Strain selection in the edible brown seaweed Alaria esculenta: Genetic fingerprinting and hybridization studies under laboratory conditions



Reference Only

MARINE RESOURCE SERIES

2000

œ

, S Z

S. Krann & M.D. Guiry





"to undertake, to co-ordinate, to promote and assist in marine research and development and to provide such services related to marine research and development that, in the opinion of the institute, will promote economic development and create employment, and protect the marine environment".

Marine Institute Act, 1991

Marine Resource Series

The Marine Resource Series was established by the Marine Institute to promote the dissemination of results of on-going research to the wider anne community. It is intended that the Series will stimulate discussion on the contribution of R & D to the development of the marine sector.

The Marine Resource Series will cover all aspects of marine research and development as defined in the Marine Institute Act.

ote: Responsibility for information presented and views expressed in the eries cest solely with the author and do not necessarily represent those of

Further copies of this publication, and of others in the various

The Marine Institute

80 Hancourt Street Doublin 2 liativite

Ph: +353 1 4766500 Fax: # 353 1 4784988 Web-Site: www.marine.id

Ireland is - 90% undiscovered, undeveloped and underwater.

Strain selection in the edible brown seaweed Alaria esculenta: Genetic fingerprinting and hybridization studies under laboratory conditions

Contract No. IR.95.MR.011

Prepared by: S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry Department of Botany, Martin Ryan Marine Science Institute, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland



This work was supported under contract IR.95.MR.011 of the Marine Research Measure (Operational Programme for Fisheries 1994-1999), in cooperation with the Irish Seaweed Industry Organisation (ISIO). Reproduction of the contents, in part or whole, is conditional on a mention of the source.

We would like to thank the BRI National Diagnostics Centre for kindly providing assistance and access to equipment.

0011380

March 1998

FISHERIES RESEARCH CENTRE 27 JUN 2000 LIBRARY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction			3
	1.1 Biogeography		3
	1.2 Utilisation		4
	1.3 Life history		4
	1.4 Hybridization experiments		4
	1.5 Population genetics		7
	1.6 Kelp evolution and		7
	divergence		
2. Material and n	nethods		8
	2.1 Cultivation and hybridization	ı	8
	techniques	•	U
	2.2 Relative growth rates		9
	2.3 Detection of genetic variation	n	9
		DNA extraction and purification	'n
		PCR amplification	/11
		Restriction endonuclease	
		digestion	
	2.4 Data analysis	angebrion	11
3 Deculte			12
J. Results	2.1 Habridianting annanimenta		12
	2.2 Deleting ansath rates		12
	3.2 Relative growth rates	1 1.3	15
	3.3 Length, width and ratio lengt	ח:שומוח	1/
	3.4 Genetic variation		19
4. Discussion and	d conclusions		20
	4.1 Atlantic cross experiments		20
		Intraspecific hybridization	
		Genetic analysis	
	4.2 Pacific x Atlantic and Pacific	cross experiments	22
		Interspecific hybridization	
		Genetic analysis	
		Chromosomes	
		Kelp evolution and divergence	
	4.3 Summary		25
5. References			26
6. Appendices			30

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus Alaria presently includes 12 species, 11 of which are located in the cold temperate North Pacific and only one is found in the North Atlantic (Widdowson, 1971). The North Atlantic species Alaria esculenta has two northern forms, A. esculenta forma grandifolia and forma pylaii (Lüning, 1990). The study presented here will concentrate on Alaria esculenta, the most common North Atlantic species.

1.1 Biogeography

The brown alga Alaria esculenta, which literally means 'edible wings', is a large brown seaweed belonging to the family Alariaceae of the order Laminariales (Kelp), and can reach a length up to 6 m (Guiry, 1997). Its short stipe gives rise to a long blade with a well-defined rib (Fig. 1). This seaweed forms the main canopy in exposed areas near or below the low-water mark to a depth of 35 m (Lüning, 1990). Alaria esculenta is present in the North Pacific and North Atlantic, where it is located North as far as the winter sea ice and as far south as the 16° C summer isotherm, in the European North Atlantic represented by the French coast of Brittany (Lüning, 1990); Fig. 2. Its absence in the southern North Sea and English Channel is due to high summer water temperatures of over 16° C, which it cannot survive (Munda & Lüning, 1977). The species is found all around the Irish coast, where rocky shores as a substratum are available (Sundene, 1962; Widdowson, 1971).

1.2 Utilisation

Alaria esculenta was used in the past in both Scotland and Ireland for human consumption and fodder. It was also gathered and spread on infertile land and used as fertiliser (Newton, 1931; Guiry and Hession, 1996; Guiry, 1997). It is rich in sugars, proteins, vitamins and other trace metals and contains up to 42% alginic acid (Levring et al., 1969; Indergaard & Minsaas, 1991; Lewallen & Lewallen, 1996). The species can be used for a variety of purposes from human consumption and alginate production to fodder and bodycare products (Guiry & Blunden, 1991; Guiry, 1997). Especially in North America Alaria esculenta and Alaria marginata are rapidly gaining popularity in the natural foods market (Lewallen & Lewallen, 1996). It also has potential as a foodstuff in aquaculture for herbivorous molluscs, e.g., abalone (Mai et al., 1996).

Young Alaria esculenta (the only native species in Ireland) can be used as a substitute for Undaria pinnatifida (Wakame), a very popular seaweed in Asian countries with numerous applications and a yield over 300,000 t fresh weight per annum (Nisizawa et al. 1987; Indergaard & Minsaas, 1991; Druehl, 1988; Yamanaka & Akiyama, 1993; Lewallen & Lewallen, 1996).

Experiments have shown that within 3 months a harvest of 9 t per hectare is possible (Kain & Dawes, 1987). Alaria esculenta is a fast-growing seaweed and can grow at up to 10 cm a day. Commercial potential for Alaria esculenta is considerable (Kain & Dawes, 1987; Kain, Holt & Dawes, 1990).



FIG. 1. HABIT OF ALARIA ESCULENTA SHOWING SOME MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERS.

1.3 Life history

Only during spring and in lesser amount during autumn two rows of ligulate sporophylls form in the upper parts of the stipe, the rachis (Widdowson, 1971). The sporophylls produce haploid spores by meiosis that germinate to form the haploid or gametophytic phase (male and female). Gametophytes produce the gametes (sperm and eggs) which fuse after fertilization to form a zygote. The zygote germinates to form diploid plantlets, the sporophytic phase. Thus the life history is that the large seaweed alternates with a microscopic filamentic phase (van den Hoek, 1996; Fig 3). *Alaria esculenta* is dioecious and has a heteromorphic diplohaplontic life history.

1.4 Hybridization experiments

Members of the Laminariaceae are able to cross inter- and intraspecific (tom Dieck, 1992; Egan *et al.*, 1990), and even intergeneric (Migita, 1984). Bolton *et al.* (1983)

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

produced hybrids between Atlantic and Pacific *Laminaria* species with different growth rates and morphological characteristics. They concluded that the ability to produce these characters appeared to be genetically determined. Lüning *et al.* (1978) also produced crosses between *Laminaria* species from both sides of the Atlantic. They suggested that blade width in hybrid offspring could be sex linked to the male gametophytes, which is in agreement with the results of a study by Egan *et al.* (1990).



FIG. 2. THE DISTRIBUTION OF *ALARIA ESCULENTA* IN THE NORTH ATLANTIC AND THE NORTH PACIFIC OCEAN (AFTER LÜNING, 1990).

The temperature at which hybridization experiments are carried out plays an important role in the success of the crosses, as temperature will determine the production and release of gametes as well as the healthy growth of the sporophytes (tom Dieck, 1992). It can make a vast difference from which species the female gametophyte is provided as a successful cross may depend on this. Therefore it is important in hybridization studies to perform crosses and reciprocal crosses from every species (Egan *et al.*, 1990).

Lewis *et al.* (1986) produced interspecific hybrids of three *Macrocystis* species. They showed significantly different growth rates in different genetic groups. One of the self-crossed individuals showed the lowest growth rate. They suggested that the observed differences in growth rate between genetic groups was due to genetic adaptation to the conditions of the locality of growth of the parental plants.

Crossing experiments within the Laminariales are plagued with uncertainty because of the ability of female gametophytes to produce parthenogenic sporophytes. Parthenogenesis is a common feature of the members of the Laminariales (Nakahara & Nakamura, 1973; Lüning *et al.*, 1978; tom Dieck, 1992). Although most parthenogeneic sporophytes have an abnormal morphology, become stunted or irregular and do not survive more than a few mm in length (Bolton *et al.*, 1983).



FIG. 3. LIFE CYCLE OF ALARIA ESCULENTA. THE SPOROPHYTE (A) PRODUCES SORI ON THE SPOROPHYLLS (B). SPORES (D) ARE PRODUCED IN THE SORI AFTER MEIOSIS IN UNILOCULAR SPORANGIA (C). SPORES (D) CONSISTING OF 50% MALE AND 50% FEMALE SETTLE AND GROW INTO MALE (E) AND FEMALE (F) GAMETOPHYTES RESPECTIVELY. MALE GAMETOPHYTES FORM SPERMS AND FERTILISE THE EGG FORMED ON THE OOGONIUM OF THE FEMALE GAMETOPHYTE. THE FERTILIZED EGG DEVELOPS INTO A ZYGOTE, WHICH DEVELOPS INTO YOUNG SPOROPHYTES (SPJ). AFTER VAN DEN HOEK ET AL., (1996).

Hybridization experiments offer a means of assessing differentiation when correlated to specific environmental differences. However, interpretation of such experiments is not straightforward. Genetic differentiation may evolve without affecting compatibility and intersterility does not neccesarily indicate accumulation of a large number of genetic differences (Innes, 1984).

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

Increased incompatibility is often associated with greater geographical separation (Rueness, 1973; Guiry and West, 1983) but there are numerous examples where genetic differentiation occurs among populations separated by only short distances (Lewis et al., 1986; Coyer et al., 1997). Hybridization experiments may add valuable information to hypotheses of phylogenetic relationships (Lüning, 1990).

In China, extensive studies on hybridization and inbreeding has led to stable and improved strains of Laminaria japonica with higher production and iodine contents. Large-scale production experiments showed that the new varieties yield up to 40% more biomass and iodine contents of 20-58% higher than the control plants. These new varieties are introduced to Laminaria farms and grown in large quantities in North China (Chaoyuan & Guangheng, 1987).

1.5 Population genetics

Morphology of lamina and stipe in Alaria esculenta can vary widely between specimens mainly, it seems, due to wave exposure, with broader lamina and longer stipes in more sheltered areas (Sundene, 1962; Widdowson, 1971; pers. observ.). Phenotypic plasticity is a common feature of members of the Laminariales (Norton et al., 1982). Chapman (1974) found significant genetic differentiation between populations of Laminaria for stipe morphology. By contrast, in a study on the Pacific kelp Costaria costata no genetic differences were found between two morphologically distinct populations using restriction fragment length polymormhisms (RFLP) (Bhattacharya & Druehl, 1989). However, it is not known for the kelp A. esculenta if there is one variety in the Atlantic ocean (gene flow between populations, no founder effects) or more different varieties (no gene flow between populations). More varieties might indicate varieties with different optimal characteristics suitable for aquaculture.

RFLP is a common applied molecular tool to distinguish morphological similar populations (Hillis et al., 1996). It has been used in numerous algal studies (e.g., Rice & Bird, 1990; Bhattacharya & Druehl, 1989; Bhattacharya et al., 1991; Lehman and Manhart, 1997). To demonstrate possible genetic differences in Alaria species with the RFLP technique two non-coding regions in the DNA were amplified. These are the Rubisco spacer which seperates the large and small subunits of the ribulose-1,5biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase genes and the nuclear ribosomal DNA (rDNA) internal transcribed spacer regions (ITS1 and ITS2). These non-coding spacers are considered to be more variable than coding genes, because they are under the least degree of functional constraint (Saunders and Druehl, 1993). These spacers have been shown to provide good resolution in examining relationships among and within populations of green algae (Kooistra, 1992; Bakker, 1992) and red algae (van Oppen et al., 1995).

