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#### Abstract

PELACUS 0314 was characterised by relative stable weather conditions along the surveyed area. Besides, there was an important increase in backscattering energy as compared with the previous year. This resulted in an increase of the biomass estimated for the majority of the fish species, but still sardine is at lowest productivity ever recorded. Good recruitment would be observed in horse mackerel, but for the rest of the fish species, no strong signals for age group 1 have been detected.

The reasons for this increase would be related to the weather stability which could have increased the fish availability either for a change in the behaviour (i.e. spatial pattern distribution) or for an increase in the food availability. This is relevant accounting the increase of the occurrence of mackerel subsurface layers observed this year. As PELACUS is a multidisciplinary survey series (we collect environmental and biological ancillary information, stomach contents, including CTD cats, plankton tows or continuous records of plankton, eggs, S, T and flourometry), we will try to explain this change of behaviour. Our main hypothesis is that these species could follow mackerel when is undertaking vertical migration, probably related with the spawning activity, just for feeding eggs and, therefore, changing the expected schooling behaviour by the dispersed one, used during the feeding activity.


## Material and methods

The methodology was similar to that of the previous surveys (see Iglesias et al. 2010 for further details). Survey design consisted in a grid with systematic parallel transects with random start, separated by 8 nm , perpendicular to the coastline, covering the continental shelf from 40 to 1000 m depth and from Portuguese-Spanish border to the Spanish -French one. (Figure 1)


Figure 1 Survey track
The backscattering acoustic energy from marine organisms is measured continuously during daylight. Pelagic trawls are carried out whenever possible to help identify the species (and size classes) that reflect the acoustic energy. A continuous underwater fish egg sampler with an internal water intake located at 5 m depth is used to sample the composition of the ichthyoplankton while trained observers record marine mammal, seabird, floating litter and vessel presence and abundance. At night, data on the hydrography and hydrodynamics of the water masses are collected via the deployment of rosettes and conductivity, temperature and depth sensors. Information on the composition, distribution and biomass of phytoplankton and zooplankton is derived from the analyses of samples taken by plankton nets.

## Acoustic equipment

Acoustic equipment consisted on a Simrad EK-60 scientific echosounder, operating at 18, 38, 120 and 200 kHz . All frequencies were calibrated according to the standard procedures (Foote et al 1987). The elementary distance sampling unit (EDSU) was fixed at 1 nm . Acoustic data were obtained only during daytime at a survey speed of 8-10 knots. Data were stored in raw format and post-processed using SonarData Echoview software (Myriax Ltd.) (Higginbottom et al , 2000). All echograms were first scrutinized and also background noise was removed according to De Robertis and Higginbottom (2007). Fish abundance was calculated with the 38 kHz frequency as recommended at the PGAAM (ICES 2002), although echograms from 18, 120 and 200 kHz frequencies were used to visually discriminate between fish and other scatter-producing objects such as plankton or bubbles, and to distinguish different fish species according to the strength of their echo at each frequency. The 18,120 and 200 kHz frequencies have been also used to create a mask allowing a better discrimination between fish species and plankton. The threshold used to scrutinize the echograms was -70 dB . The integration values were expressed as nautical area scattering coefficient (NASC) units or $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{A}}$ values $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~nm}^{-2}\right)$ (MacLennan et al., 2002).

## NASC Allocation

Two pelagic gears have been used to identify the species and size classes responsible for the acoustic energy detected and to provide samples. Choice of net was also dependant on the availability of enough unobstructed ground for the net to be deployed and recovered and for effective fishing to occur. Haul duration is variable and ultimately depends on the number of fish that enters the net and the conditions where fishing takes place although a minimum duration of 20 minutes is always attempted. The quality of the hauls for ground-truthing of the acoustic data was classified on account of weather condition, haul performance and the catch composition in numbers and the length distribution of the fish caught as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gear performance <br> Fish behaviour | Crash | Bad geometry <br> Fish escaping | Bad geometry <br> No escaping | God geometry <br> No escaping |
| Weather conditions | Swell $>4$ m height <br> Wind $>30$ knots | Swell: $2-4 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Wind: $30-20$ knots | Swell: $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Wind $20-10$ knots | Swell <1 m |
| Fish number | total fish caught <100 | Main species $>100$ | Main species $>100$ | Main species $>100$ |
| Second species $<25$ | Second species<50 | Second species $>50$ |  |  |
| Fish length distribu- <br> tion | No bell shape | Main species bell shape | Main species bell shape <br> Seconds: almost bell shape | Main species bell shape |
| Seconds: bell shape |  |  |  |  |

Hauls considered as the best representation of the fish community for a specific area were used to allocate NASC of each EDSU within this area. This process involved the application of the Nakken and Dommasnes (1975, 1977) method for multiple species, but instead of using the mean backscattering cross section, the full length class distribution ( 1 cm length classes) has been used, as follows:

$$
s_{A_{i}}=s_{A} \frac{w_{l i} \cdot \sigma_{b s}}{\sum_{l i} w_{l i} \cdot \sigma_{b s}}
$$

where $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the proportion in number of $l$ length class and species $i$ in the hauls, and $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ is its correspondent proportion of backscattering cross section. The target strength (TS) is also taken into account as follows:

$$
\sigma_{b s}=10^{T S / 10} \quad \text { (in dB) }
$$

This is computed from the formula $T S=20 \log _{T}+\mathrm{b}_{20}$ (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005), where $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the length class $(0.5 \mathrm{~cm})$. The $\mathrm{b}_{20}$ values for the most important species present in the surveyed area are shown in following table:

Table 1.- $b_{20}$ values from the length target strength relationship of the main fish species assessed in PELACUS survey (WHB is blue whiting; MAC-mackerel; HOM- horse mackerel; PIL-sardine; JAA-blue jack mackerel (Trachurus picturatus); BOG-bogue (Boops boops); MAS-chub mackerel (Scomber colias); BOC-board fish (Capros aper); and HMM-Mediterranean horse mackerel (Trachurus mediterraneus))

| Species | WHB | MAC | HOM | PIL | JAA | ANE | BOG | MAS | BOC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $b_{20}$ | -67.5 | -84.9 | -68.7 | -72.6 | -68.7 | -72.6 | -67.0 | -68.7 | -72.6 |

In addition and according with Fässler et al (2013) a new $\mathrm{b}_{20}=-66.20$ value for boarfish was also used.
When possible, direct allocation was also done, accounting for the shape of the schools and also the relative frequency response (Korneliussen and Ona, 2003, De Robertis et al, 2010).

