



# medicaid and the uninsured

# Emerging Medicaid Accountable Care Organizations: The Role of Managed Care

May 2012

#### **Executive Summary**

An Accountable Care Organization (ACO) is a provider-run organization in which the participating providers are collectively responsible for the care of an enrolled population, and also may share in any savings associated with improvements in the quality and efficiency of the care they provide. Although the concept of ACOs originated in the Medicare and commercial sectors, several states are actively developing ACO initiatives in an effort to improve the care provided to people through the Medicaid program. Our review of a number of state initiatives indicates that most Medicaid ACOs are currently at an early stage of development, as states engage in relatively lengthy planning and implementation processes, both to accommodate diverse stakeholder concerns and to address state and federal legislative and regulatory requirements. The structure of Medicaid ACO initiatives is influenced by individual states' history and experience with managed care, other existing care delivery arrangements within Medicaid, and the challenges inherent in serving low-income and chronically ill populations. While Medicaid ACOs are a strategy to more directly engage providers and provider communities in improving care, cost-containment is also a significant motivating factor for many states. It remains to be seen how states will balance short-term cost-containment pressures against the investments in partnerships and delivery system redesign necessary for the success of Medicaid ACOs over the longer term.

#### Introduction

Medicaid is the nation's public health insurance program for low-income Americans, covering close to 60 million children, families, seniors, and people with disabilities.<sup>1</sup> Approximately two out of three Medicaid beneficiaries are currently enrolled in a comprehensive form of managed care – either risk-based managed care organizations (MCOs) or primary care case management (PCCM) programs.<sup>2</sup> In recent years, states have increasingly turned to managed care in response to a growing interest in improving care for beneficiaries with complex needs and to address ongoing budget pressures. At the same time, states are exploring other strategies and reforms that incentivize high quality and effective care, reward improved outcomes, and/or lower costs. In this environment of change and innovation, states are also looking ahead to 2014, when key provisions of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) will take effect and Medicaid enrollment will expand.

Recently, a number of states have begun to explore the possibility of implementing Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) in Medicaid. The ACO concept, which originated in the context of the Medicare

Program and subsequently migrated to the private health insurance market as well, refers to a providerrun organization in which the participating providers are collectively responsible for the care of an enrolled population, and also may share in any savings associated with improvements in the quality and efficiency of the care they provide.<sup>3</sup>Previous analyses have sought to define Medicaid ACOs and discussed how states could promote their development.<sup>4,5</sup>However, there has been little analysis of how the current Medicaid context, including the unique profile of the populations the program serves and the delivery systems in place today, may influence the development of ACOs in Medicaid.

This brief examines the existing Medicaid payment and care delivery landscape in states undertaking Medicaid ACO initiatives to gain insights into how ACOs may be structured and fit into states' Medicaid programs, and to identify important differences between Medicaid ACOs and ACOs in Medicare and the private insurance market. Our analysis is based on a review of the literature on these emerging ACO programs and interviews with key informants in five states where Medicaid ACO initiatives are being developed.<sup>6,7</sup>

# Background

The term "Accountable Care Organization" first appeared in 2007 as part of a discussion about targeting Medicare's pay-for-performance incentives not to individual providers, but toward potential new organizations comprising hospitals and their extended affiliated medical staff.<sup>8,9,10</sup>In this context, ACOs refers to formal provider organizations that might vary in structure but would take responsibility for the care of a defined group of beneficiaries, with care evaluated based on quality metrics. ACOs that performed well would be eligible to share in any resulting cost savings. Because, in many communities, care is delivered by autonomous, non-integrated fee-for-service (FFS) providers, the thought was that ACOs might be a more realistic reform than encouraging providers to aggregate into multi-specialty groups or convert immediately from FFS to full-risk arrangements. Being provider-led, with shared stakes in their performance, there also was hope that ACOs might be effective in helping providers work together to accept accountability for delivering coordinated care across care delivery settings and over time.<sup>11</sup>

Medicare ACOs were formally recognized in the Patient Protection and Accountable Care Act of 2010 (ACA) as entities eligible for the Medicare Shared Savings Program.<sup>12,13</sup>Subsequent regulations authorized a specific form of Medicare ACO, in which provider-based entities with at least 5,000 Medicare patients (in general) agree to manage the care of those patients, submit quality data, and share savings (bonus only), and possibly losses as well (considered a two-sided model).<sup>14</sup> Patients are assigned to a specific ACO if they obtain the plurality of their primary care from a provider belonging to that ACO. However, patients remain free to seek care from any provider, consistent with traditional Medicare policy, and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) will update the patient attribution periodically for purposes of calculating savings. Under the Medicare Shared Savings Program, scheduled to be implemented in 2012, providers continue to receive Medicare FFS payment as well as their share of any savings.

The ACA also authorized a demonstration project for the creation of pediatric ACOs within Medicaid and/or the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). The demonstration is currently unfunded, but states have begun to plan and implement Medicaid ACO initiatives themselves.

ACOs within the Medicare, Medicaid, or commercial sector typically include three key elements—a provider organization as the base, accountability for patient outcomes, and the potential for shared savings—but, otherwise, ACOs models vary. For example, Massachusetts is planning to move its Medicaid program toward a capitated, multi-payer ACO model, while Vermont is creating a community-based ACO model.<sup>15,16</sup>In the commercial market, Aetna is working with provider organizations, such as Banner Health in Arizona, to offer risk-based ACO products.<sup>17</sup>UnitedHealthcare views a variety of arrangements with ACOs as critical to its efforts to promote "value-driven health care."<sup>18</sup> Medicare also allows for alternative ACO models within the ACO Pioneer Program, which is under the authority of the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation. A review of the 32 current Pioneer ACOs reveals great diversity in both their scope and in their care delivery features.<sup>19</sup> Medicare Pioneer ACOs range from large, multi-market entities to relatively small, localized structures that may or may not include hospitals. A national survey by Leavitt Partners counted as many 164 ACO entities nationwide in all payer sectors.<sup>20</sup> These ACO entities included 99 based in hospital systems, 38 based within Independent Physician Association, and 27 based within an insurer.

Although ACOs models vary, all involve increased use of quality metrics focused on patient-centered care, increased coordination of care, and incentives designed to reward performance (i.e., improved outcomes). These are some of the same features of other Medicaid managed care strategies, including risk-based contracting with MCOs, and states contemplating a Medicaid ACO initiative typically consider their historical experience with managed care to assess whether and how ACOs fit into their Medicaid environment.

