# **The effect of polarization ratio on RADARSAT wind vector retrievals**

Yijun He<sup>1</sup>, Biao Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Hui Shen<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, P R China

**Email: heyj@ms.qdio.ac.cn**

*Abstract***—In this presentation, the polarization ratios were calculated from AIRSAR polarimetric SAR data and ENVISAT ASAR dual-polarization data; and their empirical** <sup>α</sup> **parameters which depend on incidence angle were obtained. Five C band HH polarization RADARSAT-1 SAR images are used to validate these polarization ratios and we found that the empirical parameter**  $\alpha = 0.5$  is superior to other possible parameter  $\alpha$ **values.**

*Keywords-wind vector retrieval; polarization ratio; RADARSAT; SAR* 

# I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the high-resolution, all-weather capability of synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images has been used to estimate marine wind vector fields. There are three main approaches for extracting winds from SAR images. The first approach estimates wind speed from the degree of azimuth cut-off in the SAR spectrum according to the relationship among wind speed, cut-off wave-length, and wave height $[1]$ - $[3]$ . The second approach uses an optimal inversion method to estimate vector winds, combining the SAR data and background numerical weather prediction model data [4]. The third approach is based on an empirical relationship, also denoted the geophysical model function (GMF), between wind vectors and the NRCS (normalized radar cross section) or  $\sigma^0$ image, for example CMOD-4. Because  $\sigma^0$  depends on the incidence angle, radar wavelength, and polarization as well as both wind speed and direction, it is impossible to uniquely determine the wind from a single  $\sigma^0$  image. Normally wind direction is derived from the orientation of wind-induced streaks, such as boundary layer rolls, by using Fourier transforms<sup>[5], [6]</sup> or a wavelet transform method  $^{[7]}$ . In many cases, the wind direction ambiguity can also be resolved by the analysis of shadowing effects at the coast. Ancillary data from buoys, scatterometers or weather models are used to remove the direction ambiguity. He et al. <sup>[8]</sup> developed a new algorithm to retrieve wind vectors from even those with insufficient visible wind-induced streaks in the SAR images. This method determines wind vectors from VV SAR polarization images. Zou et al.<sup>[9]</sup> extended the algorithm to

William Perrie<sup>2</sup>, Jie Guo<sup>1</sup> 2 Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, B2Y 4A2 Canada

RADARSAT HH polarization SAR data to retrieve wind vectors. This third approach is similar to the second approach (above), although the latter uses a priori information on both speed and direction. Moreover, for HH polarization the geophysical model function CMOD4 is modified by a polarization ratio conversion factor [10],

0 2 2 2 0 1 2 tan 1 tan *<sup>H</sup>* <sup>σ</sup> *<sup>V</sup>* <sup>θ</sup> <sup>α</sup> θ <sup>σ</sup> ⎟ ⎟ ⎠ ⎞ ⎜ ⎜ ⎝ ⎛ + <sup>+</sup> <sup>=</sup> ………………………….(1)

where  $\theta$  is the incidence angle and parameter  $\alpha$  is zero for Bragg scattering theory, whereas  $\alpha$  is 2, assuming Kirchhoff scattering theory. With this modification, wind vectors are extracted by the same method as is used for VV polarization. Thompson et al. [10] examine the polarization ratio for C-band RADARSAT data and draw a conclusion that when the incidence angle is in the range between  $20^{\circ}$  and  $50^{\circ}$ ,  $\alpha$  is a constant and should be set to 0.6. However, because the polarization ratio also actually depends on wind vector and white noise, therefore  $\alpha$  is not constant, but depends on wind vector, incidence angle and related variables. Therefore, we assume equation (1) is the polarization ratio of the sea surface with parameter  $\alpha$  that is between 0 and 2 and dependents on the radar incidence angle, as well as the radar frequency. Several different values for  $\alpha$  have been suggested recently, considering RADARSAT-1 SAR data, varying between 0.4 and 1.2. [11]-[13].

Recently, Mouche et al.  $[14]-[15]$  presented an analysis of measurements of the normalized radar cross-section (NRCS) in vertical and horizontal polarizations over the ocean obtained from the C-band airborne radar STORM. They found that the polarization ratio is dependent on incidence and azimuth angles. Its dependence with incidence angle is found to be significantly different from empirical models previously proposed in the literature. Two new analytical formulations were proposed to model the polarization ratio; one as a function of incidence angle only, and the second has additional dependence for the azimuth angle. It was shown that it is necessary to consider an azimuth-dependent polarization ratio for incidence angles larger than 30˚.