1.6 Kelp evolution and divergence

The outward morphological diversity of kelps suggests that kelps are an ancient assemblage, however, recent evidence suggest that kelp divergence started in the late

Miocene about (10-15 Ma) or even as late as late Pliocene (3-5 Ma) ago from a common ancestor (Estes & Steinberg, 1988; Saunders & Druehl, 1992). Stam et al. (1988) calculated that five Laminaria species diverged from a common ancestor 15-19 Ma ago most probably originating in the North Pacific and then invaded the North Atlantic after inundation of the Bering Land Bridge 3.5 Ma ago. This recent divergence event implies that North Atlantic Laminaria species probably have close relatives in the Pacific Ocean. Hybridisation experiments have shown this close relationship between Pacific and Atlantic Laminaria species (Bolton et al. 1983; tom Dieck, 1992). Stam et al. (1988) identified five Pacific Laminaria sister species for five Atlantic Laminaria species. Relationships between Pacific and Atlantic Alaria species are unknown.

OBJECTIVES

There were three main objectives for this study:

- 1) To isolate, hybridize and grow strains of Alaria esculenta and other Alaria species from the Atlantic and Pacific to develop varieties with optimal characteristics for aquaculture in Ireland.
- 2) To identify genetic variation among A. esculenta strains from the Atlantic Ocean using the Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) technique.
- 3) To obtain phylogenetic information about the relationships between North Atlantic and North Pacific species of the genus Alaria by hybridization experiments and fingerprinting

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Cultivation and hybridization techniques

Five individual plants with mature sori at the sporophylls were sampled from the geographical locations given in Table 1. Male and female gametophyte cultures from locations marked with an asterisk were send by the author mentioned.

Zoospores from mature sori were released using techniques described by South (1970) and Nakahara & Nakamura (1973). Seawater with zoospores was divided in sterile replidishes and kept under long-day conditions at 10° C and 20 µmol photons. m⁻² s^{-1} . After about 10 d zoospores germinated into gametophytes and were visible under a microscope. After 2 - 3 weeks the gametophytes were separated in male and female gametophytes. Within 2 - 3 months full male and female cultures were obtained from the geographical locations listed in Table 1. The gametophytes had been maintained in the vegetative state in red fluorescent light at an irradiance of 10 μ mol photons. m⁻².s⁻¹ in glass dishes containing sterile enriched seawater (Von Stoch 0.25 strength; Guiry & Cunningham, 1984) which was changed every month. Gametophyte cultures were grown

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

under red light as this prevents the gametophytes from maturing and hence forming eggs and sperm. This was necessary to allow for conducting cross experiments (Bolton et al. 1983).

Crosses were made using individual male and female gametophytes previously isolated from spores released by parent sporophytes collected in the sites listed in Table 1. Cross experiments were carried out in two different ways:

- Replidishes.

The crosses were incubated at 10, 15, 20, and 25 °C, long-day conditions (16:8 h) and 30 μ mol photons.m⁻².s⁻¹ white light to initiate the formation of eggs and sperm (Lüning 1990). As soon as sporophytes appeared they were measured with an binocular. After two months viable healthy sporophytes with a length of 2 cm were transferred to 20 ml universal containers on a shaker. Daylength conditions were changed to longday 13:11 h to mimic spring or autumn conditions and initiate fast growth of the developing sporophytes. The medium was changed weekly or fortnightly. Sporophytes outgrowing the containers were transfered to small tanks with an aeration system under the same conditions.

In addition, self crosses and isolated male and female gametophytes were incubated parallel to each crossing experiment to observe possible parthenogenesis or apogamy (Nakahara & Nakamura, 1973). The plants were examined and measured in length and width weekly in the raply dishes, forthnightly in the sterile containers and once or twice a month in the tank.

2.2 Relative Growth Rates

The relative growth rate of the self crosses and hybrid sporophytes of the different crosses was calculated using the formula for relative growth rate (RGR)

$RGR = L_n$	$L_{1}(L_{2}) - L_{n}(L_{1}) / t_{2} - t_{1}$

Where L2 is the length at time t2 and L1 the length at time t1 in increaments per day. The final length and width of the crosses were determined with image analysing equipment.

2.3 Detection of genetic variation

DNA extraction and purification

Plants from the sites listed in Table 1 were transported to the laboratory in a coolbox wrapped in plastics bags on cooling elements or sent in a similair way by mail. On

Marine Resources series

> By placing a female gametophyte (about 10 cells long) of a species of Alaria with one male gametophyte from another species of Alaria and vice-versa in

> By gently grinding the male and female gametophytes of the cross of interest in a mortar to produce a suspension of male and female filaments of 1-10 cells long. The fragments were then poured in Replidishes or small 20 ml Petri-dishes.

arrival, plants were cleaned and directly processed for DNA extraction or quick-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70° C.

TABLE 1. ORIGIN OF ALARIA GAMETOPHYTE CULTURES USED IN THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION.

Species	Code	sex	origin	Collector
Alaria esculenta	IRL	M & F	Ireland (Inis Oírr)	S. Kraan
Alaria esculenta	ACH	M & F	Achill Island Co. Mayo	S. Kraan
Alaria esculenta	CBH	M & F	Corbet Head Co. Down	S. Kraan
Alaria esculenta	SLH	M & F	Slea Head Co. Kerry	S. Kraan
Alaria esculenta	SJP	M & F	John's Point Co. Donegal	S. Kraan
Alaria esculenta	ICL	M & F	Iceland (Tjörnes)	K. Lüning*
Alaria esculenta	NOR	M & F	Norway (Ålesund)	J. Rueness *
Alaria esculenta	HFX	M & F	Canada (Halifax)	C. Bird *
Alaria praelonga	AP	M & F	Japan (Hokkaido)	M. Masuda*
Alaria crassifolia	AC	M & F	Japan (Hokkaido)	M. Masuda*
Alaria tenuifolia	AT	F	Canada (Vancouver)	L. Druehl
Alaria nana	AN	M & F	Canada (Vancouver)	L. Druehl
Alaria marginata	AM	M & F	Canada (Vancouver)	L. Druehl

DNA was extracted from ca. 1 g of blotted wet weight of healthy plant material or ca. 0.5 g of -70°C stored blade material. Extraction as follows: Grind material in liquid nitrogen, add powder to a 2 mL eppendorf tube with 2 μ L β -mercapto-ethanol and 900 µL extraction buffer (2% CTAB (v/v), 4M NaCL, 0.5 M EDTA pH 8 and 1M TRIS-HCL pH 8). Incubate for 1 h under slow agitation, add 900 µL CIA (chloroform:isoamylacohol, 24:1 v/v) and mix gently for 3 min. Centrifuge at 14.000 g at 10° C. Transfer upper aqueous phase to sterile new tube, repeat CIA extraction until the interphase has dissappeared. Transfer upper phase to fresh sterile tube, precipitate polysaccharides by adding 0.1 vol 5M K-acetate, mix, 0.25 vol 96% Ethanol, mix, 1 vol CIA, mix, centrifuge as before and collect upper phase in a new tube . Add 1 vol cold (-

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

20° C) isopropanol, mix gently, and leave to settle for at least 1 h at 4° C. To precipitate the crude DNA ,centrifuge as before for 30 min. Rinse pellet 3 times in 70% Ethanol, air dry and dissolve in 100 µL 0.1 x TE buffer. Add 10 units Dnase-free Rnase (Boehringer Mannheim) and incubate at 37° C for 30 min. Precipitate DNA as described above. Redissolve in 100 µL 0.1 x TE buffer. Average yields were 10-100 µg of high molecular weight DNA.

PCR Amplification

Double stranded amplifications were performed in a Hybaid Omn-E thermal cycler with an initial denaturation step of 95° C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles with the following temperature profile: 1 min 95° C, 2 min 55 °C and 2 min 74° C and ended with one extension step of 72° C for 5 min. The reaction volume was 100 µL comprised of 10-100 ng genomic DNA, 50 µM of each dATP, dNTP, dCTP and dGTP, ca. 0.5 µM of each primer, 10 µL of 10x reaction buffer (Sigma), 6 µL 25 mM MgCL₂ (Sigma) and 2.5 units of Taq (Sigma). Primer pair P1 and G4 were used to amplify the region in the ribosomal cistron from position 1542 in the 18S across the ITS1, the 5.8S, ITS2 and to position 42 in the 26S (Saunders and Druehl, 1993). Primer pair s130s and 11105f were used to amplify a small part of the Large subunit across the Rubisco spacer into the small subunit, starting at position 1105 in the Large subunit to position 172 in the small subunit (Valetin and Zetche, 1990).

Amplifications were checked for correct length, purity and yield on 1.5% agarose TAE gels stained with EtBr according the methods of Sambrook et al. (1989). Excess primers, salts and nucleotides were removed from PCR products using the PCR purification kit according the manufacturer's instructions (Boeringer Mannheim). One restriction enzyme reaction could be performed with 15 µL of purified product.

Restriction endonuclease digestion of PCR fragments

Fifteen µL of the PCR amplified Rubisco spacer or rDNA spacers of all isolates listed in table 1 were completely digested with 2-4 units of restriction endonuclease for 4 h as follows: Fil 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube with 15 µL PCR product (cleaned), 3 µL 10x incubation buffer and 2 µL restriction enzyme (Boeringer Mannheim). Mix this 20 µL and spin down for 10 sec. The 8 enzymes used were RSA1, HindIII, CFO1, DRA1, XBA, Dpn1, PST1 and SMA Restriction fragments were run on 3% TAE agarose gels (1% agarose/ 2% MS agarose, Boeringer Mannheim) at 20 V for 20 h and stained afterwards with EtBr. The stained restriction fragments were visualized by UV-fluorescence, captured with a video camera and printed with a thermic printer. Fragment seize was determined according standard methods (Hillis et al. 1996) All digestions were performed twice so accurate calculation of the seize of the fragments was possible.

2.4 Data analysis

The data sets of relative growth rates, width, length and biomass showed a departure of a normal distribution and heteroscedasticity was pronounced. Therefore we applied the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis of variance (with tied ranks and unequal sample

Marine Resources series

seize) to examine the null hypothesis: similarity between RGR of Atlantic crosses = similarity in RGR between Atlantic with Pacific crosses = similarity between pacific crosses. Multiple comparisons were made as aposteriori testing using Dunn's test if the null hypothesis was rejected (Zar, 1996).

Presence and absence of restriction fragments were scored manually from the thermic prints and a presence/absence matrix was constructed for all individuals. Pairwise similarities were calculated using Dice (Jacquard) coefficient (Sd) = two times the number of bands shared by two individuals, devided by the number of bands displayed by each individual. Sd does not allow for negetive matches which might cause false similarities among those taxa sharing many negetative matches (Coyer et al., 1997). From the bandsharing data a pairwise distance matrix was generated where in the number 1 correspondens to two individuals being indentical and the value 0 corresponds to two individuals that are entirely dissimilar. No further statistical analysis was performed on the restriction fragments because of the low amount of fragments generated.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Hybridization experiments

All successful crosses between Atlantic x Atlantic, Atlantic x Pacific and Pacific x Pacific Alaria species are listed in table 2 and 3. Successful crosses were produced at 10° C only. All the (self) crosses and reciprocal crosses between Alaria esculenta strains of Atlantic populations proved to be successful except for the Male Iceland x Female Halifax cross. This cross did not produce any viable healthy sporophytes. Although the products of some crosses were small, like the Iceland and Halifax crosses, they looked like perfect healthy sporophytes and were not parthenogenetic. Parthenogenetic sporophytes in the female parallel cultures were easily identified because of their round cell clump-like appearance without haptera. The Irish self cross, Irish x Norway crosses and female Irish x male Halifax cross produced reproductive structures (sporophylls) in culture.

