

Foreclosure Crisis Impacts Chicago's Rental Housing Market

Woodstock Institute May 2008

Introduction

The foreclosure crisis in the City of Chicago impacts not only the market for single family homes, but also the market for affordable rental housing. In Cook County, declining access to affordable rental housing has a been a critical concern for a number of years. In 2005, 42 percent of the rental housing stock in Cook County was considered to be affordable. This was a sharp reduction from previous years. Between 1990 and 2005, Cook County's supply of affordable rental housing decreased by an average of 9,000 units each year. This decline was due to factors such as condominium conversions, demolition, or previously affordable units increasing rents to market rate. Although the demand for affordable rental housing in Cook County has also declined in recent years, this decline has not been as sharp as the decrease in supply. In 2000 it was estimated that the demand for affordable rental housing in Cook County exceeded the supply by roughly 34,000 units. By 2005, this imbalance had increased to 114,000 units. In addition to these trends, rising levels of foreclosures are adding stress to the already strained affordable rental housing market.

A report by Harvard's Joint Center for Housing Studies showed that nationally the recent rise in mortgage foreclosures has altered the supply and demand dynamics in the rental market. Foreclosures have forced many families to leave owner-occupied housing for rental housing to fill a temporary or a long term need for shelter. This increased demand for affordable rental housing has not been met by an increase in supply, and, in fact, a significant portion of the rental housing stock is also threatened by foreclosure. Data from the Mortgage Bankers Association shows that 20 percent of national foreclosure actions in 2007 were associated with small, multi-unit properties. These properties make up a substantial share of the rental market in many urban areas. Foreclosures on these types of properties impact the rental market not only by reducing the number of rental units, but also by forcing tenants of those buildings back into the market for rental housing. In most markets, the foreclosure process is lengthy and can prevent these properties from returning to the rental housing inventory for years.²

The Small Multifamily Housing Stock in Chicago

The housing stock in the City of Chicago is made up of a diverse set of buildings. In mortgage lending terms, a "single family" building is considered a site built structure with one-to-four housing units or a condominium. For the Cook County Assessor, the category of residential, or "single family," properties is made up of a number of different types of structures. The majority of these structures are single unit buildings such as stand-alone, one- or two-story houses; town homes; and row houses. However, the same property class also includes condominiums and 2- to 6-unit buildings.

Small multifamily buildings make up a large share of the overall rental housing stock in the City of Chicago and Cook County. A recent study of rental housing in Cook County found that 34 percent of renters in the county resided in 2- to 4-unit buildings and 19 percent in 5- to 9-unit buildings. By contrast, single family detached homes were 8 percent of the county's rental stock, and buildings with 50 or more units provided 17

¹ The Real Estate Center at DePaul University. *The State of Rental Housing in Cook County: Current Conditions and Forecasts*. Chicago, IL: The Real Estate Center at DePaul University. In this report, affordable housing was defined as housing costing less than 30 percent of the monthly income for a

family of four earning 150 percent of the poverty level of income. In 2005, this translates to income of \$29,957 and rent of \$749 per month.

² Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University. 2008. *America's Rental Housing - The Key to a Balanced National Policy*. Cambridge, MA: Joint Center for Housing Studies.

percent of the stock of renter occupied units.³ As opposed to larger multi-unit buildings which can have hundreds of units and are often owned by investor groups and run by management companies, these smaller multi-unit buildings are often owner occupied or owned by individual investors who manage and maintain the properties themselves.

Foreclosures on Small Multifamily Buildings

In 2007, a substantial share of the residential properties with foreclosure filings in the City of Chicago were on small multifamily buildings. Overall in 2007, there were 13,872 properties with foreclosure filings in Chicago. Figure 1 breaks out the distribution of these foreclosures by property type. It shows that over 35 percent, or 4,822 foreclosure filings, were on 2- to 6-unit multifamily properties. Depending on the number of units in each property, these foreclosures could impact between 9,644 and 28,923 housing units. Looking at other property types, nearly 53 percent of foreclosure filings were on one unit buildings and 12 percent were on condominiums.




