



MINNESOTA COUNCIL
ON FOUNDATIONS

Research

Giving in Minnesota

2009 EDITION

Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition

Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition, is a publication available for free downloading on the Minnesota Council on Foundations' website at www.mcf.org/gim. A *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition, Summary Report* can also be downloaded on the MCF website.

Contributing Staff, Minnesota Council on Foundations

Anne Graham	Database and Information Services Assistant
Christine Murakami Noonan	Communications Associate
Megan Sullivan	Publications and Operations Coordinator
Bill King	President
Juliana Tillema	Research Manager
Wendy Wehr	Vice President of Communications and Information Services

Acknowledgments

Cyndi Nelson, Minnesota Attorney General's Office, Charities Division
Teresa vanderBent, Consultant

About the Minnesota Council on Foundations

The Minnesota Council on Foundations, a regional association of grantmakers, works actively to strengthen and expand philanthropy. MCF members represent three-quarters of all grantmaking in the state, awarding more than \$850 million to nonprofits annually. Members include family and private independent foundations, community and other public foundations, and corporate foundations and giving programs. For more information, visit www.mcf.org.

Foreword

Dear Friends and Colleagues:

The Minnesota Council on Foundations (MCF) presents the 2009 edition of *Giving in Minnesota*. This report, produced annually, provides the most comprehensive analysis of charitable giving in the state. It also demonstrates the commitment of our member grantmakers to be valuable partners with nonprofits in meeting community needs, and to be open and accessible in their work as funders.

The 2009 edition features information on overall giving in 2007 (the most recent time period for which complete data are available), as well as specific grantmaking trends by 100 of the largest Minnesota grantmakers. Although they represent just 7 percent of all grantmakers in Minnesota, these 100 accounted for approximately 82 percent of all grant dollars paid in the state in 2007.

MCF thanks the many individuals and organizations that helped make this report possible: the Minnesota Attorney General's Office Charities Division for providing public data on charitable giving; MCF members for providing grantmaking data; and MCF staff and consultants for data collection and coding, analysis, and report writing and production.

We hope you will find the information presented here useful in your work. The Minnesota Council on Foundations has been collecting and analyzing information on Minnesota grantmaking for more than 30 years. *Giving in Minnesota* derives from our goal to provide the most current, accurate and reliable information on grantmaking in the state. We encourage you to visit our website at www.mcf.org for information about MCF's other grantmaking resources.

Sincerely,



William R. King
President

Minnesota Council on Foundations

November 2009

Table of Contents

Section I: Introduction	2
Section II: Key Findings	3
Section III: Minnesota Giving Overview	5
Section IV: Giving in Minnesota Sample Trends	15
Grantmaking by Subject Area	18
Arts, Culture and Humanities	23
Education	26
Environment/Animals	29
Health	33
Human Services	37
International Affairs	41
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	44
Religion	48
Grantmaking by Geographic Area	51
Grantmaking by Intended Beneficiary	54
Grantmaking by Support Type	58
Section V: Methodology	61
Section VI: Appendices	64
Appendix i: <i>Giving in Minnesota</i> Sample	64
Appendix ii: Top 50 Minnesota Grantmakers by Grants Paid, 2007	66
Appendix iii: Top 50 Minnesota Foundations by Assets, 2007	67
Appendix iv: Top 25 Minnesota Private Foundations by Grants Paid, 2007	68
Appendix v: Top 25 Minnesota Corporate Foundations & Giving Programs by Grants Paid, 2007	69
Appendix vi: Top 25 Minnesota Community/Public Foundations by Grants Paid, 2007	70
Appendix vii: Top 50 Non-Minnesota-Based Foundation Grants to Minnesota-Based Recipients, 2007	71
Appendix viii: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Actual Dollars, 2003–2007	72
Appendix ix: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Inflation-Adjusted Dollars, 2003–2007	73
Appendix x: Subject Area Summaries, 2007	74

Introduction

Giving in Minnesota, a report produced by the Minnesota Council on Foundations (MCF) since 1976 (and produced annually since 1997), provides a comprehensive analysis of the trends and patterns of giving by organized philanthropy in the state. The report is intended to present the scope of philanthropy in Minnesota to a diverse audience, including nonprofits, the news media, public officials and the general public, as well as to foundations and corporate giving programs.

Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition, describes Minnesota grantmaking trends and patterns for the year 2007, which MCF defines as the fiscal year of any Minnesota foundation or corporate giving program that ends between June 1, 2007, and May 31, 2008. This is the most recent period for which comprehensive data are available. Because all grantmakers do not use the same fiscal year, and because foundations are allowed several months to file an IRS 990 or 990-PF tax return, there is some lag time before data are available to MCF for analysis.

There are two major sections of this report. The first section – Minnesota Giving Overview – provides information on total domestic and international giving by all Minnesota foundations and corporations, as well as giving by individual Minnesotans. The second and larger section of the report – Giving in Minnesota Sample Trends – includes an in-depth analysis of giving patterns and trends by 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota, by grants paid, for which MCF could obtain data (see Appendix i for the complete list). This section of the report includes information on grantmaking by subject area, geographic service area, intended beneficiary and support type.

Data for the report are collected from multiple sources. For information on the methodology used to collect and analyze data, as well as limitations to the report, see the Methodology section on page 61.

Types of Foundations

MCF categorizes foundations into three types for this report: private foundations, corporate foundations and giving programs, and community/public foundations.

Private foundations are generally founded by an individual, a group of individuals or a family, and can be further categorized as a family foundation or an independent private foundation. A family foundation is a private foundation in which the donor and/or donor's family are actively involved in the management of the foundation. A private independent foundation has no, or few, family members of the original donor actively involved in the foundation's governance. There are no legal distinctions between the two, and in this analysis MCF uses the term "private foundation" when referring to both types.

Although operating foundations are also private foundations, MCF does not include them in the *Giving in Minnesota* reports because they do not provide competitive grants. Instead, they use their funds to operate their own programs.

Corporate foundations and giving programs are connected to businesses, and their contributions and grants come from the corporation's profits. Some corporations establish foundations that are also classified as private foundations. Other companies give through a corporate giving program, which generally is funded through the company's annual budget. In many cases, corporations operate both a foundation and a corporate giving program.

Community/public foundations are publicly supported foundations operated by and for the benefit of a specific community or population, area of interest or geographic area. They manage a variety of funds on behalf of donors, from unrestricted assets to endowed funds to donor-advised funds. Community/public foundations may also manage supporting organizations. Most community/public foundations engage in fund development directly with donors.

Key Findings

Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition is the most comprehensive analysis of charitable giving in the state. This edition features information on overall giving in 2007, which MCF defines as the fiscal year of any Minnesota foundation or corporate giving program that ends between June 1, 2007, and May 31, 2008. This is the most recent time period for which complete data are available.

This report includes information about overall giving by foundations, corporations and individuals in Minnesota, along with detailed grantmaking trends by a sample of 100 of the state's largest grantmakers based on grants paid. The sample is made up of 50 private foundations, 34 corporate foundations and giving programs, and 16 community/public foundations. Although they represent just 7 percent of all grantmakers in Minnesota, these 100 accounted for approximately 82 percent of all grant dollars paid in the state. To report on grantmaking trends in Minnesota, MCF analyzed 27,243 grants of \$2,000 or more made by the sample, totaling \$917.9 million.

Minnesota Charitable Giving Overview

Total Giving in Minnesota Increased

In 2007, individuals, foundations and corporate giving programs in Minnesota gave a total of \$5.5 billion in charitable contributions, a 2.2-percent increase from the previous year. The growth in 2007 continues a steady upward trend in charitable giving in Minnesota.

Total Giving by Individuals Held Steady

The majority of charitable giving in Minnesota – 76 percent of all dollars given – is done by individuals. Individual giving in Minnesota held steady at \$4.19 billion in 2007, in line with 2006 levels.

Total Giving by Grantmakers Grew

Grantmakers contributed 23 percent of total charitable giving in Minnesota in 2007. Foundation and corporate giving in Minnesota totaled \$1.32 billion, an increase of 10.1 percent, from \$1.20 billion in 2006.

As in previous years, most of Minnesota's total grant dollars paid in 2007 came from a relatively small number of grantmakers. Less than 3 percent of grantmakers (38) provided 69 percent of all grantmaking (\$909 million), and 10 percent of the grantmakers (146) accounted for 86 percent of all grant dollars paid (\$1.14 billion).

Corporate Grantmakers Lead Giving

There were 1,429 active grantmakers in the state in 2007. Eighty-five percent of these were private family and independent foundations (1,209), 9 percent were corporate foundations and giving programs (131), and 6 percent were community/public foundations (89). The total number of grantmakers in the state ticked up slightly – 2.2 percent – from 2006.

Although corporate foundations and giving programs make up a small percentage of Minnesota grantmakers, historically they have given a large share of grant dollars. In 2007 they accounted for 9 percent of the grantmakers and gave 43 percent of all grant dollars. Private foundations, the majority of grantmakers in the state, gave 43 percent of grant dollars. As the smallest portion of the state's grantmakers, community/public foundations accounted for 14 percent of total grant dollars paid. From 2006 to 2007, total grantmaking increased for all three grantmaker types.

Foundation Assets Grew

Minnesota foundation assets grew to \$18.80 billion in 2007 from \$17.58 billion in 2006, a 6.9-percent increase.

Giving Trends by the Top 100 Grantmakers

Education, Human Services Lead Subject Areas

Consistent with previous years, education, human services, and public affairs/society benefit received the largest shares of Minnesota's grant dollars in 2007, at 27 percent, 24 percent and 15 percent, respectively. Only one of the three categories, public affairs/society benefit, experienced a slight decrease in giving from 2006, declining about \$2 million, or 2 percent.

The overall growth in grantmaking between 2006 and 2007 for the Giving in Minnesota sample was 9 percent. The human services, environment/animals, and international affairs subject areas experienced the fastest rate of growth in 2007 – all increased between 17 and 18 percent from 2006. The arts, culture and humanities, and health areas experienced the slowest rates of growth, at just 3 percent each.

Grantmaker Types Focus on Different Subject Areas

Private foundations provided the largest shares of grant dollars given to environment/animals (79 percent), international affairs (61 percent), health (52 percent) and human services (46 percent). These four areas also received their largest shares of grant dollars from private foundations in 2006.

Corporate grantmakers provided the largest shares of grant dollars given to arts, culture and humanities (55 percent), education (54 percent) and public affairs/society benefit (48 percent), in line with 2006 trends. Notably, corporate grantmakers' share of giving to international affairs increased by 9 percentage points, to 13 percent in 2007, from 4 percent in 2006.

Continuing a long-term trend, community/public foundations gave the largest share of grant dollars to religion (48 percent).

Geographies Served Split Between Minnesota and Beyond

The share of giving to organizations serving Minnesota was 55 percent in 2007, in line with 2006 levels. Just under \$500 million was given to organizations serving Minnesota in 2007: 32 percent went to the Twin Cities metro area, 12 percent went to Greater Minnesota, and 11 percent went to Minnesota statewide. Among all geographic areas, giving to Greater Minnesota grew fastest, increasing 18 percent in 2007 compared to 2006.

