Georgia State University

ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University

Communication Theses   Department of Communication

7-17-2009

Hurricane Katrina and the Third World: A Cluster Analysis of the "Third World" Label in the Mass Media Coverage of Hurricane Katrina

Paul E. Mabrey III

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/communication_theses

HURRICANE KATRINA AND THE THIRD WORLD: A CLUSTER ANALYSIS OF THE

"THIRD WORLD" LABEL IN THE MASS MEDIA COVERAGE OF HURRICANE

KATRINA

by

PAUL E. MABREY III

Under the Direction of Dr. Carol Winkler

ABSTRACT

Hurricane Katrina devastated New Orleans, the Gulf Coast and the United States in August of 2005. While an emerging literature base details the consequences and lessons learned from Hurricane Katrina, a critical missing piece for understanding Hurricane Katrina American landfall is a rhetorical perspective. I argue a rhetorical perspective can significantly contribute to a better understanding of Hurricane Katrina's implications for creating policy, community and identity. As a case study, I employ Kenneth Burke's cluster analysis to examine the use of the label "Third World" to describe New Orleans, the Gulf Coast and the United States in the mass media coverage of Hurricane Katrina.

INDEX WORDS: Rhetoric, Hurricane Katrina, Third World, Cluster analysis, Development discourse, Kenneth Burke, Disassociation, Chaim Perelman and Lucie Olbrechts-Tyteca

HURRICANE KATRINA AND THE THIRD WORLD: A CLUSTER ANALYSIS OF THE

"THIRD WORLD" LABEL IN THE MASS MEDIA COVERAGE OF HURRICANE

KATRINA

by

Paul E. Mabrey III

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Arts

in the College of Arts and Sciences

Georgia State University

2009

HURRICANE KATRINA AND THE THIRD WORLD: A CLUSTER ANALYSIS OF THE

"THIRD WORLD" LABEL IN THE MASS MEDIA COVERAGE OF HURRICANE

KATRINA

by

PAUL E. MABREY III

Committee Chair: Dr. Carol Winkler

Committee: Dr. David Cheshier
Dr. Mary Stuckey

Electronic Version Approved:

Office of Graduate Studies
College of Arts and Sciences
Georgia State University
August 2009

DEDICATION

Thank you to my family and friends, I would not be here or who I am without all of the love, support and encouragement you have provided throughout the many, many years. I would especially like to say thank you to my parents. You have and continue to love and support me in all of my endeavors. Erika, darling I could not have completed this without you. Thank you for accompanying me on this journey, I dedicate this work to you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1.

INTRODUCTION

The only way for us to ever acquire an understanding of Katrina, then, is to come at it from many different vantage points-to chip away at it, to probe this detail and then that one, to try this way of approaching it and then that one, until all those fragments of information and insight begin to form a picture, like the tens of thousands of tesserae that together make up a mosaic.

Kai Erikson[1]

Hurricane Katrina's one-hundred plus miles per hour winds and torrential rainfall made landfall the morning of August 29, 2005 on the Louisiana coast. Katrina was one of the most devastating F5 hurricanes in United States history. The National Hurricane Center claims Hurricane Katrina was the "deadliest hurricane to strike the United States since the Palm Beach-Lake Okeechobee hurricane of September 1928."[2] The Louisiana Department of Death and Hospitals reports "1,464 deceased victims of Hurricane Katrina from Louisiana."[3] Many of the estimated one million survivors still living in the high impact areas of Katrina were forced to relocate.[4] David Brunsma notes that Katrina "created the largest internal U.S. diaspora of displaced people as the result of a natural disaster in American history."[5] Regional cities like Atlanta, Georgia and Houston, Texas became the temporary homes for many evacuees. Some of those who relocated have never returned to New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, while others have seemingly remained committed to rebuilding their homesteads.

Katrina's consequences, however, extended beyond its direct material effects. The public discourse was another critical aspect of Hurricane Katrina's landfall. The importance and value of communication during Hurricane Katrina has emerged as a central theme in the growing body of related critical scholarship connected to the natural disaster. Some scholars have claimed Katrina revealed the lack of a communication infrastructure and readiness plan for responding to

natural disasters.[6] Gina L. Genova, for example, argues that the only way to respond across a large and potentially anarchic space is to have a communication plan capable of creating and maintaining order.[7] After she examined the internal and external communication within one nonprofit organization that served disasters, she concludes, "To operate successfully across such a vast territory, crossing national boundaries, and interfacing with a variety of organizations and social structures, agencies such as Direct Relief must develop an effective communication plan."[8] Genova's research demonstrated the need for a well prepared communication plan. The media criticized the local, state, and national governments for their lack of a communication readiness. Fred Knight muses that the nation should adopt the Boy Scouts of America motto and "be prepared."[9] Frank Durham pointedly criticized the lack of communication between President Bush and various media press outlets. He claimed that the gap between the President and mass media poses served as a threat to democracy as an institution.[10]

Beyond highlighting the need for an effective communication infrastructure, scholars have increasingly focused on the central role of the mass media during Hurricane Katrina. Russell R. Dynes and Havidán Rodríguez argue that, "Katrina was the first hurricane to hit the United States to the accompaniment of continuous (24/7) television coverage."[11] By telling stories that disseminated throughout the American public, journalists covering Katrina actively influenced how the hurricane was mediated to the world. Twenty-four hour media coverage, seven days a week made the communication about Hurricane Katrina very important to how the public understood and reacted to Hurricane Katrina.

Scholars have long understood that the mass media plays an important role in American politics and culture. Doris Graber points out that the role of distributing information is nothing new: "Not only are the media the chief source of most Americans' views of the world, but they

also provide the fastest way to disperse information throughout the entire society."[12] Americans rely on the mainstream mass media to know what is happening in their states, country and around the world. We read the newspaper in the morning. We check our email, voice messages and online news at work. We even actively seek out news on our portable electronic devices. Even if one is not actively seeking the news, Americans are bombarded with news information at home, in the office and in the salon/barbershop. One even encounters mainstream media news when walking outside or commuting to work. Individuals turn to mass media information especially in times of crisis because of its ubiquity and constant updating.

Hurricane Katrina demonstrates the important role the media plays in disseminating news information throughout society. Mainstream news reporting was the only vehicle for most of Americans to learn about the pending crisis. In some instances, media outlets, rather than the government, were responsible for disseminating information on assistance, aid, and awareness. Thevenot argues that the media are largely responsible for the publics' knowledge of the events occurring on the Gulf Coast.[13] The importance of accuracy in reporting consequently cannot be understated. Dynes and Rodríguez maintain the importance of accuracy, when they argue, "[T]his portrayal of disasters and their aftermath result in both decision makers and the general public (those impacted by the disaster agent and not) reaching incorrect conclusions about the event thus impacting the decision-making process."[14] The stakes were high for the media because they were only way that anyone received information about Katrina's landfall. The effects of Hurricane Katrina reminded the American public of the significance of the mass media lens when reporting news information.

Besides simply serving as a source of news, the mass media provide a contextual framework for understanding what they cover.[15] The media provide models for public attitude, behavior and orientation, as Graber notes,

> The impact of news stories on political leaders and on the average citizen's views about the merits of public policies and the performance of public officials demonstrates how mass media, in combination with other political factors, can influence, American politics. News stories take millions of Americans, in all walks of life, to the battlefields of the world. They give them ringside seats for space shuttle launches or basketball championships. They provide the nation with shared political experiences, such as watching presidential inaugurations or congressional investigations, that then undergird public opinions and unite people to decide when political action is required. Print, audio, and audiovisual media often serve as attitude and behavior models.[16]

The media function as more than a model. The media can drive individuals and whole populations toward certain beliefs and motivate the very same group against other forms of action. The choice to display certain images, headlines or labels has consequences for those already impacted by Hurricane Katrina. Carol Winkler contends that, "The process of labeling is not neutral. Each use of a term is a choice (whether conscious or unconscious) that emphasizes certain aspects of what is being described, while de-emphasizing others…By happenstance or by design, labeling necessarily entails perspective taking."[17] Despite claims or attempts at objectivity, media use of labels inevitably, as Winkler demonstrates, involve privileging one perspective at the expense of another. But labeling is not confined to perspective taking or emphasis. Power relationships, authority, and social order are at stake in the process of labeling. Geof Wood argues,

Thus the validity of labels becomes not a matter of substantive objectivity but of the ability to use labels effectively in action as designations which define parameters for thought and behaviour, which render environments stable, and which establish spheres of competence and areas of responsibility. In this way labelling through these sorts of designations is part of the process of creating social structure.[18]

The mainstream mass media, through the use of labels, participates in the creation of social order. These rhetorical constructions help render certain ways of thinking and behaving as acceptable or unacceptable. Mass media communication provided the groundwork for the nation to talk about and respond to Hurricane Katrina and its consequences.

This study will examine one particular label associated with Katrina coverage: "Third World." The mass media repeatedly employed the label "Third World" to communicate the immediate effects of Hurricane Katrina. Kristin Gazlay, the Associated Press Deputy Managing Editor for National News, refers to the aftermath of Katrina as "Third World devastation in a First World country."[19] The *Times Picayune*, a regional paper, reports that "Americans watched Third World scenes play out in a beloved American city."[20] Evoking the imagery and memory of the Third World was a constant theme used to report the various effects and consequences of Hurricane Katrina. Dr. Dwayne A. Thomas, chief executive officer for two of the hospitals in New Orleans, witnessed dead bodies, rising water and overflowing sewage. He describes his first hand experience as being "as close as I've gotten to the third world."[21] Given the prevalence of the "Third World" label in the media's coverage of Katrina to describe what many considered the First World, this study will examine the uses, motives and implications for employing the label.

The need for close examination of the media's use of the "Third World" label is multi-faceted. Peter Worsley argues that because Third World is "used in so many different ways that

we no longer assume that we all know what is meant."[22] The label has been used to demarcate

nation-state alliances along ideological, geo-political and economic lines and for both domination

and resistance.[23] Heloise Weber claims that "the political utility of the Third World can be seen

to have had a disciplinary function in that it legitimated inequality within through stories about

the external Other."[24] Throughout the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina, the "Third World"

label evoked certain values, judgments and beliefs.

 The mass media's use of the label "Third World" suggests provocative questions about

rhetoric and identity. Labeling the region Third World conveyed more than just the devastation

wrought; it rhetorically lifts the Gulf Coast right out of the United States. The U.S. is typically

not referred to as a Third World country. Instead, it historically belongs to a group of nations of

the so-called First World. Third World is associated with poverty, chaos and in need of

development; it is generally aligned with "Africa, Asia and the southern Americas."[25] Referring

to part of the typically First World United States as a Third World country reveals paradoxical

tensions. For example, Cynthia Young highlights the danger "that conflating people in the First

World with those in the Third World borrows the latter's legitimacy while maintaining the

spotlight firmly on the First World."[26] Exploring the potential for empathy and resistance in

identifying with the Third World is just one avenue for thinking about the tensions between

labeling part of a so-called First World the Third World.

 To better understand how the media utilized the "Third World" label, the remainder of

this chapter will explore current understandings of the rhetorical context surrounding Katrina

coverage. Afterwards, it will justify the use of a rhetorical analysis, cluster analysis in particular,

to further explore how the media employed the "Third World" label. Finally, this chapter will

outline how the study's analysis is organized into chapters related to the impacted inhabitants, the governments involved and the spaces affected by Katrina.

Katrina and the Labeling Process

Some scholars have focused on how images have constructed the events throughout the landfall and aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. The images of America's poor and black played a dominant role in the coverage of Hurricane Katrina's consequences. Faux and Kim draw attention to the visual images, arguing that visual images uniquely "have multilayered meanings."[27] After examining the photographs used in the *New York Times*, Faux and Kim revealed the production of racial images for mass consumption. Hillary Potter argues that "the concerns of racial identity, race relations and racial implications related to the storm were heavily influenced by the images fed to the general public of the ravage of New Orleans and its immediate surrounding areas."[28] The images and labels utilized by the mass media shaped how the American public interpreted and responded to Hurricane Katrina's effects.

The people of New Orleans attracted most of the coverage because of the severity of their situation, New Orleans' geographical importance and America's familiarity with the city. New Orleans is a major city of the Gulf Coast with rich culture, history and tradition. African-American and especially poor African-American citizens felt the brunt of Katrina's deadly consequences. A majority of the Louisiana deaths took place in New Orleans. New Orleans before Hurricane Katrina "was a city of almost 500,000 people, two-thirds of whom were African-American (black)."[29] Over 140,000 people in New Orleans were living in poverty and "84 percent were black."[30] Ashley Doane went as far to say that Hurricane Katrina "was perhaps the most concentrated assault on color-blindness since the 1992 Rodney King verdict and the Los

Angeles riots."[31] Images on television and in the newspapers portrayed a mostly black population

stranded and in desperate need of help.

> Some scholars have focused on stereotypes of African-Americans and crime when
>
> depicting the effects of Katrina. Kevin Cullen argues that the media used biased and
>
> exaggerated descriptions in their coverage. He says that "Katrina unveiled the news
>
> media's bias against poor people, especially poor black people."[32] Two photos in
>
> particular became emblematic of the racial tone that characterized media coverage of
>
> Hurricane Katrina: In each photo people were shown with bags and soda; the one of a
>
> black person referred to "looting" and the one of a white person referred to "finding"
>
> bread and soda (Dyson, 2006, p. 164). Those who were "looting" and were labeled as
>
> "thugs were black, and those, like the doctors who were said to have "commandeered"
>
> drugs, were white.[33]

With such racial bias present in mainstream media coverage, the emergence of an overwhelming

negative reaction should have been no surprise. Hip hop artist and activist Kanye West was

quoted saying "George Bush doesn't care about black people."[34] West's criticism reflected the

belief that the racial demographics of the Gulf Coast deterred a quick and efficient government

response.

Other scholars argue that a delayed governmental response resulted from media

characterizations of a chaotic Gulf Coast. Stillman and Villmoare claim that describing New

Orleans and other places as torn apart by anarchy and violence changed the type of response they

received. While some thought that survivors should have been greeted with aid and sympathy,

Stillman and Villmoare explain that Americans faced armed soldiers patrolling the streets of

New Orleans:

This frame of reference and the law-and-order rhetoric associated with it played out the myth that individuals are to be held fully accountable for their actions and that African Americans and whites are to be held accountable in different ways. Looters were to be policed and incarcerated because the first priority of government was seen as order; one of the first governmental institutions re-established after the storm was a temporary jail at the bus station.[35]

The rhetorical process identified here is important. A label named an individual (guilty or not) as a looter, pre-determined the looter as associated with crime and chaos and, in this instance, resulted in a violent military/police style response to what might have otherwise been understood as a humanitarian situation. While the exact nature of the looter label's communicative consequences needs further study, the media descriptions may have contributed toward the additional real consequences of Hurricane Katrina.

The refugee label was one label in the media coverage of Katrina that did receive tremendous attention. As soon as September 3, less than a week after Katrina's initial landfall, newspapers started picking-up reaction over the labeling of Katrina's survivors as refugees. Frederick L. Daniels Jr., a concerned member of the public, was quoted in a Don O'Briant piece in the *Atlanta Journal Constitution*. O'Briant reports,

Your use of the term 'refugee' is incorrect and is a direct insult to the people who have suffered through the worst disaster in this nation's history," said Frederick L. Daniels Jr., a senior vice president for Citizens Trust Bank. "Is it the fact that most of those evacuated from New Orleans and the Gulf region are people of color who are poor, disfranchised and represent a Third World country quality of life that contributes to the flippant attitude regarding the use of 'refugee'?... A better word would be 'evacuee.'[36]

Daniel's response to the *Atlanta Journal Constitution* made evident that the use of refugee may be offensive to the mostly American survivors. His commentary suggested that the label "refugee" had real implications for the people who suffered. This common objection was often accompanied by a discussion of race and class bigotry because, as many observers noted, the refugee label was only used because the majority of survivors were poor and black.[37]

Critical commentary on race and class existed but questions continued about the quality and productiveness of this discussion. Ashley Doane goes as far as to say that, "while the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina may have seemed to set a new direction for racial dialogue, what transpired is ultimately best understood within the "same old tune" of existing racial ideologies."[38] Doane argues that while race is acknowledged to exist in mainstream society, race is portrayed as not mattering for most of America. Color-blindness, according to Doane, is the prevailing race(ist) ideology.[39] The discussion after Katrina, for Doane, was largely an attempt to demonstrate how race was not an issue in the response to or coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Perhaps what is needed, she argues, is another vantage point from which to interpret and understand the effects of Katrina. A different perspective on the conversations following Hurricane Katrina could help advance the current discussion on race, class and communication.

Analyzing the use and implications of the label "Third World" surrounding Hurricane Katrina can extend the discussions of race and class after Katrina. Young argues that, "the very use of the term *Third World* brings with it (among other things) a history shaped by racism, imperialism, colonialism, and a ruthless capital-accumulation drive that depends on a self/other logic ultimately about the self rather than the other."[40] While Daniels understood that the refugee label was about race, class and a quality of life, examining the label "Third World" helps paint a larger picture of inequality and asymmetrical power relationships. Arturo Escobar claims, "the

Third World is the realm *par excellence* of all forms of power in today's world (from the most

brutal forms of torture to sophisticated power techniques)."[41] The consequences of Hurricane

Katrina are not just about a history of race or class bigotry in the United States. Rethinking the

race and class talking points after Katrina around the label "Third World" revealed an ideology

that rhetorically divides, symbolically unites and privileges certain realities over others.

A Different Approach

Roger Smitter, National Communication Association Executive Director, offered the

Communication discipline as a necessary corrective to help understand the events of Hurricane

Katrina. For Smitter, "human communication isn't just a detail in a story, it IS the story."[42] And

with such a pronouncement, Smitter offers five questions to suggest what the communication

discipline can contribute to post-hurricane Katrina coverage:

> Why were evacuation messages ineffective in moving some Gulf coast residents to flee
>
> the storm? How is it that organizations devoted to providing rescue and aid in disasters
>
> can be become dysfunctional in their collaboration? What rhetorical strategies enabled
>
> some speakers to emerge as leaders in the crisis? What long-term impact will the
>
> televised images of Katrina's impact have on the public trust in government? What forms
>
> of technology (regardless of their technical efficiency) are best suited to deliver the
>
> messages needed in a crisis?[43]

Although Smitter's questions hint at some directions for communication studies, he does not

specify methodologies for scholars to engage the discourse circulating through Katrina's landfall.

Extending Smitter's emphasis on communication, Marcia Alesan Dawkins understood

and engaged the importance of a rhetorical perspective in her article "A Rhetorical Response to

Hurricane Katrina."[44] She began by invoking Kenneth Burke. She says "When I read that 'the

description of a terrific storm is Symbolically charged' I felt a shock, as through Kenneth Burke (1968) had been reading the newspaper over my shoulder (p. 165)."[45] Dawkins' shock was inspired by the representations, rhetoric, and symbols used to describe and interpret Hurricane Katrina's devastating path across the Southeastern United States. She concludes "these symbols point to existent patterns behind chaotic scenes."[46] For Dawkins, rhetorical analysis was able to investigate and interrogate the relationships between symbols and the symbolic environments they gesture towards. Because "symbols are tools of social construction and reconstruction," rhetorical theory and criticism were indispensable tools for gaining insights into the tensions and contradictions defining Hurricane Katrina's wake.[47]

Dawkins understanding of rhetoric explains the significance of why the "Third World" label must be confronted from within a rhetorical perspective. She says,

> Rhetorical theory allows us to go about an exploration of the dialectical tensions that arise among conflicting rhetors and audiences as they argue from their own linguistic and symbolic systems. It can reveal the very real costs of resisting or identifying with competing notions of universality and community as they are used to argue for humanity. We can learn to reconsider the effects of submerged particularities within unifying terms which have been historically deployed in national and international rhetorics of reconstruction.[48]

Rhetorical inquiry is uniquely suited to analyze the communicative practices used while reporting on and about Katrina. Rhetoric's attention to how language and images can constitute or influence an individual (or collective) response is crucial to understanding the significance of the events after Katrina's landfall. A rhetorical perspective of the media's use of the "Third World" label enables a better understanding of the tensions arising from the effects of Hurricane Katrina

and the real consequences of identifying with certain understandings of belonging. Given the larger context of chaos and uncertainty surrounding Hurricane Katrina, rhetorical analysis can best examine the label "Third World" because rhetorical perspectives study "the strategic use of communication to achieve effects under conditions of uncertainty. Its focus is on how people influence one another through the use of symbols and it offers accounts of knowledge and action amidst contingent conditions."[49] A rhetorical analysis of the "Third World" label would help to gain a different perspective on the events of Hurricane Katrina, provide an example of the methods and analysis that rhetoric offers the post-Katrina discussion and shed some light on how we can better prepare for and respond to future crisis events.

Method

This study examines the rhetoric embedded throughout the mass media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. I selected newspapers as the primary medium to represent the media coverage. Images associated with Hurricane Katrina have already been examined to some extent within the literature. I chose newspapers for textual analysis because words and text evoke responses similar to that of images while maintaining a fidelity to the intellectual history and use of the "Third World" label. I analyze fifty newspapers to determine how Hurricane Katrina was interpreted, relayed and articulated. The newspapers are a mixture of local, regional, national and international papers accessible via the electronic database *Lexis-Nexis*. I chose these because, according to *Lexis-Nexis*, the "United States newspapers must be listed in the top 50 circulation in Editor & Publisher Year Book. Newspapers published outside the United States must be in English language and listed as a national newspaper in Benn's World Media Directory or one of the top 5% in circulation for the country.[50] They range from *The New York Times, USA Today* and *Atlanta Journal Constitution* to *The Daily Telegraph, The Toronto Star*, and *News Strait*

Times (Malaysia). This sample provides a broad basis of the public dialogue happening about Hurricane Katrina. Within that database of newspapers, I searched for and selected all articles, letters, and editorials which contained the phrases "Hurricane Katrina" and "Third World."

I analyze the articles ranging from the days of Katrina's landfall on August 25, 2005 through January 3, 2006. I focus on the dates immediately following Hurricane Katrina because I want to capture how the mainstream mass media was communicating when the American public's desires, energies and passions were peaked. [51] I stopped just after the New Year because there was renewed interest surrounding the holiday season. The New Year was a chance for newspapers to recount the year's biggest stories and make predictions for the coming year. This New Year coverage was an early marker of how Hurricane Katrina would be historically remembered.

I use Kenneth Burke's cluster analysis to analyze the 373 articles that met the selection criteria. Burke's cluster analysis is one of many methodological tools located within his substantial body of work in language theory. This particular method of Burke's "offers an objective way of determining relationships between a speaker's main concerns, as well as a new perspective to rhetorical critics who desire to discover more about the motives and characters of speakers."[52] Burke describes how his approach to clusters accesses the often unconscious motives of an individual:

> Now, the work of every writer contains a set of implicit equations. He uses "associational clusters." And you may, by examining his work, find "what goes with what" in these clusters – what kinds of acts and images and personalities and situations go with his notions of heroism, villainy, consolation, despair, etc. And though he be perfectly conscious of the act of writing, conscious of selecting a certain kind of imagery to

reinforce a certain kind of mood, etc., he cannot possibly be conscious of the

interrelationships among all these equations. Afterwards, by inspecting his work

"statistically," we or he may disclose by objective citation the structure of motivation

operating here. There is no need to "supply" motives. The interrelationships themselves

are his motives. For they are his *situation*; and *situation* is but another word for *motives*.