Figure 1. City of Chicago Residential Foreclosure Filings by Building Type, 2007

Source: Woodstock Institute analysis of data from Foreclosure Report of Chicago


Foreclosures on small multifamily buildings were largely concentrated in certain communities. Figure 2 maps the geographic distribution of 2007 residential foreclosure filings by property type in the City of Chicago. It shows that the areas with the highest concentrations of foreclosures on 2- to 6-unit properties were located in neighborhoods on the West and South Sides of Chicago. Community areas with the highest concentrations include West Garfield Park, East Garfield Park, Austin, Humboldt Park on the West Side and New City, Englewood, and West Englewood on the South Side. Areas along the lake had the highest concentrations of foreclosure filings on condominiums. These include areas such as Near North Side, Edgewater, Uptown, and Lincoln Park.


Figure 3 breaks out the foreclosure filings in each Chicago community area by type of property and ranks the community areas by the share of 2007 foreclosure filings that were on 2- to 6-unit buildings. In West Garfield Park, over 86 percent of the 2007 foreclosure filings were on 2- to 6-unit buildings, and in North Lawndale nearly 80 percent of the 2007 foreclosure filings were on 2- to 6-unit buildings. The Loop and Near North Side had the highest percent of foreclosures that were on condominiums. In the Loop, over 98 percent of

.

³ The Real Estate Center at DePaul University. *The State of Rental Housing in Cook County: Current Conditions and Forecasts*. Chicago, IL: The Real Estate Center at DePaul University.

Figure 2. Chicago Residential Foreclosure Filings by Property Type, 2007





foreclosure filings were on condominiums, and in the Near North Side, nearly 97 percent of foreclosure filings were on condominiums. Community areas Riverdale, Mount Greenwood, and Hegewisch all had a high percent of foreclosures that were on one unit, single family structures.¹

A number of the community areas that experienced the highest levels of foreclosures on small multifamily buildings are lower-income areas with high minority populations that recently experienced a decline in the number of rental housing units. Table 1 shows the top ten community areas by number of foreclosures on 2-to 6-unit buildings and the range of possible housing units impacted by these foreclosures. All the community areas in the top ten are lower-income community areas with high minority populations, and most experienced declines in renter occupied housing units between 1990 and 2000. Foreclosures on 2- to 6-unit buildings are likely to exacerbate these declines. For example, between 1990 and 2000 North Lawndale experienced a 14.4 percent decline in the number of renter occupied housing units. In 2007, North Lawndale had 240 foreclosures on 2- to 6-unit buildings representing nearly 80 percent of the foreclosures in that community area. These foreclosures have the potential to impact between 480 and 1,440 housing units, depending on the size of the buildings in foreclosure.

Table 1. Share and Number of 2007 Foreclosures on 2- to 6-Unit Buildings and Change in Renter Occupied Housing Units, 1990-2000

| | Number of | Rar | Change in | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | Foreclosures | Possible Housing | | Renter Occupied |
| | on 2- to 6-Unit | Units Impacted | | Housing Units |
| | Buildings, 2007 | If 2-Unit If 6-Unit | | 1990-2000 |
| Austin | 421 | 842 | 2,526 | 3.2% |
| New City | 308 | 616 | 1,848 | -0.2% |
| Englewood | 295 | 590 | 1,770 | -16.7% |
| West Englewood | 253 | 506 | 1,518 | -5.2% |
| Humboldt Park | 248 | 496 | 1,488 | -2.5% |
| North Lawndale | 240 | 480 | 1,440 | -14.4% |
| West Garfield Park | 188 | 376 | 1,128 | -7.1% |
| Greater Grand Crossin | 185 | 370 | 1,110 | -2.6% |
| South Chicago | 176 | 352 | 1,056 | -0.6% |
| Chicago Lawn | 176 | 352 | 1,056 | 8.1% |
| City of Chicago | 4,822 | 9,644 | 28,932 | 0.5% |

Source: Woodstock Institute analysis of data from Foreclosure Report of Chicago and Chicago Rehab Network 2003 Affordable Housing Fact Book

Conclusion

Going forward, it is critical that policy makers consider the impact of the foreclosure crisis on the rental housing market. Foreclosures on 2- to 6-unit buildings made up over 35 percent of the foreclosures on residential properties in the City of Chicago in 2007. These types of buildings make up a substantial share of the overall rental housing market in the city. Additionally, many of the community areas with the highest concentrations of foreclosures on small multifamily buildings are also those that have seen the largest recent declines in rental housing units. As the number of foreclosures on small multifamily properties grows, mechanisms need to be in place to facilitate the process of municipal governments, non-profit agencies, and the private market acquiring these properties and keeping them active in the rental market. Without such vehicles, large inventories of potentially usable buildings will remain vacant, and the affect of foreclosures will continue to threaten community stability.