The share of giving to organizations serving the nation decreased to 12 percent in 2007, from 14 percent in 2006.

Children and Youth Top Beneficiary Groups

Only 53 percent of grants could be coded to a specific beneficiary group. Of those, the largest shares of dollars went to organizations that serve the following four intended beneficiary groups:

- Children and youth (22.2 percent, or \$209.4 million)
- Adults (9.2 percent, or \$86.8 million)
- Poor or economically disadvantaged (4.8 percent, or \$45.5 million)
- Native Americans/American Indians (3.3 percent, or \$31.3 million)

Program Support Leads General Operating

Minnesota grantmakers continued to devote the largest share of their grant dollars – 55.3 percent, or \$453.7 million – to program support. The share of grant dollars for general support declined again in 2007, to 20.9 percent. Giving for capital support grew in 2007, to \$122.3 million, from \$89.6 million in 2006.

Minnesota Giving Overview

This section provides an overview of trends in total Minnesota charitable giving by individuals, private foundations, corporate foundations and giving programs, and community/public foundations. For the purposes of this study, Minnesota grantmakers include a few organizations that are located in border cities such as Hudson, WI, and Fargo, ND, when contributions are made in both Minnesota and border states. The Minnesota grant dollars accounted for in this report have been distributed geographically within Minnesota, as well as across the U.S. and internationally.

All dollar values in *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition* have been adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

Total Charitable Giving

In 2007, individuals, foundations and corporate giving programs in Minnesota gave a total of \$5.5 billion in charitable contributions, a 2.2-percent increase from the previous year (see Table 1 and Figure A). The growth in 2007 continues a steady upward trend in charitable giving in Minnesota.

Individual donors account for the majority of charitable giving in the state: 76 percent of all giving is done by individuals. Foundations and corporate giving programs account for the remaining 23 percent of giving. Private foundations and corporate grantmakers were each responsible for 10 percent of all giving in 2007, and community/public foundations accounted for 3 percent (see Figure B).

Total Individual Giving

Individual charitable giving in Minnesota remained flat from 2006 to 2007, at \$4.19 billion. This follows a decrease in individual giving by Minnesotans of 4.0 percent between 2005 and 2006. Nationally, charitable giving rose 1.3 percent from 2006 to 2007 (see Table 2 and Figures C & D).

The total number of Minnesotans claiming charitable contributions on tax returns decreased slightly in 2007 (less than 1 percent), to 906,845. Of all Minnesotans who filed tax returns, the proportion who claimed charitable contributions was 33.2 percent in 2007, similar to the 35.7 percent who claimed in 2006.

The average contribution per itemized return for Minnesotans in 2007 was \$3,785, a slight increase from 2006 (\$3,652). The average size of contributions by Minnesotans in 2007 remained below the national average of \$4,623, a long-term trend. This difference has historically been related to Minnesotans itemizing at a higher rate than the national average, a factor that tends to include more individuals with

lower charitable giving levels in the average contribution figure for the state. In 2007, Minnesota ranked fourth in the percentage of state residents itemizing their federal returns (39.7 percent), compared to 33.1 percent nationally. In 2007, Minnesota ranked 22nd in the percentage of its residents' adjusted gross income given to charity; in 2006, the state was ranked 19th.

Total Foundation and Corporate Giving

Foundation and corporate giving in Minnesota totaled \$1.32 billion in 2007, an increase of 10.1 percent, from \$1.20 billion in 2006 (see Table 3). Similarly, from 2005 to 2006, total grantmaking increased by 10.6 percent. Nationally, foundation giving increased 10.7 percent in 2007. Table 4 and Figure E illustrate the steady upward trend in giving in Minnesota since 1992.

Number of Minnesota Grantmakers

In 2007, Minnesota was home to a total of 1,429 active grantmakers, an increase of 2.2 percent from 2006. In 2007, 85 percent of the grantmakers were private foundations (1,209), 9 percent were corporate foundations and giving programs (131), and 6 percent were community/public foundations (89) (see Table 5 and Figure F).

Between 1997 and 2007, the total number of grantmakers in Minnesota increased 65.6 percent. During this period, community foundations experienced the fastest rate of growth; the number of community foundations increased by 71.2 percent, to 89, from 52. Private foundations grew by 67 percent, to 1,209 from 726; however, most of the growth in private foundation creation occurred during the years of 1997-2001. Corporate foundations and giving programs grew by 54 percent, to 131 from 85.

This trend mirrors the explosive growth seen in the number of foundations nationally. Foundation Center reports that the number of foundations around the country grew to 75,187 in 2007 from 44,146 in 1997, an increase of 70 percent.

Most of Minnesota's total grants paid in 2007 came from a relatively small number of grantmakers (see Table 6), continuing a long-term trend. Less than 3 percent of grantmakers (38) provided 69 percent of all grants paid (\$909 million), and 10 percent of the grantmakers (146) accounted for 86 percent of all grants paid (\$1.14 billion).

Grants Paid by Grantmaker Type

Of the \$1.32 billion granted in 2007, 43 percent was given by corporate foundations and giving programs, 43 percent was given by private foundations, and 14 percent by community/public foundations (see Figure G).

Although corporate foundations and giving programs make up a small percentage of Minnesota grantmakers, they continued a long-term trend of accounting for a disproportionately high percentage of the total amount of grants paid in 2007. Specifically, corporate grantmakers comprised 9 percent of all grantmakers but gave 43 percent of all grant dollars. While private foundations made up the vast majority of grantmakers (85 percent), they accounted for just 43 percent of the grant dollars paid, due to the fact that the majority of grantmakers making \$50,000 or less in annual grants are small, private family foundations. Community/public foundations represented 6 percent of the grantmakers in 2007 and paid 14 percent of the total grant dollars.

From 2006 to 2007, total grantmaking increased for all three grantmaker types (see Table 7 and Figure H).

- Community/public foundations experienced the largest increase in grantmaking, to \$179 million in 2007 from \$149 million in 2006 (19.8 percent).
- Corporate grantmaking increased to \$564 million in 2007 from \$498 million in 2006 (13.3 percent).
- Private foundations increased grantmaking to \$575 million in 2007 from \$551 million in 2006 (4.5 percent).

Foundation Assets

Minnesota foundation assets grew to \$18.80 billion in 2007 from \$17.58 billion in 2006, a 6.9-percent increase, slightly more than the 5.3-percent increase the previous year (see Table 8 and Figure I).

Growth in a foundation's assets in one year can lead to an increase in the foundation's grantmaking a year or more in the future. Foundations typically base their future grant levels on a one- to three-year average of past asset performance. Therefore, it may be several years for asset declines or increases to be fully reflected in grant levels.

Grantmaking by Congressional District

Foundations and corporate grantmakers located in Congressional District 5, which includes Minneapolis and surrounding suburban areas, disbursed the most grant dollars (\$688 million) in 2007, followed by grantmakers located in Congressional District 4 (\$303 million), which includes St. Paul and its surrounding suburbs (see Table 9). Grantmakers in District 3, suburban Hennepin County, gave \$125 million. Foundations in remaining districts each granted less than \$100 million. Grantmakers in Congressional District 7, which covers most of western Minnesota, granted the smallest amount, at \$12 million.

The grant dollars reported by Congressional District are associated with the location of the grantmaker, not the location of the organizations receiving funds or the recipient organization's service area.

Congressional Districts 5, 3 and 4 had the most grantmakers located in their areas (393, 359 and 272, respectively). Congressional Districts 5 and 4 were home to the foundations with the highest total assets (\$6.6 billion and \$5.0 billion, respectively), followed by Congressional District 1 (\$3.2 billion), which extends across southern Minnesota.

Top Five Minnesota Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest grantmakers in 2007, based on cash contributions¹, are listed below. In 2007, Medtronic Foundation displaced Bush Foundation, which was in the top 5 in 2006 and which granted \$40.2 million dollars in that year. The other four were among the largest grantmakers in both 2006 and 2007.

1. The McKnight Foundation	\$93,643,806
2. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$64,473,353
3. The Saint Paul Foundation ²	\$59,565,623
4. The Minneapolis Foundation ³	\$49,540,078
5. Medtronic Foundation and Corporation	\$47,476,419

For complete lists of top Minnesota grantmakers by grants paid in 2007, see Appendices ii through vi.

Giving From Non-Minnesota Foundations

Minnesota nonprofits also receive philanthropic dollars from individuals and foundations located outside of Minnesota. Data from the Foundation Center indicate that national foundations (not based in Minnesota) included in *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009* sample, gave 975 grants worth \$151 million to Minnesota charities in 2007.

Twenty-two percent of the funds, \$33.1 million, were given by five foundations and included large gifts to the Mayo Clinic and to various projects at the University of Minnesota.

The five largest national grantmakers giving to Minnesota and the amount given in 2007 were⁴:

1. The Annenberg Foundation	\$8,529,000
2. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation	\$7,264,272
3. The Grainger Foundation Inc.	\$6,054,350
4. The Gonda Family Foundation	\$5,734,830
5. Wells Fargo Foundation ⁵	\$5,497,474

For a list of the 50 top non-Minnesota grantmakers giving to the state in 2007 see Appendix vii.

¹ MCF compiles a ranking of the top grantmakers each year based on cash contributions. In order to ensure that grantmakers are compared consistently, MCF does not include in-kind or other non-cash contributions. In 2007, Target Foundation & Corporation did not report cash-only contributions. Instead, Target reported combined cash and in-kind contributions of \$169 million for the fiscal year ending 2/2/08. Because a separate cash contribution total was not provided, MCF is not able to include Target on the 2007 list.

² The Saint Paul Foundation includes the Minnesota Community Foundation, J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

³ The Minneapolis Foundation includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller and Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

⁴ The Foundation Center, 2009. Based on grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a national sample of 1,339 larger U.S. foundations (including 800 of the 1,000 largest ranked by total giving). For community foundations, only discretionary grants are included. Grants to individuals are not included.

⁵ The Wells Fargo Foundation is headquartered in California, but Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota supply to MCF their state giving data to be included as a Minnesota-based grantmaker. The amount here, provided by the Foundation Center, includes only grants of \$10,000 or more and does not include coporate giving.


Table 1 Change in Charitable Giving in Minnesota, 2004–2007*
Dollars in millions

	2004	2005	% Change	2006	% Change	2007	% Change
Total Individual Giving	\$4,242	\$4,364	2.9%	\$4,190	-4.0%	\$4,186	-0.1%
Total Grantmaking	\$1,146	\$1,083	-5.5%	\$1,198	10.6%	\$1,318	10.1%
Total Giving	\$5,388	\$5,447	1.1%	\$5,388	-1.1%	\$5,504	2.2%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2007.


Figure A Total Charitable Giving in Minnesota, 2004–2007*
Dollars in millions



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2007.

Figure B Total Charitable Giving in Minnesota by Type, 2007*
Dollars in millions



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2007.