The motivation out of which he writes is synonymous with the structural way in which he

puts events and values together when he writes; and however consciously he may go

about such work, there is a kind of generalization about these interrelations that he could

not have been conscious of, since the generalization could be made by the kind of

inspection that is possibly only *after the completion* of the work."[53] [*sic*]

For Burke, clusters unconsciously map the values that motivate or drive a speaker to associate

one image or word with another. The clusters may consist of words, symbols, labels or other

rhetorical devices. A critic may piece the individual images together in clusters to reveal a

motivating or ideological force. I will identify the words, phrases and associations that

accompany the "Third World" label throughout the media coverage. I will analyze a narrow

context surrounding the "Third World," taking into consideration immediately adjacent context

but setting aside the larger place the label plays in the individual article. Focusing on the more

narrow context moves the emphasis away from the motives of an individual author or rhetor to

potential socio-symbolic motivations throughout multiple texts.

Although many rhetorical scholars have followed Burke in analyzing motivations and

associations in an individual speakers' single text, cluster analysis is also applicable to analyses

that involve multiple texts. [54] Motives and associations can still exist even if not contained within

one single text. If there is a phenomenon or ideology that extends beyond the individual, it would

be necessary to examine associations and relationships outside of the individual in a larger

context. For example, Heinz and Lee employ a methodological approach similar to this study's

cluster analysis. They draw from a large sample of government, industry, and public texts related

to meat consumption. They narrow these texts according to their symbolic richness. They

acknowledge that "Although our selection process cannot justify broadly generalizable claims,

we have gathered texts which reflect at least part of the contemporary reality of meat

consumption."[55] Heinz and Lee's study demonstrates the ability to broaden the scope of a

rhetorical text for cluster analysis from an individual's speech to a larger reconstructed and

fragmentary text.

Cluster analysis is useful in exploring what contribution rhetorical theory can make to the

conversation about Hurricane Katrina. Analyzing clusters of terms is uniquely suited to

investigating tension, motive and identification in the post-Katrina rhetoric. While Burke does

not outline a specific method for cluster analysis, many in the field of Communication Studies

contend that one needs to identify the key terms, determine the clustered relationships around the

key terms and finally perform an agon analysis with the key terms.[56] Cluster analysis operates by

figuring out what the dominant terms are, what terms go with what and which terms oppose

what. Thomas Enos explains how such a process contributes to understanding:

> But there is an added dimension to what we usually think of as rhetorical analysis in that
>
> all elements are interconnected by the key word in Burke's system, *identification*, where
>
> the ritualistic hierarchy he calls 'tragic rhythm of action' ends in some kind of
>
> identification. Reconstructing means searching for identifiable cues to the writer's
>
> motives. In the process of identifying a variety of clusters by reconstructing' them, the
>
> reader achieves textual identification.[57]

By identifying clusters of terms one may be able to identify the motives driving the particular clusters or texts constituted by the clusters. Heinz and Lee argue that "By uncovering associational clusters, critics can reveal the predominance of certain cultural values. Values indicate a society's understanding of particular objects or sentiments as desirable or necessary."[58] This study, through cluster analysis, can map out the symbolic realities created and constructed in the articulation of Third World.

I will divide the key terms and clusters in this analysis into three theme related categories. The first group of relational clusters is used to represent the place, space or scene of New Orleans in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. The second group of relational clusters is meant to represent descriptions of how the local, state and federal government responded to the events of Hurricane Katrina. The last and final group of clusters concerns those used to describe the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast.

<u>Chapter Outline</u>

Chapter two will identify and arrange the cluster associations used to describe the place of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast before and after Hurricane Katrina. These clusters will be analyzed in the context of how Third World places are described. I rely upon the threads from the development literature that emphasize space and place to provide a framework for analyzing these clusters. This analysis will ask what are the motivations and implications for employing the "Third World" label to describe a place within a typically identified First World country?

Chapter three will identify and organize the clusters according to the descriptions of the local, state and federal government actors during Hurricane Katrina. These clusters are placed aside how Third World governments are described and characterized to examine potential motives for labeling a government Third World. I will draw upon a variety of disciplines within

the development literature to understand these institutional clusters. This chapter will analyze the motivations and implications for using the label to describe government institutions.

Chapter four will identify and cluster the descriptions of the inhabitants of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast as Hurricane Katrina made landfall. This cluster analysis will examine the relationships of the clusters around the people of New Orleans to those characteristics which define Third World populations. I use the development literature base to provide a context for interpreting the clusters of Third World peoples. This chapter will focus on what a Third World identity means and how it functions in the context of Katrina.

Chapter five will offer concluding and reflective comments on this rhetorical cluster analysis. I will summarize the general conclusions drawn from labeling the place, government institutions and people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast as Third World in the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. I will also describe possible contributions this analysis makes to understanding Hurricane Katrina from a communication perspective and to the Communication discipline. This study will conclude with its own limitations and recommendations for future research.

CHAPTER 2.

HURRICANE KATRINA AND THE PLACE OF THE THIRD WORLD

In hardly any field of study are words straightforward technical terms capable of uncomplicated, unambiguous definitions. This fact is particularly true of the study of the Third World, both because it is a relatively new field of study and because it is a field into which numerous ideological, political and social conflicts enter. The vocabulary of Third World studies is therefore more liable than most to be imbued with problems. Words may express a particular experience or attitude or cultural norm or viewpoint; or they may involve a prejudgment of the questions to which they correspond.

Kofi Buenor Hadjor[59]

Even though the label "Third World" has a short history, its meaning is not without

ambiguity and richness. The various interpretative meanings of the "Third World" label emerge,

in part, because of the changing scenes in which rhetors deploy the label. In some iterations, the

"Third World" label describes geographic places like internationally recognized nation-states.[60] It

also refers to a subjectivity or one's lived place in the world.[61] Third World can function to

identify belonging to a community, whether from the viewpoint of those in power or those

considered outside power.[62] Some associate the Third World with backward, uncivilized and

desperate countries,[63] while others see the Third World as a site for reclaiming resistance,

strength and community.[64] The different meanings associated with Third World allow the

phrase's flexible adaptation to material circumstances that appear to conflict.

In this chapter I will utilize cluster analysis to understand the use of the "Third World"

label to describe New Orleans and the rest of the Gulf Coast. First, I will trace both the origins of

the label and provide a brief history highlighting key movements in its meaning. Awareness of

the circumstances that gave rise to shifts in the "Third World" label's meaning enable a keener

insight into the uses of the Third World today. Second, I will identify and analyze the clusters

themselves. In analyzing the relationships surrounding the use of Third World, I will discuss the

larger symbolic web of values that these Third World clusters weave. Finally, I will make concluding remarks about the significance and implications of the relationship between place, the "Third World" label and New Orleans in the wake of Hurricane Katrina as described in media portrayals surrounding the crisis.

An Introduction to the Third World

Alfred Sauvy is often cited as the person who first used the label "Third World."[65] B.C. Smith claims, "There has been a lot of discussion as to who used the term first, but it is generally accepted that it was the French demographer and economic historian, Alfred Sauvy, who coined the phrase in the early 1950s."[66] Wolf-Smith maintains, "The original analogy used by Sauvy was to the *Tiers État* and, as both Lacoste and Love point out, he was alluding to the 1789 oratory of the Abbé Sieyès-'What is the Third Estate? Everything. What has it been till now in the political order? Nothing. What does it want to be? Something.'"[67] Even though the Third World emerges from the context of the French Revolution, "there is also the view that it should have been translated as 'Third Force' because Sauvy seems to have in mind the problem of power blocs during the Cold War."[68] Here, Smith foreshadows the geo-politics of the Cold War as one of the motivating factors and places where the meaning of the Third World takes shape.

Like most phrases, the origin of the label "Third World" is not without debate. Peter Worsley argues Sauvy should not be credited for the first utterance of the Third World.[69] Instead, Worsley suggests Claude Bourdet deserves acknowledgement for using Third World to refer to the "French political left."[70] Despite disagreement about who is credited with first publishing the term "Third World," Wolf-Phillips admits, "Worsley and I are in agreement when he says: 'What the Third World originally was, then, is clear; it was the non-aligned world.'"[71] The initial meaning of the Third World then involved a group de facto excluded from the traditional

political order. In short, the First World was generally aligned with the United States of America and capitalism. The Second World was aligned with the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and communism. Those countries or parties remaining and not aligned with either communism or capitalism constituted the Third World.

While no one may be able to precisely pinpoint the event(s) triggering a shift in the meaning of the "Third World" label, a number of socio-economic and political factors contributed to the change in focus from political non-alignment to an economic determination. First, and in no particular order, Jean Robert argues that President Harry Truman's 1949 inaugural address helped usher in an era of economically developing the Third World:

> After World War II, Mexico and the rest of the "Third World" were invaded by the idea of development. According to President Harry Truman – whose inaugural address in 1949 did much to popularize the term – development consists in helping "free peoples of the world, through their own efforts, to produce more food, more clothing, more materials for housing and more mechanical power to lighten their burdens."[72]

For President Truman, the United States was uniquely placed to "embark on a bold new program for making the benefits of our scientific advances and industrial progress available for the improvement and growth of underdeveloped areas."[73] President Truman's stated motivations for his program of development was not just to highlight and extend America's progress and hegemony. President Truman also spoke about the plight of those in the underdeveloped world. Demonstrating his awareness of the deplorable condition in the Third World, he claimed,

> More than half the people of the world are living in conditions approaching misery. Their food is inadequate. They are victims of disease. Their economic life is primitive and

stagnant. Their poverty is a handicap and a threat both to them and to more prosperous

areas.[74]

Truman's inaugural address set into motion a program of development whose target was the

underdeveloped or Third World. He conceived the people living in the Third World as an

economic threat to themselves and others around the globe. Truman's development declaration

helped move the world's understanding of the Third World from political non-alignment to

economic deficiency.

President Truman's altruistic motives for labeling the underdeveloped or Third World

were not the only impetus for the evolution of the label's meaning. Robert's earlier description

portrays Truman's development approach to the rest of the world as a style of invasion.[75] While

the United States was not using exclusively military means to invade the so-called

underdeveloped peoples across the world, President Truman's era of development sought to

bring advancement and order through economic, cultural and other means. Truman's desires

seemed benign but they were also "providing the motivation and legitimization for transforming

other societies in their image."[76] In advancing an agenda of development, the United States

appeared to be attempting to re-create the American experiment in other nations.

In part, these American programs of development were aimed at creating order out of

perceived chaos. The countries associated with the underdeveloped and Third World were

countries not aligned with United States capitalism or Soviet communism. These countries

shared economic systems and a standard of living that were insufficient from the relative

perspective of the First and Second Worlds. As a result of the exclusion by the First and Second

World, "poverty continues to be a real and significant feature of Third World countries."[77] Smith

expands on the implications of the economic force, claiming that, "In symbiotic relationship with

such poverty are low levels of productive capacity, low life expectancy, high infant mortality,

illiteracy, the oppression of women, and grossly unequal distributions of wealth."[78] The lack of

support and investment from the First and Second World arguably bred the chaotic conditions

within the Third World.

Eliminating and transforming poverty-associated characteristics were the motivating

forces driving President Truman's development plan for the Third World. Cowen and Shenton

agree that, "development was meant to construct order out of social disorders of rapid urban

migration, poverty and unemployment."[79] President Truman's inaugural address signaled a shift

in the meaning of the Third World. Tucker best describes the process intrinsic to this shift as an

imperial process, where he states,

> The development discourse is part of an imperial process whereby other peoples are
>
> appropriated and turned into objects. It is an essential part of the process whereby the
>
> "developed" countries manage, control and even create the Third World economically,
>
> politically, sociologically and culturally.[80]

While initially the Third World was an active subject resisting the exclusion by the First and

Second World, Truman's underdeveloped Third World became a poor and disorderly object to

which the First World delivered progress, advancement and development.

A second contributing factor to the shift in the meaning of the "Third World" label was

the ever changing contours of twentieth century geo-politics. As World Wars I and II helped

shape the meaning of the Third World through transformations in colonialist geo-politics, the

politics of the Cold War were also an important contributor. Randall argues,

> …[B]y the middle of the sixties, the cold war had become a routine and the tensions
>
> generated by it were slackening in intensity. The economic and development issues were

becoming prominent, reducing the monopoly of security and strategic issues in world

politics. That marked the beginning of the Third World concept being viewed in its

economic context and thrust.[81]

Over time, political presence in the Third World increasingly became necessary for

counterbalancing the other superpower because of the decreasing importance of Cold War

security issues. Despite the changing influence of the Cold War, however, the Third World was

not irrelevant. Escobar claims, "The notions of 'underdevelopment' and 'Third World' emerged

as working concepts in the process by which the West (and the East) redefined themselves and

the global power structures."[82] Now as the Cold War mentality was institutionalized, countries

began utilizing the Third World to define and secure their own self-identity. While the United

States had previously relied on the Cold War relationship to define America and our policies,

First and Second World countries increasingly relied on comparisons against the poor and

chaotic Third World to strengthen their own images as wealthy and stable. Wolf-Phillips argues

that with Cold War politics, the Third World "becomes more strongly associated with 'neglect,

exploitation and revolutionary potential' than 'non-alignment'."[83] Whereas the Third World

countries originally shared their exclusion from politics as a common characteristic, the meaning

of the "Third World" label changed to reflect the characteristics common to the countries

because they had been excluded.

The concept of the "Third World" was also defined by its Southern geography. The

divisions and alliances influenced by Cold War politics manifested cartographically along the

North-South axis. Julian Eckl and Ralph Weber suggest David Horowitz's 1966 *Hemispheres

North & South: Economic Disparity Among Nations* was among the first book length studies

dedicated to a world divided by an industrialized North and an agricultural South.[84] While the

First and Second Worlds in the North produced economic excess through industrialization, the Third World in the South survived through its agriculture. Dwayne Woods claims the predominance of agricultural in the South was because of the characteristics unique to Southern geography; Woods cites the "natural resources, the effects of climate, tropical diseases, transportation costs and the diffusion of technologies across spatial barriers within and between nations [as] the main determinants in the gap between rich and poor regions."[85] The difference in economic foundations, industrial on the Northern side and agricultural on the Southern, helped create and magnify the divisions between the First, Second and Third World. The North (or First and Second Worlds) justified interventionist expeditions to the South (Third World) by claiming to bridge the development and industrial gap.[86] The geographically Southern characteristics helped drive the economically-based meaning of the Third World.

The meaning of the Third World also changed for those most impacted by the new economically-driven development policies. The economic implications of the "Third World" label were not limited to those with money, power or resources. The lived experience of those feeling the brunt of colonialism and development, Hadjor argues, led to "the emergence of a growing consciousness among the peoples of different Third World countries themselves that they shared significant common problems and experiences in relation to other countries."[87] More than just a shared consciousness occurred; the Third World also began to stand in for a community of affected peoples against something. Previously, the Third World represented the non-aligned populations of the world, but after the shift, as Smith argues, "the Third World stood for solidarity against the continuing intervention and involvement of the powerful economies in the developing economies and polities of the world."[88] With this sentiment of intentional

resistance, the meaning of Third World returned to Alfred Sauvy's original interpretation of Third World or Third Force.

Finally, a third contributing factor to the economic aspects of the term's meaning was the increasing globalized and interconnected world. The practices of globalization, an increase in non-governmental and international governing organizations, and technological developments in communication have substantially reduced the distance between the global and the local.[89] Whereas nation-states traditionally constituted the Third World, the contemporary landscape of the Third World in an era of globalization is more indicative of attitudes, values and characteristics. Weber reasons,

> …[T]o use the concept of the Third World (or Third World states) as an *analytical* category, which in its classical form relied on the territorial-sovereignty-authority link, is, it would seem, to continue to invoke a way of thinking that no longer offers a meaningful reference point for contemporary global politics.[90]

While not condemning the territorially based concept, Randall agrees by maintaining, "[I]ncreasingly the Third World or the South, is becoming a world-wide social category rather than a geographically defined one, this is only a trend; it is not a completed process."[91] The social and cultural aspect of the original conception of the "Third World" label had, and continues to have, implications for the revolutionary or non-aligned Third World. The process, while incomplete, is contrary to what Tucker calls the myth of development, which "is elevated to the status of natural law, objective reality and evolutionary necessity."[92] De-linking the Third World from the territory of the nation-state enables the characteristics traditionally associated with the Third World to traverse borders and boundaries. Populations not traditionally aligned as a Third World country could claim revolutionary potential as a non-aligned or excluded group.

An aterritorial or deterritorialized Third World enabled different ways of aligning community. Cynthia Young claims, "the use of the term *Third World* offered a way of interpellating and signaling a community with certain shared interests."[93] Young's Third World shares a resistance to the very developmental and colonial policies that helped create and shape the Third World. But for her, the Third World does not just extend beyond North-South or continents but traditional First World-Third World binaries. Her empirical work concerns the extant Third World communities within the First World, specifically the United States. She points out that, "The use of the term *Third World* by U.S. Third World Leftists described a dense ideological and political nexus as much as it did a particular geographic region or economic stage of development."[94] Young's analysis of Third World Leftists demonstrates the ways in which the "Third World" label has transformed. While still gesturing towards Sauvy's original non-alignment meaning, some Third World Leftists embrace the ambiguous meanings possible under the Third World rhetoric.

This brief survey of the origins and use of the label "Third World" confirms Hadjor's assessment of the Third World as imbued with ambiguity, contradiction and conflict. The phrase "Third World" has traversed geopolitical, political, economic and geographic boundaries. It has been deployed, appropriated and re-appropriated. It has been symbolically charged to convey backwardness, incivility and passivity while also connoting freedom, resistance and revolutionary agency. It has been used to convey both negative judgments and positive attitudes. Humanitarian intervention, genocidal comparisons and near-genocidal atrocities have all been carried out in the name of the "Third World." Comprehending the brief and battled history of the phrase "Third World" will serve as a contextual backdrop to help better understand the mainstream mass media's use of the phrase in the context of Hurricane Katrina.

The Third World as Place in Katrina Coverage

In analyzing the media references to the "Third World" label, this study divides the sample into two different groupings. The first set of Third World clusters describes New Orleans and the Gulf Coast before the arrival of Hurricane Katrina. Even though the clusters are taken from the mass media coverage following Katrina's landfall, these clusters refer back to and construct an understanding of pre-Katrina New Orleans and the Gulf Coast. The second grouping of clusters represents the media coverage of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast after Katrina made landfall. By separating the Third World cluster groupings; this study yields insight into how Katrina altered the applications of the "Third World" label in the United States context.

New Orleans As Characterized Before Hurricane Katrina

The clusters describing pre-Katrina New Orleans and the Gulf Coast were multi-vocal in nature. Not only were different media sources used to constitute the mainstream mass media sample but a variety of eye-witnesses, survivors, journalists, volunteers and others were all quoted in the media coverage. I established a sample of 373 articles as described in Chapter One's methodology section. I have narrowed these articles by eliminating stories repeatedly picked up on the news wire, stories that really just had the words "Third World" and "Hurricane Katrina" and the stories that were not actually a story about Hurricane Katrina but a summary of the news for the day. For example, they might have had one story on Katrina and a different story referencing the Third World. I finished with a reduced sample of 94 stories that offer a rich and diverse foundation for this cluster analysis. Three themes emerged from within these 94 articles. First, New Orleans was portrayed as an exemplar of American development, hegemony and status. Second, the same area was represented as a place of Third World politics, culture and life. Finally, while both characterizations were prevalent in media discourse, a certain relationship is

implied between the appearance of order on the surface and the reality of disorder lying deep in the roots of the South.

First, New Orleans was described in twelve articles as embodying the traits of a First World city in a First World nation. Three times New Orleans was labeled a major city. An editorial in *The Baltimore Sun* referred to New Orleans as "A major city, among America's most distinctive places."[95] Here, New Orleans qualifying as major because of its status as a defining American icon, along the lines of Las Vegas, New York City, Chicago and Los Angeles. New Orleans is distinct because of its internationally-renowned Mardi Gras celebrations. The *Sun* editorial anticipated the costs and enormous efforts of reconstructing New Orleans' claim as a major city. Sherry M. Leventhal, writing a letter to *The Boston Globe*, called New Orleans a "major city" in the context of her discussion of the size of the necessary evacuations and troop levels.[96] Finally, Robert E. Pierre and Paul Farhi, staff writers for *The Washington Post*, described New Orleans as a "major city" in commenting on the controversy surrounding use of the refugee label to describe New Orleans residents.[97] Here, the city emerged as major due to the thousands of people directly affected by Hurricane Katrina's landfall. The size of the city, number of people affected and symbolic meaning all contributed to the conclusion that New Orleans was a major American city.

In the pre-Katrina description, New Orleans was also defined as a progressive place. Army First Sergeant Maurice A. Thomas characterized New Orleans in *The Boston Globe* as a "progressive city."[98] By itself, the word "progressive" implies advancement - ideological, political, economical or structural. One may consider New Orleans progressive because of development, buildings or infrastructure. Or New Orleans can be progressive because of its' perceived loose regulations (and morality) concerning drinking, drugs and sexuality. In Thomas'

context, progress implies advancement. He says, "New Orleans went from a progressive city to a Third World country in a matter of hours."[99] New Orleans, with its infrastructure for electricity, water and plumbing, compared favorably with the characteristics common to the First World throughout the history of development.

New Orleans, before Hurricane Katrina, was not just a major or progressive city; the media also labeled New Orleans a distinctively "American" city. Ten different articles referred to New Orleans before Katrina's devastation as a distinctly American city. Bill Walsh of *The Times-Picayune (New Orleans)* relayed watching "Third World scenes play out in a beloved American city."[100] Rosa Brooks, a *Los Angeles Times* columnist cited in *The Washington Post*, called New Orleans a "major American city." While the fact that any city physically located within the United States is identified as "American" seems obvious, Brooks' identification is also important. For example, the adjective accompanying "American city" is "beloved." If a city is not considered "American," the city may not garner the same attention and recognition by the American public. New Orleans was treasured in part because it was identified as American.

Second, even though New Orleans was described as a major American city pre-Katrina, the media also characterized it as resembling a poor and soiled Third World place. In covering Hurricane Katrina's aftermath along the Gulf Coast and New Orleans, fourteen news articles explicitly mentioned pre-Katrina New Orleans' Third World levels of entrenched poverty. Michael Stanton, who used to live in New Orleans and now, resides in Beirut, Lebanon, stated in the *The Baltimore Sun*, "The effect of this hurricane on New Orleans is equal to the effect on a city in the Third World, because that's what this is - extremely poor and extremely depressed and extremely fragile."[101] Stanton's commentary portrayed New Orleans before Katrina, not as a proud American city, but a poor, weak and fragile city. Importantly, the fragility was not

something that just had happened but occurred over the course of time. Stanton referenced the "underlying social conditions" as the structural reasons why New Orleans already resembled a Third World place.[102]

The effects of Hurricane Katrina revealed the long-term consequences of structurally caused poverty as a hidden reality. Clarence Page, a columnist for the *Chicago Tribune*, said "Katrina suddenly made America's invisible poor very visible."[103] Hurricane Katrina, Page argues, was able to transform a population already considered poor into a visible front-page news story. Importantly, the damage wrought by Katrina did not transform a wealthy or even middle-class area into a poor Third World. The argument advanced in these clusters is that Hurricane Katrina merely shed light on an already present situation, one that had not been considered mainstream news worthy.