¹ Appendix I includes data on foreclosures by property type for each Chicago community area. Appendix II includes data and a map detailing foreclosures by property type in Cook County.

Appendix I City of Chicago Residential Foreclosure Filings by Property Type, 2007

| | | 1 | | perties with Filing | | | Share |
|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Community Area | 1-Unit | 2- to 6-Units | Condominium | NA | Total | Small Multifamily* |
| 1 | Rogers Park | 20 | 28 | 63 | 1 | 112 | 25.2% |
| 2 | West Ridge | 52 | 48 | 98 | 12 | 210 | 24.2% |
| 3 | Uptown | 4 | 3 18 | 84 20 | 4 | 95 65 | 3.2% |
| 4 5 | Lincoln Square North Center | 25 14 | 18 | 20 9 | 2 3 | 44 | 27.7% 40.9% |
| 5 6 | Lakeview | 7 | 16 | 9 86 | ა 1 | 110 | 40.9% 14.5% |
| 7 | Lincoln Park | 9 | 21 | 52 | 0 | 82 | 25.6% |
| 8 | Near North Side | 6 | 1 | 212 | 9 | 228 | 0.4% |
| 9 | Edison Park | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0.4% |
| 10 | Norwood Park | 67 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 85 | 3.5% |
| 11 | Jefferson Park | 46 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 65 | 20.0% |
| 12 | Forest Glen | 36 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 5.0% |
| 13 | North Park | 17 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 41.9% |
| 14 | Albany Park | 45 | 44 | 29 | 1 | 119 | 37.0% |
| 15 | Portage Park | 138 | 57 | 13 | 2 | 210 | 27.1% |
| 16 | Irving Park | 72 | 51 | 25 | _ 1 | 149 | 34.2% |
| 17 | Dunning | 138 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 160 | 7.5% |
| 18 | Montclare | 45 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 54 | 11.1% |
| 19 | Belmont Cragin | 208 | 115 | 9 | 2 | 334 | 34.4% |
| 20 | Hermosa | 60 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 106 | 38.7% |
| 21 | Avondale | 23 | 67 | 12 | 0 | 102 | 65.7% |
| 22 | Logan Square | 49 | 98 | 23 | 4 | 174 | 56.3% |
| 23 | Humboldt Park | 158 | 248 | 8 | 2 | 416 | 59.6% |
| 24 | West Town | 36 | 103 | 61 | 6 | 206 | 50.0% |
| 25 | Austin | 373 | 421 | 16 | 0 | 810 | 52.0% |
| 26 | West Garfield Park | 28 | 188 | 1 | 1 | 218 | 86.2% |
| 27 | East Garfield Park | 25 | 149 | 17 | 6 | 197 | 75.6% |
| 28 | Near West Side | 41 | 27 | 74 | 10 | 152 | 17.8% |
| 29 | North Lawndale | 59 | 240 | 3 | 0 | 302 | 79.5% |
| 30 | South Lawndale | 64 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 64.6% |
| 31 | Lower West Side | 12 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 78.0% |
| 32 | Loop | 1 | 0 | 64 | 6 | 71 | 0.0% |
| 33 | Near South Side | 9 | 1 | 51 | 4 | 65 | 1.5% |
| 34 | Armour Square | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 50.0% |
| 35 | Douglas | 19 | 13 | 46 | 1 | 79 | 16.5% |
| 36 | Oakland | 11 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 23 | 39.1% |
| 37 | Fuller Park | 14 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 66.7% |
| 38 | Grand Boulevard | 41 | 89 | 78 | 2 | 210 | 42.4% |
| 39 | Kenwood | 20 | 10 | 47 | 4 | 81 | 12.3% |
| 40 | Washingon Park | 13 | 45 | 33 | 2 | 93 | 48.4% |
| 41 | Hyde Park | 13 | 3 | 34 | 0 | 50 | 6.0% |
| 42 | Woodlawn | 49 | 150 | 58 | 4 | 261 | 57.5% |
| 43 | South Shore | 199 | 125 | 101 | 6 | 431 | 29.0% |
| 44 | Chatham | 184 | 77 | 10 | 1 | 272 | 28.3% |
| 45 | Avalon Park | 92 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 103 | 9.7% |
| 46 | South Chicago | 174 | 176 | 6 | 1 | 357 | 49.3% |
| 47 | Burnside | 37 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 22.9% |
| 48 | Calumet Heights | 129 | 26 97 | 1 | 0 | 156 | 16.7% |
| 49 50 | Roseland Pullman | 482 56 | 9 | 0 0 | 1 0 | 580 65 | 16.7% 13.8% |
| 50 51 | South Deering | 128 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 7.9% |
| 52 | East Side | 56 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 33.3% |
| 53 | West Pullman | 406 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 498 | 18.5% |
| 54 | Riverdale | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0.0% |
| 54 55 | Hegewisch | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 29 | 3.4% |
| 56 | Garfield Ridge | 134 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 142 | 4.2% |
| 57 | Archer Heights | 22 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33.3% |
| 58 | Brighton Park | 52 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 59.4% |
| 59 | McKinley Park | 16 | 76 14 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 46.7% |
| 60 | Bridgeport | 25 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 58 | 53.4% |
| 61 | New City | 130 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 438 | 70.3% |
| 62 | West Elsdon | 51 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 18.2% |
| | | • | | • | • | , 55 | . 3.2,0 |

