.6 | 42108.6 | 1.35 | 0.89 |
| 2001 | 336013 | 54499 | 36828 | 36675 | NA | 36675 | 1 | 0.74 |
| 2002 | 267942 | 57418 | 37758 | 40107 | NA | 40107 | 1.06 | 0.8 |
| 2003 | 157810 | 62587 | 38150 | 43162 | 2109.804 | 45271.804 | 1.13 | 0.8 |
| 2004 | 330745 | 64841 | 43297 | 46417 | 2552.443 | 48969.443 | 1.07 | 0.81 |
| 2005 | 221437 | 60783 | 41714 | 46550 | 4675.8487 | 51225.8487 | 1.12 | 0.94 |
| 2006 | 300857 | 57336 | 34234 | 41467 | 1816.1534 | 43283.1534 | 1.21 | 0.82 |
| 2007 | 466132 | 64398 | 40583 | 45028 | 2191.4212 | 47219.4212 | 1.11 | 0.72 |
| 2008 | 762072 | 81587 | 48196 | 47739 | 3247.73 | 50986.73 | 0.99 | 0.58 |
| 2009 | 253592 | 129702 | 73034 | 58818 | 9870.773 | 68688.773 | 0.81 | 0.47 |
| 2010 | 267738 | 211283 | 134723 | 72799 | 9414.6677 | 82213.6677 | 0.54 | 0.35 |
| 2011 | 272167 | 273613 | 221394 | 87540 | 13774.978 | 101314.978 | 0.4 | 0.28 |
| 2012 | 479486 | 296311 | 254447 | 85677 | 12225.2225 | 97902.2225 | 0.34 | 0.24 |
| 2013 | 340348 | 306307 | 258861 | 77753 | 11637.1017 | 89390.1017 | 0.3 | 0.23 |
| 2014 | 262186 | 335637 | 273372 | 89940 | 7047.4663 | 96987.4663 | 0.33 | 0.23 |
| 2015 | 255810 | 363651 | 306639 | 93670 | 7396.384 | 101066.384 | 0.31 | 0.22 |
| Arith.Mean | 335770 | 112234 | 81652 | 56635 | 4935 | 58972 |  |  |
| Units | Million of | Thousands | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes |  |
|  | Individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Discards used in the assessment. In years with (-) discards are not available or considerent unreliable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.6. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Catch option table.

| SSB(2016) | Rec proj | F(15-80cm) | Catch(2016) | Land(2016) | SSB(2017) |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 329685 | 315575 | 0.23 | 105655 | 98842 | 321533 |


| Fmult | Fcatch(15-80cm) | Catch(2017) | Land(2017) | Disc(2017) | SSB(2018) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 402891 |
| 0.1 | 0.0225 | 11320 | 10595 | 725 | 391878 |
| 0.2 | 0.045 | 22314 | 20881 | 1433 | 381189 |
| 0.3 | 0.0676 | 32990 | 30867 | 2124 | 370814 |
| 0.4 | 0.0901 | 43359 | 40561 | 2798 | 360743 |
| 0.5 | 0.1126 | 53430 | 49973 | 3456 | 350966 |
| 0.6 | 0.1351 | 63211 | 59112 | 4099 | 341476 |
| 0.7 | 0.1577 | 72711 | 67985 | 4726 | 332262 |
| 0.8 | 0.1802 | 81940 | 76601 | 5339 | 323318 |
| 0.9 | 0.2027 | 90904 | 84966 | 5937 | 314633 |
| 1 | 0.2252 | 99611 | 93090 | 6521 | 306201 |
| 1.1 | 0.2478 | 108071 | 100979 | 7092 | 298014 |
| 1.2 | 0.2703 | 116289 | 108639 | 7649 | 290064 |
| 1.3 | 0.2928 | 124273 | 116079 | 8194 | 282345 |
| 1.4 | 0.3153 | 132030 | 123304 | 8726 | 274848 |
| 1.5 | 0.3379 | 139566 | 130321 | 9245 | 267568 |
| 1.6 | 0.3604 | 146889 | 137136 | 9753 | 260497 |
| 1.7 | 0.3829 | 154005 | 143756 | 10249 | 253630 |
| 1.8 | 0.4054 | 160919 | 150185 | 10734 | 246961 |
| 1.9 | 0.428 | 167638 | 156430 | 11208 | 240482 |
| 2 | 0.4505 | 174167 | 162496 | 11671 | 234189 |

Table 9.7. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Yield-per-recruit summary table.

| SPR level | Fmult | F(15-80cm) | YPR(catch) | YPR(landings) | SSB PR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 |  |
| 0.87 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 2.77 |  |
| 0.76 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 2.42 |  |
| 0.66 | 0.3 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 2.12 |  |
| 0.59 | 0.4 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 1.88 |  |
| 0.52 | 0.5 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 1.67 |  |
| 0.47 | 0.6 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 1.49 |  |
| 0.42 | 0.7 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 1.34 |  |
| 0.38 | 0.8 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 1.21 |  |
| 0.34 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 1.09 |  |
| 0.31 | 1 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 1.00 |  |
| 0.28 | 1.1 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.91 |  |
| 0.26 | 1.2 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.84 |  |
| 0.24 | 1.3 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.77 |  |
| 0.22 | 1.4 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.71 |  |
| 0.21 | 1.5 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.66 |  |
| 0.19 | 1.6 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.61 |  |
| 0.18 | 1.7 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.57 |  |
| 0.17 | 1.8 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.53 |  |
| 0.16 | 1.9 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.50 |  |
| 0.15 | 2 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.47 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fmult |  | YPR(catch) | YPR(landings) | SSB PR |
| Fmax | 0.24 | 1.29 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.3 | 0.77 |
| F0.1 | 0.37 | 0.82 | 0.18 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 1.19 |
| F35\% | 0.35 | 0.88 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.29 | 1.12 |
| F30\% | 0.3 | 1.03 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.96 |



Figure 9.1. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Abundance indices from surveys.


Figure 9.2. Northern Hake. Effective effort indices and LPUE values of commercial fleets estimated by National laboratories.


Figure 9.3. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Spatial distribution of hake $(0-20 \mathrm{~cm})$ indices from EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey from 2006-2011.


Figure 9.4. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Comparison between the length frequency distributions obtained using Intercatch (black) and R (red) for GILLNETTERS, TRAWLOTH and OTH fleets for 2014 year data.


Figure 9.5 Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Recruitment, SSB and fishing mortality ( F ) time-series for the assessment results using Intercatch for 2013 and 2014 year data (black) and using R since 2013 (red).


Figure 9.6. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Residuals of the fits to the surveys log(abundance indices). For RESSGASC, EVHOE, PORCUPINE and IGFS, fits are by quarter.


Figure 9.7. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Pearson residuals of the fit to the length distributions of the surveys abundance indices. For RESSGASC, fits are by quarter. Blue and red denote positive and negative residuals, respectively.


Figure 9.7 (continued). Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Pearson residuals of the fit to the length distributions of the surveys abundance indices. For RESSGASC, fits are by quarter. Blue and red denote positive and negative residuals, respectively.


Figure 9.8. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Selection patterns (solid lines) and retention functions (dashed lines) at length by commercial fleet estimated by SS3. For FLEET1, retention functions for 1978-1997, 1998-2009 and 2010-2013 are in black, red and green respectively. For FLEET4, retention functions for 1978-1997 and 1998-2013 are in black and red respectively. For FLEET7, black lines correspond to the selection and retention functions from 1978-2002, the colours for the rest of the years are, 2003 (red), 2004 (orange), 2005 (yellow), 2006 (light green), 2007 (green), 2008 (light blue), 2009 (blue), 2010 (dark blue), 2011 (violet), 2013 (purple) and 2014 (pink).


Figure 9.8 (continued). Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Selection patterns at length for surveys estimated by SS3.


Figure 9.9. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Retrospective plot from SS3.


Figure 9.10. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4,6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Summary plot of stock trends.


Figure 9.11. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Shortterm projections


Figure 9.12. Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern stock). Equilibrium yield and SSB per recruit.

## 10 Hake in Divisions 8.c and 9.a (Southern stock)

### 10.1 General

The type of assessment is "update" based on a previous benchmark assessment (WKSOUTH, 2014).

No data revisions in 2016

### 10.1.1 Fishery description

Fishery description is available in the Stock Annex (Annex G).
10.1.2 ICES advice for 2016 and Management applicable to 2015 and 2016.

## ICES Advice for 2016

ICES advised that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2016 should be no more than 6078 tonnes. Under the EU landing obligation in 2016, this implies landings should be the same as catches. A 7\% de minimis applies to this stock as of 2016.

## Management Applicable for 2015 and 2016

Hake is managed by TAC, effort control and technical measures. The agreed TAC for Southern Hake in 2015 was 13826 t and in 2016 is 10 674t.

A Recovery Plan for southern hake was enacted in 2006 (CE 2166/2005). This plan aimed to rebuild the stock to within safe biological limits by decreasing fishing mortality a maximum of $10 \%$ per year with a TAC constrain of $15 \%$. SSB target ( 35000 t ) is no longer considered suitable under the new assessment model. This regulation includes effort management limiting days at sea that are updated every year Reg. EU Council $104 / 2015$ and 72/2016 (annex II-b). The effort from fishing trips which retain $<8 \%$ hake are excluded from the regulation.

Technical measures applied to this stock include: (i) minimum landing size of 27 cm , (ii) protected areas, and (iii) minimum mesh size. These measures are set depending on areas and gears by several national regulations.

According to the Spanish Regulations progressively implemented after 2011 AAA/1307/2013 the Spanish quota is shared by individual vessels. This regulation was updated in 2015 (AAA/2534/2015) including a fishing plan for trawlers. Regulations (EU Reg. 850/98) also established a closure for trawling off the southwest coast of Portugal between December and February.

### 10.1 Data

### 10.1.1 Commercial Catch: landings and discards

## Catches: landings and discards

Southern Hake catches by country and gear for the period 1972-last year, as estimated by the WG, are given in Table 10.1. Since 2011, estimates of unallocated landings have been included in the assessment.

In 2015, landings decreased overall ( 11786 t compared to 12011 t in 2014). Portuguese official landings were 2000 t , below those of 2014 ( 2374 t ). Spanish official landings were 6758 t in 2015 while they had been 7 256t in 2014. Unallocated landings, on the
other hand, increased to 2789 t from 2246 t in 2014. Total landings in 2014 were 12011 $t$ and they decreased to 11786 t in 2015 well below TACs that were 16266 t and 13826 t in 2014 and 2015, respectively. Total discards in 2015 were 2 292t while they had been 2602 t in 2014, a noteworthy decrease. Total catches were 14614 tin 2014 and 14077 t in 2015.

Length distribution for 2015 landings and discards are presented in Figure 10.1 and in Tab 10.2. Mean size has been decreasing in landings (from 35.5 cm to 33.8 to 33.4 between 2013 and 2015), while it has been variable in discards (from 20.6 to 21.9 to 20.0 in the latest 3 years). Catch lengths varied from 27 to 27.9 to 26.4 cm . These all seem to reflect the variability of the strength of recruitment, to variable degrees.

## Growth, Length-weight relationship and M

An international length-weight relationship for the whole period $(a=0.00659$; $\mathrm{b}=3.01721$ ) has been used since 1999. The assessment model follows a constant von Bertalanffy model with fixed $L_{i n f}=130 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{t}_{0}=0$ and estimating k parameter. Natural mortality was assumed to be 0.4 year $^{-1}$ for all ages and years.

## Maturity ogive

The stock is assessed with annual maturity ogives for males and females together. The maturity proportion in this assessment year is shown in Figure 10.2. L50 have oscillated from 36.5 cm in 2013 and 31.7 cm in 2014, back to 36.3in 2015. Mean historical figures have been around 36 cm .

### 10.1.2 Abundance indices from surveys

Biomass, abundance and recruitment indices for the Portuguese and Spanish surveys respectively are presented in Table 10.3 and Table10.4 and Figure 10.3. The Spanish (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4) and the Portuguese (PtGFS-WIBTSQ4) surveys are used to tune the model, by fitting the model estimates to the observed length proportions and survey trends.

The Portuguese Autumn survey (PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4) showed variable abundance indices with a minimum in 1993 and a maximum in 2010 (the survey did not take place in 2012). There were very high values in recent years and currently it is near the maximum. The Spanish groundfish survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) shows low values for biomass and abundance in the early 2000s. These values increased from 2004 peaking to a then historical maximum in 2009, after which they remained relatively stable until 2012. In 2013 and 2014there was a further decrease to below the historical mean, but a full recovery to a new maximum was observed in 2015.

The recruitment indices of the SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4, SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4 and PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 (Figure 10.3) were highly variable in the past, showing good recruitments in recent years. In 2014 the 3 surveys decreased below historical means, but in 2015 the PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 reached a historical maximum, while both SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4 returned to above average values.

For modelling purposes, length distribution calibration is made from the three surveys (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4, SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4 and PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4). Surveys used for trend calibration are only SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4, and PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4.

## Commercial catch-effort data

Effort and respective landings series are collected from Portuguese logbooks maintained in DGRM and compiled by IPMA. For the Portuguese fleets, until 2011 most logbooks were filled in paper but have thereafter been progressively replaced by elogbooks for those vessels covered by the obligation (vessel longer than 15 m ). The standardized cpue from the Portuguese bottom trawl fleet targeting roundfish is calculated by fitting a GLM to logbook data on landings and effort (modulated by additional fleet and catch characteristics), following the methods described in the stock annex and accepted by WKROUND (2010). The latest series is based on a renewed extraction of the complete logbook dataset housed in the DGRM (Portuguese administration) databases, which includes both paper and e-logbooks.

Spanish sales' notes and Owners Associations data were compiled by IEO to estimate fleet effort until 2012. After 2012 effort is reported following logbooks. LPUE data are presented in figure 10.4 and table 10.5. Changes in effort and landings estimation method prevent to use these data as a continuous series. The increased surveillance and the implementation of management regulations after 2011 have altered the fleet behaviour preventing its use as a new fleet for model calibration purposes.

The two fleets included in the assessment model are SP-CORUTR (from 1985 to 2012) and P-TR (from 1989 to 2015).

### 10.2 Assessment

The assessment carried out used the gadget model (length-age based) as decided by WKSOUTH (2014) and described on the stock annex (Annex G).

### 10.2.1 Model diagnostics

Likelihood profiles for each parameter estimated by the model are presented in Figure 10.5. The values on the horizontal axes of the plots represent multiplicative factors with respect to the estimated parameter value. To check for convergence, the minimum likelihood value must correspond to the estimated parameter value (i.e. the multiplier 1 ). Due to the distinct impact each parameter has on the likelihood value, the plots are presented scaled and unscaled. In Figure 10.5, all parameter estimates correspond to the minimum of the likelihood.

Residuals for surveys and abundance indices (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and PtGFS-WIBTSQ4) and commercial fleets (SP-CORUTR and P-TR) are presented in Fig 10.6a-b, grouped in 15 cm classes (from $4-49 \mathrm{~cm}$ in surveys and 25 to 70 cm in commercial fleets). Most residuals are within the range of -1 to 1 ( $\pm 1$ s.d.). Surveys' residuals show a random distribution with the possible exception of PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 for lengths 419 cm , which however displays no clear trend.
P-TR $(25-40 \mathrm{~cm})$ showed negative residuals with a downward trend between 2005 and 2010 but has since then returned to zero. The perceived trend is within acceptable bounds. Apart from this, the fits for these 3 length groups are quite consistent. The SPCORUTR (1994-2012) shows also quite consistent random residuals with the exception of the length group $55-70 \mathrm{~cm}$, which shows positive residuals for 6 years (2007-2012).

Figures 10.6 (c-i) present bubble plots of residuals for proportions at length. These proportions are grouped in 2 cm classes for all "fleets" used in the model calibration (see Stock Annex for descriptions). The model fits these proportions at length assuming a constant selection pattern for every "fleet" in the years and quarters in which length distributions are observed. The quality of the fit is different for different datasets, but
not all of them contribute equally to the overall model fit. Projections are based on the selection patterns estimated only for landings (10.6-d) and discards (10.6-f). The residual analysis shows that there is an underestimation (positive residuals) in the most exploited lengths and overestimation on the larger sizes (negative residuals). Such patterns are not of major concern since the residuals' values are quite small (maximum $\sim 0.3$ ). The model takes into account the data precision when weighting the individual likelihood components (defined in the Stock Annex), so datasets with larger model residuals will have less impact on the overall model fit.

### 10.2.2 Assessment results

## Estimated parameters

The model estimates selection parameters for each "fleet" for which length proportions are fitted. Furthermore, it estimates the von Bertalanffy growth parameter k. Results are presented in Figure 10.7. The selection patterns of different "fleets" of catches (catches in 1982-93; landings in 1994-latest; discards 1992-latest and Cadiz landings (1982-2004) are presented in the upper panel. The pattern corresponding to catches during 1982-93 shows higher relative efficiency for smaller fish (when compared with catches from 1994 onwards), which is in agreement with our assumption that before 1992 (when the minimum landing size was implemented) the importance of discards was relatively lower. The discards (1992-latest) and landings (1994-latest) selection patterns are used for projections. Survey selection patterns are presented in the middle panel. The Portuguese survey PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4 catches relatively larger fish than the Spanish surveys (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4). Both Spanish surveys show a similar pattern. They are both performed with the same vessel and gear in every year, but since 2013 a new vessel has been used (without a significant impact in hake abundance estimates).

The von Bertalanffy k parameter was estimated to be 0.164 , the same as in the previous assessment.

## Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality, yield and recruitment

Model estimates of abundance at length at the beginning of the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter are presented in Figure 10.8. The figure shows a general increase of small fish in 2005-09, that contributes to an increase of large fish in more recent years.

Table 10.6 and Figure 10.9 present summary results with estimated annual values for fishing mortality (averaged over ages $1-3$ ), recruitment (age 0 ) and SSB, as well as observed landings and discards.

Recruitment (age 0 ) is highly variable with some definable periods, particularly: one from 1982-2003 with mean figures around 70 million (ranging from 40-120 mill); another between 2005 and 2009with mean figures of 121 mill; and another between 2009 and 2014, around the historic mean ( 80 mill). In 2015it was 316.37 mill. Following the technical annex, the latest recruitment was replaced with the geometric mean of years 1989-2014 ( 79.272 mill ). This parameter has been typically poorly estimated as evidenced by the retrospective pattern (Fig 10.10). Fishing mortality increased from the beginning of the time-series ( $\mathrm{F}=0.36$ in 1982) peaking in 1995-97around1.18; declining to 0.78 in 1999 and remaining relatively stable until 2009 ( $\mathrm{F}=1.01$ ). F then progressively decreased to reach 0.52in 2015. The SSB was very high at the beginning of the timeseries with values around 40000 t , then decreased to a minimum of 5810 t in 1998. Since then biomass has continuously increased, reaching 20 120in 2015.

## Retrospective pattern for SSB, fishing mortality, yield and recruitment

Figure 10.10 presents the results of the assessments performed using the retrospective dataseries from 2015-2009. There is a less clear trend in the retrospective pattern for recruitment, F and SSB than in previous years. Recruitment shows high variability, whereas SSB show a tendency to be overestimated, in contrast to F which shows a tendency to be underestimated.

### 10.3 Catch options and prognosis

### 10.3.1 Short-term projections

The methodology used was developed during the latest benchmark (WKSOUTH, 2014) and described in the Stock Annex. Short-term projections are presented in Figure 10.11 and Table 10.7. Note that mortality in GADGET is length based and F multipliers do not apply linearly, e.g. if $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mult }}$ is $1, \mathrm{~F}$ is 0.52 and if $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mult }}=0.5 \mathrm{~F}$ is 0.25 .

In 2016the expected SSB is 23101 t . Fsq for the intermediate year (2016) is estimated as the average of the last 3 assessment years scaled to last year (0.52). Recruitment for 2015is the geometric mean of 1989-2014 which is 79.272 mill. Recruitment used for projections in years 2016-2017 was the same geometric mean. During the intermediate year, 2016, the expected yield (landings) is 11337 t and the SSB at the end of the year is expected to be 25358 t .

Different F multipliers applied in 2017 provide management alternatives according to different scenarios. Under $\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {mult }}=1\right.$ ), F would be 0.52 , the expected yield would be 12 318 t and SSB in 2017 would be 27074 t . Decreasing F by $10 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mult }}=0.9$ ), F would be 0.46 , the yield and SSB, 11320 t and 28852 t , respectively. With the MSY approach ( $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ ), $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mult }}$ would be 0.50 , the yield 6838 t and SSB 37110 t .

### 10.3.2 Long-term projections

Long-term projections are plotted in Figure 10.12. This projection last until the year 2050 with a recruitment equal to the geometric mean of years 1989-2014.

The following table shows the expected figures for different reference Fs:

|  | F(1-3) | YIELD | SSB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fsq | 0.52 | 14110 | 29678 |
| Flow | 0.17 | 16617 | 107673 |
| FMSY | 0.25 | 17396 | 75928 |
| Fupp | 0.36 | 16478 | 49935 |

### 10.4 Biological reference points

Reference points were estimated by WKMSYRef4 (ICES 2016). MSY Btrigger was set as a $B_{\text {pa }}$ by ACOM (ICES, 2016)

## Reference points

| PA Reference points | Value | Rational |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blim | 7956 | Hockey stick breakpoint (8000 t if rounded) |
| Bpa | 11100 | $\mathrm{Blim}^{*} 1.4$ |
| Flim | 1.05 | F corresponding to the slope of the hockey stick SSBRec relationship |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.75 | Flim / 1.4 |
| MSY Reference points |  |  |
| Fms | 0.25 |  |
| Fmsy lower | 0.17 |  |
| Fmsy upper | 0.36 |  |
| Bmsy | 73330 |  |
| MSY | 18139 |  |
| MSY Btrigger | 11100 |  |

### 10.5 Comments on the assessment

Updates of the index SP-CORUTR was not included in the model.
Given the lack of abundance indices for large fish at the beginning of the time-series, the SSB estimates for this period should be considered with caution.

Recruitment was quite high between 2005-2009, after which it returned to a value around the historic mean. In 2015, however, it appears to be particularly high, as indicated by both the research surveys and discard series.

The retrospective pattern shows a trend to overestimate SSB and underestimate F but the strength of the pattern has decreased in 2015 (SSB Mohn's rho $=0.24$; F Mohn's rho $=$ -0.203).

### 10.6 Management considerations

Landings have historically been well above the TACs since 2004. However, for the latest two years they have been below the advised TAC and this year is quite similar.

The recruitment estimated by the model was considered not credible and was replaced with the geometric mean for projections. However, the 3 surveys show levels of recruitment over the historic mean, which is considered a signal of good recruitment for 2015.

The objective of the recovery plan was to rebuild the stock within safe biological limits, meaning to reach an SSB of 35000 t by 2015 . Since the enforcement of the plan the stock historical perception has changed. The SSB of the recovery plan is therefore no longer valid. A Blim $=7956 \mathrm{t}$ is currently proposed in 2015 (ICES, 2016) based on the HockeyStick breakpoint. Recent $B_{p a}$ was set as 11 100. SSB in 2016 is 23101 t suggest that the stock is inside safe biological limits.

F in 2015 is above FMSY. The stock is therefore being overexploited.

The retrospective pattern shows a general trend to overestimate SSB and underestimate F, although the last model update and the previous retrospective peel fit each other quite well.
Hake is a top predator eating mainly blue whiting, horse mackerel and hake. The main hake predators in the area are common and bottlenose dolphin.

Hake is caught in a mixed fisheries with other demersal (e.g. megrim, monkfish and nephrops) and pelagic (e.g. blue whiting, sardine or horse mackerel) species.

Table 10.1 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK. Catch estimates ('000 t) by country and gear.

|  | SPAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PORTUGAL |  |  |  | FRANCE | UNALLOCATED | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | ART | GILLNET | LONGLINE | Cd-Trw | Pr-Bk TRW | Pa-Trw | Ba-Trw | DISC | LAND | ART | TRAWL | DISC | LAND | TOTAL |  | DISC | LAND | CATCH |
| 1972 | 7,10 | - | - | - | 10,20 |  |  |  | 17,3 | 4,70 | 4,10 | - | 8,8 |  |  | - | 26,1 | 26,1 |
| 1973 | 8,50 | - | - | - | 12,30 |  |  |  | 20,8 | 6,50 | 7,30 | - | 13,8 | 0,20 |  | - | 34,8 | 34,8 |
| 1974 | 1,00 | 2,60 | 2,20 | - | 8,30 |  |  |  | 14,1 | 5,10 | 3,50 | - | 8,6 | 0,10 |  | - | 22,8 | 22,8 |
| 1975 | 1,30 | 3,50 | 3,00 | - | 11,20 |  |  |  | 19,0 | 6,10 | 4,30 | - | 10,4 | 0,10 |  | - | 29,5 | 29,5 |
| 1976 | 1,20 | 3,10 | 2,60 | - | 10,00 |  |  |  | 16,9 | 6,00 | 3,10 | - | 9,1 | 0,10 |  | - | 26,1 | 26,1 |
| 1977 | 0,60 | 1,50 | 1,30 | - | 5,80 |  |  |  | 9,2 | 4,50 | 1,60 | - | 6,1 | 0,20 |  | - | 15,5 | 15,5 |
| 1978 | 0,10 | 1,40 | 2,10 | - | 4,90 |  |  |  | 8,5 | 3,40 | 1,40 | - | 4,8 | 0,10 |  | - | 13,4 | 13,4 |
| 1979 | 0,20 | 1,70 | 2,10 | - | 7,20 |  |  |  | 11,2 | 3,90 | 1,90 | - | 5,8 | - |  | - | 17,0 | 17,0 |
| 1980 | 0,20 | 2,20 | 5,00 | - | 5,30 |  |  |  | 12,7 | 4,50 | 2,30 | - | 6,8 | - |  | - | 19,5 | 19,5 |
| 1981 | 0,30 | 1,50 | 4,60 | - | 4,10 |  |  |  | 10,5 | 4,10 | 1,90 | - | 6,0 | - |  | - | 16,5 | 16,5 |
| 1982 | 0,27 | 1,25 | 4,18 | 0,49 | 3,92 |  |  |  | 10,1 | 5,01 | 2,49 | - | 7,5 | - |  | - | 17,6 | 17,6 |
| 1983 | 0,37 | 2,10 | 6,57 | 0,57 | 5,29 |  |  |  | 14,9 | 5,19 | 2,86 | - | 8,0 | - |  | - | 22,9 | 22,9 |
| 1984 | 0,33 | 2,27 | 7,52 | 0,69 | 5,84 |  |  |  | 16,7 | 4,30 | 1,22 | - | 5,5 | - |  | - | 22,2 | 22,2 |
| 1985 | 0,77 | 1,81 | 4,42 | 0,79 | 5,33 |  |  |  | 13,1 | 3,77 | 2,05 | - | 5,8 | - |  | - | 18,9 | 18,9 |
| 1986 | 0,83 | 2,07 | 3,46 | 0,98 | 4,86 |  |  |  | 12,2 | 3,16 | 1,79 | - | 4,9 | 0,01 |  | - | 17,2 | 17,2 |
| 1987 | 0,53 | 1,97 | 4,41 | 0,95 | 3,50 |  |  |  | 11,4 | 3,47 | 1,33 | - | 4,8 | 0,03 |  | - | 16,2 | 16,2 |
| 1988 | 0,70 | 1,99 | 2,97 | 0,99 | 3,98 |  |  |  | 10,6 | 4,30 | 1,71 | - | 6,0 | 0,02 |  | - | 16,7 | 16,7 |
| 1989 | 0,56 | 1,86 | 1,95 | 0,90 | 3,92 |  |  |  | 9,2 | 2,74 | 1,85 | - | 4,6 | 0,02 |  | - | 13,8 | 13,8 |
| 1990 | 0,59 | 1,72 | 2,13 | 1,20 | 4,13 |  |  |  | 9,8 | 2,26 | 1,14 | - | 3,4 | 0,03 |  | - | 13,2 | 13,2 |
| 1991 | 0,42 | 1,41 | 2,20 | 1,21 | 3,63 |  |  |  | 8,9 | 2,71 | 1,25 | - | 4,0 | 0,01 |  | - | 12,8 | 12,8 |
| 1992 | 0,40 | 1,48 | 2,05 | 0,98 | 3,79 |  |  | 0,14 | 8,7 | 3,77 | 1,33 | 0,33 | 5,1 | - |  | 0,5 | 13,8 | 14,3 |
| 1993 | 0,37 | 1,26 | 2,74 | 0,54 | 2,67 |  |  | 0,24 | 7,6 | 3,04 | 0,87 | 0,44 | 3,9 | - |  | 0,7 | 11,5 | 12,2 |
| 1994 | 0,37 | 1,90 | 1,47 | 0,32 |  | 0,82 | 1,90 | 0,29 | 6,8 | 2,30 | 0,79 | 0,71 | 3,1 | - |  | 1,0 | 9,9 | 10,9 |
| 1995 | 0,37 | 1,59 | 0,96 | 0,46 |  | 2,34 | 2,94 | 0,93 | 8,6 | 2,56 | 1,03 | 1,18 | 3,6 | - |  | 2,1 | 12,2 | 14,3 |
| 1996 | 0,23 | 1,15 | 0,98 | 0,98 |  | 1,46 | 2,17 | 0,91 | 7,0 | 2,01 | 0,76 | 0,99 | 2,8 | - |  | 1,9 | 9,7 | 11,6 |
| 1997 | 0,30 | 1,04 | 0,76 | 0,88 |  | 1,32 | 1,78 | 1,07 | 6,1 | 1,52 | 0,90 | 1,20 | 2,4 | - |  | 2,3 | 8,5 | 10,8 |
| 1998 | 0,32 | 0,75 | 0,62 | 0,53 |  | 0,88 | 1,95 | 0,57 | 5,0 | 1,67 | 0,97 | 1,11 | 2,6 | - |  | 1,7 | 7,7 | 9,4 |
| 1999 | 0,33 | 0,60 | 0,00 | 0,57 |  | 0,87 | 1,59 | 0,35 | 4,0 | 2,12 | 1,09 | 1,17 | 3,2 | - |  | 1,5 | 7,2 | 8,7 |
| 2000 | 0,26 | 0,85 | 0,15 | 0,58 |  | 0,83 | 1,98 | 0,62 | 4,7 | 2,09 | 1,16 | 1,21 | 3,3 | - |  | 1,83 | 7,90 | 9,7 |
| 2001 | 0,32 | 0,55 | 0,11 | 1,20 |  | 1,06 | 1,12 | 0,37 | 4,4 | 2,02 | 1,20 | 1,29 | 3,2 | - |  | 1,66 | 7,58 | 9,2 |
| 2002 | 0,22 | 0,58 | 0,12 | 0,88 |  | 1,37 | 0,75 | 0,38 | 3,9 | 1,81 | 0,97 | 1,11 | 2,8 | - |  | 1,49 | 6,70 | 8,2 |
| 2003 | 0,37 | 0,43 | 0,17 | 1,25 |  | 1,36 | 1,07 | 0,41 | 4,7 | 1,13 | 0,96 | 1,05 | 2,1 | - |  | 1,46 | 6,74 | 8,2 |
| 2004 | 0,48 | 0,42 | 0,13 | 1,06 |  | 1,66 | 1,13 | 0,22 | 4,9 | 1,27 | 0,80 | 0,69 | 2,1 | - |  | 0,91 | 6,94 | 7,9 |
| 2005 | 0,72 | 0,63 | 0,09 | 0,88 |  | 2,77 | 1,14 | 0,38 | 6,2 | 1,10 | 0,96 | 1,60 | 2,1 | - |  | 1,98 | 8,30 | 10,3 |
| 2006 | 0,48 | 0,71 | 0,35 | 0,63 |  | 4,70 | 1,81 | 2,65 | 8,7 | 1,22 | 0,91 | 0,61 | 2,1 | - |  | 3,26 | 10,80 | 14,1 |
| 2007 | 0,83 | 1,80 | 0,89 | 0,50 |  | 6,71 | 2,07 | 1,19 | 12,8 | 1,41 | 0,72 | 1,31 | 2,1 | - |  | 2,50 | 14,93 | 17,4 |
| 2008 | 1,12 | 2,64 | 1,51 | 0,53 |  | 6,32 | 2,44 | 1,45 | 14,6 | 1,27 | 0,94 | 0,86 | 2,2 | - |  | 2,31 | 16,77 | 19,1 |
| 2009 | 1,41 | 2,92 | 2,10 | 0,55 |  | 7,37 | 2,54 | 0,98 | 16,9 | 1,39 | 0,96 | 1,96 | 2,4 | - |  | 2,93 | 19,24 | 22,2 |
| 2010 | 0,72 | 1,71 | 1,88 | 0,68 |  | 6,33 | 1,71 | 1,00 | 13,0 | 1,61 | 0,73 | 0,58 | 2,3 | 0,36 |  | 1,58 | 15,74 | 17,3 |
| 2011 | 0,42 | 1,09 | 0,76 | 0,53 |  | 2,18 | 1,48 | 1,21 | 6,5 | 1,72 | 0,49 | 0,74 | 2,2 |  | 8,40 | 1,95 | 17,07 | 19,0 |
| 2012 | 0,34 | 0,85 | 1,08 | 0,50 |  | 1,64 | 1,42 | 1,35 | 5,8 | 1,79 | 0,81 | 0,00 | 2,6 |  | 6,14 | 1,35 | 14,57 | 15,9 |
| 2013 | 0,64 | 1,75 | 1,11 | 0,62 |  | 1,86 | 1,16 | 2,22 | 7,2 | 1,93 | 0,81 | 0,00 | 2,7 | 0,31 | 1,46 | 2,22 | 11,66 | 13,9 |
| 2014 | 0,75 | 1,46 | 1,60 | 0,54 |  | 1,72 | 1,18 | 2,02 | 7,3 | 1,71 | 0,66 | 0,58 | 2,4 | 0,14 | 2,25 | 2,60 | 12,01 | 14,6 |
| 2015 | 0,90 | 1,11 | 1,23 | 0,36 |  | 2,01 | 1,13 | 2,06 | 6,8 | 1,24 | 0,76 | 0,23 | 2,0 | 0,24 | 2,8 | 2,29 | 11,79 | 14,1 |

Table 10.2 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK - length compositions (thousands)

| Length (cm) <br> (4 to 100+ each 2) | Land | Disc | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 8 | 51 | 106 | 156 |
| 10 | 225 | 737 | 962 |
| 12 | 536 | 2014 | 2551 |
| 14 | 687 | 5302 | 5989 |
| 16 | 1014 | 5368 | 6382 |
| 18 | 1065 | 4567 | 5632 |
| 20 | 1065 | 4307 | 5371 |
| 22 | 993 | 3207 | 4201 |
| 24 | 1106 | 2619 | 3725 |
| 26 | 2173 | 2947 | 5120 |
| 28 | 3785 | 1550 | 5335 |
| 30 | 3443 | 85 | 3528 |
| 32 | 2352 | 563 | 2915 |
| 34 | 2282 | 4 | 2286 |
| 36 | 2089 | 12 | 2102 |
| 38 | 1387 | 6 | 1393 |
| 40 | 960 | 1 | 961 |
| 42 | 617 | 1 | 618 |
| 44 | 434 | 0 | 434 |
| 46 | 377 | 0 | 377 |
| 48 | 414 | 0 | 414 |
| 50 | 428 | 0 | 428 |
| 52 | 395 | 0 | 395 |
| 54 | 386 | 0 | 386 |
| 56 | 352 | 0 | 352 |
| 58 | 346 | 0 | 346 |
| 60 | 313 | 0 | 313 |
| 62 | 268 | 0 | 268 |
| 64 | 209 | 0 | 209 |
| 66 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| 68 | 110 | 0 | 110 |
| 70 | 82 | 0 | 82 |
| 72 | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| 74 | 43 | 0 | 43 |
| 76 | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| 78 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 80 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 82 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 84 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 86 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 88 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 90 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 92 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 94 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 96 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 98 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 30313 | 33401 | 63715 |
| Nominal Weight (tons) | 11,55 | 2,29 | 13,84 |
| SOP | 11,52 | 2,23 | 13,75 |
| SOP / NW | 1,00 | 1,03 | 1,01 |
| Mean length (cm) | 33,4 | 20,0 | 26,4 |