Critics frequently argued that many places in the South already exhibited characteristics typically associated with the Third World. Out of the fourteen news articles labeling pre-Katrina New Orleans as the "Third World," low literacy, high child/infant mortality and nauseating levels of poverty were most oft cited linking New Orleans, the Gulf Coast and the Deep South to the Third World. Low literacy, in both children and adults, was cited in two different articles.[104] Clay Risen, writing in *The Boston Globe*, cited a July report that concluded that Alabama, Louisiana and Mississippi "are tied for the highest infant mortality rate" in the United States.[105] Infant or child mortality was identified in six articles linking the Gulf Coast to the Third World before Katrina made landfall.[106] People, from World Bank officials to scholars and the general public, frequently associated these standards of living with the Third World because of limited access to resources.

Five articles within these clusters suggested that the cause of structural inequality was poor governance.[107] Bruce Nussbaum, quoted in *New Straits Times* (Malaysia), said that "'Problems emerged because of deeply flawed organisations beset by poor management, soiled cultures, and inadequate communication' - features familiar to the Third World**,** and now to the US too."[108] Associating poor management and neglect with poverty, low literacy and other so-called Third World traits is not unreasonable. These characteristics are so closely linked because a lack of management or bad governmental management makes possible the conditions where poverty can flourish.

In sum, the media portrayed New Orleans as a beacon American city on the one hand and an underdeveloped place not belonging in the United States of America on the other. These two different representations seem incommensurable. The former paints a magnificent place located within the responsibility of the United States while the latter portrays a place existing outside of American purview and standards of living. One explanation for resolving the perceived contradiction lies in the use of the label itself. Nine different articles allude to a distinction between appearance and reality, the appearance of industrial greatness eliding over the reality of an undeveloped despair.[109] Hurricane Katrina's devastating wrath is described as lifting the thinly placed veil separating the appearance from reality.

Out of the nine articles implying an appearance-reality relationship, four used "façade" five times to describe New Orleans, the Gulf Coast and the United States as a place of both the First World and Third World.[110] Tom Condon, writing in the *Hartford Courant* (Connecticut), said "As he [Joel Kotkin] sees it, Hurricane Katrina exposed New Orleans as a Third World city with a Potemkin Village facade."[111] Here, Potemkin Village referred to "a pretentiously showy or imposing façade intended to mask or divert attention from an embarrassing or shabby fact or

condition.[112] Writing in a different edition of the *Hartford Courant* (Connecticut), Rinker Buck

provided specificity to the American Potemkin Village, "[T]hese stubborn pockets of urban and

rural poverty had been mostly ignored, hidden behind the facade of Bourbon Street gaiety or the

gleaming casinos and waterfront mansions along the Gulf Coast."[113] The façade Buck describes

was emblematic of the picture painted of the Gulf Coast before Hurricane Katrina arrived. The

word "façade" was used to distinguish between two different representations, the appearance of

First World living and the reality of Third World conditions.

Ch. Perelman and L. Olbrechts-Tyteca's notion of dissociation and their analysis of the

"appearance-reality" pair provide a heuristic for better understanding motives for employing the

"Third World" label.[114] For Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca, dissociation is a significant

argumentative strategy, because "any new philosophy presupposes the working out of a

conceptual apparatus, at least part of which, that which is fundamentally original, results from a

disassociation of notions that enables the problems the philosopher has set himself to be

solved."[115] Disassociation operates by establishing, separating, calculating and arranging the

value of differences. Winkler explains this process as the "rendering [of] the disaggregated

elements into the philosophical pairings whereby one term in the pairing surpasses the value of

the other."[116] While the Third World is not necessarily a philosophical pairing, the use of the

phrase "Third World" within the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina is similarly marked by

disassociation for the purposes of identification and identity construction. Out of the

philosophical pairings discussed by Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca, the pair appearance-reality

is given the most attention. Winkler argues that this pair is significant because of its "primacy in

discussions of definition."[117] Reading the appearance-reality dyad within the clusters of media

coverage will help to better understand the shifts in meaning and apparent contradictions within the Third World.

Initially and at first glance of the Third World history, the Third World is employed against the First World, where the First World is privileged above and beyond the Third World. Within the development narrative, which popularizes use of the "Third World" label, the First World has the technological, economic and political prowess, even obligation, to aid and develop those who are underdeveloped. The First World sets the parameters by which a Third World country may be defined and the appropriate situation for intervention into a Third World country. The goal of development is to make the Third World over in the image of the First World. The relationship is neither reciprocal nor symmetrical. The Third World does maintain the same power relationship with the First World. The First World is not receptive to demands made by the Third World and the latter does not have significant influence over the former in international economic and political forums. The association between the First World and the Third World is hierarchical, value laden and naturalized.

The "Third World" label in the context of pre-Katrina mainstream mass media coverage operates within this hegemonic relationship. New Orleans and most of the Gulf Coast area are treated as a backwards and uncivilized place. New Orleans is described as having fallen back into an earlier and less developed state. The entire Gulf Coast is portrayed as lacking any of the necessary and basic needs characteristic of a normal civilization. The representation of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast as a Third World place disassociates them from the rest of the United States. In part, this separation works to normalize the American remainder. Hurricane Katrina's devastation could not happen in First World America. American identity and values might unravel if such a tragedy took place within the boundaries of the United States. De-linking New

Orleans and the Gulf Coast from the United States through the "Third World" label is motivated

by the desire secure one's identity. Lynn Duke persuasively argues,

> They've all been bemoaning how the crisis wrought by Hurricane Katrina reduced a bold
>
> and magical American city to "third-world" status, or had been managed as if by a
>
> bungling "third-world" government. Black folk said it. White folk said it. So many people
>
> said it so often that I realized this was some kind of civic moment, some kind of
>
> revelation of a fundamental, albeit troubling, American value. Put simply, it is this: We
>
> think we are better. And we don't like it one bit when we look like we're not better.[118]

Labeling the affected as Third World is supposed to exonerate the First World U.S. from

responsibility. Third World devastation is supposed to happen in a Third World country, not in a

First World country. The Third World continued to be the disparaged label among the media

clusters from the news coverage of Hurricane Katrina.

The use of the "Third World" label is in tension throughout the media coverage of

Katrina's consequences. On the one hand the Gulf Coast area is portrayed as not the Third

World. New Orleans and the surrounding area are represented as a major American tourist

mecca. The coverage of a pre-Katrina New Orleans maintained the appearance of an ordered

First World place. On the other hand the same Gulf Coast area is represented as both a

longstanding and recently devastated Third World place. The post-Katrina coverage revealed the

Third World characteristics as a long, hidden reality. I have described the relationship between

these clusters as having been implied in the media coverage. The tension is resolved within the

clusters by an understanding that the First World imagery is an appearance for an already existing

Third World reality. New Orleans and the Gulf Coast remained First World on the surface and a

"Third World" place deep down.

New Orleans Characterized After Hurricane Katrina

Where the previous section analyzed the clusters describing Hurricane Katrina's arrival, this section attends specifically to the mass media clusters that depict New Orleans and the Gulf Coast after Katrina's landfall. The coverage about the condition on the ground in Katrina's aftermath depicted characteristics largely associated with a Third World place. The media no longer praised the region for its grandness or American character. Neither the Gulf Coast nor New Orleans resembles any semblance of First World order.

While the media coverage constructed a relatively ordered New Orleans before Hurricane Katrina, the coverage radically changed after Katrina's landfall. Twenty-five articles implied a lack of order when they deployed the "Third World" label to describe the effects of Hurricane Katrina. Fifteen of those articles portrayed the lack of order described as a sort of chaos made possible through the absence of infrastructure or supporting authority. Kevin Sullivan, writing in *The Washington Post*, cited the headline in the *Daily Mail* (London), "Third World America;" followed with the line "Law and order is gone."[119] Bill Walsh declared in *The Times-Picayune* (New Orleans), "Lawlessness became the story Tuesday."[120] Descriptions of looters coincided with the lawless scenic conditions in fifteen articles.[121] For example Catherine Elsworth and Francis Harris, writing for *The Daily Telegraph*, reported that "New Orleans continued to resemble a Third World war zone," as armed looters [were] still roaming."[122] This notion of a Third World war zone is not an isolated characteristic. One moves continuously throughout the mass media coverage from disorder to lawlessness and looting and finally to a war zone, all descriptions linking New Orleans and the Gulf Coast with the Third World. Staff reporters, writing for the *Times-Picayune* (New Orleans), declared early in their coverage of Hurricane Katrina's effect on New Orleans, "[T]he city resemble[d] a Third World war zone" and "The

scene throughout the city retained it's apocalyptic feel."[123] The scenic Third World characteristics continued to dominate the representations such that in Leventhal's letter to *The Boston Globe*, she asks "One doesn't need a PhD to know that when a mandatory evacuation of a major city is ordered, looting and lawlessness are certain to occur. Why weren't a sufficient number of troops and police poised to go into the city right away and protect and secure the area?"[124] Leventhal suggests that identifying the problem of lawlessness should have been easy. And the way to re-establish order in the face of chaos should have been automatic, military and police force.

Disorder post-Katrina extended beyond law and order into the realm of health and sanitation. Twenty-three articles cited the lack of power, clean water and food or the abundance of rotting bodies, feces or smell of garbage. Paul H.B. Shin, writing as a staff writer for the *Daily News* (New York), claimed, "The stench of urine, feces and rotting flesh was overpowering."[125] In the minds of many, the New Orleans' Superdome was to blame for much of the filth. The Superdome was the place where as many evacuees were gathered in an attempt to create a safe haven for fleeing Katrina's wrath. But as Nathan Coleman, quoted in *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette* (Pennsylvania), said "every nook and cranny was a bathroom."[126] Coleman continued by comparing his living conditions to those of individuals in the Third World, "We were living worse than Third World countries," he concluded "We were totally forgotten."[127] Coleman's reference is important because of the comparison between First World and Third World. Coleman not only indicates they are no longer in First World America but now they are in a place that is *worse* than Third World conditions. Even though the media coverage did not explicitly portray a clean or sanitary Gulf Coast in the representations of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast before Katrina, the characteristics are still relevant to understanding the use of the "Third World" label. The absence of clean and sterile representations before Katrina's landfall could be

explained because one would not necessarily report that New Orleans is a clean American city. Or better, media reports would not highlight the efficacy of the sewer and sanitary system. These characteristics are not extracted because they are presumed part of the American or First World portrayal. The clean representations function in the First World as the silent norm against which deviations are contrasted. The emphasis in the clustered relationships about sanitation juxtaposes First World appearances against Third World realities.

Third World Motivations: Labeling a Third World Place

In the media coverage following Hurricane Katrina, the "Third World" label used to describe the characteristics of the Gulf Coast is best rooted in its scenic qualities. The Third World is frequently set as the scene or background where acts are set in motion. Burke writes, "Besides general synonyms for scene that are obviously of a background character, such as "society," or "environment," we often encounter quite specific localizations, words for particular places, situations, or eras."[128] Whether depicting before or after Hurricane Katrina, the media references to societal structures and other grounding characteristics exemplify scene as the dominant term. In the mainstream news media, New Orleans and the Gulf Coast become the localized scene or environment. The different acts which take place occur against the backdrop of the Gulf Coast. Put another way, "one could say that "the scene contains the act.""[129] In short, the varying acts described in the media coverage may, in part, be attributed to the scene. While scene-act is consistently the motivating ratio, the scene-act motivations manifest differently in the media coverage depicting the affected areas before and after Hurricane Katrina.

Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca's explanation of the appearance-reality distinction and argumentative strategy of disassociation accounts for the different scene-act motivations in media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. In the coverage reporting a pre-Katrina New Orleans and Gulf

Coast, the First World is the dominant apparent scene while the Third World remains the hidden scene. New Orleans is portrayed as an extravagant American city. The scene is defined by glitz, glamour, Mardi Gras and casinos. The media coverage did not go into great detail about the acts taking place on the First World scene. The mass media was not likely focused on First World acts because the media coverage took place after Hurricane Katrina had made landfall, even though the stories were referencing a pre-Katrina New Orleans and Gulf Coast. However, one can extrapolate how the acts would have been described given the scenic First World characteristics and the Third World acts in later scenes. The acts on the First World scene would have been grounded in privilege, economic advancement and responsibility, even if merely in appearance. One might have read about technological advances, new infrastructure or enjoying the pleasure of excess (like gambling, shopping and drinking).

The Third World scene did not play a role on the surface but functioned as a background scene. The Third World characteristics were more structural than immediate. The effects of poverty and failed local development policies did not happen in a month, a year or even a generation. Infant mortality statistics are determined in the present but the policies or attitudes that make high infant mortality (or illiteracy) rates possible take place over time, long periods of time. In these clusters, the Third World scene is something that always already existed but was kept out of sight. Hurricane Katrina did not make the Gulf Coast into a Third World place, the effects of Katrina revealed what was already a Third World place.

A scenic shift occurs within the coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Whereas the appearance of scene prevailed pre-Katrina, the reality of scene dominated after Katrina's landfall. The timeframe and magnitude of Hurricane Katrina's impact forced a confrontation between the appearance of a First World place and the very same place's Third World reality. The Third

World scene before Katrina is set as an unmentioned reality, a scene extending through the past. The reporting on the legacy of the South is an example of how the Third World place operated scenically as once hidden but was now very real. The Southern scene produced Southern acts; farming, dependency and disorder. Current conditions of poverty and rampant decay are traced back to "a conservative political culture distrustful of government, combined with the residual effects of slavery and Jim Crow."[130] The status quo New Orleans and remaining Gulf Coast are in a sense rooted or set in motion as a result of their scenic reality.

This study identifies several possible motivating factors for constructing and reporting about New Orleans and the Gulf Coast as a First World appearance unsettled by a Third World reality. First, a potential motivation for employing the "Third World" label in this fashion is to remove responsibility from those in the immediate present. In this world, agents in the present cannot be held accountable for damages because history and structural problems are responsible. People in the status quo are able to hold racist legacies of development and structural inequalities responsible for Hurricane Katrina's consequences. This motivational strategy is a way to shift blame from one self and the present to the past for one of the most tragic disasters in the history of the United States.

A second possible motivating force is change. The potential for change can be motivation because it gives hope for the future. If one is able to trace the current problems back in time then arguably something could have been done. Some change could have been made to prevent tragedy. We had the statistics on poverty, the infant mortality rates and even reports suggesting the consequences of a severe hurricane strike to the Gulf Coast. If the current situation has not always been present then the status quo can be changed. If the Gulf Coast's Third World characteristics are a result of policies and attitudes that were not always there, presumably if we

removed or reversed said attitudes and policies, we could proceed down a different path. Knowing that the present place or situation is not natural but a result of choices and decisions made in the past, makes altering the status quo possible.

A third conceivable driving force is the exigency of crisis. The media coverage shifted from the appearance of a First World country to the reality of Third World conditions because of the need to communicate immediate situations to persons of interest. The exigency of crisis can also be used to motivate the desire for order. Recall Wood's explanation of the power of labels. Employing the immediate characteristics of a Third World place sets in motion a securitizing or ordering set of subsequent actions. Characterizing the Gulf Coast as a lawless place resembling a Third World war zone is not neutral. Portraying New Orleans and the Gulf Coast as disordered, chaotic and anarchic prefigures a response or set of behaviors designed to bring order. The ordering motivation is rooted in the development doctrine. The desire to order is partially grounded in the First World view that the Third World is an inferior and disorganized place, a threat to First World and American order. In the context of Hurricane Katrina, the label "Third World" is deployed to help bring law and order to an anarchic and violent place.

A fourth reason for employing Third World scenery to describe New Orleans and the Gulf Coast is to justify the acts taking place. Under normal circumstances, taking food from a closed (and abandoned) grocery store, not bathing for a week or staying on a roof might seem ridiculous or even unlawful. But if the scene is changed to one of a Third World place, typically unexplainable acts are in a sense justified by the scene. Burke explains "[O]ne may deflect attention from the criticism of personal motives by deriving an act or attitude not from traits of the agent but from the nature of the situation."[131] One can blame the Third World scene for producing Third World acts.

Empathetic identification is the fifth and final motivating factor this chapter identifies for the mainstream media use of the "Third World" label and its attendant characteristics. The motivations for employing Third World were not merely negative. In a very real sense, the effects of Hurricane Katrina helped peoples across the world, including the United States, identify with one another. People all over America attempted to pitch in support; whether money, time or other resources. Betsy Hammond reported one such story,

> In Childress, Texas, they got their first handout from a stranger --a convenience store clerk who saw their Louisiana license plates and gave them a box of food and cold drinks. Eugene wanted to cry with dismay. "You realize you're at the bottom of the barrel," he says, "like someone you'd see on TV in a Third World country."[132]

Being portrayed as below or distant from the First World does have its benefits for a humanitarian response. The "Third World" label works to invoke identification from audience members, similar to an infomercial on television asking for donations. Readers were asked to see themselves as one of the helpless and desperate. If something this tragic could happen to a part of the First World United States, Third World devastation could happen to anyone, including the consumers of the media campaign. Audience Third World identification helped to make the tragedy more real for First World America. Empathetic identification also provided much needed sympathy and assistance for those affected by Hurricane Katrina.

CHAPTER 3.

HURRICANE KATRINA AND THIRD WORLD INSTITUTIONS

The purpose of examining the concept of 'Third World' is that one can gain a preliminary insight into some of the problems experienced by such countries by examining the validity of using a single category for such an amorphous group.

B.C. Smith[133]

This chapter focuses on labeling government actors as if they were governing a Third World state or as if they embodied Third World characteristics. The cluster analysis emphasizes the characteristics deployed by the mainstream mass media when referencing the local, state and federal institutions. Before one can access any potential motivations for labeling a government entity "Third World," a brief understanding of Third World state characterizations is necessary. After the short introduction to the Third World state, I will organize and analyze the government focused clusters in the mainstream mass media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Finally, I discuss potential motivations for why the mainstream mass media might label different government actors as Third World, especially in the wake of Hurricane Katrina's so-called Third World devastation of a First World country.

Third World States

While the history of the label "Third World" emerged from within an international context of a geopolitics focused on the nation-state, this predominant emphasis on the nation-state was in contrast to the origins of the "Third World" label. General consensus is that Alfred Sauvy, the originator of the term, used the "Third World" label in the early 1950s referring back to the French Revolution's Third Estate.[134] The Third Estate's reference is important because the Third Estate label did not describe a nation-state or state institution. The Third Estate referred to a population within a nation. The label represented the subgroup of the population that was

excluded within France, but wanted to be included. Even though the "Third World" label first appears in reference to an internal population, the excluded characteristic of the Third Estate remains in more modern instantiations of the label's meaning.

A number of events contributed toward the shift in usage from the Third Estate's internal population to a predominantly state-centered Third World. I do not describe the events in strict chronological order because they overlap (in time and the events themselves) and each contributes, but does not determine the shifts, in using the "Third World" label. First, the contours of World War II helped shape the emerging Third World state. Hadjor argues the events following World War II laid the impetus for understanding the Third World. Hadjor writes,

> [B]efore 1945 little attention was given to the study of the history or social and economic reality of the Third World... After the Second World War all this was turned upside down. A war which originated among the traditionally strong European powers had the ironic result of shaking the nineteenth-century colonial system to its foundations.[135]

The previously excluded internal populations were given an opportunity for inclusion in the wake of World War II. Many of the traditional colonial powers involved in the war lost the power they held over their respective colonies. Populations internal to the colonial state (who have been excluded) were enabled to advance strong claims against their respective colonialist states. Peter Worsley explains:

> Inevitably the 'Third Force' now became a world, not a European category, and now at the level of states: a Third World, led by three countries: by India, newly independent; by Egypt, which had converted formal into real independence of Britain; and by Yugoslavia which had broken from the Cominform.[136]

The dissolution of colonialist hegemony radically altered the geopolitical world after World War II. New nation states emerged that were once under the yoke of colonial powers. These states no longer relied on or were forced to answer to colonialist powers. The newly formed post-colonial states helped transition the meaning of the Third World away from an excluded internal population toward a nation-state focus.

Second, the colonialist struggles of the twenty-first century contributed to the shifts in the meaning of the Third World. The characteristics associated with Third World states are, in part, a result of this struggle from an excluded internal population to a post-colonial state. Many of these Third World states were dependent on agriculture for their economic livelihood.[137] The benefits and consequences of industrialism had, for the most part, not yet reached the people of the Third World. Southern geography, as the last chapter suggests, contributed to a reliance on agriculture because the tropical climate provided the foundation for a strong agricultural society. As a result, many countries had not yet developed the technological advancements, standards of living or infrastructure other First and Second World countries enjoyed. Over time, the lack of an advanced infrastructure and reliance on agriculture emerged to characterize the colonized Third World states.

Poverty is closely associated with the Third World. Smith argues "Low per capita incomes have been related historically to the Third World's economic dependence on agriculture."[138] Without the means to create and maintain an independent internal infrastructure, the Third World countries were frequently not able to move beyond their agricultural economies. A lack of wealth is not just a founding characteristic of Third World countries, but as Smith further claims, "[p]overty continues to be a real and significant feature of Third World

countries."[139] The exclusion of these Third World populations and countries from First and Second World politics made it nearly impossible to better their economic situations.

These newly formed nation-states and former colonies were also characterized by conflict, violence and even internal racism. On the one hand, the internally excluded populations struggled (often violently) against the colonialist states. For example, Worsley cites Egypt, India and Yugoslavia as countries that have experienced anti-colonialist or authoritarian uprisings. To the First and Second World, these domestic disputes, however revolutionary, were perceived as disorderly and chaotic. Cowen and R.W. Shenton claim, "It was the turmoil and fear of revolution in the first half of the nineteenth century that gave birth to the idea of development and it is these unexplored origins that are the source of much present-day confusion about development's meaning."[140] The often violent change and revolutions in the Third World were a threat to the order, stability and security of the First and Second Worlds. To accommodate these threats, the First and Second World offered development, assistance and even provided or supported their own government officials.

On the other hand, the diversity of the previously excluded internal population complicated the matter. Nelson Kasfir suggests that in most Third World countries, "[M]ajority rule by competitive parties has frequently degenerated into authoritarian government."[141] Often the formerly oppressed populations visited the same oppression on their own peoples. Rupert Emerson explains, "Regrettably, there is not even evidence that peoples who themselves have suffered oppression and exploitation have in any time or place learned a lasting lesson which forbids them to exploit and oppress other peoples within or outside their own society or polity."[142] Even when a population was unified against the colonialist-state, that very same

population was frequently divided about questions like how the struggle should take place or what should follow the revolution. McCall explains,

> All the models proposed so far, however, take as given the legitimacy of the state as the basic unit of analysis, ignoring the fact that there are many more nations in the world than there are states for them. That is, that though colonialism is no longer present in its classic form, many ethnic minorities find themselves still victims of what people call, today, 'internal' colonialism.[143]

While some post World War II revolutionary struggles successfully dismantled violent or oppressive colonialist states, other populations suffered from internal colonialism. Unfortunately, the Third World internal colonialism frequently took on the form of the same racism prevalent from the nation's former European colonialists. Rajeev Patel and Philip McMichael argue these new Third World rulers governed by "[C]ombining forms of urban power directly excluding natives from civil freedoms on racial grounds with forms of indirect rule of natives in the countryside via a reconstruction of tribal authority."[144] Regardless of the form of colonialism, the colonialist struggle helped to influence the meaning of the Third World state. Violence, corruption, chaos, revolution, racism and disorder all became defining characteristics of the Third World.