Between crosses of Atlantic A. esculenta with other species of the Pacific only the A. praelonga x A. esculenta and reciprocal cross produced viable healthy sporophytes which formed sporophylls in culture. From A. crassifolia only male gametophytes hybridized with A. esculenta. The reciprocal cross produced small (± 1 cm long) stunted sporophytes which resembled the pathenogenic sporophytes from the control. From A. tenuifolia only female gametophytes hybridized with male A. esculenta and produced sporophylls. The reciprocal cross was not tested because no male A. tenuifolia gameto-phytes were available. Crosses and reciprocal crosses between A. nana and A. marginata did not produce any sporophytes.

The control cultures with female or male gametophytes alone produced in some cases parthenogenetically or apogamous derived sporophytes. These sporophytes showed a high mortality rate and never grew >1 cm. It was therefore very easy to recognize healthy

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

and viable hybrids from parthenogenic or apogamous sporophytes. In some experiments the parthe-nogenic or apogamous sporophytes survived up to a year without growth development.

TABLE 2. HYBRIDIZATION WITHIN 4 NORTH ATLANTIC STRAINS OF ALARIA ESCULENTA AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE HYBRIDS INDICATED AS AGE, MORPHOLOGY AND MAXIMUM LENGTH THAT WAS ACHIEVED DURING CULTIVATION AT 10° C, 30 μ mol photons.m⁻².S⁻¹ and LD (13:11). (-) = NO DEVELOPMENT OF SPOROPHYTES. HAPTERA + = WELL DEVELOPED, +/- = HAPTERA OF HALF THE SPOROPHYTES IS WELL DEVELOPED, - = POOR HAPTERA DEVELOPMENT. SP = SPOROPHYLLS FORMED, NT = CROSSING NOT TESTED. FOR ORIGIN CODES SEE TABLE 1

		MA	LE	
Female	IRL	ICL	NOR	HFX
IRL	Normal, sp 43 cm, Haptera + 7 months	Small/broad 6.5 cm, Haptera - 6 months	Normal, 46 cm, Haptera +/- 6 months	Normal, sp 42 cm, Haptera + 6 months
ICL	Small/broad 8 cm, Haptera +/ - 6 months	Nt	Small, 3 cm, Haptera +/- 16 months	- 12 months
NOR	Long/thin, sp 27 cm, Haptera +/- 6 months	Normal, sp 70 cm, Haptera + 9 months	Nt	Small, 21 cm, Haptera +/- 9 months
HFX	Normal/ Missing midribs, 13 cm, Haptera + 6 months	Small, 18 cm, Haptera + 9 months	Very small, 2.5 cm, Haptera - 9 months	Nt

TABLE 3. HYBRIDIZATION OF NORTH ATLANTIC *Alaria esculenta* with Pacific *Alaria* species and Within Pacific species and the development of the hybrids indicated as morphology and Maximum length that was achieved during cultivation at 10 °C, 30 μ mol photons.m⁻².s⁻¹ and LD (13:11). (-) = NO DEVELOPMENT OF SPOROPHYTES. HAPTERA + = Well DEVELOPED, +/- = HAPTERA OF HALF THE SPOROPHYTES IS WELL DEVELOPED, - = POOR HAPTERA DEVELOPMENT. SP = SPOROPHYLLS FORMED, NT = CROSSING NOT TESTED. FOR ORIGIN CODES SEE TABLE 1

		MALE			
Female	IRL	АР	AC	AN	AM
IRL	Normal, sp 43 cm, Haptera + 7 months	Normal, sp 21 cm, Haptera + 6 months	Normal 6 cm, Haptera - 7 months	Few elongated stipes 8 cm Haptera - 6 months	Few elongated stipes 8 cm Haptera - 7 months
AP	Normal, sp 11 cm, Haptera + 6 months	Normal/long, thin, 13 cm Haptera +/- 8 months	Clumps <1cm Haptera - 9 months	Clumps <1cm Haptera - 12 months	Clumps <1cm Haptera - 9 months
AC	Stunted <1cm Bleached Haptera - 7 months	Normal, sp 25 cm Haptera + 9 months	Nt	Stunted 1cm Bleached Haptera - 7 months	Clumps <1cm Haptera - 12 months
AN	Few elongated stipes 6 cm Haptera - 6 months	Normal 5 cm Haptera +/- 6 months	Normal 6 cm Haptera +/- 6 months	Nt	- 20 months
АМ	Clumps <1cm Haptera - 14 months	Normal, sp 60 cm, Haptera + 10 months	Clumps <1cm Haptera - 12 months	- 20 months	Long, thin 22 cm Haptera + 8 months
AT	Normal, sp 61 cm, Haptera + 6 months	Normal, 12.5 cm, Haptera + 9 months	Stunted <1cm Haptera - 6 months	Stunted <1cm Haptera - 6 months	Normal 56 cm Haptera + 9 months

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

Within the Pacific crosses *A. praelonga* male gametophytes hybridized with all other Pacific *Alaria* species. *Alaria tenuifolia* female gametophytes hybridized with *A. praelonga* and *A. marginata* male gametophytes, the latter also producing sporophylls.

3.2 Relative growth rates

All hybrids and selfcrosses showed a similar growth pattern of rapid growth during the first 4 to 5 weeks and a slower growth during the rest of the period. In this report, these growth rates will be called "settlement relative growth rate" (RGRset) and "Relative growth rate" (RGR) respectively. The mean RGRset and RGR for length and width increments per day are presented in figure 4 to 7.



FIG. 4. Average settlement relative growth rates for plant length (d⁻¹ with 95% confidence limits) within North Atlantic *Alaria esculenta* crosses (striped) within Pacific *Alaria* crosses (grey) and between Pacific and Atlantic *Alaria* crosses (black). For original codes see Table1.

For Fig. 4 the Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between crosses (H= 38.96535, $X^{2}0.05,25=37.652$). The null hypothesis was rejected; the settlement relative growth rates for length are different between crosses. Multiple comparisons are given in Appendix I. Significant differences in Appendix I are marked with patterns, vertical striped for Atlantic, black for Atlantic x Pacific and grey for Pacific.

The Irish self crosses and Irish x Norway crosses are in most cases significantly different from other crosses in length elongation.

For Fig. 5 the Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between crosses (H= 82.47678, X²0.05,25=37.652). The null hypothesis was again rejected. The relative growth rates for length are different between crosses. Multiple comparisons are presented in Appendix II. Significant differences in Appendix II are marked with patterns, horizontal striped for Atlantic, black for Atlantic x Pacific and grey for Pacific. The Irish x *tenuifolia*, Irish x Norway and A. *marginata* self cross are in most cases significantly different from the other crosses.





FIG. 5. AVERAGE RELATIVE GROWTH RATES FOR PLANT LENGTH (D⁻¹ WITH 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS) WITHIN NORTH ATLANTIC ALARIA ESCULENTA CROSSES (STRIPED) WITHIN PACIFIC ALARIA CROSSES (GREY) AND BETWEEN PACIFIC AND ATLANTIC ALARIA CROSSES (BLACK). FOR ORIGINAL CODES SEE TABLE 1.



FIG. 6. AVERAGE SETTLEMENT RELATIVE GROWTH RATES FOR PLANT WIDTH (D⁻¹ WITH 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS) WITHIN NORTH ATLANTIC ALARIA ESCULENTA CROSSES (STRIPED) WITHIN PACIFIC ALARIA CROSSES (GREY) AND BETWEEN PACIFIC AND ATLANTIC ALARIA CROSSES (BLACK). FOR ORIGINAL CODES SEE TABLE 1.

For Fig. 6 the Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between crosses (H= 90.85175, X²0.05,25=37.652). The null hypothesis was again rejected. The settlement relative growth rates for width are different between crosses. Multiple comparisons are presented in Appendix III. Significant differences in Appendix III are marked with patterns, striped for Atlantic, black for Atlantic x Pacific and grey for Pacific. Almost all



FIG. 7. AVERAGE RELATIVE GROWTH RATES FOR PLANT WIDTH (D⁻¹ WITH 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS) WITHIN NORTH ATLANTIC ALARIA ESCULENTA CROSSES (STRIPED) WITHIN PACIFIC ALARIA CROSSES (GREY) AND BETWEEN PACIFIC AND ATLANTIC ALARIA CROSSES (BLACK). FOR ORIGINAL CODES SEE TABLE 1.

For Fig. 7 the Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between crosses (H= 50.97903, $X^{2}0.05$, 25=37.652). The null hypothesis was again rejected. The relative growth rates for width are significant different between crosses. Multiple comparisons are presented in Appendix IV. Significant differences in Appendix IV are marked with patterns, striped for Atlantic, black for Atlantic x Pacific and grey for Pacific. The female Irish x male Norway, female Irish x male Halifax and female *tenuifolia* x male Irish crosses are in most cases significantly different from all other crosses.

3.3 Length, width and length: width ratio

For reasons of equal comparisons all length and width measurements were taken after 120 (+/-5) d. The results are presented in Figs. 8 and 9. The ratio length: width presented in Figure 10 reveals the shape of the sporophytes, where a high number indicates long and thin sporophytes and a small number broad and small sporophytes.

Irish self-crosses from Inis Oírr formed a lot of sporophytes with very long thin elongated stipes which resulted in the large ratio figure. Irish crosses between Slea Head and Corbet Head, Halifax x Ireland, Norway x Ireland, *tunefolia* x Ireland and A. marginata self cross produced the longest sporophytes with broad leaves. Most Pacific crosses produced small sporophytes. Crosses of Iceland with other strains did not perform well resulting in small/broad sporophytes.

Marine Resources series



FIG. 8. AVERAGE PLANT LENGTHS (IN MM WITH 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS) WITHIN NORTH ATLANTIC ALARIA ESCULENTA CROSSES (STRIPED) WITHIN PACIFIC ALARIA CROSSES (GREY) AND BETWEEN PACIFIC AND ATLANTIC ALARIA CROSSES (BLACK). FOR ORIGINAL CODES SEE TABLE 1.

For Fig. 8 the Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between crosses (H= 100.6577, X²0.05,25=37.652). The null hypothesis was rejected. The average plant lengths after 120 d are different between crosses. Multiple comparisons are presented in Appendix V. Significant differences in Appendix V are marked as patterns, striped for



FIG. 9. AVERAGE PLANT WIDTHS (IN MM WITH 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS) WITHIN NORTH ATLANTIC ALARIA ESCULENTA CROSSES (STRIPED) WITHIN PACIFIC ALARIA CROSSES (GREY) AND BETWEEN PACIFIC AND ATLANTIC ALARIA CROSSES (BLACK). FOR ORIGINAL CODES SEE TABLE 1.

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

Atlantic, black for Atlantic x Pacific and grey for Pacific. The Irish x tenuifolia, marginata x tenuifolia Irish x Norway and the Irish self crosses are significantly different from the other crosses but do not differ significantly amongst each other.

For Fig. 9 the Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between crosses (H= 90.85175, X²0.05,25=37.652). The null hypothesis was again rejected. The average plant widths after 120 d are different between crosses. Multiple comparisons are presented in Appendix VI. Significant differences in Appendix VI are marked with patterns, striped for Atlantic, black for Atlantic x Pacific and grey for Pacific. Most Atlantic and Atlantic xPacific crosses differ significantly from Pacific crosses in width. Almost no differences where found between Atlantic and Atlantic x Pacific crosses.



WITHIN NORTH ATLANTIC ALARIA ESCULENTA CROSSES (STRIPED) WITHIN PACIFIC ALARIA CROSSES (GREY) AND BETWEEN PACIFIC AND ATLANTIC ALARIA CROSSES (BLACK). FOR ORIGINAL CODES SEE TABLE 1.

