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#### Abstract

The Spanish Institute of Oceanography carried out in 2006 two bottom trawl surveys in the NAFO Regulatory Area in Division 3NO and 3L during the months of June and August respectively. The results on northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis) are presented and compared with those from previous surveys from the same series. While the catch (278 kg.) and estimated biomass (1300 tons.) confirm the decrease of shrimp importance from 2002 in 3NO, 3L showed since the beginning of the new survey in 2003 a constant and significant increase from 104551 tons. in 2003 to 215389 tons. in 2006.


Catch results from the surveys and data analysis are discussed in this paper.

## Introduction

Northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis Krøyer, 1883) is a protrandric, circumpolar species, discontinuously distributed in the North Atlantic and of considerable commercial importance. The greatest abundance is being in the Northwest Atlantic at latitudes above $46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The stock of this species in Div. 3LNO, NAFO is distributed along the entire edge of the grand banks, at depths generally ranging from 180 to 550 metres, although historically the 90$99 \%$ of the biomass had been attributed to NAFO Div. 3L (Orr et al, 2005).

Since 1995, Canadian multi-species stratified random surveys have been used to estimate northern shrimp biomass and abundance indices within NAFO Div. 3LNO. In this series of surveys, Div. 3N accounts for between 0.5 and $9 \%$ of the total biomass in Div. 3LNO; over $82 \%$ of the biomass in Div. 3 N is located beyond the 200 mile limit (Orr et al., 2003). The biomass in Division 30 accounts for less than $1 \%$ of the biomass in Div. 3LNO and only the $0.34 \%$ of the biomass in Div. 3 O is beyond the 200 mile limit (Orr et al., 2003).

The Vigo Centre of Instituto Español de Oceanografía is conducting research cruises since 1995 in the NAFO Regulatory Area in Div. 3NO beyond the 200 mile exclusive economic zone. A stratified, random, bottom trawl, multi-species research sampling program was carried out to obtain abundance and biomass indices as well as other biological data for the most important commercial species present in the area.

In the surveys conducted between 1995 and 2000, the catches of northern shrimp were insignificant. This could be explained by the low efficiency of the fishing gear "pedreira", with this species (Paz et al., 1995), used in those years.

Since 2001, the survey was carried out on board R/V "Vizconde de Eza" using a Campelen 1800 net (Walsh et al., 2001). Despite the improvements incorporated with the new vessel and the use of a Campelen 1800 net, which is highly efficient for this species (Vazquez, 2002), total catches in 2001 were poor, i.e., 28.8 kg .

From 2002 year a significant increase of the catches of northern shrimp was noted in 3NO Division with catches bigger than 300 kg .

Also, since 2003 a new research survey was conducted in Division 3L as an extension of the survey carried out in 3NO. The estimated biomass in 3L Division always was very superior to that estimated in 3NO.

This work presents data on the geographical distribution in the NAFO Regulatory Area (Div. 3LNO), on biomass, length frequencies, age structure and mean weight by length-class of catches of northern shrimp on Spanish bottom trawl surveys 2006.

## Materials and Methods

The 2006 Spanish bottom trawl surveys were carried out from the $7^{\text {th }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}$ of June in $3 N O$ and from $1^{\text {st }}$ to $20^{\text {th }}$ of August in 3L, following set guidelines previously established for the series of I.E.O. research surveys (Walsh et al., 2001). These surveys took place in Div. 3NO and 3L, with a total of 120 and 100 valid hauls respectively ranging depths between 40 and 1400 m approximately.

Shrimp samples of approximately 1.5 kg were taken to determine length frequencies in hauls where the amount and good condition of the specimens caught permitted to sample them.

Males and females were separated with reference to the endopodite of the first pleopod (Rasmussen, 1953). Following this criterion, individuals that were in the middle of a sex change were considered as females. The females were differentiated into mature and immature, following the sternal spines criteria (McCray, 1971). Ovigerous females were considered as an independent group not included within the mature females.

Individuals were measured onboard by noting the distance from the base of the eye to the posterior mid dorsal point of the carapace -OCL- (Shumway et al., 1985). Such measurements were made to the lower half millimetre using electronic callipers.

Furthermore, in 2006 survey some samples were frozen onboard to determine the length-weight relationship in the laboratory. 2803 and 5256 individuals were selected in 3NO and 3L Divisions respectively, dried and weighed with a precision of 0.1 g to calculate the length-weight relationship in each Division.