Table 2 Giving by Individuals, Minnesota vs. National, 2004–2007
Dollars in millions

Year	Actual Dollars				Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*			
	Minnesota		National		Minnesota		National	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
2004	\$3,856		\$197,804		\$4,242		\$217,584	
2005	\$4,117	6.8%	\$221,516	12.0%	\$4,364	2.9%	\$234,807	7.9%
2006	\$4,068	-1.2%	\$222,110	0.3%	\$4,190	-4.0%	\$228,773	-2.6%
2007	\$4,186	2.9%	\$231,649	4.3%	\$4,186	-0.1%	\$231,649	1.3%

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2007.


Figure C Change in Giving by Individuals, Minnesota vs. National, 2005-2007*



* Actual dollars.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2007.

Figure D Change in Giving by Individuals, Minnesota vs. National, 2005-2007*



*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2007.

Table 3 Minnesota Grantmaking at a Glance, 2004-2007*
Dollars in millions

	2004	2005	2006	2007
Number of Grantmakers	1,341	1,378	1,398	1,429
Total Grants Paid	\$1,145.95	\$1,083.10	\$1,197.74	\$1,318.37
Total Foundation Assets	\$16,138.75	\$16,689.62	\$17,578.66	\$18,797.80

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 4 Minnesota Grants Paid, 1992-2007


Dollars in millions

Year	Actual Dollars		Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
1992	\$365.80		\$541.38	
1994	\$390.50	6.8%	\$546.70	1.0%
1995	\$461.00	18.1%	\$626.96	14.7%
1997	\$613.00	33.0%	\$790.77	26.1%
1998	\$630.00	2.8%	\$800.10	1.2%
1999	\$764.90	21.4%	\$948.48	18.5%
2000	\$810.10	5.9%	\$972.12	2.5%
2001	\$920.03	13.6%	\$1,076.44	10.7%
2002	\$893.08	-2.9%	\$1,027.04	-4.6%
2003	\$941.07	5.4%	\$1,063.41	3.5%
2004	\$1,041.77	10.7%	\$1,145.95	7.8%
2005	\$1,021.79	-1.9%	\$1,083.10	-5.5%
2006	\$1,162.86	13.8%	\$1,197.74	10.6%
2007	\$1,318.37	13.4%	\$1,318.37	10.1%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure E Minnesota Grants Paid, 1992-2007

Dollars in millions




* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 5 Number of Minnesota Grantmakers by Type, 1993-2007

	1993	1995	1997	1999	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Private	605	628	726	862	1057	1092	1115	1150	1174	1187	1209
Corporate	81	83	85	84	99	104	107	111	119	127	131
Community/Public	18	47	52	61	71	81	74	80	85	84	89
Total	704	758	863	1,007	1,227	1,277	1,296	1,341	1,378	1,398	1,429


Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure F Percentage of Minnesota Grantmakers by Type, 2007



Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

Figure G Minnesota Grant Dollars Paid by Grantmaker Type, 2007




Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

Table 6 Minnesota Grantmakers by Amount of Grants Paid, 2007

Total Grants Paid	Number of Grantmakers	% of Total Grantmakers	Grants Paid	% of Total Grants Paid	Assets	% of Total Assets
Over \$5 million	38	2.7%	\$909,478,662	69.0%	\$9,697,676,446	51.6%
\$1-\$5 million	108	7.6%	\$231,276,152	17.5%	\$6,240,599,518	33.2%
Subtotal	146	10.2%	\$1,140,754,814	86.5%	\$15,938,275,964	84.8%
\$500,000-\$999,999	104	7.3%	\$71,561,491	5.4%	\$1,039,875,004	5.5%
\$250,000-\$499,999	129	9.0%	\$46,422,988	3.5%	\$744,878,321	4.0%
\$100,000-\$249,999	212	14.8%	\$33,853,220	2.6%	\$572,202,817	3.0%
\$50,000-\$99,999	197	13.8%	\$14,266,588	1.1%	\$240,142,618	1.3%
\$25,000-\$49,999	199	13.9%	\$7,283,581	0.6%	\$138,054,733	0.7%
Under \$25,000	442	30.9%	\$4,225,265	0.3%	\$124,366,277	0.7%
Total	1,429		\$1,318,367,947		\$18,797,795,734	

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

Figure H Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2004-2007*
Dollars in millions



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

Table 7 Change in Minnesota Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2004–2007*
Dollars in millions

	2004	2005	% Change	2006	% Change	2007	% Change
Private	\$543	\$494	-8.9%	\$551	11.4%	\$575	4.5%
Corporate	\$460	\$449	-2.4%	\$498	10.9%	\$564	13.3%
Community/Public	\$143	\$140	-2.2%	\$149	6.7%	\$179	19.8%
Total Grantmaking	\$1,146	\$1,083	-5.5%	\$1,198	10.6%	\$1,318	10.1%


* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 8 Minnesota Foundation Assets, 1992-2007
Dollars in billions

Year	Actual Dollars		Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
1992	\$4.66		\$6.90	
1994	\$4.88	4.7%	\$6.83	-0.9%
1995	\$6.22	27.5%	\$8.46	23.8%
1997	\$8.20	31.8%	\$10.58	25.0%
1998	\$9.12	11.2%	\$11.58	9.5%
1999	\$10.57	15.9%	\$13.11	13.2%
2000	\$12.10	14.5%	\$14.52	10.8%
2001	\$11.36	-6.1%	\$13.29	-8.5%
2002	\$11.58	1.9%	\$13.32	0.2%
2003	\$13.46	16.2%	\$15.21	14.2%
2004	\$14.67	9.0%	\$16.14	6.1%
2005	\$15.74	7.3%	\$16.69	3.4%
2006	\$17.07	8.4%	\$17.58	5.3%
2007	\$18.80	10.1%	\$18.80	6.9%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure I Minnesota Foundation Assets, 1992-2007
Dollars in billions




* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 9 Minnesota Grantmaking by Congressional District, 2007*

District	Number of Grantmakers	Grants Paid	Assets
1	88	\$34,916,375	\$3,247,840,747
2	80	\$44,354,580	\$185,725,100
3	359	\$125,471,940	\$1,383,134,849
4	272	\$302,603,618	\$4,973,351,139
5	393	\$687,586,857	\$6,630,493,176
6	59	\$58,315,044	\$1,122,853,703
7	70	\$11,934,196	\$209,498,966
8	60	\$36,602,996	\$795,383,180

* Grants paid reflects the congressional district of the grantmaker, not the district of the recipient organization.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Minnesota Congressional Districts



Minnesota Secretary of State



Elections Division

April 28th, 2006

NOTE: This map is for reference purposes only. For determination of your polling place or precinct, please use the QSS Precinct Finder (pdfinder.sos.state.mn.us) or contact your county auditor. For definitive boundaries, detailed information is available at your county, city or township office.

Base data and features used on this map are derived from the 2000 TIGER files prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau.

[View Metro Districts](#)

[Return to Main Map Page](#)

- Congressional
- County

2007 Giving in Minnesota

Sample Trends

In order to provide detailed information on trends in giving in Minnesota, each year MCF analyzes the giving of 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state, by grants paid, for which MCF can obtain information. All subsequent analysis in this report is based on the grantmaking of these 100 grantmakers.

All dollar values in *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition* have been adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

The 100 grantmakers included in MCF's analysis in 2007 included 50 private foundations, 34 corporate foundations and giving programs, and 16 community/public foundations (see Figure J). Eighty-five percent of the grantmakers included in the 2006 sample were also included in the 2007 sample. Appendix i provides a complete list of the grantmakers in the 2007 sample.

Although these 100 grantmakers represent only 7 percent of all grantmakers in Minnesota, they accounted for \$1.1 billion in grantmaking, or about 82 percent of all grantmaking in the state in 2007. As a group, their assets were \$14.6 billion in 2007, an 11 percent increase from 2006.

The sampling base for this year's report includes 27,243 grants of \$2,000 or more awarded by 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota in 2007. These grants totaled \$917.9 million and represented 85 percent of the total giving of these 100 grantmakers⁶.

Of the 27,243 grants awarded in the 2007 Giving in Minnesota sample:

- 50 percent were made by corporate grantmakers;
- 27 percent were made by community/public foundations;
- 22 percent were made by private foundations. (See Figure K.)

Of the \$917.9 million grant dollars included in the 2007 Giving in Minnesota sample:

- 43 percent were granted by corporate grantmakers.
- 42 percent were granted by private foundations.
- 15 percent were granted by community/public foundations. (See Figure L and Table 10.)

The overall growth in grantmaking between 2006 and 2007 for the Giving in Minnesota sample was 9 percent. This was slightly slower growth than the 13.2 percent experienced by a national sample of 1,339 foundations, as reported by the Foundation Center in *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*.

In 2007, the average grant size of grantmakers in the Giving in Minnesota sample increased by 6 percent, to \$31,022. This follows decreases in average grant size of 14 percent in 2005 and 6 percent in 2006.

Private foundations have continued to provide larger average grants (\$63,081) than corporate grantmakers (\$23,189) and community/public foundations (\$18,624). However, from 2006 to 2007, all types of grantmakers experienced increases in average grant sizes, with corporate grantmakers experiencing the largest increases, at 9 percent. (See Table 11 for additional details.)

For more information, including information on sources used in this report, see the Methodology section on page 61.


Top Five Minnesota Grantmaking Recipients

The following Minnesota nonprofit organizations received the largest total grant dollars from Minnesota's 100 largest grantmakers in 2007. All the organizations listed below, except for Warroad Care Center, also received the largest grant dollars in 2006. In 2006, Family Housing Fund was among the top 5 recipients, having received a total of \$8.0 million that year.

1. University of Minnesota	\$26,018,951
2. Greater Twin Cities United Way	\$23,137,846
3. Mayo Foundation and Clinic	\$9,345,173
4. Scholarship America (Minnesota)	\$9,082,902
5. Warroad Care Center	\$8,650,000


⁶ Total giving by the sample, including grants of less than \$2,000, was \$1.1 billion, an increase of 14 percent from 2006.

