

Boston College Law School Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School

Boston College Law School Faculty Papers

3-29-2012

Alice Noble And Mary Ann Chirba On Severability: Life Is A Highway

Mary Ann Chirba

Boston College Law School, chirbama@bc.edu

Alice Noble

Boston College Law School, alice.noble@bc.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/lspf>

 Part of the [Health Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Chirba, Mary Ann & Noble, Alice. "Alice Noble And Mary Ann Chirba On Severability: Life Is A Highway." *Health Affairs Blog*, March 29, 2012.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Boston College Law School Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School. For more information, please contact nick.szydowski@bc.edu.

HealthAffairs Blog

[Blog Home](#)

« [Timothy Jost: The Arguments Over Severability Of The Minimum Coverage Requirement](#)
[Timothy Jost On The Medicaid Coercion Debate: The Most Important Question Before The Court](#) »

Alice Noble And Mary Ann Chirba On Severability: Life Is A Highway

March 29th, 2012



by [Alice Noble](#) and [Mary Ann Chirba](#)

Tweet

 1

On Day Three of arguments about the constitutionality of the Affordable Care Act, the Supreme Court turned its attention to the question of severability. Should the Court find that the ACA's minimum coverage requirement is indeed a proper exercise of Congress's right to regulate interstate commerce, today's arguments were all for naught. However, if the Court strikes down the minimum coverage requirement – the so-called “individual mandate” – it will need to determine whether that mandate can be “severed” from the Act's remaining provisions, leaving the remaining provisions intact.

Yesterday, we reflected on the need to read between the lines of written and oral argument to fully appreciate the issues raised, the arguments made, and the potential answers. Today's proceedings tell a different and much more obvious story, since there is no need to read between the lines to discern the answer. The legalities of congressional intent and statutory interpretation that consumed the Justice's attention have been thoughtfully discussed by our colleagues. Our goal, having studied the thousands of pages of statutory provisions and proposed and final regulations, is to assure everyone that severability is indeed possible, since a mandate that would not take effect until 2014 has done nothing to derail the busy timeline for implementing the Act so far.

In our view, whether the individual mandate can be severed from the overall Act without causing the Act to implode is not a hard question. The reason? Many provisions are in place and gradually changing the nature of the debate. Access to health care is increasing, quality is gaining a new emphasis, and costs increases are receiving closer scrutiny. This is all happening years before the individual mandate will take effect in 2014. A few examples deserve mention.

Small Employers: Among the Affordable Care Act's earliest initiatives to make insurance more affordable and accessible, the small employer tax credit took effect on January 1, 2010. It makes some 4 million small employers eligible for tax credits of up to 35 percent of their contribution to paying for employee health insurance.

Commercially Insured: Young adults now enjoy broader and more accessible coverage since they can now remain on their parent's policies until age 26. According to HHS estimates, [105 million Americans no longer face life-time limits](#) on the dollar value of their health benefits. Individuals are now receiving many preventive care services with no out-of-pocket costs to them.

As of January 1, 2011, the insured will no longer see excessive portions of their health care premiums devoted to administrative expenses. Because of the Affordable Care Act, commercial insurers must



Authors: Click [here](#) to submit a post.

Visit HealthAffairs [GrantWatch Blog](#)

Search Blog

Subscribe to Health Affairs



Email Notifications

Your email:

Recent Comments

- Jeff Goldsmith on [The Current Medicare Debate Will Not Solve The Program's Problems](#)
- Adriane Fugh-Berman on [Antibiotics: When Science And Wishful Thinking Collide](#)
- KenG on [Is It Time To Re-Examine Workplace Wellness 'Get Well Quick' Schemes?](#)
- marvinlinn on [Bringing Patients Into Health System Change](#)
- stwilkins on [Bringing Patients Into Health System Change](#)

Categories

Twitter Updates

Blogroll

- [A Healthy Blog](#)
- [American Journal of Bioethics blog](#)
- [An Ounce Of Evidence — Ashish Jha's Blog](#)
- [CitizenCohn \(Jonathan Cohn\)](#)
- [Daily Download](#)
- [Disease Management Care Blog](#)
- [Ezra Klein](#)
- [Global Health Policy](#)

devote between 80 percent to 85 percent of premium dollars to paying for health care or improving its quality. Insurers' premium rate increases are getting greater scrutiny and, as of January, 2012, [the Secretary of HHS has already found premium rate increases in 5 states to be unreasonable](#). Incentivized by \$250,000,000 in grants under the Affordable Care Act, [numerous States are developing their own capacity](#) to identify and block unreasonable premium increases.

Medicare and Medicaid: In crafting the Affordable Care Act, Congress used its Spending Power to make the federal Medicare and federal-state Medicaid programs more responsive to the needs of program participants and more cost-effective in the process. Since January 1, 2011, [elders have been entitled](#) to annual wellness visits, personalized preventive care plans, and numerous free preventive services that previously carried sizeable out-of-pocket costs. The proverbial "doughnut hole" or coverage gap in Medicare's Part D prescription drug coverage is well on its way to closing for good in 2020, and seniors have already seen their out-of-pocket costs go down.

As of January 1, 2011, for example, Medicare's "Community Care Transitions Program" has been improving the coordination of care during hospital discharges and follow-up in order to [reduce hospital readmissions and improve the accessibility of home and community services](#). In October, 2011, Medicaid's "Community First Choice Option" expanded care options for the disabled and increased State flexibility to direct Medicaid dollars to home-based and community services.