## Results and Discussion

The Table 1 shows the catches, biomass and standard errors estimated by swept area method of northern shrimp from the multi-species surveys, carried out by IEO Vigo from 1995-2006 in the NAFO Div. 3NO and from 2003-2006 in Division 3L. In the summer of 2005 the research survey could not be carried out in Division 3L. From the year 2002 an abrupt increase with respect to earlier years occurred in 3NO Division, both in terms of catch and biomass (Diaz et al., 2002). These initial data were considered with caution due to the fact that, until 2001, the "Pedreira" gear used as a sampler (Paz et al., 1995) was not efficient for catching shrimp. However, although in 2001, the gear "type Pedreira" was changed for a new type "Campelen 1800" (Walsh et al., 2001) with high efficiency for catching this species (Vazquez, 2002), the catches and biomass estimated stayed at low levels.

After 2002 year, the increase in northern shrimp catch in 3NO was confirmed, in terms of the period 1995-2001 although in the last two years both the catches and estimated biomasses of shrimp show a decreasing trend with levels in 2006 around 1300 t . (Fig. 1).

Unlike 3NO, the estimated biomass in Division 3L since the beginning of the new survey in 2003 showed a constant and significant increase from 104551 t. in 2003 to 215389 in 2006.

The distribution of northern shrimp catches in the Spanish trawl survey 2006 is shown in Fig. 2. The main catches were located at medium depths (184-366 m.) in Div. 3L. The residual catches in 3NO were mainly located to the Northeast of Div. 3 N , in latitudes higher than $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.

Table 2 and 3 show the shrimp biomass by depth strata from 1995 to 2006 surveys in Divisions 3NO and from 2003 to 2006 in 3L. From 2003 the bulk of estimated biomass ( $>90 \%$ ) were allocated at depths between 150-300 ft. (270-550 m.). Although it is considered that the shrimp in Div. 3LNO is distributed along the entire edge of the grand banks, at depths generally ranging from 100 to 300 fathoms (180-550 m.), the depth of the bulk of biomass present differences in 3L and 3NO Divisions. In 3L Division practically the total of the catches (>95\%) were produced in depths between 150 ft . and 200 ft . while in 3NO Division the catches increased from depths between 100 and 200 ft . during the years 2001-2003 (around $90 \%$ ) to depths between 200 and 300 ft . in the three last years.

The length distribution by sex estimated in the 3NO and 3L are presented in table 4 and figure 3. Although the range of their length distributions and main modes around 19.5 mm . and 24 mm . for males and females respectively did not show important differences in the two Divisions, the youngest males (10-15 mm.) were in percentage terms more important in 3NO. Also the sex ratio was very different in both Divisions, showing values next to $50 \%$ in 3NO while in 3L the importance of males was very superior (71\%).

The MIX modal size analysis programme was used with the length distribution by sex estimated in 3L. From the cited analysis the males presented three modes at 15.718 .9 and 21 mm . corresponding with ages 2,3 and 4 respectively. The sex change occurs at age 4 and the females showed a bimodal distribution with a weak mode at 19.2 mm (age 3) and a strong mode at 24 mm . This mode includes several age groups but the age 5 with lengths around 24 mm . stands out from the rest.

The Table 5 shows the length-weight relationship estimated in 2006 surveys by sex and maturity stage as well the parameters of the relationship, number of specimens sampled and determination coefficient $R^{2}$.
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Table 1. Northern shrimp biomass estimated by swept area (tons.), standard error and catches (kg.) from Spanish bottom trawl survey in NAFO Div. 3NO, 1995-2006 and 3L 2003-2006.

| 3NO |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Biomass |  |  | Catch <br> (kg.) |
|  | tons | Std. err. |  |  |  |
| $1995^{1}$ | 14 | 13 | 5 |  |  |
| $1996^{1}$ | 18 | 17 | 2 |  |  |
| $1997^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| $1998^{1}$ | 23 | 17 | 5 |  |  |
| $1999^{1}$ | 81 | 36 | 13 |  |  |
| $2000^{1}$ | 26 | 9 | 6 |  |  |
| $2001^{2}$ | 178 | 72 | 29 |  |  |
| $2002^{2}$ | 2043 | 814 | 408 |  |  |
| $2003^{2}$ | 1618 | 716 | 325 |  |  |
| $2004^{2}$ | 2654 | 1693 | 550 |  |  |
| $2005^{2}$ | 1627 | 590 | 368 |  |  |
| $2006^{2}$ | 1274 | 352 | 278 |  |  |


| Year | 3L |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biomass |  | Catch <br> (kg.) |
|  | tons | Std. err |  |
| 1995 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $1996^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $1997{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $1998{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $1999{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $2000{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $2001{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $2002^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $2003^{2}$ | 104551 | 37403 | 5836 |
| $2004{ }^{2}$ | 159289 | 65867 | 5093 |
| 2005 |  | ot surveyed |  |
| $2006{ }^{2}$ | 215389 | 21161 | 17805 |