## Echointegration estimates

Once backscattering energy was allocated to fish species, the spatial distribution for each species was analysed taking into account both the NASC values and the length frequency distributions (LFD) to provide homogeneous assessment polygons. These are calculated as follows: an empty track determine the along-coast limit of the polygon, whilst three consecutive empty ESDU determine a gap or the across-coast limit. Within each polygon, the LDF is analysed.

LFD were obtained for all positive hauls for a particular species (either from the total catch or from a representative random sample of 100-200 fish). For the purpose of acoustic assessment, only those LFD which were based on a minimum of 30 individuals were considered. Differences in probability density functions (PDF) were tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. PDF distributions without significant differences were joined, providing a homogeneous PDF strata. Spatial distribution was then analysed within each stratum and finally mean $s_{A}$ value and surface (square nautical miles) were calculated using a GIS based system. These values, together with the length distributions, are used to calculate the fish abundance in number as described in Nakken and Dommasnes (1975). Numbers were converted into biomass using the length weight relationships derived from the fish measured on board. Biomass estimation was carried out on each strata (polygon) using the arithmetic mean of the backscattering energy (NASC, $s_{A}$ ) attributed to each fish species and the surface expressed in square nautical miles. For purposes of comparison, results are given by ICES Sub-Divisions (IXaN, VIIIcW, VIIIcEw, VIIIcEe and VIIIb)

Otoliths are taken from anchovy, sardine, horse mackerel, blue whiting, mackerel and hake (Merluccius merluccius) in order to determine age and to obtain the age-length key (ALK) for each species and area.

## CUFES counts

Samples from CUFES are collected every three nmi while acoustically prospecting the transects. Once the sample is taken it is fixed in a buffered $4 \%$ formaldehyde solution. Anchovy and sardine eggs are sorted out and counted before being preserved in the same solution. The remaining ichthyoplankton (other eggs and larvae) are also preserved in the same way.

## Plankton and hydrological characterisation

Continuous records of SSS, SST and flourometry are taken using a SeaBird Thermosalinograph coupled with a Turner Flourometer. Plankton and CTD and bottle rosette for water samples casts are performed at night. Five stations are placed over the transects, which are those of the acoustic prospection but that are extended onto open waters until the $1000-2000 \mathrm{~m}$ isobaths. The stations are evenly distributed over the surveyed area at a distance of $16-24 \mathrm{nmi}$.

Plankton was sampled using several nets (Bongo, WP2 and CalVet). Fractionated dried biomass at 53-200, 200-500, 500-1000 and $>2000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fractions was calculated together with species composition and groups at fixed strata from samples collected at the CTD+bottle rosette carousel (pico and nanoplankton, microplankton and mesozooplankton). For this purpose, FlowCAM, LOPC and Zoolmage techniques were used.

Water samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further dissolved nutrients analysis $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)$.

## Top predator observations

Three observers placed above the bridge of the vessel at a height of 16 m above sea level work in turns of two prospecting an area of $180^{\circ}$ (each observer cover a field of $90^{\circ}$ ). Observations are carried out with the naked eye although binoculars are used ( $7 \times 50$ ) to confirm species identification and determine predator behaviour. Observations are carried out during daylight while the vessel prospects the transects and while it covers the distance between transects at an average speed of 10 knots. Observers record species, number of individuals, behaviour, distance to the vessel and angle to the trackline and observation conditions (wind speed and direction, sea state, visibility, etc.). Observers also record presence, number and type of boats and type, size and number of floating litter. The same methodology is used on the PELGAS surveys and both observer teams shared a common database.

## Centre of gravity

For each main specie, a centre of gravity (Woillez et al. 2007) was calculated as a weighted average of each sample location (allocated NASC value as weighting factor). Due to the particular topography of the NW Spanish area, instead longitude and latitude, we have used depth and a new variable called "distance from the origin" calculated as follows:

- Locations below 43010 N: distance is calculated as (Lat-41.5)*60, being Lat the latitude of the middle point of any particular EDSU within this region.
- Location between $43010^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and 80 W (i.e. NW corner): distance is calculated as ((I.Lat-43.18333) ${ }^{2}+$ $\left.\left.\left(I . L_{0 n} *(\cos (I . L a t * \mathrm{pi}() / 180))-6.714441\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}\right)^{*} 60+(43.1833-41.5)^{*} 60$, being I.Lat and I.Lon the coordinates at which a normal straight line from middle point of any particular EDSU within this region intercepts a line defined by the following geographical coordinates: 43 $011 \mathrm{~N}-9012.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ and 43으․ $50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-8006^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$.
- Location between 80 W and the Spanish-French border: distance is calculated as 158.329+ (Lon +5.8755324052 )*60, being Lon the corrected longitude (longitude multiplied by the cosine of latitude) of the middle point of any particular EDSU within this region.

Besides each fish was measured and weighed to obtain a length-weight relationship. Otoliths were also extracted from anchovy, sardine, horse mackerel, blue whiting and mackerel in order to estimate age and to obtain the agelength key (ALK) for each species for each area.

## Results

The survey started on $9^{\text {th }}$ March and ended on $6^{\text {th }}$ April. A total of 3260 nautical miles were steamed, 1075 of them corresponding to the survey track. Contrary to the previous year, weather conditions were in general good, although three tracks were interrupted due to the presence on air bubble. Besides, some pings were also removed due to the presence of bubbles sweep down. Also most of the tracks located in the NW corner (i.e. VIIIc-west), were sternway steamed in order to avoid bubbles sweep down. The last track, located in the French waters was not surveyed.