3.4 Genetic variation

Restriction fragment length polymorphisms on the ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 and the RuBisCo spacer revealed 123 scorable bands of which 23 were variable. The absence and presence of bands are presented in a matrix in Appendix VII. Genetic distances are calculated with the Dice(Jaquard) coefficientindex (Sj) and are presented in Table 4. Fromt Table 4 it is clear that Alaria esculenta from Ireland, Scotland, Halifax, France and A. marginata from Canada are identical in genetic composition at the spacer level. Alaria esculenta from Iceland and Norway are less identical with the above described species because of absence or presence of restriction sites, i.e., the restriction enzyme PST1 recognized a unique restriction site in the ITS spacers of Norway and Iceland not shared with one of

FIG. 10. RATIO LENGTH: WIDTH OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SPOROPHYTES (WITH 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS)

the other species. Enzyme XBA revealed a unique site for *A. crassifolia* and enzym DRA showed one site only present in *A. praelonga*. All *Alaria* species are least related to *Undaria pinnatifida*, a member of the family Alariaceae but not belonging to the genus *Alaria* and chosen as an outgroup here.

TABLE 4. DISTANCE MATRIX FOR PAIRWISE COMPARISONS OF RDNA SPACERS AND RUBISCO SPACER RESTRICTION FRAGMENTS OF *ALARIA* SPECIES BASED ON DIGESTIONS WITH 8 RESTRICTION ENZYMES. PAIR WISE SIMILARITIES WERE CALCULATED ACCORDING THE DICE (JACQUARD) COEFFICIENT. PAIR WISE DISTANCES BETWEEN SPECIES ARE LISTED ABOVE THE DIAGONAL. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF RESTRICTION FRAGMENTS GENERATED IN A SPECIES IS LISTED BETWEEN PARENTHESES ON THE DIAGONAL. THE NUMBER OF RESTRICTION FRAGMENTS SHARED BETWEEN SPECIES IS LISTED BELOW THE DIAGONAL. SCL=SCOTLAND, FRC=FRANCE. FOR OTHER CODES SEE TABLE 1.

	IRL	SCL	NOR	ICL	HFX	FRC	AM	AN	AC	AP	UND
IRL	(11)	1	0.77	0.95	1	1	1	0.82	0.92	0.82	0.45
SCL	10	(11)	0.77	0.95	1	1	1	0.82	0.92	0.82	0.45
NOR	5	5	(8)	0.86	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.67	0.71	0.67	0.29
ICL	10	10	6	(12)	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.82	0.86	0.78	0.43
HFX	10	10	5	10	(11)	1	1	0.82	0.92	0.82	0.45
FRC	10	10	5	10	10	(11)	1	0.82	0.92	0.82	0.45
AM	6	6	5	6	6	6	(7)	0.86	0.92	0.86	0.31
AN	9	9	5	9	9	9	6	(13)	0.8	0.83	0.42
AC	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	(8)	0.8	0.29
AP	9	9	5	9	9	9	6	10	6	(13)	0.42
UND	5	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	2	5	(13)

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Atlantic cross experiments

Intraspecific hybridization

The results presented in this study for crosses between *A. esculenta* from different geographical locations in the North Atlantic show that the four strains are interfertile except for the male Halifax x female Iceland cross. Müller (1979) demonstrated in Ectocarpus siliculosus that sterility barriers do occur within species from different geographical locations.

The significant morphological best and fastest growing crosses where produced by the female Iceland x male Norway, female Ireland x male Norway, female Ireland x male Halifax and the Irish selfcross. All except the female Ireland x male Norway cross produced well developed haptera and sporophylls and are therefore most suitable for rope cultivation in Atlantic Irish waters. Slower growing but morphological healthy crosses were produced between female Halifax x male Iceland, female Norway x male Halifax and female Norway x male Ireland. Similar results were found in crosses *of Laminaria digitata* from Halifax and Helgoland (tom Dieck, 1993).

All female Iceland crosses produced poor or no results. Female Halifax crosses produced hybrids that did not develop midribs. The poor hybridization results from Icelandic plants might be caused by ecotypic variation, i.e., different temperature tolerance of the gametophyte and/or sporophyte and temperature responses of growth (Breeman, 1988). However, these results are laboratory results under controlled conditions and do not reflect field conditions.

The winter isotherms are the same for Iceland, Halifax and Norway (0 - 5°C). Only the summer isotherm is different for Iceland (5 - 10°C v.s. 10 -15°C for Ireland, Norway and Halifax (Lüning 1990). Fertilisation, zygote and young sporophyte development in all crosses was induced at 10°C, which is the upper summer isotherm boundary for Iceland. This relatively high temperature for crosses with Iceland gametophytes might prove unfavourable resulting in the observed poor results in Iceland crosses. Tom Dieck & de Oliveira (1992) showed differences in fertility of female gametophytes of five species of *Laminaria* at three different temperatures.

Lüning & Freshwater (1988) showed that little or no variation exists in the upper temperature tolerance of *Chondrus crispus* and *Scytosiphon lomentaria* ranging from Iceland to Spain and of isolates of *Laminaria saccharina* and *Laminaria longicruris* from various locations, although only a few detailed studies are available. There is however a possibility that local and genetically fixed temperature ecotypes have evolved in *Alaria esculenta*.

Genetic analysis

The calculated pairwise distances from the restriction fragment analysis between *Alaria esculenta* isolates from Ireland, Scotland, Halifax and France (Table 4) showed that they were genetically identical. Norway and Iceland showed differences at the DNA level resulting in more or less restriction fragments.

The differences encountered in restriction fragments in respect of the DNA spacers examined suggest that the Icelandic and Norwegian A. esculenta populations are more isolated than the other Atlantic Alaria species. Probably little or no gene flow occurs between the Icelandic and Norwegian populations and between other populations. The different genotype and therefore genetic make-up of the Icelandic strain might account for the existing fertility barrier and the poor cross results in the Icelandic crosses. However, these genetic results are only preliminary results and serve to illustrate possible existing genetic variation between A. esculenta populations rather than to establish conclusive facts regarding the nuclear genome of A. esculenta.

Coyer et al. (1997) showed distinguishable biogeographic populations along the northeast Pacific coast of the kelp Postelsia palmaeformis. They showed decreasing genetic relatedness with increasing distance between populations assessed with M13 Fingerprinting and RAPDs.Bhattacharya et al. (1990) showed with RFLPs 8 distinct populations of the kelp Costaria costata over a range of 400 km. Theses populations appeared to be discreet breeding groups.

4.2 Pacific x Atlantic and Pacific Cross experiments

Interspecific hybridization

From the results presented in Table 3 it is clear that A. esculenta from the Atlantic does hybridize with other Pacific Alaria species, showing a close relationship between Alaria species throughout the northern hemisphere. In fact, the best cross produced was that between Atlantic A. esculenta and Pacific A. tenuifolia, giving rise to the largest and best-developed plants. This cross would be most suitable to be used in closed-tank cultivation.

Crosses between A. esculenta and A. praelonga produced healthy and well developed sporophytes. Crosses between female A. esculenta and male A. crassifolia produced slow-growing offspring, whereas the reciprocal cross did not. Crosses and reciprocal crosses of A. esculenta with A. marginata and A. nana where not succesfull, resulting in a few elongated stipes, indicating that various fertility barriers are active. Similar close relationships and fertility barriers have been found in other Atlantic and Pacific kelp species (Bolton et al., 1983; Lewis et al., 1986; tom Dieck & de Oliveira, 1993; tom Dieck, 1993).

Crosses among Pacific species showed that they hybridize in many cases, producing the largest plants in A. tenuifolia x A. marginata crosses. Only A. nana x A. marginata crosses did not produce any sporophytes which is in contrast with the observations of Widdowson (1971). He found plants that appear to be hybrids between A. nana and A. marginata in the wild. Widdowson (1971) considered that A. marginata and A. tenuifolia intergrade with each other through a series of populations that appear to be ecotypes. The different cross results shown in Table 3 however, support the hypothesis that A. marginata and A. tenuifolia are two gentically different species.

Fertilisation in Alaria species is facilitated by the sex pheromone lamoxirene, which can be found in all species of the Alariaceae, Laminariaceae and Lessionaceae

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

(Müller et al., 1985), but incompatibility was expressed between several species of the genus Alaria. In three crosses (two inter-specific and one intra-specific) no microscopic sporophytes were formed. This in contrast with Laminariales from the North Atlantic and Pacific where microscopic sporophytes were procuced in all crosses but became irregular and stunted during later development (tom Dieck, 1992). Yarish et al. (1990) postulated that the sharing of a common sex pheromone is sufficient reason to expect interfertility. This is not true for the genus Alaria. Reproductive isolation develops gradually by different processes that might lead to fertility barriers. There are several examples in the Laminariales of gradual reproductive isolation. In several Undaria species the succesful formation of hybrids was expressed differently in reciprocal crosses (tom Dieck, 1992). The same results were encountered in the crosses between A. crassifolia and A. esculenta during the present investigation.

Species belonging to the order Laminariales are able to produce sporophytes from unfertilized eggs (parthenogenic sporophytes), although most parthenogenic sporophytes have an abnormal morphology (Nakahara & Nakamura, 1973; tom Dieck, 1992). In the present study parthenogenic sporophytes did not survive or were clearly recognized as cell clumps without haptera or half-bleached stunted sporophytes up to 1 cm long, resembling the parthenogenic sporophytes in female control cultures. **Genetic analysis**

Druehl (1990) found that environmental factors caused distinctieve species morphotypes sequencing of the entire 18S ribosomal gene for A.nana and A. marginata they found a In this study Alaria marginata is identical to four A. esculenta isolates in its study despite of the simalarities in genotype of the ITS 1 and ITS 2. In Table 4, A. nana show clearly that eight restriction enzymes, of which five are informative, are not sufficient to solve genetic relationships in the genus Alaria. Clearly more enzymes have

in A. nana, A. marginata and A. tenuifolia. Using restriction enzyme digestion of the small subunit rDNA and intergeneric spacers he found three restriction map variants indicating distinctive breeding populations of the three species which is in contrast with the findings of Widdowson (1971). Druehl & Saunders (1990) concluded that there is no consistent relationship between morphological and rDNA variation in Alaria. After nucleotide divergence of only 0.05%, indicating almost negligible genetic divergence. In this study A. marginata and A. nana showed different restriction fragment patterns at the intertranscribed spacer level. The differences in results compared with the study of Druehl & Saunders (1990) probably is caused due to the use of the 18S slow-evolving ribosomal gene instead of the fast-evolving spacer regions applied in this study. Cross experiments showed that A. nana and A. marginata do not hybridise, showing a fertility barrier which is an indication of a large gentic distance between the two species. restriction patterns. Remarkably A. marginata did not hybridize with A. esculenta in this and A. praelonga share the same distances, whereas A. crassifolia is more closely related to A. esculenta. This is also inconsistent with the hybridisation experiments. These results to be applied to generate a reliable data set as only 2.56% of the entire ITS1 and ITS2 is sampled with the five informative restriction enzymes.

Chromosomes

If we compare chromosome numbers (n) in the genus Alaria we can distiguish two groups. One group with n=14 viz., A. nana, A. marginata, A. tenuifolia A. fistulosa, A.

Marine Resources series

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

taeniata and one group with 22-28 viz., A. praelonga, A. crassifolia, A. grandifolia (subspecies of A. esculenta according to Lüning, 1990) and A. esculenta (Robinson and Cole, 1971; Lewis, 1996).

Lewis (1996) suggested that the evolution of most taxa of brown algae was accompanied by polyploidy. Nakahara & Nakamura (1973) obtained diploid gametophytes in several members of the Laminariales. When these were crossed with haploid or diploid gametophytes, triploid and tetraploid sporophytes were obtained respectively. They even managed to cross diploid with triploid gametophytes of A. crassifolia resulting in pentaploid sporophytes. These laboratory investigations illustrate hypothetical mechanisms by which polyploidy could have arisen in the genus Alaria. The existence of polyploids suggests one mechanism by which speciation has probably occured (Lewis, 1996).