$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Pedreira codend } 35 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. mesh size. } \\ { }^{2} & \text { Campelen codend } 20 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. mesh size. }\end{array}$

Table 2. Northern shrimp biomass (kg.) by strata from Spanish bottom trawl survey 2001-2006 in NAFO Div. 3NO.

| Division 3NO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | Area miles $^{2}$ | Depth range ft . | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 375 | 271 | 0-30 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3453 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1989 |
| 376 | 1334 | 0-30 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 4203 |
| 353 | 269 | 31-50 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 360 | 2783 | 31-50 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26423 | 1457 | 3470 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 374 | 214 | 31-50 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 354 | 246 | 51-100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87612 | 0 | 292 | 6917 | 0 | 0 |
| 359 | 421 | 51-100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1389 | 0 | 6348 | 847 | 1309 | 43 | 41 | 22 |
| 377 | 100 | 51-100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 208 | 44 | 0 | 2020 | 751 | 1471 | 3742 | 3704 |
| 382 | 343 | 51-100 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 213 | 206 |  | 112695 | 302 | 297 | 825 | 944 |
| 355 | 74 | 101-150 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15170 | 147 | 7635 | 6146 | 6183 | 9179 |
| 358 | 225 | 101-150 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 30129 | 0 | 717 | 3261 | 3900 | 10289 | 32548 | 258 |
| 378 | 139 | 101-150 | 0 | 0 |  | 8968 | 10998 | 1196 | 17004 | 680353 | 11429 | 772 | 3985 | 10066 |
| 381 | 144 | 101-150 |  | 0 |  | 63 | 11205 | 122 |  | 84984 | 20648 | 225280 | 1486 | 75176 |
| 356 | 47 | 151-200 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 1337 | 12937 | 8046 | 2683 |
| 357 | 164 | 151-200 | 0 | 18097 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 | 16414 | 425145 | 163606 | 38796 | 114178 |
| 379 | 106 | 151-200 | 0 | 0 | 720 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 12511 | 70342 | 254080 | 7709 | 329867 | 116970 |
| 380 | 96 | 151-200 |  | 0 |  | 1024 | 9346 | 10240 |  | 1000960 | 698502 | 258603 | 120866 | 607392 |
| 721 | 65 | 201-300 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2889 | 3282 | 1112 | 852 | 256 | 3054 |
| 723 | 155 | 201-300 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 16872 | 0 | 0 | 12667 | 92831 | 44044 | 3333 | 53799 |
| 725 | 105 | 201-300 | 14315 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 527 | 91803 | 1814540 | 748369 | 206794 |
| 727 | 96 | 201-300 |  | 0 |  | 13213 | 0 | 11429 |  | 28660 | 2119 | 98477 | 326841 | 62635 |
| 722 | 84 | 301-400 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 37 | 734 | 2890 | 60 | 156 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| 724 | 124 | 301-400 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 628 | 58 | 165 | 53 |
| 726 | 72 | 301-400 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 54 | 2048 | 0 | 406 |
| 728 | 78 | 301-400 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1671 |  | 7280 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 135 |
| 752 | 131 | 401-500 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 86 | 0 | 49 | 222 | 58 |
| 756 | 101 | 401-500 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 42 | 869 | 84 |
| 760 | 154 | 401-500 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 49 | 0 | 0 |
| 764 | 100 | 401-500 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 753 | 138 | 501-600 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 |
| 757 | 102 | 501-600 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 204 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| 761 | 171 | 501-600 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 765 | 124 | 501-600 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 754 | 180 | 601-700 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 758 | 99 | 601-700 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 94 |  | 16302 | 0 | 19 | 88 | 0 |
| 762 | 212 | 601-700 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 766 | 144 | 601-700 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 19 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 755 | 385 | 701-800 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 89 |  | 0 | 174 | 0 | 68 | 0 |
| 759 | 127 | 701-800 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 17 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| 763 | 261 | 701-800 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 767 | 158 | 701-800 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomasa } \\ \text { (ton.) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | 18 | 1 | 23 | 81 | 26 | 178 | 2,043 | 1,618 | 2,654 | 1,627 | 1,274 |
| Std. Error (tons) |  |  | 13 | 17 | 1 | 17 | 36 | 9 | 72 | 814 | 716 | 1693 | 590 | 352 |