### Most Medicaid ACO Initiatives Are at an Early Stage of Development

While Medicaid ACOs have received increasing attention, very few of the initiatives that we examined in detail are currently operational. In the table, we summarize our findings from a review of documents and interviews with officials from five states with Medicaid ACOs initiatives, focusing on the historical context, the apparent motivation for the strategy, the details of the ACO model, and its current status. Among the five states, only Colorado has an operational Medicaid ACO, which is in the initial stages. Utah and Oregon hope to be operational sometime in 2012, while Oklahoma is still developing its approach. New Jersey's initiative has been authorized by the state legislature, but before it becomes operational, regulations must be promulgated.

Several factors may lengthen the timeframe required to operationalize a Medicaid ACO, including requirements in many states that the legislature authorize the program (perhaps requiring multiple actions), the time for the state to issue necessary implementation guidance or regulations, and the phase-in strategy. In New Jersey, for example, the legislature quickly authorized the initiative, but progress was delayed by practical challenges associated with integrating the ACO concept into the current Medicaid program, and with figuring out how to structure the related regulations. In contrast, Oregon engaged in an extensive and extended planning process to gather and incorporate stakeholder input, although implementation appears to be progressing rapidly now that authorizing legislation has been enacted.

Some states have combined ACO initiatives with other Medicaid reform strategies that may require federal waivers. The complexity of these reform packages can delay implementation of a state's ACO initiative for reasons having little to do with the ACO initiative itself. Oregon plans to include accountability for state-funded public health programs in the ACO program and to have the ability to

cap ACO payments. New Jersey has a pending comprehensive waiver that would allow the state to streamline what previously were a large number of diverse managed care waiver programs.<sup>21</sup>

### States' Existing Medicaid Programs Shape the Features of ACO Initiatives

## Current Risk-Based Arrangements Influence Development of Medicaid ACOs

Managed care has a much larger presence in Medicaid than in Medicare or the commercial sector. As of October 2010, nearly two-thirds of Medicaid beneficiaries were enrolled in managed care for all or most of their care, including 49.5% in comprehensive risk-based plans similar to health maintenance organizations (HMOs).<sup>22</sup>In contrast, in 2011,just a quarter of Medicare beneficiaries were enrolled in managed care plans and fewer than 20% of individuals with employment-based coverage were enrolled in an HMO (the commercial MCO analogue).<sup>23,24</sup>

Whether a risk-based managed care infrastructure exists or not appears to influence the approach payers take to establishing ACOs. In Medicare and, often, in the commercial sector, where risk-based arrangements are more limited, ACO initiatives are oriented toward providers practicing on a FFS basis who do not bear risk. In these ACOs, Medicare or the private insurer contracts directly with provider-based ACOs.

However, in many state Medicaid programs today, risk-based managed care is the predominant delivery and payment system. This factor has influenced the form that Medicaid ACOs have taken and the relationship between ACOs and MCOs. In some instances, MCOs coordinate with ACOs, and in others, they act as the ACOs. The boundaries between traditional risk-based managed care and ACOs can be difficult to discern in Medicaid.

- Utah, which previously converted most of its insurer- and provider-led health plans from full-risk to partial- and no-risk arrangements, is now planning to return to risk-based contracting, this time with the same organizations operating as ACOs charged with managing care delivery and bearing financial risk.
- Colorado's ACO initiative, the Accountable Care Collaborative, provides an exception during the initial stages of the initiative that allows for the continuation of existing managed care contracts with Kaiser Permanente.
- Oregon is implementing an ACO initiative based around Coordinated Care Organizations that will strengthen Medicaid requirements for community and provider engagement, but will allow existing Medicaid MCOs to apply to participate and gradually transition to meet the new requirements.
- Minnesota is shifting away from using Medicaid MCOs that subcontract with provider organizations. Instead, the state is adopting a strategy of contracting directly with large provider organizations using an ACO model, and will call for providers to absorb an increasing amount of risk over time.<sup>25</sup>

Failure to anticipate questions about how ACOs will work within the context of existing managed care programs may slow implementation of ACO initiatives. In New Jersey, regulations to implement ACOs are progressing relatively slowly because the legislature authorized a grassroots provider-based ACO initiative. The state wished to retain its extensive network of risk-based MCOs, which have the authority to specify the terms of provider contracts (including any gain-sharing component). Though the state envisioned that the MCOs would contract directly with the ACOs, the legislation did not address the

structure of these contractual relationships in detail. The forthcoming regulations will likely make MCO participation in the initiative voluntary, but the state expects that the intensive case management envisioned in ACOs will be attractive to at least some MCOs.

For states in which there is minimal risk-based managed care within the Medicaid program, ACOs may be viewed as part of the natural evolution of current delivery systems toward increased coordination of care and realignment of provider incentives. For example, North Carolina's medical home program already incorporates some features of ACOs, including diverse quality metrics and provider networks to promote accountable care in Medicaid, as well as for Medicare and other payers in the state.<sup>26,27</sup>

### Development of Medicaid ACOs is Affected by Complex Care Delivery Arrangements in Medicaid

State Medicaid programs provide health care and social supports to beneficiaries through a complex array of care delivery arrangements and authorities. These care delivery arrangements are, in part, a result of states' efforts to address the complicated health care needs of a diverse beneficiary population, including low-income pregnant women, people with physical and mental disabilities, people with chronic diseases, and seniors.<sup>28</sup> In recent years, many states have exempted or excluded certain subpopulations from some care delivery programs or covered specific benefits through mandatory managed care arrangements.

These complex care arrangements bear on which subpopulations the state includes in a Medicaid ACO initiative and the benefits for which the ACOs are at risk. In Colorado, for example, beneficiaries residing in state psychiatric institutions or nursing facilities were initially excluded from enrollment in the Accountable Care Collaborative. Utah specifically excludes mental health services, substance abuse treatment services, nursing facilities, and emergency transportation from its ACO program.<sup>29</sup>These exclusions remain in place under the ACO initiatives.

In other states, however, an ACO initiative may be viewed as an opportunity to integrate services that had previously been carved out from managed care. For example, Oregon plans to use the ACO program to merge previously separate medical care and behavioral health care risk arrangements, ultimately also folding in risk for dental care. Colorado, which uses five regionally-based Behavioral Health Organizations to operate a state-funded mental health program, determined that these geographic areas were a good starting place for the development of the seven Regional Care Collaborative Organizations (RCCOs) within the Medicaid ACO program. To date, all RCCOs are required to coordinate and collaborate with the existing local Behavioral Health Organizations and with most regional substance use disorder Managed Service Organizations.<sup>30</sup>

# Medicaid Beneficiaries who are Eligible for Medicare Raise Special Issues

In 2008, 15% of Medicaid beneficiaries were also enrolled in Medicare; these low-income individuals are known as "dual eligibles."<sup>31</sup> Medicare provides coverage for most acute health care needs, while Medicaid provides assistance with Medicare premiums and cost-sharing, and covers critical benefits not provided by Medicare, especially long -term services and supports. On average, dual eligibles are sicker and poorer than other Medicaid and Medicare beneficiaries, accounting for nearly 40% of total Medicaid expenditures. Compared to other Medicaid beneficiaries, dual eligible represent a particular challenge to Medicaid programs due to their high medical spending, complex health needs, and high utilization of institutional and long-term care services.<sup>32</sup>

Dual-eligible beneficiaries' reliance on both Medicare and Medicaid means they pose special challenges to states implementing Medicaid ACO initiatives. For example, while states may mandate enrollment in such ACOs as a condition for receipt of Medicaid benefits, such a mandate is not allowed in Medicare. Dual eligible enrollment in ACOs also raises questions of how to distribute any savings between state Medicaid agencies and Medicare.