Figure J Grantmakers in the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Type, 2007




Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure K Number of Grants Made by Grantmakers in the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Type, 2007*



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure L Share of Grant Dollars Paid by the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Grantmaker Type, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 10 Grants Paid by Grantmaker Type in the Giving in Minnesota Sample, 1997-2007*

Year	Private			Corporate			Community/Public			Total	
	Number of Grantmakers	Share of Grants Paid	Amount	Number of Grantmakers	Share of Grants Paid	Amount	Number of Grantmakers	Share of Grants Paid	Amount	Number of Grantmakers**	Amount
1997	75	52%	\$342.5	40	37%	\$240.1	16	11%	\$73.7	131	\$656.2
1999	60	50%	\$382.5	36	37%	\$281.4	16	13%	\$101.4	112	\$765.5
2001	52	51%	\$395.5	33	36%	\$287.4	16	13%	\$105.5	101	\$788.3
2002	59	50%	\$348.1	27	37%	\$255.9	15	13%	\$92.1	101	\$696.1
2003	51	40%	\$310.2	34	42%	\$329.7	15	18%	\$142.7	100	\$782.6
2004	54	48%	\$391.7	31	37%	\$304.3	15	15%	\$118.9	100	\$814.9
2005	52	50%	\$374.7	34	37%	\$274.3	14	13%	\$97.7	100	\$746.6
2006	52	43%	\$360.1	34	43%	\$357.4	14	15%	\$122.3	100	\$839.9
2007	50	42%	\$387.4	34	43%	\$392.5	16	15%	\$138.0	100	\$917.9

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

** Prior to 2003, all grantmakers that awarded \$1 million or more in grants were included in the sample for analysis.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 11 Average Grant Size in the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Grantmaker Type, 2002-2007*

Year	Private		Corporate		Community/Public		Total	% Change
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change		
2002	\$62,322		\$18,639		\$37,301		\$33,214	
2003	\$65,282	4.8%	\$19,561	4.9%	\$55,975	50.1%	\$33,745	1.6%
2004	\$69,039	5.8%	\$23,633	20.8%	\$26,459	-52.7%	\$36,244	7.4%
2005	\$64,785	-6.2%	\$20,725	-12.3%	\$18,185	-31.3%	\$31,364	-13.5%
2006	\$60,796	-6.2%	\$21,282	2.7%	\$17,690	-2.7%	\$29,388	-6.3%
2007	\$63,081	3.8%	\$23,189	9.0%	\$18,624	5.3%	\$31,022	5.6%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Grantmaking by Subject Area

- *Education, human services, and public affairs/society benefit received the largest shares of Minnesota's grant dollars in 2007.*
- *In Minnesota, human services, environment/animals, and international affairs experienced the fastest growth in giving in 2007.*
- *In 2007, Minnesota continued a long-term trend of giving a significantly larger share of grant dollars to human services than the national share and a significantly smaller share of grant dollars to health.*

This section provides a detailed analysis, by subject area, of the \$917.9 million in grants of \$2,000 or more made by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state for which MCF could obtain information. Comparative information on state and national grantmaking, as well as more detailed analyses of patterns of giving in each subject area, and a list of the top five grantmakers and top five grant recipients for each subject area are also provided.

To analyze trends by subject area, MCF uses the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) coding system to classify grants into eight major subject areas: arts, culture & humanities; education; environment/animals; health; human services; international affairs; public affairs/society benefit; and religion. For more information on subcategories within each subject area, see Appendix x. For more information on the NTEE coding system, see the Methodology section on page 61.

MCF codes subject areas according to grant descriptions. When no description is available, a grant is coded according to the purpose of the recipient organization. For example, a grant made to the University of Minnesota for the purpose of medical research would be coded as health, reflecting the intended use of the funds. However, a grant made to the University, with no specific description attached, would be coded as education.

Overall Subject Area Trends

In 2007 (covering fiscal years that ended between 6/1/07 and 5/31/08), grant dollars increased for seven of the eight major subject areas, in spite of the first signs of recession in early 2008 (see Table 12). Public affairs/society benefit was the only subject area to experience slightly decreased giving – of about \$2 million or 2% – during 2007. Human services, environment/animals, and international affairs were the three subject areas experiencing the fastest growth rates – all between 17 and 18 percent – in 2007 compared to 2006. The arts, culture and humanities, and health subject areas experienced the slowest rates of growth in giving in 2007, at just 3 percent each. Overall, the Giving in Minnesota 2009 sample experienced a growth rate of 9 percent.

As in previous years, the three subject areas receiving the largest shares of Minnesota's grant dollars were education, human services and public affairs/society benefit (see Figure M). Education again received the largest share of grant dollars in 2007, at 27 percent, a 1 percentage point increase from 2006. The human services share of the state's grants increased 2 percentage points to 24 percent in 2007. In spite of the fact that the overall share of grant dollars given to public affairs/society benefit decreased between 2006 and 2007, that subject area remained the third-largest in terms of share of grant dollars, at 15 percent. (For detailed information, see Table 12 and Figure N.)

In spite of their rapid rates of growth from 2006 to 2007, environment/animals and international affairs received the same share of grant dollars, 5 percent and 2 percent, respectively.

The arts, culture & humanities share continued to decrease in 2007, to 13 percent, following a decline from 16 percent in 2005 to 14 percent in 2006. The share of grant dollars going to religion stayed the same, at 3 percent, while the share going to health declined by 1 percentage point, to 10 percent.

Subject Area Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

Although education, human services and public affairs/society benefit continued as the three subject areas receiving the largest shares of Minnesota grant dollars in 2007, funding for each subject area varied by grantmaker type (see Table 13 and Figure O).

Private foundations provided the largest share of grant dollars to environment/animals (79 percent), international affairs (61 percent), health (52 percent) and human services (46 percent) in 2007. These four areas also received their largest shares of grant dollars from private foundations in 2006. Private foundations provided the smallest share of grant dollars to religion in both 2007 and 2006.

Corporate grantmakers provided the largest share of grant dollars to arts, culture & humanities (55 percent), education (54 percent), and public affairs/society benefit (48 percent) in 2007, in line with 2006 trends, while international affairs received its smallest share (13 percent) from corporate grantmakers. Notably, though, corporate grantmakers' contributions to international affairs increased by 9 percentage points, to 13 percent in 2007, from 4 percent in 2006.

As in previous years, community/public foundations gave the largest share of grant dollars to religion, at 48 percent.

At 15 percent, community/public foundations provided the smallest amount of giving in the sample, thus they contributed the lowest share of grant dollars to six of the seven other subject areas. By contrast, private foundations provided 42 percent of the grant dollars in the sample and corporate grantmakers provided 43 percent of the dollars in the sample.

See Appendices viii and ix for additional information about the share of each grantmaker type's total giving to each subject area.

Comparison of Minnesota and National Grantmaking

As in previous years, Minnesota grantmaking in 2007 was similar to national grantmaking in the shares of total grant dollars going to six of eight subject areas⁷ (see Table 14 and Figure P). Notable differences existed in the shares given to health and human services.

Education and human services received the largest shares of grant dollars in Minnesota in 2007, at 27 percent and 24 percent, respectively. Nationally, education and health tied for first, each with a 23-percent share. Public affairs/society benefit ranked third in Minnesota and nationally, at 15 percent each.


The greatest differences between Minnesota and the nation have historically been and continue to be in the fields of human services and health. Although Minnesota grantmakers devoted 24 percent of their grant dollars to human services, the national share for grantmakers across the country was 15 percent. Conversely, health received 10 percent of grant dollars in Minnesota, compared to 23 percent nationally.

Two of the eight subject areas (human services; public affairs/society benefit) experienced a change of greater than 1 percentage point in share of grant dollars in Minnesota in 2007. Human services experienced a 2 percentage point increase in share, while public affairs/society benefit experienced a 2 percentage point decrease in share.

Care should be taken in making direct comparisons between national and Minnesota grantmaking data. The data differ in several ways. First, MCF and the Foundation Center use different samples: MCF's sample is made up of all grants of \$2,000 or more made by 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota for which information can be obtained, while the Foundation Center's sample is made up of all grants of \$10,000 or more made by 1,339 larger foundations around the country. Second, the Foundation Center does not include data on corporate giving programs, while MCF does. Third, each organization uses different fiscal years.

⁷ Foundation Center provides giving data for two additional focus areas – Social Sciences and Science and Technology. These two areas combined account for 3 percent of giving, nationally. Because they account for a small percentage of all giving, MCF does not analyze these two categories separately but rather includes them in the Public Affairs/Society Benefit category.

Figure M Minnesota Grantmaking by Subject Area, 2007




Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 12 Minnesota Grantmaking by Subject Area, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Arts, Culture & Humanities	\$120,977,560	16%	\$114,211,409	14%	\$117,738,500	13%
Education	\$157,829,068	21%	\$218,488,747	26%	\$245,601,609	27%
Environment/Animals	\$30,026,232	4%	\$38,014,409	5%	\$44,504,593	5%
Health	\$78,712,263	11%	\$93,358,493	11%	\$96,093,961	10%
Human Services	\$183,993,143	25%	\$188,415,602	22%	\$222,850,697	24%
International Affairs	\$15,856,726	2%	\$16,345,095	2%	\$19,196,259	2%
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	\$134,950,961	18%	\$142,250,954	17%	\$140,104,995	15%
Religion	\$24,060,201	3%	\$27,742,744	3%	\$31,160,166	3%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure N Share of Minnesota Grantmaking by Subject Area, 2005-2007




Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 13 Share of Minnesota Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, 2007*

	Arts, Culture & Humanities	Education	Environment/Animals	Health	Human Services	International Affairs	Public Affairs/Society Benefit	Religion
Private	33%	35%	79%	52%	46%	61%	40%	23%
Corporate	55%	54%	15%	34%	35%	13%	48%	30%
Community/Public	12%	11%	7%	14%	19%	26%	12%	48%

* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure O Share of Minnesota Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, 2007




Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 14 Share of Grant Dollars by Subject Area, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2006-2007

	Minnesota		National	
	2006	2007	2006	2007
Arts, Culture & Humanities	14%	13%	12%	11%
Education	26%	27%	23%	23%
Environment/Animals	5%	5%	6%	7%
Health	11%	10%	23%	23%
Human Services	22%	24%	14%	15%
International Affairs	2%	2%	5%	5%
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	17%	15%	15%	15%
Religion	3%	3%	2%	2%

* Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Figure P Share of Grant Dollars by Subject Area, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2007



* Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Arts, Culture and Humanities

- *The arts represented a smaller share of overall giving – 13 percent – in 2007 than in previous years.*
- *Total grant dollars increased to \$117.7 million, or 3 percent, from 2006.*
- *At 55 percent, corporate grantmakers provided the largest share of funding to the arts.*
- *The five largest arts funders gave 56 percent of grant dollars in 2007.*

Grantmaking to arts, culture and humanities includes contributions to the performing arts, museums, media/communications, cultural organizations, historical societies, visual arts, humanities, and arts services.

Trends in Arts Grantmaking

Funding for the arts was one of the slowest growing areas of grantmaking in 2007, increasing to \$117.7 million, from \$114.2 million in 2006 (see Table 12 and Figure Q). The share of total grant dollars going to the arts has decreased slightly in recent years – from 16 percent in 2005 to 14 percent in 2006 to 13 percent in 2007. Declines during this period were due in part to the conclusion of capital campaigns by several major arts organizations, such as Minnesota Public Radio, the Walker Art Center, the Children’s Theatre Company, the Guthrie Theatre, and the Minneapolis Institute of Arts. In 2007, giving to the arts returned to pre-capital-campaign-era levels (see Figure R).

Minnesota’s 13-percent share of 2007 grant dollars going to the arts was slightly higher than the national average (11 percent), continuing a long-term trend (see Figure R). It is important to note that MCF’s sample of Minnesota grantmakers includes corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center’s national sample does not.

Arts Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

Between 2005 and 2007, corporate grantmaking to the arts increased significantly, while private grantmaking to the arts decreased (see Figure S and Table 15).