Table 3. Northern shrimp biomass (kg.) by strata from Spanish bottom trawl survey 2003-2006 in NAFO Div. 3L.

| Division 3L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | Area miles $^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Depth range } \\ \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 385 | 104 | 51-100 | 370 | 155 |  | 2190933 |
| 390 | 1481 | 51-100 | 1843 | 6868 |  | 4684608 |
| 389 | 821 | 101-150 | 23222674 | 67186990 |  | 86518447 |
| 391 | 282 | 101-150 | 1116135 | 1299793 |  | 3712072 |
| 387 | 718 | 151-200 | 49414721 | 60923347 |  | 84049080 |
| 388 | 361 | 151-200 | 25451607 | 25057182 |  | 32950165 |
| 392 | 145 | 151-200 | 2821419 | 1866379 |  | 193967 |
| 729 | 186 | 201-300 | 20371 | 1465049 |  | 88481 |
| 731 | 216 | 201-300 | 2449416 | 1467221 |  | 177357 |
| 733 | 468 | 201-300 |  | 8155 |  | 780103 |
| 730 | 170 | 301-400 | 0 | 876 |  | 1485 |
| 732 | 231 | 301-400 | 34907 | 5643 |  | 14535 |
| 734 | 228 | 301-400 |  | 608 |  | 15727 |
| 741 | 223 | 401-500 | 0 | 124 |  | 3076 |
| 745 | 348 | 401-500 | 17642 | 0 |  | 1699 |
| 748 | 159 | 401-500 | 292 | 696 |  | 366 |
| 742 | 206 | 501-600 | 0 | 0 |  | 1513 |
| 746 | 392 | 501-600 | 0 | 0 |  | 134 |
| 749 | 126 | 501-600 | 0 | 23 |  | 99 |
| 743 | 211 | 601-700 |  | 0 |  | 4220 |
| 747 | 724 | 601-700 |  | 0 |  | 147 |
| 750 | 556 | 601-700 |  | 0 |  | 58 |
| 744 | 280 | 701-800 |  | 0 |  | 783 |
| 751 | 229 | 701-800 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Biomasa (ton.) |  |  | 104,551 | 159,289 |  | 215,389 |
| Std. Error (tons) |  |  | 37,403 | 65,867 |  | 21,161 |

Table 4. Northern shrimp size distribution (‘000) by sex from Spanish bottom trawl survey 2006 in NAFO Div. 3NO an 3L.

| 3NO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OCL(mm) | Males | Females | Total |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 9.5 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 10.5 | 27 |  | 27 |
| 11 | 116 |  | 116 |
| 11.5 | 238 |  | 238 |
| 12 | 1254 | 13 | 1267 |
| 12.5 | 2600 | 13 | 2614 |
| 13 | 4067 |  | 4067 |
| 13.5 | 3054 |  | 3054 |
| 14 | 4303 |  | 4303 |
| 14.5 | 2360 |  | 2360 |
| 15 | 3222 |  | 3222 |
| 15.5 | 2094 |  | 2094 |
| 16 | 5975 | 6 | 5981 |
| 16.5 | 4549 | 277 | 4827 |
| 17 | 6091 | 288 | 6379 |
| 17.5 | 8436 | 117 | 8553 |
| 18 | 6956 | 267 | 7222 |
| 18.5 | 9059 | 699 | 9758 |
| 19 | 10477 | 580 | 11057 |
| 19.5 | 10499 | 264 | 10762 |
| 20 | 12364 | 879 | 13243 |
| 20.5 | 8234 | 1752 | 9986 |
| 21 | 6404 | 2482 | 8886 |
| 21.5 | 4256 | 5988 | 10244 |
| 22 | 2946 | 8079 | 11025 |
| 22.5 | 1604 | 13285 | 14890 |
| 23 | 1134 | 14918 | 16052 |
| 23.5 | 462 | 14786 | 15248 |
| 24 | 110 | 11507 | 11617 |
| 24.5 |  | 9293 | 9293 |
| 25 |  | 7027 | 7027 |
| 25.5 |  | 3706 | 3706 |
| 26 |  | 2677 | 2677 |
| 26.5 |  | 1289 | 1289 |
| 27 |  | 460 | 460 |
| 27.5 |  | 227 | 227 |
| 28 |  | 119 | 119 |
| 28.5 |  | 124 | 124 |
| 29 |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |
| 30.5 |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |
| 31.5 |  |  |  |
| Total | 122889 | 101123 | 224012 |
|  | 55\% | 45\% |  |