States with ACO initiatives have adopted various strategies regarding dual eligible beneficiaries:

- Oregon is developing separate plans for dual eligibles with a grant from CMS to improve integration of Medicare and Medicaid services.
- New Jersey's ACO legislation generally excludes dual eligibles but state officials believe that the ACO program may include any duals that are currently enrolled in Medicaid MCOs.
- Oklahoma has a PCCM program for most Medicaid beneficiaries that excludes dual eligibles. At the time of our interview, the state was considering including dual eligibles in a Medicare-based ACO initiative in the Tulsa area. The state hoped that doing so would generate Medicaid savings by reducing utilization and, thus, Medicaid's obligations for Medicare cost-sharing amounts.
- Colorado had an ambitious cost savings goal for its Year 1 pilot and was concerned that any savings due to improved care for dual eligibles would accrue to the Medicare program rather than the state. The state decided that dual eligibles would be neither explicitly excluded nor actively enrolled in the first year of the enrollment-limited ACO program.

### Stakeholder Consultation within States' Planning Process Varies

The nature and extent of stakeholder engagement in developing an ACO initiative varies across the states. In Oregon, Medicaid reform efforts typically involve structured consultations with diverse stakeholders in an open process. The state's strategy to establish Coordinated Care Organizations included presentations at eight community meetings attended by 1,200 Oregonians, refinement by four workgroups comprising 133 governor-appointed members, and opportunity for public feedback through multiple open comment periods.<sup>33</sup> State policymakers view this public process as valuable in creating champions, both in the legislature and on the local level. In Colorado, stakeholder engagement through consultation is part of the normal policy development process and was cited by state officials as a reason why one key group that initially opposed the ACO initiative came to support it.

Still, some states are developing Medicaid ACOs with less stakeholder consultation. These states may view the ACOs as a strategy to transition from managed care to a new Medicaid delivery model, and to make the conversion relatively invisible from the perspective of enrollees in MCOs that continue to have market presence. However, minimizing stakeholder consultation could prove problematic to the extent it leads to miscommunication. As an experienced state official noted, discussing the development and implementation of a Medicaid ACO initiative, "if you have one miscommunication, it will spread like wildfire,[taking] weeks [of work] trying to correct it."

#### Need to Contain Costs Influences Formation of Medicaid ACOs

Facing ongoing economic challenges, many states are under considerable budget pressure and seek immediate savings.<sup>34</sup> Because Medicaid programs account for a large share of state spending, they are often the focus of state cost-containment efforts. In Oregon, for example, state policymakers have

made clear that they would like to derive savings by getting better value for their Medicaid dollars. If successful, the transformation to an ACO model will help Oregon reduce future health care spending, stabilize the delivery system, and preserve available services.<sup>35</sup>

Interest in Medicaid ACOs appears to be fueled partly by a belief that ACOs have potential to both deliver higher quality care and to improve efficiency and value. Most states applying the ACO concept in Medicaid have focused on strategies designed to increase provider engagement and accountability for care and to realign financial incentives over time. States may seek to distinguish this strategy from prior managed care initiatives that were seen as oriented towards insurers and cut costs by denying care or reducing provider payments.<sup>36</sup>To differentiate these new arrangements from MCOs or HMOs, states may embrace the newer terminology of ACOs, including state-specific variations such as Coordinated Care Organizations as in Oregon.

Colorado had a managed care program that was repealed because the state officials perceived that "concerns over the adequacy of managed care rates detracted from the ability of the program to focus on broader health care issues." Using ACOs, Colorado hopes to return to a model of managed care that delegates management authority to seven regional organizations, which are responsible for achieving improved health outcomes, creating a network of primary care providers, and assisting the providers in delivery care in a medical home setting. Colorado's initial phase of the program, to test the model's ability to generate savings, included 60,000 beneficiaries, but the state doubled the enrollment target almost immediately to address a short-term hole in the state's budget.

Utah is another example of a state that mostly abandoned capitated Medicaid managed care, in part because MCOs objected that the state did not adjust rates to keep pace with increases in medical expenses. Utah's current ACO plan appears to focus more on improved care delivery than on direct cost reduction. However, the ACO initiative is embedded within a broader reform strategy that would allow benefits to be cut based on a priority list if savings are insufficient to meet state revenue constraints and that would allow health ACOs considerable flexibility to determine how they will share savings with contracted provider partners.

#### Conclusion

The structure of early Medicaid ACO initiatives appears to be strongly influenced by states' Medicaid managed care environments and experiences, and by whether the states with managed care currently have risk-based contracts with MCOs or have moved away from that model. In addition, states are exploring how Medicaid ACOs would mesh with the complex care delivery arrangements in place now, as well as the unique challenges associated with serving dual eligibles. It will be some time before it is clear whether Medicaid ACOs can succeed in improving quality and outcomes and restraining spending growth.

States with nascent Medicaid ACO initiatives appear to be aware of, and responding to, the operational issues and pitfalls associated with past managed care strategies. These states have emphasized the role of providers, established performance metrics, and/or focused on closer alignment of financial incentives with policy goals. At the same time, state officials have noted that the new accountable care approach brings its own technical challenges, such as methods for attributing patients to ACOs, allocation of shared savings and development of appropriate risk adjustment methodologies. States vary in the resources they have available to address these challenges.

Promoting transformation in the way services are delivered in Medicaid is not straightforward, and the time and investment required may run counter to states' fiscal imperatives. Arguably, this tension between rapid cost containment and delivery reform was one reason that some earlier Medicaid managed care initiatives proved unsuccessful.<sup>37,38</sup> How states build on their experiences with managed care and how they address the challenges associated with developing and implementing Medicaid ACOs may determine whether this renewed emphasis on outcomes and accountability will fundamentally affect state Medicaid programs.

This brief was prepared by Marsha Gold and Jessica Nysenbaum, of Mathematica Policy Research, and Sonya Streeter of the Kaiser Family Foundation's Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured.