* without France landinas

Table 10.3 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK - Portuguese groundfish surveys; biomass, abundance and recruitment indices

| Year | Winter (ptGFS-WIBTS-Q1) |  |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |  |  | Autumn (ptGFS-WIBTS-Q4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biomass (kg/h) |  | Abundance ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{h}$ ) |  |  | Biomass (kg/h) |  | Abundance ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{h}$ ) |  |  | Biomass (kg/h) |  | Abundance (N/h) |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | s.e. | Mean | s.e. | hauls | Mean | s.e. | Mean | s.e. | hauls | Mean | s.e. | Mean | s.e. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n} / \text { hour }<20 \\ \mathrm{~cm}(1) \end{gathered}$ | hauls |
| 1979 * |  |  |  |  |  | 11,7 |  | 80,4 |  | 55 | 9,5 |  | na |  |  | 55 |
| 1980 * (**) | 11,3 |  | 178,1 |  | 36 | 15,4 |  | 153,0 |  | 63 | 12,5 |  | 108,7 |  |  | 62 |
| 1981 ( Autumn **) | 10,7 | 0,7 | 122,4 | 15,5 | 67 | 9,9 | 1,3 | 87,8 | 15,5 | 69 | 24,4 | 0,5 | 734,8 | 29,3 |  | 111 |
| 1982 | 18,1 | 2,5 | 265,6 | 37,5 | 69 | 11,0 | 2,7 | 93,0 | 32,8 | 70 | 10,6 | 1,8 | 119,5 | 34,7 |  | 190 |
| 1983 ( Autumn **) | 27,0 | 6,0 | 530,5 | 151,0 | 69 | 15,1 | 2,3 | 120,5 | 20,8 | 98 | 13,4 | 0,5 | 121,8 | 4,8 |  | 117 |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,3 | 0,8 | 170,7 | 15,6 | 101 | 11,0 | 0,7 | 128,7 | 8,4 | 86,7 | 150 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  | 27,4 | 1,8 | 249,4 | 15,1 | 118 | 17,7 | 1,2 | 165,6 | 28,4 | 90,2 | 117 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,6 | 0,9 | 37,4 | 3,7 | 7,3 | 81 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,3 | 1,7 | 177,8 | 30,8 | 111,7 | 98 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  | 11,9 | 0,9 | 80,8 | 8,6 | 114 | 8,4 | 0,5 | 59,6 | 4,6 | 19,8 | 130 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,8 | 1,0 | 95,6 | 13,5 | 98 | 11,8 | 1,0 | 157,2 | 26,3 | 97,2 | 107 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,2 | 1,2 | 104,2 | 11,3 | 119 | 20,9 | 4,3 | 195,3 | 41,5 | 92,3 | 80 |
| 1992 | 14,5 | 1,2 | 176,4 | 32,3 | 88 | 10,9 | 1,1 | 74,1 | 11,4 | 81 | 11,7 | 1,7 | 65,2 | 11,1 | 18,8 | 51 |
| 1993 | 9,0 | 0,7 | 78,7 | 16,8 | 75 | 11,3 | 1,7 | 105,0 | 34,7 | 66 | 5,5 | 0,8 | 54,4 | 12,9 | 28,4 | 58 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,9 | 1,0 | 98,9 | 12,1 | 52,9 | 77 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  | 15,0 | 1,4 | 129,3 | 16,3 | 81 | 14,8 | 1,7 | 85,8 | 10,7 | 7,9 | 80 |
| 1996*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,2 | 1,1 | 109,9 | 17,8 | 18,2 | 63 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  | 19,0 | 1,4 | 206,5 | 16,9 | 86 | 24,6 | 9,3 | 208,0 | 92,5 | 62,1 | 51 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,5 | 0,8 | 71,6 | 8,6 | 87 | 15,6 | 2,0 | 140,6 | 21,7 | 75,9 | 64 |
| 1999*** |  |  |  |  |  | 11,8 | 0,7 | 116,2 | 10,1 | 65 | 11,6 | 1,5 | 118,3 | 17,1 | 14,4 | 71 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  | 16,4 | 1,6 | 123,0 | 15,2 | 88 | 11,8 | 1,8 | 102,7 | 19,9 | 49,2 | 66 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  | 16,6 | 1,7 | 132,5 | 14,2 | 83 | 15,6 | 2,8 | 164,2 | 38,5 | 89,9 | 58 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,0 | 2,1 | 117,6 | 26,9 | 60,6 | 66 |
| 2003 *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,8 | 1,0 | 94,2 | 8,0 | 11,9 | 71 |
| 2004 *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,4 | 3,3 | 402,3 | 85,2 | 78,2 | 79 |
| 2005 | 17,7 | 2,6 | 384,0 | 53,8 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  | 19,0 | 1,9 | 214,2 | 23,5 | 131,7 | 87 |
| 2006 | 16,0 | 2,0 | 377,5 | 55,4 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  | 16,5 | 1,8 | 126,2 | 11,0 | 54,7 | 88 |
| 2007 | 22,4 | 3,4 | 609,1 | 114,1 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  | 25,8 | 2,8 | 370,2 | 46,7 | 240,0 | 96 |
| 2008 | 31,1 | 4,8 | 700,6 | 170,8 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  | 34,6 | 4,3 | 293,6 | 33,9 | 87,7 | 87 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37,5 | 4,4 | 476,4 | 75,9 | 318,6 | 93 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38,2 | 4,3 | 418,0 | 49,8 | 249,8 | 87 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,7 | 1,5 | 272,9 | 25,2 | 179,4 | 86 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,2 | 3,4 | 473,1 | 62,1 | 289,0 | 93 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,1 | 1,5 | 195,7 | 23,9 | 93,9 | 81 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37,2 | 4,3 | 602,1 | 65,0 | 393,2 | 90 |

all data concerns 20 mm cod end mesh size except data marked with * which concerns 40 mm
(1) $\mathrm{n} /$ hour $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$ converted to Noruega and NCT

Strata depth:
from 1979
trm 1979 to 1988 covers $20-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth
ince 2005 covers $20-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth
since 2005 covers $20-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth
since 2002 tow duration is 30 min for autumn survey

Table 10.4 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK - Spanish groundfish surveys; abundances and recruitment indices for total area (Mino - Bidasoa). Biomass for Cadiz surveys.

| Year | Spanish Survey (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) (/30 min) |  |  |  |  |  | Cadiz Survey (SPGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4) (/hour) |  |  |  | Cadiz Survey (SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) (/hour) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biomass index ( Kg ) |  | Abundance Index ( $\mathrm{n}^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  | Recruits (<20cm) <br> Mean | Biomass index ( Kg ) |  | $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { Rec (<20cm) } \\ \text { hauls } & \text { Mean } \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ |  | Biomass index ( Kg ) |  |  $\operatorname{Rec}(<20 \mathrm{~cm})$ <br> hauls $\quad$ mean  |  |
|  | Mean | s.e. | Hauls | Mean | s.e. |  | Mean | s.e. |  |  | Mean | s.e. |  |  |
| 1983 | 7,04 | 0,65 | 107 | 192,4 | 25,0 | 177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 6,33 | 0,60 | 94 | 410,4 | 53,5 | 398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 3,83 | 0,39 | 97 | 108,5 | 14,0 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 4,16 | 0,50 | 92 | 247,8 | 46,5 | 239 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 5,59 | 0,69 | 101 | 390,0 | 67,4 | 382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 7,14 | 0,75 | 91 | 487,9 | 73,1 | 477 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 3,34 | 0,32 | 120 | 85,9 | 9,1 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 3,37 | 0,39 | 107 | 166,8 | 15,8 | 161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 2,14 | 0,19 | 116 | 59,3 | 5,4 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 2,49 | 0,21 | 109 | 80,0 | 8,0 | 73 |  |  |  |  | 3,04 | 0,53 | 30 |  |
| 1994 | 3,98 | 0,33 | 118 | 245,0 | 24,9 | 240 |  |  |  |  | 2,68 | 0,33 | 30 |  |
| 1995 | 4,58 | 0,44 | 116 | 80,9 | 8,4 | 68 |  |  |  |  | 4,66 | 1,28 | 30 | 71,5 |
| 1996 | 6,54 | 0,59 | 114 | 345,2 | 40,5 | 335 |  |  |  |  | 7,66 | 1,14 | 31 | 72,7 |
| 1997 | 7,27 | 0,78 | 119 | 421,4 | 56,5 | 410 | 5,28 | 2,77 | 27 | 26,7 | 3,34 | 0,52 | 30 | 72,5 |
| 1998 | 3,36 | 0,28 | 114 | 75,9 | 8,7 | 65 | 2,66 | 0,42 | 34 | 6,6 | 2,93 | 0,67 | 31 | 18,6 |
| 1999 | 3,35 | 0,25 | 116 | 95,3 | 10,6 | 89 | 2,71 | 0,44 | 38 | 23,9 | 3,03 | 0,37 | 38 | 44,6 |
| 2000 | 3,01 | 0,43 | 113 | 66,9 | 7,4 | 59 | 2,03 | 0,61 | 30 | 18,6 | 3,02 | 0,47 | 41 | 39,7 |
| 2001 | 1,73 | 0,29 | 113 | 42,0 | 7,6 | 37 | 2,57 | 0,45 | 39 | 22,7 | 6,01 | 0,79 | 40 | 72,4 |
| 2002 | 1,91 | 0,23 | 110 | 57,1 | 8,8 | 53 | 3,39 | 0,78 | 39 | 118,6 | 2,74 | 0,25 | 41 | 22,4 |
| 2003 | 2,61 | 0,27 | 112 | 92,8 | 11,6 | 86 | 1,61 | 0,28 | 41 | 17,5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 3,94 | 0,40 | 114 | 177,0 | 23,5 | 170 | 2,72 | 0,69 | 40 | 85,8 | 3,65 | 0,47 | 40 | 92,7 |
| 2005 | 6,46 | 0,53 | 116 | 344,8 | 32,2 | 335 | 6,68 | 1,29 | 42 | 100,6 | 10,77 | 5,65 | 40 | 184,3 |
| 2006 | 5,50 | 0,39 | 115 | 224,5 | 21,9 | 211 | 4,99 | 2,00 | 41 | 212,3 | 2,15 | 0,40 | 41 | 3,7 |
| 2007 | 4,97 | 0,43 | 117 | 158,2 | 15,0 | 150 | 6,92 | 1,43 | 37 | 200,3 | 3,22 | 0,68 | 41 | 51,1 |
| 2008 | 4,93 | 0,46 | 115 | 99,3 | 11,5 | 81 | 4,33 | 0,60 | 41 | 64,4 | 3,48 | 0,67 | 41 | 50,5 |
| 2009 | 9,32 | 0,94 | 117 | 559,7 | 93,9 | 789 | 7,35 | 0,97 | 43 | 95,0 | 4,24 | 0,06 | 40 | 65,6 |
| 2010 | 8,36 | 0,65 | 114 | 201,0 | 14,9 | 175 | 5,82 | 0,83 | 44 | 46,0 | 6,91 | 1,09 | 36 | 202,5 |
| 2011 | 8,98 | 0,68 | 111 | 241,5 | 21,0 | 216 | 2,97 | 0,38 | 40 | 48,2 | 3,75 | 0,50 | 42 | 32,2 |
| 2012 | 8,44 | 0,75 | 115 | 297,3 | 39,5 | 280 | 5,38 | 0,90 | 37 | 44,0 | 3,49 | 0,65 | 33 | 62,9 |
| 2013 | 5,59 | 0,78 | 114 | 136,9 | 13,6 | 118 | 12,52 | 2,04 | 43 | 285,6 | 5,50 | 0,56 | 40 | 76,5 |
| 2014 | 3,72 | 0,44 | 116 | 78,0 | 9,6 | 68 | 9,33 | 1,38 | 45 | 63,0 | 6,01 | 0,65 | 40 | 60,4 |
| 2015 | 9,87 | 0,85 | 114 | 316,8 | 33,7 | 296 | 13,67 | 2,61 | 43 | 186,8 | 6,01 | 0,69 | 43 | 165,3 |

Since 1997 new depth stratification:
Before 1997:
$70-120 \mathrm{~m}, 121-200 \mathrm{~m}$ and $201-500 \mathrm{~m}$

Table 10.5 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK. Landings (tonnes), Catch per unit effort and effort for trawl fleets

| YEAR | A Coruña Trawl |  |  | Portugal traw |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landings | Ipue (Kg/day x100 HP) | Effort | Landings | Ipue (Kg/hour std) | Effort |
| 1985 | 945 | 21 | 45920 |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 842 | 21 | 39810 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 695 | 20 | 34680 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 698 | 17 | 42180 |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 715 | 16 | 44440 | 1847 | 46,9 | 39372 |
| 1990 | 749 | 17 | 44430 | 1138 | 42,5 | 26777 |
| 1991 | 501 | 12 | 40440 | 1245 | 39,0 | 31914 |
| 1992 | 589 | 15 | 38910 | 1325 | 37,2 | 35605 |
| 1993 | 514 | 12 | 44504 | 871 | 30,8 | 28319 |
| 1994 | 473 | 12 | 39589 | 789 | 37,5 | 21067 |
| 1995 | 831 | 20 | 41452 | 1026 | 45,9 | 22330 |
| 1996 | 722 | 20 | 35728 | 894 | 42,5 | 21044 |
| 1997 | 732 | 21 | 35211 | 906 | 50,1 | 18067 |
| 1998 | 895 | 27 | 32563 | 913 | 43,4 | 21024 |
| 1999 | 691 | 23 | 30232 | 1092 | 52,5 | 20782 |
| 2000 | 590 | 20 | 30102 | 1162 | 36,8 | 31547 |
| 2001 | 597 | 20 | 29923 | 1210 | 47,8 | 25296 |
| 2002 | 232 | 11 | 21823 | 970 | 46,8 | 20714 |
| 2003 | 274 | 15 | 18493 | 962 | 43,1 | 22315 |
| 2004 | 259 | 12 | 21112 | 800 | 43,3 | 18491 |
| 2005 | 330 | 16 | 20663 | 965 | 46,1 | 20917 |
| 2006 | 518 | 27 | 19264 | 908 | 44,5 | 20406 |
| 2007 | 621 | 29 | 21201 | 724 | 41,5 | 17436 |
| 2008 | 762 | 38 | 20212 | 936 | 49,3 | 18978 |
| 2009 | 640 | 40 | 16162 | 964 | 46,0 | 20936 |
| 2010 | 553 | 40 | 13744 | 800 | 46,2 | 17316 |
| 2011 | 538 | 47 | 11532 | 542 | 46,6 | 11620 |
| 2012 | 498 | 42 | 11887 | 895 | 54,1 | 16555 |
| 2013* | 542 | 37 | 14736 | 893 | 51,1 | 17466 |
| 2014* | 493 | 27 | 18060 | 727 | 49,7 | 14616 |
| 2015* | 411 | 31 | 13309 | 839 | 61,6 | 13617 |

Spanish LPUEs are scientific estimations from a selection of ships that may change from year to year. *Spanish sampling method changed for effort and landings - not used in the model

Table 10.6. Southern Hake Stock Assessment summary

| Year | Mort (1-3) | SSB ('000 tn) | R (million) | Catch ('000 tn) | Land ('000 tn) | Disc ('000 tn) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 0,36 | 41,10 | 98,40 | 17,59 | 17,59 | ** |
| 1983 | 0,44 | 45,80 | 81,48 | 22,95 | 22,95 | ** |
| 1984 | 0,45 | 43,05 | 69,48 | 22,18 | 22,18 | ** |
| 1985 | 0,42 | 43,14 | 44,09 | 18,94 | 18,94 | ** |
| 1986 | 0,45 | 40,03 | 40,97 | 17,16 | 17,16 | ** |
| 1987 | 0,51 | 36,77 | 50,14 | 16,18 | 16,18 | ** |
| 1988 | 0,65 | 27,03 | 71,23 | 16,65 | 16,65 | ** |
| 1989 | 0,65 | 19,90 | 78,07 | 13,79 | 13,79 | ** |
| 1990 | 0,69 | 16,28 | 82,33 | 13,19 | 13,19 | ** |
| 1991 | 0,69 | 16,46 | 69,84 | 12,83 | 12,83 | ** |
| 1992 | 0,84 | 15,52 | 52,40 | 14,27 | 13,80 | 0,47 |
| 1993 | 0,91 | 12,76 | 61,10 | 12,17 | 11,48 | 0,68 |
| 1994 | 0,89 | 8,89 | 119,55 | 10,86 | 9,86 | 0,99 |
| 1995 | 1,19 | 7,09 | 51,18 | 14,34 | 12,24 | 2,10 |
| 1996 | 1,16 | 8,52 | 101,04 | 11,62 | 9,71 | 1,91 |
| 1997 | 1,18 | 6,50 | 80,79 | 10,77 | 8,50 | 2,27 |
| 1998 | 0,94 | 5,73 | 57,80 | 9,36 | 7,68 | 1,68 |
| 1999 | 0,79 | 7,43 | 67,10 | 8,69 | 7,17 | 1,52 |
| 2000 | 0,88 | 8,70 | 70,66 | 9,74 | 7,90 | 1,83 |
| 2001 | 0,86 | 8,86 | 49,61 | 9,24 | 7,58 | 1,66 |
| 2002 | 0,82 | 9,31 | 70,18 | 8,18 | 6,69 | 1,49 |
| 2003 | 0,83 | 9,14 | 59,63 | 8,21 | 6,74 | 1,46 |
| 2004 | 0,73 | 9,14 | 79,04 | 7,86 | 6,94 | 0,91 |
| 2005 | 0,77 | 9,50 | 126,82 | 10,31 | 8,33 | 1,98 |
| 2006 | 0,88 | 10,92 | 94,36 | 14,08 | 10,82 | 3,26 |
| 2007 | 0,94 | 12,85 | 169,11 | 17,44 | 14,93 | 2,50 |
| 2008 | 0,92 | 12,59 | 116,98 | 19,11 | 16,80 | 2,31 |
| 2009 | 0,95 | 14,49 | 106,47 | 22,17 | 19,24 | 2,93 |
| 2010 | 0,71 | 14,61 | 64,34 | 16,95 | 15,37 | 1,58 |
| 2011 | 0,79 | 17,82 | 90,18 | 19,01 | 17,06 | 1,95 |
| 2012 | 0,75 | 17,47 | 88,92 | 16,40 | 14,57 | 1,82 |
| 2013 | 0,62 | 16,52 | 69,23 | 13,91 | 11,35 | 2,55 |
| 2014 | 0,66 | 20,65 | 82,00 | 14,48 | 11,88 | 2,60 |
| 2015* | 0,52 | 20,12 | 316,37 | 13,84 | 11,55 | 2,29 |

* Landings do not include France data presented in table 7.1
** Discards time series begin in 1992 the year of implementation of MLS $(27 \mathrm{~cm})$. Before that zero discards assumed.
For short term projections 2015 Recruitment (316.4) substituted with geomean (79.3), this implies F moves from 0.52 to 0.56

Table 10.7. Short term projections

| SSB 2016 | BIO 2016 | F 2016 |  | Yield 2016 |  | Catch 2016 | SSB 2017 | BIO 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23101 | 28030 |  | 0,52 |  | 11337 | 13473 | 25358 | 30451 |


| Fmult | F 2017 | Yield 2017 | Catch 2017 | SSB 2018 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | 0 | 0 | 49982 | zero catch |
| 0,10 | 0,05 | 1483 | 1741 | 47180 |  |
| 0,20 | 0,10 | 2906 | 3414 | 44480 |  |
| 0,30 | 0,15 | 4270 | 5021 | 41907 |  |
| 0,34 | 0,17 | 4849 | 5703 | 40820 | Flow |
| 0,40 | 0,20 | 5578 | 6562 | 39456 |  |
| 0,50 | 0,25 | 6838 | 8049 | 37110 | Fmsy |
| 0,57 | 0,29 | 7705 | 9073 | 35503 | TAC-15\% |
| 0,60 | 0,30 | 8030 | 9457 | 34903 |  |
| 0,69 | 0,35 | 9059 | 10674 | 33008 | equal TAC |
| 0,70 | 0,35 | 9177 | 10813 | 32792 |  |
| 0,71 | 0,36 | 9303 | 10962 | 32561 | Fupp |
| 0,80 | 0,41 | 10273 | 12112 | 30786 |  |
| 0,81 | 0,41 | 10411 | 12275 | 30535 | TAC+15\% |
| 0,90 | 0,46 | 11320 | 13353 | 28882 | F-10\% |
| 0,92 | 0,47 | 11523 | 13595 | 28512 | Fsq |
| 1,00 | 0,52 | 12318 | 14540 | 27074 | Bpa-Btrg |
| 2,27 | 1,28 | 21381 | 25433 | 11100 | Blim |
| 2,69 | 1,57 | 23172 | 27638 | 8000 |  |

[^4]

Figure 10.1. Length distribution of catches used in the assessment. Landings (1982-15) plus Cadiz landings from 1994-2004. Discards from 1992-15 (dashed line). Minimum landing size (MLS) since 1992 at 27 cm .

## Maturity ogives



Figure 10.2 Maturity ogives from 1980-2015


FIGURE 10.3 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK - Recruitment and biomass Indices from groundfish surveys


Figure 10.4 HAKE SOUTHERN STOCK- LPUE and fishing effort trends for trawl fleets


Figure 10.5. Gadget convergence with likelihood profiles. Free scaled (upper panel) and fixed scaled (lower panel)

Figure 10.6Diagnostics Residuals (10.6 a and b). Observed vs. expected length proportions ( $10.6 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$ ))

(10.6 a) Survey residuals 'Jy 15 cm groups (4-19, 19-34, 34-49 cm)

(10.6 b) LPUE residuals by 15 cm groups (25-40, 40-55, 55-70 cm)

(10.6 c). Bubble plot for landings length distribution from 1982-1993.

( 10.6 d). Bubble plot for landings length distribution from 1994 to last year.

Raw proportion at length residuals - Land94-Cadiz

(10.6 e). Bubble plot for Cadiz landings length distribution from 1982-2004.

(10.6 f). Bubble plot for Discards length distribution for years 1993, 97, 99, 2004-end.

Raw proportion at length residuals - ptGFS-WIBTS-Q4

( 10.6 g ) Bubble plot for Portuguese demersal survey (ptGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

( 10.6 h ) Bubble plot for North Spain demersal survey (spGFS-WIBTS-Q4)

(10.6 i) Bubble plot for South Spain (Cadiz) demersal survey (spGFS-caut-WIBTS-Q4)

## Selection Pattern



Figure 10.7. Selection pattern (upper panel) and von Bertalanffy growth with $k$ parameter estimated by the model (lower panel)


Figure 10.8. Population length distribution at the beginning of the 4th quarter.


Figure 10.9. Summary plot. SSB and removals (catch, landings and discards). Fishing mortality (F) for ages 1-3.


Figure 10.10. Retrospective plots (absolute and relative).


Figure 10.11. Short-term projections


Figure 10.12. Long-term yield and SSB per recruit


Figure 10.13 Stock-recruitment plot.

## 11 Nephrops in Divisions 8.a,b, FUs 23-24 (Norway lobster)

Type of assessment: update assessment
Main changes from the last assessment (WGBIE2015):
No relevant.
Previously, some changes have occurred since the IBP Nephrops 2012:

- Methodology for discard derivation (probabilistic approach replaced the proportional one).
- Scientific time-series provided by the survey LANGOLF included in the tuning data (although the survey was stopped in 2014).
- UWTV survey has been conducted since 2014. The stock is planned to be benchmarked in 2016.

ICES description
8.a,b

Functional Units

Bay of Biscay North, 8.a (FU 23)
Bay of Biscay South, 8.b (FU 24)

### 11.1 General

### 11.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

This section is detailed in Stock Annex.

### 11.1.2 Fishery description

The general features of the fishery are given in Stock Annex.

### 11.1.3 ICES Advice for 2016

The advice for the stock is biennial, the latest one provided in 2014 was based on approach for data-limited stocks. It was recommended that "...landings should be no more than 3214 tonnes, assuming that discard rates do not change from the average of the last three years (2011-2013), and a fixed proportion (30\%) of discards survive. This corresponds to removals of no more than 4224 tonnes".

### 11.1.4 Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

| Species: | Norway lobster <br> Nephrops norvegicus |  | Zone: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spain | 234 | VIIIIa, VIIIb, VIIId and viIIe <br> (NEP/8ABDE.) |  |
| France | 3665 |  |  |
| Union | 3899 |  |  |
| TAC | 3899 |  | Analytical TAC |
|  |  |  |  |

The Nephrops fishery is managed by TAC [articles 3, 4, 5(2) of Regulation (EC) No 847/96] along with technical measures. The agreed TAC for 2016 was 389 t (the same
as for the period 2013-2015) whereas the ICES recommendation was 3214 t . In 2015, total nominal landings reached 3569 t .

For a long-time, a minimum landing size of 26 mm CL ( 8.5 cm total length) was adopted by the French producers' organizations (larger than the EU MLS set at 20 mm CL i.e. 7 cm total length). Since December 2005, a new French MLS regulation ( 9 cm total length) has been established. This change has already significantly impacted on the data used by the WG (see report WGHMM 2007).

A mesh change was implemented in 2000 and the minimum codend mesh size in the Bay of Biscay was 70 mm instead of the former 55 mm for Nephrops, which had replaced 50 mm mesh size in 1990-91. 100 mm mesh size is required in the Hake box. For 2006 and 2007, Nephrops trawlers were allowed to fish in the hake box with mesh size smaller than 100 mm once they have adopted a square mesh panel of 100 mm . This derogation was maintained onwards.

As annotated in the Official Journal of the European Union (p.4, art. 27): "In order to ensure sustainable exploitation of the hake and Norway lobster stock and to reduce discards, the use of the latest developments as regards selective gears should be permitted in ICES zones 8.a, b,d".

In agreement with this, the National French Committee of Fisheries (deliberations 39/2007, 1/2008) fixed the rules of trawling activities targeting Nephrops in the areas 8.a, $8 . \mathrm{b}$ applicable from the 1st April 2008. All vessels catching more than 50 kg of Nephrops per day must use a selective device from at least one of the following: (1) a ventral panel of 60 mm square mesh; (2) a flexible grid or (3) a 80 mm codend mesh size. The majority of Nephrops directed vessels (Districts of South Brittany) chose the increase of the codend mesh size whereas the ventral squared panel was adopted by multi-purpose trawlers (mainly in harbours outside Brittany).

A licence system was adopted in 2004 and, since then, there has been a cap on the number of Nephrops trawlers operating in the Bay of Biscay of 250 (186 in 2015). At the beginning of 2006, the French producers' organizations adopted new additional regulations such as monthly quotas which had some effects on fishing effort limitation.

### 11.2 Data

### 11.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Total catches, landings and discards, of Nephrops in division 8.a,b for the period 19602015 are given in Table 11.1.

Throughout the mid-60's, the French landings gradually increased to a peak value of 7 000 t in 1973-1974, then fluctuated between 4500 and 6000 t during the 80's and the mid-90's. An increase has been noticeable during the early 2000's. Landings remained stable between 2008 and 2009 ( 3030 t and 2987 t ) whereas they had decreased compared with previous years ( 3176 in 2007, 3447 t in 2006 and 3991 t in 2005). In 2010 and 2011, total landings increased ( 3398 t and 3559 t respectively), but in 2012 and 2013 the landings reduced to around 2520 t and 2380 t respectively. In 2014, landings increased ( $2807 \mathrm{t}:+18 \%$ ), with a further increase in 2015 ( $3569 \mathrm{t} ;+27 \%$ ). The new selectivity regulations have been implemented since 2008, the effect of these new regulations have not been quantified.

Males usually predominate in the landings (sex ratio, defined as number of females divided by total, fluctuates between 0.31 and 0.46 for the overall period 1987-2015) and
in a lesser degree in the removals (sexio ratio in the range 0.35-0.49). Females are less accessible in winter because of burrowing and, also, they have a lower growth rate. The female proportion in landings slightly increased up to the late 1990's/early 2000's, but this trend was not confirmed in recent years probably because of the MLS increase (December 2005) and, moreover, because of the new selectivity regulations (April 2008).

Discards represent most of the catches of the smallest individuals as indicated by the available data (Figure 11.1). The average weight of discards per year in the period up to early 2000's (not routinely sampled) is about 1551 t whereas discard estimates of the recent sampled years (2003-2015) reached a higher level of 1954 t . This change in the amount of discards could be due to the restriction of individual quotas (notably applied since 2006), the strength of some recruitments in the middle of 2000's and the change in the MLS (which tends to increase the discards), although the change in the selectivity should tend to reduce the discards. The relative contribution of each of these three factors remains unknown. In 2015, 129 million individuals were estimated to have been discarded (1 492 t ).

### 11.2.2 Biological sampling

Discard data by sampling on board are available for 1987, 1991, 1998 and from 2003. For the intermediate years up to 2002, since the former WGNEPH, numbers discarded at length were derived by the "proportional method" calculating discards by sex for years with no sampling on board by applying identical quarterly LFDs of the preceding sampled year raised to the quarterly landings i.e. for years 1992-1997 derivation used quarterly LFDs from 1991. This method was suspected to induce inter-dependence throughout the time-series, therefore, lack of contrast for annual recruitment. IBP Nephrops 2012 even not finally conclusive investigated the probabilistic (logistic) approach developed for the WGHMM since 2007 (Table 11.2; see Stock Annex) and compared with the previous discard derivation. The probabilistic calculation provides wider variations on number of removals for age group 1 and 2 after conversion of the size composition to an age one (under assumptions involving in individual growth by sex according to von Bertalanffy's function as used by previous WGs). Since the WGHMM 2012, the probabilistic method has been chosen: the derivation is performed by sex and quarter using logistic function describing the s-shaped hand-sorting on board and assuming symmetrical densities of probability for yearly LFDs as tested on years with sampling on board before MLS change (up to 2005).

Since 2003, discards have been estimated from sampling catch programmes on board Nephrops trawlers, 522 trips and 1513 hauls have been sampled over 13 years. Despite improvements in agreement between logbook declarations and auction hall sales since the middle of 2000's, the quality of crossed information fluctuates between years. e.g. for years 2007-2014 the percentage of cross-validation item by item between logbooks and sales was variable giving a wide range of values of between 69 to $90 \%$ agreement ( $85 \%$ for 2014 and $80 \%$ in 2015). Therefore, the total number of trips is usually not well known and needs to be estimated under assumptions. This can be done using the number of auction hall sales, when boats conduct daily trips, which is the case in the northern part of the fishery, but not in the southern one. Discard sampling from the southern part of the fishery was carried out only once in the past (2005), but the sampling plan has been routinely applied since 2010.

The length distribution of landings, discards, catches and removals are presented in Tables 11.3.a-h and in Figure 11.1. Removals at length are obtained by adding the landings and "dead discards" and applying a discard mean survival rate of $30 \%$ (Charuau et al., 1982). Combined sex mean lengths are presented for catches, landings and discards in Figure 11.2.

### 11.2.3 Abundance indices from surveys

For many years, abundance indices were not available for this stock. A survey specifically designed to evaluate abundance indices of Nephrops commenced in 2006 (with the most appropriate season: 2nd quarter, hours of trawling: around dawn and dusk and fishing gear: twin trawl). This survey (called LANGOLF; see Stock Annex) occurred once a year in May and its sampling design was stratified by sedimentary structure. The survey was evaluated during the IBP Nephrops 2012, and was accepted for providing abundance indices for this stock and included in the assessment (WGHMM 2012, 2013; WGBIE 2014). The time-series provided by this survey ended in 2013 and a new experimental survey combining UWTV burrows counting and trawling indices as routinely operated for many Nephrops stocks on areas VI and VII was undertaken in September 2014 and July 2015. Trawling was operated by two commercial vessels applying the same sampling plan (stratified random) and using the same twin trawls ( 20 mm codend mesh size) as those of the former LANGOLF survey. The burrows counting was undertaken by the Irish scientific vessel "Celtic Voyager" on the basis of a systematic sampling plan. The choice of survey dates is constrained by the schedule time for UWTV Irish equipment and staff. Investigations on the basis of stratified statistical estimators as well as geostatistical analysis were carried out (see WD03; WGBIE 2016). This new information will be presented at the assessment bench in 2016. The UWTV survey was also carried out in May 2016 although the trawling operations associated in 2014 and 2015 were not conducted as they were considered not necessary for the further analytical investigations on the stock based on the UWTV tools.

### 11.2.4 Commercial catch-effort data.

## Commercial fleets used in the assessment to tune the model

Up to 1998, the majority of the vessels were not obliged to keep logbooks because of their size and fishing forms were established by inquiries. Since 1999, logbooks became compulsory for all vessels longer than 10 m . The available logbook data cannot be currently considered as representative for the fishing effort of the whole fishery during the overall time-series. Hence, since 2004, it was attempted to define a better effort index.

Effort data indices, landings and LPUE for the "Le Guilvinec District" Nephrops trawlers in the 2nd quarter (noted GV-Q2) are available for the overall time-series (Table 11.4; Figure 11.3). Effort increased from 1987 to 1992, but there has been a decreasing trend since then. In 2012-2015, the lowest fishing effort for the whole period was observed. The downwards trend in effort can be explained by the decrease in the number of fishing vessels following the decommissioning schemes implemented by the EU. The LPUEs of the GV-Q2 fleet were reasonably stable for a long period, fluctuating around a long-term average of $13.3 \mathrm{~kg} /$ hour (Figure 11.3), with three pics values occurring in the past $(1988,2001$ and 2010). LPUE increased steeply between 2009 and 2010 (+35\%: from $13.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ to $18.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ), then strongly decreased in the period 2011-2013
( $15.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in 2011, $15.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in $2012,12.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in 2013) The GV-Q2 LPUE index remained stable in 2014 ( $12.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ), but it reached the historically highest level in 2015 ( $19.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ).

Changes in fishing gear efficiency and individual catch capacities of vessels, imply that the time spent at sea may not be a good indicator of effective effort and hence LPUE trends are possibly biased. Since the early 90's, the number of boats using twin-trawls increased $(10 \%$ in 1991, more than $90 \%$ in recent years, almost $100 \%$ in the northern part of the fishery) and also the number of vessels using rock-hopper gear on the rough seabed of the extreme NW part of the central mud bank of the Bay of Biscay. Moreover, an increase in on board computer technology has occurred. The effects of these changes are difficult to quantify as twin-trawling is not always recorded explicitly in the fisheries statistics and improvement due to computing technology is not continuous for the overall time-series.

### 11.3 Assessment

Expecting conclusions from the incoming benchmark planned in 2016, no analytical assessment was carried out by WGBIE 2016.

### 11.4 Catch options and prognosis

No short-term projections and yield-per-recruit analysis were carried out.

### 11.5 Biological reference points

In previous analytical assessments, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ was proposed as a satisfactory FMSY proxy for the stock although the rejection of the XSA assessment for this stock suggests to define new biological reference points based on the new UWTV survey.

### 11.6 Comments on the assessment

The continuation of the French Nephrops trawlers on board sampling programme will avoid the use of "derived" data for missing years (13 years on 29). Since 2009, there has been an improvement of the sampling design as many trips were sampled in the Southern part of the fishery. Derivation based on probabilistic approach should improve diagnostic in further analytical investigations when new alternative assessment methods will be applied.

### 11.7 Information from the fishing industry

Many exchanges occurred between scientists and the fishing industry prior to the WG in the case of the partnership for the UWTV survey (scientific methodological and financial supporting project). The industry underlined the heterogeneous feature of the whole area of the stock and commented on the application of only one tuning series involved in the northern part of the fishery and its extrapolation to the southern one. They suggested the necessity of applying additional tuning commercial information on the southern part of fishery even its contribution into the overall Nephrops directed activity in the Bay of Biscay remains minor. They have been aware of the downwards trend for the stock between the late 2000's and the early 2010's. They emphasized the recent steep upwards change as landings increased between 2013 and 2014 whereas fishing effort remained stable and as 2015 corresponds to the maximum historical level for LPUEs and to the highest value for landings in the last decade. They also considered
the necessity to routinely continue assessment on the basis of the recently initiated UWTV survey.