In hybridization, two sets of chromosomes from different sources are combined. The succes of hybridization between species by sexual means initially depends on the compatibility of the gametes and then on the compatibility of the genomes. The results presented here (Table 3) show that the Alaria species with matching chromosome numbers, i.e., n =22-28, hybridized without difficulty, except for A. crassifolia which produced in two different reciprocal crosses stunted and abnormal sporophytes. This might be an indication of gradual reproductive isolation. Species with n=14 produced only two healthy viable crosses with n=22-28 species. Lewis (1996) showed that there are few exceptions in brown algae that it is not necessary for the chromosome number to match to obtain hybrids. Crosses among n=14 species showed even more incompatibility. No viable crosses were produced except for the A. marginata x A. tenuifolia cross. In n=14 crosses incompatibility is probably caused by incompatibility of the gametes or perhaps by chromosomal mispairing at meiosis. Hybridization results indicate that A. esculenta, A. praelonga and A. crassifolia are most closely related, and to a lesser extent, to A. tenuifolia and A. marginata and least related to A. nana. These findings are in contrast with the restriction patterns which are probably caused by the few informative restriction enzymes (see discussion on genetic analysis).

Kelp evolution and divergence

The ability of the Atlantic A. esculenta to hybridise with several Pacific Alaria species indicates a close relationship between the species in the two oceans and is further support of the original hypothesis of Stam et al., (1988) that species of laminariales diverged from a common ancestor 15-19 Ma ago, most probably in the north Pacific, and then invaded the North Atlantic after inundation of the Bering Land Bridge 3.5 Ma ago. It is possible that Alaria species with n=14 chromosomes diverged first from a common ancestor of the genus Alaria, representing the oldest assemblage of Alaria species at the beginning of the miocene 27 Ma ago. Probably during the mid-Miocene steepening of the temperature gradient between high and low latitudes extensive radiation in North Pacific Laminariales took place (Stam et al., 1988). This major cooling step in the Tertiary leading to glaciation of the higher latitudes was possibly the driving force of speciation in Laminariales (Lüning, 1990). The climatic disturbance coupled with the continously changing configuration of the archipelagos in the North Pacific due to plate tectonics and

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

sea level fluctuation could provide the ecological opportunity in which polyploids (amphiploids) can exploit their inherent advantage (Grant, 1981). Climatic changes in general bring about changes in the distribution of the species, altering genetic isolation. New contacts are made, natural hybridization occurs, and hybrid polyploids are formed (Grant, 1981). It therefore is possible that n=22-28 Alaria species are polyploid Alaria species and diverged from hybrids of n=14 species and radiated in several new species during the mid-Miocene cooling period. Possible doners for the polyploid hybrids might be A. tenuifolia and A. marginata because of the ability of these doners to hybridize with the postulated polyploid Alaria species (see Table 3). Not untill the opening of the Bering Land Bridge were *Alaria* species and Laminariales in general able to invade the Atlantic Ocean. Because polyploids often exhibit superior vigor, homeostatic buffering and environmental adaptability as compared with their diploid partners they are likely to be the most suitable candidates to invade the Atlantic and adapt to their new environment (Grant, 1981). With our limited knowledge of chromosome numbers in the genus Alaria, A. praelonga, A. crassifolia and A. esculenta are the most likely candidates from which only A. esculenta has really invaded the Atlantic. There is a possibility that other species might have invaded the Atlantic but did not survive the severe Pleistocene glaciation conditions.

Remarkably, nowadays the species with n=22-28 all occur at the North West Pacific coast/polar circle area or in the case of A. esculenta in the Atlantic Ocean as well. The n=14 species are mainly found at the north eastern Pacific coast (Widdowson, 1971). In the geological past, different types of temperature stress have existed on both sites of the Pacific, with more extreme temperature ranges on the West Pacific coasts and more colder gradual ranges on the East Pacific coasts. Nowadays the Asiatic side of the Pacific has serverely compressed isotherms and a wide annual span of seawater tempera-tures (Lüning, 1990). This supports the idea that the hypothised polyploid species are found in the more extreme temperature ranges of the West Pacific coast/polar circle area (polar light regime) due to their possible superior adaptability.

4.3 Summary

Intraspecific crosses of the genus Alaria esculenta The significant morphological best and fastest growing crosses were produced by the female Iceland x male Norway, female Ireland x male Norway, female Ireland x male Halifax and the Irish self cross. All except the female Ireland x male Norway cross produced well developed haptera and sporophylls and are therefore most suitable for rope cultivation in Atlantic Irish waters.

The restriction fragment length polymorphism technique distinguishes genetic different populations of the genus A. esculenta in the North Atlantic Ocean.

Interspecific crosses of Alria species

The significant morphological and fastest growing cross was produced between Atlantic A. esculenta and Pacific A. tenuifolia, producing the largest and best developed plants. This cross would be most suitable to be used in closed tank cultivation.

There is a close realtionship between Atlantic and Pacific Alaria species indicating a recent species radiation in the genus Alaria.

5. REFERENCES

- Bakker, F.T., Olsen J.L., Stam W.T. & van den Hoek C. (1992). Nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer regions (ITS1 and ITS2) define discrete biogeographic groups in Cladophora albida (Chlorophyta). J. Phycol. 28: 839-845.
- Bhattacharva, D. & Druehl L.D. (1987). Molecular genetic analysis of variation in Costaria costata (Turner) Saunders. Hydrobiologia 151/152: 63-67.
- Bhattacharya, D. & Druehl L.D. (1988). Phylogenetic comparison of the small-subunit ribosomal DNA sequence of Costaria costata (Phaeophyta) with those of other algae, vascular plants and oomycetes. J. Phycol. 24: 539-543.
- Bhattacharya, D. & Druehl L.D. (1989). Morphological and DNA sequence variation in the kelp Costaria costata (Phaeophyta). Mar. Biol. 102: 15-23.
- Bhattacharya, D., Mayes C. and Druehl L.D. (1991). Restriction endonuclease analysis of ribosomal DNA sequence variations in Laminaria (Phaeophyta). J. Phycol. 27: 624-628.
- Bolton, J.J., Germann I. & Lüning K. (1983). Hybridization between Atlantic and Pacific representatives of the simplices section of Laminaria (Phaeophyta). Phycologia 22: 133-140.
- Breeman, A.M. (1988). Relative importance of temperature and other factors in determining geographic boundaries of seaweeds: experimental and phenological evidence. Helgoländer Meeresunters. 42: 199-241.
- Chapman, A.R.O. (1974). The genetic basis of morphological differentiation in some Laminaria populations. Mar. Biol. 24: 85-91.
- Coyer, J.A., Olsen J.L. and Stam W.T. (1997). Genetic variability and spatial seperation in the sea palm kelp Postelsia palmaeformis (Phaeophyceae) as assessed with M13 fingerprints and RAPDS. J. Phycol. 33: 561-568.
- Dixon, P.S. and Irvine L.M. (1977). Seaweeds of the British Isles. Vol 1 Rhodophyta part 1. Introduction. Nemaliales and Gigartinales. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Druehl, L.D. (1988). Cultivated edible kelp. In Lembi, C.A. and J.B. Waaland (eds.), Algae and human affairs. Cambridge Iniversity press, Cambridge pp. 119-134.
- Egan, B., Garcia-Ezquivel Z., Brinkhuis B.H. and Yarish C. (1990). Genetics of morphology and growth in Laminaria from the North Atlantic Ocean: implications for biogeography. In Garbary, D.J. and G.R. South (eds.), Evolutionary biogeography of the marine algae of the North Atlantic. NATO ASI Series, Vol. G22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin pp. 147-171.
- Fletcher, R.L. (1987). Seaweeds of the British Isles. Vol 3 Fucophyceae (Phaeophyceae) part 1. British Museum (Natural History), London.

Grant, V. (1981) Plant speciation Ed. 2. Columbia University Press, New York, 552 pp. Guiry, M.D. and Blunden G. (1991). Seaweed resources in Europe: use and potential.

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

John Wiley & sons, Chichester.

- **23**: 357-367.
- Guiry, M.D. and Hession C. (1996). Eat up your seaweed! Ireland of the Welcomes **45**: 22-25.
- Guiry, M.D. and West, J.A. (1983). Lie history and hybridization studies on Gigartina 474-494.
- Guiry, M.D. (1997). Research and development of a sustainable Irish seaweed industry. 1996. Royal Dublin Society 11 pp.
- Hillis, D.M., Moritz C. & Mable B. K. (1996). Molecular Systematics Ed 2. Sinauer Assoc., Sunderland, MA, USA 653 pp.
- Indergaard, M. and Minsaas J. (1991). Animal and human nutrition. In Guiry, M.D. and sons, Chichester 21-63 pp.
- Innes, D.J. (1984). Genetic differentiation among populations of marine algae. Helgol. *Meeresunters*. **38**: 401-417.
- Kain J.M. and Dawes C.P. (1987). Useful European seaweeds: past hopes and present cultivation. Hydrobiologia 151/152: 173-181.
- Kain, J.M., Holt T.J. & Dawes C.P. (1990). European Laminariales and their cultivation. In Yarish, C., C.A. Penniman and P. van Patten (eds.), Economically important marine plants of the Atlantic: their biology and cultivation. Groton: Connecticut Sea Grant College Program 158 pp.
- Kain J.M.(1991). Cultivation of attached seaweeds. In Guiry, M.D. and G. Blunden pp. 334-377.
- ITS sequences. J. Phycol 28: 660-668.
- Lehman, R.L. & Manhart J.R. (1997). A preliminary comparison of restriction fragment patterns in the genus Caulerpa (Chlorophyta) and the unique structure of the chloroplast genome of Caulerpa sertularioides. J. Phycol. 33: 1055-1062. Levring, Hoppe & Schmid (1969) Marine algae pp. 144-146.
- Lewallen and Lewallen (1996). Sea vegetable gourmet cookbook and wildcrafter's guide. Mendocino Sea Vegetable Company, CA, USA 128 pp.
- Lewis, J.L., Neushul M. & Harger B.W.W. (1986). Interspecific hybridization of the species of Macrocystis in California. Aquaculture 57: 203-210.
- Lewis, J.L. (1996). Chromosomes of the brown algae. *Phycologia* 35: 19-40. Lüning, K., Chapman A.R.O. & Mann K.H. (1978). Crossing experiments in the non-

marine algae. J. Phycol. 24: 310-315.

Marine Resources series

Guiry, M.D. and Cunningham E.M. (1984). Photoperiodic responses in the reproduction of north-eastern Atlantic Gigartina acicularis (Rhodophyta: Gigartinales). Phycologia

stellata and Petrocelis cruenta (Rhodophyta) in the North Atlantic. J. Phycol. 19:

Occasional papers in Irish science and technology. No. 14 Went Memorial Lecture

G. Blunden (eds.), Seaweed resources in Europe: use and potential. John Wiley &

(eds.), Seaweed resources in Europe: use and potential. John Wiley & sons, Chichester

Kooistra, W.H.F.C., Stam W.T., Olsen J.L. & van den Hoek C. (1992). Biogeography of Cladophoropsis membranaceae (Chlorophyta) based on comparisons of nuclear rDNA

digitate complex of Laminaria from both sides of the Atlantic. Phycologia 17: 293-

Lüning, K., and Freshwater, W. (1988). Temperature tolerance of Northeast Pacific

^{298.}

Lüning, K. (1990). Seaweeds. Their environment, Biogeography and Ecophysiology. Eds. C. Yarish and H. Kirkman, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 527 pp.

Madlener, J.C. (1977). The seavegetable book. Clarkeson N. Potter, New York.

Mai. K.S., Mercer J.P. & Donlon J. (1996). Comparative studies on the nutrition of 2 species of Abalone, Haliotis tuberculata and Haliotis discus Hanni-Ino.5. The role of poly unsaturated fatty acids in macro algae in Abelone nutrition. Aquaculture 139: 77-89.