Historical Context         State Motivation           Interested in re-engaging MCO to intrable Care propries and instead emphasized primary care case emphasized primary care case emphasized primary care case emphasized primary care case management strategy within a FFS environment. Capitated interested in allowed the state to avoid past FFS environment. Capitated interest in allowed the state to avoid past FFS environment. Capitated interest in allowed the state to avoid past FFS environment. Capitated interest in allowed the state to avoid past FFS environment. Capitated interest in allowed the state to avoid past regional basis.           MostMeelcaid beneficiaries caid ACO interest in the process of initiative arose independently from provider-based community.         Concept based on Canden improve outcomes.           MostMeelcaid program s. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.         Improve outcomes.           Medicaid program and since 2009 initiative arose independently from provider-based community.         Medicaid financing for duals, partly to reduce improve outcomes.           Initiative arose independently from provider based community.         Exploring strategies to integrate intrative and Medicaid financing for duals, partly to reduce intrative arose independently from provider system to generate asvings to hedical program and adults; dual ligibles           Medicaid program had used risk intration for has history of using a coverage intration         Medicaid financing heatients and promoting teaching objectives.           Medicaid program had used risk intration         Medicaid program had used risk objectives.         Returning trate savings to heatien overage or covereace outcomes.					
Moved away from risk-based MCO program and instead emphasized primary care case emphasized primary care case emphasized primary care case emphasized primary care case emphasized primary careactoric allowed the state to avoid past regional basis.Care emphasized primary careactoric allowed the state to avoid past regional basis.Interested in re-engaging MCO to address health cared-out on a lawsuits over capitation rates.Care emphasized program ling waivers and currently enrolled in risk-based of currently enrolled in risk-based form provider-based community.Interested on high utilizers to reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.Care pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.Medicaid spendim framcing for duals; partly to reduce pregnant women and adults; dual improve outcomes.Medicaid financing the reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.Medicald program, and since 2009 for pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.Medicaid spending. Oklahoma objectives.Medicald program had used risk.Returning governor sought to reduce and financing for duals; partly to reduce based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral basis. State and expand coverageMoved away from risk-based and expand coverageMedicaid spending objectives.Moved away from risk-based provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provider systems that provided spinititative, and spinory outs for MOO careacting provided serving consulted provided wary from risk-based for model with multiple largeMoved away from risk-based provider systems that provided provided wary from risk-based 	State	Historical Context	State Motivation	ACO Model	Status
CareMCD program and insteadaddress health care costemphasized primary care caseemphasized primary care caseanonegement strategy within a management strategy within a incomment. Capitatedallowed the state to avoid pastFFS environment. Capitatedallowed the state to avoid pastincoments over capitation rates.FFS environment. Capitatedallowed the state to avoid pastFFS environment. Capitatedallowed the state to avoid pastFFS environment. Capitatedallowed the state to avoid pastregional basis.concept based on CamdenMostMedicaid beneficiariesconcept based on CamdenConcent program. ACOimprove outcomesinitiative arose independentlythat focused on high utilizers toinitiative arose independentlyimprove outcomes.from provider-based community.medicaid programs. ACOinitiative arose independentlyfranting strategies to integrateformedicaid program emphasizedfrantingformedicaid program membasizedfranting outcomes.formedicaid program membasizedfor duals.pregnant women and dults; dualUniversity in Tulsa interested inaligibles excluded.medicaid spending objectives.medicaid program had used risk.Returning governor sought tocarebased MCOS, along with caree.provider system to generate savings tohealth on a regional basis. Statemedicaid program based MCOS, along with caree.outs for behavioral and dentalmedicaid program bad evoragep	Colorado's	Moved away from risk-based	Interested in re-engaging MCO to	Three components: (1) primary care medical providers (PCMPs)	In 2011, began pilot of
<ul> <li>emphasized primary care case management strategy within a movie out one stransmine waver capitation rates.</li> <li>MostMedicaid beneficiaries concept based on Camden currently enrolled in risk-based community.</li> <li>MostMedicaid beneficiaries concept based on Camden currently enrolled in risk-based community.</li> <li>MostMedicaid program strategiaes to integrate stransmining wavers and improve outcomes.</li> <li>Initiative arcs independently from provider-based community.</li> <li>Medicaid program emphasized financing for medical homes for children.</li> <li>Medicaid program wand since 2009 Medicare and Medicaid financing for medical program, and since 2009 medicare and Medicaid financing for medical program a lingibles excluded.</li> <li>Medicaid program had used risk- Returning governor sought to based MCOS, along with care provider state savings to health on a regional basis. State meet budget shortfalls and to has history of using a coverage outcomes.</li> <li>Moved away from risk-based Goal is to improve care delivery and outcomes.</li> <li>Moved away from risk-based Goal is to improve control of state and consolved with large state presence.</li> </ul>	Accountable Care	MCO program and instead	address health care cost	receive FFS payments plus \$4 per member per month (PMPM) to	60,000 passively enrolled
management strategy within a FFS environment. Capitated behavioral health carved-out on a behavioral basis.allowed the state to avoid past controversies that resulted in lawsuits over capitation rates. regional basis.MostMedicald beneficiaries regional basis.Concept based on Camden awsuits over capitation rates. awsuits over capitation rates. awsuits over capitation rates.MostMedicald beneficiaries regional basis.Concept based on Camden awsuits over capitation rates. awsuits over capitation rates. by MocDS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and initiative arose independently from provider based community.Medicald program emphasized for initiative arose independently from provider based community.Concept based on Camden that focused on high utilizers to reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.Medicald program emphasized for initiative arose independently from provider spread medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual medical program mad used risk paregnant women and adults; dual medicaid program had used risk pares and promoting traching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk pares and promoting traching outs for behavioral and dental pareitents and promoting traching on health care provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provided works for MCO care provider systems that provided with intrac	Collaborative	emphasized primary care case	concerns. Sought model which	provide medical home services (beginning July 1, \$3 PMPM and \$1	beneficiaries to
FFS environment. Capitated behavioral health carved-out on a behavioral health carved-out on a regional basis.controversies that resulted in lawsuits over capitation rates. lawsuits over capitation rates.noMostMedicald beneficiaries currently enrolled in risk-based on Streamlining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.concept based on Camden that focused on Camden controverse of that focused on NGOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.concept based on Camden that focused on NGOS. State improve outcomes.Care for medicald program emphasized for medical program and shore 2009 initiative arose independently from proving care for chronically ill patients and promoting tracted in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting tracting objectives.Medicald program had used risk.Returning governor sought to improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicald program had used risk.Returning governor sought to improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicald program had used risk.Returning governor sought to improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching outs for behavioral and dental has history of using a coverage and expand coverage.Moved away from risk-based and expand coverage.Goal is to improve control of system to generate savings to meted system to generate savings for the system to generate savings for the shistory of using a coverage sand expand coverage.Moved away		management strategy within a	allowed the state to avoid past	toward an incentive payment pool); (2) seven regional care	demonstrate if the model
behavioral health carved-out on a regional basis.lawsuits over capitation rates.regional basis.regional basis.nostMedicald beneficiariesConcept based on CamdennostMedicald beneficiariesConcept based on Camdennoturrently enrolled in risk-basedthat focused on high utilizers to reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.noMccos. State in the process of streamlining waivers and initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Concept based on CamdenMedicald programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Concept based on camdenMedicald program. and since 2009 medical homes for children, medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.Medicaid spending. Oklahoma University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental provider system to generate savings to health on a regional basis. State and expand coverage.Medicaid delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based and expand coverage.Cane budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based and expand coverage.Cane budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based and expand coverageCane budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based and expand coverageCane budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Moved away from ris		FFS environment. Capitated	controversies that resulted in	collaborative organizations (RCCOs) receiving up to \$13 PMPM to	would meet budget goals.
regional basis.regional basis.negional basis.negle based MCOs, along with carve-outs for behavioral and dentalnealth on a regional basis.nealth on a regional basis.nealth on a regional basis.not system to generate savings to improve care delivery and outcomes.nodel with multiple largenodel with multiple largenodel with state and CMS to develop to intribute and consulted provider system state presence.nodel with and used is ponsing legislator consulted bases initiative, and also works for MCO to plans.nodel with nultiple largenodel with and to plans.nodel with and to plans.nodel with and to plans.nodel with and to plans.nodel with and base.not base to base for on plans.not		behavioral health carved-out on a		manage provider networks and supporting PCMPs with care	Enrollment will double by