### 11.8 Management considerations

This year there is no survey coverage of this area to provide advice. Information from commercial data in recent year (increase in LPUEs, stability of the reported discard levels) show positive signals that the stock is not declining but it is premature to change the perception of the stock. Investigations based on the new UWTV survey will be evaluated this year with the potential to provide advice.

Table 11.1. Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay [VIIIa,b] - Estimates of catches [t] by FU for 1960-2015

| Year | Landings [1] |  |  |  |  | Total Discards | Catches Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | = $\ 23$-24 [2. | FU23 | FU24 | Unallocated (MA | Total | FU $23-24$ |  |
|  | VIlla, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | VIlla | VIIIL | $\mathrm{N}]$ [3) | VIlla, b used | VIlla, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | VIlla, b |
| 1960 | 3524 | - | - | , | 3524 | - | 3524 |
| 1961 | 3607 | - | - | - | 3607 | - | 3607 |
| 1962 | 3042 | - | - | - | 3042 | - | 3042 |
| 1963 | 4040 | - | - | - | 4040 | - | 4040 |
| 1964 | 4596 | - | - | - | 4596 | - | 4596 |
| 1965 | 3441 | - | - | - | 3441 | - | 3441 |
| 1966 | 3857 | - | - | - | 3857 | - | 3857 |
| 1967 | 3245 | - | - | - | 3245 | - | 3245 |
| 1968 | 3859 | - | - | - | 3859 | - | 3859 |
| 1969 | 4810 | - | - | - | 4810 | - | 4810 |
| 1970 | 5454 | - | - | - | 5454 | - | 5454 |
| 1971 | 3990 | - | - | - | 3990 | - | 3990 |
| 1972 | 5525 | - | - | - | 5525 | - | 5525 |
| 1973 | 7040 | - | - | - | 7040 | - | 7040 |
| 1974 | 7100 | - | - | - | 7100 | - | 7100 |
| 1975 |  | 6460 | 322 | - | 6782 | - | 6782 |
| 1976 | - | 6012 | 300 | - | 6312 | - | 6312 |
| 1977 | - | 5069 | 222 | - | 5291 | - | 5291 |
| 1978 | - | 4554 | 162 | - | 4716 | - | 4716 |
| 1979 | - | 4758 | 36 | - | 4794 | - | 4794 |
| 1980 | - | 6036 | 71 | - | 6107 | - | 6107 |
| 1981 | - | 5908 | 182 | - | 6090 | - | 6090 |
| 1982 | - | 4392 | 298 | - | 4690 | - | 4690 |
| 1983 | - | 5566 | 342 | - | 5908 | - | 5908 |
| 1984 | - | 4485 | 198 | - | 4683 | - | 4683 |
| 1985 | - | 4281 | 312 | - | 4593 | - | 4593 |
| 1986 | - | 3968 | 367 | 99 | 4335 | - | 4335 |
| 1987 | - | 4937 | 460 | 64 | 5397 | 1767 | 7164 |
| 1988 | - | 5281 | 594 | 69 | 5875 | 4138 | 10013 |
| 1989 | - | 4253 | 582 | 77 | 4835 | 3007 | 7842 |
| 1990 | 1 | 4613 | 359 | 87 | 4972 | 644 | 5616 |
| 1991 | 1 | 4353 | 401 | 55 | 4754 | 1213 | * 5967 |
| 1992 | 0 | 5123 | 558 | 47 | 5681 | 1217 | 6897 |
| 1993 | 0 | 4577 | 532 | 49 | 5109 | 974 | 6084 |
| 1994 | 0 | 3721 | 371 | 27 | 4092 | 717 | 4809 |
| 1995 | 0 | 4073 | 380 | 14 | 4452 | 687 | 5139 |
| 1996 | 0 | 4034 | 84 | 15 | 4118 | 487 | 4606 |
| 1997 | 2 | 3450 | 147 | 41 | 3610 | 914 | 4523 |
| 1998 | 2 | 3565 | 300 | 40 | 3865 | 1453 | * 5318 |
| 1999 | 2 | 2873 | 337 | 26 | 3209 | 1092 | 4301 |
| 2000 | 0 | 2848 | 221 | 36 | 3069 | 1337 | 4406 |
| 2001 | 1 | 3421 | 309 | 22 | 3730 | 2628 | 6358 |
| 2002 | 2 | 3323 | 356 | 36 | 3679 | 2535 | 6214 |
| 2003 | 1 | 3564 | 322 | 49 | 3886 | 1977 | 5863 |
| 2004 | na | 3223 | 348 | 5 | 3571 | 1932 | * 5503 |
| 2005 | na | 3619 | 372 | na | 3991 | 2698 | 6689 |
| 2006 | na | 3026 | 420 | na | 3447 | 4544 | 7990 |
| 2007 | na | 2881 | 292 | na | 3176 | 2411 | 5587 |
| 2008 | na | 2774 | 256 | na | 3030 | 2123 | 5154 |
| 2009 | na | 2816 | 212 | na | 2987 | 1833 | 4820 |
| 2010 | na | 3153 | 245 | na | 3398 | 1275 | 4673 |
| 2011 | na | 3240 | 319 | na | 3559 | 1263 | $\times 4822$ |
| 2012 | na | 2290 | 230 | na | 2520 | 1013 | 3533 |
| 2013 | na | 2195 | 185 | na | 2380 | 1521 | 3900 |
| 2014 | na | 2699 | 108 | na | 2807 | 1326 | 4133 |
| 2015 | na | 3425 | 144 | na | 3569 | 1492 | 5061 |

(1) WG estimates
(2) landings from VIIIa and VIIIb aggregated until 1974
(3) outside FU 23-24

Table 11.2. Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) - Derivation and estimations of discards 1987 sampled
1988 from 1987's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability
1989 from 1987's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability
1990 from 1987's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1991 sampled
1992 from 1991's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1993 from 1991's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1994 from 1991's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1995 from 1991's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1996 from 1991's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1997 from 1991's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 1998 sampled
1999 from 1998's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 2000 from 1998's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 2001 from 1998's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 2002 from 1998's logistic function of sorting by quarter+density of probability 2003 sampled
2004 sampled
2005 sampled
2006 sampled
2007 sampled
2008 sampled
2009 sampled
2010 sampled
2011 sampled
2012 sampled
2013 sampled
2014 sampled

Table 11.3.a Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) landings length distributions in 1987-2000


Table 11.3.b Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) landings length distributions in 2001-2015

| Landings <br> CL mm/ | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 284 | 107 | 87 | 47 | 82 | 5 | 4 | 77 | 37 | 14 | 22 | 35 | 31 | 1 | 16 |
| 21 | 643 | 925 | 280 | 249 | 270 | 70 | 14 | 191 | 73 | 75 | 6 | 25 | 151 | 74 | 130 |
| 22 | 2116 | 1122 | 661 | 899 | 771 | 131 | 18 | 208 | 288 | 252 | 11 | 235 | 682 | 180 | 575 |
| 23 | 6261 | 5513 | 1614 | 2194 | 2588 | 227 | 48 | 322 | 473 | 386 | 111 | 334 | 1002 | 764 | 1121 |
| 24 | 8915 | 10061 | 3966 | 5664 | 6511 | 822 | 188 | 721 | 1929 | 1238 | 515 | 1399 | 3162 | 1836 | 2523 |
| 25 | 17106 | 12951 | 8164 | 10930 | 13678 | 2844 | 1201 | 2742 | 3670 | 3940 | 1803 | 3843 | 7873 | 4419 | 3478 |
| 26 | 13745 | 21403 | 13297 | 13998 | 17811 | 6376 | 5684 | 6319 | 8258 | 8499 | 4773 | 7875 | 13242 | 7910 | 6651 |
| 27 | 17098 | 19433 | 17614 | 16094 | 22006 | 12010 | 9439 | 10891 | 12759 | 14173 | 7520 | 11079 | 14926 | 12869 | 9702 |
| 28 | 15835 | 22074 | 18572 | 15350 | 21879 | 14647 | 13248 | 12640 | 15732 | 15390 | 8991 | 11920 | 13260 | 13788 | 14431 |
| 29 | 13779 | 16559 | 16843 | 14808 | 18027 | 14591 | 12516 | 12890 | 13524 | 15340 | 9602 | 11120 | 13397 | 14560 | 13726 |
| 30 | 16168 | 18105 | 17264 | 14143 | 15570 | 13690 | 12219 | 10726 | 13271 | 15736 | 8821 | 9636 | 10296 | 12662 | 13690 |
| 31 | 11316 | 9989 | 13345 | 12353 | 12634 | 11814 | 10698 | 9772 | 10859 | 12749 | 8253 | 8393 | 9137 | 11051 | 12456 |
| 32 | 11335 | 10284 | 11276 | 10322 | 9907 | 9694 | 9274 | 8845 | 9310 | 11366 | 6954 | 7414 | 7116 | 10354 | 12021 |
| 33 | 8250 | 7813 | 8253 | 8020 | 7800 | 8421 | 7859 | 7436 | 7086 | 8851 | 6175 | 6069 | 5558 | 6509 | 9882 |
| 34 | 6185 | 5308 | 6195 | 6298 | 6537 | 7112 | 6539 | 6425 | 5985 | 7140 | 5467 | 4505 | 4123 | 6657 | 7881 |
| 35 | 5213 | 4309 | 4653 | 4673 | 5100 | 5135 | 6529 | 5366 | 4568 | 5852 | 4541 | 3507 | 2783 | 4961 | 6122 |
| 36 | 4037 | 3157 | 3818 | 3308 | 3369 | 4104 | 4735 | 3867 | 3697 | 3626 | 4260 | 2649 | 1978 | 3264 | 5219 |
| 37 | 2901 | 2049 | 3075 | 2875 | 2597 | 3196 | 3839 | 3121 | 2565 | 3024 | 3648 | 1976 | 1472 | 2682 | 4511 |
| 38 | 2369 | 2224 | 2660 | 2098 | 2380 | 2662 | 2639 | 2398 | 1871 | 2247 | 3911 | 1563 | 998 | 1783 | 3311 |
| 39 | 2297 | 1559 | 2174 | 1683 | 1650 | 1956 | 2245 | 2043 | 1491 | 1630 | 3472 | 1314 | 936 | 1844 | 2726 |
| 40 | 1908 | 1398 | 1936 | 1555 | 1628 | 1599 | 1711 | 1633 | 1190 | 1280 | 3296 | 1103 | 518 | 843 | 2676 |
| 41 | 941 | 764 | 1423 | 1188 | 1154 | 1171 | 1227 | 1190 | 878 | 966 | 2740 | 878 | 438 | 669 | 1635 |
| 42 | 863 | 632 | 1403 | 889 | 953 | 990 | 1111 | 1015 | 742 | 742 | 2497 | 635 | 351 | 412 | 1284 |
| 43 | 530 | 640 | 1054 | 774 | 842 | 741 | 710 | 805 | 540 | 560 | 2157 | 558 | 320 | 343 | 883 |
| 44 | 383 | 432 | 810 | 707 | 640 | 633 | 746 | 706 | 473 | 509 | 1762 | 536 | 249 | 234 | 637 |
| 45 | 523 | 416 | 808 | 613 | 605 | 595 | 518 | 536 | 396 | 442 | 1177 | 478 | 177 | 206 | 467 |
| 46 | 294 | 328 | 535 | 485 | 415 | 479 | 373 | 405 | 307 | 305 | 1024 | 441 | 181 | 159 | 236 |
| 47 | 368 | 241 | 456 | 388 | 353 | 440 | 311 | 361 | 262 | 290 | 858 | 378 | 88 | 151 | 216 |
| 48 | 188 | 188 | 339 | 313 | 339 | 382 | 257 | 294 | 245 | 237 | 656 | 381 | 98 | 87 | 149 |
| 49 | 183 | 79 | 206 | 318 | 288 | 319 | 237 | 262 | 196 | 204 | 557 | 212 | 74 | 72 | 200 |
| 50 | 160 | 115 | 253 | 306 | 276 | 287 | 190 | 228 | 156 | 160 | 501 | 160 | 46 | 63 | 108 |
| 51 | 135 | 73 | 170 | 214 | 176 | 246 | 163 | 201 | 115 | 135 | 383 | 132 | 37 | 58 | 68 |
| 52 | 102 | 46 | 150 | 152 | 184 | 201 | 138 | 116 | 110 | 120 | 296 | 128 | 32 | 24 | 46 |
| 53 | 82 | 51 | 120 | 111 | 142 | 137 | 140 | 121 | 98 | 97 | 198 | 96 | 24 | 42 | 33 |
| 54 | 40 | 20 | 80 | 90 | 104 | 156 | 115 | 95 | 63 | 95 | 271 | 93 | 17 | 18 | 29 |
| 55 | 53 | 30 | 57 | 47 | 109 | 137 | 79 | 73 | 75 | 79 | 152 | 58 | 15 | 11 | 26 |
| 56 | 24 | 13 | 23 | 86 | 69 | 117 | 60 | 67 | 54 | 75 | 132 | 46 | 8 | 5 | 15 |
| 57 | 46 | 6 | 47 | 49 | 58 | 134 | 70 | 41 | 31 | 67 | 98 | 48 | 22 | 10 | 18 |
| 58 | 29 | 6 | 22 | 27 | 43 | 134 | 45 | 40 | 48 | 47 | 105 | 52 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| 59 | 26 | 3 | 10 | 32 | 41 | 85 | 33 | 19 | 23 | 48 | 79 | 33 | 12 | 3 | 3 |
| 60 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 19 | 115 | 33 | 23 | 14 | 42 | 48 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 61 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 28 | 40 | 23 | 7 | 8 | 30 | 39 | 15 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 62 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 55 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 63 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 66 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 172819 | 180442 | 163771 | 154405 | 179758 | 128777 | 117273 | 115274 | 123504 | 138120 | 108011 | 101424 | 114853 | 121594 | 138920 |
| Weights | 3730 | 3679 | 3886 | 3571 | 3991 | 3447 | 3176 | 3030 | 2987 | 3398 | 3559 | 2520 | 2380 | 2807 | 3569 |

Table 11.3.c Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) discards length distributions in 1987-2000.


Table 11.3.d Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) discards length distributions in 2001-2015.



Table 11.3.f Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) catches length distributions in 2001-2015.


Table 11.3.g Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIIa,b) removals length distributions in 1987-2000.


Table 11.3.h Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscay (VIIIa,b) removals length distributions in 2001-2015.

| Removals=Landings+dead catches (discard survival rate : 30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CL mm/ | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| 10 | 665 | 888 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 939 | 1272 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 119 | 27 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 1323 | 1818 | 49 | 254 | 289 | 49 | 142 | 69 | 56 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 13 | 1858 | 2587 | 206 | 1205 | 760 | 164 | 85 | 164 | 124 | 68 | 417 | 372 | 0 | 20 | 118 |
| 14 | 2599 | 3663 | 445 | 2206 | 2233 | 797 | 630 | 272 | 204 | 58 | 584 | 466 | 160 | 71 | 396 |
| 15 | 3615 | 5148 | 839 | 3883 | 5101 | 2171 | 902 | 132 | 810 | 108 | 658 | 998 | 609 | 196 | 833 |
| 16 | 4988 | 7159 | 2370 | 4749 | 9469 | 5467 | 2072 | 719 | 1621 | 575 | 861 | 3181 | 919 | 910 | 1431 |
| 17 | 6812 | 9819 | 4169 | 6193 | 10565 | 8158 | 2545 | 1282 | 2141 | 933 | 1701 | 3316 | 2925 | 1153 | 2019 |
| 18 | 9190 | 13226 | 5669 | 7112 | 13882 | 11302 | 3216 | 1851 | 3390 | 1616 | 2541 | 5646 | 2360 | 1966 | 3112 |
| 19 | 12186 | 17418 | 8055 | 12167 | 13692 | 18124 | 3671 | 4531 | 4540 | 2472 | 3183 | 5617 | 6116 | 2676 | 4785 |
| 20 | 16022 | 22430 | 8586 | 13522 | 15668 | 27825 | 6118 | 8087 | 8973 | 3998 | 5081 | 7122 | 6809 | 4521 | 5491 |
| 21 | 20521 | 28666 | 13298 | 18377 | 22957 | 38024 | 8123 | 11131 | 11813 | 5465 | 7281 | 8527 | 10848 | 6510 | 6411 |
| 22 | 36769 | 18385 | 15653 | 18546 | 25636 | 49040 | 12569 | 17519 | 13379 | 8434 | 10623 | 10058 | 15114 | 8079 | 6979 |
| 23 | 44635 | 39420 | 21514 | 20924 | 30617 | 49293 | 16909 | 19614 | 15659 | 9957 | 9797 | 9367 | 19403 | 11355 | 9196 |
| 24 | 33059 | 37486 | 22517 | 20604 | 31906 | 39608 | 21619 | 21468 | 18803 | 13113 | 11400 | 11821 | 18387 | 11636 | 12587 |
| 25 | 38397 | 28940 | 24412 | 24990 | 34834 | 39706 | 24243 | 22348 | 18185 | 14209 | 13385 | 11454 | 20349 | 17054 | 14336 |
| 26 | 21541 | 33574 | 25447 | 22402 | 31113 | 33545 | 24847 | 22508 | 18202 | 16796 | 11806 | 13298 | 20373 | 16273 | 15738 |
| 27 | 21536 | 25081 | 24390 | 20599 | 27955 | 26097 | 23835 | 17982 | 19191 | 20163 | 13209 | 14092 | 18733 | 18578 | 16200 |
| 28 | 17695 | 24964 | 22903 | 17791 | 25101 | 21831 | 20503 | 16765 | 19881 | 19579 | 11231 | 12563 | 15237 | 17306 | 18834 |
| 29 | 14607 | 17605 | 18619 | 16289 | 18868 | 17523 | 15641 | 15148 | 15738 | 17692 | 11061 | 11531 | 14899 | 16181 | 16861 |
| 30 | 16633 | 18718 | 18387 | 15474 | 16690 | 15495 | 14227 | 12072 | 15553 | 17049 | 10229 | 10111 | 10957 | 13832 | 16260 |
| 31 | 11475 | 10138 | 14274 | 13134 | 13626 | 12590 | 11619 | 10419 | 12135 | 13641 | 9126 | 8480 | 9783 | 11935 | 13540 |
| 32 | 11414 | 10367 | 11677 | 10836 | 10276 | 10108 | 9790 | 9163 | 9898 | 11867 | 7299 | 7554 | 7595 | 11391 | 12954 |
| 33 | 8283 | 7844 | 8472 | 8372 | 8007 | 8802 | 8197 | 7731 | 7556 | 9096 | 6361 | 6078 | 5814 | 6777 | 10333 |
| 34 | 6198 | 5323 | 6377 | 6568 | 6924 | 7400 | 6915 | 7142 | 6566 | 7332 | 5657 | 4606 | 4469 | 6961 | 8584 |
| 35 | 5218 | 4314 | 4776 | 4970 | 5282 | 5297 | 6714 | 5511 | 4801 | 6021 | 4663 | 3524 | 2946 | 5049 | 6203 |
| 36 | 4040 | 3160 | 3897 | 3384 | 3401 | 4155 | 4971 | 3921 | 3835 | 3665 | 4301 | 2651 | 2159 | 3537 | 5345 |
| 37 | 2902 | 2050 | 3133 | 2927 | 2770 | 3214 | 4048 | 3228 | 2696 | 3138 | 3753 | 2078 | 1563 | 2713 | 4712 |
| 38 | 2370 | 2225 | 2725 | 2120 | 2461 | 2731 | 2667 | 2463 | 2059 | 2258 | 3978 | 1611 | 1055 | 1833 | 3461 |
| 39 | 2298 | 1560 | 2184 | 1780 | 1753 | 1956 | 2246 | 2301 | 1529 | 1652 | 3489 | 1314 | 959 | 2006 | 2761 |
| 40 | 1908 | 1399 | 1962 | 1606 | 1654 | 1717 | 1744 | 1633 | 1237 | 1306 | 3313 | 1106 | 518 | 929 | 2796 |
| 41 | 941 | 764 | 1447 | 1230 | 1168 | 1171 | 1255 | 1190 | 884 | 969 | 2740 | 878 | 438 | 674 | 1658 |
| 42 | 863 | 632 | 1406 | 897 | 975 | 990 | 1125 | 1053 | 742 | 745 | 2607 | 635 | 351 | 412 | 1672 |
| 43 | 530 | 641 | 1064 | 783 | 842 | 741 | 718 | 805 | 567 | 560 | 2160 | 561 | 320 | 449 | 1033 |
| 44 | 383 | 432 | 810 | 715 | 640 | 633 | 746 | 706 | 483 | 514 | 1762 | 536 | 249 | 234 | 644 |
| 45 | 523 | 416 | 817 | 613 | 605 | 620 | 518 | 536 | 396 | 442 | 1181 | 478 | 177 | 206 | 493 |
| 46 | 294 | 328 | 535 | 485 | 415 | 479 | 373 | 405 | 307 | 310 | 1024 | 441 | 181 | 159 | 254 |
| 47 | 368 | 241 | 456 | 388 | 353 | 440 | 311 | 361 | 262 | 290 | 863 | 378 | 88 | 156 | 216 |
| 48 | 188 | 188 | 339 | 313 | 339 | 382 | 257 | 294 | 251 | 237 | 656 | 381 | 124 | 87 | 149 |
| 49 | 183 | 79 | 206 | 318 | 288 | 319 | 237 | 262 | 196 | 204 | 557 | 212 | 74 | 72 | 213 |
| 50 | 160 | 115 | 253 | 306 | 276 | 287 | 198 | 228 | 156 | 160 | 501 | 160 | 46 | 63 | 108 |
| 51 | 135 | 73 | 170 | 214 | 176 | 246 | 163 | 201 | 115 | 135 | 383 | 132 | 37 | 58 | 68 |
| 52 | 102 | 46 | 150 | 152 | 184 | 201 | 138 | 116 | 110 | 120 | 296 | 128 | 32 | 24 | 46 |
| 53 | 82 | 51 | 120 | 111 | 142 | 137 | 140 | 121 | 98 | 97 | 198 | 96 | 24 | 42 | 33 |
| 54 | 40 | 20 | 80 | 90 | 104 | 156 | 115 | 95 | 63 | 95 | 271 | 93 | 17 | 18 | 29 |
| 55 | 53 | 30 | 57 | 47 | 109 | 137 | 79 | 73 | 75 | 79 | 152 | 58 | 15 | 11 | 26 |
| 56 | 24 | 13 | 23 | 86 | 69 | 117 | 60 | 67 | 54 | 75 | 132 | 46 | 8 | 5 | 15 |
| 57 | 46 | 6 | 47 | 49 | 58 | 134 | 70 | 41 | 31 | 67 | 98 | 48 | 22 | 10 | 18 |
| 58 | 29 | 6 | 22 | 27 | 43 | 134 | 45 | 68 | 48 | 47 | 105 | 52 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| 59 | 26 | 3 | 10 | 32 | 41 | 85 | 33 | 19 | 23 | 48 | 79 | 33 | 12 | 3 | 3 |
| 60 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 19 | 115 | 33 | 23 | 14 | 42 | 48 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 61 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 28 | 40 | 23 | 7 | 8 | 30 | 39 | 15 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 62 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 55 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 63 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 66 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 386702 | 410743 | 305060 | 309877 | 400500 | 469879 | 267624 | 253896 | 245640 | 217590 | 193133 | 183978 | 223293 | 204145 | 229018 |
| Weights | 5506 | 5513 | 5270 | 4923 | 5880 | 6627 | 4864 | 4517 | 4270 | 4290 | 4443 | 3229 | 3444 | 3735 | 4613 |

Table 11.4. Nephrops in FUs 23-24 Bay of Biscav (VIIla,b). Effort and LPUE values of commercial fleets.
Sub-area VIII a,b

|  | Le Guilvinec District Quarter 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Landings(t) | Effort(100h) | LPUE(Kg/h) |
| 1987 | 603 | 437 | 13.8 |
| 1988 | 777 | 471 | 16.5 |
| 1989 | 862 | 664 | 13.0 |
| 1990 | 801 | 708 | 11.3 |
| 1991 | 717 | 728 | 9.8 |
| 1992 | 841 | 757 | 11.1 |
| 1993 | 805 | 735 | 11.0 |
| 1994 | 690 | 671 | 10.3 |
| 1995 | 609 | 627 | 9.7 |
| 1996 | 715 | 598 | 12.0 |
| 1997 | 638 | 539 | 11.8 |
| 1998 | 622 | 489 | 12.7 |
| 1999 | 505 | 423 | 11.9 |
| 2000 | 438 | 405 | 10.8 |
| 2001 | 697 | 417 | 16.7 |
| 2002 | 527 | 371 | 14.2 |
| 2003 | 487 | 355 | 13.7 |
| 2004 | 410 | 321 | 12.7 |
| 2005 | 455 | 335 | 13.6 |
| 2006 | 414 | 306 | 13.5 |
| 2007 | 401 | 291 | 13.8 |
| 2008 | 410 | 271 | 15.1 |
| 2009 | 384 | 279 | 13.8 |
| 2010 | 471 | 253 | 18.6 |
| 2011 | 422 | 279 | 15.1 |
| 2012 | 348 | 229 | 15.2 |
| 2013 | 288 | 224 | 12.8 |
| 2014 | 252 | 198 | 12.7 |
| 2015 | 451 | 231 | 19.5 |
|  |  |  |  |








Figure 11.1. Nephrops in FUs 23-24 bay of Biscay (VIIIa,b) catches (landings in white and discards in black) length distributions in 1987-2015.

Figure 11.2. Nephrops in FUs 23-24 bay of Biscay (VIIla,b) - mean length of landings, discards and catı


Figure 11.3. Nephrops in FUs 23-24 bay of Biscay (VIlla,b) - Effort and LPUE values of standardised commercial fleets.
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## 12 Nephrops in Divisions 8.c, FUs 25,31 (Norway lobster)

The ICES Division 8.c includes two Nephrops Functional Units: FU 25, North Galicia and FU 31, Cantabrian Sea.

### 12.1 Nephrops FU 25 (North Galicia)

### 12.1.1 General

### 12.1.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See Annex K
12.1.1.2 Fishery description

See Annex K
12.1.1.3 Summary of ICES Advice for 2016 and management applicable to 2015 and 2016

ICES advice for 2016
The advice for these Nephrops stocks is biennial and valid for 2015 and 2016.
ICES advises on the basis of the precautionary considerations that there should be directed fishery and bycatch should be minimized.

To protect the stock in this Functional Unit, ICES advices that management area should be consistent with the assess area. Therefore, management should be implemented at the Functional Unit level.

## Management applicable to 2015 and 2016

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks has been in force since the end of January 2006. The aim of the recovery plan is to rebuild the stocks within 10 years, with a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relatively to the previous year and the TAC set accordingly (Council Regulation (EC) No. 2166/2005). TACs of 60 t and 46 t were set for the whole of Division 8.c for 2015 and 2016, respectively.

A Fishing Plan for the Northwest Cantabrian ground was established in 2013 (AAA/1307/2013). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the quotas by vessel including Nephrops.

### 12.1.2 Data

### 12.1.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Up to 2010, in previous years landings have been estimated by the WG based on IEO scientific estimations. The information was compiled by IEO from sale sheets and Owners Associations where the Nephrops landings allocation was carried out based on landing port criteria. Since 2011, the Spanish Authority for Fisheries (Secretaría General de Pesca, SGP) who is also the National Authority for the Data Collection Framework established a new policy and general approach in the provision of official data on catches and fishing effort. So, since 2011 Nephrops landings are official landings.

Unlike the IEO scientific estimates, official landings are derived from logbooks. This source of information allows the landings disaggregation by ICES statistical rectangles. In WGHMM 2013 was noticed that some Nephrops catches were recorded into statistical
rectangles outside the FU 25 definition. In 2012 and 2013 Nephrops catches recorded into statistical rectangles outside this FU were considered as part of the landings in FU 25. In 2014 Spanish landings of Nephrops have been uploaded to InterCatch broken down by ICES statistical rectangle for first time according to the 2014 ICES Data Call requirements. However, only were uploaded to Intercatch 83.7\% of 2014 landings which were recorded inside ICES statistical rectangles defined as FU 31 (WD № 3, Castro, 2015). In 2015, all catches were into FU 25 definition.

Landings were reported only by Spain. Since the early 90s landings declined from about $400 t$ to less than $100 t$ in 2003. In the period 2004-2015, landings show a continuous decreasing trend up to 9 t in 2014 (Table 12.1.1). Landings increase up to 14 t in 2015. The time-series of the commercial landings (Figure 12.1.1) shows a clear declining trend, with present values representing approximately less than $1 \%$ of the landings in the 70s. Information on discards was sent to the WG through InterCatch. There are no discards in this functional unit.

### 12.1.2.2 Biological sampling

Length frequencies by sex of the Nephrops landings are collected as a rule on a monthly basis. The sampling levels are showed in Table 1.3.

Annual length compositions for males and females combined, mean size and mean weight in the landings in the time-series are given in Tables 12.1.2a and 12.1.2b for the period 1982-1999 and 2000-2015, respectively. Length frequency distributions for the time-series are presented in two figures too (Figure 12.1.3a for the period 1982-2007 and Figure 12.1.3b for the period 2008-2015).

Mean sizes in the landings shows an increasing trend in the time-series in both sexes. The maximum value was recorder in 2009, reaching 48.5 and 45.1 mm CL for males and females, respectively. However, decreasing trend was observed from 2010 to 2015 (Figure 12.1.1). In 2015, the mean size in females was 36.1 mm of carapace length while 37.9 mm for males.

### 12.1.2.3 Commercial catch-effort data

Fishing effort and LPUE data were available for the A Coruña trawl fleet (SPCORUTR8c) from 1975 (Table 12.1.3 and Figure 12.1.1). The method to estimate the effort has changed since 2009. Before this date the effort series (SP-CORUTR8c) was estimated using a different fleet segmentation. Since implementation of the current DCF sampling program (EC, 2008), the Northwester Spanish OTB fleet was split into two different métiers: OTB_DEF_>55_0_0 (trips targeting demersal fish that include Nephrops) and OTB_MPD_>55_0_0 (trips targeting pelagic fish accompanied by demersal fish). In 2014 WG were presented a revision of the 2009-2014 effort and LPUE series in FU 25 using only the demersal métier OTB_DEF_>55_0_0 and they have been renamed as SP-LCGOTBDEF (WD № 4, Castro \& Morlan, 2014). As a consequence it must be noted that the method uses to calculate the LPUE of SP-LCGOTBDEF is not consistent across the period as shown in Figure 12.1.1.

The available time-series of effort (Figure 12.1.1) shows a continuous decreasing trend. The lowest effort was observed in 2011, representing approximately $15 \%$ of fishing effort in the 70's. In 2012-2014 period, effort increased but decreased again in 2015. In general, effort remains at very low level in the last decade. Effort of the bottom trawl in this fishery is directed primarily at a set of demersal and bottom species, with Nephrops making only a small contribution to the whole landings.

The overall trend of LPUE is declining too (Figure 12.1.1). After a period quite variable at the beginning of the time-series, LPUE remained relatively stable at around 40 $\mathrm{kg} /$ trip between 1993-1997. Since then, LPUE has fluctuated at low levels but shows a decreasing trend up to 2014, the lowest value recorded in the time-series ( $4.5 \mathrm{Kg} /$ trip $)$. In 2015, the LPUE value increases slightly up to $9.3 \mathrm{Kg} /$ trip.

### 12.1.3 Assessment

According to the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is considered as category 3.1.4 (ICES, 2012). FU 25 is assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend, as was done in 2014. The results in this year indicate an extremely low abundance level.

### 12.1.4 Biological reference points

Proxies of MSY reference points were defined using the methods developed in WKLIFE and WKProxy (ICES, 2015, 2016d). Fo.1, taken as proxy of Fmsy, from lengthbased analysis for the period 1986-2014 was 0.17 for both sexes combined but the value of MSY Btrigger proxy is not available.

### 12.1.5 Management Considerations

Nephrops is taken as by catch in the mixed bottom fishery. The overall trend in landings of Nephrops from the North Galicia (FU25) is strongly declining. Landings have dramatically decreased since the beginning of the series (1975-2014), representing less $1 \%$ of the landings.

A recovery plan for southern hake and Atlantic Iberian Nephrops stocks was approved in December 2005 (Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005) and implemented since January 2006. The management objective is to rebuild the stock to safe biological limits within a period of 10 years. This recovery plan includes a procedure for setting the TACs for Nephrops stocks, complemented by a system of fishing effort limitation (a reduction of $10 \%$ in the fishing mortality rate in the year of its application as compared with the fishing mortality rate estimated for the preceding year, within the limits of $\pm 15 \%$ of the preceding year TAC).

A Fishing Plan for the Northwest Cantabrian ground was established in 2013 (AAA/1307/2013). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the quotas by vessel including Nephrops.

Table 12.1.1. Nephrops FU25, North Galicia. Landings in tonnes.

| Year | Trawl | Unallocated | Total FU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 731 |  | 731 |
| 1976 | 559 |  | 559 |
| 1977 | 667 |  | 667 |
| 1978 | 690 |  | 690 |
| 1979 | 475 |  | 475 |
| 1980 | 412 |  | 412 |
| 1981 | 318 |  | 318 |
| 1982 | 431 |  | 431 |
| 1983 | 433 |  | 433 |
| 1984 | 515 |  | 515 |
| 1985 | 477 |  | 477 |
| 1986 | 364 |  | 364 |
| 1987 | 412 |  | 412 |
| 1988 | 445 |  | 445 |
| 1989 | 376 |  | 376 |
| 1990 | 285 |  | 285 |
| 1991 | 453 |  | 453 |
| 1992 | 428 |  | 428 |
| 1993 | 274 |  | 274 |
| 1994 | 245 |  | 245 |
| 1995 | 273 |  | 273 |
| 1996 | 209 |  | 209 |
| 1997 | 219 |  | 219 |
| 1998 | 103 |  | 103 |
| 1999 | 124 |  | 124 |
| 2000 | 81 |  | 81 |
| 2001 | 147 |  | 147 |
| 2002 | 143 |  | 143 |
| 2003 | 89 |  | 89 |
| 2004 | 75 |  | 75 |
| 2005 | 63 |  | 63 |
| 2006 | 62 |  | 62 |
| 2007 | 67 |  | 67 |
| 2008 | 39 |  | 39 |
| 2009 | 21 |  | 21 |
| 2010 | 34 |  | 34 |
| 2011 | 44 |  | 44 |
| 2012 | 10 | 11 | 21 |
| 2013 | 10 |  | 10 |
| 2014 | 9 |  | 9 |
| 2015 | 14 |  | 14 |

Table 12.1.2a. Nephrops FU25, North Galicia. Length compositions of landings of landings, mean weight ( Kg ) and mean length (CL, mm) for the period 1982-1999.


Table 12.1.2b. Nephrops FU25, North Galicia. Length compositions of landings of landings, mean weight ( Kg ) and mean length (CL, mm) for the period 2000-2015.


Table 12.1.3. Nephrops FU 25: North Galicia. Fishing effort and LPUE.

| Year | Landings (t) | Effort (trips) |  | LPUE (kg/trip) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SP-CORUTR8C | SP-LCOTBDEF | SP-CORUTR8C | SP-LCOTBDEF |
| 1986 | 302 | 5017 |  | 60.1 |  |
| 1987 | 356 | 4266 |  | 83.5 |  |
| 1988 | 371 | 5246 |  | 70.7 |  |
| 1989 | 297 | 5753 |  | 51.7 |  |
| 1990 | 199 | 5710 |  | 34.9 |  |
| 1991 | 334 | 5135 |  | 65.1 |  |
| 1992 | 351 | 5127 |  | 68.5 |  |
| 1993 | 229 | 5829 |  | 39.2 |  |
| 1994 | 207 | 5216 |  | 39.6 |  |
| 1995 | 233 | 5538 |  | 42.0 |  |
| 1996 | 182 | 4911 |  | 37.0 |  |
| 1997 | 187 | 4850 |  | 38.5 |  |
| 1998 | 67 | 4560 |  | 14.7 |  |
| 1999 | 121 | 4023 |  | 30.1 |  |
| 2000 | 77 | 3547 |  | 21.7 |  |
| 2001 | 145 | 3239 |  | 44.8 |  |
| 2002 | 115 | 2333 |  | 49.5 |  |
| 2003 | 65 | 1804 |  | 35.9 |  |
| 2004 | 40 | 2091 |  | 18.9 |  |
| 2005 | 32 | 2063 |  | 15.5 |  |
| 2006 | 33 | 1699 |  | 19.4 |  |
| 2007 | 37 | 2075 |  | 17.8 |  |
| 2008 | 21 | 2128 |  | 9.9 |  |
| 2009 | 11 |  | 1355 |  | 8.3 |
| 2010 | 22 |  | 1164 |  | 18.6 |
| 2011 | 35 |  | 906 |  | 38.4 |
| 2012 | 10 |  | 1460 |  | 6.8 |
| 2013 | 8 |  | 1582 |  | 5.3 |
| 2014 | 8 |  | 1869 |  | 4.5 |
| 2015 | 13 |  | 1358 |  | 9.3 |



Figure 12.1.1. Nephrops FU25, North Galicia. Long-term trends in landings, effort, LPUE and mean sizes.