Third, United States President Harry Truman's 1949 inaugural address' declaration of the American development program significantly shaped the meaning and emergence of the Third World state. President Truman described the object of his new program of development as "the improvement and growth of underdeveloped areas."[145] In the political vacuum left by World War II, President Truman identified an opportunity that could grow American hegemony and also could "greatly increase the industrial activity in other nations and [could] raise substantially their

standards of living."[146] The underdeveloped or Third World characteristics of poverty, weak

industry and a general low standard of living was both explicit and implied throughout Truman's

address. The President also laid out the security reasons for developing the Third World.

President Truman argued,

> We are moving on with other nations to build an even stronger structure of international
>
> order and justice. We shall have as our partners countries which, no longer solely
>
> concerned with the problem of national survival, are now working to improve the
>
> standards of living of all their people.[147]

The President acknowledged the previous struggles against international threats to national

survival because of its timing after the end of World War II. Nations no longer faced exclusively

external threats, but also threats from within their own state from previously excluded

populations and those suffering from poor living conditions. President Truman continued,

"Slowly but surely we are weaving a world fabric of international security and growing

prosperity."[148] Together, these circumstances led to the First and Second Worlds, culminating in

the President's inaugural address' view of the underdeveloped Third World as disorderly, chaotic

and a threat to worldwide peace and economic prosperity.

Finally, the politics of the Cold War contributed to shifts in the meaning associated with

the Third World state. Muni argues, "The concept of the Third World, as initially used, carried

specific political and power connotations in the context of the cold war and power bloc

politics."[149] Specifically, the meaning of the Third World state was in line with Sauvy's Third

Estate namely, the excluded or non-aligned countries and/or states. Smith claims, "The original

meaning of the term 'Third World' referred to a group of *non-aligned* countries outside the great

power blocks."[150] From the beginnings of the Cold War, the Third World constituted those

countries and nation-states not affiliated with the largely democratic First World or mostly communist Second World.

The institutionalization of the Cold War also significantly changed the understanding of the Third World state. Where previous political affiliations characterized Third World states, the decreasing importance of these ideological struggles helped transition the meaning of the Third World. Wolf-Phillips argues that the predominant Third World meanings shifted "with the lessening of the tensions of the Cold War period and the creation of scores of new and independent sovereign states in the 1960's and early 1970's."[151] The focus on political affiliation was less important within the strife, turmoil and low standards of living in these newly formed nation-states. The First and Second Worlds no longer over-determined who constituted the primary threat to survival. The growing chaos in the previously neglected and underdeveloped segments of the world was perceived as an increasing threat to peace and stability in the First and Second Worlds. The newfound (or re-discovered) attention for the Third World transformed the Third World from a neglected or non-aligned political entity to an explicitly recognized threat and economically underdeveloped object.

World War II, the emergence of the post-colonial state, U.S. President Harry S. Truman's inaugural address and the Cold War were all instrumental in transforming the meaning of the Third World. These events helped alter Sauvy's original use of the Third Force or Third World. The Third World, once the internally excluded population within a nation-state, came to refer to a nation-state imbued with certain characteristics. The characteristics, as this historical foray demonstrates, of Third World nation-states were largely due to the transition from one form of exclusion to another. The characteristics associated with the Third World state came to mean corruption, incompetence, volatility, poverty, agricultural dependence, un or under-development,

backwardness, chaos, lacking resources and even negligence or oppression of one's own people. Comprehending the different Third World associations and evolution of the Third World nation-state will help better contextualize the mainstream mass media's use of the Third World in the context of Hurricane Katrina and the respective state institutions involved.

The Third World as State in Katrina Coverage

For clarity, I divide the clustered Third World references in the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina's landfall by level of government entity. The first set of Third World clusters center around the local New Orleans and state of Louisiana government. The second arrangement of clusters describes President George W. Bush and the federal government as displaying or embodying characteristics typically associated with the Third World nation-state. By examining similarities and difference between these two subsets we can better understand the motives for employing the "Third World" label to describe institutions.

New Orleans and Louisiana Government as a Third World State

The mass media coverage reporting on the arrival and aftermath of Hurricane Katrina associated the Third World with local government in eleven different articles. The local government was defined as the government institutions (and their representatives) from New Orleans, the state of Louisiana and the state of Florida. Only one of the eleven articles mentioned Florida. From these eleven articles, three general characteristics described the local government state institutions as Third World. First, the local government emerged as disorganized and lacking leadership. An editorial in *The Oregonian* (Portland, Oregon) wrote "No American city can be fully prepared for this kind of disaster, but the response in New Orleans was stunningly slow and confused. Today New Orleans looks, and behaves, like a Third World nation, not one of America's proudest cities."[152] *The Oregonian* acknowledged that Hurricane Katrina's

magnitude was reason enough for some initial hesitation, but went on to argue that no American

city would have treated its people so poorly. The editorial explicitly claimed that only a Third

World nation would respond to such a catastrophe with slow and confused actions. As such, the

Third World nation was once again juxtaposed to America, where adjectives like stranded and

desperate characterized the relationship between the Third World New Orleans government and

its people.

The state of Louisiana, specifically Governor Kathleen Blanco, was also blamed for an

awkward and inept response to Hurricane Katrina. Sherry M. Leventhal, editorializing in *The*

Boston Globe, says the following about Governor Blanco and Louisiana's reaction to the

consequences of Katrina:

> The governor of Louisiana is equally to blame for the gross mismanagement of relief
>
> efforts. When she should have been exhibiting leadership and strength, she came across
>
> as overwhelmed and inept. Her lack of organization and planning for hurricane relief in a
>
> state that is so vulnerable to storms of this magnitude is appalling. When asked what she
>
> would like to tell the people of Louisiana who were desperate for help, she said that she
>
> would like to tell them to pray.[153]

Leventhal's clear disgust represented the anger and frustration many felt toward the local

government. Mismanaged and overwhelmed characterized the local government's reaction to the

utter devastation. Leventhal explicitly blamed Governor Blanco, implying that the consequences

could have been avoided if the local government had acted differently. Blanco's weak inaction

was juxtaposed against strong characteristics. The media coverage revealed more comparisons of

an unorganized and awkward government against the desires for a strong, efficient and capable

government.

Second, the mass media coverage emphasized corruption as a characteristic linking the local government with Third World institutions. *The Washington Post* reported an exchange between Larry Craig, a Republican senator from Idaho, and Cesar Burgos, a New Orleans' lawyer, highlighting the accusations of corruption:

> Sen. Larry E. Craig (R-Idaho), a member of the Appropriations Committee that will dole out recovery money, told Idaho's Lewiston Morning Tribune that "fraud is in the culture of Iraqis. I believe that is true in the state of Louisiana as well. . . . Louisiana and New Orleans are the most corrupt governments in our country and they always have been." Cesar R. Burgos, a New Orleans lawyer on the mayor's rebuilding commission, believes the city is being punished by Craig and others for the sins of its political past. "It's frustrating to hear the same thing over and over as if we're some unorganized Third World country," Burgos said. "Don't kick somebody when they're down."[154]

Without hesitation, Senator Craig linked the governments in New Orleans and Louisiana to a culture of corruption. While the senator asserted that the corruption ran deep, the corruption in question regards rebuilding the devastated areas. At stake was billions of dollars in state and other contracts for cleaning up and developing the impacted areas. Hurricane Katrina struck the Gulf Coast at a time when the United States was pre-occupied with the war on terrorism, specifically the removal of Saddam Hussien from Iraq. The U.S. liberation and subsequent development of Iraq had raised similar questions about corruption. Individuals across the world were inquiring about how certain companies received sole source, mega contracts. The senator implied that Third World governments like Iraq and Louisiana were corrupt, whereas First World or non-Third World institutions were law abiding.

In response to Senator Craig's accusations, Cesar Burgos identified the bigotry. Burgos' reaction is interesting, not because he identifies the bigotry attributed to the Third World but because he refuted whether or not New Orleans and Louisiana were definitionally the Third World. He implied that Craig was correct about the Third World being corrupt and unorganized but it was not *as if* the Gulf Coast is really the Third World. The media exchange between Craig and Burgos demonstrated the clear association between corruption and the Third World and the value judgment that the "Third World" label was a derogatory label.

Third, the media coverage also characterized the local government as incompetent in their handling of Hurricane Katrina. Incompetence described the local government's actions both before and after Katrina's landfall. Z. Dwight Billingsly articulated the sentiment portraying the New Orleans and Louisiana's ineptitude prior to Katrina,

> In New Orleans, despite advance warnings of up to five days, an incompetent black Democratic male mayor and an incompetent white Democratic female governor -- not our president -- were the cause of too much unnecessary suffering. Regardless how one views the disparate impact of the hurricane across racial and class lines, in Louisiana, incompetence knows no racial or gender boundaries.[155]

In this case, the writer explicated the link between incompetence and ignorant negligence. Implicitly, incompetence was tied to party lines, Democratic versus Republican.

Media sources also blame local government for incompetence after Katrina's arrival. *The New York Times* reported incompetence regarding the allocation of funds and institutional support, when it noted,

> That kind of attitude toward federal procedures may not inspire confidence as billions of dollars head their way to Louisiana. As it is, the state's chronic underfinancing of

services, its poor educational system and a low-wage job base have led to frequent

complaints that Louisiana resembles a third world nation.[156]

Incompetence here constituted poor investment of funds. *The New York Times* accused the

Louisiana of resembling a Third World state because of the way it chooses to allocate money.

The characteristic of incompetence is directly linked to the Third World state, linking poorly

developed infrastructures with calculated government decisions.

President Bush and the Federal Government as a Third World State

The media coverage of Hurricane Katrina revealed that the federal government embodied

Third World characteristics in twenty different articles. Out of those twenty articles, eleven

specifically referenced American President George W. Bush. I draw out three types of

characteristics emblematic of the associations attributed to the federal government. First, the

federal government was characterized as slow, disorganized, bureaucratic and unprepared for

Katrina's consequences. *The Guardian* (London) provided an international perspective on the

U.S. federal government's fumbled reaction to Katrina,

> It [Hurricane Katrina] has also exposed the United States government, and George Bush
>
> at the head of it, to charges of badly mishandling what looks like being one of the
>
> country's worst ever natural disasters...America is the richest and most powerful country
>
> on earth. But its citizens, begging for food, water and help, are suffering agonies more
>
> familiar from Sudan and Niger. The worst of the third world has come to the Big Easy.[157]

Critics across the globe accused the Bush Administration of so mishandling the federal

government's response that the government is compared to the Third World, enabling travesties

typically associated with the Third World to happen. America was presented as rich and

powerful, implying that the United States or a First World country would have had all of the

resources available to protect and provide for its citizens. In comparison, Third World governments could not be organized or resourceful enough to prevent starvation and suffering from happening to its citizens. The "Third World" label was employed here to describe a fundamental breakdown in the relationship between the government and its citizens.

Second, the federal government's response to Katrina was characterized in the media as incompetence. *The Boston Herald* reported that Oxfam America President Raymond Offenheiser "[b]lamed the inadequate government response on deep cuts in the Federal Emergency Management Agency's budget over the years. ``It's about a failure of leadership and a failure to fund the institutions adequately for them to meet their missions,'' Offenheiser said."[158] Offenheiser's perspective is unique because of his status as President of Oxfam America, "an old hand at Third World disaster recovery."[159] Offenheiser's Third World commentary portrays the U.S. government's negligence as systemic rather than mere short-sightedness.

Mismanagement extended beyond passive negligence. Media coverage also reported critics who suggested that the government was guilty of active and malicious ineptitude. *The Nelson Mail* (New Zealand) wrote,

> Scenes of human suffering that are more commonly associated with the Third World have been relentlessly displayed across the United States and around the globe. The richest nation has been shown to be poorly equipped to quickly help its own people and to be unforgivably ill-prepared for a disaster that everyone, apparently, knew was likely to happen. Worse, its inept handling of this human crisis has given rise to a widespread belief that the government does not care about the poor blacks who have been most seriously affected.[160]

Third World associations not typically ascribed to the United States were being continuously displayed throughout the mainstream media coverage following Hurricane Katrina. *The Nelson Mail* (New Zealand) editorial argued that the U.S. actions went beyond negligence. The Third World characteristics described represent the governmentally sponsored oppression of a subgroup of the population.

Third, the press labeled the U.S. federal government as racist for its conduct in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. The executive director of the State Commission on African American Affairs Rosalund Jenkins was quoted in *The Seattle Times* proclaiming, "I'll say it if nobody else is willing. I happen to think if those tens of thousands had been 90 percent white and 10 percent black instead of the other way around, the government's response would've been faster." [161] Jenkins was not alone in ascribing a racist motive to the government's response after Katrina. A growing worldwide sentiment was reported in *The Washington Post*,

International reaction has shifted in many cases from shock, sympathy and generosity to a growing criticism of the Bush administration's response to the catastrophe of Hurricane Katrina. In nations often divided by dueling sentiments of admiration and distaste for the United States, many people see at best incompetence and at worst racism in the chaos gripping much of the Gulf Coast. [162]

The media blamed the government's active neglect and ignorance of whole segments of poor, black people for a slow and disorganized disaster relief effort. Judie Lopez is quoted in the *San Antonio Express-News* expressing disgust,

It is a national disgrace that days after Katrina, there are people living in the most horrendous conditions in New Orleans. The richest nation in the world takes days to begin to evacuate people from that ravaged city. Where was Homeland Security? Where

was George W. Bush? Shame, shame. We have become like Third World countries that care only about the upper tier of their society.[163]

Lopez' editorial established two important relationships. On the one hand, the United States was initially characterized as a rich nation separate and different from the Third World. On the other hand, America became a Third World country, defined by disgrace, horrendous conditions and a government that only considers the interests of elite.

Third World Motivations: Labeling a Third World State

I employ cluster analysis to better understand the different ways and possible motivations for which the "Third World" label is used throughout the media reporting surrounding Hurricane Katrina. In this chapter, I focus on how the "Third World" label was used to describe and characterize the varying levels of government involvement leading up to and following Katrina's landfall. Using the emergence and history of the Third World state institution as a background, I have highlighted the relationships in the media coverage between characteristics historically attributed to the Third World and the reported features of the government's reaction to Katrina. In this section, I analyze these relationships to articulate possible motives for identifying and labeling a government entity as a Third World state.

The local, state and federal governments described throughout the post-Katrina media coverage were described as agents embodying characteristics or carrying out acts historically ascribed to the Third World state. The media portrayed the local New Orleans and Louisiana governments as Third World because they were acting disorganized, corrupt, incompetent and without leadership. The media depicted the United States federal government as Third World because it came across as slow, unorganized, bureaucratic, incompetent, negligent and racist.

Burke's agent-agency ratio helps explain the relationship between these government entities and their reported attributes and characteristics. While agents may embody actions like eating a sandwich, agents also contain agency or the means by which an agent carries out an act. In the sandwich eating example, the agent could be eating hastily or idly because the agent is motivated by starvation or driven by an illness. The agency or characteristics associated with the act in this example are haste and idleness. In the context of this study, the government entities are the agents in the media coverage and the traits associated with the government agents, like disorganization, mismanagement, corruption, racism, etc, are the agencies within the agent-agency ratio. Burke elaborates,

> Meanwhile, we should be reminded that the term *agent* embraces not only all words general or specific for person, actor, character, individual, hero, villain, father, doctor, engineer, but also words, moral or functional, for *patient*, and words for the motivational properties or agents, such as "drives," "instincts," "states of mind." We may also have collective words for agent, such as nation, group." [164]

For this study, Burke's insight on the range of meaning available in depictions of actors can be interpreted as the governmental characteristics or how the government as an agent reported, represented or carried itself in relationship to the Gulf Coast. The agencies in this ratio were both active and inactive. For example, disorganized responses, mismanaged relief efforts and corruption were active agencies. Negligence and ignorance were still agencies, even if they were demonstrated passively or through not acting.

Burke's example of "the resistance of the Russian armies to the Nazi invasion" provides a heuristic for understanding the clusters in terms of agent-agency and not scene-agent.[165] In the example, the Russian resistance was explained scenically if one was crediting Russian resistance

to Socialism. Socialism was playing the part of the scenic background within which the acts of resistance were contained. However; if one was linking the acts of resistance to Russian character traits, the act of resistance was deduced from the agent – "these are essentially motives located in the agent, hence requiring no acknowledgement of socialist motives."[166] While this analysis privileges the agent-agency ratio and not the act-agent ratio, Burke's comparison provides good support why the motivations were in the agent and not the scene. The scenic qualities of the Third World were nowhere to be found in the clusters that described the government institutions in the media coverage. This analysis identified agents who were disorganized, mismanaged, incompetent, inept, racist and corrupt. If the "Third World" state labels were motivated scenically, the media coverage would have revealed references to the Third World scene; like non-alliance, resistance, democracy, socialism, capitalism and communism.

The agent-agency ratio explains the relationship between the government characteristics and the motives for employing the "First World" and "Third World" labels throughout the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Recall the *The Oregonian* editorial that claimed New Orleans was behaving like a Third World nation, no longer a proud American city. New Orleans went from a government agent characterized by pride and being American to a government agent who was slow and confused. This relationship was consistent throughout the coverage. The government, whether local, state or federal, was a First World American government described in the media as typically competent and responsive to the needs of its people. Following Katrina's landfall, the media stopped reporting any First World agencies and largely depicted descriptions more indicative of Third World governments. While the agent stayed the same, the agencies or reported characteristics and labels used to describe them changed.

Critique, blame and responsibility were the main motives for employing the "Third World" label to characterize government agencies in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. Burke notes that "[O]ne may deflect attention from scenic matters by situating the motives of an act in the agent."[167] While it was not the acts themselves that were labeled Third World, the agency or the means for carrying out the government actions were reported as Third World. Deflecting attention or blame away from the scene onto an agent has two important implications. First, the scene was much more difficult to intervene into or change. If the United States, as the scene, had become or was always already the Third World, the American dream failed. Indicting the scene as a problem or cause of the disastrous status quo implicates a way of life, in a sense everyone would become culpable. Revealing America, capitalism or democracy as the conditions that made possible a tremendous catastrophe is not going to inspire change in anyone. On the contrary, if the Third World was identified in an agency, the agent can change. For example, the government stopped delaying action as a response to Katrina and started calling in different agencies and troops to bring relief and order. Or in the extreme, if the Third World was linked to the agent through their agency, an agent can be removed. One witnessed this strategy in President Bush's removal of Federal Emergency Management Agency Director Michael Brown. Also, Bush's mishandling of Hurricane Katrina was identified as a key turning point in his popularity and the consequent election of President Barak Obama.

Second, the difference in agencies ascribed to the federal versus the local agents revealed a motive rooted in power imbalances. The local New Orleans and Louisiana governments were characterized as corrupt while the federal government was described as racist. Corruption, in a sense, implied that one is lacking power and resources. Racism suggested, however, that one was in a position of power and had the resources at hand to treat another population maliciously. The

results of this analysis seemed to put more blame and responsibility on the federal government than on the local. The number of articles citing either the local or the federal agents responsible validated this conclusion. Remember that only eleven articles reported the local authorities embodying Third World characteristics whereas the federal government was blamed in twenty articles for responding with Third World agency. In attributing corruption to the local government and racism to the federal government, the media coverage placed a larger burden of responsibility and blame on the federal government than on the local government.

CHAPTER 4.

HURRICANE KATRINA AND THE PEOPLE OF THE THIRD WORLD

To remove people from their own story as a precondition for their access to publicly managed resources and services is a central feature of the political disorganization of subordinated classes. Authoritative labelling, defining the boundaries of competence or relevance in policy fields and bureaucratic encounters, has this function. Within the donative discourse of development policy, programmes are directed towards activity which is weakly linked or de-linked by ideological representation or practice to multidimensional systems of exchange or social structural history. The donative discourse brings the notion of development very close to relief and charity – people become 'refugees', itinerants', 'slum dwellers', vagrants' and so on.

Geof Wood[168]

The aim of this chapter is to analyze how the mass media employed the "Third World" label to portray the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast in their coverage of Hurricane Katrina. To accomplish this, I will first lay out a brief understanding of the "Third World" label and its characterizations of a Third World people. I will then organize and examine the clusters describing a Third World people in the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Finally, I will draw conclusions for why the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast might have been labeled as Third World.

<u>An Introduction to the People of the Third World</u>

The historical relationship between the people living in the Third World and the "Third World" label is rooted in the development doctrine. The origins of the modern Third World emanate from practices of development. American President Harry S Truman's inaugural address ushered in the modern era of development. President Truman stated,

> I believe that we should make available to peace-loving peoples the benefits of our store
>
> of technical knowledge in order to help them realize their aspirations for a better life.
>
> And, in cooperation with other nations, we should foster capital investment in areas
>
> needing development.[169]

In conjunction with other developed countries the U.S. appointed itself the guarantor of improvement for people's lives. The improvement or development assistance was defined by President Truman in terms of American technical knowledge and capital investment. Only those populations who qualified as peace-loving would be the recipients of the American outlined development. Tucker evaluates this program of development as domination: "Development is the process whereby other peoples are dominated and their identities are shaped according to an essentially Western way of conceiving and perceiving the world. The development discourse is part of an imperial process whereby other peoples are appropriated and turned into objects."[170] The development initiatives characterized by President Truman's inaugural address fit Tucker's description of imperialist development practices. The lives President Truman was concerned with were only considered salvageable if they were peace-loving. The underdeveloped peoples were treated as objects, upon which American technological knowledge could shape their identities according to standards of the already developed world.

The emphasis on development recast the people from underdeveloped to members of the Third World. Prior to this shift, the connotations surrounding the underdeveloped label were becoming negative and increasingly unpopular. Hadjor explains,

> The attraction of the term [Third World] has partly been due to its seeming avoidance of the static and often negative connotations of other terms used to encapsulate the same areas of the world. Before the term 'Third World' appeared, a very different vocabulary held the field. World like 'backward', 'underdeveloped', 'uncivilized', 'primitive', even 'barbarian' or 'savage', abounded and expressed both the traditions of Western superiority, whether it be in a racist or paternalist version.[171]

Here, Hadjor advances two important claims. First, development practitioners, academics and policymakers altered their way of describing Truman's underdeveloped populations. They recognized that referring to a people as savage or inferior as a justification for assisting them down the path of progress was becoming unacceptable. Second, Hadjor emphasized the "Third World" label only seemed to avoid the older negative colonialist assumptions that accompanied the underdeveloped label. The "Third World" label was preferred because the label was deemed more natural. Instead of referring to the people as savages or barbarians, the people were described as poor and uneducated, demographics that could be proven scientifically and economically. Whole industries and even global institutions emerged around these naturalized and scientifically sound categories. In them, the "Third World" label transcended such antiquated understandings of world and community politics but, in practice, the "Third World" label reinforced characteristics associated with people of the underdeveloped world.

"Violent" and "criminal" were two of the adjectives associated with people of the Third World. In part, the crime description was rooted in economic stagnation. With constant instability permeating the lives of these individuals, resorting to crime was commonplace. Caroline O. N. Moser argues, "Residents in all three developing country contexts perceived an escalation in levels of crime and violence, which they attributed to increasing unemployment, particularly among young men, and growing drug and alcohol abuse."[172] While crime has often been accompanied by violence, a different kind of violence was also associated with people of the Third World. The violence was often linked to the people's revolutionary past, anti-colonialism or internal conflict. Lawrence Neuman and Ronald J. Berger claim, "They [Third World social formations] are less industrialized, have lower standards of living, and are the sites of recent wars and rebellions."[173] Whether the motivating factors were hunger, economic

necessity, political oppression or survival, the people of the Third World were often characterized as engaged in criminal activity and violent behavior.