## Calibration

All frequencies were calibrated on $9^{\text {th }}$ March, with the following results:

Table 2: Acoustic equipment calibration. Main in and outputs for each frequency.

|  |  | 200 kHz | 120 kHz | 38 kHz | 18 kHz |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main | TS | -39.10 dB | -39.50 dB | -42.30 dB | -42.70 dB |
|  | Gain | 27.00 dB | 27.00 dB | 26.50 dB | 22.40 dB |
|  | Two way Beam Angle | -20.70 dB | -21.00 dB | -20.60 dB | -17.00 dB |
|  | Angles (deg) | $7.0 \times 7.0$ | $7.0 \times 7.0$ | $7.1 \times 7.1$ | $11.0 \times 11.0$ |
|  | Pulse Duration | 1.024 ms | 1.024 ms | 1.024 ms | 1.024 ms |
|  | Power | 90 W | 200 W | 2000 W | 2000 W |
|  | Sample Interval | 0.193 m | 0.193 m | 0.193 m | 0.193 m |
|  | Rec. Bandwidth | 3.09 kHz | 3.03 kHz | 2.43 kHz | 1.57 kHz |
|  | Transducer Gain | 26.03 dB | 26.73 dB | 24.73 dB | 22.94 dB |
|  | Sa Corr | -0.27 dB | -0.37 dB | -0.58 dB | -0.80 dB |
|  | Athw Beam Angle | 6.57 deg | 6.38 deg | 6.95 deg | 10.97 deg |
|  | Along. Beam Angle | 6.53 deg | 6.51 deg | 7.12 deg | 10.63 deg |
|  | Athw Offset Angle | -0.29 deg | -0.05 deg | 0.05 deg | 0.19 deg |
|  | Along. Offset Angle | -0.09 deg | -0.01 deg | -0.17 deg | 0.31 deg |
| Data dev from beam model RMS | 0.60 dB | 0.52 dB | 0.20 dB | 0.55 dB |  |
| Data dev polynomial model RMS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main oceanographic conditions

Figure 2a-c shows the sea surface temperature, salinity and flourometry from the continuous records. In the western areas (i.e. IXa-N) temperatures ranged from 13.180 to $22.27 \circ \mathrm{C}$, with a mean value of 14.130 (median, 14.07 ). In the same way salinity ranged from 28.28 to 36.31 ppm (mean 33.70 and median 33.91 ppm ), with a strong correlation with longitude, being waters less salted and warmer close to the coast due to the river flows. Fluorescence ranged from 0.84 to 2.75 (mean 1.20, median , 1.12). In the northern areas (VIIIc) temperature ranged
 salinity ranged from 31.64 to 36.04 ppm (mean 35.23 , median 35.34 ppm ), thus more salted than those from the western area. Fluorescence ranged from 0.94 to 3.63 (mean 1,64, median 1.52); complementary, all variables were correlated with latitude. Thus, interpolation was made using this two areas. The surveyed area can be divided in several areas according to the surface continuous records. IXaN area with low salinity, warmer waters and weak flourometry (i.e. chlorophyll); NW corner ( VIIIc-W) with high flourometry values, salty waters from the coast to the self-beak, and temperatures in transition from warmer waters in the south to colder waters in the north ; from Cape Ortegal to Llanes Canyon, with lesser salty waters in coastal areas than in open waters, colder temperature through all the area and a weak chlorophyll density ; from Llanes Canyon to Suances, with warmer waters than that of the surrounded areas, but with almost same salinity as found in the surrounded areas, with a clear influence from the river flows and the chlorophyll increasing eastwards; from Suances to Laredo, characterised by an intrusion of colder waters, low salinity in coastal waters, and a moderate concentration of chlorophyll; and the inner part where both sea surface temperature and flourometry showed a clear west-eastward cline, and, as in the rest of the surveyed area except in VIIIc-west, an influence of the river flows in the coastal areas.


Figure 2a: Sea Surface Temperature during PELACUS 0314 survey


Figure 2b: Sea Surface Salinity during PELACUS 0314 survey


Figure 2c: Sea Surface Fluorescence during PELACUS 0314 survey

## Fishing stations

Without including the trawl hauls done at the beginning of the survey for checking and setting up purposes, 52 fishing station were performed, one of them was removed. Figure 3 shows the location and the value for each ground-truth criteria (from 0 to 3 ).


Figure 3: Fishing station and colour system according to ground-truth criteria (red bad; yellow, acceptable; and green good)
As it can be seen most of the fishing stations were performed under good conditions. Mackerel was the most abundant fish species ( $34 \%$ of the total catch in number) and was also present in the $88 \%$ of the fishing hauls. Horse mackerel was also abundant ( $29 \%$ of the total catch in number) and a $67 \%$ of haul presence. Finally, blue whiting accounted the $21 \%$ of the total catch in number and was present in the $61 \%$ of the trawl hauls. Mackerel mainly occurred in the Cantabrian Sea although some adults together with juveniles has been caught in IXa-N and VIIIcwest; in these areas mean length was around 24 cm , without significant differences in length distribution (Kolmogorov Smirnov test) whilst in the Cantabrian Sea mean length increased up to 35 cm , thus spawners, with a slight differences, but significant, in both mean length and length distribution between those hauls performed in shallower waters (<140 m depth) and those located close to the shelf edge. Horse mackerel showed a great variety in both mean lengths and length distributions along the surveyed area. On the contrary, the mean length of blue whiting samples was around of 22.5 cm in almost all the hauls and only in two samples obtained near the Llanes Canyon (4030'W) mean length was lower ( 21.3 cm ).

Figure 4 shows the fish proportion in number obtained in each trawl haul. Boarfish, sardine and bogue, although less representative, were also important. Boarfish mainly occurred in the Cantabrian Sea with a small patch located in the northern coastal waters of VIIIc-west (i.e. close to the Estaca de Bares Cape -80 W-). In the former area was found round Estaca de Bares Cape and in the inner part of the Bay of Biscay. Mean length was similar in almost the whole area ( 14.09 cm ), and only small fish ( 8.76 cm ) were found in the shelf-edge close to the Galicia Asturias border. Juvenile bogue, as shown in mackerel, were mainly located in IXaN whilst adults occurred in the Cantabrian Sea. For Sardine as well mean length in IXaN was 17.03 and in the Cantabrian Sea, except one single haul performed close to the Bilbao harbour the mean length was around 20 cm .


Figure 4: Fish proportion (\% in number) at each fishing station. (KRILL -M. norvegica; MAC-mackerel; PIL-sardine; BOC-boarfish; HOM- horse mackerel; WHB-blue whiting; ANE- anchovy; BOG-bogue; and MAV-M. muelleri)

Finally it should be noted the presence of lantern fish, Maurulicus muelleri, over the shelf of IXaN. This fish species occurred in small schools during day time as shown in figure 5.


Figure 5: M. muelleri schools located at 140 m depth (total depth is 200 ). The yellow line is the depth sensor of the trawl door. M. muelleri represented $98 \%$ of the catch and $2 \%$ was krill (Meganyctiphanes norvegica).The fishing station was performed on $12^{\text {th }}$ March at 13:30 GMT.