MostMedicaid beneficiaries         Concept based on Camden           O         currently enrolled in risk-based         Concept based on Camden           O         currently enrolled in risk-based         Coalition, a local provider group           MCOS. State in the process of streamining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO         that focused on high utilizers to streamining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO           Initiative arose independently from provider-based community.         reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.           Medicaid program emphasized to medical homes for children, for duals, partity to reduce the second for the medical homes for children, how for duals, partity to reduce the for the children outs for home homes for children, for duals, partity to reduce the for the children outs for home homes for children, how for the children outs for home home home home home home home home		regional basis.		delivery; and (3) data analytics contractor to build a data	mid-2012.
MostMedicaid beneficiariesConcept based on CamdenOcurrently enrolled in risk-basedCoalition, a local provider groupMCOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Concept based on CamdenMCOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Concept based on CamdenMCOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Concept based on CamdenMedicaid program and since 2009 for medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual medicaid program and since 2009 medicaid program and since 2009 medicaid program and since 2009 for duals, partly to reduce medicaid financing dedicaid program had used risk- based MCOS, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental meet budget shortfalls and to has history of using a coverage and expand coverage.Returning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meret budget shortfalls and to improvie care delivery and outcomes.Medicaid program that coverage.Goal is to improve control of system to generate savings to moved away from risk-based provider systems that provided provided provider systems that provided provided provided provider systems that provided provided provided provided provided providedGoal is to improve control of state spending on health care.				repository and provider portal for PCMPs and RCCOs to assess	
<ul> <li>MostMedicaid beneficiaries</li> <li>MostMedicaid beneficiaries</li> <li>currently enrolled in risk-based</li> <li>MCOS. State in the process of</li> <li>streamlining waivers and</li> <li>mbcos. State in the process of</li> <li>streamlining waivers and</li> <li>enhancing programs. ACO</li> <li>initiative arose independently</li> <li>from provider-based community.</li> <li>Medicaid programs. ACO</li> <li>initiative arose independently</li> <li>from provider-based community.</li> <li>Medicaid programs. ACO</li> <li>initiative arose independently</li> <li>from provider-based community.</li> <li>Medicaid program emphasized</li> <li>Medicaid program for duals, partly to reduce</li> <li>pregnant women and adults; dual</li> <li>University in Tulsa interested in</li> <li>improving care for chronically ill</li> <li>paregnant women and adults; dual</li> <li>University in Tulsa interested in</li> <li>improving care for chronically ill</li> <li>patients and promoting teaching</li> <li>objectives.</li> <li>Medicaid program had used risk.</li> <li>Returning governor sought to</li> <li>based MCOS, along with carve-</li> <li>outs for behavioral and dental</li> <li>based MCOS, along with carve-</li> <li>outs for behavioral basis. State</li> <li>based MCOS, along with carve-</li> <li>outs for behavioral basis. State</li> <li>based MCOS, along with carve-</li> <li>based MCOS, along with carve-</li> <li>outs for behavioral basis. State</li> <li>based MCOS, along with carve-</li> <li>based M</li></ul>				performance metrics and facilitate quality improvements.	
Ocurrently enrolled in risk-basedCoalition, a local provider groupMCOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Coalition, a local provider group reduce emergency room use and enduce mergency room use and improve outcomes.MCOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.Coalition, a local provider group reduce emergency room use and medicaid program emphasized Medicaid financing for duals, partly to reduce medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual university in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk pased MCOS, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental pased MCOS, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental pased MCOS, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental pased MCOS, along with carve- outs for based MCOS, along with carve- outs for beaving teaching outcomes.Medicaid program had used risk- pouts for move care delivery and pouts for move care delivery and provider system state savings to moved and coverage.Moved away from risk-based provider system state provided provider system state provided portores.Moved as limited benefit provider system state provided provider system state provided with large state presence.	New Jersey's	MostMedicaid beneficiaries	Concept based on Camden	Three-year demonstration for community-based ACOs that serve	Authorizing legislation
onMCOS. State in the process of streamlining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.that focused on high utilizers to reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.Medicaid program enphasized from provider-based community.Exploring strategies to integrate for duals, partly to reduce medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual leligibles excluded.Exploring strategies to integrate for duals, partly to reduce for duals, partly to reduceRedicaid program emphasized for medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk has history of using a coverage proving a coverageReturning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based model with multiple large provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provider system state presence.Goal is to improve control of state spending on health care.	Medicaid ACO	currently enrolled in risk-based	Coalition, a local provider group	5,000+ beneficiaries and contract with at least 75% of providers,	passed in 2011. State
streamlining waivers and enhancing programs. ACO initiative arose independently from provider-based community.reduce emergency room use and improve outcomes.Medicaid program emphasized from provider-based community.improve outcomes.Medicaid program emphasized pregnant women and adults; dual pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.Exploring strategies to integrate for duals, partly to reduce medicaid homes for children, for duals, partly to reduceMedicaid program emphasized pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk has history of using a coverage priority list to generate savings to has history of using a coverage priority list to generate savings to improve care delivery and priority list to generate savings and expand coverage.Moved away from risk-based provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provider systems that provided provider system state presence.	Demonstration	MCOs. State in the process of	that focused on high utilizers to	100% of hospitals in region, and four mental health providers.	regulations regarding
enhancing programs. ACOimprove outcomes.initilative arose independently from provider-based community.improve outcomes.from provider-based community.Medicaid program emphasizedCarePCCM program emphasizedExploring strategies to integratePCCM program. and since 2009Medicaid spending. Oklahomaformedical homes for children,Medicaid spending. Oklahomaeligibles excluded.University in Tulsa interested inmedical program had used riskDiproving care for chronically illpatents and promoting teachingobjectives.Medicaid program had used riskReturning governor sought tohas history of using a coverageneet budget shortfalls and tonand expand coverage.outs for improve care delivery andand expand coverage.outcomes.model with multiple largeSponsoring legislator consultedprovider systems that providedSponsoring legislator consultedprovider systems that providedwith state and CMS to developprovider systems that providedwith large state presence.		streamlining waivers and	reduce emergency room use and	MCOs can contract with ACOs. The ACOs will be eligible for shared	implementation under
initiative arose independently from provider-based community.from provider-based community.from provider-based community.Medicaid program, and since 2009 medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual pregnant women and adults; dual bregnant women and adults; dual University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State based MCOs, along with carve- and expand coverageMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, slong with carve- based MCOs, along with state and control of based model with multiple large brout consulted brout and expand coverage.Care based word away from risk-based provider systems that provided provider systems that provided brock or acted as limited benefit with large state presence.		enhancing programs. ACO	improve outcomes.	savings, according to the contract with the MCOs, if quality	development. Next step
from provider-based community.Medicaid program emphasizedExploring strategies to integrateMedicaid program, and since 2009Medicaid spending. Oklahomaformedical homes for children,Medicaid spending. Oklahomaformedical homes for children,Medicaid spending. Oklahomaformedical homes for children,Medicaid spending. Oklahomaeligibles excluded.Medicaid program had ults; dualUniversity in Tulsa interested inmedical program had used riskMedicaid promoting teachingOplicatives.Medicaid program had used riskReturning governor sought tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and tohowed away from risk-basedGoal is to improve care delivery andouts for systems that provider systems that provider systems that providedSponsoring legislator consultedCareMoved away from risk-basedGoal is to improve control ofMoved aveal from a regional basis. StateMeeticaid spending on health care.Description of the provider systems that providedSponsoring legislator consultedConcorrage.Sponsoring legislator consultedConcorrage.Sponsoring legislator consultedMoved avaitMith large state presence.		initiative arose independently		metrics. ACO initiative appears similar to population-based high	includes solicitation for
Medicaid program emphasized CareExploring strategies to integrate Medicare and Medicaid financing for duals, partly to reduce medical homes for children, pregnant women and adults, dual pregnant women and adults, dual bregnant women and adults, dual bregnant women and adults, dual Medicaid spending. Oklahoma eligibles excluded.Exploring strategies to integrate medical homes for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Medicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State pate houde the house care delivery and priority list to generate savings to model with multiple large provider systems that provided provider systems that provided with large state presence.		from provider-based community.		cost enrollee case management.	ACO applications.
CarePCCM program, and since 2009Medicare and Medicaid financing for duals, partly to reduceformedical homes for children, pregnant women and adults, dualMedicaid spending. Oklahoma limproving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.sMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- casis state based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOS, and also works for MCO with large state presence.	Oklahoma' s	Medicaid program emphasized	Exploring strategies to integrate	State currently exploring range of strategies for duals, including	As of winter 2012,