Figure 12.1.2a. Nephrops FU25, North Galicia. Length distributions in landings for 1982-2007 period.


Figure 12.1.2b. Nephrops FU25, North Galicia. Length distributions in landings for the period 20082015.

### 12.2 Nephrops FU 31 (Cantabrian Sea)

### 12.2.1 General

### 12.2.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See Annex K

### 12.2.1.2 Fishery description

See Annex K
12.2.1.3 Summary of ICES Advice for 2016 and management applicable to 2015 and 2016

## ICES advice for 2016

The advice for these Nephrops stocks is biennial and valid for 2015 and 2016.
ICES advises on the basis of the precautionary considerations that there should be no directed fishery and bycatch should be minimized.

To protect the stock in this Functional Unit, ICES advices that management area should be consistent with the assessment area. Therefore, management should be implemented at the Functional Unit level.

## Management applicable to 2014 and 2015

TACs of 60 and 46 t were set for the whole of Division 8c for 2015 and 2016, respectively. A fishing effort limitation is also applicable in accordance with the southern hake and Nephrops recovery plan.

### 12.2.2 Data

### 12.2.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Up to 2010, landings have been estimated by the WG based on IEO scientific estimations. The information was compiled by IEO from sale sheets and Owners Associations where the Nephrops landings allocation was carried out based on landing port criteria. Since 2011, the Spanish Authority for Fisheries (Secretaría General de Pesca, SGP) who is also the National Authority for the Data Collection Framework established a new policy and general approach in the provision of official data on catches and fishing effort. So, since 2011 Nephrops landings are official landings.

Unlike the IEO scientific estimates, official landings are derived from logbooks. This source of information allows the landings disaggregation by ICES statistical rectangles. In WGHMM 2013 was noticed that some Nephrops catches were recorded into statistical rectangles outside the FU 31 definition. In 2012 and 2013 Nephrops catches recorded into statistical rectangles outside this FU were considered as part of the landings in FU 31. In 2014 Spanish landings of Nephrops have been uploaded to InterCatch broken down by ICES statistical rectangle for first time according to the 2014 ICES Data Call requirements. However, only were uploaded to InterCatch $77.4 \%$ of 2014 landings which were recorded inside ICES statistical rectangles defined as FU 31 (WD № 3 Castro, 2015). In 2015, all catches were into FU 31 definition.

Nephrops landings from FU 31 are reported by Spain (the only participant in the fishery) (Table 12.2.1 and Figure 12.2.1) and are available for the period 1983-2015. The highest
landings were recorded in 1989 and 1990, with 177 t and 174 t , respectively. Since 1996 landings have declined sharply from 129 t up to 4 t in 2015.

### 12.2.2.2 Biological sampling

Length frequencies by sex of Nephrops landings were collected by the biological sampling programme. The sampling levels are shown in Table 1.3.

Mean size of males and females in the landings fluctuated during 1988-2015 (Figure 12.2.1). Data show a general increasing trend for both sexes to 2009 (Figure 12.2.1), where it was recorded the highest values (males with 55.8 mm and females with 45.9 $\mathrm{mm} C L)$. In 2011 the mean carapace length decreased in relation to the previous year, but a new increase of the mean size was observed in 2013. Mean size in 2014 and 2015 declined recording values of 45.9 mm CL for males and 43.4 mm CL for females in the last year.

### 12.2.2.3 Commercial catch-effort data

The fishing effort and LPUE dataseries includes three bottom-trawl fleets operating in the Cantabrian Sea with home harbours in Avilés, Santander and Gijón. In last years, the information of the different fleets is intermittent, although Santander dataseries is the largest (up to 2013). A new effort series including the Santander, Avilés and Gijón effort together from 2009 to 2014 are presented in this WG. In order to standardize the effort units in Division 8c, the new effort series is expressed in trips.

The available old time-series of effort shows a period of relative stability from the early 1980s to the beginning of the 1990s. Since 1992, effort shows a marked downward trend (Figure 12.2.1) with the lowest value recorded in 2005 ( 364 fishing days corresponding to Santander fleet). The increase in the use of other gears (HVO and pair trawl) resulted in the reduction in effort by the baca trawl fleet, the only gear fishing for Nephrops. After a slight increase in 2006 and 2007, fishing effort declined again and it has remained at low levels in the last five years. The new effort series (Santander+Avilés+Gijón) from 2009-2014 (expressed in trips) shows an increasing trend since 2010, ranging between 850 trips to 1083 trips (Figure 12.2.1). The Santander LPUE series shows fluctuations around the general downward trend (Figure 12.2.1). The LPUE reached the lowest value of the time-series in 2013 ( $2.3 \mathrm{Kg} /$ fishing days), last available data. The new LPUE series (Santander+Avilés+Gijón) shows a decreasing trend in the time-series suggesting an extremely low Nephrops abundance in FU 31.

### 12.2.3 Assessment

According to the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is considered as category 3.1.4 (ICES, 2012). FU 31 is assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend, as was done in 2014. .This year's results indicate stock is at a very low abundance level.

### 12.2.4 Biological reference points

Proxies of MSY reference points were defined using the methods developed in WKLIFE and WKProxy (ICES, 2015, 2016d). F0.1, taken as proxy of FmsY, from lengthbased analysis for the period 2001-2014 was 0.28 for males and 0.47 for females but the value of MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ proxy is not available.

### 12.2.5 Management considerations

Nephrops is taken as bycatch in the mixed bottom fishery. The overall trend in landings of Nephrops from the Cantabrian Sea is strongly declining. Landings have dramatically
decreased since the beginning of the series (1983-2014), representing less $1 \%$ of the landings.

A recovery plan for southern hake and Atlantic Iberian Nephrops stocks including a fishing effort reduction was implemented and enforced in 2006.

A Fishing Plan for the Northwest Cantabrian ground was established in 2013 (AAA/1307/2013). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the quotas by vessel including Nephrops.

Table 12.2.1. Nephrops FU31, Cantabrian Sea. Landings in tonnes.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Trawl | Creel | Total |
| 1983 | 63 |  | 63 |
| 1984 | 100 |  | 100 |
| 1985 | 128 |  | 128 |
| 1986 | 127 |  | 127 |
| 1987 | 118 |  | 118 |
| 1988 | 151 |  | 151 |
| 1989 | 177 |  | 177 |
| 1990 | 174 |  | 174 |
| 1991 | 105 | 4 | 109 |
| 1992 | 92 | 2 | 94 |
| 1993 | 95 | 6 | 101 |
| 1994 | 146 | 2 | 148 |
| 1995 | 90 | 4 | 94 |
| 1996 | 120 | 9 | 129 |
| 1997 | 97 | 1 | 98 |
| 1998 | 69 | 3 | 72 |
| 1999 | 46 | 2 | 48 |
| 2000 | 33 | 1 | 34 |
| 2001 | 26 | 1 | 27 |
| 2002 | 25 | 1 | 26 |
| 2003 | 21 | 1 | 22 |
| 2004 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 2005 | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 2006 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 2007 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| 2008 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| 2009 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 2010 | 8 | 0 | 9 |
| 2011 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 2012 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 2013 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 2014 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 2015 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 |  |



Figure 12.2.1. Nephrops FU31, Cantabrian Sea. Long-term trends in landings, effort, LPUE and mean sizes.

### 12.3 Summary for Division 8.c

Nephrops in Division 8.c includes two FUs (North Galicia, FU 25 and Cantabrian Sea, FU 31). Table 12.3.1 shows the landings in Division 8.c. Landings from both FUs have declined dramatically. Landings in Division 8.c were below the TAC in recent years, and therefore the TAC has not been restrictive.

The very low levels of landings from FU 25 and FU 31 and the decreasing LPUE trends to 2015 indicate that both stocks are in very poor condition.

A recovery plan for southern hake and Atlantic Iberian Nephrops stocks was approved in December 2005 (Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005) and implemented since January 2006. This recovery plan includes a procedure for setting the TACs for Nephrops stocks, complemented by a system of fishing effort limitation (a reduction of $10 \%$ in the fishing mortality rate in the year of its application as compared with the fishing mortality rate estimated for the preceding year, within the limits of $\pm 15 \%$ of the preceding year TAC). ICES has not evaluated the recovery plan.

Table 12.3.1. Nephrops in Division 8.c. Landings by FU (tonnes).

| Year | FU 25 | FU 31 | Unallocated | DIVISION VIIIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 731 |  |  | 731 |
| 1976 | 559 |  |  | 559 |
| 1977 | 667 |  |  | 667 |
| 1978 | 690 |  |  | 690 |
| 1979 | 475 |  |  | 475 |
| 1980 | 412 |  |  | 412 |
| 1981 | 318 |  |  | 318 |
| 1982 | 431 |  |  | 431 |
| 1983 | 433 | 63 |  | 496 |
| 1984 | 515 | 100 |  | 615 |
| 1985 | 477 | 128 |  | 605 |
| 1986 | 364 | 127 |  | 491 |
| 1987 | 412 | 118 |  | 530 |
| 1988 | 445 | 151 |  | 596 |
| 1989 | 376 | 177 |  | 553 |
| 1990 | 285 | 174 |  | 459 |
| 1991 | 453 | 109 |  | 562 |
| 1992 | 428 | 94 |  | 522 |
| 1993 | 274 | 101 |  | 375 |
| 1994 | 245 | 148 |  | 393 |
| 1995 | 273 | 94 |  | 367 |
| 1996 | 209 | 129 |  | 338 |
| 1997 | 219 | 98 |  | 317 |
| 1998 | 103 | 72 |  | 175 |
| 1999 | 124 | 48 |  | 172 |
| 2000 | 81 | 34 |  | 115 |
| 2001 | 147 | 27 |  | 174 |
| 2002 | 143 | 26 |  | 169 |
| 2003 | 89 | 22 |  | 111 |
| 2004 | 75 | 17 |  | 92 |
| 2005 | 63 | 14 |  | 77 |
| 2006 | 62 | 15 |  | 77 |
| 2007 | 67 | 19 |  | 86 |
| 2008 | 39 | 19 |  | 58 |
| 2009 | 21 | 6 |  | 27 |
| 2010 | 34 | 8 |  | 42 |
| 2011 | 44 | 7 |  | 51 |
| 2012 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 31 |
| 2013 | 10 | 10 |  | 20 |
| 2014 | 9 | 4 |  | 13 |
| 2015 | 14 | 4 |  | 18 |

## 13 Nephrops in Division 9.a (Norway lobster)

The ICES Division 9.a has five Nephrops Functional Units: FU 26, West Galicia; FU 27 North Portugal; FU 28, Alentejo, Southwest Portugal; FU 29, Algarve, South Portugal and FU 30, Gulf of Cádiz.

### 13.1 Nephrops in FU 26-27 (West Galicia and North Portugal)

### 13.1.1 General

### 13.1.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See Annex L

### 13.1.1.2 Fishery description

See Annex L
13.1.2 Summary of ICES Advice for 2016 and management applicable to 2015
and 2016

## ICES advice for 2016

The advice for these Nephrops stocks is biennial and valid for 2015 and 2016
ICES advises on the basis of the precautionary considerations that there should be no directed fishery and bycatch should be minimized.

To protect the stock in this Functional Unit, ICES advices that management area should be consistent with the assess area. Therefore, management should be implemented at the Functional Unit level.

## Management applicable to 2015 and 2016

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks has been in force since the end of January 2006. The aim of the recovery plan is to rebuild the stocks within 10 years, with a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relative to the previous year and the TAC set accordingly (Council Regulation (EC) No. 2166/2005).

In order to reduce F on Nephrops stocks in this Division even further, a seasonal ban was introduced in the trawl and creel fishery for two boxes, located in FU 26 and 28, in the peak of the Nephrops fishing season. These boxes are closed for Nephrops fishing in June-August and in May-August, respectively.

ICES has not evaluated the current recovery plan for Nephrops in relation to the precautionary approach.

The TAC set for the whole Division 9.a was 254 t for 2015 and 320 t for 2016, respectively, of which no more than $6 \%$ may be taken in FUs 26 and 27. The maximum number of fishing days per vessel was fixed at 114 and 117 days for Spanish vessels and at 113 days for Portuguese vessels for these two years (Annex IIb of Council Regulations nos. 104/2015 and 72/2016). The number of fishing days included in these regulations is not applicable to the Gulf of Cadiz (FU 30), which has a different regime.

A Fishing Plan for the Northwest Cantabrian ground was established in 2013 (AAA/1307/2013). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the quotas by vessel including Nephrops.

### 13.1.3 Data

### 13.1.3.1 Commercial catches and discards

Up to 2010, landings have been estimated by the WG based on IEO scientific estimations. The information was compiled by IEO from sale sheets and Owners Associations where the Nephrops landings allocation was carried out based on landing port criteria. Since 2011, the Spanish Authority for Fisheries (Secretaría General de Pesca, SGP) who is also the National Authority for the Data Collection Framework established a new policy and general approach in the provision of official data on catches and fishing effort. So, since 2011 Nephrops landings are the official landings.

Unlike the IEO scientific estimates, official landings are derived from logbooks. This source of information allows the landings disaggregation by ICES statistical rectangles. In WGHMM 2013 it was noticed that some Nephrops catches were recorded into statistical rectangles outside the FU 26-27 definition. In 2012 and 2013 Nephrops catches from statistical rectangles outside this FU were considered as part of the landings in FU 2627. In 2014 Spanish landings of Nephrops have been uploaded to Intercatch broken down by ICES statistical rectangle for the first time according to the 2014 ICES Data Call requirements. However, only the landings recorded inside ICES statistical rectangles defined as FU 26-27 were uploaded to InterCatch, which correspond to $96.3 \%$ of 2014 landings (WD № 3, Castro, 2015). In 2015, all catches were into FU 26-27 definition.

Landings in these FUs are reported by Spain and minor quantities by Portugal. The catches are taken by the Spanish fleets fishing on the West Galicia (FU 26) and North Portugal (FU 27) fishing grounds, and by the Portuguese fleet fishing on FU 27. Nephrops represents a minor percentage in the composition of total trawl landings and can be considered as bycatch although it is a very valuable species.

Along the time-series, landings by the Spanish fleets are mostly from FU 26, together with smaller quantities taken from FU 27. However, since 2011 landings are very low in both FUs. Prior to 1996, no distinction was made between the two FUs, and therefore they are considered together.

Two periods can be distinguished in the time-series of landings available 1975-2015 (Figure 13.1.1). During 1975-1989, the mean landing was $680 t$, fluctuating between 575 and 800 t approximately. Since 1990 onwards there has been a marked downward trend in landings, being below 50 t from 2005 to 2011. In the last four years, landings were minimal (less than 10). In 2015, landings were only 2 t . Information on discards was sent to the WG through Intercatch although no discards are recorded in these FUs.

Total Portuguese landings from FU 27 have decreased from almost 100 t in 1988 to just 1 t in 2012-2014 and less than 1 in 2015.

### 13.1.3.2 Biological sampling

Length frequencies by sex of the Nephrops landings are collected monthly. The sampling levels are shown in Table 1.3.

Mean size for both sexes shows an increasing trend from 2001 to 2010 with the highest value recorded in 2010 ( 52.0 mm CL in males and 43.7 mm CL in females) (Figure 13.1.1). In contrast, mean carapace length declined in both sexes in 2011-2013 period. The mean size in 2014 and 2015 increased in relation to the previous period. In 2015 males achieved a mean carapace length of 43.6 mm and females 39.3 mm . Annual length compositions for males and females combined, mean size and mean weight in
landings for the period 1988-2015 are given in Table 13.1.2 and Figure 13.1.2a and Figure 13.1.2b.

### 13.1.3.3 Commercial catch-effort data

Fishing effort and LPUE estimates are available for Marin trawl fleet (SP-MATR) for the period 1990-2014 (Table 13.1.3). The overall trend for the LPUE of SP-MATR is decreasing, with some stability in the 2007-2009 periods although at very low level ( $\sim 17.5$ $\mathrm{Kg} /$ trip). From 2010 to 2015, LPUE downfall again to the lowest recorded in the timeseries $(0.7 \mathrm{Kg} /$ trip $)$ indicating that the Nephrops abundance is at very low level.

Time-series of fishing effort and LPUE of the bottom-trawl fleets with the Spanish home ports of Muros (1984-2003), Riveira, (1984-2004), and Vigo, (1995-2008 and 2010) are also available. These data are plotted in Figure 13.1.1 for complementary information

### 13.1.4 Assessment

According to the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is considered as category 3.1.4 (ICES, 2012). FU 26-27 is assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend, as was done in 2014. The results in this year indicate an extremely low abundance level.

### 13.1.5 Biological reference points

Proxies of MSY reference points were defined using the methods developed in WKLIFE and WKProxy (ICES, 2015, 2016d). F0.1, taken as proxy of Fmš, from lengthbased analysis for the period 1988-2014 was 0.137 for both sexes combined but the value of MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ proxy is not available.

### 13.1.6 Management Considerations

Nephrops is taken as bycatch in a mixed bottom-trawl fishery. Landings of Nephrops have substantially declined since 1995. Recent landings represent less than $1 \%$ of the average landings in the early period of the time-series (1975-1992). Fishing effort in FU 26-27 has decreased throughout the time-series.

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks was approved in December 2005 (CE 2166/2005) and implemented since January 2006.

The recovery plan includes a reduction of $10 \%$ in the hake F relative to the previous year and TAC set accordingly, within the limits of $\pm 15 \%$ of the previous year TAC (Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005). Although no clear targets were defined for Norway lobster stocks in the plan, the same $10 \%$ reduction has been applied to these stocks effort and TAC. The number of allowed fishing days is set in each year regulations (Council Regulations (EC) Nos. 51/2006, 41/2007, 40/2008, 43/2009, 53/2010, $57 / 2011,43 / 2012,39 / 2013,43 / 2014,104 / 2015$ and $72 / 2016)$. The recovery plan target and rules have not been changed since it was implemented. This plan also includes a seasonal closure (June-August) for Nephrops in an area of the West Galicia (FU 26) fishing grounds, which was amended to the Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98.

A Fishing Plan for the Northwest Cantabrian ground was established in 2013 (AAA/1307/2013). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the quotas by vessel including Nephrops.

Table 13.1.1. Nephrops FU26-27, West Galicia and North Portugal. Landings in tonnes by Functional Units and country.

| Year | Spain |  | Portugal | Unallocated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FU 26** | FU 27 | FU 27 | FU27 | FU 26-27 |
| 1975 | 622 |  |  |  | 622 |
| 1976 | 603 |  |  |  | 603 |
| 1977 | 620 |  |  |  | 620 |
| 1978 | 575 |  |  |  | 575 |
| 1979 | 580 |  |  |  | 580 |
| 1980 | 599 |  |  |  | 599 |
| 1981 | 823 |  |  |  | 823 |
| 1982 | 736 |  |  |  | 736 |
| 1983 | 786 |  |  |  | 786 |
| 1984 | 604 |  | 14 |  | 618 |
| 1985 | 750 |  | 15 |  | 765 |
| 1986 | 657 |  | 37 |  | 694 |
| 1987 | 671 |  | 71 |  | 742 |
| 1988 | 631 |  | 96 |  | 727 |
| 1989 | 620 |  | 88 |  | 708 |
| 1990 | 401 |  | 48 |  | 449 |
| 1991 | 549 |  | 54 |  | 603 |
| 1992 | 584 |  | 52 |  | 636 |
| 1993 | 472 |  | 50 |  | 522 |
| 1994 | 426 |  | 22 |  | 448 |
| 1995 | 501 |  | 10 |  | 511 |
| 1996 | 264 | 50 | 17 |  | 331 |
| 1997 | 359 | 68 | 6 |  | 433 |
| 1998 | 295 | 42 | 8 |  | 345 |
| 1999 | 194 | 48 | 6 |  | 248 |
| 2000 | 102 | 21 | 9 |  | 132 |
| 2001 | 105 | 21 | 6 |  | 132 |
| 2002 | 59 | 24 | 4 |  | 87 |
| 2003 | 39 | 26 | 8 |  | 73 |
| 2004 | 38 | 24 | 9 |  | 71 |
| 2005 | 16 | 16 | 11 |  | 43 |
| 2006 | 15 | 17 | 12 |  | 44 |
| 2007 | 20 | 17 | 10 |  | 47 |
| 2008 | 17 | 12 | 13 |  | 42 |
| 2009 | 16 | 5 | 10 |  | 31 |
| 2010 | 3 | 14 | 4 |  | 21 |
| 2011 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 27 |
| 2012 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 2013 | 1 | <1 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 2014 | 1 | $<1$ | 1 |  | 4 |
| 2015 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  | 2 |
| rior 199 | dings of Sp | orded in | catches in |  |  |

Table 13.1.2. Nephrops FU26-27, West Galicia and North Portugal. Length compositions, mean weight ( Kg ) and mean size (CL, mm ) in landings for the 1988-2015 period.

| Size, CLYear | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 20112 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 13 | 0 | 71 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 14 | 0 | 69 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 15 | 0 | 451 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 16 | 0 | 191 | 289 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0 | 128 | 518 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 18 | 0 | 683 | 898 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 19 | 0 | 679 | 1502 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 52 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 20 | 27 | 1057 | 2044 | 97 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 151 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 21 | 27 | 1260 | 2489 | 199 | 12 | 24 | 19 | 8 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 236 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 22 | 39 | 1657 | 2642 | 398 | 48 | 99 | 84 | 47 | 202 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 129 | 348 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 23 | 109 | 1901 | 3063 | 568 | 103 | 99 | 77 | 151 | 373 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 127 | 518 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 24 | 198 | 1626 | 2736 | 1216 | 284 | 222 | 169 | 338 | 550 | 46 | 7 | 3 | 93 | 466 | 22 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 25 | 290 | 2212 | 1802 | 1477 | 541 | 381 | 199 | 672 | 906 | 113 | 45 | 15 | 134 | 441 | 35 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 26 | 574 | 1675 | 1451 | 1516 | 829 | 542 | 289 | 709 | 960 | 184 | 40 | 43 | 145 | 365 | 56 | 22 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 27 | 854 | 1878 | 1333 | 1351 | 926 | 904 | 409 | 933 | 746 | 306 | 80 | 68 | 129 | 419 | 106 | 40 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | 1272 | 1560 | 1319 | 1940 | 1079 | 1017 | 524 | 1298 | 842 | 402 | 138 | 109 | 123 | 274 | 74 | 46 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 29 | 1487 | 1716 | 913 | 1797 | 1023 | 987 | 613 | 1223 | 706 | 489 | 191 | 134 | 143 | 266 | 86 | 60 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | 1615 | 1510 | 845 | 1501 | 1069 | 1140 | 767 | 1371 | 792 | 681 | 295 | 195 | 172 | 252 | 118 | 90 | 31 | 25 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 31 | 1960 | 1106 | 632 | 1450 | 1180 | 890 | 802 | 1378 | 609 | 719 | 359 | 239 | 182 | 209 | 105 | 102 | 27 | 21 | 21 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | 1951 | 1472 | 772 | 1484 | 1197 | 912 | 847 | 1491 | 601 | 888 | 411 | 292 | 285 | 220 | 160 | 95 | 49 | 29 | 35 | 23 | 27 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 33 | 2288 | 1313 | 601 | 1126 | 1378 | 878 | 898 | 1444 | 517 | 780 | 525 | 377 | 176 | 201 | 167 | 84 | 56 | 26 | 40 | 47 | 23 | 11 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| 34 | 1581 | 1299 | 572 | 1160 | 1001 | 849 | 853 | 1255 | 542 | 745 | 551 | 376 | 192 | 156 | 131 | 83 | 56 | 31 | 51 | 43 | 37 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 |  |
| 35 | 1487 | 952 | 518 | 1044 | 915 | 855 | 745 | 963 | 506 | 637 | 569 | 432 | 200 | 148 | 96 | 91 | 53 | 26 | 48 | 46 | 25 | 18 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |
| 36 | 1161 | 634 | 407 | 879 | 776 | 901 | 611 | 744 | 433 | 527 | 484 | 360 | 176 | 120 | 110 | 85 | 56 | 21 | 42 | 36 | 22 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 37 | 838 | 545 | 284 | 651 | 627 | 736 | 546 | 580 | 348 | 484 | 417 | 321 | 175 | 143 | 106 | 111 | 70 | 31 | 51 | 49 | 31 | 17 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 38 | 1196 | 608 | 294 | 616 | 545 | 682 | 621 | 542 | 346 | 534 | 425 | 308 | 128 | 110 | 76 | 72 | 86 | 35 | 61 | 38 | 28 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 39 | 837 | 451 | 226 | 600 | 505 | 510 | 475 | 425 | 285 | 406 | 292 | 240 | 128 | 85 | 95 | 79 | 65 | 27 | 43 | 36 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 40 | 501 | 325 | 199 | 450 | 666 | 573 | 412 | 455 | 284 | 466 | 393 | 218 | 115 | 65 | 76 | 60 | 90 | 24 | 55 | 39 | 32 | 21 | 7 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| 41 | 428 | 288 | 165 | 375 | 431 | 385 | 321 | 321 | 213 | 399 | 312 | 182 | 112 | 58 | 88 | 48 | 60 | 21 | 40 | 32 | 23 | 16 | 8 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 367 | 287 | 144 | 220 | 362 | 375 | 314 | 214 | 182 | 360 | 249 | 210 | 66 | 57 | 81 | 54 | 101 | 22 | 47 | 43 | 26 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 433 | 296 | 156 | 203 | 425 | 307 | 293 | 188 | 165 | 325 | 292 | 219 | 64 | 36 | 76 | 47 | 73 | 25 | 38 | 49 | 25 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 44 | 164 | 277 | 87 | 136 | 301 | 251 | 200 | 152 | 127 | 290 | 207 | 193 | 61 | 44 | 52 | 33 | 62 | 20 | 32 | 38 | 36 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 45 | 165 | 286 | 58 | 110 | 303 | 219 | 178 | 125 | 118 | 218 | 196 | 162 | 58 | 42 | 44 | 34 | 56 | 17 | 18 | 29 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 46 | 96 | 135 | 23 | 90 | 350 | 153 | 129 | 116 | 94 | 191 | 178 | 152 | 40 | 28 | 49 | 26 | 29 | 20 | 18 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 47 | 94 | 117 | 45 | 82 | 228 | 104 | 92 | 84 | 56 | 123 | 120 | 84 | 38 | 47 | 42 | 31 | 38 | 26 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 48 | 71 | 100 | 25 | 49 | 222 | 58 | 96 | 55 | 70 | 117 | 147 | 96 | 23 | 18 | 22 | 13 | 28 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 49 | 73 | 76 | 29 | 42 | 148 | 84 | 71 | 46 | 23 | 60 | 105 | 64 | 21 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | 83 | 127 | 14 | 46 | 63 | 81 | 69 | 29 | 31 | 81 | 95 | 54 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 51 | 15 | 48 | 9 | 14 | 71 | 27 | 59 | 13 | 21 | 43 | 59 | 21 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 20 | 75 | 14 | 33 | 71 | 21 | 59 | 18 | 22 | 43 | 55 | 30 | 18 | 6 |  | 10 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 53 | 23 | 34 | 13 | 26 | 34 | 20 | 28 | 6 | 13 | 30 | 37 | 33 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 54 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 23 | 23 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 15 | 42 | 28 | 27 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 55 | 6 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 25 | 26 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 56 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 57 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 58 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 59 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 60 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 61 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | ${ }_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 64 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 65 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 66 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | ${ }_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 67 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 69 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 70 | 12 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

[^5]

Table 13.1.2. Nephrops FU26-27, West Galicia and North Portugal. Fishing effort and LPUE for SPMATR fleet.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Landings (t) | SP-MATR <br> trips | LPUE (kg/trip) |
| 1994 | 234 | 2692 | 113.9 |
| 1995 | 267 | 2859 | 93.3 |
| 1996 | 158 | 3191 | 49.5 |
| 1997 | 245 | 3702 | 66.3 |
| 1998 | 188 | 2857 | 66.0 |
| 1999 | 134 | 2714 | 49.5 |
| 2000 | 72 | 2479 | 28.9 |
| 2001 | 80 | 2374 | 33.6 |
| 2002 | 52 | 1671 | 31.2 |
| 2003 | 59 | 1597 | 24.0 |
| 2004 | 31 | 1980 | 19.3 |
| 2005 | 17 | 1629 | 10.3 |
| 2006 | 18 | 1547 | 11.9 |
| 2007 | 22 | 1196 | 18.0 |
| 2008 | 17 | 980 | 17.3 |
| 2009 | 15 | 854 | 17.4 |
| 2010 | 8 | 539 | 15.4 |
| 2011 | 4 | 543 | 6.4 |
| 2012 | 1 | 492 | 2.2 |
| 2013 | $<1$ | 419 | 1.0 |
| 2014 | $<1$ | 494 | 0.8 |
| 2015 | $<1$ | 384 | 0.7 |



Figure 13.1.1. Nephrops FU26-27, West Galicia and North Portugal. Long-term trends in landings, effort and mean sizes.


Figure 13.1.2a. Nephrops FU26-27. West Galicia and North Portugal. Length distributions in landings for the 1988-2004 period.


Figure 13.1.2b. Nephrops FU26-27. West Galicia and North Portugal. Length distributions in landings for the 2005-2015 period.

### 13.2 Nephrops in FU 28-29 (Southwest and South Portugal)

### 13.2.1 General

### 13.2.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See the Stock Annex (in Annex L of WG report)

### 13.2.1.2 Fishery description

See the Stock Annex (in Annex L of WG report)
13.2.1.3 ICES Advice and Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

ICES Advice for 2015 and 2016
The advice for these stocks is biennial and valid for 2015 and 2016. Based on the ICES approach for data-limited stocks, ICES advised that catches in 2015 for FUs 28 and 29 should be no more than 226 tonnes.

To protect the stock in this Functional Unit, ICES advises that management area should be consistent with the assessment area. Therefore, management should be implemented at the Functional Unit level.

## Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks has been in force since the end of January 2006. The aim of the recovery plan is to rebuild the stocks within 10 years, with a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relative to the previous year and the TAC set accordingly (Council Regulation (EC) No. 2166/2005).

In order to reduce F on Nephrops stocks in Division 9.a even further, a seasonal ban was introduced in the trawl and creel fishery for two boxes (geographic areas) located in FU 26 and in FU 28, in the peak of the Nephrops fishing season. Restrictions are applied to Nephrops fishing in these boxes in June-August and May-August, respectively.

ICES has not evaluated the current recovery plan for Nephrops in relation to the precautionary approach.

The TAC set for the whole Division 9.a was 254 and 320 t for 2015 and 2016, respectively, of which no more than $6 \%$ may be taken in FUs 26 and 27. The maximum number of fishing days for vessels operating under effort limitations was fixed at 114 and 117 days per vessel for Spanish vessels and at 113 days for Portuguese vessels for these two years (Annex IIb of Council Regulations 104/2015 and 72/2016). The number of fishing days included in these regulations is not applicable to the Gulf of Cadiz (FU 30), which has a different effort management regime.

### 13.2.2 Data

### 13.2.2.1 Commercial catches and discards

Table 13.2.1 and Figure 13.2 .1 show the landings dataseries for these Functional Units (FUs). For the period 1984-1992, the recorded landings from FUs 28 and 29 have fluctuated between 420 and 530 t , with a long-term average of about 480 t , falling drastically in the period 1990-1996, down to 132 t. From 1997-2005 landings have increased to levels observed during the early 1990s but decreased again in recent years. The value landings in 2009-2011 was approximately at the same level ( $\approx 150 \mathrm{t}$ ), increasing to an
average value of $220 t$ in the years 2012-2013. In recent years, landings have been limited by the TAC. In 2013 the fishery was closed in the last quarter, in 2014 from midJuly to mid-November and in 2015, from end of August to mid-November.

Since 2011, landings include the Spanish official landings. Spanish vessels are licensed for crustaceans in these FUs under a bilateral agreement since 2004. No data from these vessels' operation is available prior to 2011.
Spanish official landings are derived from logbooks. This source of information allows landings disaggregation by ICES statistical rectangles. In 2012 and 2013, Nephrops catches recorded in statistical rectangles outside the FUs in Division 9.a were allocated to the closest rectangles in each FU. In 2014-2015, 100\% of the caches were into FU 2829 definition (WD 03).

Males are the dominant component in all landings with exception for 1995 and 1996 when total female landings exceeded male landings (ICES, 2006). For the period 20022011 male to female sex-ratio has fluctuated around 1.5:1. The years 2012 and 2013 present a ratio of 2.3:1. The sex-ratio in 2014 and 2015 was close to 1:7.

Information on discards and on the sampling program was sent to the WG through ICES Accessions. The frequency of Nephrops occurrence in discards samples is very low. Discards are negligible in this fishery and mostly due to quality and not related to MLS ( 20 mm of carapace length). Only in 2013, the occurrence of Nephrops in discards samples was greater than $30 \%$ and a total amount of 3 t was estimated, with a high coefficient of variation ( $\mathrm{CV}=58 \%$ ).

### 13.2.2.2 Biological sampling

Length distributions for both males and females for the Portuguese trawl landings are obtained from samples taken weekly at the main auction port, Vila Real de Sto. António. Sampling frequency in 2014 was at the same level as in previous years, in the months in which fishing was open. The sampling data are raised to the total landings by market category, vessel and month.

The length compositions of the landings are presented in Tables 13.2.2a-b and Figures 13.2.2a-b. The number of samples and measured individuals are presented in Table 1.3.

### 13.2.2.3 Biomass indices from surveys

Since 1997, several groundfish (PtGFS-WIBTS-Q4) and crustacean trawl surveys (PTCTS UWTV FU 28-29) were carried out in FUs 28 and 29. Table 13.2.4 and Figure 13.2.1 shows the average Nephrops cpues (kg/h trawling) from the crustacean trawl surveys, which can be used as an overall biomass index. As the surveys were performed with a smaller mesh size than the commercial fishery, this information provides a better estimation of the abundance for the smaller lengths of Nephrops. There was an increase in the overall biomass index in the period 2003-2005, and also of small individuals in a particular juvenile concentration area in 2005, which could be an indication of higher recruitment.

The RV "NORUEGA" had some technical problems in 2010 and could not trawl in areas deeper than 600 m . The survey plan had to be adapted accordingly. The cpue value obtained for 2010, the highest from the series, was probably affected by this change. In 2011, due to engine failure, the survey did not cover the whole area of

Nephrops distribution. No cpue index was presented for this year. Budgetary constraints of national scope turned unfeasible to repair the RV NORUEGA and the chartering of another research vessel and therefore no survey was conducted in 2012.
The biomass index estimated from the 2013 survey is only comparable to the value of 2009, which covered the same area. Comparing the fraction of the area covered in 2011 and the same area in 2013, the biomass of Nephrops increased in the area of Alentejo (FU 28). The survey in 2011 did not cover the main area of concentration in Algarve (FU29). In recent years, there is a large uncertainty associated with the survey indices due to technical problems of the research vessel and partial coverage of the area of distribution.

The survey area was adapted in 2014 taking into account the information from the fishing grounds obtained from VMS data. The 2014 survey was carried out later than in previous years, after the peak of the fishing season and the biomass index was lower (Figure 13.2.1 and 13.2.3).