## CUFES sardine egg counts

658 CUFES stations were done and 4214 were collected in 117 samples ( $33 \%$ positive stations). Last year the total egg number collected was 5936 but the number of positive stations was 105 ( $28 \%$ positive stations). Figure 6 shows the sardine egg counts


Figure 6. Number of sardine egg collected at the CUFES stations

## Acoustic

A total of $251.893,2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}$ were attributed to fish species which is is 2.4 times higher than that of the previous year when only $105.384,67 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}$ were attributed to fish species. Table 3 shows the fishing station used to allocate backscattering energy when echotraces were similar to those found around these fishing station.

Table 3: Fishing station used for backscattering energy allocation and transects

| Fishing station | Transects |
| :---: | :---: |
| PE01 | RA02 |
| PE02 | RA01, RA02 |
| PE03 | RA03, RA04 |
| PE04 | RA05, RA06, RA07, RA08 |
| PE05 | RA04, RA05, RA06, RA07 |
| PE06 | RA06, RA07, RA08, RA09, RA11, RA13 |
| PE10 | RA06, RA07, RIAS |
| PE11 | RIAS |
| PE12 | RA09, RA10, RA11 |
| PE13 | RA10 |
| PE15-16 | RA15, RA16 |
| PE15-18 | RA15, RA16 |
| PE15 | RA12, RA13, RA14 |
| PE19-18 | RA17 |
| PE17 | RA12, RA16, RA17 |
| PE19 | RA18 |
| PE20 | RA17, RA18, RA19 |
| PE22 | RA21, RA22 |
| PE23 | RA20, RA21, RA22, RA23 |
| PE24 | RA23 |
| PE26 | RA25, RA27 |
| PE27 | RA23, RA24, RA25, RA26, RA27 |
| PE28 | RA23, RA24, RA25, RA26, RA27 |
| PE29 | RA28, RA29, RA30, RA31, RA32 |
| PE30 | RA27, RA28, RA29, RA30, RA31, RA32, RA33 |
| PE32 | RA28, RA29, RA30, RA31, RA32, RA33 |
| PE33 | RA31, RA32, RA33, RA36 |
| P33-P30 | RA34, RA35 |
| PE34 | RA33, RA34, RA35, RA36, RA37, RA38 |
| PE35 | RA32, RA33, RA34, RA35, RA36, |
| PE36 | RA34, RA36 |
| PE37 | RA35, RA36, RA37, RA38, RA39, |
| PE38 | RA37, RA38, RA39, RA43 |
| PE39 | RA40, RA42 |
| PE40 | RA40, RA43, RA45, RA46 |
| PE41 | RA37, RA40, RA41, RA43, RA44, |
| PE42 | RA41, RA42, RA44, RA45, RA46 |
| PE43 | RA45, RA46 |
| PE44 | RA46, RA47, RA48 |
| PE45 | RA48, RA49 |
| PE46 | RA47, RA48, RA49 |
| PE47 | RA48, RA49, RA50, RA51 |
| PE48 | RA50, RA51 |
| PE49 | RA49, RA50, RA51 |
| P49-P52 | RA52, RA53 |
| P50-P51 | RA50, RA51, RA52, RA53 |

Table 4 shows the backscattering energy distributed by species and ICES subdivision, either by direct allocation (DA) or through the proportion found at the fishing stations (Fst). Direct assignation was feasible accounting for its special acoustic properties, morphology and geographical characteristics for some board fish, horse mackerel and especially, mackerel. On the other hand, only a $1.19 \%$ of the total energy attributed to fish remained unallocated.

Table 4: Backscattering energy ( $s_{A}$ ) allocated by species, both by direct allocation (DA) and by the fish proportion found at the ground-truth fishing stations, and by ICES Sub-Division (WHB-blue whiting; MAC-mackerel; HOM- horse mackerel; PIL-sardine; JAAblue jack mackerel; BOG-bogue; MAS-chub mackerel; BOC-boarfish; SBR-sea breams and similar specie; HMM-mediterranean horse mackerel; Other species and- unallocated NASC)

|  |  | WHB | MAC | HAK | HOM | PIL | JAA | BOG | MAS | BOC | SBR | HMM | Other | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 16 | 0 | 4543 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 4733 |
|  | Fst | 5540 | 94 | 2213 | 56324 | 340 | 407 | 18209 | 14 | 0 | 1612 | 0 | 1087 | 85841 |
| VIIIc-W | DA | 0 | 5 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3420 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 3677 |
|  | Fst | 12278 | 77 | 1086 | 4456 | 1 | 4 | 775 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 124 | 18858 |
| VIIIc-Ew | DA | 0 | 7967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3096 | 0 | 0 | 2689 | 11063 |
|  | Fst | 32385 | 6395 | 1286 | 29357 | 4989 | 400 | 4058 | 323 | 18048 | 3963 | 669 | 1 | 101874 |
| VIIIc-Ee | DA | 0 | 1400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1400 |
|  | Fst | 5127 | 1749 | 294 | 2914 | 711 | 4 | 1917 | 962 | 6955 | 242 | 229 | 655 | 21758 |
| Total |  | 0 | 9388 | 0 | 4627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6515 | 0 | 0 | 3030 | 23561 |
|  | Fst | 55330 | 8315 | 4879 | 93052 | 6042 | 815 | 24959 | 1300 | 25003 | 5872 | 899 | 1867 | 228332 |
| Total |  | 55330 | 17703 | 4879 | 97679 | 6042 | 815 | 24959 | 1300 | 31518 | 5872 | 899 | 4897 | 251893 |

## Spatial patterns

Table 5 and figure 7 summarizes the spatial indices of the main fish species.

