

Boston College Law Review

Volume 7 | Issue 1

Article 10

10-1-1965

Article 10: Effective Date and Repealer

Samuel L. Black

Robert J. Desiderio

Alan S. Goldberg

Richard G. Kotarba

Follow this and additional works at: <http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/bclr>

Recommended Citation

Samuel L. Black, Robert J. Desiderio, Alan S. Goldberg, and Richard G. Kotarba, *Article 10: Effective Date and Repealer*, 7 B.C.L. Rev. 122 (1965), <http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/bclr/vol7/iss1/10>

This Uniform Commercial Code Commentary is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Boston College Law Review by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School. For more information, please contact nick.szydowski@bc.edu.

In vacating the trial court's judgment and remanding for further proceedings, the court of appeals held that presentation of the conditional sales contract together with the filing fee constituted filing for priority purposes. While the Uniform Commercial Code was not in effect at the time of the present transaction, the court, in its third footnote cited Section 9-403(1) as consistent with its holding.

Less importantly, the court in its first footnote cited Section 9-402 in connection with the proposition that there is no requirement that the contract had to contain an acknowledgment, attestation or a notarial certificate to be filed.

R.G.K.

ARTICLE 10: EFFECTIVE DATE AND REPEALER

SECTION 10-102. Specific Repealer; Provision of Transition

IN THE MATTER OF MERKEL, INC.

258 N.Y.S.2d 118 (Sup. Ct. 1965)

Annotated under Section 9-301, supra.