Migita, S. (1984). In: Seaweeds. Their environment, Biogeography and Ecophysiology, Eds. C. Yarish and H. Kirkman, John Wiley&Sons, Inc., New York, 1990 527 pp.

Müller, D.G. (1979). Genetic affinity of Ectocarpus siliculosus (Dillw.) Lyngb. From the Mediterranean, North Atlantic and Australia. Phycologia 18: 312-318.

Müller, D.G., Maier I. & Gassmann, G. (1985). Survey on sexual pheromone specifity in Laminariales (Phaeophyceae). Phycologia 24: 475-477.

Munda, I.M. and Lüning K. (1977). Growth performance of Alaria esculenta off Helgoland. Helgoländer wiss. Meeresunters. 29: 311-314.

Nakahara, H. and Nakamura Y. (1973). Parthenogenesis, apogamy and apospory in Alaria crassifolia (Laminariales). Mar. Biol 18: 327-332.

Newton, L. (1931). A handbook of the British seaweeds. British Museum (Nat. Hist.), London.

Nisizawa, K., Noda H., Kikuchi R. & Watanabe T. (1987). The main seaweed foods in Japan. *Hydrobiologia* **151/152**: 5-29.

Norton, T.A., Mathieson A. & Neushul A.C. (1982). A review of some aspects of form and function in seaweeds. Bot. Mar. 25: 501-510.

Pérez, R., Kaas R. and Barbaroux O. (1984). Culture expJrimentale de l'algue Undaria pinnatifida sur les côtes de France. Sci. PLche 343: 3-15.

Rice, E.L. and Bird C.J. (1990). Relationships among geographically distant populations of Cracilaria verrucosa (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) and related species. Phycologia **29**: 501-510.

Robinson, G.G.C. and Cole, K. (1971). Cytological investigations of some North American species of the genus Alaria Greville. Bot. Mar. 14: 59-62.

Rueness, J. (1973). Speciation in Polysiphonia (Rhodophyceae, Ceramiales) in view of hybridization experiments: P. hemisphaerica and P. boldii. Phycologia 12: 107-109.

Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritch, E.F. & Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual (Ed. 2) Cold Springer Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, 3 vols.

Saunders, G.W. and Druehl L.D. (1993). Nucleotide sequence of the internal transcribed spacers and 5.8S rRNA genes from Alaria marginata and Postelsia palmaeformis (Phaeophyta; Laminariales). Mar. Biol. 115: 347-352.

Sundene, O. (1962). The implications of transplant and culture experiments on the growth and distribution of Alaria esculenta. Nytt Mag. Bot. 9: 155-174.

Tom Dieck, I. (1992). North Pacific and North Atlantic digitate Laminaria species (Phaeophyta): hybridisation experiments and temperature responses. *Phycologia* 31: 147-163.

Tom Dieck, I. (1993). Temperature tolerance and survival in darkness of kelp gametophytes (Laminariales, Phaeophyta): ecological and biogeographical implications. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 100: 253-264.

S. Kraan & M.D. Guiry

Tom Dieck, I., and de Oliveira E.C. (1993). The section digitatae of the genus Laminaria (Phaeophyta) in the northern and southern Atlantic: crossing experiments and temperature responses. Mar. Biol. 115: 151-160.

van den Hoek, C., Mann, D.G. & Jahns, H.M. 1995. Algae: An Introduction to *Phycology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 623 pp.

van Oppen, M.J.H., Draisma, S.G.A., Olsen, J.L. & Stam W.T. (1995). Multiple transsequences. Mar. Biol. 123: 179-188.

Widdowson, T.B. (1971a). A statistical analysis of variation in the brown alga Alaria. Syesis 4: 125-143.

Widdowson, T.B. (1971b). A taxonomic revision of the genus Alaria Greville. Syesis 4: 11-49.

seaweeds in China. Hydrobiologia 151/152: 57-61.

Yamanaka, R. and Akiyama K. (1993). Cultivation and utilisation of Undaria pinnatifida (wakame) as food. J. Appl. Phycol. 5: 249-253.

Undaria (Phaeophyceaea). Proc. Int. Seaweed Symp. 9: 219-223.

Zar, J.H. (1996). Biostatistical analysis (Ed. 3) Prentice Hall International Editions, London, 1974. By Prentice-Hall, Inc. 662 pp.

- Arctic passages in the red alga Phycodrys rubens: evidence from nuclear rDNA ITS
- Wu, C.Y. and Guangheng L. (1987). Progress in the genetics and breeding of economic
- Yoshida, T. (1979). Streblonema (Phaeophyceae) infection in the frond of cultivated

Appendices

- I Statistical results of the multiple comparisons using Dunn's test for relative growth rates settlement length.
- If Statistical results of the multiple comparisons using Dunn's test for relative growth rates length.
- III Statistical results of the multiple comparisons using Dunn's test for relative growth rates settlement width.
- IV Statistical results of the multiple comparisons using Dunn's test for relative growth rates width.
- V Statistical results of the multiple comparisons using Dunn's test for plant lengths.
- VI Statistical results of the multiple comparisons using Dunn's test for plant widths.
- VII Restriction fragment data matrix for the ITS and RuBisCo spacer of *Alaria* species.

		AND THE PACE AND T	And the second s	No. 200 Concernance	No. Construction of the second	Allegenter		1999 States and State	Station of the state of the sta		applies of the second second	20200000000000000000000000000000000000	1222/02/22/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/09/	.909939096666666766	der (del geographic de la constantion d	CANDER MORE CONTROL	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRA				www.enerotechildi.or	90000000000000000000000000000000000000	20220000000000000000000000000000000000				
			E OPU	E OLU	E MO		E HUD	E IOI	E UEV		FICI	ENOR	ENOD	ELLEV			с шо	E MAD	CTU IN	AD.	CTUN	MAD	MAD	MAD	C 8 81	LUO D	
	la ser en se	.(HL PDL (colf)	F СВП ч м ег ц	H SLH M CBH	F IMR M NOB	FNUH	Е ІНН МІСІ			E liviei M HEX			HNUR M HEX		EHEX MUCL		H I THE	H BVH		AP AD	E EUN AKA		MAH	MIAH AM#	⊢AN AC		AM
101	Di (colf)	IHL(Sen)			NINUR 17 25 7087		101 UL		AVI JIVI	10 42 261	100 01 201	25 41 326		MINUT	9E 44 904	00 26 200	06 35 568'	1VI AU 02 41 326	NITL -	AP	AIVI	PTUN	AU AU	AIN	AU 174 20 022	AN 07 00 000	AIVI
INL	inc(sen)	1	4 3912	9 3 00430	13 6 62017	44.02400 /8 5 47845		17 35.0002	199 4 2541	02 1 9065	- 30 42.20 - 04 3 3367	50 41.020. /57 2 1065	34 40.4010. 313 0 0415'	3 42.2010 55 0 4946	54 9 4539	10 00.0000 00 4 4167	00 00.000c	.5 41.0200 56 1 9810'	4 09.0002 PG 3 8651/	19 0 51594	40.0400 19 0 8033	.0 41.0200 97 0.471	- 34 35.000 118 0 413/	27 07.000. MG 0 6377	74 00.0002 799 4 4063	11 5 0510	/ 44.02480
E CBH	M SLH		A	130,0947	/8 24,2927	3 36,3908	46 38.622	97 30.094	178 27.552	33 33,181	95 33,181	95 31,982	286 34.622	46 33,181	95 36.390	A6 25.284/	.47 24.085/	66 31,982/	A6 30.094*	78 38,6229	47 30.967	27 31.982	286.30.094	78 51.612	212 30.094	78 30.094	78 36 39086
V	1		Á '	1.24379	48 3.25797	5 2.42693	3 0.7275 [′]	07 0.4130	189 0.1601	23 2.310	195 0,4893	44 2,1943	357 4,5940'	36 4,1091	16 1.2984	.92 0.1375	25 0.1790	05 2.3569	44 0 10295	18 3.44825	4 4.0262	45 4,3079	189 4.677	495 2,3317	/87 0.6142	21 1 4698	1 2 050072
F SLH	м свн	A STREET BOOM CALORY	·	1	129.2663	2 39.8832	27 41.929	88 34.235	61 32.024	48 36.978	68 36.978	68 35.906	361 38.276	57 36.978	68 39.883	27 30.094	78 29.094f	67 35.906f	at 34.2356	31 41.9298	18 35.005/	04 35.90F	361 34.235	61 54.131	25 34.235	61 34.235F	31 39.88327
• =•		1	,	1	3.98331	3 3.15295	51 0.2225r	94 0.7302	234 1.3066	18 1.0614	22 0.5731	53 0.9120	J88 3.1775	31 2.6749	65 0.2462	54 1.3593	42 1.1383F	66 1.0569r	J9 1.00285	5 2.28357	/4 2.4924	98 2.794	475 3.01	83 1.5317	71 1.633'	29 2.3854	1 2.80909
F IMR	MNOR				4	35.7087	/7 37.980 ^r	99 29.266	32 26.645	98 32.432	45 32.432	45 31.204	457 33.904F	32 32.432	45 35.708	77 24.292	73 23.042	15 31.204	57 29.2665	32 37.9809	J9 30.162	79 31.204	457 29.266	32 51.133	48 29.266	32 29.2667	12 35.70877
	ľ		ANNE			0.25688/	6 2.8236	12 3.1290	89 2.804	67 4.8046	61 2.9409	58 4.7854	418 7.0256r	J7 6.6443'	82 3.53	97 3.1148	35 3.62190	08 4.952(J6 2.81017	/9 5.59034	+7 6.7575r	62 6.9517	/67 7.5141	06 3.9014	28 2.0726	98 1.19284	7 0.127173
F NOR	MIMR			Á	·		46.6557	27 39.883	27 38.002	18 42.261	35 42.261	35 41.326	j54 43.401£	5 42.261	35 44.8249	93 36.390f	86 35.568?	23 41.3265	54 39.8832	7 46.6552	.7 40.545F	68 41.326	354 39.883	27 57.868	74 39.883;	27 39.8832	.7 44.82493
	ľ			Á			2.49525	.5 <u>2</u> 2.5261′	22 2.20791	32 3.9042	.77 2.4740	23 3.8353	07 5.6996۶	31 5.3161 ⁻	26 3.0244f	65 2.3310	38 2.6042	28 3.96118	35 2.29210	5 4.74758	8 5.25330	35 5.471	06 5.7438	45 3.6058	62 1.7509/	43 1.10530	9 0.305952
FIHR	MICL					-	T	41.929	/88 40.144	81 44.197	98 44.197	98 43.3	305 45.2894	∔5 44.197 ′	98 46.655	27 38.6225	97 37.8488	89 43.30	J5 41.9298	38 48.4164	6 42.560/	44 43.3	305 41.929	/88 59.297	81 41.929f	38 41.9298	18 46.65527
	1	1						0.373	<u>64</u> 0.8098'	29 1.2102/	.02 0.2683/	63 0.9521	.55 3.0240s	⊮ 3 2.3901/	81 0.43335	85 0.6767f	89 0.61587	78 1.2492F	36 0.57730)1 2.50616	8 1.99484	45 2.5771	19 2.6017	01 2.2001/	02 0.7855	33 1.7251C	12 2.449384
FICL	M IHR	1							32.024/	48 36.978/	68 36.978	68 35.906	J61 38.276F	57 36.978f	88 39.8837	27 30.0947	78 29.094F	37 35.906F	31 34.235€	1 41.9298	J8 35.0050	J4 35.906 [°]	61 34.235	61 54.131	25 34.235f	31 34.235€	1 39.88327
	1		2 m						0.5259F	<u>85</u> 1.7374	88 0.1029	12 1.5617	11 3.96512	.3 3.4510	83 0.9097?	32 0.43027	23 0.20360	33 2.09172	25 0.32079	2 3.36289	1 3.2787	75 2.9895	15 3.6661	39 3.0054	82 0.90305	56 1.04683	8 2.542257
F HFX	M IMR		A					-		34.941	57 34.941/	57 33.804	/95 36.3123	JA 34.9415	57 38.0021	18 27.553?	33 26.4573	33 33.8049	J5 32.0244	8 40.1448	1 32.8457	/5 33.804′	i95 32.024	48 52.760	61 32.0244	48 32.0244	8 38.00218
	ľ		4		STATE OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT		8293			2.3208	39 0.5909/	67 2.1348	14 4.67834	6 4.02845	52 1.5283?	32 0.02570	39 0.24965	56 2.09971	15 0.27257	7 5.20059	1 3.81872	32 4.4401'	58 3.6163	35 3.7983	73 0.41629	∄7 1.24351′	5 1.330386
F imr	M HEX	1				AND	A				39.531/	87 38.53/	09 40.7485	/2 39.5318	37 42.2618	35 33.1819	35 32.277€	34 38.530	J9 36.9786	8 44.1979	8 37.6921	6 38.53/	09 36.978	68 55.906	51 36.9786	<i>3</i> 8 36.9786	8 42.26135
	1	1				ANNON	A				1.5290	05 0.1644	24 2.08376	<i>,</i> 2 1.54854	41 0.7637€	35 2.0803F	34 1.77602	24 0.03288	35 1.7411	5 1.70273	3 1.48709	JB 1.585	74 1.7329	81 0.9879	79 2.5248	34 3.13817	4 4.09116
FICL	MINOR	1										38.53/	09 40.7485	/2 39.5318	37 42.2613	35 33.1819	} 5 32.277€	34 38.530	19 36.9786	8 44.1979	8 37.6921	6 38.53 ^r	09 36.978	68 55.906	51 36.978F	8 36.9786	8 42 26135
	1	1			****		<i>498</i>					1.4000	31 3.50489	9 2.94761	19 0.76115	53 0.49870	J3 0.30167	/9 1.4961	2 0.31089	6 3.524330	ə 3.35973	<i>3</i> 9 3.1544/	67 3.3675	56 2.35556	63 0.92120	J5 1.56944°	7 2.456585
FNOR	MICL	1				AND	Á						39.7781	8 38.530	J9 41.3265	54 31.9828	36 31.0436	54 37.5032	3 35.9066	1 43.30	5 36.6409	37.503	23 35.906	61 55.203	26 35.9066	1 35.9066	1 41.32654
		1	10200020202020	#		AND	A		48899999999999999	.8966			2.23426	5 1.71728	36 0.55481	15 1.78236	38 1.593	9 0.16258	7 2.09747	8 1.96980	ó 1.75559	3 1.80251	12 1.9657	48 1.1584	45 2.41987	8 3.050841	9 4.032286
Fnor	M HEX	1 '		4		ARCIV	A		ANNT	A				40.7485	52 43.4015	55 34.6224	16 33.756/	6 39.7781	8 38.2765	7 45.2894	5 38.9662	-9 39.7781	18 38.276	57 56.773	33 38.2765	7 38.2765	7 43.40155
		1		Á		AN AVERT	A			A				0.5572	28 2.74374	45 3.74489	J1 4.46950	38 2.41678	4 4.50454	2 0.76651	3 1.01831	4 0.63024	44 0.4598	42 1.0112	28 3.92015	5 5.21711	6 5.874093
F HFX	MNOH	1		Å		ANN	A			Å					42.2613	35 33.1819	35 32.2776	34 38.530	9 36.9786	8 44.1979	3 37.6921	6 38.530	09 36.978	38 55.906	51 36.9786	8 36.9786	8 42.26135
		1 '	<u> Allin Hins</u> e	Å		ANN IN THE OWNER	Å			A					2.10819	37 4.2139	J1 3.97918	3 1.88882	1 3.45826	3 0.08218	5 0.30278	7 0.0387F	61 0.1194	38 0.4326	32 3.50317	7 4.79100	5 5.474888
FHFX	MICL	4														36.3908	16 35.5662	.3 41.3265	4 39.8832	7 46.6552/	/ 40.5456	.8 41.326	54 39.883	27 57.868/	74 39.8832	7 39.8832	7 44.82493
	P	4														1.39404	46 1.20/30	J8 0.6806#	+2 1.06843	.6 2.15450	. I 1.6595≻	ن 1.9403 s	J72 2.0043°	.16 1.802 <i>P</i>	88 1.62132	2.256421 4د	1 2.948641