As shown in ICES (2012a), the distribution of survey indices is in very good agreement with the fishery cpue spatial distribution. The correlation between the average annual cpue from the fishery and the biomass index from the Crustacean survey until 2009 is also high. The values from recent years were highly variable and not taken into account due to the RV operation problems already referred.

In 2005 and 2007, some experiments to collect UWTV images from the Nephrops fishing grounds were made with a camera hanged from the trawl headline. In 2008, the images collected from 9 stations in FU 28 with the same procedure looked very promising. In 2009 survey, a two-beam laser pointer was attached to the camera and UWTV images were recorded from 58 of the 65 stations. The trawling speed and the turbidity were the main problems affecting the clarity of the image and the high variation of the height of the camera to the ground resulted in a variable field of view. In 2010 and 2011, no images were collected due to technical problems of the research vessel. It is not guaranteed that this method can be used for abundance estimation (information presented to SGNEPS 2012 - Study Group of Nephrops Surveys (ICES, 2012b).

### 13.2.2.4 Mean sizes

Mean carapace length (CL) data for males and females in the landings and surveys are presented for the period 1994-2015 (Table 13.2.5). Figure 13.2.1 shows the mean CL trends since 1984. The mean sizes of males and females have fluctuated along the period with no apparent trend.

### 13.2.2.5 Commercial catch-effort data

A standardization of the cpue series was presented to WGHMM in 2008 (ICES, 2008, Silva, C. - WD 25) applying the generalized linear models (GLMs). The data used for this standardization were the crustacean logbooks for the period 1988-2007. The factors retained for the final model (year, month and vessel category) were those which contribute more than $1 \%$ to the overall variance. The model explains $17-19 \%$ of the variability, when using the cpue in $\mathrm{kg} /$ day or $\mathrm{kg} /$ haul respectively.

Until 2010, this model was updated each year with the addition of new data.
The issue of effort estimation using standardized cpue from GLMs or other methods taking into account the flexibility of the fleet in relation to target species was further developed in the WGHMM 2010 (ICES, 2010a) and during WKSHAKE2 (ICES, 2010b). Crustacean vessels are targeting two main species, rose shrimp and Norway lobster,
which have different market value. Depending on their abundance/availability, the effort is directed at one species or the other. In 2006, the landings of rose shrimp start to increase showing a change in the objectives of the fishery (Figure 13.2.4).

The effort is estimated using the cpue of the fleet. If the cpue of Nephrops decreased due to a change in target species (and consequently, fishing grounds), the effort might be overestimated.

The model of cpue standardization used until 2010 never explained more than $20 \%$ of the variability (ICES, 2010a). The explanatory variables used were year, month and ves-sel-category. Considering the behaviour of the fleet in periods of high abundance of rose shrimp, new variables related to the catches of this species and the proportion of Nephrops in the total catch were incorporated. As the distributions of rose shrimp and Nephrops are fishing ground and depth dependent, the availability and use of VMS data could improve the standardization model, as suggested in Silva and Afonso-Dias, 2011 (WD to WKcpueFFORT).

Taking all this into account, new variables as the fishing depth, the catches of rose shrimp and the proportion of Nephrops in the total crustacean catches were incorporated in the new model for cpue standardization and presented to IBP Nephrops 2012 (Inter-Benchmark Protocol for Nephrops 2012, ICES, 2012c).

The IBP Nephrops did not come to a conclusion about the stock assessment method but the WG has agreed to use this new cpue standardization for the trends based assessment and standardized effort estimation.

However, as VMS data are only available since 1998, the use of this method has shortened the length of the time-series. In the models presented before, the cpue was expressed in $\mathrm{kg} /$ day and the time-series started in 1988. The cpue in the new model is expressed in $\mathrm{kg} /$ hour, the time-series starts 10 years later but the estimation of cpue is based on more reliable effort data.

The overall analysis of the geo-referenced catches confirms the general preference of rose shrimp and Nephrops for grounds shallower and deeper than 400 m , respectively. These data also confirm that, in years of higher abundance of rose shrimp, a greater effort is allocated to depths shallower than 400 m . In what concerns the distribution of the fishing effort between the two Functional Units, FU29 represents in average $83 \%$ of the total effort. However, the fishing areas (FUs) were found not significantly different and therefore removed from the model.

The factors and levels retained in the final model and updated to include more recent data were:

- year: 1998-2015
- month: 1-12
- depth interval: [100, 400 [, [400, $800[,[800,1500]$
- $\log$ catch of rose shrimp: $[0,2[,[2,5]$
- proportion of Nephrops in the total catch of crustaceans: [0, 0.25[, [0.25, 1]
- and vessel category: A (standard), B and C. These two categories correspond to vessels less or more productive than the standard type.

The choice of the final model was based on the highest value of explained variance and the smallest AIC. In 2014 assessment, with the data from 1998-2013, the model explained $47 \%$ of the total variability, with the proportion of Nephrops in the crustacean
catches as the most important factor (Table 13.2.6). The explained deviance of the updated model, including data from the period 1998-2015, was reduced to $33 \%$. One possible explanation is that in the last three years, fishing does not cover the whole year, due to the reduced quota.
Figure 13.2.4 shows the annual observed cpue and the estimates from the model, considering the depth interval class [400, 800[, log catch of rose shrimp class [0, 2[, the category of proportion of Nephrops $[0.25,1]$ and vessel category A as the reference factors for Nephrops target cpue.

The correlation found between the cpue series derived from the model presented here and the biomass indices from the Crustacean surveys (not considering the estimates after 2009, for the reasons explained before) is high and gives confidence that cpue is reflecting the abundance of Nephrops in FU 28 and 29. The trends of the standardized commercial cpue and of the survey biomass index, represented in Figure 13.2.1 by a smooth line, are similar.

The standardized cpue is used to estimate the fishing effort in standard hours.
The effort in 2003-2004 corresponds to only eleven months of fleet operation for each year as the crustacean fishery was experimentally closed in January 2003 and 30 days for Nephrops in September-October 2004.

A Portuguese national regulation (Portaria no. 1142, 13 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ September 2004) closed the crustacean fishery in January-February 2005 and enforced a ban in Nephrops fishing for 30 days in September-October 2005. As a result, the effort in 2005 corresponds to nine months.

The recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks was approved in December 2005 and entered in force at the end of January 2006. This recovery plan includes a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relative to the previous year (Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005). As a result, the number of fishing days per vessel was progressively reduced. Additional days were allocated in 2010 to Spanish and Portuguese vessels on the basis of permanent cessation of vessels from each country (Commission Decisions nos. 2010/370/EU and 2010/415/EU).

Besides this effort reduction, the Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98 was amended with the introduction of two boxes in Division 9.a, one of them located in FU 28. In the period of higher catches (May-August), this box is closed for Nephrops fishing (Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005). By way of derogation, fishing with bottom trawls in these areas and periods are authorized provided that the bycatch of Norway lobster does not exceed $2 \%$ of the total weight of the catch. The same applies to creels that do not catch Nephrops.
The effort reduction measures were combined with a national regulation closing the crustacean fishery every year in January (Portaria no. 43, 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ January 2006). As a result of these measures, the nominal effort in 2006 to 2011 corresponds to 11 months each year.

In the period 1999-2001, standardized fishing effort increased substantially, remaining high until 2004-2005 (Table 13.2.3 and Figure 13.2.1), with an exceptional drop in 2003. After 2005, the effort presents a decreasing trend until 2009. The effort decline may be related to the effort management measures but also to effort shift to rose shrimp, which presented a large increase in abundance and landings in the period 2007-2011 (Figure 13.2.4).

The standardized effort increased in 2012 due to a higher catch from Portuguese fleet and to the provision of Spanish catches in this year. As stated in section 13.2.2.1, Spanish vessels are licensed for crustaceans in these FUs under a bilateral agreement since 2004, but no official data were available prior to 2011. In 2013, due to the lower availability of rose shrimp and the increase in abundance of Norway lobster, the Portuguese quota was fished until September and the Portuguese crustacean fleet had to stop the operation or to target other crustacean species, resulting in effort reduction. Although the quota had a slight increase in 2014 and 2015, it was still insufficient. The fisheries administration and the industry agreed to stop earlier the fishery and to save part of the quota to be fished in November-December. In regard to the Spanish fleet, the number of fishing days was reduced, due to sanctions imposed by EC related to the catches over quota in 2012, affecting also the operation of this fleet in the Portuguese fishing grounds in the period 2013-2015.

In the period 2008-2015, the standardized fishing effort has fluctuated around 28 thousand hours.

### 13.2.3 Assessment

The advice is based on the standardized commercial cpue and effort trends. According the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is classified in the category 3.2.0 (ICES, 2012).

The standardized effort shows a consistent declining trend since 2005 reaching a historic low in 2009-2010. In the following years, the effort had a slight increase however still remaining at a low level. Landings and effort show small fluctuations in the period 2011-2015 due to quota limitations resulting from the recovery plan rules, currently in force.

The standardized fleet cpue, used as index of biomass, decreased in the period 20062011 reversing the downward trend in recent years. Due to the technical problems recorded in the operation of the research vessel, which affected the crustacean survey series in the period 2010-2013, the trend of the survey index was not used, although the smooth line over this index values shows a trend similar to the standardized commercial cpue.

### 13.2.4 Short-term Projections

No projections were performed.

### 13.2.5 Biological reference points

Proxies of MSY reference points were defined by ICES (2016b) using the methods developed in WKLIFE and WKProxy (ICES, 2015, 2016a).

F0.1 from length-based analysis of the period 1998-2014, was adopted as proxy of Fmsy. The values were 0.31 for males and 0.33 for females. No proxy for BMSY was identified.

### 13.2.6 Management considerations

Nephrops is taken by a multispecies and mixed bottom-trawl fishery.
A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks was approved in December 2005 and in action since the end of January 2006. This recovery plan includes a reduction of $10 \%$ in the hake $F$ relative to the previous year and TAC set accordingly, within the limits of $\pm 15 \%$ of the previous year TAC (Council Regulation (EC) No $2166 / 2005$ ). Although no clear targets were defined for Norway lobster stocks in the
plan, the same $10 \%$ reduction has been applied to these stocks effort and TAC. The number of allowed fishing days is set in each year regulations (Council Regulations (EC) Nos. 51/2006, 41/2007, 40/2008, 43/2009, 53/2010, 57/2011, 43/2012, 39/2013, 43/2014 and $104 / 2015$ ). The recovery plan target and rules have not been changed since it was implemented.

Besides the recovery plan, the Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98 was amended with the introduction of two boxes in Division 9.a, one of them located in FU 28. In the period of higher catches (May-August), these boxes are closed for Nephrops fishing (Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005). By derogation, fishing with bottom trawls in these areas and periods are authorized provided that the bycatch of Norway lobster does not exceed $2 \%$ of the total weight of the catch. The same applies to creels that do not catch Nephrops.

With the aim of reducing effort on crustacean stocks, a Portuguese national regulation (Portaria no. 1142, 13 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ September 2004) closed the crustacean fishery in January-February 2005 and enforced a ban in Nephrops fishing for 30 days in September - October 2005, in FUs 28-29. This regulation was revoked in January 2006, after the entry in force of the recovery plan and the amendment to the Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98, keeping only one month of closure of the crustacean fishery in January (Portaria no. $43 / 2006,12^{\text {th }}$ January 2006). The national regulations are only applicable to the Portuguese fleet.

Portugal and Spain have bilateral agreements for fishing in each other waters. The agreement for the period 2004-2013 was reviewed and extended for 2014-2016. Under this agreement a number of Spanish trawlers are licensed to fish crustaceans in Portuguese waters. No information from landings of these vessels is available for the years prior to 2011.

Table 13.2.1. Nephrops in Southwest and South Portugal (FU 28-29). Total landings per country (tonnes).

| Year | FU 28+29 SW+S Portugal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28*** <br> SPAIN | $29$ <br> Spain | 28+29 |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Portugal |  |  |  |
|  | Trawl | Trawl | Artisanal | Trawl | Total |  |
| 1987 |  |  | 11 | 498 | 509 | 509 |
| 1988 |  |  | 15 | 405 | 420 | 420 |
| 1989 |  |  | 6 | 463 | 469 | 469 |
| 1990 |  |  | 4 | 520 | 524 | 524 |
| 1991 |  |  | 5 | 473 | 478 | 478 |
| 1992 |  |  | 1 | 469 | 470 | 470 |
| 1993 |  |  | 1 | 376 | 377 | 377 |
| 1994 |  |  |  | 237 | 237 | 237 |
| 1995 |  |  | 1 | 272 | 273 | 273 |
| 1996 |  |  | 4 | 128 | 132 | 132 |
| 1997 |  |  | 2 | 134 | 136 | 136 |
| 1998 |  |  | 2 | 159 | 161 | 161 |
| 1999 |  |  | 5 | 206 | 211 | 211 |
| 2000 |  |  | 4 | 197 | 201 | 201 |
| 2001 |  |  | 2 | 269 | 271 | 271 |
| 2002 |  |  | 1 | 358 | 359 | 359 |
| 2003 |  |  | 35 | 335 | 370 | 370 |
| 2004 |  |  | 31 | 345 | 375 | 375 |
| 2005 |  |  | 31 | 360 | 391 | 391 |
| 2006 |  |  | 17 | 274 | 291 | 291 |
| 2007 |  |  | 18 | 274 | 291 | 291 |
| 2008 |  |  | 35 | 188 | 223 | 223 |
| 2009 |  |  | 17 | 133 | 151 | 151 |
| 2010 |  |  | 16 | 131 | 147 | 147 |
| 2011 |  | 17 | 16 | 117 | 133 | 150 |
| 2012 | $<1$ | 14 | 3 | 211 | 214 | 229 |
| 2013 |  | 10 | 1 | 198 | 199 | 209 |
| 2014 |  | 8 | 3 | 183 | 186 | 193 |
| 2015** |  | 12 | 4 | 231 | 235 | 247 |

** Preliminary values
*** Spanish landings from FU28 included in FU29

Table 13.2.3. - SW and S Portugal (FUs 28-29): Effort and cpue of Portuguese trawlers, 1994-2015.

| Year | No. of <br> trawlers | CPUE <br> $(\mathrm{t} /$ boat $)$ | Estimated <br> hours | CPUE* $^{* *}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~kg} /$ hour $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 31 | 7.6 |  |  |
| 1995 | 30 | 9.1 |  |  |
| 1996 | 25 | 5.3 |  |  |
| 1997 | 25 | 5.5 |  |  |
| 1998 | 25 | 6.4 | 39,416 | 4.2 |
| 1999 | 29 | 7.3 | 37,078 | 5.9 |
| 2000 | 33 | 6.1 | 47,944 | 4.3 |
| 2001 | 33 | 8.2 | 75,103 | 3.7 |
| 2002 | 34 | 10.5 | 58,697 | 6.2 |
| 2003 | 35 | 9.6 | 41,593 | 8.2 |
| 2004 | 33 | 10.4 | 47,947 | 7.3 |
| 2005 | 32 | 11.9 | 42,173 | 9.1 |
| 2006 | 30 | 9.1 | 33,409 | 8.3 |
| 2007 | 30 | 9.1 | 35,490 | 7.8 |
| 2008 | 30 | 6.3 | 25,608 | 7.5 |
| 2009 | 30 | 4.4 | 24,652 | 5.5 |
| 2010 | 26 | 5.0 | 22,842 | 5.9 |
| 2011 | 26 | 4.5 | 22,683 | 5.3 |
| 2012 | 21 | 10.2 | 29,609 | 7.4 |
| 2013 | 24 | 8.2 | 26,899 | 7.5 |
| 2014 | 24 | 7.5 | 24,308 | 7.6 |
| $2015^{*}$ | 20 | 11.6 | 29,776 | 7.6 |
| ${ }^{\text {Provisional; }{ }^{* *} \text { standardized CPUE }}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.2.4. - SW and S Portugal (FUs 28-29): Nephrops cpues (kg/hour) in research trawl surveys, 1994-2015.

| Year | Demersal surveys |  |  | Crustacean surveys |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPUE (kg/hour) |  |  | Month | CPUE |
|  | Summer | Autumn | Winter | of survey |  |
| 1994 | ns | 0.40 | ns | May-94 | 2.3 |
| 1995 | 1.3 | 0.26 | ns | No surveys 1995-96 |  |
| 1996 | ns | 0.03 | ns |  |  |
| 1997 | 0.7 | 0.06 | ns | Jun-97 | 2.6 |
| 1998 | 0.7 | 0.02 | ns | Jun-98 | 1.2 |
| 1999 | 0.3 | 0.02 | ns | Jun-99 | 2.5 |
| 2000 | 1.0 | 0.92 | ns | Jun-00 | 1.6 |
| 2001 | 0.6 | 0.35 | ns | Jun-01 | 0.8 |
| 2002 | ns | 0.02 | ns | Jun-02 | 2.4 |
| 2003 | ns | 0.19 | ns | Jun-03 | 2.6 |
| 2004 | ns | 0.51 | ns | Jun-04 | nr |
| 2005 | ns | 0.09 | 0.16 | Jun-05 | 4.7 |
| 2006 | ns | 0.19 | 0.06 | Jun-06 | 2.4 |
| 2007 | ns | 0.04 | 0.73 | Jun-07 | 2.8 |
| 2008 | ns | 0.13 | 0.25 | Jun-08 | 4.0 |
| 2009 | ns | 0.13 | ns | Jun-09 | 2.0 |
| 2010 | ns | 0.34 | ns | Jun-10 | 6.8 |
| 2011 | ns | 0.11 | ns | Jun-11 | nc |
| 2012 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 2013 | ns | 0.64 | ns | Jun-13 | 2.2 |
| 2014 | ns | 0.06 | ns | Jul-14 | 1.0 |
| 2015 | ns | 0.18 | ns | Jul-15 | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{ns}=$ no survey $\mathrm{nr}=$ not reliable $\mathrm{nc}=\mathrm{whole}$ area not covered |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.2.5. - SW and S Portugal (FUs 28-29): Mean sizes (mm CL) of male and female Nephrops in Portuguese landings and surveys, 1994-2015.

| Year | Landings |  | Demersal surveys |  |  |  |  |  | Crustacean surveys |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Summer |  | Autumn |  | Winter |  | Males | Females |
|  |  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |  |  |
| 1994 | 37.4 | 33.6 | ns | ns | 39.0 | 33.6 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 1995 | 39.3 | 37.0 | 42.1 | 35.6 | 42.0 | 34.9 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 1996 | 36.9 | 36.6 | ns | ns | 38.6 | 32.2 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 1997 | 35.9 | 32.8 | 40.4 | 36.9 | 39.1 | 31.7 | ns | ns | 43.7 | 41.9 |
| 1998 | 36.8 | 34.5 | 36.0 | 33.9 | 40.6 | 35.9 | ns | ns | 39.5 | 36.7 |
| 1999 | 38.7 | 34.6 | 45.1 | 40.4 | 43.8 | 32.8 | ns | ns | 39.7 | 37.5 |
| 2000 | 38.9 | 35.2 | 40.8 | 37.1 | 39.0 | 35.1 | ns | ns | 41.7 | 40.2 |
| 2001 | 41.6 | 36.1 | 40.5 | 34.5 | 47.2 | 41.6 | ns | ns | 44.5 | 39.9 |
| 2002 | 40.7 | 36.2 | na | na | 35.0 | 39.0 | ns | ns | 44.8 | 40.7 |
| 2003 | 39.1 | 36.4 | ns | ns | 37.5 | 32.3 | ns | ns | 39.7 | 36.7 |
| 2004 | 37.3 | 33.8 | ns | ns | 36.7 | 31.3 | ns | ns | 39.0 | 37.0 |
| 2005 | 35.6 | 33.0 | ns | ns | 40.6 | 39.1 | 40.6 | 40.9 | 37.3 | 35.7 |
| 2006 | 37.2 | 34.1 | ns | ns | 36.1 | 32.8 | 31.7 | 35.0 | 37.7 | 35.2 |
| 2007 | 36.5 | 32.8 | ns | ns | 42.0 | 38.5 | 39.0 | 36.2 | 38.3 | 35.0 |
| 2008 | 40.1 | 35.5 | ns | ns | 43.2 | 41.4 | 46.7 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 36.7 |
| 2009 | 37.4 | 34.2 | ns | ns | 45.3 | 39.8 | ns | ns | 41.4 | 36.6 |
| 2010 | 40.1 | 36.5 | ns | ns | 39.7 | 33.7 | ns | ns | 37.7 | 36.6 |
| 2011 | 45.0 | 39.2 | ns | ns | 43.1 | 40.0 | ns | ns | nc | nc |
| 2012 | 36.9 | 34.4 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 2013 | 39.7 | 35.3 | ns | ns | 42.6 | 37.3 | ns | ns | 39.1 | 39.5 |
| 2014 | 41.3 | 36.7 | ns | ns | 46.5 | 39.2 | ns | ns | 37.8 | 35.2 |
| 2015 | 40.9 | 37.4 | ns | ns | 42.4 | 35.2 | ns | ns | 39.2 | 37.3 |
| $\mathrm{ns}=$ no survey $\mathrm{nr}=$ not reliable $\mathrm{nc}=$ whole area not covered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.2.6 Analysis of deviance for the Gamma-based GLM model fitted to the positive Nephrops cpue in the catches.

| Source of <br> variation | Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev | $\operatorname{Pr}(>$ F) | $\%$ <br> explained |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NULL |  |  | 80414 | 91280 |  |  |
| year | 17 | 9626.5 | 80397 | 81654 | $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| month | 11 | 2605 | 80386 | 79049 | $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| depth.class2 | 2 | 1999.5 | 80384 | 77049 | $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| catdps | 1 | 3205.2 | 80383 | 73844 | $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| cat_pnep | 1 | 9968.4 | 80382 | 63875 | $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| catPRT2 | 2 | 2528.3 | 80380 | 61347 | $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 29932.9 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 \%}$ |

AIC: 310454

Table 13.2.2.a. FU 28-29 - Length Composition of Nephrops Males (1984-2015)


Table 13.2.2.a. FU 28-29 - Length Composition of Nephrops Males (1984-2015) (continued)


Table 13.2.2.b. FU 28-29 - Length Composition of Nephrops Females (1984-2015)

| Landings | (thousan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age/Year | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 3 | 1 | 7 |  | 8 | 21 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 3 | 21 | 102 |  | 21 | 9 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 8 | 21 | 30 | 78 |  | 88 | 19 | 11 | 102 | 63 |  |  | 0 | 13 | 2 | 5 |
| 23 | 66 | 21 | 7 | 31 | 28 | 135 | 15 | 69 | 38 | 21 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 24 | 79 | 102 | 118 | 270 | 153 | 258 | 38 | 173 | 164 | 41 | 22 | 2 | 11 | 20 | 15 | 25 |
| 25 | 228 | 205 | 104 | 357 | 163 | 197 | 138 | 198 | 203 | 191 | 73 |  | 13 | 20 | 25 | 27 |
| 26 | 272 | 284 | 186 | 684 | 220 | 282 | 140 | 436 | 361 | 111 | 92 | 1 | 35 | 102 | 74 | 94 |
| 27 | 345 | 491 | 359 | 902 | 429 | 326 | 247 | 418 | 448 | 235 | 134 | 0 | 37 | 77 | 91 | 76 |
| 28 | 431 | 523 | 322 | 1421 | 471 | 231 | 345 | 598 | 597 | 413 | 170 | 6 | 36 | 152 | 148 | 100 |
| 29 | 443 | 672 | 419 | 1253 | 516 | 285 | 491 | 590 | 514 | 523 | 269 | 31 | 45 | 178 | 114 | 121 |
| 30 | 422 | 588 | 381 | 928 | 499 | 317 | 575 | 771 | 599 | 775 | 326 | 104 | 50 | 199 | 199 | 236 |
| 31 | 487 | 593 | 418 | 948 | 482 | 501 | 639 | 414 | 736 | 752 | 427 | 182 | 95 | 394 | 168 | 263 |
| 32 | 485 | 653 | 700 | 946 | 766 | 306 | 859 | 807 | 617 | 824 | 558 | 322 | 198 | 502 | 376 | 485 |
| 33 | 613 | 415 | 406 | 227 | 527 | 314 | 596 | 375 | 430 | 449 | 283 | 251 | 53 | 163 | 116 | 187 |
| 34 | 618 | 467 | 654 | 774 | 813 | 511 | 734 | 310 | 369 | 359 | 353 | 641 | 209 | 278 | 298 | 346 |
| 35 | 562 | 563 | 447 | 447 | 460 | 435 | 519 | 284 | 287 | 194 | 246 | 674 | 184 | 150 | 112 | 287 |
| 36 | 469 | 329 | 316 | 386 | 489 | 274 | 243 | 130 | 267 | 203 | 237 | 811 | 142 | 135 | 166 | 317 |
| 37 | 505 | 353 | 400 | 223 | 206 | 318 | 189 | 108 | 333 | 154 | 147 | 692 | 267 | 129 | 171 | 201 |
| 38 | 383 | 284 | 330 | 269 | 265 | 285 | 207 | 135 | 251 | 100 | 128 | 348 | 151 | 39 | 48 | 184 |
| 39 | 274 | 142 | 211 | 146 | 288 | 148 | 216 | 74 | 176 | 150 | 66 | 194 | 67 | 35 | 59 | 151 |
| 40 | 171 | 119 | 80 | 119 | 132 | 131 | 230 | 131 | 147 | 110 | 114 | 344 | 120 | 21 | 89 | 111 |
| 41 | 58 | 106 | 55 | 65 | 128 | 149 | 73 | 39 | 68 | 108 | 77 | 361 | 63 | 31 | 64 | 81 |
| 42 | 50 | 36 | 133 | 54 | 43 | 127 | 210 | 62 | 69 | 95 | 73 | 165 | 111 | 18 | 84 | 73 |
| 43 | 30 | 27 | 21 | 40 | 28 | 109 | 58 | 82 | 26 | 43 | 23 | 64 | 29 | 2 | 34 | 38 |
| 44 | 17 | 13 | 47 | 147 | 27 | 91 | 77 | 6 | 46 | 42 | 43 | 88 | 90 | 18 | 71 | 34 |
| 45 | 14 | 11 | 27 | 84 | 19 | 27 | 41 | 21 | 40 | 34 | 13 | 54 | 36 | 8 | 22 | 18 |
| 46 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 40 | 14 | 38 | 31 | 45 | 25 | 37 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 4 | 28 | 18 |
| 47 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 26 | 9 | 24 | 16 | 7 | 12 | 29 | 7 | 18 | 23 | 3 | 23 | 7 |
| 48 | 4 | 1 |  | 71 | 11 | 29 | 7 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 52 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 5 | 8 | 1 |  | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 53 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 9 | 6 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 54 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 55 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 2 |  | 5 | 14 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 57 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 58 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7052 | 7032 | 6218 | 10978 | 7243 | 6126 | 6962 | 6358 | 7059 | 6198 | 3920 | 5385 | 2095 | 2702 | 2621 | 3509 |
| Landings ( $\mathbf{t}$ ) | 169 | 156 | 150 | 232 | 171 | 151 | 174 | 134 | 165 | 145 | 97 | 174 | 67 | 62 | 72 | 95 |

Table 13.2.2.b. FU 28-29 - Length Composition of Nephrops Females (1984-2015) (continued)

| Landings <br> Age/Year | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 48 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 18 | 0 |  | 3 | 10 | 88 | 14 | 26 | 12 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |
| 23 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 43 | 54 | 37 | 34 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | 49 | 7 | 10 | 19 | 62 | 135 | 44 | 53 | 25 | 22 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 3 |  |
| 25 | 24 | 15 | 11 | 36 | 101 | 129 | 55 | 130 | 23 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 10 | 5 |
| 26 | 81 | 24 | 15 | 67 | 211 | 272 | 113 | 227 | 38 | 80 | 12 | 3 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 7 |
| 27 | 139 | 34 | 34 | 67 | 266 | 294 | 152 | 298 | 73 | 138 | 20 | 7 | 40 | 36 | 17 | 13 |
| 28 | 64 | 44 | 107 | 98 | 336 | 242 | 179 | 355 | 81 | 170 | 26 | 7 | 51 | 33 | 23 | 23 |
| 29 | 171 | 90 | 127 | 173 | 395 | 420 | 392 | 458 | 123 | 149 | 51 | 4 | 130 | 59 | 60 | 39 |
| 30 | 152 | 131 | 237 | 241 | 406 | 654 | 321 | 365 | 145 | 205 | 67 | 7 | 164 | 119 | 80 | 85 |
| 31 | 131 | 167 | 195 | 152 | 334 | 565 | 305 | 317 | 129 | 132 | 99 | 26 | 330 | 129 | 99 | 143 |
| 32 | 283 | 316 | 296 | 360 | 530 | 857 | 510 | 409 | 252 | 209 | 145 | 45 | 397 | 290 | 203 | 208 |
| 33 | 153 | 184 | 467 | 270 | 433 | 448 | 272 | 253 | 182 | 110 | 91 | 51 | 195 | 194 | 105 | 146 |
| 34 | 235 | 252 | 429 | 314 | 400 | 462 | 341 | 386 | 177 | 122 | 140 | 96 | 297 | 278 | 202 | 167 |
| 35 | 193 | 158 | 470 | 255 | 324 | 254 | 249 | 351 | 187 | 103 | 120 | 56 | 165 | 232 | 188 | 303 |
| 36 | 225 | 174 | 351 | 194 | 222 | 203 | 162 | 213 | 103 | 83 | 144 | 60 | 138 | 166 | 153 | 203 |
| 37 | 213 | 144 | 302 | 203 | 178 | 182 | 142 | 240 | 121 | 90 | 119 | 73 | 98 | 199 | 151 | 162 |
| 38 | 85 | 108 | 300 | 206 | 151 | 178 | 152 | 247 | 134 | 83 | 106 | 151 | 76 | 206 | 148 | 171 |
| 39 | 92 | 112 | 213 | 160 | 113 | 89 | 173 | 138 | 123 | 86 | 95 | 113 | 46 | 61 | 121 | 136 |
| 40 | 79 | 133 | 186 | 284 | 136 | 84 | 114 | 109 | 125 | 62 | 80 | 68 | 46 | 67 | 145 | 134 |
| 41 | 66 | 79 | 110 | 170 | 82 | 73 | 129 | 73 | 95 | 83 | 65 | 65 | 37 | 41 | 66 | 104 |
| 42 | 67 | 91 | 80 | 192 | 122 | 116 | 112 | 56 | 75 | 94 | 52 | 80 | 35 | 65 | 90 | 87 |
| 43 | 41 | 55 | 87 | 132 | 70 | 70 | 44 | 16 | 30 | 25 | 28 | 80 | 33 | 9 | 27 | 54 |
| 44 | 49 | 56 | 57 | 75 | 66 | 61 | 46 | 21 | 24 | 43 | 40 | 41 | 27 | 13 | 40 | 58 |
| 45 | 23 | 29 | 51 | 68 | 66 | 50 | 35 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 9 | 17 | 56 |
| 46 | 38 | 33 | 40 | 37 | 51 | 39 | 54 | 19 | 14 | 22 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 36 |
| 47 | 52 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 44 | 35 | 23 | 9 | 26 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 16 |
| 48 | 25 | 12 | 24 | 28 | 37 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 20 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 8 |
| 49 | 21 | 15 | 19 | 18 | 24 | 24 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| 50 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 8 |
| 51 | 10 | 9 | 22 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 52 | 16 | 6 | 19 | 21 | 13 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 53 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 54 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 12 |
| 55 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| 56 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| 57 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 58 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 59 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 60 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 |
| 61 | 3 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 62 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 66 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 70 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2829 | 2540 | 4332 | 3969 | 5304 | 6240 | 4229 | 4871 | 2449 | 2211 | 1628 | 1138 | 2424 | 2306 | 2044 | 2446 |
| Landings (t) | 84 | 79 | 135 | 130 | 140 | 151 | 112 | 114 | 74 | 60 | 52 | 45 | 65 | 66 | 66 | 85 |



Figure 13.2.1. SW and S Portugal (FU 28+29): landings, effort, biomass indices and mean sizes of Nephrops in Portuguese landings and surveys. Note: Values of cpues and effort updated with the new cpue standardization.


Figure 13.2.2.a. SW and S Portugal (FU 28-29) male length distributions for the period 1984-2015.

Females


Figure 13.2.2.b. SW and S Portugal (FU 28-29) female length distributions for the period 1984-2015.


Figure 13.2.3. Spatial distribution of Nephrops biomass survey index in the period 2013-2015.


Figure 13.2.4 FUs 28-29: Landings of the two main target species of the Crustacean Fishery in the period 1984-2015.


Figure 13.2.5. Comparison of standardized and observed Nephrops cpue.

### 13.3 Nephrops in FU 30 (Gulf of Cadiz)

### 13.3.1 General

### 13.3.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See Annex L

### 13.3.1.2 Fishery description

See Annex L
13.3.1.3 ICES Advice for 2016 and Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

ICES Advice for 2016
The advice for these Nephrops stocks is biennial and valid for 2015 and 2016.
Based on the ICES precautionary approach, catches should be no more than 95 tonnes. All catches are assumed to be landed.

To protect the stock in this functional unit, ICES advises that management should be implemented at the functional unit level

## Management applicable for 2015 and 2016

A recovery plan for southern hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks has been in force since the end of January 2006. The aim of the recovery plan is to rebuild the stocks within 10 years, with a reduction of $10 \%$ in F relative to the previous year and the TAC set accordingly (Council Regulation (EC) No. 2166/2005).

An increase of mesh size to 55 mm was established since September of 2009 (Orden ARM/2515/2009) for the bottom-trawl fleet.

The TAC set for the whole Division 9.a was 254 t for 2015 and 320 t for 2016, respectively, of which no more than $6 \%$ may be taken in FUs 26 and 27. The maximum number of fishing days per vessel was fixed at 114 and 117 days for Spanish vessels and at 113 days for Portuguese vessels for these two years (Annex IIb of Council Regulations nos. 104/2015 and 72/2016). The number of fishing days included in these regulations is not applicable to the Gulf of Cadiz (FU 30), which has a different regime.

A modification of the Fishing Plan for the Gulf of Cadiz was established in 2014 (AAA/1710/2014). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the Nephrops quotas by vessel.

### 13.3.2 Data

### 13.3.2.1 Commercial catch and discard

Up to 2010, landings have been estimated by the WG based on IEO scientific estimations. The information was compiled by IEO from sale sheets and Owners Associations and the Nephrops landings allocation was carried out based on landing port criteria. Since 2011, the Spanish Authority for Fisheries (Secretaría General de Pesca, SGP) who is also the National Authority for the Data Collection Framework established a new policy and general approach in the provision of official data on catches and fishing effort. So, since 2011 Nephrops landings are official landings.

Unlike the IEO scientific estimates, official landings are derived from logbooks. This source of information allows the landings disaggregation by ICES statistical rectangles. In WGHMM 2013 it was noticed that some Nephrops catches were recorded into statistical rectangles outside the FU 30 definition. In 2012 and 2013, Nephrops catches recorded into statistical rectangles outside this FU were considered as part of the landings in FU 30. In 2014 Spanish landings of Nephrops have been uploaded to InterCatch broken down by ICES statistical rectangle for the first time according to the 2014 ICES Data Call requirements. However, only the landings recorded inside ICES statistical rectangles defined as FU 30 were uploaded to InterCatch, which correspond to 83.8\% of 2014 landings (WD № 3 Castro, 2015). In 2015, all catches were into FU 30 definition.

