PewResearchCenter

MAY 29, 2013

High Interest in Oklahoma Tornado

Most Say Disaster Spending Does Not Require Offsetting Cuts

A Pew Research Center/Washington Post Survey

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT THE PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE & THE PRESS

Michael Dimock

Director

Carroll Doherty

Associate Director

Alec Tyson

Research Associate

1615 L St, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel (202) 419-4372 Fax (202) 419-4399 www.people-press.org

High Interest in Oklahoma Tornado

Most Say Disaster Spending Does Not Require Offsetting Cuts

As Oklahoma recovers from severe damage caused by last week's tornado, a majority of Americans (59%) say federal spending in response to natural disasters is emergency aid that does not need to be offset by cuts to other programs, while 29% say such spending must be offset by cuts to other programs.

While there are partisan differences in opinions about how disaster aid should be treated, majorities of Democrats (69%), independents (57%) and Republicans (52%) say that federal spending in response to natural disasters does not require offsetting spending cuts elsewhere.

The national survey by the Pew Research Center and the Washington Post, conducted May 23-26 among 1,005 adults, finds broad support across demographic groups for the view that federal spending in response to natural disasters is emergency aid and does not need to be offset by cuts to other programs. Comparable majorities of those living in the Northeast (62%), Midwest (58%), West (58%) and South (57%) all agree that federal spending in response to disasters is emergency aid.

Partisan Agreement that Disaster Spending Doesn't Require Offsets

Federal spending on natural disasters		Is emergency aid, needs no offsets	DK
	%	%	%
Total	29	59	13=100
Men	31	56	13=100
Women	26	60	13=100
18-29	42	48	11=100
30-49	30	57	14=100
50-64	24	65	11=100
65+	20	64	15=100
Northeast	29	62	8=100
Midwest	24	58	17=100
South	31	57	12=100
West	28	58	14=100
Republican	36	52	12=100
Democrat	23	69	8=100
Independent	29	57	14=100
Among Reps, Rep-leaning Inds			
Agree w/Tea Party	42	49	10=100
Disagree/ No opinion	30	54	15=100
Following Oklahoma news			
Very closely	26	65	9=100
Less closely	31	53	16=100

PEW RESEARCH CENTER/WASHINGTON POST May 23-26, 2013. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.

Among Republicans and Republican-leaning independents, those who agree with the Tea Party are divided: 49% say government disaster spending does not require offsetting cuts, 42% say that it does. By a 54%-30% margin, Republicans who do not agree with the

Tea Party, or have no opinion of the movement, say emergency disaster spending does not require offsetting cuts.

Young people under the age of 30 are about as likely to say emergency disaster spending requires offsetting cuts (42%) as not (48%). Broad majorities of all other age groups say federal spending in response to natural disasters is emergency aid that does not require cuts to other programs.

High Interest in Oklahoma Tornado

Nearly half of Americans (47%) say they paid very close attention to news about the deadly tornado that stuck Moore, Oklahoma, last week. Interest in the tornado was only slightly lower than interest in Hurricane Sandy last fall (53%).

Interest in the storm far surpassed interest in the week's other top stories, including the murder of a British soldier in London (18%) very closely), the debate over immigration policy in the U.S. (17%) and news about the Obama administration's policy on the use of military drones (17%).

Interest in Oklahoma Tornado and Other Recent Disasters

Percent following very closely	%
May 2013: Deadly tornado in Oklahoma	47
Oct. 2005: Hurricane Katrina and Rita	73
Jan. 2010: Major earthquake in Haiti	60
Jan. 2005: Tsunami in Indian Ocean	58
Mar. 2011: Japan earthquake and tsunami	55
Nov. 2012: Impact of Hurricane Sandy	53
Sept. 2008: Hurricane Ike	50
Aug. 2011: Hurricane Irene	45
May 2011: Deadly tornadoes in the Midwest	45
May 2011: Deadly storms in the South	45
DEW DESEARCH CENTER May 23-26, 2013	

About the Survey

The analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted May 23-26, 2013 among a national sample of 1,005 adults 18 years of age or older living in the continental United States (501 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 504 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 253 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see: http://people-press.org/methodology/.

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin and region to parameters from the 2011 Census Bureau's American Community Survey and population density to parameters from the Decennial Census. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status, based on extrapolations from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size among respondents with a landline phone. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the 95% level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

Group	Unweighted sample size	Plus or minus
Total sample	1,005	3.7 percentage points
Republicans	247	7.5 percentage points
Democrats	310	6.7 percentage points
Independents	334	6.4 percentage points

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

© Pew Research Center, 2013

PEW RESEARCH CENTER May 23-26, 2013, OMNIBUS FINAL TOPLINE N=1,005

ASK ALL:

PEW.1 As I read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past week, please tell me if you happened to follow each news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely. First, [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE] [IF NECESSARY "Did you follow [ITEM] very closely, fairly closely, not too closely or not at all closely?"]