Table 5: Center of gravity according to the weighting average calculated using Distance to the Origin (Dist.Org.; expressed in nautical miles), distance to 200 m isobath (Dist 200) and depth (DEPTH, expressed in meters) together with its standard deviation and confidence interval. (WHB-blue whiting; MAC-mackerel; HAK -hake; HOM- horse mackerel; PIL-sardine; JAA-blue jack mackerel; BOG-bogue; MAS-chub mackerel; BOC-boarfish; ANE-anchovy ; HMM-mediterranean horse mackerel.

|  | BWH | MAC | HAK | HOM | PIL | JAA | BOG | MAS | BOC | ANE | HMM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | 246.79 | 163.18 | 182.37 | 67.16 | 136.98 | 100.06 | 57.50 | 197.11 | 165.79 | 54.60 | 94.30 |
| s.d. | 312.95 | 189.00 | 99.77 | 236.16 | 52.46 | 29.59 | 113.57 | 52.97 | 192.52 | 3.29 | 18.61 |
| c. i. | 37.36 | 22.56 | 11.91 | 28.20 | 6.26 | 3.53 | 13.56 | 6.32 | 22.99 | 0.39 | 2.22 |
| Dist 200 | 3.90 | 4.84 | 5.53 | 8.38 | 5.38 | 6.10 | 7.81 | 3.11 | 5.61 | 8.70 | 4.27 |
| s.d. | 10.02 | 7.47 | 3.21 | 22.89 | 4.55 | 1.94 | 11.06 | 1.50 | 15.43 | 0.44 | 1.21 |
| c. i. | 1.20 | 0.89 | 0.38 | 2.73 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 1.32 | 0.18 | 1.84 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
| Dist. Or | 226.42 | 284.62 | 149.87 | 144.04 | 295.46 | 176.95 | 127.71 | 373.37 | 250.86 | 373.78 | 354.52 |
| s.d. | 353.30 | 147.04 | 114.13 | 570.87 | 86.91 | 50.76 | 285.73 | 29.69 | 219.17 | 0.70 | 14.13 |
| c. i. | 42.16 | 17.55 | 13.62 | 68.13 | 10.37 | 6.06 | 34.10 | 3.54 | 26.16 | 0.08 | 1.69 |



Figure 7 Centre of gravity of NASC distribution for the main fish species. Lines are proportional to the confidence intervals for both variables, Distance to the Origin (D.O.) and Depth

That of horse mackerel reflects the high abundance found within the Rías in IXaN and, in general in shallower waters. The center of gravity of mackerel remains more or less in the position as in the previous year. For blue whiting, although some fish have been detected over the continental shelf, the bulk of the distribution is still located on the self-edge, but this year the center has been estimated eastward than the previous year. On the other hand, sardine distribution, although the schools detected in the Rias, remains as well in more or less the same position as in the previous year.

## Mackerel distribution and assessment

Mackerel was the most important fish species, both in number and spatial distribution. Figure 8 shows the spatial distribution.


Figure 8. Mackerel: spatial distribution PELACUSO314 cruise. Polygons are drawn to encompass the observed echoes, and polygon colour indicates the mean density expressed as tonnes per squared nautical mile (<1,; 1-10; 10-25; 25-50; 50-100; and $>500$ )

Table 6 shows the mackerel assessment. 808422 mt has been estimated, corresponding to 2.802 million fish. The bulk of the distribution occurred in the central part of the Cantabrian Sea. In western areas (IXaN and VIIIc-west), where the juvenile mackerel fraction was distributed, density was scarce and, in some cases, very difficult to observe at 38 kHz and probably both abundance and distribution area would be greater; in these areas age group 1 was predominant ( $84 \%$ in number and $63 \%$ in weight). On the contrary, in the Cantabrian Sea (VIIIc-East), where the bulk of the biomass occurs, age groups 5,6 and 7 where predominant and accounted for the $65 \%$ of the biomass (64\% in weight)

Table 6 Mackerel acoustic assessment



Figure 9. Mackerel length distribution in both number and biomass during PELACUSO314 survey.


Biomass:
5.47 thousand mt

Mean weight: 87.65 g
Number:
54 million fish
Mean length: 24.03 cm (s.d. 3.96 )


Biomass:
Mean weight:
Number:
Mean length: $\quad 3748$ million fish
35.31 cm (s.d. 2.51 )


| Biomass: | 808.42 thousand mt |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mean weight: | 290.99 g |
| Number: | 2802 million fish |
| Mean length: | 35.09 cm (s.d. 2.98 ) |

Figure 10. Mackerel abundance and biomass by age group during PELACUSO314 survey.
Comparing with the previous year, the total mackerel biomass assessed is $47 \%$ higher ( 379149 t corresponding to 1,725 million fish). As in previous year juveniles were mainly located in the west part (VIIIc-w and IXaN), where age group 1 accounted for the $83 \%$ of total fish number and the $63 \%$ of the total biomass. In Cantabrian Sea (VIIIc-East), were the bulk of the population was located ( $97 \%$ of the fish number and $99 \%$ of the total biomass), age groups 4, 5 and 6 accounted for the $65 \%$ of the total biomass. On the other hand, age group 2 only represents the $1 \%$ of the total abundance. This result is consistent with that obtained the previous year when the strength of age class 1 was weak.

Table 7. Mackerel abundance in number (thousand fish) and biomass (tons) by age group and ICES sub-area in PELACUSO314.
SURVEY: PELACUS 0314. MACKEREL
BIOMASS (thousand tonnes). ZONE: VIIIc+[XaN

|  |  |  |  |  |  | GROU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | No fish (milli |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0 |
| 20 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.43 | 8 |
| 21 | 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.84 | 13 |
| 22 | 1.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.80 | 24 |
| 23 | 1.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.27 | 15 |
| 24 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.66 | 7 |
| 25 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.23 | 2 |
| 28 |  | 0.32 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.27 | 9 |
| 29 |  | 0.23 | 0.70 | 1.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.58 | 16 |
| 30 |  | 0.64 | 3.53 | 2.25 | 1.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.70 | 42 |
| 31 |  | 1.34 | 10.72 | 8.04 | 4.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.11 | 120 |
| 32 |  | 3.87 | 3.87 | 23.19 | 23.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54.11 | 244 |
| 33 |  | 2.59 | 5.18 | 20.74 | 36.29 | 2.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67.40 | 276 |
| 34 |  |  | 7.11 | 10.67 | 35.57 | 24.90 | 17.78 | 3.56 |  |  |  |  | 99.59 | 372 |
| 35 |  |  | 2.49 | 4.98 | 42.36 | 52.33 | 24.92 | 19.94 |  |  |  |  | 147.03 | 503 |
| 36 |  |  |  | 7.00 | 24.50 | 56.01 | 52.51 | 28.00 | 7.00 |  |  |  | 175.03 | 549 |
| 37 |  |  |  | 3.39 | 10.17 | 33.91 | 37.30 | 13.57 | 6.78 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 115.30 | 332 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  | 4.34 | 6.51 | 21.70 | 8.68 | 6.51 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 54.26 | 144 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.23 | 6.45 | 3.23 | 6.45 | 3.23 | 3.23 | 3.23 | 29.03 | 71 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 14.15 | 32 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.11 | 2.22 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 6.65 | 14 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 3.18 | 6 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.88 | 0.88 | 1.75 | 3 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biomass (thousand t) | 5 | 9 | 35 | 82 | 182 | 179 | 163 | so | 31 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 808.42 | 2802 |
| \% | 0.63 | 1.13 | 4.28 | 10.13 | 22.48 | 22.20 | 20.17 | 9.95 | 3.87 | 1.65 | 1.76 | 1.76 |  |  |
| M. weight | 71.47 | 217.42 | 223.71 | 245.54 | 275.29 | 318.01 | 333.93 | 335.23 | 381.81 | 414.30 | 420.87 | 420.87 | 290.99 |  |
| No Fish (million) | 68 | 43 | 157 | 340 | 676 | 581 | 502 | 247 | 85 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 2802 |  |
| \% | 2.43 | 1.53 | 5.62 | 12.15 | 24.12 | 20.74 | 17.93 | 8.81 | 3.02 | 1.18 | 1.24 | 1.24 |  |  |
| M. length | 22.53 | 32.01 | 32.30 | 33.26 | 34.48 | 36.09 | 36.65 | 36.69 | 38.23 | 39.22 | 39.42 | 39.42 | 35.09 |  |
| s.d. | 1.21 | 1.48 | 1.74 | 1.69 | 1.61 | 1.16 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.46 | 1.51 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 2.98 |  |