Landings in this FU are reported by Spain and also minor quantities by Portugal. Since WGHMM in 2010, Nephrops landings in Ayamonte port were incorporated in the Gulf of Cadiz time-series of landings, as well as directed effort and LPUE from 2002 (Tables 13.3.1 and 13.3.4). Nephrops total landings in FU 30 decreased from 108 t in 1994 to 49 t in 1996. After that, there has been an increasing trend, reaching 307 t in 2003, dropping to 246 t in 2005-2006 (with the exception for the year 2004 when a decrease of more than $50 \%$ was observed). In the 2008-2012 periods, landings remained relatively stable around 100 t but decreased to 26 t in 2013. The reason for this drop is that the quota in 2012 was exceeded and the European Commission applied a sanction of 75.49 t to be paid in 3 years (in 2013-2015 period). So, the Nephrops fishery was closed in 2013 and vessels could only go fishing Nephrops a few days in summer and winter. Landings were 15 t and 25 t in 2014 and 2015, respectively. A modification of the regulation implemented for the Spanish Administration for the Gulf of Cadiz grounds in 2014 (Orden AAA/1710/2014) establishes the assignment of Nephrops quotas by vessel. These facts may have caused unreported Nephrops landings in two last years.
Information on discards was sent to the WG through Intercatch. The discarding rate of Nephrops in this fishery fluctuates annually but is always low or zero and the discards are considered negligible (Table 13.3.2). Figure 13.3.2 shows the estimated length frequency distributions of the discarded and retained Nephrops by trip for the annual discarding program.

### 13.3.2.2 Biological sampling

The sampling level for the species is given in Table 1.3.
Figure 13.3.3a and 13.3.3b show the annual landings length distribution for males, females and both sexes combined during the period 2001-2015. The length composition of landings is biased for the period 2001 to 2005 since the sampling of landings was not stratified by commercial categories (Silva et al., 2006). A new sampling scheme was applied from 2006-2008 and the information was more reliable. The mean sizes for both sexes remained relatively stable after the sampling scheme was changed, around 29 mm CL for sexes combined.
Since 2009, onboard concurrent sampling is carried out, as required by the DCF (Reg. EC 1343/2007). Outside the Nephrops fishing season, a larger proportion of observer trips are likely to not cover Nephrops catches whereas when the directed Nephrops sampling were carried out in harbours in the past, the length distribution of landings were covered in all months. This fact could reduce the consistency of the length distribution of the catches. The number of monthly sampling in 2013 was probably influenced by the closure of Nephrops fishery.

Mean size of males and females in Nephrops landings in the period 2001-2015 are shown in Figure 13.3.1. The mean sizes show a slight increasing trend from 2006-2013
( 35.3 mm CL in males and 31.9 mm CL in females). In 2014 and 2015, the mean size in females was highest than males the opposite of what it should be expected. It could be due problems in the sampling. This fact is being investigated in collaboration with the observed. The number of sampling and the number of individuals sampled is low and they could distort the sex-ratio and the mean size in both sexes. The sampling effort should be increased to improve the quality of the commercial length distributions.

### 13.3.2.3 Abundance indices from surveys

The biomass and the abundance indices of Nephrops by depth strata, estimated from the Spanish bottom-trawl spring surveys (SPGF-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) carried out from 1993 to 2014 are shown in Table 13.3.3.

Two different periods can be observed in the time-series. From 1993-1998 the overall abundance index trend was decreasing, while from 1998-2009 the index has remained stable although fluctuating widely in some years, except in 2004, which value was the lowest value in the time-series. In 2010 the deeper strata ( $500-700 \mathrm{~m}$ ) were not sampled due to a reduction in number of the survey the days, as a consequence of adverse weather conditions. Therefore, only the abundance index for the strata 200-500 m is available for 2010 (Table 13.3.3) and its value is similar to the corresponding strata in previous year. The abundance index was lower in 2011 and 2012 but it increased strongly in 2013 and 2014 (Table 13.3.3). A decline of the survey index was observed in 2015. In this WG, the survey index in 2016 is presented too. The survey abundance index shows an increasing trend since 2012 suggesting that the Nephrops abundance stock is not in bad conditions (Figure 13.3.4). This survey is not specifically directed to Nephrops and is not carried out during the main Nephrops fishing season but it shows a similar trend to the commercial LPUE in the time-series except from 2014 and 2015.

The length distributions of Nephrops obtained in the Spanish bottom-trawl spring surveys (SPGF-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) during the period 2001-2015 are presented in Figure 13.3.5a and Figure 13.3.5b. The time-series of Nephrops mean sizes for males, females and combined sexes obtained in these surveys are shown in Figure 13.3.6. No apparent trends are observed. The mean size ranged in 2015 was 32.2 mm carapace length for males and 27.8 for females.

An exploratory Nephrops UWTV survey on the Gulf of Cadiz fishing grounds was carried out in 2014 within the framework of a project supported by Biodiversity Foundation (Spanish Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment) and European Fisheries Fund (EFF) (Vila et al., 2014). Currently, two UWTV surveys are available (2014 and 2015) and the next UWTV survey in FU30 will be carried out in June 2016. UWTV surveys results will be exploited in the Benchmark Workshop on Nephrops Stocks (WKNEP) planned for October 2016.

### 13.3.2.4 Commercial catch- Effort data

Figure 13.3.1 and Table 13.3.4 show directed Nephrops effort estimates and LPUE series modified after the incorporation of data from Ayamonte port since 2002.

The directed fishing effort trend is clearly increasing from 1994-2005, where the highest value of the time-series was recorded ( 4336 fishing days). After that, the effort declined to $2008(73 \%)$ remaining relatively stable during the 2008-2012 period. The closure of the Nephrops fishery resulted in a decrease of the fishing effort in 2013 (262 fishing days). In 2014 and 2015 fishing effort slightly increased in relation to the previous year but remained at low level (294 fishing days) (Figure 13.3.1).

LPUE obtained from the directed effort shows a gradual decrease from 1994 to 1998. After 1998, the trend slightly increases until 2003. In 2004, the LPUE decreases to the lowest value recorded ( $44.3 \mathrm{Kg} /$ fishing day). LPUE then increased until 2008 around $60 \%$. Since 2008 LPUE have declined to $50 \mathrm{Kg} /$ fishing day in 2009 and $45.5 \mathrm{Kg} /$ fishing day in 2010 (about $30 \%$ less with respect to 2008). Since 2010, LPUE shows an increasing trend with a high rise in 2013. In 2014, LPUE drop but increased again in 2015 (Figure 13.3.1). LPUE in 2013 must be taken with caution as it does not cover the whole year due of the closure of the Nephrops fishery the most part of the year which increases the uncertainty associated with the LPUE index. Moreover, the assignment of Nephrops quotas by vessel implemented in 2014 might have caused unreported landings and to contribute to the increases the uncertainty of the commercial index in 2014 and 2015.

The overall LPUE trend is quite similar to the abundance survey index in the stratum of 200-700 m from 1996-2013 (no survey was carried out in 2003) despite the survey index have fluctuated in some years (Figure 13.3.4). The lowest values were detected in 2004 in both series. In 2008, the abundance survey index was well above the commercial LPUE, however, the abundance index drop in 2009 agrees with the commercial LPUE. This fact may be explained by the increase of the rose shrimp abundance in 2008. The increased abundance of rose shrimp is believed to have led to a change in the objectives of the fishery, as rose shrimp achieves a higher market value and its fishing grounds, shallower ( $90-380 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and closer to the coast. In 2014 and 2015 LPUE index and abundance survey index show two different signals probably due to the special situation after the penalty in 2012. The LPUE decreasing while the survey index is increasing in 2014 but the values in both indices are inverse in 2015 (Figure 13.3.5).

### 13.3.3 Assessment

According to the ICES data-limited approach, this stock is considered as category 3.2.0 (ICES, 2012). FU 30 is assessed by the analysis of the LPUE series trend, as was done in 2014. The update of the commercial directed Nephrops LPUE series shows an increase in relation to the previous year. .The survey abundance index indicates an increasing trend since 2012 if the index in 2016 presented in this WG is taken into account.

### 13.3.4 Biological reference points

Proxies of MSY reference points were defined using the methods developed in WKLIFE and WKProxy (ICES, 2015, 2016d). Fo.1, taken as proxy of Fmsy, from lengthbased analysis for the period 1994-2014 was 0.36 for males and 0.63 for females but the value of MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ proxy is not available.

### 13.3.5 Management considerations

Nephrops fishery is taken in mixed bottom-trawl fisheries; therefore HCRs applied to other species will affect this stock.

In 2013 and 2014, Nephrops fishery was closed the most part of the year because the quota in 2012 was exceeded and a sanction for the European Commission to be paid in 3 years was applied.

A Recovery Plan for the Iberian stocks of hake and Nephrops was approved in December 2005 (CE 2166/2005). This recovery plan includes a reduction of $10 \%$ in $F$ relative to the previous year and TAC set accordingly, within the limits of $\pm 15 \%$ of the previous year TAC. By derogation, a different method of effort management method is applied to the Gulf of Cadiz.

Different Fishing Plans for the Gulf of Cadiz have been established by the Spanish Administration since 2004 in order to reduce the fishing effort of the bottom-trawl fleet (ORDENES APA/3423/2004, APA/2858/2005, APA/2883/2006, APA/2801/2007, ARM/2515/2009, ARM/58/2010, ARM/2457/2010; AAA/627/2013). Last plan continue establishing a closed fishing season to 45 days, between September and November, plus 5 additional days to be selected by the ship owner during the duration of this Plan. The potential effect of the closed seasons on the Nephrops population has not been evaluated. Additionally, an increase of mesh size to 55 mm or more was implemented at the end of 2009 in order to reduce discards of individuals below the minimum landing size. In 2014, a modification of last Fishing Plan for the Gulf of Cadiz was established (AAA/1710/2014). This new regulation establishes an assignation of the Nephrops quotas by vessel.

Regulations were established by the Regional Administration with the aim of distributing the fishing effort throughout the year (Resolutions: $13^{\text {th }}$ February 2008, BOJA n ${ }^{-}$ 40; $16^{\text {th }}$ February 2009, BOJA n ${ }^{\circ} 36 ; 23^{\text {th }}$ November 2009, BOJA n ${ }^{\circ} 235$; $15^{\text {th }}$ October 2010, BOJA n ${ }^{\circ}$ 209). These regional regulations controlled the days and time when the Gulf of Cadiz bottom-trawl fleet can enter or leave fishing ports. Although the regulations varied among them, they generally allowed a large flexibility during late spring and summer (e.g. the 2010 Regulation established a continuous period from Monday 3 am to Thursday 9 pm during May-August, that was implemented in 2011), which is the main Nephrops fishing season, with more restricted time period in other months. This flexibility in summer might have induced fleets from the ports closer to Nephrops grounds, such as Ayamonte or Isla Cristina, to direct their fishing effort to this species between 2008 and 2011. Currently, this regulation is not implemented.

Table 13.3.1. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cadiz: Landings in tonnes.

| Year | Spain $^{* *}$ | Portugal | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 108 |  | 108 |
| 1995 | 131 |  | 131 |
| 1996 | 49 |  | 49 |
| 1997 | 97 |  | 97 |
| 1998 | 85 |  | 85 |
| 1999 | 120 |  | 120 |
| 2000 | 129 |  | 129 |
| 2001 | 178 |  | 178 |
| 2002 | 262 |  | 262 |
| 2003 | 303 | 4 | 307 |
| 2004 | 143 | 4 | 147 |
| 2005 | 243 | 3 | 246 |
| 2006 | 242 | 4 | 246 |
| 2007 | 211 | 4 | 215 |
| 2008 | 117 | 3 | 120 |
| 2009 | 117 | 2 | 119 |
| 2010 | 106 | 1 | 107 |
| 2011 | 93 | 3 | 96 |
| 2012 | 115 | 1 | 116 |
| 2013 | 26 | $<1$ | 27 |
| 2014 | 14 | $<1$ | 15 |
| 2015 | 25 | $<1$ | 25 |

** Ayamonte landings are included since 2002
Table 13.3.2. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cadiz: Mean carapace length of the discarded and retained fraction of Nephrops, and percentage of discarded (2005-2015) for the annual discarding program.

|  | MEAN CARAPACE LENGTH (mm) |  | \%DISCARDED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discarded fraction | Retained fraction | Weight | Number |
| 2005 | 23.4 | 33.5 | 5.2 | 15.2 |
| 2006 | 20.5 | 29.4 | 4.6 | 11.8 |
| 2007 | 23.2 | 33.7 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| 2008 | 20.8 | 35.2 | 2.5 | 7.7 |
| 2009 | 21.2 | 30.2 | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| 2010 | 21.9 | 31.7 | 1.3 | 4.5 |
| 2011 | - | 32.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | - | 32.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2013 | 23.9 | 32.7 | 3.7 | 10.9 |
| 2014 | - | 34.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2015 | 21.2 | 33.6 | 2.0 | 5.4 |

Table 13.3.3. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cadiz. Abundance index from Spanish bottom-trawl spring surveys (SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1).

| Spanish bottom trawl spring surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200-5 | eters | 500-7 | ters | 200-7 | ters |
| Year | Kg/60' | $\mathrm{Nb} / 60{ }^{\prime}$ | Kg/60' | $\mathrm{Nb} / 60^{\prime}$ | Kg/60' | $\mathrm{Nb} / 60^{\prime}$ |
| 1993 | 0.77 | 19 | 1.16 | 34 | 0.95 | 26 |
| 1994 | 1.23 | 31 | 0.60 | 8 | 0.94 | 21 |
| 1995 | 0.55 | 8 | ** | ** | na | na |
| 1996 | 0.56 | 10 | 1.33 | 29 | 0.93 | 19 |
| 1997 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.70 | 23 | 0.38 | 12 |
| 1998 | 0.40 | 16 | 0.23 | 7 | 0.30 | 11 |
| 1999 | 0.50 | 15 | 0.28 | 7 | 0.41 | 12 |
| 2000 | 0.22 | 7 | 0.57 | 15 | 0.37 | 10 |
| 2001 | 0.32 | 8 | 0.61 | 14 | 0.44 | 11 |
| 2002 | 0.49 | 17 | 0.45 | 11 | 0.47 | 14 |
| 2003 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 2004 | 0.15 | 5 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.15 | 5 |
| 2005 | 0.54 | 18 | 0.76 | 25 | 0.64 | 21 |
| 2006 | 0.24 | 6 | 0.66 | 20 | 0.42 | 12 |
| 2007 | 0.44 | 16 | 0.23 | 9 | 0.35 | 13 |
| 2008 | 0.88 | 26 | 0.81 | 14 | 0.85 | 20 |
| 2009 | 0.64 | 18 | 0.30 | 4 | 0.37 | 9 |
| 2010 | 0.63 | 20 | ** | ** | na | na |
| 2011 | 0.35 | 11 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.23 | 7 |
| 2012 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.22 | 4 | 0.18 | 4 |
| 2013 | 0.36 | 13 | 1.39 | 51 | 0.79 | 29 |
| 2014 | 2.97 | 84 | 0.50 | 9 | 1.92 | 52 |
| 2015 | 1.04 | 45 | 1.58 | 52 | 1.27 | 48 |
| 2016 | 4.3 | 194 | 0.50 | 15 | 2.73 | 118 |
| ns = no survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{* *}=$ no sampled |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2016{ }^{*}=$ Pro | al data |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.3.4. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cádiz. Total landings and landings, LPUE and effort at the bottom-trawl fleet making fishing trips with at least $10 \%$ Nephrops catches.

| Year | **Total landings <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ | *Landings <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ | *LPUE <br> $(\mathbf{k g} /$ day $)$ | *Effort <br> (Fishing days) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 108 | 90 | 98.6 | 915 |
| 1995 | 131 | 107 | 99.4 | 1079 |
| 1996 | 49 | 40 | 88.2 | 458 |
| 1997 | 97 | 75 | 79.2 | 943 |
| 1998 | 85 | 51 | 62.3 | 811 |
| 1999 | 120 | 83 | 66.2 | 1259 |
| 2000 | 129 | 90 | 60.6 | 1484 |
| 2001 | 178 | 130 | 67.7 | 1924 |
| 2002 | 262 | 196 | 69.4 | 2827 |
| 2003 | 307 | 214 | 75.4 | 2840 |
| 2004 | 147 | 98 | 44.3 | 2206 |
| 2005 | 246 | 228 | 52.7 | 4336 |
| 2006 | 246 | 227 | 64.0 | 3555 |
| 2007 | 215 | 198 | 63.7 | 3105 |
| 2008 | 120 | 84 | 72.9 | 1150 |
| 2009 | 119 | 83 | 50.0 | 1653 |
| 2010 | 107 | 73 | 45.5 | 1603 |
| 2011 | 97 | 62 | 54.6 | 1135 |
| 2012 | 116 | 80 | 58.0 | 1380 |
| 2013 | 27 | 24 | 92.1 | 262 |
| 2014 | 15 | 12 | 40.1 | 293 |
| 2015 | 25 | 17 | 58.8 | 294 |

*Landings, LPUE and fishing effort from fishing trips with at least $10 \%$ Nephrops.


Figure 13.3.1. Nephrops FU 30, Gulf of Cádiz. Long-term trends in landings, Nephrops directed effort and LPUE and mean sizes.


Figure 13.3.2. Nephrops FU 30, Gulf of Cadiz. Length distribution of retained and discarded fractions Nephrops from discards program (2005-2015 period).
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Figure 13.3.3a. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cádiz. Length distributions of landings for the period 20012010.


Figure 13.3.3b. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cadiz. Length distributions of landings for the period 20112015.


* 1995 and 2010: strata 500-700 m no sampled
** 2003: no survey

Figure 13.3.4. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cádiz, Abundance index from Spanish bottom-trawl spring surveys (SPGFS-cspr-WIBT-Q1) and commercial directed Nephrops LPUE from the bottom-trawl fleet.
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Figure 13.3.5a. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cádiz. Length distributions from Spanish bottom-trawl surveys (SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) for 2001-2012 period.


Figure 13.3.5b. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cádiz. Length distributions from Spanish bottom-trawl surveys (SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) for 2013-2015 period.


Figure 13.3.6. Nephrops FU30, Gulf of Cádiz. Mean size in spring bottom-trawl surveys (SPGFS-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) for the period 2001-2015.

## 14 Sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in Division 8.a,b (European sea bass)

### 14.1 ICES advice applicable to 2016 (June 2015)

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, commercial catches should be no more than 2634 tonnes in each of the years 2016 and 2017.All commercial catches are assumed to be landed. Recreational catches cannot be quantified; therefore, total catches cannot be calculated [...]".

### 14.2 General

### 14.2.1 Stock ID and substock structure

Bass Dicentrarchus labrax is a widely distributed species in Northeast Atlantic shelf waters with a range from southern Norway, through the North Sea, the Irish Sea, the Bay of Biscay, the Mediterranean and the Black Sea to Northwest Africa. The species is at the northern limits of its range around the British Isles and southern Scandinavia. Stock identity of European sea bass was reviewed by WGNEW 2012 and further considered at ICES IBP-NEW 2012. The other stock units defined for sea bass are: west of Scotland and Ireland (6.a and 7.b,j); $4 . \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}+7 . \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{h} ; 8 . \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and the more southerly population in 8.c 9.a (Figure 14-1). The IBP New 2012 reports that it is clear that further studies are needed on sea bass stock identity, using conventional and electronic tagging, genetics and other individual and population markers (e.g. otolith microchemistry and shape), together with data on spawning distribution, larval transport and VMS data for vessels tracking migrating sea bass shoals, to confirm and quantify the exchange rate of sea bass between sea areas that could form management units for this stock. In the absence of new information the pragmatic view of WGBIE2016 is to continue to assume the presence of discreete sea bass stocks off southern Ireland and in the Bay of Biscay (8.a,b) and Iberian waters (8.c, 9.a).


Figure 14-1 : stock sea bass units defined at ICES (IBP new 2012)

### 14.2.2 Management applicable to 2015

Sea bass are not subject to EU TACs and quotas. Under EU regulation, the minimum landing size (MLS) of bass in the Northeast Atlantic is 36 cm total length, a variety of national restrictions on commercial bass fishing are also in place. These include:

- An historical landings limit of $5 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{boat} /$ week for French and UK trawlers landing bass (which was not based on a biological point of reference). In France from 2012, following the implementation of a national licensing system for commercial gears targeting sea bass, the landings limits have slightly changed (depending on season and gear) ${ }^{1}$.
- A licensing system from 2012 in France for commercial gears targeting sea bass in order to fix the level of the French commercial fishery (1)
- A MLS of 42 cm for the French recreational fisheries has been implemented in 2013 (French association of anglers)
- A Voluntary closed season from February to mid-March for longline and handline bass fisheries in Brittany, France;


### 14.2.3 Management applicable to 2016

No new management plan is known at present in the Bay of Biscay. For information in $4 . b, c$ and $7 . a, d-h$ (North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea) the European Council has adopted measures to help sea bass recover (Recent scientific analyses have reinforced previous concerns about the state of the stock and advised urgently to reduce fishing by $80 \%$ ). Effective emergency measures in January 2015 placed a ban on targeting the fish stock by pair-trawling while it is reproducing, during the spawning season, which runs until the end of April 2015.; a 3-fish bag limit for recreational fishers; a monthly catch limit (1.5t for pelagic trawlers; 1.8 t for bottom trawlers; 1 t for driftnets; 1.3 t for liners; 3 t for purse-seiners) and an increase in the minimum size of northern sea bass : 36 cm to 42 cm from July 2015 (source : http://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/cfp/fishing_rules/sea bass/index_en.htm).Measures were completed in 2016, banning landings depending on gears and months (Figure 14-2) .

[^6]| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 2016 \\ \text { measures } \end{array}$ | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom trawlers | $\begin{gathered} X \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} X \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { X } \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} X \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Seiners | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | X <br> (1\% by catch) | $\begin{gathered} \hline X \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Pelagic trawlers | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Drift Gillnets | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Hooks | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |
| Lines | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |
| Set Gillnets | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |

Figure 14-2 : summary of the 2016 measures adopted by EC for Sea bass in 4.b,c and 7.a, d-h (North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea)

### 14.3 Fisheries data

### 14.3.1 Commercial landings data

Sea bass in the Bay of Biscay, are targeted by France (more than $96 \%$ of international landings in 2015) by line fisheries, nets (mainly from November to April on prespawning and spawning grounds when sea bass is aggregated), pelagic trawlers, and in a mixed bottom-trawl fisheries. In 2015 nets represent $35 \%$ of the landings of the area, lines (handlines+longlines) $26 \%$, bottom trawl $16 \%$, and pelagic trawl $8 \%$ (but It has to be note that pelagic trawlers were used from 2000-2008 to catch around $25 \%$ of the landings of the area decreasing to 9 (the pelagic fishery take place at present essentially in the Channel before 2015).

A high increase in the French landings for the net fishery is observed from 2011. An average of 585 tons during the period 2000-2012 is landed. In 2013, 834 tons have been landed, and 1131 tons in 2014. The main reason is the decrease of sole quotas from 2011 and an effort report on sea bass which become more targeted during the spawning season in winter, combined with good weather condition in 2014 and an increase in fishing technicality. In 2015 a decrease in landings for all gear (except purse-seiners) is observed. French landings by métier are presented in Figure 14-3

Spain is responsible for $3 \%$ of the catches of the area (8.b essentially) in 2015, mainly with bottom trawlers. Spanish bass landings from Division 8.a,b,d have increased to around 20 tons in the 90 's to around 150 tons in the middle of the 2000 's, then a peak to 317 tons in 2011. 71 tons have been landed in 2015.

Table 14-1 presents official and Ices commercial landings.

### 14.3.2 Length compositions: commercial landings

Error! Reference source not found. gives fleet-raised length compositions for all rench gears (2015 provisional)

### 14.3.3 Commercial discards

### 14.3.3.1 France

Discarding of sea bass by commercial fisheries can occur where fishing takes place in areas with bass smaller than the minimum landing size ( 36 cm in most European countries), and where mesh sizes $<100 \mathrm{~mm}$ are in use. For 2009 it's estimated to be 44 tons, for 2010, 20 tons for 2011, 37 tons for 201268 tons for 2013

Discarding is thought to be low In 2014, very small number of sebass have been sampled ( 160 fish have been measured at sea in $2014,65 \%$ for bottom trawlers, $28 \%$ for nets and $7 \%$ longlines and handlines).

In 2015 numbers of fish sampled is the same than in 2014 (163). This may indicate discarding is low in the area. Estimates of discarding is 69 tonnes for 2015 (3\% of discarding for the whole fishery)

### 14.3.3.2 Spain

Observer data from Spanish vessels fishing in Areas 8, have shown there was no sea bass discard from 2003. No information in 2015 were available on discards for WGBIE.

### 14.3.4 Recreational catches

Recreational marine fishery surveys in Europe are still at an early stage in development (ICES WGRFS 2012). A French study targeting sea bass was conducted between 2009 and 2011 in $8 . a, 8 . b, 7 e, 7 h, 7 d, 4 \mathrm{c}$. Estimates of sea bass catches were obtained from a panel of 121 recreational fishers recruited during a random digit dialling screening survey of 15000 households in the targeted districts (Atlantic and Chanel). The estimated recreational catch of bass in the Bay of Biscay and in the Channel was 3,170t of which $2,350 \mathrm{t}$ was kept and 830 t released. The precision of the combined Biscay \& Channel estimate is relatively low (CV $=-26 \%$; note that the figure of $51 \%$ given in IBP-NEW 2012 was incorrect). This makes the confidence interval at $95 \%$ of the average (3170t) to [1554t; 4786t].

### 14.3.5 Abundance Indices

No pre and post-recruit surveys are available for the area. In 2015 a study "French Logbook data analysis 2000-2013: possible contribution to the discussion of the sea bass stock(s) structure/annual abundance indices. Alain Laurec, M.Drogou" has been conducted and presented in a Working Document (reference: WD_12).

### 14.4 Assessment

In 2015 WGBIE proposed to upgrade stock 8.a,b from category 5 to category 3.2

### 14.4.1 Annual indices of abundance

The working document (A.Laurec; M.Drogou 2015) has been presented to WGBIE 2015. Annual indices of abundance have been assessed by the group. The assessment is also based on the analysis of lpues and total catches. The method uses a multiplicative model with a vessel effect (hull $x$ gear group) and a stratum effect
(area*month*year) A logarithmic transformation (in practice decimal logarithms are used) is provided, which excludes using zero catches, which transforms the multiplicative model in an additive model. The vessel effect (in the multiplicative model "not transformed") is the relative fishing power, with a geometric mean of all the boats being forced to 1 . The strata effects is reduced in apparent abundance expressed as landings by effort unit of a medium vessel, with zero logarithmic power and untransformed power. The adjustment is done by minimizing the sum of squared deviations, (logarithms), between predicted values (log10 of fishing power of a vessel $+\log 10$ of apparent abundance in the stratum) and observed value (log of capture/effort). It is possible to use not just the sum of squared deviations, but the sum of the weighted deviations for each data given by the effort.

The apparent abundances correspond to the daily landings of an average standard vessel (effort data in hours not enough accurate in logbooks)

The software uses a suitable algorithm, which, in contrast to common linearizable models adjustment, avoid to have to invert a matrix, and is therefore much faster. It thus offers much more limited computing time, which is very useful when processing large amounts of data, and / or when bootstrap techniques are used. Moreover the software used includes a possible data selection in order to conduct the analysis by eliminating (i) some individual vessels and/or some gears and (ii) some geographical areas or some periods.

### 14.4.1.1 Calculation updated of commercial catch advice from WGBIE 2016

During WGBIE 2015, "old" index was calculated from July to June in order to take into account the whole spawning season (December-March). This lead to some issues to compare it to landings given in a calendar year. For WGBIE 2016, indices («new Index) have been re-evaluated from January to December in order to be consistent with landings. Trends are the same (Figure 14-3 and Table 14-3). After an increase observed during last decade, 2015 shows a stabilization.


Figure 14-3 : Comparison between "old" Index provided for WGBIE 2015 and "new" Index provided for WGBIE 2016.

### 14.4.2 Calculation history used for commercial catch advice (details in SA)

### 14.4.2.1 Calculation of commercial catch advice from WGBIE 2015

For data-limited stocks for which a biomass index is available, ICES uses a harvest control rule based on an index-adjusted status quo catch. The draft advice in 2015 was based on a comparison of the 3 most recent biomass index values with the 4 preceding values, combined with recent catch or landings data (the 3:4 rule)

Any visual check of apparent abundance time-series reveals the combination of a strong seasonal effect, a multiannual trend and apparent added noise. The strongest seasonal effect corresponds to what will be interpreted as spawning migrations and concentrations which take place in late autumn and winter.

During WGBIE 2015, it has been decided not to use the usual calendar year from January to December, but a 12 months period from July to the following June month, the apparent abundance being for most squares low in June-July, without major changes between June and the following July month. Nerveless the analysis has also been carried out using the basic calendar year on a dataseries from 2000-2013. It led to the same seasonal patterns which are simply more difficult to follow between December and January, when the main part of the landings are taken (which corresponds to the spawning season in the Bay of Biscay).

The Working Group decided to retain the seasonal LPUE index as each yearly index fully covers the spawning season (December to March) when the main fishery occurs.

For calculating catch option, mean of landings from 2007-2013 has been calculated. A large period has been retained because of the sea bass long life duration (up to 28
years). For Sea bass the biomass is estimated to have increased by more than $30 \%$ between the periods 2008-2011 (average of the 4 years) and 2012-2013 (average of the 3 years). This implies an increase in landings of at most $20 \%$. When the uncertainty cap in relation to the average landings of the last 7 years (2007-2013) is applied, this corresponds to landings in 2016 of no more than 3037 t . Considering that landings in the net fisheries has increased significantly (the bulk of the net fishery historically targets sole and to a lesser extent sea bass but reports effort on sea bass increasing after the decrease of the sole quota from 2012), an additional precautionary action is needed. This would lead to landings of no more than 2437t. The 3:4 Rule was applied based on:

1) High longevity of sea bass (up to 28 years' old)

2 ) Landings in 2014 were very high (exceptional year due to very good weather condition for netters which take the bulk of the landings during spawning season)

### 14.4.2.2 Calculation of commercial catch advice from ADG (final Advice 2015)

Following ADG, the 2:3 Rule was finally applied to produce the sea bass advice 2015. The latest Ices advice is also based on a comparison of the two latest index values (index A) with the three preceding values (index B), multiplied by the recent average landings. The index is estimated to have increased by more than $20 \%$ and thus the uncertainty cap was applied. The stock status relative to candidate reference points is unknown. Therefore, the precautionary buffer was applied to the advice.

### 14.4.2.3 Calculation of commercial catch advice from ADG technical notes (June 2015)

"It has been shown that the 2:3 rule has some flaws. An alternative that has been floating on the fringes of the DLS approach is the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {proxy }}$ approach. Given a biomass index and the catches one can calculate the historical $\mathrm{F}_{\text {proxy }}$ as

$$
{ }_{\text {proxy }} F_{y}=\frac{Y_{y}}{U_{y}}
$$

Know the question becomes what would be a reasonable advisory $\mathrm{F}_{\text {proxy. }}$. In the 2:3 world the most recent $\mathrm{F}_{\text {proxy }}$ is used implicitly. With a potential considering of adding a $20 \%$ uncertainty buffer. Results are presented below in Table 14-1

Table 14-1 : Commercial catch advice calculated from Technical notes

| Base : WGBIE 2015. Reviewed with Technical Notes with old Index |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | LANDINGS | INDEX | Fproxy (Landings/Index) |
| 2012 | 2.546 | 1.3 | 1.96 |
| 2013 | 2.685 | 1.52 | 1.77 |
| 2014 | 2.991 | 1.61 | 1.86 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean Fproxy 2012-2014 |  | Fproxy_mean | 1.86 |
| 20\% uncertainty buffer (reducing) |  | Fproxy_mean*0.8 (1) | 1.49 |
| 2014 Index (2) |  |  | 1.61 |
| TAC2016 =(1)*(2) |  |  | 2399 |

### 14.4.2.4 Various scenarios for commercial catch advice with various option

Table 14-2 summarizes commercial catch advice resulting from various option, including the use of the new Index.

Table 14-2 : comparison of commercial catch advice using various calculations

| Origins of calculation | Iatest year <br> used | Index used* | Method used | Commercial <br> catch advice <br> resulting |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original calculation : WGBIE 2015 | 2014 | "old index" | $3: 4$ rule | 2437 tonnes |
| Final Advice 2015 (reference) | 2014 | "old index" | $2: 3$ rule | 2634 tonnes |
| Final Advice 2015 | 2014 | "new index" | $2: 3$ rule | 2194 tonnes |
| Technical Notes (ADG) | 2014 | "old index" | Fproxy <br> approach | 2399 tonnes |
| Technical Notes (ADG) | 2014 | "new index" | Fproxy <br> approach | 2306 tonnes |
| WGBIE 2016 "new" assessment reviewed <br> with 2015 data available | 2015 | "new index" | Fproxy <br> approach | 2178 tonnes |

*"old index" calculated from July to June (2000-2014)
"New index" calculated from January to December (2000-2015)

Using the new index with the 2:3 rule is compared to the 2015 final advice. Results indicates that commercial catches should be no more than 2194 tonnes (compared to 2634 tonnes with old Index). The large difference observed is due to the non-use of the uncertainty cap factor (1.2).

If the new index is used on the basis of the technical notes (ADG), results indicates that commercial catches should be no more than 2306 tonnes (compared to 2399 tonnes with old Index), which look pretty consistent.

Finally if the new index is used on the basis of the technical notes (ADG), but including this time the more recent data (2015), results indicates that commercial catches should be no more than 2178 tonnes

### 14.4.3 Conclusion of assessment

Those various scenario have been discussed during WGBIE 2016 in order to reopen the advice if necessary. The group decided not to modify it. The main reasons are:

- The method to calculate the Index is still under development. A test is conducted using a model with 4 factors instead of a multiplicative model with a vessel effect (hull $x$ gear group) and a stratum effect (area*month*year).The model with 4 factors corresponds to another approach, while probably leading to similar results. This time there would have no prior separation of vessel effects and strata effects in order to extract year effects and months effects: the new method would immediately imposed a model with a vessel effect, an Ices square effect and in each Ices square an year effect and a month effect.
- Even if indices available at present have similar trends from 2000, results in commercial catch advice (if not using Fproxy approach), can lead to various results.
- A full benchmark will occur in 2017 with Bss $8 . a, b$ and Bss 47 , which could lead to an assessment for Bss 8.a,b including all data available (age structure
of the area, exchange rate with Bss 47, and commercial Index from French logbook which will be possibly fix at this time)

At this stage, it has to be note that a decrease in landings is observed in 2015, and trends in the indices indicate a stabilization.

### 14.5 Future Research and data requirements

There are several important limitations to knowledge of sea bass populations, and deficiencies in data, that should be addressed in order to improve the assessments and advice for sea bass in the NE Atlantic. WGBIE 2016 makes the following recommendations:

The establishment of dedicated surveys on nurseries and tagging data on small fish could provide valuable information on trends in abundance and population structure of bass

Recruitment indices are needed for a wider geographic range including the Celtic/Irish Sea and Biscay areas.

Further research is needed to better understand the spatial dynamics of sea bass (mixing between ICES areas; effects of site fidelity on fishery affects; spawning site - recruitment ground linkages; environmental influences)

Studies are needed to investigate the accuracy/bias in ageing, and errors due to age sampling schemes historically

Continued estimation of recreational catches is needed across the stock range, and information to evaluate historical trends in recreational effort and catches would be beneficial for interpreting changes in age-length compositions over time.

### 14.6 Management plans

No management plan is known at present for the $8 . a, b$ stock.

### 14.7 Management consideration

Sea bass are characterized by slow growth, late maturity and low natural mortality on adults, which imply the need for comparatively low rates of fishing mortality to avoid depletion of spawning potential in each year class. In the 4.b,c, 7.a,d-h stock, dynamic of the stock is closely dependant to some year of good or very poor recruitment. It could be also the case in the Bay of Biscay.
The importance of sea bass to recreational fisheries, artisanal and other inshore commercial fisheries and large-scale offshore fisheries in different regions means that resource sharing is an important management consideration

The effects of targeting of offshore spawning aggregations of sea bass are poorly understood, particularly how the fishing effort is distributed in relation to mixing of fish from different nursery grounds or summer feeding grounds, given the strong site fidelity of sea bass.

As bass is, at present, a non-TAC species, there is potential for displacement of fishing effort from other species with limiting quotas as observed with nets in Bay of Biscay.

With no effective control on the fishery to limit the increase of the landings as observed in 2014, risks are taken unless strong year classes are produced (a very close parallel
could be done with the historic of sea bass fishery in 4.b,c and 7.a,d-h (North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea).