# II. POLARIZATION RATIO

In this paper, we examine the polarization ratio for microwave scattering using a C –band dual-polarization image from AIRSAR. Figure 1 is a C –band, VV polarization AIRSAR image of the Mid-Atlantic Bight. AIRSAR data acquisition parameters for the image are given in Table 1. The radar resolution cell had dimensions of 6.6 m (range direction) and 8.2 m (azimuth direction) and a Lee speckle filter  $[16]$  was done before fitting the relationship between polarization ratio and incidence angle.



Fig. 1. A C-band, VV polarization, AIRSAR image of the Mid-Atlantic Bight



 Firstly, we use the C –band AIRSAR dual-polarization image and theoretical C –band polarization ratio (PR) formula to calculate the observed polarization ratio. Then the function lsq curve fit of MATLAB Optimization Toolbox is employed to perform a non-linear least squares fit to get the relationship between observed PR and incidence angle. We assume that the initial guess function between the observed PR and incidence angle has following expression,

$$
PR = \left[\frac{a + b \tan^2 \theta}{c + d \tan^2 \theta}\right]^2\tag{2}
$$

where a, b, c and d are empirical parameters. When the algorithm has achieved convergence after maximum number of iterations, the values of empirical coefficients are given as,

$$
a = 0.54, b = 0.35, c = 0.45, d = 1.09
$$
 (3)

Finally, inserting the equations (2) and (3) into (1), we obtain the empirical relationship between the  $\alpha$  parameter and the incidence angle, which is given as following

$$
\alpha = \frac{0.09 + \tan^2 \theta (0.34 + 0.7 \tan^2 \theta)}{\tan^2 \theta (0.45 + 1.09 \tan^2 \theta)}
$$
(4)

Figure 2 shows a plot of the  $\alpha$  parameter versus the incidence angle for AIRSAR data.



Fig. 2.  $\alpha$  parameter computed from (4) as a function of incidence angle from  $20^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$ .

Moreover, empirical parameter  $\alpha$  for ENVISAT ASAR is given as,

$$
\alpha = \begin{cases}\n-0.13 + \tan^2 \theta \left(2.1 + 0.2 \tan^2 \theta\right) & \theta < 30^\circ \\
\tan^2 \theta \left(1.52 + 0.78 \tan^2 \theta\right) & \theta \ge 30^\circ\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5)

## III WIND SPEED RETRIEVAL FROM RADARSAT SAR

Five C-band, HH polarization RADARSAT-1 images, which were acquired over the Bay of Fundy, the northeast and northwest coasts of the US, and the east coast of Canada between 25 December 2002 and 13 March 2003, were used to estimate wind vectors using Zou's algorithm<sup>[9]</sup> and the polarization ratio for our empirical parameter  $\alpha$  (including AIRSAR and ENVISAT ASAR data) and a constant  $\alpha$  (0.6)

and 0.5). The results were compared with those calculated by combining the wind directions predicted by SWDA <sup>[12]</sup> and observed by co-located QuikSCAT measurements<sup>[9]</sup>. Wind vectors for incidence angles smaller than 23° are excluded in Zou et al.'s algorithm.

Table 2 comparisons of buoy winds with estimates from Zou et al.'s algorithm when  $\alpha = 0.5, 0.6, 1.0,$  and empirical parameter  $\alpha$ , wind directions by the spectral analysis and co-located QuikSCAT data.

Parameters		Wind speed $(m/s)$		Wind direction (degree)	
Error		Mean	<b>RMS</b>	Mean	<b>RMS</b>
Zou et al.'s algorithm	$\alpha = 1.0^*$	$-1.49$	3.5	0.43	16.6
	$\alpha = 0.5$	$-1.86,$ $-1.32*$	2.90. $2.13*$	10.6, $17.6*$	30.75, $25.8*$
	$\alpha$ = 0.6	$-2.54$ , $-1.6*$	3.49. $2.33*$	11.6. $18.2*$	33.14, $27.6*$
	<b>ENVISAT</b> $\alpha$	$-4.31$	5.06	4.42	34.89
Vachon et al.*		0.44	3.6	$-7.6$	38.0
QuikSCAT*		1.95	3.9	1.4	34.6

#### \* indicates the results without incidence angles smaller than 23°[9]

The result shows that the empirical parameter  $\alpha = 0.5$  is superior to the other models. In fact, the polarization ratio needs further study because it depends on additional factors, such as incidence angles, wind vectors, frequency, platform state and related considerations.