In Minnesota:

- Corporate grantmakers increased their share of grant dollars to the arts, to 55 percent in 2007, an 11 percentage point increase since 2005. Much of this change was due to increased giving to the arts by Target Foundation.
- Conversely, private foundation giving to the arts has dropped 11 percentage points since 2005. In 2007, private foundations provided 33 percent of the grant dollars for the arts.
- Community/public foundations’ share has remained relatively steady, at 12 percent in 2007, following two years of 11 percent shares.

Arts Grantmaking by Subcategory

Two subcategories, performing arts and other arts/cultural organizations, continued to receive the largest share of grant dollars among arts subcategories in 2007, at 36 percent and 20 percent, respectively (see Figure T and Table 16). Arts services, humanities, and historical societies received the smallest share of grant dollars – all between 2 and 3 percent.

Among the arts subcategories, giving to other arts/cultural organizations and arts/cultural organizations-multipurpose experienced the strongest growth rates (35 percent and 12 percent, respectively) in 2007. Other arts/cultural organizations includes funds for fundraising, arts access, and interdisciplinary arts programs. The arts/cultural organizations-multipurpose subcategory includes funds for art schools and arts councils. The increase in these areas was partly due to changes to the Giving in Minnesota sample: The James Ford Bell Foundation, which made several large arts grants in 2007, was not included in the sample in 2006.

Giving to most other subcategories declined (see Table 16), with the visual arts, media/communications, and arts services posting the most significant declines (-38 percent, -18 percent, and -15 percent, respectively). This was due in part to the conclusion of several large arts capital campaigns.

Largest Arts Grantmakers

Minnesota’s five largest arts grantmakers in 2007 are listed below. These five grantmakers granted 56 percent of all dollars given to the arts by the 100 largest grantmakers in the sample in 2007.


1. Target Foundation & Corporation	\$36,552,199
2. The McKnight Foundation	\$10,852,330
3. The Minneapolis Foundation	\$7,385,570
4. Bush Foundation	\$6,599,495
5. The Saint Paul Foundation	\$4,916,915

Top Arts Grant Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota’s arts grant dollars in 2007 are below. These five recipients received 16 percent of the arts grants dollars made by the sample in 2007.


1. Children's Theatre Company (MN)	\$4,736,205
2. Minneapolis Institute of Arts	\$4,242,903
3. Walker Art Center	\$4,135,870
4. Minnesota Orchestral Association	\$3,115,924
5. The Guthrie Theater	\$2,975,000

Figure Q Minnesota Arts Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
 Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Figure R Share of Arts Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
 ** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 15 Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$53,548,404	44%	\$43,244,794	38%	\$38,755,172	33%
Corporate	\$53,759,536	44%	\$58,949,822	52%	\$64,412,046	55%
Community/Public	\$13,669,621	11%	\$12,016,793	11%	\$14,571,282	12%
Total	\$120,977,560	100%	\$114,211,409	100%	\$117,738,500	100%


* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
 Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
 Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure S Share of Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Figure T Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007*



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 16 Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Arts/Cultural Organizations - Multipurpose	\$9,820,588	8%	\$12,777,261	11%	\$14,357,472	12%
Arts Services	\$1,623,602	1%	\$2,540,555	2%	\$2,151,030	2%
Historical Societies	\$3,752,635	3%	\$3,848,551	3%	\$3,867,621	3%
Humanities	\$3,268,904	3%	\$2,153,085	2%	\$1,893,315	2%
Media/Communications	\$16,800,825	14%	\$10,172,713	9%	\$8,387,125	7%
Museums	\$21,394,100	18%	\$17,415,589	15%	\$16,723,671	14%
Other Arts/Cultural Organizations	\$20,926,261	17%	\$17,646,620	15%	\$23,759,084	20%
Performing Arts	\$40,170,895	33%	\$40,267,448	35%	\$42,007,446	36%
Visual Arts	\$3,219,749	3%	\$7,389,587	6%	\$4,591,736	4%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Education

- At \$245.6 million, education received the largest share of grant dollars among the eight major fields in 2007.
- Total grant dollars to education increased by 12 percent from 2006.
- Elementary/secondary education and higher education & professional schools continued to receive the largest shares of education grant dollars.
- The five largest education funders gave 50 percent of grant dollars to education in 2007.

Education grantmaking includes contributions to educational institutions (schools, colleges and universities), libraries, and other organizations that provide education-related services. Other recipients include alumni associations, English-as-a-Second-Language (ESL) programs and adult literacy services.

Trends in Education Grantmaking

In 2007, the Giving in Minnesota sample awarded \$245.6 million in grants to education, up from \$218.5 million in 2006 (see Figure U). Education accounts for the largest share of the state's total grant dollars (27 percent) (see Table 12). Education has been Minnesota's top-ranked category in all but two years – 2001 and 2005 – since MCF began conducting Giving in Minnesota studies. Funding for education grew at a faster rate in 2007 – 12 percent – than the overall rate of increase in giving of 9 percent by the sample.

Minnesota's 27-percent share of grant dollars for education was higher than the national average (23 percent) (see Figure V).

Education Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

In 2007, the share of funding provided to education by private, corporate, and community/public grantmakers was in line with 2006. This follows a significant shift in shares from 2005 to 2006, when Target's Take Charge of Education program was added to the sample. (See Table 17 and Figure W.)

In Minnesota in 2007:

- Corporate grantmakers' share of funding was 54 percent.
- Private foundations' share of funding for education was 35 percent.
- Community/public foundations provided 11 percent of the philanthropic funding to education in 2007, in line with 2005 and 2006 levels.

Education Grantmaking by Subcategory

As in previous years, the subcategories of elementary/secondary education and higher education & professional schools received the largest shares of education grant dollars (39 percent and 24 percent, respectively) in 2007 (see Figure X and Table 18). Both these subcategories grew by 11 percent in 2007. The fastest growing subcategory was other education, which increased by 45 percent. This subcategory includes fund development, employee matching funds for education, and grants for interdisciplinary educational programs.

Nineteen percent of Minnesota's education grant dollars went to student or educational services – such as after-school, college readiness, tutoring, drop-out prevention, and reading promotion programs – making it the third largest subcategory in education in Minnesota. However, this subcategory experienced a comparatively slow rate of growth, only 3 percent, in 2007. Nationally, only 9 percent of education dollars went to educational or student services, according to the most recent Foundation Center data.

The two smallest subcategories within education – adult/continuing education and vocational/technical schools – each received less than 1 percent of the education grant dollars, and notably, experienced major declines in giving in 2007 (see Table 18). Giving to adult/continuing education declined by 59 percent, while giving to vocational/technical declined by 51 percent. It is important to note that overall giving to each of these subcategories is quite small, so small decreases in giving may be reflected as large percentage changes.

Largest Education Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest education grantmakers in 2007 are listed below. These five grantmakers gave 50 percent of the total education dollars in the sample.


1. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$51,022,885
2. General Mills Community Action	\$38,376,435
3. Bush Foundation	\$11,625,459
4. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$11,177,500
5. The McKnight Foundation	\$10,248,000

Top Education Grant Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's education grant dollars in 2007 are listed below. These five grant recipients received 15 percent of the grant dollars the sample gave to education in 2007.


1. University of Minnesota	\$15,836,989
2. Scholarship America Inc. (Minnesota)	\$9,082,902
3. Minnesota State University-Mankato	\$5,341,405
4. St. Paul Public Schools	\$3,965,425
5. Achieve! Minneapolis	\$3,115,074

Figure U Minnesota Education Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure V Share of Education Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 17 Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$71,532,666	45%	\$78,947,200	36%	\$85,942,911	35%
Corporate	\$68,785,123	44%	\$118,617,803	54%	\$131,573,560	54%
Community	\$17,511,280	11%	\$20,923,743	10%	\$28,085,198	11%
Total	\$157,829,068	100%	\$218,488,747	100%	\$245,601,669	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.


Figure W Share of Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure X Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 18 Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Adult/Continuing Education	\$918,490	1%	\$920,485	<1%	\$379,171	<1%
Elementary/Secondary Education	\$45,434,914	29%	\$86,982,340	40%	\$96,343,220	39%
Higher Education & Professional Schools	\$39,082,722	25%	\$53,341,566	24%	\$59,192,118	24%
Libraries/Library Science	\$4,123,949	3%	\$4,346,798	2%	\$3,521,691	1%
Student Services & Organizations of Students	\$32,146,920	20%	\$44,531,555	20%	\$45,927,302	19%
Other Education	\$34,529,964	22%	\$27,559,715	13%	\$39,841,457	16%
Vocational/Technical Schools	\$1,592,109	1%	\$806,288	<1%	\$396,710	<1%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Environment/Animals

- *At 5 percent, giving to environment/animals was a relatively small share of overall philanthropic giving in 2007.*
- *Funding for environment/animals grew 17 percent in 2007, making it one of the fastest growing areas among the eight major subject areas.*
- *Private foundations provide the vast majority of support for environment/animals, and their contributions continued to grow significantly in 2007.*
- *The five largest environment/animals funders gave 68 percent of the grant dollars to this area in 2007.*

The environment/animals subject area includes two broad categories. Environment grants go to organizations that focus on the preservation and protection of the environment, botanical gardens and societies, urban beautification, and environmental education. Environment grant recipients have included recycling programs, resources conservation, botanical gardens and wildlife preservation organizations.

Animals grants go to organizations that focus on the care and protection of animals, including wildlife, pets and specialty animals. Examples of such organizations include animal population control agencies, bird sanctuaries and zoos.

Trends in Environment/Animals Grantmaking

Grantmakers in Minnesota gave \$44.5 million to environment/animals in 2007, up from \$38 million in 2006 (see Figure Y). Funding for this subject area grew 17 percent from 2006 to 2007, nearly twice the 9 percent rate of growth experienced by the sample as a whole, making this one of the fastest growing subject areas in the Giving in Minnesota sample.

The share of grant dollars in the sample dedicated to environment/animals was 5 percent in 2007, in line with 2006 (see Table 12).

The 5-percent share of grant dollars in Minnesota going to environment/animals in 2007 was lower than the national average of 7 percent, continuing a long-term trend (see Figure Z). The rapid rate of growth in giving to this field mirrors national trends: Grantmaking to environment/animals grew by 28.5 percent nationally.

Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

In 2007, private foundations in Minnesota continued to give the most grant dollars to environment/animals by far of any grantmaker type. Funding by corporate grantmakers and community/public foundations for environment/animals decreased from 2006 (see Table 19 and Figure AA).

In Minnesota:

- Private foundation giving to environment/animals increased 28 percent, to \$35 million in 2007 from \$27.4 million in 2006. This represents 79 percent of the giving to this issue area.
- Corporate grantmakers provided 15 percent of the grant dollars for environment/animals, or \$6.5 million, a drop of 7 percent from 2006.
- Community/public foundations provided 7 percent of the grant dollars to this issue area, or \$2.9 million, a drop of 19 percent from 2006.

Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Subcategory

Consistent with previous years, the natural resources, conservation & protection subcategory received by far the largest share (65 percent) of environment/animals grants (see Figure AB and Table 20). General environmental programs received the second largest share (11 percent).

Several subcategories within environment/animals experienced explosive growth in 2007, while others experienced dramatic decreases. Because overall funding for these subcategories is relatively small, slight increases and decreases in funding have a big impact on their growth rates.