APPENDIX I (RGR-set-length)

		IRL	FCBH	F SLH	E IMR M NOR	FNOR	F IHR MUCL		F HFX	F IMR	FICL	FNOR	Fnor	F HFX	FHFX	F AP	FIHR	E IMB	FTUN	AP	FTUN	MAP	MAP	MAP	FAN	MAP	AM
IRL	IRL(self)	x	36.574	39.6088	35.463	44.5164	46.3342	39.6088	37.7406	41,9705	41.9705	41.0421	43.1028	41.9705	44.5164	36.1404	м AP 35.3234	M AC 41.0421	39.6088	AP 46.3342	AM	41 0421	AC 39.6088	AM	AC 39.6088	AN 39.6088	AM 44.5164
			1.82937	0.04899	0.60379	0.3899	2.37637	4.463	5.52408	0.40164	4.3065	3.07141	3.58404	5.37604	3.55888	3.60445	2.958	4.19465	0.04899	2.97168	2.78017	3 56846	2.50426	0.68772	3.05338	2.56316	0.01605
F CBH	M SLH	s	x	30.4105 2.13632	24.7703 3.56553	36.574 1.35479	38.7659 1.11438	30.4105 3.61279	27.9338 5.06823	33.4285 1.49723	33.4285 3.40544	32.2552 1.83381	34.8396 2.51366	33.4285 4.74828	36.574 2.50237	25.7308 2.46239	24.5701 1.52949	32.2552 3.26304	30.4105 2.13632	38.7659 1.82592	31.2625 1.44073	32.2552 2.46627	30.4105 1.06159	51,5635 0.53106	30.4105 1.7768	30.4105 1.13831	36.574 1.80984
F SLH	м свн			x	29.0649	39.6088	41.6413	34 5 14916	31.8041	36.7242	36.7242	35.6595	38.0131	36.7242	39.6088	29.8876	28.8944	35.6595	34	41.6413	34.7641	35.6595	34	53.7587	34	34	39.6088
FIMR	MNOR	s		L	x	35.463	37.7196	29.0649	26.4626	32.2092	32.2092	30.9898	33.6715	32.2092	35.463	24.1255	22.8836	4.77339 30.9898	29.0649	37.7196	29.9552	4.05269	2.8603	50.7815	3.5 29.0649	2.92892	35.463
	мімы			l		1.09323	3.48676	6.81874	8.68752	1.18814	6.2764	4.75864	5.22384	7.67007	5.07122	6.28705	5.50172	6.24622	0.80346	4.21804	4.45199	5.41692	4.14942	1.19996	4.89775	4.2297	0.62393
					l		2.00176	4.02478	5.06417	0.01191	3.89295	2.6485	3.18135	4.96248	3.16898	3.12418	2,46662	3.77174	0.38922	2.59708	40.2666 2.34912	3.14555	2.06604	0.38571	2.61516	39.6088 2.12495	44.5164 0.37386
FIHR	MICL						x	41.6413 1.60097	39.8685 2.46749	43.8938 2.33894	43.8938 1.60931	43.0069 0.36338	44.9777 1.03181	43.8938 2.56855	46.3342 1.10087	38.3571 0.43508	37.5884 0.14653	43.0069 1.65078	41.6413 2.5151	48.0832 0.6624	42.2675 0.03829	43.0069 0.86	41.6413 0.25383	58.8897 1.69503	41.6413 0.18396	41.6413 0.20613	46.3342 2.62703
FICL	MIHR							×	31.8041	36.7242	36.7242	35.6595	38.0131	36.7242	39.6088	29.8876	28.8944	35.6595	34	41.6413	34.7641	35.6595	34	53.7587	34	34	39.6088
F HÊX	MIMR						l		x 0.99698	4.35453 34.7011	34.7011	33.5723	36.0624	1.37021 34.7011	0.4826 37.7406	1.2664	1.82503	0.15447	6.05076 31.8041	1.09602 39.8685	1.90664 32.6197	0.72804	2.23171 31.8041	3.84891 52.3975	1.64216 31.8041	1.39977 31.8041	5.17823 37.7406
	мнех			1						5.52216	0.79929	2.37529	1.55615	0.4943	1.49092	2.1689	2.99689	0.96249	7.54802	2.69424	2.87541	1.95022	2.7413	5.17964	2.60756	3.36304	3.96523
1 11011 1	MILL A									^	4.17447	2.78147	3.5055	5.456	3.69969	32.9536 2.96373	2.1654	3.9557	0.35541	43.8938 3.66678	2.96645	38.2657 3.38684	36.7242 2.24194	0.5164	36.7242 2.78054	36.7242 2.2126	41.9705 0.43957
FICL	MNOR										x	38.2657 1.42919	40.4681 0.649	39.2598 1.10924	41.9705 0.55148	32.9536 1.28579	32.0555 1.94243	38.2657 0.21877	36.7242 4.26027	43.8938 1.30655	37.4327 2.14622	38.2657 0.89607	36.7242 2.22076	55.5217 3.21736	36.7242 1.59768	36.7242 2.07032	41.9705 4.90227
FNOR	MICL		5						B		s	x	39.5044	38.2657	41.0421	31.7627	30.83	37.2451	35.6595	43.0069	36.3888	37.2451	35.6595	54.8233	35.6595	35.6595	41.0421
Fnor	M HFX										l		0.71954	40.4681	0.78874 43.1028	0.10256	0.52557	1.45139 39.5044	3.90762 38.0131	0.36626	0.45764	0.54772	0.75342	2.01208	0.14061 38.0131	0.6587	3.51499 43 1028
e uev									8			l		1.75825	0.09752	0.56182	1.45402	0.51404	4.43639	0.50088	1.26875	0.23938	1.39963	3.02417	0.74574	1.3685	3.89242
	MINOH														41.9705 1.60128	32.9536 2.89403	32.0555 3.67633	38.2657 1.66829	36.7242 5.84582	43.8938 2.29826	37.4327 2.97099	38.2657 2.15601	36.7242 3.44309	55.5217 5.06781	36.7242 2.38518	36.7242 3.31558	41.9705 5.87786
FHFX	MICL												•			36.1404 0.77925	35.3234 1.52708	41.0421 0.3345	39.6088 3.81287	46.3342 0.52357	40.2666	41.0421	39.6088 1.2785	57.4704 2.95294	39.6088 0.931	39.6088	44.5164
F AP	M IHR				an han na dalaman An									1			23.9199	31.7627	29.8876	38.3571	30.7541	31.7627	29.8876	51.2569	29.8876	29.8876	36.1404
F IHA	MAP		1												[1.07774	1.31887 30.83	4.29361 28.8944	0.19356 37.5884	0.47757	0.52646	0.97837 28 8944	2.85688	0.29361 28 8944	0.96168 28 8944	4.33463 35.3234
-									8									2.19495	3.549	0.88335	0.25046	1.36135	0.17179	2.24829	0.32463	0.05846	2.04743
r 11Vini	IVI AC																	1	35.6595 4.77339	43.0069 0.80142	36.3888 1.65461	37.2451 0.69002	35.6595 2.04621	54,8233 2,41929	35.6595 0.93421	35.6595 1.98077	41.0421 4.80778
FTUN	MIRL																			41.6413 3.25999	34.7641 3.1644	35.6595 4.05269	34 2,8603	53.7587 0.69911	34 3.5	34. 2.92892	39.6088
AP	AP						2			8							1				42.2675	43.0069	41.6413	58.8897	41.6413	41.6413	46.3342
FTUN	АМ																		l		0.60904	0.20384	0.92456	1.66696	0.40224	0.86853	2.95627
																						0.94834	0.36698	1.33513	0.25867	0.29986	2.76243
MAP	FTUN																						35.6595 1.3255	54.8233 1.95051	35.6595 0.71556	35.6595 1.26007	41.0421 3.55106
MAP	AC																				•			53.7587	34 0.63971	34 0.06863	39.6088
MAP	AM		i	internet i de la composition de la comp																		L			53.7587	53.7587	57,4704
FAN	AC																						Į		1.51448	1.1533 34	0.6753 39.6088
																										0.57108	3.03534
MAP	AN																										39.6088 2.54513
AM	АМ			-			a A																				
									10,000,000		and the second second					ange stand tage.		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000									