Despite attempted shifts by academics, policymakers and other interested parties to change the associated characteristics, the Third World people remained an object for intervention in the developmental narrative. Escobar identifies and labels this process, "Development has become the grand strategy through which the transformation of the not-yet-too rational Latin American/Third World subjectivity is to be achieved. In this way, long-standing cultural practices and meanings – as well as the social relations in which they are embedded – are altered."[174] Escobar's use of the term "strategy" is important because strategy implies there is some level of intent. With development, the intent is to literally alter the way of life for people in the Third World.

First and Second World interventions into the Third World were one way the development strategy materialized. The characteristics of the people were used as justifications for outside intervention. When the people were described as helpless, poverty stricken, disease ridden, violent or lacking the basic needs considered necessary for survival, external institutions started intervening. Stephen John Stedman argues that, "the international community's obligation to intervene wherever a state or group within a state fails to meet the humanitarian needs of its people" had become the general principle informing interventions into the Third World.[175] While stopping internal conflict or human suffering were identified as benevolent motivations for interfering in the Third World, other, less seemingly neutral reasons were also cited. During the Cold War, disorder, chaos, violence, insecurity and uncertainty within the populations of the Third World drove interventions by both the First and Second World. Mohammed Ayoob claims that "both superpowers were wary of states breaking apart in unpredictable ways that might either

work to the detriment of Moscow or Washington or involve one or both of them in messy situations from which they might be unable to extricate themselves."[176] Critics claimed that outside parties only intervened when their security interests were at stake or the intervention served them politically.[177]

The communities inhabiting the Third World were not merely transformed, they were often removed from their own context toward an end goal of progress. From the perspective of development programs and discourse, the individuals or community making up the Third World were forced into a subject position other than their own in order to be considered eligible for various forms of aid. Wood describes this process of removal as "a central feature of the political disorganization of subordinated classes."[178] Stripped and de-linked from their individual biographies and historical narratives, the Third World people were described by their label, "'refugees', itinerants', 'slum dwellers', vagrants' and so on."[179] The people of the Third World were not known for their individual stories but by the homogenous shared characteristics embodied in a label.

The "refugee" label was perhaps the most prominent label used to describe the people from the Third World. The term "refugee" was a dominant label because of its adoption by the international community and non-governmental. Roger Zetter claims, "Within the repertoire of humanitarian concern, refugee now constitutes one of the most powerful labels."[180] The turbulent and unstable history of the Third World drives the use and force of the refugee label. B. E. Harrell-Bond and E. Voutira explains the contemporary origins of modern refugees,

> The history of refugees in this century began with the replacement of the old multi-ethnic European empires by the new world order of sovereign nation states. Hundreds of thousands of people were forced to flee their homes because they did not 'belong', they

did not fit the nationalist principle of 'one state, one culture' (Gellner 1983) and thus

could not be accommodated within European national state borders. [181]

The rise of the nation-state imposed new and different forms of law and community. The lives

and stories prevalent within the communities were stripped away with the change in governance.

The "refugee" label was used to describe people who did not belong to the new nation-state and

no longer had the old empire to call home.

The emergence and increased awareness of Third World states amplified the popularity of

the "refugee" label. While the turn of the nineteenth century witnessed the replacement of

empires with modern nation-states, the twentieth century was characterized by the conflict,

turmoil and violence of world wars and countless regional bloodshed. In the midst of these

various hostilities were the destruction, portioning and creation of nation-states. Aristide Zolberg

argues, "Underdevelopment contributes to the generation of refugee flows in that it fosters the

adoption of authoritarian strategies of state and nation formation, whose execution entails

political persecution of certain categories of the population."[182] The chaos associated with

colonialist struggles and an almost constant presence of war forced many people to flee. Zolberg

concludes, "refugee flows in the post-World War II period have added up to unprecedented

numbers."[183] The "refugee" label then became largely associated with individuals, groups and

populations who had left volatile circumstances in search of security and assistance.

The broad history of refugees helps identify and yield insight into the characteristics

commonly associated with the people in the Third World. First, the appearance of refugees was

credited to the failure of government to care for its population. Emma Haddad explains, "[T]he

fundamental criterion necessary for refugee status is a breakdown in the state-citizen relationship

within a sustaining political community."[184] Second, the broken relationship between citizens

and their states informed common refugee characterizations. For example, Zetter suggests "dependency and control" are intrinsic concepts in the refugees' relationships with government institutions.[185] Labeling someone or a group of people as refugees, Zetter maintains, "prescribes an assumed set of needs (food, shelter and protection) together with appropriate distributional apparatus."[186] The label became more institutionalized because levels of aid and assistance depended on whether one was labeled a refugee, an internally displaced person or an asylum seeker. Finally, the "refugee" label was not merely negative or destructive. While some refugees cast off the connotations of dependency and neediness, other refugees embraced the label as productive as a way to access resources or control of one's life. Haddad explains, "[T]his identity [refugee] may create solidarity which can be used in the refugees' favour to apply pressure on the labellers – governments, refugee organisations and aid workers."[187] Individuals have demanded the status of refugees so that their interests can be represented and received on a governmental and international level. For many refugees, claiming the label was their only way to access needed resources.

The history of the relationship between the Third World and the people of the Third World enables a better understanding of the "Third World" label in the mass media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Though critics have attempted to change the meanings associated with the populations of the Third World, several characteristics remain. Generally speaking, the people of the Third World were not treated as individuals but as a homogenous population. The population was often described as poor, underdeveloped, criminal and violent. The depth and richness of an individual is reduced and encompassed in group labels, most commonly the label refugee. In the following section, this study will utilize this history of the people of the Third World to analyze the Third World clusters referring to the people of the Gulf Coast.

The Third World as People in Katrina Coverage

The mainstream mass media referred to the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast in thirty-nine of the ninety-four article sample. For purposes of discussion, I have divided the clusters emerging from depictions of the people into four groupings: demographics, criminal, refugee and heroic characteristics. These four sets of characterizations were both the most prevalent and offered the richest descriptions for cluster analysis.

The first set of clusters described the people of the Gulf Coast by their demographic characteristics. In ten different articles, the media coverage in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina reported about the poor, black people left behind in the Gulf Coast. Richard Halicks, of the *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, commented that, "The faces upturned and pleading as the helicopters flew past were invariably black and poor and abandoned."[188] Kevin Sullivan, writing for *The Washington Post*, extended Halicks commentary into the international sphere, "From Argentina to Zimbabwe, front-page photos of the dead and desperate in New Orleans, almost all of them poor and black, have sickened them and shaken assumptions about American might."[189] The reporting that included the demographic clusters drew analogies with other poor, black cultures around the globe. Sullivan's piece claimed the descriptions of poor, black citizens largely forgotten and abandoned made the Gulf Coast look more "like Haiti, or Baghdad, or Sudan, Bangladesh or Sri Lanka" than the United States.[190] The comparison of the suddenly visible black and poor American faces in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina to places outside of the United States was not uncommon. Bill McKibben, of *Newsday* (New York), stated,

> Over and over in the last few weeks, people have said that the scenes from the convention
> center, the highway overpasses and the other suddenly infamous Crescent City venues
> didn't "look like America," that they seemed instead to be straight from the Third World.

That was almost literally accurate, for poor, black New Orleans (whose life had never previously been of any interest to the larger public) is not so different from other poor and black parts of the world.[191]

The mostly poor, black populations abandoned in the wake of Katrina's devastation closely resembled the commonly assumed population of the rest of the Third World. While the public seemingly knew that portions of the American population were poor and black, the clusters revealed an American public shocked by the similarities between the people of the Gulf Coast and the people in the Third World.

In the aftermath of Katrina, the media coverage additionally portrayed the people of the Gulf Coast as criminal in ten articles. Four articles described the people as "gangs," four articles referenced criminal activities and two articles referred to the residents as "thugs." The coverage in a *Times-Picayune* (New Orleans) piece was representative of how the communication constructed the people of the area as criminals and gangs. The *Times-Picayune* reported,

One law enforcement officer who was bringing a canister of ammo said: "The problem is, they let those thugs get the best of them. Everyone says they want law and order, but they don't want no one's head getting cracked." Further uptown, police and military were generally scarce, and many residents who had decided to ride things out changed their minds, citing the lawless climate.[192]

The coverage did not report individual criminals; rather the media reported about groups of "thugs." There were no isolated criminals or incidents but amorphous threats of group crime and violence. The article not only treated the people of New Orleans as "thugs" but then distinguished between the "thugs" and the residents. The piece made it appear as if the "thugs" were not also residents.

The media characterized the people as Third World by referring to their thug-like characteristics. Jerry Newton, a 54 year-old florist from New Orleans, was quoted in the *Daily News* (New York), ""When the lights go out, they are not here," Newton said." And then the thugs come out murdering people. It's like a Third World nation."[193] Newton explicitly associated the emergence of thugs with the Third World. The thug characteristics were not merely theft or looting, but also included violent crimes like murder. Leventhal, in a letter to the editor, identified "uncontrolled gangs of thugs" with the Third World and the Gulf Coast.[194] Much of the mass media coverage treated the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast as violent, chaotic and threatening.

While the media characterized the people of the Gulf Coast by their demographic makeup and proclivity for criminal activity, the most common, controversial and memorable label used to describe them was "refugee." Fifteen different articles employed the "refugee" label either to describe the people from the affected areas or to engage in the media debate surrounding the label's usage. Cara Buckley, of *The Seattle Times*, told the story of Earl Clark, a longtime resident of New Orleans. Buckley reported,

> Clark had fled New Orleans grudgingly but with little regret, so confident was he of coming back. But like tens of thousands of fellow evacuees from the drowned city, Clark has been forced to face a harrowing reality: He is no longer an evacuee but a refugee, and there is no home to return to anymore.[195]

In this case, "refugee" was not a blanket label. The reporter used "refugee" because of a change in circumstances. Clark was an "evacuee" when it was believed he might return to his home in New Orleans. Clark became a "refugee" once he realized he can never return home. In *The*

Seattle Times, the word "refugee" was used to characterize an individual because they were literally seeking refugee, they were without a home.

Within the media coverage of Katrina's landfall on the Gulf Coast, refugees were considered intrinsic to the Third World. Buckley and others did not think refugees could exist in the United States. For them, refugees were a Third World characteristic. She claimed, "Experts are used to tackling this kind of problem in war zones or Third World countries. That these refugees are Americans even the poorest of whom are used to certain standards of living complicates the matter enormously."[196] Refugee was linked to the Third World through characteristics of uncertainty, turbulence and low standards of living. The refugee characteristic continued the juxtaposition of the Third World against America. The post-Katrina media coverage revealed the dichotomy that refugees were supposed to belong in the Third World, but were unfathomable in the United States.

The public reaction to the use of the "refugee" label in media coverage played an even more dominant role than the use of the label itself. Analyzing the media exchange over the "refugee" label will explicate the different ways the people were labeled throughout the post-Katrina coverage. Eleven different articles spoke directly to the question of using the "refugee" label to report on the people of the Gulf Coast. In addition to the number of articles, the magnitude of expressed outrage was high. Frederick L. Daniels Jr., a senior vice president for Citizens Trust Bank, was quoted in *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution* claiming that the use of the refugee label was "a direct insult to the people who have suffered through the worst disaster in this nation's history."[197] Daniels followed up his objection with a question: "Is it the fact that most of those evacuated from New Orleans and the Gulf region are people of color who are poor, disfranchised and represent a Third World country quality of life that contributes to the flippant

attitude regarding the use of 'refugee'?... A better word would be 'evacuee.'"[198] Implicit in

Daniels' sentiment was the presumption that America was different from and above the Third

World. Ernie Suggs, a reporter for *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, made the relationship

explicit when he asked, "People had been traumatized enough; why traumatize them further?"[199]

Suggs rhetorical question spoke to the intensity surrounding the media coverage's use of the

"refugee" label in reporting about the people of the Gulf Coast. The vocal public covered in post-

Katrina media outlets were outraged that people who had already suffered one of the worst

hurricanes in United States history were also being tormented through the use of the negative

label. Most of the editorial reporting concluded with Daniels and Suggs that the media should not

have used the "refugee" label because of its associated characteristics. Instead, a label like

"evacuee" was more appropriate.

The "refugee" label's association with the Third World was a key reason the label became

controversial. Dick Rogers, of *The San Francisco Chronicle*, reported on the dispute,

> While a refugee simply may be someone seeking refuge from disaster, it also is frequently
>
> associated with someone who flees a Third World country to find safety and comfort
>
> elsewhere. That gives rise to the argument that calling the hurricane's victims refugees is
>
> dismissive, suggesting that they're somehow less American, less worthy than the rest of
>
> us.[200]

According to the argument's logic, the "refugee" label was incorrect because the individuals

affected were citizens of the United States, not individuals without a nation-state. Citizens are

not treated like refugees. Refugees, according to Daniels' characterizations, were poor people of

color with lower standards of living and no governmental support to help in a time of need.

Citizens, however, were supposed to have a responsive state government looking out for their best interest, security and livelihood.

Critics also claimed the controversy over the "refugee" label reflected more about the American perspective than on the label itself. Nancy Barnes, who wrote a letter to the editor in the *Buffalo News* (New York), commented,

> They seem to think the word "reduces" Americans to Third World status. Such a
> consideration betrays an elitism that is insulting to those who live in developing
> countries. To set the record straight, the word doesn't define who a person is, but rather a
> set of circumstances in which one is caught.[201]

Barnes referred to leaders of the African-American community who believed the "refugee" label was employed because the people surviving Hurricane Katrina were mostly poor and black. Barnes' response refuted the notion that refugees or the Third World were intrinsically less than American citizens. She claimed the massive public outcry was indicative of an American exceptionalism that believed Americans should not be treated without food, shelter or government protection. Lavinia Limon, President and Chief Executive of the U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants, offered a unique perspective on the "refugee" label question. While understanding that individuals may refuse the "refugee" label, Limon argued that, "Being a refugee should not be a pejorative term."[202] The public debate over the mass media use of the "refugee" label raised important questions about the characteristics associated with the label and the assumptions involved in employing or repudiating the refugee label.

The mass media coverage of local resilience and character demonstrated that the representations of the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast were not entirely negative; the broadcasts reported many of the more positive associations attributed to the people. Twelve

different articles referenced some anecdote about a neighbor or stranger helping out a person in

need. Eliot Kamenitz, of New Orleans, reported the local generosity and spirit in the *Times-*

Picayune (New Orleans),

> It's a lousy place to build a city. It just happens to be populated by some very hearty
>
> people, who are only going to get better as they demand more of themselves. This is why
>
> I have to stay. This is my community. I am staying because that's where the New
>
> Orleanians will be.[203]

In stark contrast to describing the people of New Orleans as dirty, corrupt or refugees, Kamenitz

explained his decision to stay in terms of the people's character. Wonderful, kindness and

beautiful were how others described the character and actions of the people of New Orleans and

the Gulf Coast.[204] Kamenitz stayed because of the community that had developed in and around

New Orleans. Jeannette Russell and Lorraine Quillen, sisters and residents of New Orleans, told

the story of Quillen's nephew Johnnie Parker to exemplify New Orleans' local heroes.[205]

Parker's heroism was attributed to his evacuation assistance and diligence aiding those affected

by Katrina. Despite the seemingly negatively veiled economic, political and demographic

descriptions of the displaced peoples, media outlets reported heroic characteristics about the local

culture.

The clustered associations used to describe the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast

provide a means of better understanding the use of the "Third World" label in the media

coverage of Hurricane Katrina. The people were described in what was reported as largely

negative terms: poor, black, gangs and refugees. The people affected by Katrina and the public

critics decried the media labeling as treating the individuals as inferior and further traumatizing

their well-being. The media coverage and public pushback, however, was not entirely negative.

While in the minority, some media outlets and individuals reported the positive experiences and characteristics that the displaced people encountered and embodied after Katrina's aftermath. The following section will conclude this chapter by analyzing the possible motivations for employing the "Third World" label to describe the people of the Gulf Coast.

Third World Motivations: Labeling a Third World People

Burke's agent-act ratio describes how and why the Third World characteristics are associated with the people of the Gulf Coast in the mass media coverage following Hurricane Katrina. In describing the function of the ratio, Burke writes,

> The agent does not "contain" the act, though its results might be said to "pre-exist virtually" within him. And the act does not "synecdochically share" in the agent, though certain ways of acting may be said to induce corresponding moods or traits of character...the act-agent ratio more strongly suggests a temporal or sequential relationship than a purely positional or geometric one. The agent is an author of his acts, which are descended from him, being good progeny if he is good, or bad progeny if he is bad...his acts can make him or remake him in accordance with their nature. They would be his product and/or he would be theirs.[206]

Throughout the media coverage of the people, the agents and their acts were dominant. The agents were the people defined by their homogenized demographics or characteristics. The acts were characterized as criminal, violent and disorderly on the one hand and heroic on the other hand.

The agent-act ratio is able to account for the different and even seemingly contradictory agents and acts described in the media coverage. For Burke, rhetors may reference multiple agents associated with a cluster of acts. For example, Burke argues bad acts come from bad

agents whereas good acts descend from good agents. In the Hurricane Katrina coverage, the people were largely described homogenously according to their demographics and the "refugee" label. These poor, black refugees were described as producing acts that were criminal and violent in nature. By contrast, a few individuals were not treated universally within the population but were separated out as individuals. In these cases, the media did not obscure the individual from his or her respective story. The individual story was distinct from the acts clustered around the homogenous group. In opposition to the group's disorderly acts, the individual acts described a hearty culture that included a number of wonderful and kind people. Even though the agents and corresponding acts may appear contradictory, the media presence of both positive and negative agents and acts is explained by the division between good and bad agents.

Analyzing the agent-act ratio also provides possible motivations for the media use of the "Third World" label to describe the people of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast in the coverage of Hurricane Katrina. Separating, or keeping separate, the people of the United States from the Third World was one potential motivating force for identifying the people of the Gulf Coast with Third World characteristics. The shock and outrage expressed by the public in the clusters demonstrated that the unseemly reports of poor, black people either stranded helpless or participating in criminal activity did not fit within the public image one typically has of American citizens. The media coverage might have labeled the people of the Gulf Coast as Third World to ensure they remained at a distance from the First World America. The acts are tied or linked back to the agent. If Americans were to be linked to acts typically characteristic of the Third World, the legitimacy of America's First World status would be in question. The history of refugees magnified this motivation. The characteristics associated with refugees were evoked by failed states, human suffering violence, and a broken relationship between the government and its

people. The people identified and the acts committed on the Gulf Coast had to be separated from the people of the United States to preserve the identity and order of an American First World.

A second possible reason for labeling the people of the Gulf Coast with Third World associations is to prefigure or shape the responses to Katrina's aftermath. On the one hand, labeling the effected people similar to people of the Third World can invoke feelings of sympathy and calls for assistance from the First World. For example, the "refugee" label calls forth the imagery and history of development aid. People needing shelter, food and security are taken care of by government institutions, non-government organizations and the general public. The stories about individuals providing help along the Gulf Coast and throughout the United States demonstrate a productive function of using the Third World characteristics to describe the people. The actual stories of the individuals might have been employed to provoke identification with the effected peoples.

On the other hand, the very same Third World descriptions can be used to deny assistance or aid to the people of the Gulf Coast. The refugee literature and debate in the media coverage suggested that governments delay or deny assistance based on refugee status. While denial or delay may seem like hostile actions, they are often justified because of seemingly innocent concerns about resources, politics and jurisdiction.

The media use of individualized stories and homogenous labels provides a third potential motivating force for the Third World depictions. In contrast to the individual stories, the descriptions used to distance and denigrate the people of the Gulf Coast were homogenous characterizations stripped of their individuality. The particular people of the Gulf Coast were transformed into refugees, thugs and armed gangs. The very same descriptions were used throughout the Third World history to justify interventions by outside actors. The American

public would not accept armed soldiers and tanks patrolling New Orleans if the survivors were merely American individuals who needed assistance. The people had to be transformed into a Third World population with their subsequent violent and criminal acts to defend the military involvement. Military involvement was necessary to secure the people and stop the Third World acts from threatening the First World American people and their ordered way of life.

Finally, the debate about what to label the people affected by Hurricane Katrina reveals motivations grounded in returning humanity to the Gulf Coast and the public's desire for guilt relief. Throughout the media coverage that discussed the use of the "refugee" label, the recurrent criticism was that the label did an injustice to the people displaced by Katrina. The label did not treat the people of the Gulf Coast as Americans, citizens or people of the First World. The "refugee" label was blamed for doing more damage to an already traumatized population. Burke's understanding of scapegoating and mortification helps explain the public's refusal of the refugee label. Burke suggests "[I]t [the scapegoat] performs the role of vicarious atonement (that is, unification, or merger, granted to those who have alienated their iniquities upon it, and so may be purified through its suffering)."[207] The scapegoat bears the burden of whatever guilt, characteristics or problems that have been thrust upon the goat by the guilt-ridden party. One is able to feel as though their guilt has been assuaged by externalizing the guilt onto the scapegoat and subsequently blaming, sacrificing or eliminating the scapegoat.

In the context of Hurricane Katrina, the "refugee" label, with its associated qualities and Third World roots, became a scapegoat to absolve the public's guilt for the historical mistreatment of the people of the Gulf Coast and public's inability to do little, if anything toward improving the material conditions of those most affected by Katrina. Barry Brummett identifies two important requirements of a scapegoat. He argues, "First, it must be anecdotal, i.e., it must be

representative of 'certain unwanted evils,' the guilt felt by those who make of the representative a scapegoat."[208] The "refugee" label was representative because the label embodied the characteristics associated with the United States failure to care for its people, both in the reference to America's history of racism and the more immediate failure to anticipate and act on Hurricane Katrina's devastation of the Gulf Coast. Brummett's second requirement is that the goat must be powerful. He claims, "Its power must be at least equal to the burden of guilt so that the sacrifice of the goat destroys a vessel strong enough to hold the transgressions."[209] Scapegoating the "refugee" label is powerful because the label can be eliminated. In fact, many newsrooms across the country stopped using the "refugee" label after a tremendous amount of public outcry. If the refugees themselves had been the object of scapegoating, the absolution would not have been nearly as successful. Individuals across the country expressed outrage over the refugee label because in a sense, the language choices used to describe the people of the Third World were the only situations they could change.

CHAPTER 5.

CONCLUSIONS

It must be recongised that there is a basic tension in the idea of a Third World. It [the Third World] can be understood as a response to global inequality but it is also a symptom of First World thinking, a category imposed as part of a specific First World way of seeing things.

Vicky Randall[210]

This study has been concerned with different ways that the "Third World" label has been employed throughout the mass media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. As Randall's proposed tension suggests, the "Third World" label has historically been both productive and destructive. Individuals and populations have invoked the "Third World" label and its attendant imagery for the purposes of empathy, resistance, assistance and solidarity. The very same label has been used to subjugate, dominate and denigrate groups, government institutions, places and whole populations. I have analyzed questions of how and why the "Third World" label was used in describing Katrina's landfall and subsequent aftermath. In doing so, this study has demonstrated the importance of incorporating a communication or more specifically a rhetorical perspective for better understanding the how and why the "Third World" label was used to describe the United States, typically thought of as the First World.

In this final chapter, I offer more general conclusions and reflections about the implications of this cluster analysis of the "Third World" label throughout the mainstream mass media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. First, I articulate what the study established about the importance of a communication perspective for better understanding Hurricane Katrina's landfall. Second, I describe what contributions this study might make toward the field of rhetoric. Finally, I identify the limitations of this study and gesture towards possibilities for future research.