Those experiencing the strongest growth rates include environmental education and outdoor survival (222 percent), other animal-related (105 percent), and animal protection and welfare (80 percent). Those experiencing the largest declines include zoos/zoological societies (-61 percent), other environmental (-56 percent), botanical, horticultural, and landscape services (-43 percent).

The following two reasons may account for some of these increases and decreases: First, the composition of the Giving in Minnesota sample changed in 2007. The James Ford Bell Foundation, a significant environmental grantmaker, was included in the 2007 sample of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota, while the Mary Livingston Griggs and Mary Griggs Burke Foundation, also a significant environmental grantmaker, was not. Second, the animal protection and welfare subcategory received more, larger grants, while the other environment subcategory received fewer large grants in 2007.

Giving to environmental grantmaking subcategories far outweighs giving to animal subcategories, with the environment representing 91 percent of the grants made within this subject area.

Largest Environment/Animals Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest environment/animals grantmakers in 2007 are listed below. These five grantmakers granted 68 percent of all dollars given to environment/animals by the sample in 2007.


1. The McKnight Foundation	\$15,375,512
2. Blandin Foundation	\$4,645,500
3. Robina Foundation	\$4,280,000
4. Bush Foundation	\$3,641,920
5. James Ford Bell Foundation	\$2,141,266

Top Environment/Animals Grant Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's environment/animals grant dollars in 2007 are listed below. These five recipients received 39 percent of the environment/animals grant dollars made by the sample in 2007.


1. Energy Foundation (California)	\$5,000,000
2. The Nature Conservancy (Minnesota)	\$4,473,517
3. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources	\$4,280,000
4. Belwin Nature Center	\$2,025,000
5. The Nature Conservancy (Virginia)	\$1,727,000

Figure Y Minnesota Environment/Animals Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure Z Share of Environment/Animals Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 19 Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$21,919,901	73%	\$27,401,715	72%	\$35,054,705	79%
Corporate	\$5,912,246	20%	\$6,987,718	18%	\$6,504,987	15%
Community	\$2,194,084	7%	\$3,624,976	10%	\$2,944,901	7%
Total	\$30,026,232	100%	\$38,014,409	100%	\$44,504,593	100%


* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AA Share of Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Figure AB Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 20 Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Animal Protection & Welfare	\$520,699	2%	\$664,065	2%	\$1,197,485	3%
Botanical, Horticultural & Landscape Services	\$607,692	2%	\$732,201	2%	\$419,588	1%
Environmental Education & Outdoor Survival	\$737,802	2%	\$1,198,424	3%	\$3,861,282	9%
General Environmental Programs	\$3,150,000	10%	\$5,275,026	14%	\$4,919,383	11%
Natural Resources Conservation & Protection	\$18,512,908	62%	\$23,099,206	61%	\$28,785,587	65%
Other Animal-Related	\$477,000	2%	\$668,478	2%	\$1,367,868	3%
Other Environmental	\$3,580,209	12%	\$1,427,564	4%	\$631,748	1%
Pollution Abatement & Control Services	\$1,194,224	4%	\$2,771,028	7%	\$1,773,850	4%
Wildlife Preservation & Protection	\$500,709	2%	\$544,382	1%	\$917,492	2%
Zoos/Zoological Societies	\$744,989	2%	\$1,634,036	4%	\$630,310	1%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Health

- *Ten percent of grant dollars – or \$96.1 million – went to health.*
- *Funding for health in Minnesota grew 3 percent in 2007, making it one of the slowest growing areas among the eight major subject areas.*
- *Funding for health has long been a significantly smaller portion of overall giving in Minnesota than nationally; 2007 was no exception.*
- *Private foundations provided the most funds for health; giving by private foundations to this issue area grew by 13 percent in 2007.*

Health grantmaking includes the following four subcategories: general and rehabilitative health (which includes funding for hospitals and nursing homes, primary care, and outpatient facilities); mental health/crisis intervention; multipurpose health (which includes funding for services associated with specific diseases); and medical research. Health grant recipients include hospitals and health care centers, mental health treatment centers, cancer associations and organizations conducting research on specific diseases.

Trends in Health Grantmaking

Grantmakers in Minnesota gave \$96.1 million to health in 2007, up slightly from \$93.4 million in 2006 (see Table 12 and Figure AC). This represented 10 percent of the Giving in Minnesota sample's total grant dollars. Giving to health in Minnesota grew at a slow pace in 2007 – increasing only 3 percent – significantly slower than the 9 percent growth in overall giving by the sample. In 2005 and 2006, health captured 11 percent of the grant dollars in the sample.

Although health giving grew slowly in 2007, the subject area experienced a large increase between 2005 and 2006; between 2005 and 2006, the amount given to health increased by 19 percent. This increase was related to at least two major activities, both of which were ongoing in 2007. First, Hazelden's Women's Recovery Center and Lakeview Memorial Hospital, as well as other hospitals, began major capital campaigns in 2006 that continued into 2007. Second, the Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation doubled its giving to health from almost \$5 million in 2005 to \$10 million in 2006. In 2007, it increased giving to health by a smaller amount, to about \$12.4 million.

Funding for health has long been a significantly smaller portion of overall giving in Minnesota than nationally, and 2007 was no exception (see Figure AD). The share of health grantmaking nationally continued to be more than double that of Minnesota (see Table 14). In recent years, this wide disparity has been due, in part, to the large percentage of health grants given by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation; in 2007, that organization gave \$1.65 billion to health, which represents 34 percent of all giving to health by the Foundation Center's national sample.

The share of giving to health in Minnesota is also less than the average across the Midwest as a whole. As a region, 15 percent of grant dollars go to health, according to the Foundation Center's *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*.

While grantmaking to the health field experienced slow growth in Minnesota, nationally health grantmaking grew by 11.7 percent.

Health Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

Within the Giving in Minnesota sample, private foundations provided the largest amount of funding for health. Community/public foundations' giving to health dropped significantly in 2007 (see Table 21 and Figure AE).

In Minnesota:

- *Private foundations provided just under \$50 million to health, 52 percent of the giving to this subject area. In 2007, giving to health by private foundations grew 13 percent.*
- *Corporate grantmakers gave a similar share to health as in previous years – 34 percent. Their health giving totaled \$32.8 million, a 7 percent increase from 2006.*
- *Community/public foundations gave \$13.4 million to health, a 28 percent decrease from 2006. In 2006, The Minneapolis Foundation provided two large grants for cancer research totaling \$3.5 million to the Mayo Clinic and Mayo Foundation. Those grants were not repeated in 2007.*

Health Grantmaking by Subcategory

The general and rehabilitative health subcategory received the largest share of health dollars, as it has in previous years (see Table 22 and Figure AF). Fifty-nine percent of the health dollars, or \$57 million, funded this area, with the majority of the dollars going to hospitals, nursing homes, primary care, and outpatient facilities (see Appendix x). Despite receiving the most funding, this subcategory's share of grant dollars has decreased consistently since 2004, following a large, one-time grant of \$20 million by Blandin Foundation to Grant Itasca Clinic and Hospital that year.

Multipurpose health, which includes funding for services associated with specific diseases, received the second largest share of grant dollars – 21 percent or \$19.7 million. Giving to this subcategory grew by 42 percent in 2007. Growth was due in part to changes in the composition of the Giving in Minnesota sample. In 2007, the sample included the Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation, which gave a grant of just under \$5 million to the Mayo Clinic.

Funding for medical research and mental health/crisis intervention – the two smallest subcategories within health – both declined in 2007, by 35 percent and 9 percent, respectively. The decrease in funding for medical research was partially the result of the two grants for cancer research made by The Minneapolis Foundation to Mayo Clinic and Mayo Foundation in 2006 that were not repeated in 2007.

Largest Health Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest health grantmakers in 2007 provided 38 percent of the funding to health by the sample. They are:


1. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$12,403,750
2. The Medtronic Foundation	\$7,971,028
3. St. Jude Medical Foundation	\$6,244,975
4. The McKnight Foundation	\$5,290,000
5. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$4,920,950

Top Health Grant Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's health grant dollars in 2007 received 25 percent of the sample's health grant funds. They are:


1. Mayo Foundation and Clinic	\$9,195,173
2. The McKnight Endowment Fund for Neuroscience	\$4,506,000
3. Lakeview Memorial Hospital	\$4,099,000
4. Gillette Children's Hospital	\$3,623,000
5. Regions Hospital Foundation	\$2,650,500

Figure AC Minnesota Health Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AD Share of Health Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 21 Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$36,849,805	47%	\$44,074,305	47%	\$49,856,882	52%
Corporate	\$26,889,138	34%	\$30,529,333	33%	\$32,817,155	34%
Community	\$14,973,320	19%	\$18,754,856	20%	\$13,419,924	14%
Total	\$78,712,263	100%	\$93,358,493	100%	\$96,093,961	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.


Figure AE Share of Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AF Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 22 Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
General & Rehabilitative Health	\$48,745,755	62%	\$54,633,254	59%	\$57,027,047	59%
Medical Research	\$8,126,790	10%	\$10,986,296	12%	\$7,103,610	7%
Mental Health/Crisis Intervention	\$6,682,615	8%	\$13,392,032	14%	\$12,252,648	13%
Multipurpose Health	\$15,157,103	19%	\$13,929,040	15%	\$19,710,656	21%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Human Services

- *Twenty-four percent of the giving – or \$222.9 million – went to human services in 2007.*
- *At 18 percent growth from 2006, human services was the fastest growing area in 2007 among the eight major subject areas.*
- *The share of funding for human services by Minnesota grantmakers continued to be greater than the national average.*
- *Giving to human services by all grantmaker types increased significantly in 2007 compared to 2006.*

The human services subject area consists of the following subcategories: multipurpose human services; housing/shelter; youth development; public safety, disaster preparedness and relief; food, nutrition and agriculture; public protection; employment/jobs; and recreation, leisure and sports.

Trends in Human Services Grantmaking

Grantmakers in Minnesota gave \$222.9 million to human services in 2007, up from \$188 million in 2006 (see Figure AG). The human services field captured 24 percent of the Giving in Minnesota sample's total grant dollars (see Table 12). Human services is the second largest major field, by dollars, in the sample, as well as the fastest growing field. Giving to human services grew by 18 percent between 2006 and 2007, double the 9 percent growth in overall giving by sample, and a notable increase from the 2 percent growth human services experienced between 2005 and 2006.

Since 1994, when human services received 23 percent of all grant dollars at the state and national levels, Minnesota has devoted a greater portion of grant dollars to this major field than the national average. In 2007, the share of human services grantmaking nationally was about 15 percent, 9 percentage points less than in Minnesota (see Figure AH). Across the Midwest region, 17 percent of grant dollars go to human services, 7 percentage points less than in Minnesota.

Human Services Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

Within the Giving in Minnesota sample, private foundations continued to provide the largest share of funding for human services; however, giving by all grantmaker types to this field increased significantly in 2007 (see Table 23 and Figure AI).