3L

| OCL(mm) | Males | Females | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 28062 |  | 28062 |
| 9.5 | 28062 |  | 28062 |
| 10 |  |  | 0 |
| 10.5 |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  | 0 |
| 11.5 |  |  | 0 |
| 12 | 10675 |  | 10675 |
| 12.5 | 7220 |  | 7220 |
| 13 | 8611 |  | 8611 |
| 13.5 | 37578 |  | 37578 |
| 14 | 114427 |  | 114427 |
| 14.5 | 163974 |  | 163974 |
| 15 | 417094 |  | 417094 |
| 15.5 | 529028 |  | 529028 |
| 16 | 327683 |  | 327683 |
| 16.5 | 264900 | 5 | 264906 |
| 17 | 787055 |  | 787055 |
| 17.5 | 1052316 | 27315 | 1079631 |
| 18 | 1401422 | 35672 | 1437095 |
| 18.5 | 1506372 | 41979 | 1548351 |
| 19 | 2216487 | 64865 | 2281353 |
| 19.5 | 2994151 | 42240 | 3036391 |
| 20 | 3059052 | 69856 | 3128908 |
| 20.5 | 2575718 | 47528 | 2623246 |
| 21 | 2671323 | 206413 | 2877735 |
| 21.5 | 2244233 | 341187 | 2585420 |
| 22 | 1493551 | 552610 | 2046161 |
| 22.5 | 1224296 | 1045028 | 2269324 |
| 23 | 614557 | 1373307 | 1987865 |
| 23.5 | 338355 | 1570836 | 1909192 |
| 24 | 76639 | 1704308 | 1780947 |
| 24.5 | 40725 | 1301823 | 1342549 |
| 25 | 5313 | 1029088 | 1034401 |
| 25.5 |  | 611451 | 611451 |
| 26 |  | 496924 | 496924 |
| 26.5 |  | 148205 | 148205 |
| 27 |  | 62777 | 62777 |
| 27.5 |  | 16639 | 18512 |
| 28 |  | 4828 | 4828 |
| 28.5 |  | 11712 | 11712 |
| 29 |  | 1776 | 1776 |
| 29.5 |  | 752 | 752 |
| 30 |  | 433 | 433 |
| 30.5 |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 23 | 23 |
| 31.5 |  | 23 | 23 |
| Total | 26240750 | 10809607 | 37050357 |
|  | $71 \%$ | 29 \% |  |

Table 5. Northern shrimp length-weight relationship by sex, maturity stage and all combined from Spanish bottom trawl survey 2006 in NAFO Div. 3NO and 3L

|  | Division 3NO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a | b | $R^{2}$ | N |
| Males | 0.00157 | 2.69305 | 0.958 | 1495 |
| Inmature females | 0.00204 | 2.60462 | 0.781 | 747 |
| Mature females | 0.00117 | 2.77975 | 0.818 | 561 |
| All combined | 0.00169 | 2.66478 | 0.974 | 2803 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a | Division 3L | N |  |
| Males | b | $R^{2}$ | 4140 |  |
| Inmature females | 0.00191 | 2.60149 | 0.879 | 89 |
| Mature females | 0.00036 | 3.15066 | 0.842 | 488 |
| Ovigerous females | 0.00106 | 2.80151 | 0.851 | 540 |
| All combined | 0.01187 | 2.06709 | 0.553 | 5256 |




Fig. 1. Northern shrimp biomass (tons) and catch (kg) from Spanish research surveys in NAFO Div. 3NO 20012006 and 3L 2003-2006.


Fig. 2. Geographic distribution of Northern shrimp catches from Spanish bottom trawls surveys 2006.


Fig. 3. Northern shrimp size distribution, by sex from Spanish bottom trawl surveys in Div. 3NO and 3L.