Communication and Hurricane Katrina

Previous scholarly literature that discussed the events leading up to, during and after Katrina's landfall rarely, if ever, took into account the way we communicated about the event. When communication was mentioned, it was generally understood in the context of whether or not the proper crisis information was communicated or if the infrastructure for communication was in place. This study revealed other important questions a rhetorical perspective can identify and explore to better understand the events surrounding Hurricane Katrina. Utilizing the cluster analysis of the "Third World" label in the media coverage, I argued that rhetorical analysis provided insight into questions of identity, identification, media influence, community, government action/inaction and tensions in language and media coverage taking place throughout the events leading up to, during and following Hurricane Katrina's landfall.

The use of the "Third World" label to describe a seemingly First World country was a major theme throughout this study. The meaning of the "Third World" label was contradictory at moments but remained largely associated with negative characteristics. The history of the "Third World" label proved the label's use during the media coverage of places, institutions and people following Hurricane Katrina was not an aberration. The label was affiliated with paternalism, racism and a civilizing force. The label's historic use for describing recurred in the coverage. The label was not only employed to categorize and order people, places and government, but was also occasionally used to resist the very same forces. The "Third World" label helped motivate the public to accept decisions to intervene into a situation, but also kept particular parties motivated against intervention. The cluster analysis, as an example of a rhetorical perspective, emerged as a means for identifying and analyzing potential tensions within the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina.

Extended beyond mere location of moments of tension, cluster analysis demonstrated how rhetoric can navigate and comprehend seeming contradictions. The analysis of the paradoxical Third World place in a traditionally held First World place revealed one such strategy. I relied on Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca's disassociation and appearance-reality pairing to make sense of the tensions and contradictions. When one concept or perspective is in disagreement with another, a tension arises. In the context of Katrina, a tension existed because a place being simultaneously labeled First World and Third World, where the Third World history demonstrated those concepts to be largely incompatible. The appearance-reality dyad provided a way to better understand the tension and the overall media coverage of Katrina. I argued that within the reports that described the place of the Gulf Coast as the Third World, the First World was used to characterize the appearance whereas the Third World characteristics were the reality.

Disassociating appearance from reality also provides a perspective for analyzing the tensions that appeared in the media coverage. In chapter three, I discussed how the "Third World" label was employed as a tool to critique the local, state and federal government's response to Katrina. This understanding created a tension because First World and Third World characterizations seemed to reside in the same government institution. Employing Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca, I concluded that one can differentiate between the appearance of acting like a Third World government and the reality of being a First World government. The motivation was that while the governments were indeed First World governments, they were behaving like Third World governments. By utilizing the appearance-reality dyad, I argued that the media encouraged the government to move beyond the appearance of a First World country to the reality of one.

In chapter four, I identified tensions in how the people of the Gulf Coast were reported in the media coverage. On the one hand, the survivors were described as a homogenous group, often

labeled refugees, who had committed largely negative Third World acts. On the other hand, the survivors were also presented as individuals, with storied details, who had carried out positive, heroic acts. The appearance-reality distinction also helps explain this tension. The reality was that all of the people within the media coverage of Katrina had individual characteristics and stories, most of which were not reported. The appearance was of a homogenous group stripped of details and individuality. The motives for this distinction were discussed in the chapter but the disassociation made the motivations behind the coverage clearer. The appearance of a homogenized group was useful for justifying the military and humanitarian intervention. The appeal to individual stories of heroic acts was utilized for invoking identification and prompting assistance from other generous individuals. While the individual chapters yield insight into the various motivations that emerged across particular clusters, Burke's ratios provide a vehicle for understanding the primary message conveyed in the coverage.

Recall that the Third World as place was interpreted as an example of the scene-act ratio, the government institutions as Third World was described as the agent-agency ratio and the Katrina survivors as people of the Third World was explained as the agent-act ratio. While the different elements of the pentad are always in relation to one another, it was clear that the scene played the dominant role throughout this sample of media coverage. Scene-act was the dominant ratio in eighty-three articles while agent-agency was represented forty-seven times and agent-act in forty-four instances. This study also revealed that the differing ratios throughout the media coverage took place largely at the level of appearances while scene was the reality. Remember that in chapter two, this study argued that the appearance of the scene dominated in the pre-Katrina coverage of the Gulf Coast while the reality of the scene governed the post-Katrina media reporting. Even though the agents were the dominating term, in chapters three and four,

motivating the descriptions of the government institutions and people of the Gulf Coast as Third World, the reality was the scene played an even more significant factor motivating the use of the "Third World" label. The scene was dominant because the blame and responsibility could be taken away from the agents, government or individual, and placed on the change in scene. If the agents were the dominant term throughout the coverage, the people of New Orleans, the Gulf Coast, government and society at large would be accountable for the devastation wrought by Hurricane Katrina. Privileging the scene enabled the public to think that one only needed to change the scene to pre-Katrina appearances to remove the Third World agents, acts and agencies. This study concludes that the media reported and relied on Third World scenic descriptions to call for and prepare the public for eventual changes in the scene.

The significant influence that labels had on justifying preferred response options was a final way this study demonstrated a better understanding of Hurricane Katrina through rhetorical analysis. For example, one of the discussions taking place after Katrina centered on why the military, exemplified by tanks and armed soldiers, played a dominant role in assisting the Gulf Coast.[211] While Graham and Giroux provided explanations like the "War on Terror" or biopolitics, neither could demonstrate how either the military was mobilized or the people allowed such militarization to occur. Cluster analysis, an example of rhetorical analysis, was able to explain what other critics could not. This study established the "Third World" label as a way the Gulf Coast area could be transformed from a First World city to a Third World place. The repeated use of the "Third World" label was able to alter expectations about disaster response and set in motion certain interventionist practices that are deemed normal for the Third World but seemed out of place in First World America.

Contributions to Rhetorical Theory and Criticism

The cluster analysis of the "Third World" label in the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina revealed three possible contributions to the discipline of rhetorical theory and criticism. First, this study's reading of Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca's work on the appearance-reality pairing as an argumentative strategy of disassociation to interpret the scene extends the power of Burke's pentadic analysis. In chapter two, this study argued that the Gulf Coast as a Third World place was not a stable, unified scene. On the contrary, the Gulf Coast was characterized almost as if it was constituted by multiple, overlapping scenes. Specifically, a First World scene and a Third World scene. The media coverage not only reported these two scenes but also indicated that the relationship changed when Hurricane Katrina struck the United States. Before Katrina, the appearance of the First World dominated the reality of the Third World. After Katrina, the reality of the Third World dominated the First World appearance. Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca's disassociation and appearance-reality dyad helped explain the varying, interacting scenes because they were motivated and acted on by a fundamental distinction between appearance and reality. Reading multiple scenes, apparently contradicting yet still networked together, might contribute to better analysis of studies where one coherent scene cannot be explained or justified.

Second, this study provides an example of extending Burke's cluster analysis to multiple and sometimes incomplete authors and/or texts. Burke's own examples of cluster or pentadic analysis tend to be from one author's speech or text and much of the rhetorical field has followed this tradition. This cluster analysis follows in the footsteps of Heinz and Lee, who also drew from a large sample of multiple authors across the government, meat industry and public. Along with Heinz and Lee, this study has argued that cluster analysis of multiple authors and texts can begin

to access not just the motives of single authors but larger possible social-symbolic motives for employing certain clusters and their associated relationships. By seeing which ratio dominates an entire sample of media coverage, the motivations by an increasing media conglomerate might be revealed.

Third, this study supplements current approaches to Burkean cluster analysis by experimenting with the different pentadic ratios. Based on the earlier review of rhetorical studies that employed cluster analysis, I would suggest that most perform cluster analysis from within a single dominant pentadic term. By that, I mean that the critic selects one term as the root and either interprets the text exclusively from one ratio, like scene-act, or from multiple ratios all rooted in the same term, like scene-act, scene-agent, scene-agency, scene-purpose. To be clear, this style of cluster analysis rooted in a single root term has and continues to offer insightful and provocative criticism. The study operated slightly different. Instead of identifying one root ratio, I identified three different root ratios to interpret and analyze the texts. By experimenting with the different pentad terms and possible ratio relationships, I was able to explore an already complicated field of public discourse, argumentation and motivations. Even though I concluded that scene was the dominant term and motivating force for the use of the "Third World" label throughout media coverage of Hurricane Katrina, offering different ratio interpretations improved the analysis and provided a better understanding of scene, the "Third World" label and the media coverage of Katrina.

Limitations and Future Research

There are several identifiable limitations to this study's approach to analyzing mainstream mass media use of the "Third World" label in media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. First, this study focused only on major media newspapers and did not evaluate smaller markets. While this

sample choice may have ensured the overall sentiments expressed were included, any deviations or different motivations based on demographic or population size would not have been included in this study. Second, the sample did not include any video or audio. While the sample did include transcripts from the larger media television or radio programs that met the market size limitations, I did not analyze any other media. This poses a potentially serious limitation because video and still pictures were what stirred up much of the discussion following Katrina. A third limitation regarding the sample was the selected timeframe. While the limits chosen did allow for a depth and richness to the cluster samples, almost five years have passed since the New Year following Hurricane Katrina's landfall. This analysis could only improve by including the coverage and Katrina related articles in the years passed. Finally, this study only chose one method, cluster analysis, to represent a rhetorical perspective on Hurricane Katrina's landfall. A lot of the great work that has done over the last several thousand years is being left out by selecting only one method. Alternate methods would offer other productive insights into better understanding the events surrounding Hurricane Katrina.

Taking into account some of the limitations from this study, I do have several recommendations for future research. I am inclined to suggest that other critics interested in pursuing this line of inquiry find some way to include video and images into their study. Second, I would suggest employing a different method to approach the media coverage of Hurricane Katrina. I do not necessarily think another method would be better, only that it would offer different insight. For example, if one were to use ethnography or some version of fantasy analysis, a critic might be better situated to access the motives for employing the "Third World" label. Or those very same methods might take a critic away from media coverage all together. Third, future studies of the events surrounding Katrina's landfall would be well off to focus more

on the history of New Orleans and the Gulf Coast. While this study did attempt a brief

understanding of the history of the area and the larger South, the history is rich and deep, beyond

the scope of this study. Finally, the government response to Hurricane Katrina would make for a

great object of study. A critic could look at the documents before Katrina, detailing any warning

or preparation for a hurricane hitting the Gulf Coast. The comments made or not make by then

President Bush and his administration would provide a great opportunity for further textual

exploration. And last but not least, a bipartisan committee investigated and prepared a study

entitled "A Failure of Initiative: The Final Report of the Select Bipartisan Committee to

Investigate the Preparation for and Response to Hurricane Katrina" that would provide unique

insight into the governmental response to Hurricane Katrina.[212]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aguilera, Elizabeth. "Small signs of hope on scene: Colorado Guard Soldiers still look for living Nearly 700 troops from Colorado are in the storm area, helping with searches, cleanup and pet rescues." *The Denver Post*, September 12, 2005, A01.

Applebome, Peter and Jeremy Alford. "History of Corruption In Louisiana Stirs Fears That Aid Will Go Astray." *The New York Times*, October 1, 2005, 10.

Ayoob, Mohammed. "The New-Old Disorder in the Third World." *Global Governance* 1 (1995): 59-77.

The Baltimore Sun. "Prayers, aid, resilience." September 1, 2005, 18A.

Barnes, Nancy. "When did refugee become a dirty word." *Buffalo News (New York)*, October 29, 2005, A6.

Berthold, Carol A. "Kenneth Burke's Cluster-Agon Method: Its Development and Application." *Central States Speech Journal* 27 (Winter 1976): 302-309.

Biel, Robert. *The New Imperialism: Crisis and Contradictions in North/South Relations*. London: Zed Books, 2000.

Bier, Charlie. "Katrina's Aftermath; Road toward recovery begins for local hurricane evacuees; Services in shelters offer first steps for those looking to rebuild lives." *The Houston Chronicle*, September 15, 2005, 01.

Billingsly, Z. Dwight. "Blame state and local democrats, not the president It's no surprise that Americans who chose third-world lives were ill-prepared for Katrina." *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, September 22, 2005, C13.

Bleiker, Roland. *Popular Dissent, Human Agency and Global Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.

Brooks, Rosa. "Our homegrown Third World; The media focus on Hurricane Katrina's victims

    has washed away the wall that hid the nation's impoverished from the rest of us." *Los*

    Angeles Times, September 7, 2005, 13.

Brown Jr., Sylvester. "Some opinions go straight to wastebasket." *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*

    (Missouri), October 11, 2005, C1.

Brummett, Barry. "Symbolic Form, Burkean Scapegoating, and Rhetorical Exigency in Alioto's

    Response to the 'Zebra' Murders." *The Western Journal of Speech Communication* 44

    (Winter 1980): 64-73.

Brunsma, David L. "Preface," in *The Sociology of Katrina: Perspectives on a Modern*

    Catastrophe, edited by David L. Brunsma, David Overfelt and J. Steven Picou, xv-xvi.

    New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc, 2007.

Buck, Rinker. "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America." *Hartford*

    Courant (Connecticut), September 11, 2005, 3.

Buckley, Cara. "Storm's refugees adjust to life in terrible limbo; Jobs being sought – "I have to

    find it in my heart to find a new home." *The Seattle Times*, September 2, 2005, A13.

Burke, Kenneth. *A Grammar of Motives*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1969.

---. *The Philosophy of Literary Form: Studies in Symbolic Action*. 3rd ed. Berkeley, CA:

    University of California Press, 1973.

Campbell, Susan. "How can we continue to ignore U.S. poverty?." *Hartford Courant*

    (Connecticut), September 28, 2005, D1.

Carragee, Kevin M. and Wim Roefs. "The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research."

    Journal of Communication (June 2004): 214-233.

Caywood, Thomas. "Katrina's Wrath: Oxfame takes Third World expertise to Gulf Coast." *The Boston Herald*, September 8, 2005, 08.

Cohen, M.P. and R.W. Shenton. *Doctrines of Development*. London: Routledge, 1996.

Columbia Journalism Review. "Re: Refugees and Evacuees." November/December 2005, p. 18.

Condon, Tom. "Gimmicks Won't Save Cities – Leadership, Focus Will." *The Hartford Courant (Connecticut)*, September 25, 2005, C4.

Connolly, Ceci. "Frustration Grows in Days Stranded on Interstate 10." *The Washington Post*, September 3, 2005, A13.

Conrad, Charles. "Agon and Rhetorical Form: The Essence of 'Old Feminist' Rhetoric." *Central States Speech Journal* 32 (Spring 1981): 45-53.

Cowen, M.P. and R.W. Shenton. *Doctrines of Development*. London: Routledge, 1996.

Creamer, Anita. "He weathered own storm, aids others." *The Sacramento Bee*, October 12, 2005, E1.

Cullen, Kevin. "Rumors, Race, and Class Collide: 'Class and race are inextricably bound up in New Orleans, and trying to make sense of it was as hard as trying to get accurate information.'" *Nieman Reports* (Winter 2005): 43-44.

Dawkins, Marcia Alesan. "A Rhetorical Response to Hurricane Katrina." *space and culture* 9, no. 1 (February 2006): 12-13.

Deggans, Eric. "In many ways, journalists struggle to find the story." *St .Petersburg Times (Florida)*, September 2, 2005, 10A.

de Moraes, Lisa. "Kanye West's Torrent of Criticism, Live on NBC." *The Washington Post*, September 3, 2005. http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/09/03/AR2005090300165.html (accessed February 3, 2008).

Dictionary.com. "Potemkin Village." *Dictionary.com Unabridged (v 1.1)*. Random House, Inc. http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/potemkin village (accessed: February 22, 2009).

Doane, Ashley "Woody." "New Song, Same Old Tune: Racial Discourse in the Aftermath of Hurricane Katrina," in *Through the Eye of Katrina: Social Justice in the United States*," edited by Kristin A. Bates and Richelle S. Swan, 105-123. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press, 2007

Duke, Lynne. "Block That Metaphor; What We Mean When We Call New Orleans 'Third World.'" *The Washington Post*, October 9, 2005, B01.

---. "The Third World is US? The New Orleans Catastrophe Forced Americans to See Their Country in a New, Uncomfortable light. Lynne Duke Wonders How Much Empathy Will Result." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette (Pennsylvania)*, October 16, 2005, p. J1.

Durham, Frank. "Critical Forum: Exposed by Katrina: The Gulf between the President and the Press." *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 23, no. 1 (March 2006): 81-84.

Dynes, Russell R. and Havidán Rodríguez. "Finding and Framing Katrina: The Social Construction of Disaster," in *The Sociology of Katrina: Perspectives on a Modern Catastrophe*, edited by David L. Brunsma, David Overfelt and J. Steven Picou, 23-33. New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc, 2007.

Eckl, Julian and Ralph Weber. "North-South? Pitfalls of dividing the world by words." *Third World Quarterly* 28, no. 1 (2007): 3-23.

Elsworth, Catherine and Francis Harris. "The relief of New Orleans Four days on, convoy brings food and water to hurricane survivors." *The Daily Telegraph*, September 3, 2005, 001.

Enos, Theresa. "'Verbal Atom Cracking': Burke and a Rhetoric of Reading." *Philosophy and Rhetoric* 31, no. 1 (1998): 64-70.

Entman, Robert M. "Framing U.S. Coverage of International News: Contrasts in Narratives in the KAL and Iran Air Incidents." *Journal of Communication* 41 (1991): 6-26.

---. "Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm." *Journal of Communication* 43, no. 4 (Autumn 1993): 51-58.

Erikson, Kai. "Foreward." in *The Sociology of Katrina: Perspectives on a Modern Catastrophe*, by David L. Brunsma, David Overfelt and J. Steven Picou, xvii-xx. New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc, 2007.

Escobar, Arturo. "Discourse and Power in Development: Michel Foucault and the Relevance of his work to the Third World." *Alternatives* 10, no. 3 (Winter 1984-85): 377-400.

---. "Power and Visibility: Development and the Invention and Management of the Third World." *Cultural Anthropology* 3, no. 4 (November 1988): 428-443.

---. "Anthropology and the Development Encounter: The Making and Marketing of Development Anthropology." *American Ethnologist* 18, no. 4 (November 1991): 658-682.

Falkenberg, Lisa. "Katrina's Aftermath; With a former teacher at the helm, a group of Katrina victims in New Orleans felt safe enough – until the rescuers arrived; Forced off 'Island of Ramada.'" *The Houston Chronicle*, September 10, 2005, 23.

Farragher, Thomas. "Army Corps Battalion Generates Power." *The Boston Globe*, September 9, 2005, A22.

Faux II, William V. and Heeman Kim, "Visual Representation of the Victims Hurricane Katrina: A Dialectical Approach to Content Analysis and Discourse." *space and culture* 9, no. 1 (February 2006): 55-59.

Fenton, Justin. "Use of 'refugee' is called biased; Term: Most popular way of describing storm victims is seen as racist by some black leaders.; Katrina's Wake." *The Baltimore Sun*, September 5, 2005, p. 9A.

Genova, Gina. "Crisis Communication Practice at an International Relief Agency." *Business Communication Quarterly* 69, no. 1 (September 2006): 329-337.

Gilboa, Eytan. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations." *Political Communication* 22, no. 1 (2005): 27-44.

Giroux, Henry A. "Reading Hurricane Katrina: Race, Class, and the Biopolitics of Disposability." *College Literature* 33, no. 3 (Summer 2006): 171-196.

Graber, Doris A. Media Power and Government Control," *Mass Media and American Politics*. Edition Unknown. Washington, DC: CQ Press, Year Unknown.

Graham, Stephen . "'Homeland' Insecurities? Katrina and the Politics of 'Security' in Metropolitan America." *space and culture* 9, no. 1 (February 2006): 63-67.

Grier, Peter. "The Great Katrina Migration." *The Christian Science Monitor*, September 12, 2005. http://www.csmonitor.com/2005/0912/p01s01-ussc.html (accessed February 14, 2008).

The Guardian (London). "Comment & Analysis: Hurricane Katrina: Blaming Bush." September 3, 2005, 19.

Gunts, Edward. "Rebuilding offers new opportunity; Solutions: New Orleans has the potential to be stronger if the process is used to address problems, experts say." *The Baltimore Sun*, September 4, 2005, 2C.

Guy Jr., Andrew. "Reflections on future of civil rights." *The Houston Chronicle*, November 6, 2005, B1.

Haddad, Emma. "Who is (not) a refugee?." *EUI Working Paper SPS No. 2004/6*, June 2004.

> http://cadmus.eui.eu/dspace/bitstream/1814/1911/1/sps2004-06.pdf (accessed July 2,

> 2009).

Hadjor, Kofi Buenor. *Dictionary of Third World Terms*. New York: Penguin Books, 1993.

Halicks, Richard. "We stood idle as a city fell to its knees." *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*,

> September 6, 2005, 16E.

Hammond, Betsy. "Giving thanks new meaning." *The Oregonian*, November 24, 2005, A01.

Harrell-Bond, B.E. and E. Voutira. "Anthropology and the Study of Refugees." *Anthropology*

> *Today* 9, no. 4 (Aug. 1992): 6-10.

Haynes, Monica. "Displaced; Finding; Relief in; Houston." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, September

> 3, 2005, p. A1.

Heinz, Bettina and Ronald Lee. "Getting Down to the Meat: The Symbolic Construction of Meat

> Consumption." *Communication Studies* 49, no. 1 (1998): 86-99.

Hess, Larry. "A Third World view on U.S. relief efforts." *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*,

> October 6, 2005.

Hull, Dana. "What's in a Name?" *American Journalism Review* 27, no. 5 (October/November

> 2005): 23.

Iyengar, Shanto and Adam Simon. "News Coverage of the Gulf Crisis and Public Opinion."

> *Communication Research* 20, no. 3 (June 1993): 365-383.

Jackson, Derrick Z. "Healthcare Swept Away." *The Boston Globe*, September 21, 2005, A17.

Johnson, Paul. "4 days in the dark." *The Denver Post*, October 19, 2005, B07.

Kamenitz, Eliot. "I'm here because of you, you and you are." *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*,

> November 4, 2005, 7.

Kleinman, Arthur and Joan Kleinman. "The Appeal of Experience: The Dismay of Images:
Cultural Appropriations of Suffering in our Times." *Daedalus* 125, no. 1 (1996): 1-23.

Knight, Fred. "Be Prepared." *Business Communications Review* (March 2006): 2.

Krugman, Paul. "Tragedy in Black and White." *The New York Times*, September 19, 2005, 25.

Kurtz, Howard. "Wiped Off the Map, and Belatedly Put Back on It." *The Washington Post,*
September 19, 2005, C01.

Kuypers, Jim. *Presidential Crisis Rhetoric and the Press in the Post-Cold War World*. Westport,
CT: Praeger Publishers, 1997.

Leventhal, Sherry. "Administration was unprepared and lacking in compassion." *The Boston
Globe*, September 18, 2005, E12.

Levy, Clifford J. "Post-Katrina, Bricks and Mortals." *The New York Times*, September 18, 2005,
Section 4, Column 3, 1.

López, Judie. "Your Turn; Focus: Hurricane Katrina." *San Antonio Express-News*, September 3,
2005, 10B.

Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, "Reports of Missing and Deceased: August 2,
2006," http://www.dhh.louisiana.gov/offices/page.asp?ID=192&Detail=5248 (accessed
February 14, 2008).

