

# **Ki-67 Proliferation Index in Gastric Cancer Biologic Significance**

Celso Nabais, Caldeira Fradique, Mário Oliveira, Luísa Quaresma, Gualdino Silva, Vasco Vasconcelos, João Sacadura, Lígia Costa, Fernanda Cabrita, Mateus Marques, Jorge Esteves, Gonçalo Fernandez, Guedes da Silva

Unidade Funcional de Patologia Esofago-Gástrica

## INTRODUCTION

- Ki-67 protein has been used as an indicator of proliferation activity in tumor cells
- In gastric cancer the prognostic value has not been fully understood
- This study was designed to assess the biologic significance of Ki-67 proliferation index (PI) in gastric cancer

### **MATERIAL / METHODS**

- Seventy-two patients with gastric cancer were evaluated and underwent gastric resection
- Tumor tissue was stained immunohistochemically
- Ki-67 PI was defined as the percentage of tumor cells positive for Ki-67
- Ki-67 PI was correlated with clinicopathological characteristics and patient survival



Figure 1 - Gastric cancer tissue microarray with high Ki-67 PI. Ki-67 Immunostaining (x100)

**Correlation Ki-67 PI and** Table 1 **Clinicopathologic characteristics** Ki-67 PI ± SD 0.991 67.76 ± 24.43 Male (n = 38) Female (n = 25)67.84 ± 25.84 0.022 60.78 ± 28.96 <= 65 years (n = 32) > 65 years (n = 29) 75.38 ± 17.57 Histologic type (Lauren) 0.009 Intestinal (n = 34) 76.06 ± 16.96 Mixed (n = 10)63.50 ± 32.06 Diffuse (n = 19) 55.26 ± 27.76 Signet-ring cells 0.004 74.52 ± 17.09 Absent (n = 40)56.09 ± 31.44 Present (n = 23) Tumor invasior 0.294 T1 (n = 13) 60.77 ± 28.71 T2 (n = 9) 76.11 ± 25.95 T3 (n = 20) 63.05 ± 24.81 T4 (n = 21) 73.10 ± 21.01 0.767 Lymph node metastasis Absent (n = 25) 66.64 ± 27.20 Present (n = 38) 68.55 ± 23.42 0.485 Distant metastasi 67.22 ± 25.30 Absent (n = 59)Present (n = 4) 76.25 ± 14.93

#### **RESULTS**



#### Inverse correlation between Ki-67 PI and histological differentiation grade was found in this sample

- Patients in group with low Ki-67 PI are younger, with poorly differentiated histology and have a lower mean survival
- No significant prognostic value was achieved between high or low Ki-67 PI groups
- We may have two different tumors phenotypes highly invasive with low proliferative capability, and less invasive potential with higher proliferative ability

REFERENCES: 1. Böger C, Behrens H-M, Röcken C. Ki67--An unsuitable marker of gastric cancer prognosis unmasks intratumoral heterogeneity. J Surg Oncol. 2016 :46-54. 2. Lazăr D, Tăban S, Sporea I, Dema A, Cornianu M, Lazăr E, et al. Ki-67 expression in gastric cancer. Results from a prospective study with long-term followup. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2010;51(4):655-61. 3. Lee HE, Kim MA, Lee BL, Kim WH. Low Ki-67 proliferation index is an indicator of poor prognosis in gastric cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2010 Sep 1;102(3):201-6. 4. Zheng H, Takahashi H, Murai Y, Cui Z, Nomoto K, Miwa S, et al. Pathobiological characteristics of intestinal and diffuse-type gastric carcinoma nunostaining study on the tissue microarray. J Clin Pathol. 2007 Mar;60(3):273-7.