APPENDIX II (RGR-length)



APPENDIX III (RGRset-Width)



APPENDIX IV (RGR-Width)

							1997																				
		IRL	F CBH	F SLH	F IMR	F NOR	F IHB	FICL	F HFX	FIMR	FICL	FNOR	Fnor	F HFX	FHFX	F AP	F IHR	FIMR	FTUN	AP	FTUN	MAP	MAP	MAP	FAN	MAP	AM
	2.000	IRL(self)	M SLH	M CBH	MNOR	MIMR	MICL	MIHR	M IMA	M HFX	MNOR	MICL	M HFX	MNOR	M ICL	MIHR	MAP	MAC	MIRL	AP	AM	FTUN	AC	AM	AC	AN	AM
IRL	IRL(self)		37.68443	3 41.80712	2 38.44411	49.0232	49.0232	40.4795	7 39.9572	4 42.6692	2 41.8071 6 5 42650	2 41.8071: 7 2 06696:	2 43.7229 2 5 14085	4 42.6692	2 41.0883	2 37.912	38.4441 ⁻	1 49.0232 D 3 704031	2 40.47957	46.74179	9 41.0883	2 41.8071	2 40.4795	7 41.0883	32 39.9572	4 40.4795	7 45.04151
E CBH	ман		1.134803	31 35534	1.231097	1.000020	a. 102007 40.47957	4.60366	3 3.11992 1 98 8497/	1 1.7590	0 0.42000	1 3.00000	2 0.14900	2 0.90099. A 90 AGEG	4.04096 1 30 3003	3 25 0362	1 26 7071/	9 2.79493: 4 40 4795:	0.00708 7 29.5621	0.330940 1.37 68443	0 1.40407 3 30 3003	0 4.00907 3 31 3553	2 0.02 100 4 00 560	5 0.43194 1 90 9009	12 4.47 1921 13 08 8407	2 20 562	13.578974
				0.878638	30.170807	0.16428	2.797707	5.13128	8 2.83887	1 0.99448	4 5.87140	8 2.72521	3 5.38567	2 6.54390	1 4.86742	7 2.48961	1 1.258862	2 2.32833	5 0.505151	3.25466	3 0.57329	8 4.42189	4 6.52524	7 5.93684	6 4.71242	7 5.03262	63.331186
F SLH	М СВН	-			32.26438	\$ 44.34315	44.34315	34.6646	4 34.05322	2 37.1981	6 36.2060	3 36.2060	3 38.402	3 37.1981	6 35.373	6 31.62919	9 32.26438	8 44.3431	5 34.66464	41.80712	2 35.373	6 36.2060	3 34.6646	4 35.373	36 34.0532	2 34.6646	4 39.8971
	NNOD.				0.99527	0.771258	3.175237	5.17073	5 3.213522	2 1.60939	9 5.84571	1 3.12102	7 5.46712	1 6.45730	8 4.96055	6 2.912532	2 1.895917	7 2.74670	3 0.363964 2 00 50456	13.592689	9 1.27136	4 4.59039	5 6.35950	7 5.87932	21 4.80040	5 5.08659	63.659055
FINH	MINOH					0.0507	2.63885	4,82004	9 29.8284. 5 2.592124	4 0.83163	9 32.2643 5 5.56459	8 32.2043 5 2.50704	4 5.12340	5 6.2350	6 4.57621	9 27.0281. 9 2.22025:	3 27,76676	7 2.17754	3 30.52455 3 0.638669	38.444 i 3.071686	1 31.3273 5 0.41053	9 32.2643	8 30.5245	9 31.3273 9 5.6136	69 29.8284 65 4.40376	3 30.5245 7 4.72449	936.35784 43.132033
FNOR	MIMB				•		51.20306	43.0938	8 42.60352	2 45.1568	6 44.3431	5 44.3431	5 46.1538	2 45.15686	6 43.6661	2 40.6920	7 41.18773	3 51.2030	6 43.0938	49.0232	2 43.6661	2 44.3431	5 43.093	3 43.6661	2 42.6035	2 43.093	8 47.40482
					ſ	L	2.081907	3.36572	2 1.765834	4 0.56838	9 4.00174	5 1,7770	5 3.80791	9 4.561870	8 3.23529	4 1.423399	9 0.65482	1 1.71083	5 0.500845	5 2.366227	7 0.24670	7 2.97678	4 4.32196	3 3,97957	8 3.03423	3 3.29803	82.358108
F IHR	MICL))	43.093	8 42.60352 6 0 736300	2 45.1568	6 44.3431	5 44.3431: 5 0 62692:	5 46.1538 9 1 55942	2 45.1568	6 43.6661: 9 0 76782	2 40.6920	7 41.18770	3 51.2030 8 0 46130	6 43.0938 2 2 503431	3 49.0232	2 43.6661	2 44.3431	5 43.093	8 43.6661 9 1 65878	2 42.6035	2 43.093	847.40482
F ICL	мінв					į		0.00204	32,4096	5 35.6996	3 34.6646	4 34.6646	4 36.9526	3 35.6996	3 33.7942	6 29.8524	1 30.52459	9 43.093	8 33.05143	3 40,47957	7 33.7942	6 34,6646	4 33.0514	3 33.7942	26 32,409	5 0.88555 5 33.0514	338,50376
									2.15401	9 3.34387	2 0.90780	6 1.85552	6 0.88586	9 1.758510	6 0.10199	4 2.440379	3.49382	1 1.92418	9 5.458714	10.673918	8 4.06242	4 0.32217	9 1.21938	9 0.82882	9 0.47720	9 0.08630	7 1.026114
F HFX	M IMR									35.1062	4 34.0532	2 34.0532	2 36.3796	8 35.10624	4 33.166	8 29.1401	9 29.82843	3 42.6035	2 32.4096	39.95724	4 33.166	8 34.0532	2 32.409	6 33.166	8 31.7547	9 32.409	637.95423
FIME	мнех									1.41183	1 37.1981	6 37,1981	5 2.95 (82 5 39.3390	8 38 1645	3 1.93937 1 36 3884:	5 0.47580. 3 32 7602:	33337389	9 0.3729 9 45.156Bi	4 3.322350 6 35.69963	42.66922	2 36 3884	4 1.75161 3 37 1981	8 2.77845 6 35 6996	3 36,3884	13 1.58688 13 35 1062	3 2.06402 4 35 6996	51.102155 340 79958
					****						4.08039	9 1.42838	6 4.03469	8 4.8479	1 3.10784	3 0.86709	5 0.033146	6 1.62279	9 1.29849	2.757409	9 0.44627	5 2.35475	5 4.49817	9 3.47102	28 2.84712	9 3.13075	52.412323
FICL	MNOR											36.2060	3 38.402	3 37.19816	6 35.373	6 31.62919	9 32.26438	8 44.3431	5 34.66464	41.80712	2 35.373	6 36.2060	3 34.6646	4 35.373	6 34.0532	2 34.6646	4 39.8971
	MICE											2.72468	4 0.04426 7 38 403	3 37 10014	5 0.94200 8 25 27 20	6 3.213300 e 31 e2010	3 4.04534:	5 2.415442 2 44 34311	2 5.626607 5 34 66464	1.942825	5 5.16598	4 1.02496	1 0.25386	1 0.08795	58 1.36205 6 34 0533	5 0.97566 3 34 6646	61.894273 4 30 8071
FINUE	MICE												2.52458	9 3.419524	4 1.76608	3 0.59024	1 1.4652	2 0.278224	4 3.173756	6 1.176129	9 2.10843	3 1.19973	3 34.0040 4 3.09970	1 2.27168	38 1.42674	9 1.74901	4 39.8971 80.951567
Fnor	MIHFX													39.33908	8 37.61849	9 34.12134	4 34,71095	5 46.15382	2 36.95263	3 43.72294	4 37.6184	9 38.402	3 36.9526	3 37.6184	9 36.3796	36.9526	341.90034
# 1.1#\$/														0.768956	5 0.87641:	3 3.132208	3 4.360304	4 2.583428	3 5.783283	3 1.721359	9 4.75288	9 1.26040	9 0.227	5 0.05350	08 1.06307	5 0.89384	2 1.665637
F HFX	MNOH														1.77878	3 32.76023 7 4.520083	3 33.37389 3 5.46484	4 3.54768.	35.69963 3 5.03984	3 42.66922 1 1.993053	2 36.3884 3 4.32331	3 37.1981 4 2.07285	6 35.6996 1 0.55322	3 36.3884 7 0.90271	3 35.1062 34 1.7982	4 35.6996 7 1.75536	3 40.79958 6 2.532767
FHFX	MICL					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			CROSCIENCIES CONTRACTOR		BR .				1	30.6728	3 31.32739	9 43.66612	2 33.79426	41.08832	2 34.521	1 35.373	6 33.7942	3 34.521	1 33.166	33.7942	639.14324
						1								AUTOVERSIAND	<u> </u>	2.71744	6 3.648633	3 1.229162	2 3.729575	0.747841	3.17608	5 0.22567	8 1.09464	9 0.94145	3 0.34771	5 0.02468	8 0.833588
FAP	MIHR																27.02813	3 40.69203	7 29.85241	37.9126	30.672	8 31.6291	9 29.8524	1 30.672	8 29.1401	9 29.8524	1 35.79536
														22020303030303030	8		1.140121	1 0.72935	2.003245	1.031917	1.24360	6 Z.41513	54.05729	43,06280	78 2.2557.	7 Z.82067	51.804366



APPENDIX V (Blade Length)



APPENDIX VI (Blade Wdth)

a paint the second s

RESTRICTION FRAGMENT DATA MATRIX (PRESENCE=1, ABSENCE=0) FOR THE ITS AND RUBISCO SPACERS OF 6 ALARIA ESCULENTA ISOLATES AND 5 OTHER ALARIA SPECIES. FOR ABBREVERATIONS SEE TABLE 1.

			rDì	NA spa		Rubisco spacer							
	RSAI	CF01	PST	BGL	SMA	DRA	HIND	ХВА	RSA	DRA	HIND	ХВА	
IRL	0-1-0-0-1-1	t-1-t-1-0-0	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	1	
SCL	0-1-0-0-1-1	1-1-1-1-0-0	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	l	
NOR	0-1-0-0-1-1	NA	1	0	0	0-0	0	0	1-0-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	1	
ICL	0-1-0-0-1-1	1-1-1-1-0-0	l	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	ĩ	
HFX	0-1-0-0-1-1	1-1-1-1-0-0	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	I	
FRC	0-1-0-0-1-1	1-1-1-1-0-0	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	1	
AM	0-1-0-0-1-1	NA	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	1	
AN	0-1-1-1-1-1	1-1-0-1-1-0	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	1	
AC	0-1-0-0-1-1	NA	0	0	0	0-0	0	1	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	1	
AP	0-1-0-0-1-1	1-1-0-1-1-0	0	0	0	1-1	0	0	0-1-0-0-0	1-0-1	0	L	
UND	1-0-0-0-1-0	0-1-1-1-1-1	0	0	0	0-0	0	0	0-0-1-1-1	0-1-1	0	t	

APPENDIX VII