Patients and Providers: Medicaid and Medicare beneficiaries are not the only ones who have benefitted from the Affordable Care Act's program-specific initiatives to promote quality care, cost efficiencies and innovation. Rather, because of Medicare incentives and adjustments to Medicare reimbursement, [new innovative health delivery programs are up and running](#) across the country, with many more providers and systems about to join the ranks.

As of January 1, 2012, [32 organizations were participating in the Pioneer Accountable Care Organization \(ACO\) Model](#), bringing innovations in coordinated care to over 850,000 Medicare beneficiaries and all other patients who receive care from the providers and facilities participating in this program. Medicare reports that 50,000 Medicare providers are involved in projects to improve patient safety, coordinate care across different health care settings, and working under new bundled payment systems. Improving coordination will not only save money, but lives. *Although Congress may have incentivized these and other improvements by exercising its Spending Power for specific government-sponsored programs, current and future gains will benefit many additional patients through spillover effects.*

The Affordable Care Act As A Three-Lane Highway

These are just a few of a much larger array of changes that have already taken hold under the Affordable Care Act. The Act is ambitious and complicated; the growing compendium of proposed and final regulations is daunting. Hundreds of regulations have already taken effect. The ACA will have changed the landscape of health care in the United States long before the individual mandate is a part of it.

We think of the issue of severability in terms of "Triple Lanes." To finance the ends of the Affordable Care Act, Congress constructed a triple lane highway consisting of employer-sponsored coverage, government-sponsored insurance, and for those who qualify for neither, individually obtained insurance. Striking down the individual mandate will not demolish the entire highway. One lane is obstructed. Congress may repair it or close it down permanently.

The other lanes of employer- and government-sponsored coverage continue to operate. Will they be affected? Probably, just as closing one lane on a highway can affect traffic flow in the other two. But will closing down the individual mandate inevitably destroy the Act's ability to accomplish its other objectives? To answer that question, one need only look at the Act in operation today, a full two years before the individual mandate is scheduled to take effect and three years before it can be enforced. Today two lanes are open, and traffic is flowing.

Editor's note: *For more on the severability oral arguments, see additional Health Affairs Blog posts by [Timothy Jost](#), [Wendy Mariner](#), and [William Sage](#).*

 [Email This Post](#)  [Print This Post](#)

- [GoozNews](#)
- [Hastings Center Bioethics Forum](#)
- [Health Beat \(Maggie Mahar\)](#)
- [Health Care Policy and Marketplace Blog](#)
- [Health Care REFORM UPDATE](#)
- [Health Law Prof blog](#)
- [Health Wonk Review](#)
- [HealthBlawg](#)
- [Healthcare Economist](#)
- [Healthwatch \(The Hill\)](#)
- [Hospital Impact](#)
- [InsureBlog](#)
- [Journal of Health Politics and Policy's News and Notes](#)
- [Managed Care Matters](#)
- [NASHP State Health Policy Blog](#)
- [Patient POV](#)
- [Physicians for a National Health Program](#)
- [Prescriptions \(New York Times\)](#)
- [Reforming Health](#)
- [Roper On Health](#)
- [Running A Hospital](#)
- [The California HealthCare Foundation's On Practical Progress](#)
- [The Health Care Blog](#)
- [The Incidental Economist](#)
- [The Medical Professionalism Blog](#)
- [U.S. Health Policy Gateway](#)
- [Wall Street Journal Health Blog](#)
- [Wing of Zock](#)
- [Wright On Health](#)

This entry was posted on Thursday, March 29th, 2012 at 11:52 am and is filed under [All Categories](#), [Children](#), [Health Law](#), [Health Reform](#), [Medicaid](#), [Medicare](#), [Quality](#). You can follow any responses to this entry through the [RSS 2.0](#) feed. You can [leave a response](#), or [trackback](#) from your own site.

Leave a Reply

You must be [logged in](#) to post a comment.

INFORMATION FOR

[Back to Top](#)

READERS

- [Manage My Account](#)
- [Subscribe](#)
- [Activate My Subscription](#)
- [Shop for Articles](#)
- [Shop for Issues](#)
- [Reprints and Permissions](#)

AUTHORS AND REVIEWERS

- [Submit a Paper](#)
- [Check Status of Paper](#)
- [Help for Authors](#)
- [Submit a Peer Review](#)
- [Help for Reviewers](#)
- [Letters to Editors](#)

LIBRARIES AND INSTITUTIONS

- [Manage My Account](#)
- [Subscribe](#)
- [Activate My Account](#)
- [Shop for Issues](#)
- [Customer Service](#)
- [Information for Agent](#)

MEDIA

- [Press Releases](#)
- [Events](#)
- [Embargo Policy](#)
- [Media Queries](#)
- [Media Alerts](#)

HELP AND CONTACT INFORMATION

- [Help](#)
- [Customer Service](#)
- [FAQs](#)
- [New on healthaffairs.org](#)
- [Jobs at Health Affairs](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

[Terms and Conditions](#) | [Privacy](#) | [Project HOPE](#)

Copyright 2013 by Project HOPE: The People-to-People Health Foundation, Inc., eISSN 1544-5208. Health Affairs is pleased to offer [Free Access for low-income countries](#), and is a signatory to the [DC Principles for Free Access to Science](#). *Health Affairs* gratefully acknowledges the support of many [funders](#).