Love, Joseph L. "'Third World' A Response to Professor Worsley." *Third World Quarterly* 2,
no. 2 (April 1980): 315-317.

Mann, Eric. "Race and the high ground in New Orleans (African-American statistics)." *World
Watch*, September 1, 2006. http://goliath.ecnext.com/coms2/gi_0199-5749288/Race-and-
the-high-ground.html. (accessed February 16, 2008).

McCall, Grant. "Four Worlds of Experience and Action." *Third World Quarterly* 2, no. 3 (1980): 536-545.

McCombs, Maxwell E. and Donald L. Shaw. "The Agenda Setting Function of Mass Media." *Public Opinion Quarterly* 36 (1972): 176-187.

McKibben, Bill. "Katrina havoc reflects the new America." Newsday (New York), September 14, 2005, A38.

Moeller, Susan D. *Compassion Fatigue: How the Media Sell Disease, Famine, War and Death*. New York: Routledge, 1999.

Moore, David B. "Development Discourse as Hegemony: Toward an Ideological History – 1945-1995." In *Debating Development Discourse: Institutional and Popular Perspectives*, edited by David B. Moore and Gerald J. Schmitz, 1-53. New York: St. Martin's Press, Inc., 1995.

Moore, Mark P. "Rhetorical Subterfuge and "The Principle of Perfection": Bob Packwood's Response to Sexual Misconduct Charges." *Western Journal of Communication* 60, no. 1 (1996): 1-20.

Moser, Caroline O. N. "The Asset Vulnerability Framework: Reassessing Urban Poverty Reduction Strategies." *World Development* 26, no. 1 (1998): 1-19.

Muni, S D. "The Third World: concept and controversy." *Third World Quarterly* 1, no. 3 (April 1979): 119-128.

National Communication Association. *Communication Scholarship and the Humanities: A White Paper Sponsored by the National Communication Association*. 2007.

National Hurricane Center, "Hurricane History,"

> http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/HAW2/english/history.shtml#katrina (accessed February 14,

> 2008).

The Nelson Mail (New Zealand). "An attack and a disaster." September 13, 2005, 9.

Neuman, Lawrence and Ronald J. Berger. "Competing Perspectives on Cross-National Crime:

> An Evaluation of Theory and Evidence." *The Sociological Quarterly* 29, no. 2 (Summer

> 1988): 281-313.

O'Briant, Don. "Katrina: The Aftermath: Word Watch: 'Refugee' a misnomer, critics Say." *The

> Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, September 3, 2005, 9A.

The Oregonian (Portland, Oregon). "They saw it coming." September 2, 2005, D08.

Peet, Richard with Elaine Hartwick. *Theories of Development*. New York: The Guilford Press,

> 1999.

Perelman, Chaim and Lucie Olbrechts-Tyteca. *The New Rhetoric: A Treatise on Argumentation*.

> Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 1969.

Peterson, Tarla Rai. "The Meek Shall Inherit the Mountains: Dramatistic Criticism of Grand

> Teton National Park's Interpretive Program." *Central States Speech Journal* 39, no. 2

> (Summer 1988): 121-133.

Pierre, Robert E. and Paul Farhi. "'Refugee': A Word of Trouble." *The Washington Post*,

> September 7, 2005, C01.

Pitts, Jonathan. "Giving respect, getting respect in New Orleans; Capt. Marc Blum and his Guard

> unit help ease the suffering; Katrina's Wake." *The Baltimore Sun*, September 11, 2005,

> 7E.

Potter, Hillary. "Introduction," in *Racing the Storm: Racial Implications and Lessons Learned From Hurricane Katrina*, edited by Hillary Potter, ix-xiii. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 2007.

Power, Marcus. *Rethinking Development Geographies*. New York: Routledge, 2003.

Randall, Vicky. "Using and abusing the concept of the Third World: Geopolitics and the comparative political study of development and underdevelopment." *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 1 (2004): 41-53.

Razak, Dzulkifli Abdul. "Hypocrisies blown away." *New Straits Times (Malaysia)*, October 23, 2005, 10.

Risen, Clay. "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history." *The Boston Globe*, September 18, 2005, E1.

Robert, Jean. "Production." In *The Development Dictionary: A Guide to Knowledge as Power*, edited by Wolfgang Sachs, 177-191. London: Zed Books Ltd, 1992.

Rogers, Dick. "'Refugees' kick up a storm." *The San Francisco Chronicle*, September 12, 2005, B7.

Rohde, David, Donald G. McNeil Jr., Reed Abelson, and Shaila Dewan. "Vulnerable, and Doomed in the Storm." *The New York Times*, September 19, 2005, A1.

Roig-Franzia, Manuel. "Who's in Charge Of Rebuilding New Orleans?; Awash in Commissions, City Waits for Someone to Take Lead." *The Washington Post*, November 4, 2005, A03.

Scheufele, Dietram A. "Agenda-Setting, Priming, and Framing Revisited: Another Look at Cognitive Effects of Political Communication." *Mass Communication & Society* 3, no. 2/3 (2000): 297-316.

Schultz, Connie. "The power of a single word." *Plain Dealer (Cleveland)*, September 15, 2005, p. F1.

Shin, Paul H.B.. "Thugs' reign of terror. Marauders rampage after troops leave, say victims." *Daily News*, September 4, 2005, 7.

Siddiqui, Haroon. "Louisiana, Iraq and Egypt expose inept Bush." *The Toronto Star*, September 8, 2005, A21.

Simon, David and Klauss Dodds. "Introduction: rethinking geographies of North-South development." *Third World Quarterly* 19, no. 4 (1998): 595-606.

Smitter, Roger. "In times of crisis, communication IS the story." *Spectra* (November 2005): 2.

Stillman, Peter G. and Adelaide H. Villmoare. "Social Justice after Katrina: The Need for a Revitalized Public Sphere," in *Through the Eye of Katrina: Social Justice in the United States*," edited by Kristin A. Bates and Richelle S. Swan, 349-365. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press, 2007.

Smith, B. C. *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*. 2nd ed. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 2003.

Stedman, Stephen John. "The New Interventionists." *Foreign Affairs* 72, no. 1 (1992): 1-16.

Strother-Jordan, Karen. "On the rhetoric of Afrocentricity." *Western Journal of Black Studies* 24, no. 4 (2002): 193-203.

Sullivan, Kevin. "How Could This Be Happening in the United States?." *The Washington Post*, September 4, 2005, A12.

Terkildsen, Nayda, Frauke I. Schnell, and Cristina Ling. "Interest Groups, the Media, and Policy Debate Formation: An Analysis of Message Structure, Rhetoric, and Source Cues." *Political Communication* 15, no. 1 (1998): 45-61.

Tester, Keith. *Compassion, Morality and the Media*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 2001.

Thevenot, Brian. "Myth-Making in New Orleans." *American Journalism Review* 27, no. 6 (December2005/January2006): no page numbers given.

Thussu, Daya Kishan. "Legitimizing 'Humanitarian Intervention'? CNN, NATO and the Kosovo Crisis." *European Journal of Communication* 15, no. 3 (2000): 345-361.

Times-Picayune (New Orleans), "Breaking news from The Times-Picayune and Nola.com; Hurricane Katrina – the aftermath Weblong for Day 6: Thursday, September 1, 2005." September 1, 2005, 99.

Toon, Mi Yung. "Explaining U.S. Intervention in Third World Internal Wars, 1945-1989." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 41, no. 4 (August 1997): 580-602.

Truman, Harry. "Inaugrual Address," January 20, 1949, http://www.trumanlibrary.org/calendar/viewpapers.php?pid=1030 (accessed July 25, 2007).

Tuck, Angela. "'Refugees' an unsuitable label for Katrina evacuees." *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, September 17, 2005, p. 11A.

Tucker, Vincent. "The Myth of Development: A Critique of Eurocentric Discourse." In *Critical Development Theory: contributions to a new paradigm*, edited by Ronaldo Munck & Denis O'Hearn, 1-26. London: Zed Books: London, 1999.

Turnbull, Lornet, Stuart Eskenazi and Marsha King. "Was rescue a race, class issue?; Some African-Americans here see it that way - Others feel bureaucracy is more to blame - Hurricane Katrina." *The Seattle Times*, September 4, 2005, B1.

United States House of Representatives. *Select Bipartisan Committee to Investigate the Preparation for and Response to Hurricane Katrina*. http://katrina.house.gov/ (accessed June 28, 2009).

VandeHei, Jim and Peter Baker. "Critics say Bush Undercut New Orleans Flood Control." *The Washington Post*, September 2, 2005, A16.

Walker, Kandi. "Lights, Siren, and Action: A Dramatistic Analysis of Ambulance Care." *American Communication Journal* 2, no. 1 (2000). http://www.acjournal.org/holdings/vol2/Iss1/essays/walker.htm (accessed June 10, 2009).

Walsh, Bill. "Need, response proved out of sync; Initial confidence rooted in ignorance." *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*, September 18, 2005, A01.

Weber, Heloise. "Reconstituting the 'Third World'? Poverty reduction and territoriality and the global politics of development." *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 1 (2004): 187-206.

Wetzel, Charles. "The Aftermath: New Orleans' decency void starts at top." *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, September 12, 2005, 11A.

Winkler, Carol. *In the Name of Terrorism: Presidents on Political Violence in the Post-World War II Era*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press, 2006.

---. "Encroachments on State Sovereignty: The Argumentation Strategies of the George W. Bush Administration." *Argumentation* 22 (2008): 473-488.

Wolf-Phillips, Leslie. "Why 'Third World'?: origin, definition and usage." *Third World Quarterly* 9, no. 4 (October 1987): 1311-1327.

Wood, Geof. "The Politics of Development Policy Labelling." *Development and Change* 16 (1985): 349.

Woods, Dwayne. "Latitude or rectitude: geographical or institutional determinants of

    development." *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 8 (2004): 1401-1414.

Worsley, Peter. *The Third World*. 2nd ed. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, 1970.

---. "How Many Worlds?" *Third World Quarterly* 1, no. 2 (April 1979): 100-107.

Young, Cynthia A. *Soul Power: Culture, Radicalism, and the Making of a U.S. Third World Left*.

    Durham: Duke University Press, 2006.

Zeleza, Paul Tiyambe. "The Political Wrath of Hurricane Katrina." September 19, 2005,

    http://www.ai.org.za/electronic_monograph.asp?ID=33 (accessed November 7, 2006),

    http://www.blackcommentator.com/150/150_zeleza_katrina.html (accessed June 8,

    2009).

Zetter, Roger. "Labelling Refugees: Forming and Transforming a Bureaucratic Identity." *Journal

    of Refugee Studies* 4, no. 1 (1991): 39-62.

Zolberg, Aristide. "The Formation of New States as a Refugee-Generating Process." *Annals of

    the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 467 (May 1983): 24-38.

END NOTES

[1] Kai Erikson, "Foreward," in *The Sociology of Katrina: Perspectives on a Modern Catastrophe*, ed. David L. Brunsma, David Overfelt and J. Steven Picou (New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc, 2007), xviii.

[2] National Hurricane Center, "Hurricane History," http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/HAW2/english/history.shtml#katrina. Date accessed February 14, 2008.

[3] I cite official Louisiana deaths to give a sense of the possible overall number of deaths. I do not intend to privilege those who from New Orleans or Louisiana. With the number of displaced peoples, people still missing and the enormous path hurricane Katrina took it may never be possible to accurately know the number of those impacted by Katrina. For Louisiana's information: Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, "Reports of Missing and Deceased: August 2, 2006," http://www.dhh.louisiana.gov/offices/page.asp?ID=192&Detail=5248. Date accessed February 14, 2008.

[4] Peter Grier, "The Great Katrina Migration," *The Christian Science Monitor*, September 12, 2005, http://www.csmonitor.com/2005/0912/p01s01-ussc.html. Date accessed February 14, 2008.

[5] David L. Brunsma, "Preface," *The Sociology of Katrina: Perspectives on a Modern Catastrophe*, David L. Brunsma, David Overfelt, and J. Steven Picou (ed.) (New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc: 2007) xv-xvi, xv.

[6] See, for example, Gina L. Genova, "Crisis Communication Practice at an International Relief Agency," *Business Communication Quarterly* 69, no. 3 (September 2006): 329-337; Fred Knight, "Be Prepared," *Business Communications Review* (March 2006): 2.

[7] Genova, "Crisis Communication Practice at an International Relief Agency," 329.

[8] Ibid.

[9] Fred Knight, "Be Prepared," 2.

[10] Frank Durham, "Critical Forum: Exposed by Katrina: The Gulf between the President and the Press," *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 23:1 (March 2006) 81-84.

[11] Russell R. Dynes and Havidán Rodríguez, "Finding and Framing Katrina: The Social Construction of Disaster," *The Sociology of Katrina: Perspectives on a Modern Catastrophe*, David L. Brunsma, David Overfelt, and J. Steven Picou (ed.) (New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc: 2007), 24.

[12] Doris A. Graber, "Media Power and Government Control," *Mass Media and American Politics*, Edition Unknown (CQ Press: Washington, DC, Year Unknown): 4.

[13] Brian Thevenot, "Myth-Making in New Orleans," *American Journalism Review* 27:6 (Dec2005/Jan2006)

[14] Dynes and Rodríguez, "Finding and Framing Katrina," 32.

[15] See Keven M. Carragee and Wim Roefs, "The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research," *Journal of Communication* (June 2004): 214-233; Robert M. Entman, "Framing U.S. Coverage of International News: Contrasts in Narratives in the KAL and Iran Air Incidents," *Journal of Communication* (41) 1991: 6-26; Robert M. Entman, "Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm," *Journal of Communication* 43, no. 4 (Autumn 1993): 51-58; Eytan Gilboa, "The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations," *Political Communication* 22, no. 1 (2005): 27-44; Graber, "Media Power and Government Control," 1-32; Shanto Iyengar and Adam Simon, "News Coverage of the Gulf Crisis and Public Opinion," *Communication Research* 20, no. 3 (June 1993): 365-383; Jim Kuypers, "Agenda-setting, Agenda Extension, and Framing Analysis," in Presidential Crisis Rhetoric and the Press in the Post-Cold war World (Westport, CT: Praeger, 1997), 35-56; Maxwell E. McCombs and Donald L. Shaw, "The Agenda Setting Function of Mass Media," *Public Opinion Quarterly* (36) 1972: 176-187; Dietram A.Scheufele, "Agenda-Setting, Priming, and Framing Revisited: Another Look at Cognitive Effects of Political Communication," *Mass Communication & Society* 3, no. 2/3 (2000): 297-316.

[16] Graber, "Media Power and Government Control," 2.

[17] Carol Winkler, *In the Name of Terrorism: Presidents on Political Violence in the Post-World War II Era* (Albany, NY: State University of New York Press, 2006), 8. For more on the process and implications of labeling, please see Nayda Terkildsen, Frauke I. Schnell and Cristina Ling, "Interest Groups, the Media, and Policy Debate Formation: An Analysis of Message Structure, Rhetoric, and Source Cues," *Political Communication* 15, no. 1 (1998): 45-61.

[18] Geof Wood, "The Politics of Development Policy Labelling," *Development and Change* 16 (1985): 349.

[19] Eric Deggans, "In many ways, journalists struggle to find the story," *St .Petersburg Times (Florida)* (September 2, 2005), 10A

[20] Bill Walsh, "Need, response proved out of sync; Initial confidence rooted in ignorance," *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*, September 18, 2005, A01.

[21] David Rohde, Donald G. McNeil Jr., Reed Abelson and Shaila Dewan, "Vulnerable, and Doomed in the Storm," *The New York Times*, September 19, 2005, A1.

[22] Despite Worsley's statement coming in 1979, it still rings true today. One need only look at the "War on Terror," globalization and contemporary geo-political configurations for further demonstration. Peter Worsley, "How Many Worlds?," *Third World Quarterly* 1, no. 2 (April 1979): 100.

[23] Of course "Third World" has been employed for other reasons. For more on the diverse and interesting histories of the term "Third World," please see Arturo Escobar, "Discourse and Power in Development: Michel Foucault and the Relevance of his work to the Third World," *Alternatives* 10, no. 3 (Winter 1984-85): 377-400; Arturo Escobar, "Power and Visibility: Development and the Invention and Management of the Third World," *Cultural Anthropology* 3, no. 4 (November 1988): 428-443; Arturo Escobar, "Anthropology and the Development Encounter: The Making and Marketing of Development Anthropology," *American Ethnologist* 18, no. 4 (November 1991): 658-682; Kofi Buenor Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms* (New York: Penguin Books, 1993); Joseph L. Love, "'Third World' A Response to Professor Worsley," *Third World Quarterly* 2, no. 2 (April 1980): 315-317; Grant McCall, "Four Worlds of Experience and Action," *Third World Quarterly* 2, no. 3 (1980): 536-545; S D Muni, "The Third World: concept and controversy," *Third World Quarterly* 1, no. 3 (April 1979): 119-128; Richard Peet with Elaine Hartwick, *Theories of Development* (New York: The Guilford Press, 1999); Vicky Randall, "Using and abusing the concept of the Third World: Geopolitics and the comparative political study of development and underdevelopment," *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 1 (2004): 41-53; Heloise Weber, "Reconstituting the 'Third World'? Poverty reduction and territoriality and the global politics of development," *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 1 (2004): 187-206; Leslie Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?: origin, definition and usage," *Third World Quarterly* 9, no. 4 (October 1987): 1311-1327; Cynthia A. Young, *Soul Power: Culture, Radicalism, and the Making of a U.S. Third World Left* (Durham: Duke University Press, 2006).

[24] Weber, "Reconstituting the 'Third World'? Poverty reduction and territoriality and the global politics of development," 193.

[25] M.P. Cohen and R.W. Shenton, *Doctrines of Development* (London: Routledge, 1996), 5.

[26] Young, *Soul Power: Culture, Radicalism, and the Making of a U.S. Third World Left*, 14.

[27] William V. Faux II and Heeman Kim, "Visual Representation of the Victims Hurricane Katrina: A Dialectical Approach to Content Analysis and Discourse," *space and culture*, 9:1, (February 2006) 55. Though I disagree with their claim of visual uniqueness. Faux II and Kim's juxtaposition of textual against visual unravels itself when confronted with contemporary rhetorical and discourse theory. Derrida and others who subscribe to post-structural leaning postulate that meaning is polyvalent in all texts; regardless of photo, video, image, or word.

[28] Hillary Potter, "Introduction," *Racing the Storm: Racial Implications and Lessons Learned From Hurricane Katrina*, Hillary Potter (ed.) (Lanham, MD: Lexington Books: 2007) ix-xiii, x.

[29] Eric Mann, "Race and the high ground in New Orleans (African-American statistics)," *World Watch*, September 1, 2006, http://goliath.ecnext.com/coms2/gi_0199-5749288/Race-and-the-high-ground.html. Date accessed February 16, 2008.

[30] Ibid.

[31] Ashley "Woody" Doane, "New Song, Same Old Tune: Racial Discourse in the Aftermath of Hurricane Katrina," *Through the Eye of Katrina: Social Justice in the United States*," Kristin A. Bates and Richelle S. Swan (ed.) (Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press: 2007), 110.

[32] Kevin Cullen, "Rumors, Race, and Class Collide: 'Class and race are inextricably bound up in New Orleans, and trying to make sense of it was as hard as trying to get accurate information.,'" *Nieman Reports* (Winter 2005), 43.

[33] Peter G. Stillman and Adelaide H. Villmoare, "Social Justice after Katrina: The Need for a Revitalized Public Sphere," *Through the Eye of Katrina: Social Justice in the United States*," Kristin A. Bates and Richelle S. Swan (ed.) (Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press: 2007), 354.

[34] Lisa de Moraes, "Kanye West's Torrent of Criticism, Live on NBC," *The Washington Post*, September 3, 2005, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/09/03/AR2005090300165.html. Date accessed February 3, 2008.

[35] Stillman and Villmoare, "Social Justice after Katrina," 354.

[36] Don O'Briant, ""Katrina: The Aftermath: Word Watch: 'Refugee' a misnomer, critics say," *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution* (September 3, 2005) 9A.

[37] For examples of this, please see Nancy Barnes, "When did refugee become a dirty word." *Buffalo News (New York)* (October 29 2005), A6; *Columbia Journalism Review* "Re: Refugees and Evacuees," 44:4 (Nov/Dec 2005); Lynne Duke, "The Third World is US? The New Orleans Catastrophe Forced Americans to See Their Country in a New, Uncomfortable light. Lynne Duke Wonders How Much Empathy Will Result." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette (Pennsylvania)* (October 16 2005) J1; Justin Fenton, "Use of 'refugee' is called biased; Term: Most popular way of describing storm victims is seen as racist by some black leaders.; Katrina's Wake." *The Baltimore Sun* (September 5 2005) 9A; Dana Hull, "What's in a Name?," *American Journalism Review* 27:5 (Oct/Nov 2005); O'Briant, "Katrina: The Aftermath: Word Watch: 'Refugee' a misnomer, critics say," 9A; Dick Rogers, "'Refugees' kick up a storm." *The San Francisco Chronicle* (September 12 2005) B7; Connie Schultz, "The power of a single word." *Plain Dealer (Cleveland)* (September 15) F1; Angela Tuck, "'Refugees' an unsuitable label for Katrina evacuees," *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution* (September 17) 11A; Tiyambe Zeleza, "The Political Wrath of Hurricane Katrina," http://www.ai.org.za/electronic_monograph.asp?ID=33, September 19 2005, (date accessed: 11/07/06).

[38] Doane, "New Song, Same Old Tune," 106.

[39] Doane, "New Song, Same Old Tune," 105-123.

[40] Young, *Soul Power*, 13.

[41] Escobar, "Discourse and Power in Development: Michel Foucault and the Relevance of his work to the Third World," 378.

[42] Roger Smitter, "In times of crisis, communication IS the story," *Spectra* (November 2005) 2.

[43] Ibid.

[44] Marcia Alesan Dawkins, "A Rhetorical Response to Hurricane Katrina," *space and culture* 9:1 (February 2006), 13.

[45] Dawkins, "A Rhetorical Response," 12.

[46] Dawkins, "A Rhetorical Response," 13.

[47] Ibid.

[48] Ibid.

[49] National Communication Association, *Communication Scholarship and the Humanities: A White Paper Sponsored by the National Communication Association*," 2007, 16.

[50] This information is accessed after being logged into the research database *Lexis-Nexis*. I found this in the source information for the Newspapers database. Information last accessed July 22, 2007.

[51] The discussions and alliances built on and around race were only temporary because of a phenomenon referred to as "compassion fatigue." While 24/7 hour coverage can ensure that information and images are dispersed and consumed, non-stop news programming can also overwhelm an audience member. It was not long before the headlines disappeared, stories dwindled and the national conversation died. Ashley Doane contextualizes this unfortunate turn as "Katrina fatigue:" "Despite the sporadic emergence of racialized issues and occasional updates on rebuilding, the media eye clearly began to turn elsewhere during 2006. If there was a more widespread dialogue, it was confined to New Orleans and not the national state. Indeed, by Spring 2006, observers began to speak of "Katrina fatigue" and the failure of the disaster to produce a lasting dialogue on race and class in the United States (Deggans, 2006; Kurtz, 2006)."