formedical homes for children, pregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.for duals, party to reduce Medicaid spending. Oklahoma eligibles excluded.spregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.Carebased MCOS, along with carve- based MCOS, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State priority list to generate savings to meet budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Amedicade way from risk-based model with multiple largeGoal is to improve control of state spending on health care.Careprovider systems that provided priority list to generate savings to improve care delivery and outcomes.Caremodel with multiple large state spending on health care.PCCM or acted as limited benefit provider systems that provided provider systems that provided with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.	Accountable Care	PCCM program, and since 2009	Medicare and Medicaid financing	University's proposal of geographically-based ACO. Based on CMS	contemplating a Medicare
spregnant women and adults; dual eligibles excluded.Medicaid spending. Oklahoma University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.CareMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage and expand coverageReturning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to improve care delivery and outcomes.CareModicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- careReturning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meret budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based model with multiple largeGoal is to improve control of state spending on health care.PCCM or acted as limited benefit provider systems that provided proxider system state presence.Sponsoring legislator consulted with large state presence.	Organization for	medical homes for children,	for duals, partly to reduce	consultations, University created a 501c3 and applied to be a	ACO; financing plan for
eligibles excluded.University in Tulsa interested in improving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.CareMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage and expand coverage.University in Tulsa interested in patients and promoting teaching objectives.CareMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- system to generate savings to health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage and expand coverage.Neutron sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meet budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.Amoved away from risk-based model with multiple large provider systems that provided pCCM or acted as limited benefit with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.	<b>Dual Eligibles</b>	pregnant women and adults; dual	Medicaid spending. Oklahoma	Medicare ACO, hoping to wrap around state funding for the	Medicaid share of duals
Careimproving care for chronically ill patients and promoting teaching objectives.CareMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage and expand coverageReturning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meret budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.CareModicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meret budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.CareMoved away from risk-based model with multiple large provider systems that provided proconsulted provider systems that provided proconsulted with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.		eligibles excluded.	University in Tulsa interested in	Medicaid component.	was under discussion
Addicationpatients and promoting teaching objectives.CareMedicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dental health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage priority list to generate savings to meet budget shortfalls and to priority list to generate savings and expand coverage.patients and promoting teaching objectives.CareMedicaid program had used risk- health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage and expand coverage.Returning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery and outcomes.CareMoved away from risk-based model with multiple large provider systems that provided PCCM or acted as limited benefit with large state presence.patienting governor sought to ristificive, and also works for MCO with large state presence.		)	improving care for chronically ill	-	
Careobjectives.Medicaid program had used risk-Returning governor sought tobased MCOs, along with carve-redesign Medicaid deliverybased MCOs, along with carve-system to generate savings tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and tohealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and toand expand coverage.outcomes.and expand coverage.Goal is to improve control ofMoved away from risk-basedGoal is to improve control ofmodel with multiple largeSponsoring legislator consultedprovider systems that providedwith state and CMS to developprovider systems that providedwith large state presence.			patients and promoting teaching		
Medicaid program had used risk- based MCOs, along with carve- based MCOs, along with carve- careReturning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to health on a regional basis. State has history of using a coverage priority list to generate savings and expand coverage.Returning governor sought to redesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meet budget shortfalls and to has history of using a coverage outcomes.Medicaid delivery has history of using a coverage and expand coverage.Novec are delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-based model with multiple large provider systems that provided PCCM or acted as limited benefit with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.			objectives.		
Carebased MCOs, along with carve- outs for behavioral and dentalredesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to health on a regional basis. Stateredesign Medicaid delivery system to generate savings to meet budget shortfalls and to has history of using a coveragehealth on a regional basis. Statemeet budget shortfalls and to meet budget shortfalls and to improve care delivery and outcomes.nad expand coverageimprove care delivery and outcomes.Moved away from risk-basedGoal is to improve control of state spending on health care.nodel with multiple largeSponsoring legislator consulted with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCO	Oregon's	Medicaid program had used risk-	Returning governor sought to	Coordinated Care Organizations would be risk-bearing entities paid	Authorizing legislation
outs for behavioral and dentalsystem to generate savings to health on a regional basis. Statehealth on a regional basis. Stateimprove care delivery and improve care delivery and outcomes.has history of using a coverageimprove care delivery and outcomes.and expand coverage.outcomes.Moved away from risk-basedGoal is to improve control of state spending on health care.nodel with multiple largeSponsoring legislator consulted with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCOcapitation plans.initiative, and also works for MCO	<b>Coordinated Care</b>	based MCOs, along with carve-	redesign Medicaid delivery	under a global budget that grows at a fixed rate. Current MCOs	enacted in early 2012,
health on a regional basis. State       meet budget shortfalls and to         has history of using a coverage       improve care delivery and         priority list to generate savings       improve care delivery and         and expand coverage.       outcomes.         Moved away from risk-based       Goal is to improve control of         model with multiple large       state spending on health care.         provider systems that provided       Sponsoring legislator consulted         PCCM or acted as limited benefit       with state and CMS to develop         capitation plans.       initiative, and also works for MCO	Organization	outs for behavioral and dental	system to generate savings to	eligible to apply, but must integrate medical and behavioral health	with operational plan
has history of using a coverage improve care delivery and priority list to generate savings and expand coverage.       improve care delivery and outcomes.         and expand coverage.       outcomes.         Moved away from risk-based model with multiple large provider systems that provided state spending on health care.       Sponsoring legislator consulted with state and CMS to develop capitation plans.	Plan	health on a regional basis. State	meet budget shortfalls and to	(and ultimately dental health) and transition to a governing	currently under
priority list to generate savings       outcomes.         and expand coverage.       Goal is to improve control of         Moved away from risk-based       Goal is to improve control of         model with multiple large       state spending on health care.         provider systems that provided       Sponsoring legislator consulted         PCCM or acted as limited benefit       with state and CMS to develop         capitation plans.       initiative, and also works for MCO		has history of using a coverage		structure that includes more provider and community involvement	development.
and expand coverage.     and expand coverage.       Moved away from risk-based     Goal is to improve control of state spending on health care.       nodel with multiple large     state spending on health care.       provider systems that provided     Sponsoring legislator consulted with state and CMS to develop initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.		priority list to generate savings	outcomes.	and focuses on community health. Initiative includes quality	Implementation expected
Moved away from risk-based         Goal is to improve control of           Care         model with multiple large         state spending on health care.           Image: Image in the systems that provided         Sponsoring legislator consulted           Image: Image interval         Image initiative, and also works for MCO           Image: Image interval         Image initiative, and also works for MCO		and expand coverage.		management and shared incentives.	beginning in mid-2012.
Care         model with multiple large         state spending on health care.           provider systems that provided         Sponsoring legislator consulted           PCCM or acted as limited benefit         with state and CMS to develop           capitation plans.         initiative, and also works for MCO	Utah's	Moved away from risk-based	Goal is to improve control of	State hopes to renew contract with health plans/large provider	Pending CMS waiver
provider systems that provided         Sponsoring legislator consulted           PCCM or acted as limited benefit         with state and CMS to develop           capitation plans.         initiative, and also works for MCO           with large state presence.         with large state presence.	Accountable Care	model with multiple large	state spending on health care.	systems as ACOs on a comprehensive risk basis in Salt Lake City.	approval; hoping to be
PCCM or acted as limited benefit with state and CMS to develop capitation plans. initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.	Organization	provider systems that provided	Sponsoring legislator consulted	ACOs would be authorized to pay providers incentive payments.	operational by October
initiative, and also works for MCO with large state presence.	Program	PCCM or acted as limited benefit	with state and CMS to develop	State is seeking authority to use a priority list if the state's general	2012.
		capitation plans.	initiative, and also works for MCO	fund does not grow at the same rate as the Medicaid program's	
			with large state presence.	needs.	