On the other hand given that in some cases NASC direct allocation was not feasible and, therefore, this was done using the Nakken and Dommasnes method, the change in the TS length relationship for boarfish, would result in a small decrease of a 1.29 \% in the total abundance (i.e. from 808 to 798 thousand tonnes)

## Behaviour:

This year, most of the mackerel occurs in a pelagic layer, at around 30-50 m depth. In some cases schools were also seen in the surface and, in general, they showed strong diving reaction from the upper layers to the bottom, especially when marine mammals were present, but also raising reaction from the bottom to the upper layers, as shown in figures 10 and 11. Yet, the relationship between this raising behaviour and explanatory variables was not studied. On the other hand the main difference between this year and the previous is both the thickness and the continuity of the subsurface layer. Until now, rather than a subsurface layer, mackerel occurred in scarce patches while the bulk of the distribution was located near the sea bottom. Over the subsurface patches, the spring artisanal hand-line fleet is concentrated (figure 12).


Primary fileset line data sounder detected bottom T4 depth: 74.58m $\quad \Delta$ No data loggers pelacus2014-020140328-T123914.raw (43 Figure 10. Mackerel occurrence during PELACUS 0314. Top panel subsurface layer (120 kHz echogram; threshold set at -70dB); Mid panel, diving reactions close to the self-edge(200 kHz left and, 120 kHz , right). Bottom panel, raising reaction.


Figure 11: Mackerel schools at the surface


Figure 12: Hand-line working over a mackerel schools.

## Mackerel diet

The times series of mackerel stomach contents (1999-2014) has been presented this year. Data came from the biological samples obtained in different trawls hauls during PELACUS (i.e. only day time data). Figure 13 shows the percentage of non empty stomachs. $75 \%$ of stomachs analysed, ranging from to 56 to $92 \%$, were full or partial full. Main prey has varied along time series, but copepods and mackerel eggs were the most important preys in number along the time series. In volume, three periods can be distinguished; from 2001 to 2004 salps accounted for around $54 \%$ of the stomach volume; 2006 to 2011 when copepods accounted for the $40 \%$ of the total stomach volume, reaching the maximum in 2009 and then showing a continuous declining trend; and since 2011 when crustacean became more important (Euphausiacea, Mysidacea, Decapoda, both adult and larvae) (figure 14). Since no longterm trends or cycles were detected in any zooplankton species (Bode et al, 2012) and only an increase in the zooplankton diversity related with inter-seasonal variability, the variability observed in the mackerel diet would be rather related to a variability in the zooplankton diversity which ultimately depends on the seasonal temperature.


Figure 13:Percentage of non-empty mackerel stomachs taken during PELACUS time series (1999-2014)


Figure 14: Mackerel diet in number (top panel) and in volume (bottom panel). All figures are in percentage.

## Blue whiting distribution and assessment

As stated previously, main blue whiting distribution area is located around the self-edge at 247 m depth. Besides is the closest fish species to the 200 m isobath, occurring with lantern fish (Maurolicus muelleri) and krill (Meganyctiphanes norvegica).Besides, the density was in general low and no extension of the distribution area into open waters in pelagic layers has been detected. Instead, comparing to the previous year, it seems that the distribution is spreading through the continental shelf (figure 15). Mean length was rather homogeneous along the surveyed area at around 22.5 cm and only smaller fish were found, close to Santander.


Figure 15. Blue whiting spatial distribution PELACUSO314 cruise. Polygons are drawn to encompass the observed echoes, and polygon colour indicates the mean density expressed as tonnes per squared nautical mile (<1; 1-10; 10-25; 25-50; 50-100; and >100)

Table 8 shows the blue whiting assessment. A total of 24.117 tonnes corresponding to 414 million fish has been estimated. Comparing to previous years, blue whiting is increasing its biomass from 7146 mt ( 123 million fish) assessed in 2012, and 13.488 mt (corresponding to 299 million fish) in 2013. Beside length structured, as show in figure 16 was significant different from that found in the previous year. According to the information got at the fishing station which as it has been stayed was similar along the surveyed area (up to 20 fishing stations with more than 31 sampled specimens), no signal of younger fish (length $<18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) has been found.