### 14.8 Recommendations for next benchmark assessment

WGBIE proposes a benchmark for 2017 to:
WGCSE and WGBIE proposed a full benchmark for 2017, preferably in conjunction with the other stocks of sea bass particularly the "North" stock. ICES, WGBIE 2015 encouraged documentation of the quality of the sea bass data for the Bay of Biscay, and studies to better understand the stock dynamics and movements between the current stock areas. In the longer term, Stock Synthesis could be configured to include spatially disaggregated data covering populations within Areas 4,7 and 8 , with estimates of exchange rates between the areas.

In 2016, a dedicated survey on nurseries in Bay of Biscay is tested in order to provide a valuable information on trends in abundance and population structure of bass. Benchmark would have to review preliminary data from the survey.

Linked with stock structure, WGBIE preconizes to have a data call including data from Spanish logbook in order to support the French analysis studying stock structuration through logbook analysis.

Table 143 Sea bass in the 8.a,b area. ICES and official landings (tons).

| VIIIab | Belgium | France | France | Netherlands | Spain | Spain | UK(Eng+Wales $+\mathrm{N} . \mid r l+S \cot$ lan d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | o ffic ial stats | o ffic ial stats | Ices stats | offic ialstats | o fficial stats | Ices <br> stats | offic ial stats |
| 1978 | 0 | 1146 | 1146 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 1132 | 1132 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 1086 | 1086 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 1363 | 1363 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1984 | 0 | 2886 | 2886 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 2477 | 2477 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 2606 | 2606 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 2474 | 2474 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |
| 1988 | 0 | 2274 | 2274 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 |
| 1989 | 0 | 2201 | 2201 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 1678 | 1678 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 1774 | 1774 | 0 | 17 |  | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 1752 | 1752 | 0 | 14 |  | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 1595 | 1595 | 0 | 14 |  | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 1708 | 1708 | 0 | 17 |  | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 1549 | 1549 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 1459 | 1459 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 1415 | 1415 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 1261 | 1261 | 0 | 27 |  | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 2080 | 0 | 11 |  | 0 |
| 2000 | 0 | 2080 | 2295 | 0 | 67 |  | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 2020 | 2238 | 3 | 68 |  | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 1937 | 2216 | 0 | 176 |  | 0 |
| 2003 | 0 | 2812 | 2497 | 0 | 119 |  | 0 |
| 2004 | 0 | 2561 | 2284 | 0 | 96 |  | 0 |
| 2005 | 0 | 3184 | 2722 | 0 | 74 |  | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 3318 | 2707 | 0 | 168 |  | 2 |
| 2007 | 1 | 2984 | 2677 | 0 | 74 | 90 | 1 |
| 2008 | 0 | 1508 | 2600 | 0 | 145 |  | 0 |
| 2009 | 1 | 2339 | 2152 | 0 | 194 | 126 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 2322 | 2089 | 0 | 165 | 140 | 2 |
| 2011 | 1 | 2295 | 2297 | 0 | 311 | 278 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 2325 | 2348 |  |  | 201 |  |
| 2013 | 0 |  | 2532 | 0 |  | 153 | 0 |
| 2014 | 0 | 2900 | 2900 | 0 | 91 | 91 | 0 |
| 2015* | 0 | 2193 | 2193 | 0 | 71 | 71 | 0 |



Figure 14-4 : French landings in tons in Bay of Biscay (8.a, 8.b) by gears.

Table 14-3 French Number at length by gear, 2015 (provisional)

| length (cm). <br> French 8AB_201 <br> 5 | $\underset{F}{\text { GTR_DE }}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\text { LLS_DE }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIS_MIS_0_0 } \\ \text { _0 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PS_DEF_3 } \\ & 2-54 \_0 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PTM_DEF_9 } \\ 0-104 \_0 \_0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SDN_DE } \\ \mathrm{F} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 1837 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 3530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 360 | 0 | 699 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 408 | 3762 | 2969 | 1591 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 6965 | 11778 | 524 | 25505 | 0 | 10 | 45219 |
| 38 | 18748 | 26718 | 699 | 28178 | 0 | 0 | 30961 |
| 40 | 41237 | 35902 | 1572 | 33800 | 0 | 38 | 22933 |
| 42 | 69931 | 33312 | 1048 | 50941 | 0 | 522 | 14617 |
| 44 | 85281 | 40650 | 1397 | 36756 | 22 | 541 | 11825 |
| 46 | 66654 | 35520 | 175 | 30878 | 177 | 795 | 11105 |
| 48 | 43076 | 34342 | 0 | 14489 | 266 | 1222 | 12135 |
| 50 | 30823 | 25458 | 175 | 9339 | 155 | 568 | 5407 |
| 52 | 27065 | 23489 | 175 | 11234 | 177 | 2160 | 4019 |
| 54 | 22470 | 25426 | 0 | 5208 | 66 | 4702 | 1158 |
| 56 | 32789 | 23512 | 0 | 4548 | 116 | 3543 | 661 |
| 58 | 22517 | 22210 | 175 | 4838 | 27 | 2346 | 726 |
| 60 | 17107 | 16101 | 175 | 5384 | 25 | 2804 | 0 |
| 62 | 14553 | 9173 | 0 | 3302 | 10 | 1120 | 643 |
| 64 | 10408 | 13884 | 175 | 2299 | 0 | 1069 | 0 |
| 66 | 9100 | 10878 | 0 | 1642 | 3 | 775 | 478 |
| 68 | 7181 | 7252 | 0 | 1693 | 5 | 601 | 957 |
| 70 | 6942 | 8423 | 0 | 2019 | 0 | 1183 | 0 |
| 72 | 4310 | 4606 | 0 | 1403 | 5 | 1030 | 0 |
| 74 | 2934 | 4852 | 0 | 554 | 0 | 548 | 0 |
| 76 | 1307 | 2930 | 0 | 828 | 0 | 197 | 0 |
| 78 | 1639 | 1369 | 0 | 307 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 80 | 254 | 952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 82 | 195 | 1047 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| 86 | 114 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| YEAR | INDICES <br> WGBIE 2015 | YEAR | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { INDICES } \\ \text { WGBIE } 2016 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999/2000 | 1.66 | 2000 | 1.11 |
| 2000/2001 | 1.84 | 2001 | 1.03 |
| 2001/2002 | 1.27 | 2002 | 1.03 |
| 2002/2003 | 1.37 | 2003 | 0.95 |
| 2003/2004 | 1.55 | 2004 | 0.99 |
| 2004/2005 | 0.86 | 2005 | 0.84 |
| 2005/2006 | 0.85 | 2006 | 0.90 |
| 2006/2007 | 1.18 | 2007 | 0.96 |
| 2007/2008 | 0.93 | 2008 | 0.91 |
| 2008/2009 | 1.2 | 2009 | 1.02 |
| 2009/2010 | 1.19 | 2010 | 0.97 |
| 2010/2011 | 1.2 | 2011 | 1.04 |
| 2011/2012 | 1.3 | 2012 | 1.03 |
| 2012/2013 | 1.52 | 2013 | 1.03 |
| 2013/2014 | 1.61 | 2014 | 1.11 |
| 2014/2015 |  | 2015 | 1.11 |

Table 14-4 : Abundance Index from French logbook used for assessment. Comparison between "old" Index provided for WGBIE 2015 and "new" Index provided for WGBIE 2016.

## 15 Sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a (European sea bass)

### 15.1 ICES advice applicable to 2016

"ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, commercial catches should be no more than 598 t in each of the years 2016 and 2017. All commercial catches are assumed to be landed. Recreational catches cannot be quantified; therefore, total catches cannot be calculated."

### 15.2 General

### 15.2.1 Stock ID and substock structure

Bass Dicentrarchus labrax is a widely distributed species in Northeast Atlantic shelf waters with a range from southern Norway, through the North Sea, the Irish Sea, the Bay of Biscay, the Mediterranean and the Black Sea to Northwest Africa. The species is at the northern limits of its range around the British Isles and southern Scandinavia. Stock identity of European sea bass was reviewed by WGNEW 2012 and further considered at ICES IBP-NEW 2012. The other stock units defined for sea bass are: west of Scotland and Ireland ( $6 . a$ and $7 . b, j$ ); $4 . b, c+7 . a, d-h ; 8 a b$ and the more southerly population in 8.c 9.a (Figure 15-1). The IBP New 2012 reports that it is clear that further studies are needed on sea bass stock identity, using conventional and electronic tagging, genetics and other individual and population markers (e.g. otolith microchemistry and shape), together with data on spawning distribution, larval transport and VMS data for vessels tracking migrating sea bass shoals, to con-firm and quantify the exchange rate of sea bass between sea areas that could form management units for this stock.

In the absence of new information the pragmatic view of WGBIE2016 is to continue to assume the presence of discreete sea bass stocks off southern Ireland and in the Bay of Biscay (8ab) and Iberian waters (8.c, 9.a).


Figure 15-1: stock sea bass units defined at ICES (IBP new 2012)

### 15.2.2 Management applicable to 2015

Sea bass are not subject to EU TACs and quotas. Under EU regulation, the minimum landing size (MLS) of bass in the Northeast Atlantic is 36 cm total length. A variety of national restrictions on commercial bass fishing are also in place.

- The measures affecting recreational fisheries in Portugal include gear restrictions, a minimum landing size equal to the commercial fishery MLS (36 cm ), the total catch of fish and cephalopods by each fisher must be less than 10 kg per day, and prohibition on the sale of catch.


### 15.2.3 Management applicable to 2016

No new management plan is known at present in 8.c, 9.a. For information in 4.b,c and 7.a,d-h (North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea) the European Council has adopted measures to help sea bass recover (Recent scientific analyses have reinforced previous concerns about the state of the stock and advised urgently to reduce fishing by $80 \%$ ). Effective emergency measures in January 2015 placed a ban on targeting the fish stock by pair-trawling while it is reproducing, during the spawning season, which runs until the end of April 2015.; a 3-fish bag limit for recreational fishers; a monthly catch limit (1.5t for pelagic trawlers; 1.8t for bottom trawlers; 1 t for driftnets; 1.3 t for liners; $3 t$ for purse-seiners) and an increase in the minimum size of northern sea bass : 36 cm to 42 cm from July 2015 (source: http://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/cfp/fish-ing_rules/sea-bass/index_en.htm).Measures were completed in 2016, banning landings depending on gears and months (Figure 15-2Error! Reference source not found.) .

| $2016$ <br> measures | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom trawlers | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{array}\right\|$ | X <br> (1\% by catch) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} x \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{array}\right\|$ | X <br> (1\% by catch) | X <br> (1\% by catch) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Seiners | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | (1\% by catch) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Pelagic trawlers | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Drift Gillnets | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Hooks | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |
| Lines | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |
| Set Gillnets | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3t | 1.3 t | 1.3t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |

Figure 15-2: summary of the 2016 measures adopted by EC for Sea bass in 4.b,c and 7.a, d-h (North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea)

### 15.3 Fisheries data

### 15.3.1 Commercial landings data

Landings series are given in Table 15-1 and are derived from:
i) Official statistics recorded in the Fishstat database since around the mid1970s.
ii ) Spanish landings for 2007-2011 from sale notes
iii ) Portuguese estimated landings from 1986-2011 including distinction between Dicentrarchus labrax and punctatus.
iv ) Official landings from recent years
Spanish and Portuguese vessels represent almost of the total annual landings in the area 9.a and 8.c. Commercial landings represent 821 tonnes in 2015. A peak of landings is observed in the early 90 's and in 2013, reaching more than 1000 tons, and lowest landings ( 637 tons) have been observed in 2004. Artisanal fisheries are mainly observed in this area. In 2015, in the all area, landings were equivalent between Spain (385 tonnes) and Portugal ( 436 tonnes). However landings from Portugal are only from the 9.a area, while the Spanish landings are distributed between the two zones 9.a and 8.c (respectively ( 141 tonnes and 244 tonnes). Landings per country, gear and area are given in Table 15-1.

### 15.3.2 Commercial discards

Portugal: Sea bass discards are recorded by the DCF on-board sampling program. The Portuguese on-board sampling is not covering the Sea Bass fishing area. No discards are observed.
Spain: No bass discards were observed for any métier in the 2003-2014 periods.

### 15.3.3 Recreational catches

Recreational marine fishery surveys covering different parts of the sea bass stock in the North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea have been developed in France, Netherlands, England and Belgium (ICES, 2012c). In 2015, a study has been conducted in Spain "Comparing different survey methods to estimate European sea bass recreational catches in the Basque Country" (Zarauz L. et al., 2015). This is the first study that estimates sea bass recreational catches in the Basque Country including fishers from shore, boat, and spearfishing. Three different offsite survey methods were used (e-mail, phone, and post) and their performance was compared. Estimates were different depending on the survey method used. Total catch estimates for shore fishing were 129, 156, and 351 tonnes for e-mail, phone, and post surveys, respectively. For boat fishing, estimates varied from 5 tonnes (phone) to 13 tonnes (e-mail and post).For spearfishing, only email surveys were performed and total catch was estimated in 13 tonnes. Potential representation and measurement bias of each survey method were analysed. It was concluded that post surveys assured a full coverage of the target population, but showed very low response rates. Telephone surveys presented the highest response rates, but lower coverage of the target population. E-mail surveys had a low coverage and a low response rate, but it was the cheapest method, and allowed the largest sample size. All surveys methods were affected by recall bias. Recommendations are made about how to improve the surveys (increasing coverage, reducing non-response, and recall bias) to set up a routine cost-effective monitoring program for Basque recreational fisheries. Results show that estimated sea bass recreational catches are comparable to commercial catches, which emphasize the relevance of sampling recreational fishing on a routine basis and including this information into the stock assessment and management processes.

### 15.4 Recommendations for next benchmark assessment

WGCSE and WGBIE proposed a full benchmark for 2017, preferably in conjunction with the Bay of Biscay stock and the North Stock. ICES, WGBIE 2015 encouraged documentation of the quality of the sea bass data for the Bay of Biscay, and studies to better understand the stock dynamics and movements between the current stock areas. In the longer term, Stock Synthesis could be configured to include spatially disaggregated data covering populations within Areas 4, 7 and 8, with estimates of exchange rates between the areas.

Linked with stock structure, WGBIE preconizes to have a data call including data from Spanish logbook in order to support the French analysis studying stock structuration through logbook analysis study (Laurec A, Drogou M, 2014)

### 15.5 Management plans

No management plan is known at present for the 8.c, 9.a stock.
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Table 15-1: Sea bass in the 9 and 8.c areas. ICES and official landings (tons).

| Country | France official LANDINGS | Portugal official LANDINGS | Spain official LANDINGS | Total official LANDINGS | Total ICES ESTIMATES*** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 0 | 576 | 0 | 576 | 576 |
| 1979 | 0 | 550 | 0 | 550 | 550 |
| 1980 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 460 | 460 |
| 1981 | 0 | 370 | 0 | 370 | 370 |
| 1982 | 0 | 556 | 135 | 691 | 691 |
| 1983 | 0 | 408 | 114 | 522 | 522 |
| 1984 | 0 | 431 | 250 | 681 | 681 |
| 1985 | 0 | 311 | 164 | 475 | 475 |
| 1986 | 0 | 219 | 182 | 401 | 580 |
| 1987 | 0 | 216 | 194 | 410 | 542 |
| 1988 | 14 | 115 | 93 | 222 | 586 |
| 1989 | 0 | 105 | 417 | 522 | 1029 |
| 1990 | 1 | 90 | 541 | 632 | 1042 |
| 1991 | 2 | 77 | 411 | 490 | 867 |
| 1992 | 0 | 53 | 348 | 401 | 743 |
| 1993 | 0 | 57 | 351 | 408 | 694 |
| 1994 | 0 | 57 | 440 | 497 | 863 |
| 1995 | 0 | 42 | 446 | 488 | 798 |
| 1996 | 0 | 48 | 534 | 582 | 956 |
| 1997 | 0 | 39 | 474 | 513 | 742 |
| 1998 | 0 | 38 | 373 | 411 | 683 |
| 1999 | 0 | 37 | 355 | 392 | 720 |
| 2000 | 2 | 49 | 329 | 380 | 775 |
| 2001 | 0 | 42 | 235 | 277 | 635 |
| 2002 | 8 | 43 | 121 | 172 | 518 |
| 2003 | 1 | 47 | 113 | 161 | 466 |
| 2004 | 39 | 67 | 256 | 362 | 676 |
| 2005 | 57 | 177 | 219 | 453 | 753 |
| 2006 | 2 | 461 | 268 | 731 | 905 |
| 2007 | 1 | 545 | 342 | 888 | 910 |
| 2008 | 0 | 403 | 252 | 655 | 614 |
| 2009 | 8 | 414 | 212 | 634 | 652 |
| 2010 | 2 | 489 | 286 | 777 | 814 |
| 2011 | 5 | 441 | 313 | 759 | 777 |
| 2012 | 2 | 271 |  | 273 | 701 |
| 2013 | 4 | 529 | 513 | 1046 | 1046 |
| 2014 | 3 | 536 | 378 | 917 | 917 |
| 2015 | 0 | 436 | 385 | 821 | 821 |

* Preliminary
*-Official landings have been extracted from the Ices Official Catch Statistics Web page (04 May 2015) for "BSS" and area 8.c, 9.a and 9 ( 9 has been retained for Portuguese statistics because reported as 9.a prior 2007).
***Difference between Ices Statistics and official Statistics are mainly due prior 2006 to Portugal statistics: before $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ most of the sea bass catches were registered under the code BSE, i.e. (Dicentrarchus sp.). After
the DCF implementation there was a progressive increase in the correct identification of species in the official statistics (BSS increase, BSE decrease) who consider Dicentrarchus sp landings minus $2.3 \%$ of Dicentrarchus punctatus based on DCF market and on-board sampling between 2008-2012)

| 2015 | Landings (t) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Portugal | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ |
| IXa | 436 |
| MIS_MIS_0_0_0 | 436 |
| Spain | $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ |
| IXa | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ |
| GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0 | 4 |
| GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0 | 0 |
| GTR_DEF_60-79_0_0 | 37 |
| LHM_DEF_0_0_0 | 1 |
| LLS_DEF_0_0_0 | 60 |
| MIS_MIS_0_0_0_HC | 38 |
| OTB_MCD_>=55_0_0 | 0 |
| OTB_MPD_>=55_0_0 | 0 |
| VIIIc | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ |
| GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 | 1 |
| GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0 | 8 |
| GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0 | 2 |
| GTR_DEF_60-79_0_0 | 50 |
| LLS_DEF_0_0_0 | 140 |
| MIS_MIS_0_0_0_HC | 29 |
| OTB_DEF_>=55_0_0 | 11 |
| OTB_MPD_>=55_0_0 | 2 |
| PS_SPF_0_0_0 | 1 |
| Total VIIIc, Ixa | $\mathbf{8 2 1}$ |

## 16 Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a

Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) are caught as a bycatch by various fleets and gear types covering small-scale artisanal and trawl fisheries. Portugal and France are the main participants in this fishery with Spain playing a minor role. Present fishery statistics are considered to be preliminary as there are concerns about the reliability of the French data from 2008-2009. Landings may also contain misidentified flounder (Platichthys flesus) as they are often confounded at sales auctions in Portugal. The official landings are given in table 16.1 and the catches submitted to the WG are given in table 16.2 , the quantity of discarding is uncertain. France submitted discard estimates for the 2015 catches, which were in the order of $10 \%$. The WG considers that the landings are unlikely to be a good indicator of total removals and ICES considers that it is not possible to quantify the catches.

Plaice were not present in sufficient numbers to provide survey abundance indices; the only survey that covers the stock area, EVHOE, only caught 43 plaice in division 8 during its entire time-series (1997-present). The same survey did catch considerable numbers of plaice in the Celtic Sea. No commercial indices are currently available; however the advice might benefit from commercial LPUE data if this was made available to the working group.

Biological information needs to be compiled. However, issues concerning the quality of landings statistics in addition to the lack of survey or commercial abundance indices need to be resolved before an assessment is developed. As this species is at the southern extent of its range in the Bay of Biscay and Iberian Peninsula (Figure 16.1) perhaps merging of the northern and southern stocks would provide the best opportunity to improve the assessment.

This stock is under the EU landing obligation from 2016.

Table 16.1: Plaice in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a: official landings by country in tonnes

| Year | Belgium | France | Portugal | SPAIN | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | 365 | 33 | 1 | 399 |
| 1995 |  | 319 |  | 12 | 331 |
| 1996 |  | 248 |  | 14 | 262 |
| 1997 |  | 255 |  | 3 | 258 |
| 1998 |  | 219 |  | 6 | 225 |
| 1999 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 4 |
| 2000 | 15 | 193 |  | 22 | 230 |
| 2001 |  | 201 |  | 22 | 223 |
| 2002 | 1 | 167 |  | 11 | 179 |
| 2003 | 1 | 217 | 1 | 4 | 223 |
| 2004 |  | 229 | 163 | 7 | 399 |
| 2005 | 4 | 186 | 1 | 33 | 224 |
| 2006 | 2 | 248 | 1 | 4 | 253 |
| 2007 | 5 | 214 | 41 | 4 | 264 |
| 2008 | 2 | 98 | 89 | 4 | 193 |
| 2009 | 2 | 134 | 101 | 9 | 246 |
| 2010 | 1 | 200 | 112 | 12 | 325 |
| 2011 | 2 | 208 | 64 | 8 | 282 |
| 2012 | 3 | 183 | 62 | 3 | 251 |
| 2013 | 0 | 147 | 44 | 5 | 196 |
| 2014 | 1 | 164 | 51 | 6 | 220 |
| 2015* | 2 | 141 | 45 | 5 | 193 |

(* 2015 provisional)

Table 16.2: Plaice in Subarea 8 and Division 9a: Catches submitted to Intercatch (tonnes).

| Catch CateGory |  | Country | Gear | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Discards | France | Nets | - | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
|  |  | Other | - | 10 |
|  | Spain | Trawl | - | 2 |
|  |  | Nets | 0 | 4 |
| Discards Total |  | Trawl | 0 | - |
| Landings | Belgium |  | 0 | - |
|  | France | Other | 1 | 15 |
|  |  | Nets | 42 | 2 |
|  | Portugal | Trawl | 38 | 46 |
|  | Other | 82 | 21 |  |
|  | Nets | 47 | 74 |  |
|  |  | Other | 4 | 44 |
| Landings Total |  | Trawl | 1 | 3 |
| Catch Total |  |  | 1 | 1 |



Figure 16.1: International landings of Plaice by statistical rectangle from 2003-2011

## 17 Pollack (Pol/achius pollachius) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a

The official landing statistics are given in table 17.1. There is some mixing in Portuguese markets with whiting (Merlangius merlangus) due to use of common names. This resulted in most pollack landings being recorded as whiting from 2004 onwards. Sampling data since 2012 indicates that Portuguese landings of whiting and pollack from 9 a consisted of $2 \%$ whiting and $98 \%$ pollack. The Portuguese authorities informed the group that they can only correct the official landings statistics from 2015, therefore the corrected estimates of landings are presented by this WG in addition to the official landings in Table 17.1. Note that the official corrected figures for 2015 were not available for the WG. Therefore the group will apply these percentage splits to the official landings from 2004. The 2015 values will be updated with the new official landings in time for 2017 EWG.

The landings submitted to the working group are given in Table 17.2. Note that these are not the landings figures used in the advice issued in 2015 because there are many gaps in the data. Recreational catches may be considerable and have not been quantified.

Discard data were only provided for French netters in 2015 ( $<4 \%$ of the catch). Discards are believed to be negligible for most fleets.
In 2015 ICES advised that commercial landings should be no more than 1316 tonnes in each of the years 2016 and 2017. The landings statistics do not show any remarkable changes so the group considered there is no basis to change the advice basis.

Table 17.1: Pollack in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a: Official landings by country in tonnes. The ICES estimate is based on a correction of mixed species (whiting and pollack) landings records in the Portuguese landings from 9a.

| Area | Bay of Biscay (Subarea ViII) |  |  |  | Iberian | N IXA) | Total | Unalloc | ICES EST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | BE | ES | FR | UK | ES | PT |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 2304 | 2769 | 23 | 636 | 0 | 5732 | 0 | 5732 |
| 1986 | 0 | 437 | 2127 | 5 | 237 | 0 | 2806 | 0 | 2806 |
| 1987 | 0 | 584 | 2022 | 1 | 308 | 3 | 2918 | 0 | 2918 |
| 1988 | 3 | 476 | 1761 | 6 | 329 | 7 | 2582 | 0 | 2582 |
| 1989 | 13 | 214 | 1682 | 4 | 57 | 3 | 1973 | 0 | 1973 |
| 1990 | 14 | 194 | 1662 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 1900 | 0 | 1900 |
| 1991 | 1 | 221 | 1867 | 1 | 76 | 2 | 2168 | 0 | 2168 |
| 1992 | 2 | 154 | 1735 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 1958 | 0 | 1958 |
| 1993 | 3 | 135 | 1327 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 1513 | 0 | 1513 |
| 1994 | 3 | 157 | 1764 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 1955 | 0 | 1955 |
| 1995 | 6 | 153 | 1457 | 2 | 59 | 2 | 1679 | 0 | 1679 |
| 1996 | 8 | 137 | 1164 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 1354 | 0 | 1354 |
| 1997 | 2 | 152 | 1167 | 1 | 54 | 2 | 1378 | 0 | 1378 |
| 1998 | 1 | 152 | 956 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 1165 | 0 | 1165 |
| 1999 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 157 | 0 | 157 |
| 2000 | 0 | 121 | 1315 | 0 | 49 | 15 | 1500 | 0 | 1500 |
| 2001 | 0 | 346 | 1142 | 0 | 81 | 41 | 1610 | 0 | 1610 |
| 2002 | 0 | 170 | 1467 | 0 | 35 | 45 | 1717 | 0 | 1717 |
| 2003 | 0 | 142 | 1245 | 1 | 39 | 31 | 1458 | 0 | 1458 |
| 2004 | 0 | 211 | 1145 | 0 | 90 | 12 | 1458 | 70 | 1528 |
| 2005 | 0 | 306 | 1311 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 1755 | -4 | 1751 |
| 2006 | 0 | 251 | 1418 | 171 | 102 | 0 | 1942 | 6 | 1948 |
| 2007 | 0 | 198 | 1238 | 62 | 103 | 5 | 1606 | 104 | 1710 |
| 2008 | 0 | 265 | 814 | 64 | 128 | 31 | 1302 | 93 | 1395 |
| 2009 | 0 | 218 | 1508 | 41 | 68 | 3 | 1838 | 111 | 1949 |
| 2010 | 0 | 265 | 1269 | 44 | 91 | 2 | 1671 | 110 | 1781 |
| 2011 | 0 | 322 | 1453 | 27 | 104 | 2 | 1908 | 102 | 2010 |
| 2012 | 0 | 159 | 1094 | 2 | 139 | 2 | 1396 | 87 | 1483 |
| 2013 | 0 | 251 | 1345 | 8 | 110 | 3 | 1717 | 93 | 1810 |
| 2014 | 0 | 185 | 1610 | 19 | 93 | 1 | 1908 | 49 | 1957 |
| 2015* | 0 | 211 | 1226 | 38 | 81 | 18 | 1574 | 35 | 1609 |

*2015 provisional

Table 17.2: Pollack in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a: Landings (tonnes) from France, Spain and Portugal by country and gear as submitted to the working group Note that due to the large amount of missing data, these figures are not used in the advice, except to provide a breakdown by gear.

| YEAR | France |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  | Portugal * |  | Others$\qquad$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nets | Trawl | Lines | Others | Lines | Nets | Others | Others | Trawl |  |  |
| 2001 | 325 | 136 | 75 | 8 | 31 | 53 | 169 | - | - | 0 | 766 |
| 2002 | 358 | 173 | 36 | 5 | 26 | 28 | 134 | - | - | 0 | 760 |
| 2003 | 570 | 202 | 65 | 3 | 31 | 35 | 146 | - | - | 1 | 1053 |
| 2004 | 542 | 151 | 57 | 4 | 47 | 36 | 222 | 16.5 | 0.1 | - | 1092 |
| 2005 | 378 | 205 | 95 | 6 | 90 | 36 | 161 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 0 | 988 |
| 2006 | 498 | 294 | 92 | 11 | 48 | 29 | 243 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 171 | 1400 |
| 2007 | 565 | 311 | 133 | 19 | 72 | 51 | 210 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 62 | 1433 |
| 2008 | 557 | 263 | 138 | 12 | 147 | 95 | 163 | 33.3 | 0 | 64 | 1506 |
| 2009 | 679 | 224 | 217 | 5 | 101 | 76 | 97 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 41 | 1446 |
| 2010 | - | - | - | - | 167 | 162 | 93 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 44 | 470 |
| 2011 | - | - | - | - | 207 | 199 | 20 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 26 | 455 |
| 2012 | 608 | 170 | 267 | 49 | 123 | 122 | 53 | - | - | - | 1392 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  | 110 | 147 | 103 | 1 | 0 |  | 361 |
| 2015 | 766 | 178 | 258 | 42 | 145 | 114 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1535 |

## 18 Whiting (Merlangius merlangus) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a

Whiting (Merlangius merlangus) are caught in mixed demersal fisheries primarily by France and Spain (Table 18.1). There are concerns about the reliability of the French data from 2008-2009, which appear to be incomplete. There is some mixing in Portuguese markets with pollack due to use of common names. This resulted in most pollack landings being recorded as whiting from 2004 onwards. Sampling data since 2012, indicates that Portuguese landings of whiting and pollack from 9 .a consisted of $2 \%$ whiting and $98 \%$ Pollack; whiting landed by Portuguese vessels makes up an insignificant amount of the total whiting landings in this area. The Portuguese authorities informed the group that they can only correct the official landings statistics from 2015, therefore the corrected estimates of the landings are presented by this WG in addition to the official landings in Table 18.1. Note that the official corrected figures for 2015 were not available for the WG. Therefore the group will apply these percentage splits to the official landings from 2004. The 2015 values will be updated with the new official landings in time for the 2017 EWG.
Whiting has never been recorded in Spanish discards and is negligible in Portuguese discards. However there are indications that there is considerable discarding by the French fleet. The discards reported by France for 2015 are $33 \%$ of the catch weight (Table 18.2). This is the first year discard estimates have been reported.

Whiting are present in the French EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey from the Bay of Biscay. The working group investigated if this survey can provide an index of recruitment and/or biomass (WDXX). The survey regularly catches whiting on inshore stations but the catch rates are highly variable, resulting in very wide confidence limits. The recruitment and biomass indices are given in Figure 18.1 for information only. WGBIE does not propose to use these as a basis for the advice.

Commercial abundance index is available from the Basque pair trawl fleet in 8.abd (Figure 18.2; Very High Vertical Opening gear, VHVO). Traditionally, this fleet obtains the most important whiting Basque catches and its fishing effort can be quantified with accuracy along all the period. However it has to be noted that the whiting is not the main target for this métier -focused at present on hake. The VHVO index has not been updated since WGHMM 2012.

This species is at the southern extent of its range in the Bay of Biscay and Iberian Peninsula (Figure 18.3). It is not clear whether this is a separate stock from a biological point of view.

Table 18.1: Whiting in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a: official landings in tonnes. The ICES estimate is based on a correction of mixed species (whiting and pollack) landings records in the Portuguese landings from 9 a .

| Year | Belgium | France | Portugal | Spain | Total | Unalloc | ICES est |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | 3496 | 15 | 136 | 3647 | 0 | 3647 |
| 1995 |  | 2645 | 2 | 1 | 2648 | 0 | 2648 |
| 1996 |  | 1544 | 4 | 13 | 1561 | 0 | 1561 |
| 1997 |  | 1895 | 3 | 47 | 1945 | 0 | 1945 |
| 1998 |  | 1750 | 3 | 105 | 1858 | 0 | 1858 |
| 1999 |  |  | 1 | 211 | 212 | 0 | 212 |
| 2000 | 2 | 1106 | 2 | 338 | 1448 | 0 | 1448 |
| 2001 | 3 | 1989 | 1 | 288 | 2281 | 0 | 2281 |
| 2002 | 3 | 1970 | 1 | 230 | 2204 | 0 | 2204 |
| 2003 | 1 | 2275 | 4 | 171 | 2451 | 0 | 2451 |
| 2004 |  | 1965 | 77 | 249 | 2291 | -70 | 2221 |
| 2005 | 3 | 1662 | 2 | 416 | 2083 | -2 | 2081 |
| 2006 | 2 | 1420 | 7 | 433 | 1862 | -6 | 1856 |
| 2007 | 4 | 1617 | 107 | 296 | 2024 | -104 | 1920 |
| 2008 | 1 | 772 | 98 | 187 | 1058 | -93 | 965 |
| 2009 | 2 | 1303 | 114 | 54 | 1473 | -111 | 1362 |
| 2010 | 3 | 2234 | 114 | 101 | 2452 | -110 | 2342 |
| 2011 | 1 | 2029 | 105 | 108 | 2243 | -102 | 2141 |
| 2012 | 3 | 1791 | 90 | 110 | 1994 | -87 | 1907 |
| 2013 | 1 | 1943 | 95 | 55 | 2094 | -93 | 2001 |
| 2014 | 1 | 1579 | 65 | 55 | 1700 | -49 | 1651 |
| $2015^{*}$ | 2 | 2138 | 38 | 56 | 2234 | -35 | 2199 |

*2015 provisional

Table 18.2 Whiting in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a: landings submitted to intercatch (tonnes).

| Catch Cat | Country | Gear | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Landings | France $^{*}$ | Lines | 0 | 539 |
|  |  | Nets | 113 | 234 |
|  | Other | 561 | 412 |  |
|  | Portugal** | Trawl | 465 | 955 |
|  | Other | 0 | 31 |  |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 2 |  |
|  | Opain | Other | 1 | 0 |
|  | Traw; | 53 | 55 |  |
| Discards | Other | Other | 1 | 2 |
|  | land | 1194 | 2231 |  |
|  | Lines | - | 10 |  |
|  | Nets | Other | - | 141 |
|  | Trawl | - | 313 |  |
|  | dis | - | 597 |  |

* probably incomplete (official landings: 1579)
** no correction for whiting/pollack species misidentification


Figure 18.1. EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey indices of recruitment (left) and biomass (right).


Figure 18.2. Whiting landings per unit effort (LPUEs in kg/day), by year, for Basque pair bottomtrawl fleet fishing in Divisions8.a,b,d, in the period 1995-2011.