In Minnesota:

- Private foundations provided \$103.5 million to human services, 46 percent of the giving to this subject area. In 2007, giving to human services by private foundations grew 14 percent, following a 9 percent decline from 2005 to 2006. Two private foundations accounted for the majority of the 2007 increase: The Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation gave two large capital grants in 2007 – one of \$4 million to Presbyterian Homes and another of \$2.26 million to Boy Scouts of America. And the Northwest Area Foundation provided grants totaling \$8.86 million to their partnership organizations for poverty reduction and capacity building.
- Corporate grantmakers gave \$77.3 million to human services in 2007 and captured a similar share of giving to this major field as in previous years – 35 percent. Growth in giving by corporate grantmakers has risen steadily – by 7 percent in 2006 and 15 percent in 2007. Much of the growth in 2007 is the result of increased giving to food safety and security programs by corporate grantmakers. The University of Minnesota's Global Food Safety Systems Initiative captured \$2.3 million in funding from Cargill Citizenship Fund and General Mills. Two other food safety programs in the U.S. captured an additional \$850,000 in funding from Cargill Citizenship Fund.
- Community/public foundations gave \$42 million to human services, a 19 percent share of the grant dollars to this major field, and a continuation of strong growth in giving by community/public foundations to human services. Community foundations increased their giving to human services by 40 percent in 2006 and 39 percent in 2007. Much of the 2007 increase was due to increased giving by two community foundations to two capital campaigns: The Minnesota Community Foundation increased its giving to the Warroad Care Center, to \$8.65 million from \$2.06 million in 2006. And The Saint Paul Foundation gave \$1.5 million to Common Bond Communities.

Human Services Grantmaking by Subcategory

The multipurpose human services subcategory, which includes funding for human service organizations, social and family services, and residential and custodial care, received the largest share of human services grant dollars, as in previous years (see Table 24 and Figure AJ). Forty-six percent of the human services dollars, or \$101.8 million, funded this area. Giving to this subcategory increased 26 percent from 2006.

Housing/shelter and youth development are the next largest subcategories within human services, capturing 15 and 14 percent of the grantmaking in this area, respectively. Grantmaking to housing declined by 8 percent between 2006 and 2007, owing to smaller grants paid out in 2007 by The McKnight Foundation to its housing partners – Family Housing Fund and Greater Minnesota Housing Fund. Youth development grew by 36 percent during this same time period, owing to two large grants: Target Foundation granted Tiger Woods Foundation \$2.75 million, while Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation granted \$2.26 million to the Boy Scouts of America.

The following subcategories all received between 5 and 6 percent of the human services grant dollars: employment/jobs; food, agriculture, and nutrition; public protection; and recreation, leisure and sports. Although they received similar shares of funding in 2007, their rates of growth from 2006 to 2007 varied dramatically. Recreation declined by 10 percent. The remaining areas grew at the following rates: employment by 5 percent; public protection by 13 percent; and food, nutrition, and agriculture by 42 percent.

Public safety, disaster preparedness and relief is the smallest subcategory in human services. In 2007, this area received 3 percent of the grant dollars to human services, or \$7.5 million. This represented a 102 percent growth rate for this subcategory, however, largely due to increased giving related to three disasters that year: the collapse of the Interstate 35W bridge into the Mississippi River, flooding in Southeastern Minnesota, and the earthquake in China's Yunnan province.

Largest Human Services Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest human services grantmakers in 2007 are listed below. These five grantmakers granted 38 percent of all dollars given to human services by the sample in 2007.


1. The McKnight Foundation	\$25,687,758
2. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$16,908,096
3. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$14,943,450
4. The Saint Paul Foundation	\$13,903,182
5. Northwest Area Foundation	\$13,077,450

Top Human Services Grant Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's human services grant dollars in 2007 received 12 percent of the sample's human services grant funds. They are:


1. Warroad Care Center	\$8,650,000
2. Family Housing Fund	\$5,227,000
3. Greater Minnesota Housing Fund	\$5,100,000
4. Presbyterian Homes of Minnesota	\$4,055,802
5. Common Bond Communities	\$3,652,500

Figure AG Minnesota Human Services Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AH Share of Human Services Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007



* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.


** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 23 Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$99,531,526	54%	\$90,729,061	48%	\$103,525,859	46%
Corporate	\$62,850,398	34%	\$67,409,018	36%	\$77,314,385	35%
Community	\$21,611,220	12%	\$30,277,523	16%	\$42,010,453	19%
Total	\$183,993,143	100%	\$188,415,602	100%	\$222,850,697	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.


Figure AI Share of Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

Figure AJ Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

Table 24 Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Employment/Jobs	\$8,302,385	5%	\$9,874,589	5%	\$10,408,891	5%
Food, Nutrition & Agriculture	\$9,938,393	5%	\$10,074,863	5%	\$14,262,963	6%
Housing/Shelter	\$34,956,754	19%	\$36,913,807	20%	\$33,855,790	15%
Multipurpose Human Services	\$79,552,883	43%	\$80,779,648	43%	\$101,850,277	46%
Public Protection	\$9,620,634	5%	\$9,291,973	5%	\$10,545,578	5%
Public Safety, Disaster Preparedness & Relief	\$15,010,043	8%	\$3,727,159	2%	\$7,523,146	3%
Recreation, Leisure & Sports	\$10,938,213	6%	\$15,081,286	8%	\$13,555,213	6%
Youth Development	\$15,673,837	9%	\$22,672,277	12%	\$30,848,839	14%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2009 Edition.

International Affairs

- *At 2 percent, giving to international affairs was the smallest share of overall giving in 2007.*
- *Funding for international affairs grew 17 percent in Minnesota in 2007, making it one of the fastest growing areas among the eight major subject areas.*
- *Private foundations provide the majority of the support for international affairs, but giving by corporate grantmakers grew significantly in 2007.*
- *The five largest international affairs funders gave 73 percent of grant dollars to this issue area in 2007.*

International affairs grants go to organizations that promote international understanding; provide international development and relief services; and address international human rights, peace and security, and other related issues.

Grants included in this category are coded by subject area, not geography; therefore, the geographic service area of international affairs grants may or may not be located outside the U.S. For example, a \$5,000 grant from The Minneapolis Foundation to the University of St. Thomas in St. Paul for two events and a series of films documenting genocide at the Genocide Intervention Network is coded to the international affairs subject area category. For more on grantmaking by geographic area, see page 51.

Trends in International Grantmaking

Grantmakers in Minnesota gave \$19.2 million to international affairs in 2007, up from \$16.3 million in 2006 (see Figure AK). Funding for this subject area grew 17 percent from 2006 to 2007, nearly twice the 9 percent rate of growth experienced by the sample as a whole, and making this one of the fastest growing fields in the Giving in Minnesota sample.

The share of grant dollars in the sample dedicated to international affairs was 2 percent, in line with 2006 and 2005, and making it the smallest category among the eight subject areas (see Table 12). Between 1992 and 2005, international affairs captured 1 percent of the grant dollars.

The 2-percent share of grant dollars in Minnesota going to international affairs in 2007 was lower than the national average of 5 percent, continuing a long-term trend (see Figure AL).

International Affairs Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type

In 2007, private foundations in Minnesota continued to provide the largest share of grant dollars to international affairs; however, giving by corporate grantmakers grew significantly from 2006 to 2007. Funding by community/public foundations declined slightly during this same period (see Table 25 and Figure AM).

In Minnesota:

- Private foundation giving to international affairs increased 13 percent, to \$11.6 million from \$10.3 million. This represents 61 percent of the giving to this issue area.
- Corporate grantmakers provided 13 percent of the grant dollars for international affairs, or \$2.5 million, an increase of 256 percent from 2006.
- Community/public foundations provided 26 percent of the grant dollars to this area, or \$5.1 million, a decline of 5 percent from 2006.

International Affairs Grantmaking by Subcategory

Consistent with previous years, the international development/relief services subcategory received by far the largest share (62 percent) of international affairs grant dollars (see Table 26 and Figure AN). Other - international affairs dollars received the second largest share (28 percent). This subcategory includes employee matching gifts to international affairs organizations and interdisciplinary international affairs grants.

All subcategories within international affairs experienced growth in 2007, but because overall funding for these subcategories is relatively small, slight increases have a large impact on growth rates.

Largest International Affairs Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest international affairs grantmakers in 2007 provided 73 percent of the funding to international affairs by the sample in 2007. They are:


1. The McKnight Foundation	\$5,169,735
2. WEM Foundation	\$3,815,500
3. The Minneapolis Foundation	\$2,345,874
4. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$1,640,800
5. Cargill Foundation and Citizenship Fund	\$1,062,218

Top International Affairs Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's international affairs grant dollars in 2007 received 44 percent of the sample's grant funds. They are:


1. Yale University	\$3,750,000
2. Peace House Foundation	\$1,712,000
3. Medical Center (Africa)	\$1,520,000
4. Mano a Mano Medical Resources	\$720,000
5. United Way International	\$695,053

Figure AK Minnesota International Affairs Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AL Share of International Affairs Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 25 Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$10,765,940	68%	\$10,324,104	63%	\$11,644,733	61%
Corporate	\$2,376,354	15%	\$702,885	4%	\$2,500,440	13%
Community	\$2,714,432	17%	\$5,318,105	33%	\$5,051,086	26%
Total	\$15,856,726	100%	\$16,345,095	100%	\$19,196,259	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.


Figure AM Share of Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AN Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007*



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 26 Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
International Development/Relief Services	\$9,175,683	58%	\$10,366,053	63%	\$11,900,275	62%
International Human Rights	\$507,846	3%	\$737,735	5%	\$857,688	4%
International Peace & Security	\$131,071	1%	\$367,399	2%	\$477,631	2%
Other International Affairs	\$5,549,476	35%	\$4,329,152	26%	\$5,392,192	28%
Promotion of International Understanding	\$492,649	3%	\$544,756	3%	\$568,473	3%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Public Affairs/Society Benefit

- *Fifteen percent of the giving – or \$140.1 million – went to public affairs/society benefit.*
- *Funding for public affairs/society benefit in Minnesota decreased slightly, by 2 percent in 2007, making it the only area among the eight major fields to experience a decline.*
- *Corporate grantmakers provided the largest share of funds for public affairs/society benefit and were the only grantmaker type to increase giving to this area.*
- *The philanthropy & voluntarism subcategory continued to receive the largest share of grant dollars in this subject area.*

The public affairs/society benefit category covers grants to nonprofits involved in general civic, community and societal improvement projects. It consists of six subcategories: philanthropy and voluntarism; community improvement/capacity building; public affairs/society benefit: multipurpose; science and technology research; civil rights/social action; and social science research.

Research produced by the Foundation Center on national giving trends treats science and technology and social science research as major fields. MCF categorizes these two areas as subcategories within public affairs/society benefit, because they are comparatively small. In Minnesota, funding for science and technology research totaled \$11.2 million in 2007, while funding for social science research totaled approximately \$751,000.

Trends in Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking

While public affairs/society benefit is the third largest of the eight major subject areas, it is the only one that experienced decreased giving in 2007. Grantmaking to public affairs/society benefit totaled \$140.1 million in 2007, a slight decrease of 2 percent from the \$142.3 million given to this area in 2006 (see Table 12). Still, this represented 15 percent of the giving in Minnesota, the third largest subject area share.