For more on compassion fatigue see Arthur Kleinman and Joan Kleinman, "The Appeal of Experience: The Dismay of Images: Cultural Appropriations of Suffering in our Times," *Daedalus* 125, no. 1 (1996): 1-23; Susan D. Moeller, *Compassion Fatigue: How the Media Sell Disease, Famine, War and* Death (Routledge, 1999); Keith Tester, *Compassion, Morality and the Media* (Open University Press, 2001). And for Katrina fatigue please see Doane, "New Song, Same Old Tune," 114-115.

[52] Carol A. Berthold, "Kenneth Burke's Cluster-Agon Method: Its Development and Application," *Central States Speech Journal* 27 (Winter 1976): 302.

[53] Kenneth Burke, *The Philosophy of Literary Form: Studies in Symbolic Action*, 3rd ed. (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1973), 20.

[54] For examples see Charles Conrad, "Agon and Rhetorical Form: The Essence of 'Old Feminist' Rhetoric," *Central States Speech Journal* 32 (Spring 1981): 45-53; Bettina Heinz and Ronald Lee, "Getting Down to the Meat: The Symbolic Construction of Meat Consumption," *Communication Studies* 49, no. 1 (1998): 86-99; Mark P. Moore, "Rhetorical Subterfuge and "The Principle of Perfection": Bob Packwood's Response to Sexual Misconduct Charges," *Western Journal of Communication* 60, no. 1 (1996): 1-20; Tarla Rai Peterson, "The Meek Shall Inherit the Mountains: Dramatistic Criticism of Grand Teton National Park's Interpretive Program," *Central States Speech*

Journal 39, no. 2 (Summer 1988): 121-133; Karen Strother-Jordan, "On the rhetoric of Afrocentricity," *Western Journal of Black Studies* 24, no. 4 (2002): 193-203.

[55] Heinz and Lee, "Getting Down to the Meat: The Symbolic Construction of Meat Consumption," 88.

[56] Berthold, "Kenneth Burke's Cluster-Agon Method,"; Theresa Enos, "'Verbal Atom Cracking': Burke and a Rhetoric of Reading," *Philosophy and Rhetoric* 31, no. 1 (1998): 64-70; Heinz and Lee, "Getting Down to the Meat;" Moore, "Rhetorical Subterfuge;" Peterson, "The Meek Shall Inherit the Mountains;" Strother-Jordan, "On the rhetoric of Afrocentricity;" Kandi Walker, "Lights, Siren, and Action: A Dramatistic Analysis of Ambulance Care," *American Communication Journal* 2, no. 1 (2000).

[57] Enos, "'Verbal Atom Cracking,'" 65-66

[58] Heinz and Lee, "Getting Down to the Meat," 89.

[59] Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 2.

[60] See, for example, Rinker Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," *Hartford Courant (Connecticut)*, September 11, 2005, 3; Susan Campbell, "How can we continue to ignore U.S. poverty?," *Hartford Courant (Connecticut)*, September 28, 2005, D1; Ceci Connolly, "Frustration Grows in Days Stranded on Interstate 10," *The Washington Post*, September 3, 2005, A13; Lynne Duke, "Block That Metaphor; What We Mean When We Call New Orleans 'Third World'," *The Washington Post*, October 9, 2005, B01; Fenton, "Use of 'refugee' is called biased; Term: Most popular way of describing storm victims is seen as racist by some black leaders," 9A; Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 1, 9; Richard Halicks, "We stood idle as a city fell to its knees," *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, September 6, 2005, 16E; Larry Hess, *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*, "A Third World view on U.S. relief efforts," October 6, 2005; Muni, "The Third World: concept and controversy," 119-128; B. C. Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 2nd Edition (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2003): 1-21; Kevin Sullivan, "How Could This Be Happening in the United States?," *The Washington Post*, September 4, 2005, A12.

[61] See, for example, Escobar, "Discourse and Power in Development: Michel Foucault and the Relevance of his work to the Third World," 377-400; Randall, "Using and abusing the concept of the Third World: Geopolitics and the comparative political study of development and underdevelopment," 41-53; Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 1-21; Young, *Soul Power: Culture, Radicalism, and the Making of a U.S. Third World Left*, 13.

[62] See, for example, Love, "'Third World' A Response to Professor Worsley," 315-317; McCall, "Four Worlds of Experience and Action," 536-545; Muni, "The Third World: concept and controversy," 119-128; Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?: origin, definition and usage," 1311-1327; Peter Worsley, *The Third World*, Second Edition (The University of Chicago Press, 1970); Worsley, "How Many Worlds?," 100-107.

[63] For example, Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 5; David B. Moore, "Development Discourse as Hegemony: Toward an Ideological History – 1945-1995," *Debating Development Discourse: Institutional and Popular Perspectives*, ed. David B. Moore and Gerald J. Schmitz (New York: St. Martin's Press, Inc., 1995): 1-53; Vincent Tucker, "The Myth of Development: A Critique of Eurocentric Discourse," *Critical Development Theory: contributions to a new paradigm* ed. Ronaldo Munck & Denis O'Hearn (Zed Books: London, 1999), 1-26.

[64] See, for example, Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 1-21; Randall, "Using and abusing the concept of the Third World," 43-44, 52; Wolf-Phillips, "Why Third World?," 1312-1313; Young, *Soul Power*, 13-14.

[65] For attributors, see Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 3-4; Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 11-12; Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?," 1311-1312; Young, *Soul Power*, 2.

[66] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 11-12.

[67] Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?," 1312.

[68] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 11-12.

[69] Worsley, "How Many Worlds?," 101.

[70] Marcus Power, *Rethinking Development Geographies* (Routledge: New York, NY, 2003): 102.

[71] Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?," 1313.

[72] Jean Robert, "Production," *The Development Dictionary: A Guide to Knowledge as Power* Wolfgang Sachs ed (London: Zed Books Ltd, 1992): 177.

[73] Harry Truman, "Inaugrual Address," January 20, 1949, http://www.trumanlibrary.org/calendar/viewpapers.php?pid=1030 (accessed July 25, 2007).

[74] Ibid.

[75] Robert, "Production," 177.

[76] Tucker, "The Myth of Development: A Critique of a Eurocentric Discourse," 3.

[77] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 14.

[78] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 15.

[79] M.P. Cowen and R.W. Shenton, *Doctrines of Development* (London: Routledge, 1996), 5.

[80] Tucker, "The Myth of Development: A Critique of a Eurocentric Discourse," 1.

[81] Muni, "The Third World: concept and controversy," 123.

[82] Escobar, "Power and Visibility: Development and the Invention and Management of the Third World," 429.

[83] Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?," 1313.

[84] Julian Eckl and Ralph Weber, "North-South? Pitfalls of dividing the world by words," *Third World Quarterly* 28, no. 1 (2007): 3.

[85] Dwayne Woods, "Latitude or rectitude: geographical or institutional determinants of development," *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 8 (2004): 1401-1402.

[86] Robert Biel, *The New Imperialism: Crisis and Contradictions in North/South* Relations (London: Zed Books, 2000), 118; Eckl and Weber, "North-South? Pitfalls of dividing the world by words," 6-7; David Simon and Klauss Dodds, "Introduction: rethinking geographies of North-South development," *Third World Quarterly* 19, no. 4 (1998): 595-606.

[87] Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 6.

[88] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics*, 12-3.

[89] For more on these changes and theorizing in their wake, please consult Roland Bleiker, *Popular Dissent, Human Agency and Global Politics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000).

[90] Weber, "Reconstituting the 'Third World'? Poverty reduction and territoriality and the global politics of development," 188.

[91] Randall, "Using and abusing the concept of the Third World," 43.

[92] Tucker, "The Myth of Development: A Critique of a Eurocentric Discourse," 2.

[93] Young, *Soul Power*, 13.

[94] Young, *Soul Power*, 251.

[95] *The Baltimore Sun*, "Prayers, aid, resilience," September 1, 2005, 18A

[96] Sherry Leventhal, *The Boston Globe*, "Administration was unprepared and lacking in compassion," September 18, 2005, E12.

[97] Robert E. Pierre and Paul Farhi, "'Refugee': A Word of Trouble," *The Washington Post*, September 7, 2005, C01.

[98] Thomas Farragher, "Army Corps Battalion Generates Power, *The Boston Globe*, September 9, 2005, A22.

[99] Ibid.

[100] Walsh, "Need, response proved out of sync; Initial confidence rooted in ignorance," A01.

[101] Edward Gunts, "Rebuilding offers new opportunity; Solutions: New Orleans has the potential to be stronger if the process is used to address problems, experts say," *The Baltimore Sun*, September 4, 2005, 2C.

[102] Ibid.

[103] Howard Kurtz, "Wiped Off the Map, and Belatedly Put Back on It," *The Washington Post,* September 19, 2005, C01.

[104] See, for example, Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," 3; Clay Risen, "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history," *The Boston Globe*, September 18, 2005, E1.

[105] Risen, "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history," E1.

[106] See, for example, Rosa Brooks, "Our homegrown Third World; The media focus on Hurricane Katrina's victims has washed away the wall that hid the nation's impoverished from the rest of us," *Los Angeles Times*, September 7, 2005, 13, part B; Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," 3; Campbell, "How can we continue to ignore U.S. poverty?," D1; Paul Krugman, "Tragedy in Black and White," *The New York Times*, September 19, 2005, 25; Bill McKibben, "Katrina havoc reflects the new America," Newsday (New York), September 14, 2005, A38; Risen, "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history," E1.

[107] Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," 3; Anita Creamer, "He weathered own storm, aids others," *The Sacramento Bee*, October 12, 2005, E1; Derrick Z. Jackson, "Healthcare Swept Away," *The Boston Globe*, September 21, 2005, A17; Dzulkifli Abdul Razak, "Hypocrisies blown away," *New Straits Times (Malaysia)*, October 23, 2005, 10; Risen, "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it

should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history," E1.

[108] Razak, "Hypocrisies blown away," 10.

[109] Elizabeth Aguilera, "Small signs of hope on scene: Colorado Guard Soldiers still look for living Nearly 700 troops from Colorado are in the storm area, helping with searches, cleanup and pet rescues," *The Denver Post*, September 12, 2005, A01; Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," 3; Tom Condon, "Gimmicks Won't Save Cities – Leadership, Focus Will," *The Hartford Courant (Connecticut)*, September 25, 2005, C4; Creamer, "He weathered own storm, aids others," E1; Gunts, "Rebuilding offers new opportunity; Solutions: New Orleans has the potential to be stronger if the process is used to address problems, experts say," C2; Andrew Guy Jr., "Reflections on future of civil rights," *The Houston Chronicle*, November 6, 2005, B1; Clifford J. Levy, "Post-Katrina, Bricks and Mortals," *The New York Times*, September 18, 2005, Section 4, Column 3, 1; Razak, "Hypocrisies blown away," 10; Risen, "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history," E1.

[110] Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," 3; Condon, "Gimmicks Won't Save Cities – Leadership, Focus Will," C4; Levy, "Post-Katrina, Bricks and Mortals," 1; Razak, "Hypocrisies blown away," 10.

[111] Condon, "Gimmicks Won't Save Cities – Leadership, Focus Will," C4.

[112] potemkin village. Dictionary.com. *Dictionary.com Unabridged (v 1.1)*. Random House, Inc. http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/potemkin village (accessed: February 22, 2009).

[113] Buck, "Flood of Guilt; Katrina Rips the Veil off a Third World America," 3; Condon, "Gimmicks Won't Save Cities – Leadership, Focus Will," C4; Levy, "Post-Katrina, Bricks and Mortals," 1; Razak, "Hypocrisies blown away," 10.

[114] Chaim Perelman and Lucie Olbrechts-Tyteca, *The New Rhetoric: A Treatise on Argumentation* (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 1969), 411-459.

[115] Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca, *The New Rhetoric*, 414.

[116] Carol K. Winkler, "Encroachments on State Sovereignty: The Argumentation Strategies of the George W. Bush Administration," *Argumentation* 22 (2008): 475.

[117] Ibid.

[118] Duke, "Block That Metaphor; What We Mean When We Call New Orleans 'Third World'," B01.

[119] Sullivan, "How Could This Be Happening in the United States?," A12.

[120] Walsh, "Need, response proved out of sync; Initial confidence rooted in ignorance," A01.

[121] Sylvester Brown Jr., "Some opinions go straight to wastebasket," *St. Louis Post-Dispatch (Missouri)*, October 11, 2005, C1; Condon, "Gimmicks Won't Save Cities – Leadership, Focus Will," C4; Deggans, "In many ways, journalists struggle to find the story,", 10A; Catherine Elsworth and Francis Harris, "The relief of New Orleans Four days on, convoy brings food and water to hurricane survivors," *The Daily Telegraph*, September 3, 2005, 001; Lisa Falkenberg, "Katrina's Aftermath; With a former teacher at the helm, a group of Katrina victims in New Orleans felt safe enough – until the rescuers arrived; Forced off 'Island of Ramada,'" *The Houston Chronicle*, September 10, 2005, 23; Paul Johnson, "4 days in the dark," *The Denver Post*, October 19, 2005, B07; Leventhal, "Administration was unprepared and lacking in compassion," E12; Levy, "Post-Katrina, Bricks and Mortals," 1; Jonathan Pitts, "Giving respect, getting respect in New Orleans; Capt. Marc Blum and his Guard unit help ease the suffering; Katrina's Wake," *The Baltimore Sun*, September 11, 2005, 7E; Rohde, McNeil Jr., Abelson and Dewan, "Vulnerable, and Doomed in the Storm," 1; Haroon Siddiqui, "Louisiana, Iraq and Egypt expose inept Bush," *The Toronto Star*, September 8, 2005, A21; Sullivan, "How Could This Be Happening in the United States?," A12; *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*, "Breaking news from The Times-Picayune and Nola.com; Hurricane Katrina – the aftermath Weblong for Day 6: Thursday, September 1, 2005," September 1, 2005, 99; Walsh, "Need, response proved out of sync; Initial confidence rooted in ignorance," A01; Charles Wetzel, "The Aftermath: New Orleans' decency void starts at top," *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, September 12, 2005, 11A.

[122] Elsworth and Harris, "The relief of New Orleans Four days on, convoy brings food and water to hurricane survivors," 01.

[123] *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*, "Breaking news from The Times-Picayune and Nola.com; Hurricane Katrina – the aftermath Weblong for Day 6: Thursday, September 1, 2005," 99.

[124] Leventhal, "Administration was unprepared and lacking in compassion," E12.

[125] Paul H.B. Shin, "Thugs' reign of terror. Marauders rampage after troops leave, say victims," *Daily News*, September 4, 2005, 7.

[126] Monica Haynes, "Displaced; Finding; Relief in; Houston," *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, September 3, 2005, A1.

[127] Ibid.

[128] Kenneth Burke, *A Grammar of Motives* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1969), 12.

[129] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 3.

[130] Risen, "Southern exposure revealed profound failures at the national level. But it should also be seen, some historians argue, as a uniquely southern event – one that exposes the burdens of southern history," E1.

[131] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 17.

[132] Betsy Hammond, "Giving thanks new meaning," *The Oregonian*, November 24, 2005, A01.

[133] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 11.

[134] Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 3; Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 11-12; Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?: origin, definition and usage," 1311; Young, *Soul Power: Culture, Radicalism, and the Making of a U.S. Third World Left*, 2.

[135] Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 1.

[136] Worsley, "How Many Worlds?," 101-2.

[137] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 1, 3, 12.

[138] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 3.

[139] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 14.

[140] Cowen and Shenton, *Doctrines of Development*, 12.

[141] Nelson Kasfir, "Popular Sovereignty and Popular Participation: Mixed Constitutional Democracy in the Third World," *Third World Quarterly* 13, no. 4 (1992): 592.

[142] Rupert Emerson, "The Fate of Human Rights in the Third World," *World Politics* 27, no. 2 (January 1975): 222.

[143] McCall, "Four Worlds of Experience and Action," 538-539.

[144] Rajeev Patel and Philip McMichael, "Third Worldism and the Lineages of Global Fascism: The Regrouping of the Global South in the Neoliberal Era," *Third World Quarterly* 25, no. 1 (2004): 242.

[145] Truman, "Inaugrual Address," http://www.trumanlibrary.org/calendar/viewpapers.php?pid=1030.

[146] Ibid.

[147] Ibid.

[148] Ibid.

[149] Muni, "The Third World: concept and controversy," 121.

[150] Smith, *Understanding Third World Politics: Theories of Political Change and Development*, 11.

[151] Wolf-Phillips, "Why 'Third World'?: origin, definition and usage," 1313.

[152] *The Oregonian (Portland, Oregon)*, "They saw it coming," September 2, 2005, D08

[153] Leventhal, "Administration was unprepared and lacking in compassion," E12.

[154] Manuel Roig-Franzia, "Who's in Charge Of Rebuilding New Orleans?; Awash in Commissions, City Waits for Someone to Take Lead," *The Washington Post*, November 4, 2005, A03.

[155] Z. Dwight Billingsly, "Blame state and local democrats, not the president It's no surprise that Americans who chose third-world lives were ill-prepared for Katrina," *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, September 22, 2005, C13.

[156] Peter Applebome and Jeremy Alford, "History of Corruption In Louisiana Stirs Fears That Aid Will Go Astray," *The New York Times*, October 1, 2005, 10.

[157] *The Guardian (London)*, "Comment & Analysis: Hurricane Katrina: Blaming Bush," September 3, 2005, 19.

[158] Thomas Caywood, "Katrina's Wrath: Oxfame takes Third World expertise to Gulf Coast," *The Boston Herald*, September 8, 2005, 08.

[159] Ibid.

[160] *The Nelson Mail (New Zealand)*, "An attack and a disaster," September 13, 2005, 9.

[161] Lornet Turnbull, Stuart Eskenazi, Marsha King, "Was rescue a race, class issue?; Some African-Americans here see it that way - Others feel bureaucracy is more to blame - Hurricane Katrina," *The Seattle Times*, September 4, 2005, B1.

[162] Sullivan, "How Could This Be Happening in the United States?," A12.

[163] Judie López, *San Antonio Express-News*, "Your Turn; Focus: Hurricane Katrina," September 3, 2005, 10B.

[164] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 20.

[165] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 16-17.

[166] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 17.

[167] Ibid.

[168] Wood, "The Politics of Development Policy Labelling," 357.

[169] Truman, "Inaugrual Address," http://www.trumanlibrary.org/calendar/viewpapers.php?pid=1030.

[170] Tucker, "The Myth of Development: A Critique of a Eurocentric Discourse," 1.

[171] Hadjor, *Dictionary of Third World Terms*, 3.

[172] Caroline O. N. Moser, "The Asset Vulnerability Framework: Reassessing Urban Poverty Reduction Strategies," *World Development* 26, no. 1 (1998): 14.

[173] Lawrence Neuman and Ronald J. Berger, " Competing Perspectives on Cross-National Crime: An Evaluation of Theory and Evidence," *The Sociological Quarterly* 29, no. 2 (Summer 1988): 284.

[174] Escobar, "Power and Visibility: Development and the Invention and Management of the Third World," 438.

[175] Stephen John Stedman, "The New Interventionists," *Foreign Affairs* 72, no. 1 (1992): 9.

[176] Mohammed Ayoob, "The New-Old Disorder in the Third World," *Global Governance* 1 (1995): 67.

[177] See, for example, Daya Kishan Thussu, "Legitimizing 'Humanitarian Intervention'? CNN, NATO and the Kosovo Crisis," *European Journal of Communication* 15, no. 3 (2000): 345-361; Mi Yung Toon, "Explaining U.S. Intervention in Third World Internal Wars, 1945-1989," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 41, no. 4 (August 1997): 580-602.

[178] Wood, "The Politics of Development Policy Labelling," 357.

[179] Ibid.

[180] Roger Zetter, "Labelling Refugees: Forming and Transforming a Bureaucratic Identity," *Journal of Refugee Studies* 4, no. 1 (1991): 39.

[181] B.E. Harrell-Bond and E. Voutira, "Anthropology and the Study of Refugees," *Anthropology Today* 9, no. 4 (Aug. 1992): 6-7.

[182] Aristide Zolberg, "The Formation of New States as a Refugee-Generating Process," *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 467 (May 1983): 36.

[183] Zolberg, "The Formation of New States as a Refugee-Generating Process," 35.

[184] Emma Haddad, "Who is (not) a refugee?," *EUI Working Paper SPS No. 2004/6*, June 2004., 2. http://cadmus.eui.eu/dspace/bitstream/1814/1911/1/sps2004-06.pdf (accessed July 2, 2009).

[185] Zetter, "Labelling Refugees: Forming and Transforming a Bureaucratic Identity," 43-44.

[186] Zetter, "Labelling Refugees: Forming and Transforming a Bureaucratic Identity," 44.

[187] Haddad, "Who is (not) a refugee?," 19.

[188] Halicks, "We stood idle as a city fell to its knees," 16E.

[189] Sullivan, "How Could This Be Happening in the United States?," A12.

[190] Ibid.

[191] McKibben, "Katrina havoc reflects the new America," A38.

[192] *Times-Picayune (New Orleans),* "Breaking news from The Times-Picayune and Nola.com; Hurricane Katrina – the aftermath Weblong for Day 6: Thursday, September 1, 2005," 99.

[193] Shin, "Thugs' reign of terror. Marauders rampage after troops leave, say victims," 7.

[194] Leventhal, "Administration was unprepared and lacking in compassion," E12.

[195] Cara Buckley, "Storm's refugees adjust to life in terrible limbo; Jobs being sought – "I have to find it in my heart to find a new home," *The Seattle Times*, September 2, 2005, A13.

[196] Ibid.

[197] O'Briant, "Katrina: The Aftermath: Word Watch: 'Refugee' a misnomer, critics say," 9A.

[198] Ibid.

[199] Tuck, "'Refugees' an unsuitable label for Katrina evacuees," 11A.

[200] Rogers, "'Refugees' kicks up a storm," B7.

[201] Barnes, "When did refugee become a dirty word?," A6.

[202] Pierre and Farhi, "'Refugee': A Word of Trouble," C01.

[203] Eliot Kamenitz, "I'm here because of you, you and you are," *Times-Picayune (New Orleans)*, November 4, 2005, 7.

[204] Charlie Bier, "Katrina's Aftermath; Road toward recovery begins for local hurricane evacuees; Services in shelters offer first steps for those looking to rebuild lives," *The Houston Chronicle*, September 15, 2005, 01; Haynes, "Displaced; Finding; Relief in; Houston," A1; Pierre and Farhi, "'Refugee': A Word of Trouble," C01.

[205] Haynes, "Displaced; Finding; Relief in; Houston," A1.

[206] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 16.

[207] Burke, *A Grammar of Motives*, 460.

[208] Barry Brummett, "Symbolic Form, Burkean Scapegoating, and Rhetorical Exigency in Alioto's Response to the 'Zebra' Murders," *The Western Journal of Speech Communication* 44 (Winter 1980): 66.

[209] Brummett, "Symbolic Form, Burkean Scapegoating, and Rhetorical Exigency in Alioto's Response to the 'Zebra' Murders," 67.

[210] Randall, "Using and abusing the concept of the Third World: Geopolitics and the comparative political study of development and underdevelopment," 52.

[211] See, for example, Henry A. Giroux, "Reading Hurricane Katrina: Race, Class, and the Biopolitics of Disposability," *College Literature* 33, no. 3 (Summer 2006): 171-196; Stephen Graham, "'Homeland' Insecurities? Katrina and the Politics of 'Security' in Metropolitan America," *space and culture* 9, no. 1 (February 2006): 63-67.

[212] United States House of Representatives, *Select Bipartisan Committee to Investigate the Preparation for and Response to Hurricane Katrina*, http://katrina.house.gov/ (accessed June 28, 2009).