Table: Summary of Selected State Medicaid ACO Initiatives

#### References

<sup>3</sup> Robert A. Berenson and Rachel AQ. Burton "Accountable Care Organizations in Medicare and the Private Sector: A Status Update" from the Timely Analysis of Immediate Health Policy Issues. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the Urban Institute, November 2011.

<sup>4</sup>Tricia McGinnis and David Marc Small. "Accountable Care Organizations in Medicaid: Emerging Practices to Guide Program Design." Center for Health Care Strategies, February 2012.

<sup>5</sup>Kitty Purington, Anne Gauthier, Shivani Patel, and Christina Miller. "On the Road to Better Value: State Roles in Promoting Accountable Care Organizations" The Commonwealth Fund and The National Academy for State Health Policy, February 2011.

<sup>6</sup>We interviewed state leaders about initiatives in Colorado, New Jersey, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Utah, making follow up calls in two of these states to learn more from key partners in the initiatives. Interviews were arranged through the state Medicaid director and typically included one or two senior staffer responsible for the ACO program and often the director as well.

<sup>7</sup> Marsha Gold. "Accountable Care Organizations: Will They Deliver?" Mathematica Policy Research, January 2010.

<sup>8</sup> Elliot S. Fisher, Douglas O. Staiger, Julie P.W. Bynum, and Daniel J. Gottlieb "Creating Accountable Care Organizations: The Extended Hospital Medical Staff." *Health Affairs*, 26(1):w44-257, published online December 5, 2007.

<sup>9</sup>Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. "Report to Congress: Improving Incentives in the Medicare Program, June 2009.

<sup>10</sup>Stephen M. Shortell and Lawrence P. Casalino. "Health Care Reform Requires Accountable Care Systems." *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 300(1): 95-97, 2008.

<sup>11</sup>Elliot S. Fisher, Mark B. McClellan, John Bertko, Steven M. Lieberman, Julie J. Lee, Julie L. Lewis and Jonathan S. Skinner. "Fostering Accountable Care: Moving Forward in Medicare." *Health Affairs*, 28(2): W219-231, 2009.

<sup>12</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation. "Summary of Key Changes to Medicare in the 2010 Health Reform Law." May 2010. Available at: <u>http://www.kff.org/healthreform/7948.cfm</u>

<sup>13</sup> Mark McClellan, Aaron N. McKethan, Julie L. Lewis, Joachim Roski, and Elliott S. Fishers "A National Strategy to Put Accountable Care into Practice" *Health Affairs*, 29(5): 982-990, 2010.