Table 8: Blue whiting assessment

| Zone | Area | sURVEY: | $\text { ELACUS } 0$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}^{\text {¢ }}$ | Area | Fishing st. | PDF | No (million fish) | Biomass (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ha}^{\text {a }}$ | Ixa_N | 58 | 95.52 | 235 | 479 | P02-P03-P04-P06 | S01 | 40 | 2407 |
|  | Total | 58 | 95.52 |  | 479 |  |  | 40 | 2407 |
| VIIIc.W | vilic_w | 182 | 67.46 | 104 | 1643 | P12-P14-P15-P16-P18-P19-P20 | S02 | 94.37 | 5891.61 |
|  | Total | 182 | 67 |  | 1643 |  |  | 94 | 5892 |
| VIIIc-E | Estaca | 43 | 84.00 | 215 | 351 | P23-P24 | S03 | 26 | 1548 |
|  | Asturias | 136 | 150.80 | 457 | 1177 | P24-P28-P29-P32-P34-P35-P36 | S04 | 159 | 9201 |
|  | Cantabria | 37 | 223.28 | 409 | 263 | P39-P40 | sos | 58 | 2919 |
|  | Euskadi | 59 | 86.89 | 158 | 477 | P42-P44-P48 | S06 | 38 | 2150 |
|  | Total | 275 | 136.39 |  | 2268 |  |  | 280 | 15818 |
| Total ${ }_{\text {IXa }}$ |  | 58 | 96 |  | 479 |  |  | 40 | 2407 |
| Total VIIIc |  | 457 | 109 |  | 3910 |  |  | 374 | 21710 |
| Total Spain |  | 515 | 107.43 |  | 4389 |  |  | 414 | 24117 |



Figure 16. Blue whiting length distribution in both number and biomass during the PELACUSO314 (above) and PELACUS 0313 (below) surveys.

As in the case of mackerel, when the new TS length relationship is applied in multispecific areas, the total biomass decreases up to 22870 mt (5.5\%).

## Horse mackerel distribution and assessment

Horse mackerel density was higher than that found the previous year. In IXaN, the bulk of the distribution occurred within the Rías Baixas in a very dense and near bottom schools (figure 17).


Figure 17. Horse mackerel spatial distribution PELACUSO314 cruise. Polygons are drawn to encompass the observed echoes, and polygon colour indicates the mean density expressed as tonnes per squared nautical mile (<1; 1-10; 10-25; 25-50; 50-100; and >100

Total biomass was estimated to be 44.356 mt ( 556 million fish), 13024 of those located in IXaN ( 217 millions fish) and the remaining 31.332 in VIIIc ( 340 million fish). (table 9, figure 18)

Table 9: Horse mackerel assessment

| SURVEY: PELACUS 0314 HORSE MACKEREL |  |  |  |  |  | Fishing st. | PDF | No (million fish) | Biomass (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone | Area | No | Mean | ${ }^{\circ} \times 2$ | Area |  |  |  |  |
| [12a | R Vigo | 22 | 556.67 | 674.99 | 27.20 | P07 | S01 | 22 | 1307 |
|  | R Pontevedra | 16 | 773.98 | 1259.80 | 31.13 | P08-P11 | S02 | 41 | 1907 |
|  | R Arousa | 57 | 635.74 | 1446.86 | 173.65 | P10 | S03 | 154 | 9810 |
|  | Total | 95 | 641 |  | 232 |  |  | 217 | 13024 |
| VIIIC-W | Attabro_Coast | 15 | 262.10 | 451.09 | 116.91 | P17 | S04 | 43.39 | 2704.57 |
|  | Artabro_Shelf | 59 | 7.79 | 9.56 | 494.24 | P18-P19 | S05 | 2.50 | 610.23 |
|  | Total | 74 | 59 |  | 611 |  |  | 46 | 3315 |
| VIIIc-E | VIICE_west_Coast | 98 | 171.52 | 288.11 | 748.83 | P30-P33-P34 | S06 | 164 | 12046 |
|  | VIICE_west_Shelf | 33 | 9.37 | 20.36 | 336.88 | P30-P33-P34 | S06 | 4 | 296 |
|  | VIIICE_mid_Coast | 32 | 25.35 | 75.07 | 244.75 | P32-P36-P45 | S07 | 3 | 978 |
|  | Llanes | 6 | 182.38 | 179.84 | 50.03 | P37 | S08 | 16 | 718 |
|  | San Vicente | 6 | 114.14 | 132.90 | 48.48 | P39 | S09 | 8 | 480 |
|  | Santander | 11 | 85.72 | 104.78 | 81.59 | P41 | S10 | 16 | 499 |
|  | Abra Bilbao | 22 | 1.42 | 3.71 | 180.29 | P46 | S11 | 0 | 22 |
|  | Donostia_Shelf | 25 | 51.39 | 114.14 | 177.57 | P49-P52 | S12 | 16 | 715 |
|  | Donostia_Coast | 44 | 33.32 | 46.14 | 343.45 | P50-P51 | S13 | 8 | 1542 |
|  | Cantabria_Shelf | 52 | 169.91 | 732.69 | 471.35 | P40 | S14 | 57 | 10722 |
|  | Total | 329 | 98.08 |  | 2683 |  |  | 294 | 28017 |
|  | Total LXa | 95 | 641 |  | 232 |  |  | 217 | 13024 |
|  | Total VIIIC | 403 | 91 |  | 3294 |  |  | 340 | 31332 |
|  | Total Spain | 498 | 195.84 |  | 3526 |  |  | 556 | 44356 |

As in the previous years, length distribution showed a great heterogeneity along the surveyed although a clear mode around 20 cm has been found in almost all the fishing stations.


Figure 18. Horse mackerel length distribution in both number and biomass during the PELACUSO314 in IXaN (above) and VIIIc (below).

The total biomass assessed in Pelacus 0314 was significantly higher than that estimated last year ( 6.362 mt corresponding to 44 million fish). A total of 6.372 mt has been estimated, corresponding to 44 million fish, which was smaller than that assessed the last year (18264 mt corresponding to 110 million fish). The bad weather conditions found last year as well as the behaviour observed of near-coast schools, mainly concentrated in shallower waters in a very hard and rough sea bed, thus no accessible to the pelagic year, which represented the $33 \%$ of the total backscattering energy and left as unallocated, would be a plausible explanation for such increase. On the other hand, as shown in figure 19, the main difference between both surveys is the lack of a 20 cm mode (mainly age group 1) during the previous survey as compared with 2014 survey. Given the presence of this length mode through the whole surveyed area, it seems that the strength of the 2013 recruitment would be higher than that of the previous ones.


Figure 19: Horse mackerel length distribution in both number and biomass during the PELACUSO314 (above) and PELACUS 0313 (below) surveys.

On the other hand the differences between this assessment and that derived from the application of the new boarfish TS length relationship is almost negligible (0.25\%)

## Boarfish distribution and assessment

Boarfish spatial distribution and length structure remained very similar to those observed last year (figure 20). Smaller size was detected in the eastern part of Cape Ortegal ( $7-\mathrm{W}$ ) with a principal mode located at 8 cm , while for the rest of the areas the main mode was estimated at 14 cm . Besides, as in previous years, boarfish occurred either in isolate, thick schools, mainly located in the western part and in near bottom layer, sometimes mixed with other fish species.