Appendix I City of Chicago Residential Foreclosure Filings by Property Type, 2007

| | | 2007 Properties with Filings | | | | | Share |
|-------|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|--------|--------------------|
| Numbe | r Community Area | 1-Unit | 2- to 6-Units | Condominium | NA | Total | Small Multifamily* |
| 63 | Gage Park | 114 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 27.4% |
| 64 | Clearing | 65 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 77 | 3.9% |
| 65 | West Lawn | 152 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 168 | 6.0% |
| 66 | Chicago Lawn | 335 | 176 | 1 | 1 | 513 | 34.3% |
| 67 | West Englewood | 415 | 253 | 0 | 1 | 669 | 37.8% |
| 68 | Englewood | 211 | 295 | 9 | 1 | 516 | 57.2% |
| 69 | Greater Grand Crossing | 177 | 185 | 14 | 2 | 378 | 48.9% |
| 70 | Ashburn | 318 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 336 | 1.5% |
| 71 | Auburn Gresham | 336 | 106 | 1 | 1 | 444 | 23.9% |
| 72 | Beverly | 78 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 4.8% |
| 73 | Washington Heights | 296 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 320 | 7.2% |
| 74 | Mount Greenwood | 59 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0.0% |
| 75 | Morgan Park | 194 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 205 | 2.4% |
| 76 | O'Hare | 1 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 28 | 14.3% |
| 77 | Edgewater | 10 | 20 | 86 | 1 | 117 | 17.1% |
| | City of Chicago | 7,262 | 4,822 | 1,670 | 118 | 13,872 | 34.8% |

Appendix II -

Cook County Residential Foreclosure Filings by Property Type, 2007

Table 2 breaks out residential foreclosure filings by property type for the sub-regions of Cook County. It shows that the City of Chicago had the largest share of foreclosure filings on small, multi-unit buildings with over 35 percent of all filings on this type of property. In West Cook County, 15 percent of the foreclosures were on small multi-unit buildings. In the other regions of Cook County a very small share or foreclosures were on 2- to 6-unit buildings. The lowest was in Northwest Cook were only 0.5 percent of filings were on small multi-unit properties. Figure 4 maps the distribution of 2007 residential foreclosure filings by property type in Cook County.

Table 2. Residential Properties with Foreclosure Filings by Property Type for Cook County Regions, 2007

| | 2007 Properties with Filings | | | | | Share |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|--------|----------------|
| Cook County Region | 1-Unit | 2- to 6-Units | Condominium | NA | Total | 2- to 6- Unit* |
| Chicago | 7,262 | 4,822 | 1,670 | 118 | 13,872 | 35.1% |
| North Cook | 716 | 63 | 174 | 9 | 962 | 6.6% |
| Northwest Cook | 1,278 | 9 | 665 | 19 | 1,971 | 0.5% |
| West Cook | 1,936 | 382 | 214 | 13 | 2,545 | 15.1% |
| Southwest Cook | 1,322 | 51 | 238 | 4 | 1,615 | 3.2% |
| South Cook | 4,376 | 213 | 169 | 15 | 4,773 | 4.5% |
| Cook County Total | 16,890 | 5,540 | 3,130 | 178 | 25,738 | 21.7% |

^{*}Calculation of the share foreclosures that are on 2- to 6-unit buildings does not include foreclosures on single family properties where the building type was not available.

Figure 4. Cook County Residential Foreclosure Filings by Property Type, 2007