	A deadly have do in Oldshame	Very <u>closely</u>	Fairly closely	Not too closely	Not at all closely	(VOL.) DK/Ref
a.	A deadly tornado in Oklahoma	47	22	12	7	1
	May 23-26, 2013 TRENDS FOR COMPARISON:	47	32	13	7	1
	July 5-8, 2012: Strong storms in the					
	Midwest and East and continued power					
	outages	27	32	20	20	1
	March 1-4, 2012: Deadly storms and	21	32	20	20	_
	tornadoes in the Midwest	33	31	17	18	1
	May 26-29, 2011: Deadly tornadoes in the	33	31	Τ,	10	-
	Midwest	45	33	13	9	*
	May 5-8, 2011: Tornadoes and flooding in	.5	33	13		
	the South and Midwest	41	37	15	7	*
	April 28-May 1, 2011: Deadly storms in the					
	South	45	29	14	12	1
	April 21-25, 2011: Deadly storms in the					_
	South and Midwest	29	33	18	20	*
	June 13-16, 2008: A tornado that killed					
	four Boy Scouts at a camp in Iowa	32	35	17	16	*
	May 30-June 2, 2008: Violent storms and	-				
	tornadoes in the Midwest	30	33	21	15	1
	February 8-11, 2008: Violent storms and					
	tornadoes in the South and Midwest	25	42	19	13	1
	May 11-14, 2007: Tornadoes and floods in					
	the Midwest	22	35	23	19	1
	March 2-5, 2007: Violent storms and					
	tornadoes in the South and Midwest	33	38	18	11	*
	May, 1999: Tornadoes in Oklahoma and					
	Kansas	38	40	15	6	1
b.	Debate over immigration policy in the U.S.					
	May 23-26, 2013	17	23	23	37	1
	May 9-12, 2013	20	24	25	30	1
	April 25-28, 2013	19	25	24	32	*
	April 18-21, 2013	21	22	25	31	1
	April 4-7, 2013	23	22	22	32	*
	TRENDS FOR COMPARISON:					
	January 31-February 3, 2013: Debate in					
	Washington over immigration policy	23	25	22	29	1
	June 28-July 1, 2012: The Supreme Court					
	decision on Arizona's immigration law	29	21	19	30	1
	April 26-29, 2012: <i>The issue of</i>					
	immigration	21	24	26	27	1
	May 12-15, 2011	18	22	27	32	1
	September 2-6, 2010	30	31	19	20	1
	August 12-15, 2010	27	31	19	21	1
	July 29-August 1, 2010: A court ruling that					
	stops most of Arizona's immigration law	40	22	47	10	
	from going into effect	40	32	17	10	1

PEW.1 CONTINUED...

PEW.1 CONTI	NUED	Very <u>closely</u>	Fairly closely	Not too closely	Not at all closely	(VOL.) DK/Ref
	., 2010: The U.S. Justice ent challenging the legality of	<u>croscry</u>	<u>ciosciy</u>	<u>crosery</u>	<u> </u>	<u>DIVITOI</u>
July 1-5,	recent immigration law 2010: The issue of immigration	30 34	27 30	19 20	23 14	1 1
gives pol), 2010: A new Arizona law that ice more authority to question					
peopie tr immigrai	ney suspect might be illegal	38	27	13	21	1
	May 3, 2010	36	31	13	20	*
	12-15, 2007: The issue of		-			
immigrat		23	29	19	29	*
	July 2, 2007: The debate in	2.6		0.4		414
	s over new immigration policy	26	30	21	23	*
	25, 2007 18, 2007	24 22	28 32	22 21	26 25	*
June 8-1		24	29	20	26	1
May 24-2		27	31	22	19	1
	16, 2007: <i>The issue of</i>	27	31	22	13	-
immigrat		21	29	24	26	*
August, 2		34	40	16	9	1
June, 20		36	41	15	7	1
May, 200		44	33	13	9	1
April, 20		39	34	16	10	1
	er, 1994: Passage of Proposition					
	California law that bars education,					
	nd welfare benefits from illegal nts and their children	26	32	22	20	*
iiiiiiigiai	its and their children	20	32	22	20	•
c. The murde	r of a British soldier in London, in					
	d terrorist attack					
May 23-2		18	24	21	35	2
Decembe	er 5-8, 2008: The terrorist attacks					
in Mumb		29	37	20	14	*
	2007: The investigation into who					
	onsible for car bombs that were					
	ed in London and a car bomb that	24	20	10	16	1
	at an airport in Scotland July 2, 2007: British police finding	34	30	19	16	1
	sing a car bomb in London	34	31	16	18	1
	2006: British officials stopping a	51	31	10	10	-
	plot to blow up planes flying to the					
U.S.	, , , , ,	54	26	9	9	2
	2005: The recent terrorist					
	s in Bali, Indonesia	13	31	26	29	1
	5: The terrorist bombings in				_	
London,		48	37	11	4	*
	004: The terrorist bombings in	2.4	25	10	10	
Madrid, S		34	35	18	12	1
	2002: The terrorist bombing of a	20	34	25	20	1
riigiitciul	in Bali, Indonesia	20	54	25	20	1
d. The Obama	a administration's policy on the use					
of military						
May 23-2		17	24	22	36	1

ASK ALL:

PEW.2 Thinking about the federal government's response to major natural disasters, which comes closer to your view even if neither is exactly right? **[READ; RANDOMIZE]**¹

May 23-26	
<u>2013</u>	
29	Federal spending in response to natural disasters must be offset by cuts to other programs Federal spending in response to natural disasters is emergency aid that does not need to be
59	offset by cuts to other programs
13	Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

PEW.2 asked in conjunction with *The Washington Post*.

www.people-press.org