<sup>14</sup> "Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations; Final Rule." Federal Register Volume 76, Number 212. November 2, 2011. Pages 67802-67990

<sup>15</sup>Chelsea Conaboy. "Massachusetts to Debut Medicare Pay Plan." *Boston Globe*. December 20, 2011.

<sup>16</sup>Julie Lewis and Aaron Mckethan. "The Vermont Accountable Care Organization Pilot" A Community Health System To Control Total Medical Costs And Improve Population Health." The Commonwealth Fund, May 2010.

<sup>17</sup>Aetna. "Press Release: Aetna Enters Accountable Care Collaboration with Banner Health Network, Introduces Aetna Whole Health To Employers In Phoenix Area." November 21, 2011.

<sup>18</sup>UnitedHealthcare "Value Based Contracting and Accountable Care Organizations: UnitedHealth Network Strategies." Available at:

http://www.uhc.com/united\_for\_reform\_resource\_center/health\_reform\_provisions/accountable\_care\_organizations/value\_b ased\_contracting\_and\_acos.htm. Accessed March 26, 2012.

<sup>19</sup>Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services. "Selected Participants in the Pioneer ACO Program, December 13, 2011." Available at: <u>http://innovations.cms.gov/Files/x/Pioneer-ACO-Model-Selectee-Descriptions-document.pdf.</u> Accessed March 27, 2011,

<sup>20</sup>David Muhlestein, Andrew Croshaw, Tom Merrill and Christian Pena "Growth and Dispersion of Accountable Care Organizations." Leavitt Partners, November 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. "The Uninsured: A Primer." October 2011. Available at: <u>http://www.kff.org/uninsured/7451.cfm</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Kathleen Gifford, Vernon K. Smith, Dyke Snipes, and Julia Paradise. "A Profile of Medicaid Managed Care Programs in 2010: Findings from a 50-State Survey." Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. September 2011. Available at: <u>http://www.kff.org/medicaid/8220.cfm</u>

<sup>21</sup> Department of Human Services, State of New Jersey. "Section 1115 Demonstration Comprehensive Waiver." September 9, 2011. Available at:

http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/home/NJ 1115 Demonstration Comprehensive Waiver 9-9-11.pdf <sup>22</sup> Kathleen Gifford et al., 2011.

<sup>23</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation. "Medicare at a Glance- Fact Sheet." November 2011. Available at: http://www.kff.org/medicare/1066.cfm

<sup>24</sup>Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust."Employer Health Benefits Annual Survey, 2011." Available at: <a href="http://ehbs.kff.org/">http://ehbs.kff.org/</a>

<sup>25</sup> Presentation on Minnesota's initiative by Scott Leitz and Marie Zimmerman, Minnesota Department of Health, at the State Health Policy and Research Interest Group Policy Breakfast, AcademyHealth's National Health Policy Conference, Washington DC, February 2012. Available at: <u>http://www.academyhealth.org/Communities/Communities.cfm?ItemNumber=8196</u>. Accessed March 27, 2012.

<sup>26</sup>Community Care of North Carolina."Advancing Accountable Care in North Carolina."Available at http://www.ncmedsoc.org/non\_members/legislative/ac/payment\_pathways/CCNC.pdf. Accessed March 27, 2012

<sup>27</sup>Community Care of North Carolina. "A History of CCNC: The Evolution of Community Care in North Carolina." Available at: http://www.communitycarenc.com/about-us/history-ccnc-rev/. Accessed March 27, 2012.

<sup>28</sup> "Medicaid: A Primer." The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, 2010. Available at: http://www.kff.org/medicaid/7334.cfm

<sup>29</sup>McGiniss and Small (2012).

<sup>30</sup>Advancing Colorado's Mental Health Care. "The Status of Behavioral Health Care in Colorado: 2011 Update." December 2011. Available at: http://www.coloradomentalhealth.org/sites/all/themes/acmhc/ACMHC StatusReport.pdf

<sup>31</sup>Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. "Dual Eligibles: Medicaid's Role for Low-Income Medicare Beneficiaries." May 2011. Available at: http://www.kff.org/medicaid/4091.cfm

<sup>32</sup>Katherine Young, Rachel Garfield, MaryBeth Musumeci, Lisa Clemans-Cope, and Emily Lawton. "Medicaid's Role for Dual Eligible Beneficiaries." Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, April 2012. Available at: http://www.kff.org/medicaid/7846.cfm

<sup>33</sup> Presentation on Oregon's initiative by Jeanene Smith, Administrator for Office of Oregon Health Policy and Research, at the State Health Policy and Research Interest Group Policy Breakfast, AcademyHealth's National Health Policy Conference, Washington DC, February 14, 2012. http://www.academyhealth.org/Communities/Communities.cfm?ItemNumber=8196. Accessed March 27, 2012.

<sup>34</sup>National Association of State Budget Administrators. "Summary of NASBO State Expenditure Report: Economic downturn and Recovery Act Led to Significant Shifts in State Spending from Fiscal 2009 to Fiscal Year 2011." December 13, 2012. Available at: http://www.nasbo.org/sites/default/files/Summary%20-%20State%20Expenditure%20Report.pdf Accessed, March 27, 2012

<sup>35</sup> Presentation on Oregon's initiative by Jeanene Smith, Administrator for Office of Oregon Health Policy and Research, at the State Health Policy and Research Interest Group Policy Breakfast, AcademyHealth's National Health Policy Conference, Washington DC, February 14, 2012. <u>http://www.academyhealth.org/Communities/Communities.cfm?ItemNumber=8196</u>. Accessed March 27, 2012.

<sup>36</sup> Special Issue titled "The Managed Care Backlash." *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law,* 24(5), October 1999.

<sup>37</sup>Marsha Gold and Anna Aizer. "Growing an Industry: How Managed is Tennessee's Managed Care." Health Affairs, 19(1): 86-101, January-February 2000.

<sup>38</sup>Marsha Gold and Jessica Mittler. "Second Generation Medicaid Managed Care: Will It Work? *Health Care Financing Review*, 22(2): 29-47, winter 2000.

```
1330 G STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005
PHONE: (202) 347-5270, FAX: (202) 347-5274
WEBSITE: WWW.KFF.ORG/KCMU
```

This publication (#8319) is available on the Kaiser Family Foundation's website at www.kff.org.



The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured provides information and analysis on health care coverage and access for the lowincome population, with a special focus on Medicaid's role and coverage of the uninsured. Begun in 1991 and based in the Kaiser Family Foundation's Washington, DC office, the Commission is the largest operating program of the Foundation. The Commission's work is conducted by Foundation staff under the guidance of a bi partisan group of national leaders and experts in health care and public policy.