Figure 18.3: International landings of Whiting by statistical rectangle from 2003-2011
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| João Figueireda Pereira | IPMA <br> Avenida de Brasilia, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{n}$ PT-1400-038 Lisboa Portugal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone +351 } 21 \\ & 3027044 \\ & \text { Fax +351 } 21301 \\ & 5948 \end{aligned}$ | jpereira@ipma.pt |
| Iñaki Quincoces by correspondence | AZTI-Tecnalia <br> Sukarrieta <br> Txatxarramendi ugartea z/g <br> 48395 Sukarrieta <br> (Bizkaia) <br> Spain | Phone +34 <br> 667174408 <br> Fax +34 94 <br> 6572555 | iquincoces@azti.es |


| Name | Address | Phone/Fax | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lisa Readdy (Chair) | Centre for Environment, <br> Fisheries and <br> Aquaculture Science <br> (Cefas) <br> Pakefield Road <br> Lowestoft NR33 0HT <br> United Kingdom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone }+441502 \\ & 524319 \end{aligned}$ | lisa.readdy@cefas.co.uk |
| Paz Sampedro | Instituto Español de <br> Oceanografía <br> Centro Oceanográfico de <br> A Coruña <br> PO Box 130 <br> 15001 A Coruña <br> Spain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone }+34981 \\ & 205362 \end{aligned}$ | paz.sampedro@co.ieo.es |
| Joana Silva | Centre for Environment, <br> Fisheries and <br> Aquaculture Science <br> (Cefas) <br> Pakefield Road <br> Lowestoft NR33 0HT <br> United Kingdom |  | joana.silva@cefas.co.uk |
| Cristina Silva | IPMA <br> Avenida de Brasilia 1449-006 Lisbon Portugal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone +351 } 213 \\ & 027096 \\ & \text { Fax +351 } 213 \\ & 025948 \end{aligned}$ | csilva@ipma.pt |
| Agurtzane Urtizberea Ijurco | AZTI-Tecnalia <br> Herrera Kaia <br> Portualde z/g <br> 20110 Pasaia <br> (Gupuzkoa) <br> Spain |  | aurtizberea@azti.es |
| Yolanda Vila | Instituto Español de Oceanografía Centro Oceanografico de Cádiz <br> Puerto Pesquero Muelle de Levante $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{n}$ 11071 Cádiz Spain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone +34 } 956 \\ & 294189 \end{aligned}$ | yolanda.vila@cd.ieo.es |
| Ching-Maria Villanueva | Ifremer <br> Centre de Brest <br> PO Box 70 <br> Technopole de Brest- <br> Iroise <br> 29280 Plouzané <br> France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone }+33 \\ & 298224610 \end{aligned}$ | Ching.Villanueva@ifremer.fr |

## Annex 2: Recommendations

| Recommendation | FOR FOLLOW UP BY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| The EWG note that for the northern stock of hake there is only one stock coordinator/assessor whom has the repsonsibiltiy of coordinating the international data from many countries and updating the assessment. The data are very complex and come with mainy issues which take time to resolve. There is also a risk with only having one person with the responsibility for updating the assessment and providing advice in that if they are no longer available the advice and assessment would not be easily updated. The EWG appeals to countries to nominate an additional person to share the responsibiltiy of coordinating the data and updating the assesment for the provision of advice. | ICES Secretariat / ACOM |
| A new commercial longline cpue has been proposed for northern hake and the EWG recommends that the methodology be reviewed and appropriateness for advice evaluated. | WGCatch / PGDATA |
| The EWG noted that there were a number of data aresues this year for some stocks. Countries were supplying information in different formats such as different levels of aggregation for métier, length class distributions and species identification. The EWG would like the RCM to better coordinator the EWG requirements for data needed in the assessments | RCM / ICES Secretariat / ACOM |
| This year the EWG noted that the data upload to intercatch and/or accessions did not prompt an e-mail notification to some of the stock coordinators or they received multiple emails. The group suggested that a check/tick box facility for the uploading countries be implemented so that when all data are uploaded the countries stock coordinators check this box which prompts one e-mail letting the international stock coordinator know that all the data have been submitted for that country and are the final version. | ICES Data Centre |
| The EWG proposes that InterCatch is set up to be able to have more than one stock coordinator able to access a stocks data. | ICES Data Centre |
| The EWG found that files uploaded to accessions had multiple naming conventions which made it difficult for the group to distiguish easily their stocks files. The EWG suggests using the ICES stock code and the country code as prefix to these files, in that order and a specific folder for each stock. The EWG also noted that comments about the data located in the e-mails were missing and suggest that these comments also be included in the accessions file with the data. | ICES Secretariat / Data Centre |
| This year the data call did not include survey indices, therefore for some stocks they did not receive these data until after the deadline when the Chair contacted the responsible countries. The EWG would like to request that these be included in the data call until ICES is in a position to calculate them internally. | ICES Secretariat / ACOM |

## Annex 3: Terms of Reference for 2017

WGBIE- Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and Iberian waters Ecoregion
2016/2/ACOMXX The Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and Iberian waters Ecoregion [WGBIE], chaired by Lisa Readdy (UK), will meet in Cadiz, Spain, 4-11 May 2017 (tbc) to:
a) Address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups;
b) Review and assess the progress on the benchmark preparation of hake and anglerfish stocks;
c) Address the data issue on the different solea species in area 8.c, 9.a.

The assessments will be carried out on the basis of the stock annex. The assessments must be available for audit on the first day of the meeting.

Material and data relevant for the meeting must be available to the group no later than XX 2017 (tbd) according to the Data Call 2017.

WGBIE will report by XX May (tbd) for the attention of ACOM.

## Annex 4: List of Stock Annexes

The table below provides an overview of the WGBIE Stock Annexes. Stock Annexes for other stocks are available on the ICES website Library under the Publication Type "Stock Annexes". Use the search facility to find a particular Stock Annex, refining your search in the left-hand column to include the year, ecoregion, species, and acronym of the relevant ICES expert group.

| Stоск ID | Stock name | LAST UPDATED | LINK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anb-8c9a_SA | Southern black anglerfish (Lophius budegassa) in Divisions 8.c, 9.a | May 2016 | anb-8c9a_SA |
| ang-78ab_SA | Anglerfish (L. piscatorius and L. budegassa) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d | May 2016 | ang-78ab_SA |
| anp-8c9a_SA | Southern white anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius) (Divi-sions 8.c, 9.a) | May 2016 | anp-8c9a_SA |
| bss-8ab_SA | European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in subarea 8.a,b,d (Bay of Biscay) | May 2013 | bss-8ab_SA |
| bss-8c9a_SA | European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in subarea 8.c, 9.a | May 2013 | bss-8c9a_SA |
| gug-89a_SA | Grey gurnard (Eutrigla gurnardus) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a | May 2014 | gug-89a_SA |
| hke-nrtn_SA | Hake in Division 3.a, Subareas 4, 6 and 7 and Divisions 8.a,b,d (Northern Stock of Hake) | May 2016 | hke-nrtn_SA |
| hke-soth_SA | Hake in Divisions 8.c and 9.a (South Stock of Hake) | May 2016 | hke-soth_SA |
| mgw-78_SA | Megrim (Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis) in Divisions 7.b-k and 8.a,b,d | May 2016 | mgw-78_SA |
| mgw-8c9a_SA | Southern megrims (L. whiffiagonis and L. boscii), Division 8.c, 9.a | May 2016 | mgw-8c9a_SA |
| nep-2324_SA | Nephrops in Division 8.a,b, FU 23-24- | May 2011 | nep-2324_SA |
| nep-25_SA | Nephrops Division 8.c, FU 25 (North Galicia) | May 2016 | nep-25_SA |
| nep-2627_SA | Nephrops Division 9.a, FUs 26, 27 (West Galician and North Portugal) | May 2016 | nep-2627 SA |
| nep-2829_SA | Nephrops in Division 9.a, FU 28-29 (Southwest and South Portugal) | May 2016 | nep-2829_SA |
| nep-30_SA | Nephrops in Division 9.a, FU 30 (Gulf of Cadiz) | May 2016 | nep-30 SA |
| nep-31_SA | Nephrops in Division 8.c, FU 31 (Cantabrian Sea) | May 2016 | nep-31_SA |


| STOCK ID |  | STOCK NAME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ple-89a_SA | Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a | LAST UPDATED |  |
| pol-89a_SA | Pollack (Pollachius pollachius) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a | May 2014 |  |
| sol-8c9a_SA | Sole in subdivisions 8.c and 9.a | May 2016 |  |
| sol-bisc_SA | Sole in Division 8.a,b | May 2014 |  |
| whg-89a_SA | Whiting (Merlangius merlangus) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a | Mal-89a_SA |  |

## Annex 05: Benchmark planning

| Stock | BSS-8ab |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Mickael Drogou | Mickael.drogou@ifremer.fr |
| Stock assessor | To define |  |
| Data contact | Mickael Drogou | Mickael.drogou@ifremer.fr |


| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> possible direction of solution | Data required. Are these available? Where should they COME FROM? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landings data | Historical landings | Landings, fleet, area yearly required from 2000. | Landings from all the involved countries split by fleet, area |
| Tuning series | Commercial tuning data are available. | Finalize the appropriate commercial tuning series including 2015. |  |
| Survey tuning series | No survey tuning survey |  |  |
| Discards | Considered as negligible |  |  |
| Length compositions | French length composition from 2000 are not yet available but should be in 2015-2016 | Supply of length and age distributions for landings. This should include sampling intensities. | French length and age distribution per year from 2000 per Ices area |
|  | Spain Length composition would probably not be available | Spanish Landings represents 3\% of the total in 8ab. If not available maybe not an issue |  |


| ISSUE | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> possible direction of solution | Data required. Are these available? Where should they COME FROM? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biological <br> Parameters | No Biological <br> Parameters available in 2015, but some data are currently collected to have some (maturity, growth curve for nthe area) | Use some of the Biological data (Natural mortality) from the WGCSE assesment. |  |


| Stock | Nephrops FU 23-24 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Name: Spyros Fifas | E-mail:Spyros.Fifas@ifremer.fr |
| Stock assessor | Name: Spyros Fifas | E-mail: Spyros.Fifas@ifremer.fr |
| Data contact | Name: Spyros Fifas, Michèle Salaun | E-mail: Spyros.Fifas@ifremer.fr, Michele.salaun@ifre- <br> mer.fr |


| ISSUE | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> possible direction of solution | Data needed to be able to DO THIS: ARE THESE AVAILABLE / Where should these come FROM? | External <br> EXPERTISE <br> NEEDED AT <br> benchmark <br> TYPE OF EXPERTISE / <br> PROPOSED <br> NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (New) data to be <br> Considered <br> and/or <br> quantified1 | UWTV survey data for years 2014 and 2015 (planned for July 2015) | Spatially structure models | Data provided from LANGOLF survey (series 2006-2013)+DCF sampling onboard (since 2003)+UWTV survey data (2014-2015) |  |
| Tuning series | Commercial tuning fleet (district of Le Guilvinec 2nd quarter, years 1987-2013)+twin trawl survey LANGOLF (years 1987-2013) not carried out from 2014 onwards | Investigation aiming to include another tuning series corresponding to the Southern part (outside Brittany) of the fishery | Data provided by fishing industry representative |  |

${ }^{1}$ Include all issues that you think may be relevant, even if you do not have the specific expertise at hand. If need be, the Secretariat will facilitate finding the necessary expertise to fill in the topic. There may be items in this list that result in 'action points for future work' rather than being implemented in the assessment in one benchmark.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Stock | Nephrops FU 28-29 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Name: Cristina Silva | E-mail: csilva@ipma.pt |
| Stock assessor | Name: Cristina Silva | E-mail: csilva@ipma.pt |
| Data contact | Name: Cristina Silva | E-mail: csilva@ipma.pt |


| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / possible direction of solution | Data needed to be able TO DO THIS: ARE THESE <br> AVAILABLE / WHERE SHOULD THESE COME FROM? | EXTERNAL EXPERTISE <br> NEEDED AT <br> BENCHMARK <br> TYPE OF EXPERTISE / <br> PROPOSED NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (New) data to be | Additional M - predator relations |  |  |  |
|  | Prey relations |  |  |  |
| Considered | Ecosystem drivers |  |  |  |
| and/or <br> quantified2 | Other ecosystem parameters that may need to be explored? |  |  |  |
| Total Catch | Only landings from Portuguese fleet are available in most of the years -> unaccounted mortality Possible separation by Functional Unit? | Review and estimate total catch and total effort | Historical data from Spanish Fleet in these FUs (landings, logbook data) <br> Spatial data (VMS) <br> Portuguese data available |  |

[^7] assessment in one benchmark.

| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> pOSSIBLE DIRECTION OF SOLUTION | Data needed to be able TO DO THIS: ARE THESE AVAILABLE / WHERE SHOULD THESE COME FROM? | EXTERNAL EXPERTISE <br> needed at <br> benchmark <br> TYPE OF EXPERTISE / PROPOSED NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (New) data to be | Additional M - predator relations |  |  |  |
|  | Prey relations |  |  |  |
| Considered and/or quantified2 | Ecosystem drivers |  |  |  |
|  | Other ecosystem parameters that may need to be explored? |  |  |  |
| Tuning series | Fishery targeting 2 main species of crustaceans, deep-water rose shrimp and Norway lobster, sharing only partly the same grounds. In periods of high abundance of rose shrimp the vessels spend less effort on Nephrops. <br> Crustacean trawl survey | Standardized cpue series for Nephrops related to area/depth, other species dependency | All data available: <br> Logbooks, VMS data |  |
|  |  | Estimate abundance/biomass for fishing areas | Crustacean survey series |  |
| Discards | Discarding is minimal in this fishery. Not an issue |  |  |  |
| Biological <br> Parameters | Growth parameters and natural mortality estimated by tagging in 1990. Attempts to include a joint tagging program for several Nephrops FUs in DCF not successful due to high costs. |  |  |  |
| Assessment method | No analytical assessment approved. <br> XSA, used until 2011, accepted only for trends. The use of standardized cpue has reduced the residuals in catchability and the retrospective pattern but problems of internal consistency remain (IBP, 2012) | Explore: <br> Length based assessments with different methods (LCA, SS3, ...) <br> Age based assessments using slicing (for comparison) <br> A number of approaches, including trawl surveys, length composition information, and basic fishery data such as landings and effort. | Data available: | Helen |
|  |  |  | Landings (partial missing Spanish data) | Dobby/Richard <br> Methot/Jim Ianelli |
|  |  |  | cpue <br> Survey indices |  |
|  |  |  | Length distribution <br> Maturity <br> Weight-length relationship |  |
|  | ICES DLS approach used since 2013 |  | Spatial distribution |  |


| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> POSSIBLE DIRECTION OF SOLUTION | Data needed to be able TO DO THIS: ARE THESE AVAILABLE / WHERE SHOULD THESE COME FROM? | EXTERNAL EXPERTISE needed at benchmark TYPE OF EXPERTISE / PROPOSED NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (New) data to be | Additional M - predator relations |  |  |  |
|  | Prey relations |  |  |  |
| Considered | Ecosystem drivers |  |  |  |
| and/or <br> quantified2 | Other ecosystem parameters that may need to be explored? |  |  |  |
| Biological <br> Reference <br> Points | No BRPs adopted | BRPs $(Y / R)$ or proxies depending on the assessment approach |  |  |
| Management issues | Crustacean fishery directed at rose shrimp and Norway lobster. Norway lobster is the 2nd target species, its importance increases in periods of low abundance of rose shrimp. <br> Recovery Plan for Southern Hake and Iberian Nephrops stocks since 2006. No objectives defined for Nephrops in this plan. 10\% reduction in F for Southern Hake resulted in $10 \%$ reductions in TAC and effort for Nephrops every year. | Understand the fisheries dynamics and the dependence from rose shrimp. <br> Unlink Nephrops management from Southern Hake recovery. <br> Set management objectives for Nephrops, taking into account the characteristics of the crustacean fishery. |  |  |


| Stock | Nephrops FU 30 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Name: Yolanda Vila | E-mail: yolanda.vila@cd.ieo.es |
| Stock assessor | Name: Yolanda Vila | E-mail: yolanda.vila@cd.ieo.es |
| Data contact | Name: Yolanda Vila | E-mail: yolanda.vila@cd.ieo.es |


${ }^{3}$ Include all issues that you think may be relevant, even if you do not have the specific expertise at hand. If need be, the Secretariat will facilitate finding the necessary expertise to fill in the topic. There may be items in this list that result in 'action points for future work' rather than being implemented in the assessment in one benchmark.

| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> POSSIBLE DIRECTION OF SOLUTION | Data needed to be able to do this: are these available / where should these COME FROM? | EXTERNAL EXPERTISE NEEDED AT benchmark TYPE OF EXPERTISE / PROPOSED NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (New) data to be | Additional M - predator relations |  |  |  |
|  | Prey relations |  |  |  |
| Considered | Ecosystem drivers |  |  |  |
| and/or <br> quantified3 | Other ecosystem parameters that may need to be explored? |  |  |  |
| Discards | Discarding is negligible in this fishery. Not an issue |  |  |  |
| Biological <br> Parameters | There is no information about growth parameters and natural mortality in this FU. |  | Biological parameters information of others FUs |  |
|  | Maturity ogives are available from 2004, 2009, 2010 and 2011. |  |  |  |
| Assessment method | No analytical assessment | - UWTV survey approach. <br> UWTV exploratory survey was carried out in 2014. However, improvements must be performed in next survey. Annual UWTV will be carried out from 2015. | Nephrops UWTV survey will be carried out in June2015 <br> Data available: <br> Landings <br> LPUE <br> Trawl Survey indices <br> Length distributions <br> Maturity <br> Weight-length relationship | Colm <br> Lordan/Jennifer <br> Doyle/Helen <br> Dobby |
| Biological <br> Reference <br> Points | N/A |  |  |  |


| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> possible direction of solution | Data needed to be able to do this: are these available / where should these COME FROM? | EXTERNAL EXPERTISE <br> NEEDED AT <br> BENCHMARK <br> TYPE OF EXPERTISE / PROPOSED NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (New) data to be | Additional M - predator relations |  |  |  |
|  | Prey relations |  |  |  |
| Considered | Ecosystem drivers |  |  |  |
| and/or <br> quantified3 | Other ecosystem parameters that may need to be explored? |  |  |  |
| Data to be Considered | Identification of other burrowing species associated to the Nephrops ground | Analysis of the spatial distribution and abundance in Trawl survey_ARSA_(SPGF-cspr-WIBTS-Q1) | Trawl survey_ARSA__(SPGF-cspr-WIBTS-Q1)information available |  |
|  |  | -Trawls during UWTV survey |  |  |


| Stock | anb-78ab | anp-78ab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Joana Silva <br> E-mail: joana.silva@cefas.co.uk | Agurtzane Urtizberea Ijurco |
| Stock assessor | Joana Silva <br> E-mail: joana.silva@cefas.co.uk | Agurtzane Urtizberea Ijurco |
| Data contact | Joana Silva <br> E-mail: joana.silva@cefas.co.uk | Agurtzane Urtizberea Ijurco |


| Issue | Priority |  | Problem/aim |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landings | High | Historic underreporting | Data required. <br> Are these available? <br> Where should they come from |  |

## Data required.

Are these available?

| Issue | Priority | Problem/aim | Work needed | Where should they come from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discard <br> length data | Medium | Discard length distribution is unknown and may have changed over time | Estimate discard length frequency distributions. | Data: discard LFD by fleet (area, quarter) <br> Available: number of observer trips is variable but in principle these data should be available >2002 (DCR) <br> From: national labs |
| Species split | Medium/high | Quality of species allocation of mixed landings to L pis and L bud is unknown. | Collate detailed information on methods used by each country. <br> Apply most appropriate species split on historic data. | Data: description of methods and estimates by year, fleet etc. <br> Available: probably <br> From: national labs |
| Commercial tuning data | Medium | Need for reliable LPUE data | Develop LPUE series using methods that account for changes in targeting behaviour and or gear. Note that these are subject to accurate landings data which may be a major drawback. | Data: LPUE <br> Available: raw data are available but would need to be worked up. Also it is unlikely we can estimate the actual landings accurately. <br> From: national labs |
| Survey data | high | Not all available data are used. | Collate available survey data that may be informative for these stocks. | Data: list of surveys and raw data if not available online Available: yes <br> From: national labs |
|  |  |  | Combine surveys covering different parts of the stock | Data: raw survey data <br> Available: yes <br> From: DATRAS etc and national labs |
| Growth parameters | medium | No reliable growth parameters | Analysis of survey LFD to track cohorts in order to estimate growth parameters. | Data: survey LFD <br> Available: yes, initial analysis shows it is possible to track cohorts for up to 7 years and estimate growth parameters for L pis. Possibly also for L bud. <br> From: DATRAS etc and national labs |
|  |  |  | Tagging | Data: tag-recapture data <br> Available: unknown <br> From: national labs, others? |


| Issue | Priority | Problem/aim | Work needed | Data required. <br> Are these available? <br> Where should they come from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age data | Low | Age data exists but quality unknown. | Compare length-at-age data from existing sources with growth curves derived from length-frequency analysis of the surveys. Identify if certain ageing methods produce realistic results. | Data: age data from commercial catches and surveys Available: yes <br> From: national labs, perhaps RDB |
| Stock identity | Medium/Low | Stock identity is unknown. (but this is the case for most stocks) | Review publications on genetic or tagging data | Data: literature review <br> Available: unknown <br> From: published and grey literature, contact national labs for any unpublished data |
|  |  |  | New genetic or tagging studies | Data: genetic or tagging data Available: any current projects??? From: national labs, universities |
| Biological data | Low | Limited data on natural mortality, maturity, sex ratio available | Estimate natural mortality using published methods | Data: <br> Available: <br> From: |
|  |  |  | Provide existing maturity data or increase sampling levels. <br> Review knowledge of spawning females??? | Data: maturity data <br> Available: for males survey data are available, mature females are rarely observed. <br> From: national labs / literature |
|  |  |  | Provide sex-ratio data from surveys | Data: sex-ratio at length <br> Available: yes from surveys <br> From: DATRAS etc and national labs |


| Stock | Southern Hake |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Name Santiago Cerviño | E-mail: santiago.cervino@vi.ieo.es |
| Stock assessor | Name: Santiago Cerviño and Joao Pereira | E-mail: santiago.cervino@vi.ieo.es <br> E-mail: jpereira@ipma.pt |
| Data contact | Name: Santiago Cerviño and Joao Pereira | E-mail: santiago.cervino@vi.ieo.es <br> E-mail: jpereira@ipma.pt |


| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> possible direction of solution | Data needed to be able to do this: Are these available / where SHOULD THESE COME FROM? | EXTERNAL EXPERTISE NEEDED AT benchmark TYPE OF EXPERTISE / PROPOSED NAMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock ID | Lack of biological basis for Stock definition | Combined assessment (North and South) | Carry out assessment intersessionally | Rick Methot/Jim Ianelli/ Daniel Howel |
| cpues | Little information on abundance of large fish. Only one cpue available | Incorporation of cpue from commercial fleets catching adults | Catch and Effort data of available fleets. <br> Ask national DB (Sp and Pt) | Experts on standardize LPUE |
| Biological <br> Parameters (growth and mortality) | Hake is sex dimorphic species. Accounting for differences on growth, maturity and mortality by sex. <br> Hake is an active cannibal species having a great impact on M at younger classes. | Explore life-history methods to support new parameters figures (Linf, k, M, etc) | Explore literature about life history in other hakes. |  |
| Reproductive potential | Incorporate Portuguese data on maturity. <br> Males and females together may cause bias in reproductive potential estimation. | Move to a female-only SSB. | Sex ratios, female maturity and egg production by length class. Data already available | Biology/reproduction experts (Maria Sainza, Ana Costa, Rosario Dominguez) |


| ISSUE | Problem/Aim | Work needed / <br> possible direction of solution | Data needed to be able to do this: ARe these available / where SHOULD THESE COME FROM? | External expertise needed at benchmark type of expertise / proposed names |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convergence | Sensitivity of assessment, poor convergence to starting parameter values | Explore sensitivity, identify sensible parmeters and check changes in likelihoods | No data needed |  |


| Stock | anb-8c9a | anp-8c9a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock coordinator | Ricardo Alpoim | Paz Sampedro |
| Stock assessor | Ricardo Alpoim/Paz Sampedro | Paz Sampedro/Ricardo Alpoim |
| Data contact | Ricardo Alpoim | Paz Sampedro |


| Issue | Priority | Problem/aim | Work needed | Data required. <br> Are these available? <br> Where should they come from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock <br> Identity | Low/Medium | Stock identity is not perfectly known. | Review publications/grey literature on stock structure studies. | Data: literature review. <br> Available: yes <br> From: published papers and grey literature. |
| Species split | Low/Medium | Species split is based on sampling effort and design. | Review of the methodology and data used to split the species | Available: yes <br> From: Spanish and Portuguese national lab |
| Commercial tuning data: A Coruña bottom-trawl fleet | Medium | A new commercial A CoruñaLPUE series needs to be available. | Estimate the longest time series of landings, effort and length composition of landings by quarter using logbooks information. <br> From 2013 backwards. | Data: LPUE (landings, effort and length composition) by quarter <br> Available: raw data are available but would need to be worked up. <br> From: Spanish national lab |
| Portugal Commercial tuning data: | Medium | Explore other LPUE series beside the trawl series | Explore a way to estimate the time series of landings, effort s of the artisanal fleet in order to have a LPUE series. | Available: data are available but they needs to be explored to see if it is possible to produce a LPUE series reliable. <br> From: Portuguese national lab |
| Survey data | Medium | Anglerfish is not a main target species of the Portuguese surveys, but can provide some information on recruitment | Review data/publications | Available: yes <br> From: Portuguese national lab |


| Issue | Priority | Problem/aim | Work needed | Data required. <br> Are these available? <br> Where should they come from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biological Parameters | High | 1. The ageing criteria proposed in 2007 was rejected at the assessment working group (WGHMM) due to its inconsistencies. | 1. Try to get a ageing criteria accepted, or a growth model accepted (especially for L.budegassa) | 1. No solution available for the time being. |
|  | Low/Medium | 2. An updated and reliable maturity model is needed. | 2. To investigate a maturity model, for both sexes combined, based on recent commercial samplings and survey data (if there are any). | 2.Possible that some Information is available from DCF (Data Collection Framework). |
|  | High | 3. Revision of length frequencies (especially for L.budegassa): way it is done the raise from the sample to the total catches; amplitude of the length classes (the length sample some time is very patchy and when it is raised to the total catch produce large peaks in very few length classes) | 3. Review data/publications. Explore the use of length classes of $2,3,4$ or 5 cm instead of 1 cm . | Available: yes <br> From: Spanish and Portuguese national lab |
| Assessment <br> Model <br> (just for <br> L.budegassa) | High | ASPIC needs to fix B1/K in the input files to stabilize. | Explore the possibility to use the SS3 for the assessment of this stock. | Available: If the problems with the data described above are solved <br> From: SS3 Experts. <br> To be done at the benchmark |

## Annex 06. List of Working Documents

## WD 01 Q1 Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS)

Hans Gerritsen

The 2016 Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS) took place from 4-24 January and 25 February 6 March 2016 on RV Celtic Explorer.

The main objective of the survey is to obtain biomass estimates for anglerfish (Lophis piscatorius and L. budegassa) in and establish an abundance index for megrim (Lepidorhombus whiffiaginis and L. boscii) in 6 .a (south of $58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) and 7 (west of $8^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ).

Secondary objectives are to collect data on the distribution and relative abundance of anglerfish, megrim and other commercially exploited species. The survey also collects maturity and other biological information for commercial fish species.

The IAMS survey is coordinated with the Scottish Anglerfish and Megrim survey (SIAMISS) and uses the same gear and fishing practices.

## WD 02 Q1 Irish Beam Trawl Ecosystem survey (IBES)

## Hans Gerritsen

The first annual Irish Beam Trawl Ecosystem (IBES) took place from 6-16 march 2016 on RV Celtic Explorer in the western Celtic sea.

The main objective of the survey is to connect the Irish Anglerfish and Megrim Survey (IAMS) to the UK beam trawl surveys in the Celtic Sea, English Channel and Irish Sea, with the purpose of providing a swept-area biomass estimate for anglerfish (Lophis piscatorius and L. budegassa) in area 7.

Secondary objectives are to collect data on the distribution and relative abundance of commercially exploited species as well as invertebrates and bycatch species, particularly vulnerable and indicator species. The survey also collects maturity and other biological information for commercial fish species in the western Celtic Sea.

The IBES survey is coordinated with the Cefas Q1 Southwest Ecosystem Survey (Q1SWECOS) and uses the same gear and methods.

## WD 03 Standardization of hake LPUE series of the Galician set-longline fleet in Subarea 7

J. Castro1, D. García2, J.L. Cebrian1 and B. Patiño1

WGHMM (now WGBIE) identified a problem in the assessment of northern hake in relation to the scarce information on the abundance of large fish. 2004 WKSOUTH tested the inclusion in SS3 of Galician LPUEs from set-longline fleet targeting hake in ICES Subarea 7. This métier catches mainly adults. However, during WGBIE 2014, a serious inconsistency was detected when updating this LPUE time-series, related to the assumption of the average fishing days by trip employed along the time-series. The current working document provides the revision of this LPUE series by applying the actual number of fishing days by trip recorded in logbooks, which has varied greatly in the final part of the time-series. The revised LPUE indices obtained were then tested in the assessment of northern hake stock. The difference in results between the assessments without LLPUE and the assessment which includes the new LPUE series were minor. In the initial part of the time-series the LPUE matched the abundance closely
but in the last period the increase in the LLPUE was much lower than the increase in the stock abundance.

## WD 04 Combined EVHOE-IGFS IBTS survey index for monkfish in 78ab

## Hans Gerritsen

The scientific advice for the Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa stocks (anp-78ab and anb-78ab) is based on a biomass index from the EVHOE IBTS survey. This survey covers the stock range up to around $51^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The Irish GroundFish Survey (IGFS) is also coordinated by IBTS and uses nearly identical gear and sampling procedures. This survey covers most of the remaining stock area. This working document examines the use of a combined EVHOE-IGFS survey index.

## WD 05 Benchmark considerations for Nephrops FU23-24

## Spyros Fifas

The WD (PowerPoint presentation) summarize the results the progress made towards the 2016 assessment benchmark.

WD 06 EVHOE survey index for whiting and plaice in ICES divisions 89.a.

Hans Gerristsen
The WD presents the results of an exploratory analysis and survey index for whiting and plaice.

## WD 07 Benchmark considerations for Nephrops FU28-29

## Cristina Silva

The WD (PowerPoint presentation) summarize the results the progress made towards the 2016 assessment benchmark.

WD 08 First steps in the estimation of harvest ratio reference points for Nephrops FU 30 (Gulf of Cadiz)

Vila, Y. and González Herraiz, I.

The WD summarize the results the progress made towards the 2016 assessment benchmark.

WD 09 Black anglerfish (Lophius budegassa): weight-length relationships, weight conversion factors and condition factor trends from a decade of two stocks, in ICES Div. 8.c-9.a (northern Iberian Atlantic waters) and in Div. 7.b,c,h,j,k (Celtic Sea, southwestern Ireland and Porcupine Bank)

Landa, J., Antolínez, A., Castro, B., Hernández, C.

- Weight-length relationships, weight conversion factors and condition factor are presented from a decade (2006 to 2015) for both stocks of black anglerfish (Lophius budegassa) in northern Iberian Atlantic waters (ICES Div. 8.c-9.a) and in Celtic Sea, southwestern Ireland and Porcupine Bank (ICES Div.
7.b,c,h,j,k). A total of 2035 and 1263 specimens were sampled respectively in each stock from commercial landings and research surveys. Total length [Lt $(\mathrm{cm})]$, total weight $[\mathrm{Wt}(\mathrm{g})]$, "commercial" weight (gutted with liver) [ Wgl (g)] and "scientific" weight (gutted without liver) [ $\mathrm{Wg}(\mathrm{g})]$ were obtained.
- The weight-length relationships for the combined sexes were: $\mathrm{Lt}=0.020$ $\mathrm{Wt2} 2916 ; \mathrm{Lt}=0.017 \mathrm{Wgl2} .929$; Lt $=0.017 \mathrm{Wg} 2.922$ in Div. 8.c-9.a, and $\mathrm{Lt}=$ 0.025 Wt2.841; Lt = 0.013 Wgl2.984; Lt = 0.013 Wg2.971 in Div. 7.b,c,h,j,k.
- The conversion factors (total weight - gutted weight), useful in fisheries management due to the commercial landings of this species are available in gutted weight, were: $\mathrm{Wt}=1.186 \mathrm{Wgl} ; \mathrm{Wt}=1.236 \mathrm{Wg}$ in Div. 8.c-9.a, and Wt $=1.187 \mathrm{Wgl} ; \mathrm{Wt}=1.233 \mathrm{Wg}$ in Div. 7.b,c,h,j,k.
- These updated values can be used in the process of the annual assessment of the state of both stocks in the ICES Working Group.
- The evolution of the condition factor over the year, indicator of nutritional status evolution, is also estimated for immature and mature individuals of each sex, showing some seasonal variation.
- The results are similar to the previously estimated in other studies.


## WD 10 Maturity-at-age estimates for Irish Demersal Stocks in 6.a and VIIabgj 2004-15

Hans Gerritsen
This document provides maturity-at-age estimates for stocks assessed by the WGCSE and WGBIE. All data are obtained on surveys and commercial sampling carried out by the Marine Institute.

## Annex 07 Stock Data Problems

Stock Data Problems Relevant to Data Collection - WGBIE

| Stock | Data Problem | How to be Addressed in | BY whol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock name | Data problem identification | Description of data problem and recommend solution | Who should take care of the recommended solution and who should be notified on these data aresue. |
| anb-78 | Commercial landings data | Different levels of aggregation of métiersyear on year which will affect the data by species. <br> Additional national data submitted for anglerfish species combined, not separated by the different species, which will affect the raising of the data to species. <br> Different aggregation of length groups with implications to the length distribution <br> Additional national data submitted during the meeting related to number of samples and fish measured in the market sampling and observer national programmes. <br> Ask countries to document their methodology and any changes in their aggregation level of métiers if needed to be changed from previous data submitted. <br> Ask countries to resubmit data for anglerfish species separate, national laboratories would be best qualified to distribute data in between the two stocks anb-78 and anp-78. <br> Further explaination on how the division was made (how many samples/measurements were based on) should be provided to the WG. <br> Ask countries to resubmit data accordingly to only WGBIE requirements and before the data call deadline | National laboratories |

[^8]| Stock | Data Problem | How to be Addressed in | BY whol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anb-78 | Survey data | EHVOE survey data different for 2011 with new survey index for that year provided during the WG meeting. <br> EHVOE survey data for 2015 revised due to discrepancies in the length-weight relationship used to calculate biomass. New index provided during the WG meeting for this year. <br> Ask countries to ensure survey data are QA/QC before submission and submitted accordingly before the data call deadline. | National laboratories |
| anp-78 | Commercial landings data | Different levels of aggregation of métiers year on year which will affect the data by species. <br> Additional national data submitted for anglerfish species combined, not separated by the different species, which will affect the raising of the data to species. <br> Different aggregation of length groups with implications to the length distribution <br> Additional national data submitted during the meeting related to number of samples and fish measured in the market sampling and observer national programmes. <br> Ask countries to document their methodology and any changes in their aggregation level of métiers if needed to be changed from previous data submitted. <br> Ask countries to resubmit data for anglerfish species separate, national laboratories would be best qualified to distribute data in between the two stocks anb-78 and anp-78. Further explaination on how the division was made (how many samples/measurements were based on) should be provided to the WG. <br> Ask countries to resubmit data accordingly to only WGBIE requirements and before the data call deadline | National laboratories |
| anp-78ab | Survey data | EHVOE survey data different for 2011 with new survey index for that year provided during the WG meeting. <br> Ask countries to ensure survey data are QA/QC before submission and submitted accordingly before the data call deadline. | National laboratories |


| Stock | Data Problem | How to be Addressed in | BY whol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hke-nrth | Different length distribution aggregation | Ask countries to resubmit data at the appropriate aggregation level | National laboritories |
| anp8c9 | The 2013-2015 values from the lpue series from Spain (A Coruña fleet) were not used in the assessment because of a change in the data source | Ask Spain to estimate the longest series available(before year 2013) with the new data source and methodology. | National laboratories |
| anb8c9 | The 2013-2015 values from the lpue series from Spain (A Coruña fleet) were not used in the assessment because of a change in the data source. | Ask Spain to estimate the longest series available(before year 2013) with the new data source and methodology. | National laboratories |
| ple89a | None |  |  |
| pol89a | None |  |  |
| Whg8a | French data in Intercatch (1139t) were considerably lower than the preliminary official landings (1597t), suggesting that not all data were uploaded | Upload all landings data to IC | Ifremer |


[^0]:    * Vessels, ${ }^{* *}$ Categories
    *** Ages, surveys, ${ }^{* * * *}$ Boxes/hauls (for sampling on board)
    ***** Otoliths collected and prepared but not read

[^1]:    * 2013 data not included in the assessment

[^2]:    1 Change in 2016 after the WKMSYRef4 in October, 2015 at 0.33 .

[^3]:    Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

[^4]:    There is a EC Recovery Plan (-10\% annual F redution; +-15\% TAC constrain)
    Fmsy $=0.25$
    TAC $2016=10674(-+15 \%[12275,9073])$
    Recruitment $=79$ mill (geo mean 1989-13)

[^5]:    

[^6]:    'www.comite-peches.fr/wp-content/uploads/B17-2015_Bar-Cadre1.pdf

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ Include all issues that you think may be relevant, even if you do not have the specific expertise at hand. If need be, the Secretariat will facilitate finding the necessary expertise to fill in the topic. There may be items in this list that result in 'action points for future work' rather than being implemented in the

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recommendations on surveys for be addressed by the SCICOM Steering Group on Ecosystem Surveys, Science and Technology (SSGESST)