Figure 20. Board fish spatial distribution PELACUSO314 cruise. Polygons are drawn to encompass the observed echoes, and polygon colour indicates the mean density expressed as tonnes per squared nautical mile (<1,; 1-10; 10-25; 25-50; 50-100; and >100)

For the assessment we have kept the old TS/length relation ship for comparison purposes, but, together with this, we have used the new one estimation.

Accordingly, using the new TS estimation, a total of 25344 has been estimated corresponding to 581 million fish. (table 10). In the same way, using the old TS estimation which was so much lower than the new one ( 6.4 dB ), the total biomass reached 98220 mt ( 2167 million fish), which was 6 times higher than that of the previous year (16067
tonnes, corresponding to 437 million fish), but still far from the maximum assessed in 2011 when more than 220 thousand tonnes were estimated. In 2012 the total biomass assessed were 33.238 corresponding to 518 million fish.

Table 12: Boarfish acoustic assessment

| Zone | SURVEY: PELACUS 0314 BOAR FISH |  |  |  |  | PDF | No (million fish) | Biomass (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area | No | Mean | Area | Fishing st. |  |  |  |
| vilic-W | Capelada | 13 | 264.57 | 93.92 | P21 | S01 | 39.10 | 2321.75 |
|  | Total | 13 | 265 | 94 |  |  | 39 | 2322 |
| VIIIc-E | Estaca | 34 | 136.59 | 310.86 | P24 | S02 | 74 | 3790 |
|  | Masma Coast | 28 | 315.74 | 225.18 | P27 | S03 | 107 | 6763 |
|  | Masma Off-shore | 17 | 301.03 | 144.32 | P28 | S04 | 184 | 2643 |
|  | Asturias_Occ | 30 | 112.63 | 251.50 | P32-P40-P42-P44-P45-P46 | S05 | 47 | 2590 |
|  | Cantabria | 55 | 186.94 | 423.37 | P32-P40-P42-P44-P45-P46 | S05 | 131 | 7235 |
|  | Total | 164 | 196.73 | 1355 |  |  | 542 | 23022 |
| Total VIIIc |  | 177 | 202 | 1449 |  |  | 581 | 25344 |
| Total Spain |  | 177 | 202 | 1449 |  |  | 581 | 25344 |



Figure 21. Boarfish length distribution in both number and biomass during the PELACUSO314 (above) and PELACUS 0313 (below) surveys.

When possible boarfish schools were directly allocated. Nevertheless, relative frequency response seems to be highly variable, and, although there is a clear pattern with a weak response at high frequencies, specially at 200 kHz , in some cases responses at 18 kHz or at 120 kHz were higher than those reported by Fässler et al (2013), as shown in figure $22 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$. Whether this changes are related to the fish size (i.e. different frequency resonant in relation total size) or to physiological condition or behaviour (i.e. spawning ) should be further investigated.


Figure 22a. Boarfish school as observed at 18, 38, 120 and 200 kHz and its absolute frequency response (left plot), relative one (middle plot) and the observed relative frequency response as found in Fässler et al (2003) (right plot),


Figure 22b. lb. Boarfish schools as observed at $18,38,120$ and 200 kHz and its absolute frequency response (left plot), relative one (middle plot) and the observed relative frequency response as found in Fässler et al (2003) (right plot).

## Sardine distribution and assessment

A total of 9,669 tons of sardine ( 157 million fish) was estimated to be present in the surveyed area. That represents an important increase in relation to 2013 abundance and biomass, but still at the lower levels of the time series. Fish were mainly found in Cantabrian area (mainly in VIIIc East-West subdivision) and inside Rias Baixas (South Galicia, ICES sub-areas IXa-N) and was almost absent from the rest of the surveyed area (figure 23). Most fish in the entire surveyed area were assigned as belonging to the age 2 ( $38 \%$ of the abundance and $43 \%$ of the biomass) and age 3 ( $24.5 \%$ of the abundance and 25.5 \% of the biomass) years classes. By subdivisions, the IXaN (South of Galicia) population was dominated by age 1 fish whilst the Cantabrian area was mainly composed by a population of age 2 and age 3 individuals.


Figure 23. Sardine spatial distribution in PELACUSO314 cruise. Polygons are drawn to encompass the observed echoes, and polygon colour indicates the mean density expressed as kilograms per squared nautical mile (<500,; 500-1000; 1000-5000; 5000-10000; and >10000)

The distribution of sardine eggs (obtained from the analysis of 358 CUFES stations) indicates a very coastal distribution, agreeing with that observed in previous years The percentage of positive stations was very similar in both surveys, but total number of sardine eggs detected in Spanish waters was 4214, which represents an important decrease from the 2013 value.


Figure 24. Sardine length distribution in both number and biomass during the PELACUSO314 (above) and PELACUS 0313 (below) surveys.

## Other fish species

Only bogue (Boops boops) has an important contribution to the pelagic community; on the contrary, anchovy or Mediterranean horse mackerel had a lesser contribution, with only few tonnes.

## Discussion and conclusions

PELACUS 0314 was characterised by relative stable weather conditions along the surveyed area. Besides, there was an important increase in backscattering energy as compared with the previous year. This resulted in an increase of the biomass estimated in the majority of the fish species, but still sardine is at lowest productivity ever recorded. Good recruitment would be observed in horse mackerel, but for the rest of the fish species, no strong signals for age group 1 have been detected.

The reasons for this increase would be related to the weather stability which could have increased the fish availability either for a change in the behaviour (i.e. spatial pattern distribution) or for an increase in the food availability. This is relevant accounting the increase of the occurrence of mackerel subsurface layers observed this year. As PELACUS is a multidisciplinary survey series (we collect environmental and biological ancillary information, stomach contents, including CTD cats, plankton tows or continuous records of plankton, eggs, S, T and flourometry), we will try to explain this change of behaviour. Our main hypothesis is that these species could follow mackerel when is undertaking vertical migration, probably related with the spawning activity, just for feeding eggs and, therefore, changing the expected schooling behaviour by the dispersed one, used during the feeding activity.

The challenges for the next years are to increase the number of school directly allocated accounting the relative frequency response and to investigate and also to update the list of TS/length relationship for the most important fish species.
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