#### IV CONCLUSIONS

Polarization ratios were calculated from AIRSAR polarimetric SAR data and ENVISAT ASAR dual-polarization data. Empirical parameters  $\alpha$  which depend on incidence angle were obtained. Five C band HH polarization RADARSAT-1 SAR images are used to validate these polarization ratios and we found that the empirical parameter  $\alpha = 0.5$  is superior to the other  $\alpha$  parameter values. Additional study and improvements are needed in the polarization ratio in order to improve accuracy in wind vector retrievals.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This investigation was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant 40676095, the Panel on Energy Research and Development of Canada (Environmental Offshore Factors), Canadian Space Agency.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1]. K. Kerbaol, and B. Chapron, Analysis of ERS-1/2 synthetic aperture radar wave mode imagettes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, vol. 103, no. C4, pp. 7833–7846, 1998.
- [2]. E. Korsbakken, J. A. Johannessen, O. M. Johannessen, Coastal wind field retrievals from ERS synthetic aperture radar images, *J. Geophys. Res.*, vol. 103, no. C4, pp. 7857–7874, 1998.
- [3]. S. Lehner, J. Schulz-Stellenfleth, B. Schättler, H. Breit, and J. Horstmann, Wind and wave measurements using complex ERS-2 SAR wave mode data, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 38(10), pp. 2246-2257, 2000.
- [4]. M. Portabella and A. Stoffelen, Toward an optimal inversion method for synthetic aperture radar wind retrieval, *J. Geophys. Res.*, vol. 107, no. C8, 10.1029/2001JC000925, 2002.
- [5]. S. Lehner, J. Horstmann, W. Koch, and W. Rosenthal, Mesoscale wind measurements using recalibrated ERS SAR images, *J. Geophys. Res.*, vol. 103, no. C4, pp. 7847–7856, 1998.
- [6]. C. Wackerman, C. Rufenach, R. Shuchman, J. Johannessen, and K. Davidson, "Wind vector retrieval using ERS-1 synthetic aperture radar imagery," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing,* vol. 34, pp. 1343–1352, Nov. 1996.
- [7]. Y. Du, P. W. Vachon, and J. Wolfe, "Wind direction estimation from SAR images of the ocean using wavelet analysis", *Can. J. Remote Sensing*, vol. 28(3), pp. 498–509, 2002.
- [8]. Yijun He, Qingping Zou, William Perrie and Paris Vachon, A new wind vector algorithm from ENVISAT ASAR data, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol. 43, No. 7, pp1453-1458, 2005
- [9]. Qingping Zou, Yijun He, Will Perrie, and Paris W. Vachon, Wind Vector Estimation for RADARSAT-1 SAR Images: Validation of wind direction estimates based upon geometry diversity, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, Vol.4, No. 1, pp176-180, **2007**
- [10]. D. Thompson, T. Elfouhaily, and B. Chapron, "Polarization ration for microwave backscattering from the ocean surface at low to moderate incidence," in Proc. Int. Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symp., Seattle, WA, 1998, pp. 1671-1673
- [11]. J. Horstmann, W. Koch, S. Lehner, and R. Tonboe, "Wind retrieval over the ocean using synthetic aperture radar with C-band HH polarization," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 2122-2131, Sep. 2000.
- [12]. P. Vachon and F. Dobson, "Wind retrieval from RADARSAT SAR images: Selection of a suitable C-band HH polarization wind retrieval model," Can. J. Remote Sens., vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2122-2131, Sep. 2000.
- [13]. F. Monaldo, D. Thompson, R. Beal, W. Pichel, and P. Clemente-Colon, "Comparison of SAR-derived wind speed with model predictions and ocean buoy measurements," IEEE Tran. Geosci. Remote Sens., vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 2587-2600, Dec. 2001.
- [14]. Mouche, A., D. Hauser, J.-F. Daloze, and C. Gue'rin, Dual polarization measurements at C band over the ocean: Results from airborne radar observations and comparison with ENVISAT ASAR data, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 43(4), 753–769, 2005.
- [15]. Mouche, A. A., D. Hauser, and V. Kudryavtsev, Radar scattering of the ocean surface and sea-roughness properties: A combined analysis from dual-polarizations airborne radar observations and models in C band, J. Geophys. Res., 111, C09004, doi:10.1029/2005JC003166, 2006.
- [16]. J. S. Lee, "Speckle suppression and analysis of SAR images", Optical Engineering , 25, 636-641, 1986.