The decrease in giving to this field is partly due to changes in the composition of the Giving in Minnesota sample. In 2007, the William M. and Nadine M. McGuire Family Foundation, a significant public affairs/society benefit grantmaker, was not part of the research sample.

Following a series of significant increases during the 1990s and small declines in the early 2000s, the total grants paid to public affairs/society benefit leveled off and has remained relatively constant since 2002 (see Figure AO). As a share of overall giving, public affairs/society benefit has decreased in each of the last three years (see Table 12).

Between 1999 and 2006 Minnesota grantmakers typically gave a larger share of their grant dollars to public affairs/society benefit than did national grantmakers (see Figure AP). In 2007, however, Minnesota and national grantmakers gave a similar share of grant dollars – 15 percent – to this subject area.

Public Affairs/Society Benefit Funding by Grantmaker Type

Within the Giving in Minnesota sample, corporate grantmakers provided the largest amount of funding for public affairs/society benefit; they were also the only grantmaker type to increase giving to this area. Since 2005, total dollars (and shares) given by private foundations have steadily decreased, while total dollars and shares given by corporate grantmakers have steadily increased (see Table 27 and Figure AQ).

In Minnesota:

- Corporate grantmakers provided \$67.9 million to public affairs/society benefit and, at 48 percent, were the largest contributor to this issue area. After significant growth in giving between 2005 and 2006 – a 27 percent increase – corporate grantmakers gave 4 percent more in 2007.
- Private foundations provided \$55.5 million to public affairs/society benefit, 40 percent of the giving to this subject area. In 2007, giving to public affairs/society benefit by private foundations decreased 6 percent.
- Community/public foundations gave \$16.7 million to public affairs/society benefit, a 4 percent decrease from 2006. This represents a 12 percent share of giving to this subject area.

Public Affairs/Society Benefit Trends by Subcategory

Consistent with previous years, the philanthropy & voluntarism subcategory received the largest share of public affairs grant dollars (see Table 28 and Figure AR). This subcategory captured 44 percent of the grant dollars, or \$62.1 million in 2007. The majority of the funds to direct services in this area – 60 percent – were devoted to community funds and federated giving programs, followed by contributions to public foundations (28 percent of the funds) (see Appendix x).

Community improvement/capacity building is the second largest subcategory in this issue area – receiving \$44.3 million, or 32 percent of the grant dollars. Giving to this subcategory declined 14 percent between 2006 and 2007. The greatest share of funds within this subcategory go to economic development (36 percent), followed by community/neighborhood development (24 percent) (see Appendix x).

Multipurpose public affairs received \$16.7 million of the overall public affairs/society benefit dollars, or 12 percent. This represents a decline of 6 percent from 2006. The greatest share of funds within this subcategory went to leadership development (40 percent) and government/public administration (23 percent), which includes funding for citizen engagement projects and policy work.

Finally, although grantmaking to civil rights is a comparatively small part of overall giving in the public affairs issue area, it grew rapidly – to \$5 million in 2007 from \$3.5 million in 2006. The majority of the funds (84 percent) in this issue area were dedicated to civil rights advocacy for specific groups (see Appendix x).

Largest Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmakers

Minnesota’s five largest public affairs/society benefit grantmakers in 2007 provided 38 percent of the funding to this subject area. They are:


1. The McKnight Foundation	\$20,075,620
2. Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community	\$10,373,000
3. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$8,083,572
4. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$7,830,843
5. Northwest Area Foundation	\$7,090,597

Public Affairs/Society Benefit Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota’s public affairs/society benefit grant dollars in 2007 received 22 percent of the sample’s grant funds for this subject area. They are:


1. Greater Twin Cities United Way	\$20,771,046
2. The Saint Paul Foundation	\$3,347,044
3. Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation	\$2,447,487
4. Northland Foundation	\$2,068,120
5. Partnership to End Poverty (Oregon)	\$2,000,000

Figure AO Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AP Share of Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 27 Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$70,832,276	52%	\$59,381,907	42%	\$55,530,300	40%
Corporate	\$51,616,859	38%	\$65,449,240	46%	\$67,875,200	48%
Community	\$12,501,826	9%	\$17,419,807	12%	\$16,699,495	12%
Total	\$134,950,961	100%	\$142,250,954	100%	\$140,104,995	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.


Figure AQ Share of Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AR Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007*



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 28 Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Civil Rights, Social Action & Advocacy	\$4,447,001	3%	\$3,472,099	2%	\$5,037,270	4%
Community Improvement/Capacity Building	\$51,072,772	38%	\$51,258,289	36%	\$44,320,442	32%
Philanthropy & Voluntarism	\$54,905,166	41%	\$60,927,566	43%	\$62,128,224	44%
Public Affairs/Society Benefit: Multipurpose	\$15,999,357	12%	\$17,847,780	13%	\$16,694,812	12%
Science & Technology Research Institutes	\$8,424,492	6%	\$8,502,425	6%	\$11,173,747	8%
Social Science Research Institutes	\$102,173	<1%	\$242,794	<1%	\$750,500	1%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Religion

- Three percent of the giving – \$31.2 million – went to religion in 2007.
- Funding for religion in Minnesota grew 12 percent in 2007, faster than the 9-percent growth rate of the sample as a whole.
- Funding for religion has long been a slightly larger portion of overall giving in Minnesota than nationally; 2007 was no exception.
- Community/public foundations continued to provide the most funds for religion, due in part to giving via donor-advised funds.

The Religion category includes grants to worship and faith-based organizations, such as churches, synagogues and religious associations.

Trends in Religion Grantmaking

Grantmakers in Minnesota gave \$31.2 million to religion in 2007, up from \$27.7 million in 2006 (see Table 12 and Figure AS). Funding for this subject area grew 12 percent from 2006 to 2007, faster than the 9 percent growth rate of the sample as a whole. The share of grant dollars in the sample dedicated to religion was 3 percent in 2007, in line with 2005 and 2006.

Minnesota grantmakers have historically given a higher share of their grant dollars to religion than have national grantmakers. Between 1994 and 2007, grantmakers in the state gave more than national grantmakers to religion in every year but 2005 (see Figure AT). In 2007, Minnesota grantmakers gave a 3-percent share of grant dollars to religion, while national grantmakers gave a 2-percent share to this major field.

Religion Funding by Grantmaker Type

For the fifth year in a row, community/public foundations provided the largest share of religion grant dollars, followed by corporate foundations and giving programs. All grantmaker types experienced growth in giving to religion between 2006 and 2007 (see Table 29 and Figure AU).

In Minnesota:

- Community/public foundations' giving to religion increased 10 percent, to \$14.9 million in 2007 from \$13.6 million in 2006. This represents 48 percent of the giving to this subject area. Community/public foundations' relatively high level of religion grantmaking is due in part to giving from donor-advised funds. A survey conducted by Independent Sector in 2001 found that individual donors give more to religion than any other area, and many do so through donor-advised funds at community/public foundations.
- Corporate foundations provided 30 percent of the grant dollars for religion, or \$9.2 million, an increase of 13 percent from 2006.

- Private foundations provided 23 percent of the grant dollars for religion, or \$7.1 million, an increase of 18 percent from 2006.

Trends in Religion Grantmaking by Subcategory

Consistent with previous years, the Christian subcategory received the largest share of religion grant dollars, at 76 percent, or \$23.7 million (see Table 30 and Figure AV). This represented a 7 percent increase from 2006.

Grantmaking to the Jewish subcategory continued to decrease – by 7 percent in 2007, following a 55 percent decrease in 2006. In 2006, this change was primarily due to changes in the Giving in Minnesota sample, as the grantmakers that gave primarily to this subcategory were not among the 100 largest grantmakers in the research sample.

The Buddhist, Hindu and Islamic subcategories each received less than a 1 percent share of grant dollars to this subject area.

Grantmaking to interfaith organizations and the other religion subcategory, which includes employee matching grants, fundraising by religious organizations for their operations, and interdisciplinary religious programs, experienced significant growth in 2007 – 73 percent and 50 percent, respectively. Because total giving to each of these areas is comparatively small, a modest increase in giving appears as a significant change in the growth rate.


Largest Religion Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest religion grantmakers in 2007 provided 59 percent of the funding. They are:

Religion Grant Recipients


The top five recipients of Minnesota's religion grant dollars in 2007 received 22 percent of the grant funds. They are:

Figure AS Minnesota Religion Grant Dollars, 1994-2007*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AT Share of Religion Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 1994-2007




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2009*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,339 larger foundations.

Table 29 Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$9,658,384	40%	\$5,985,259	22%	\$7,063,725	23%
Corporate	\$2,011,271	8%	\$8,191,067	30%	\$9,237,025	30%
Community	\$12,390,547	51%	\$13,566,418	49%	\$14,859,416	48%
Total	\$24,060,201	100%	\$27,742,744	100%	\$31,160,166	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.


Figure AU Share of Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AV Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 30 Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2005-2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Buddhist	\$0	NA	\$43,260	<1%	\$23,500	<1%
Christian	\$14,953,318	62%	\$22,204,546	80%	\$23,745,603	76%
Hindu	\$0	NA	\$0	NA	\$100,000	<1%
Interfaith Issues/Organizations	\$1,997,144	8%	\$581,113	2%	\$1,007,611	3%
Islamic	\$0	NA	\$0	NA	\$8,000	<1%
Jewish	\$4,362,714	18%	\$1,948,286	7%	\$1,815,423	6%
Other Religion	\$2,747,025	11%	\$2,965,539	11%	\$4,460,029	14%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area

The following section describes the geographic trends in grantmaking by the Giving in Minnesota sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state by grants paid. For this analysis, MCF coded grants based on the geographic areas served by the recipient organizations. Each geographic area is distinct and no grant is coded to more than one area.

The geographic service areas and their definitions follow:

- **Twin Cities metropolitan area:** grants made to a program or organization that serves one or more counties in the 7-county metro area, including Anoka, Carver, Hennepin, Ramsey, Washington, Scott and Dakota counties.
- **Greater Minnesota:** grants made to a program or organization that serves a specific city, county, or region within Minnesota other than the Twin Cities metro area but does not serve the entire state.
- **Minnesota statewide:** grants made to a program or organization that serves the entire state of Minnesota.
- **Areas outside Minnesota:** grants made to a program or organization that serves a state (excluding Minnesota) or group of states, but the organization does not serve or represent the entire nation.
- **National:** grants made to a program or organization that serves the entire nation. This includes national organizations that are headquartered in Minnesota.
- **International:** grants made to a program or organization that serves an area outside the United States.

Trends in Giving by Geographic Service Area

In 2007, grantmakers in the Giving in Minnesota sample gave 55 percent of their grant dollars, or \$499.8 million, to organizations serving Minnesota, similar to 2006 levels (see Table 32). The Twin Cities metro area received 32 percent of total grant dollars, or \$296.3 million; Greater Minnesota received 12 percent, or \$106 million; and Minnesota statewide received 11 percent, or \$97.5 million (see Figure AW).

Giving within Minnesota:

- Giving to Greater Minnesota grew fastest among all geographic areas, at 18 percent growth in 2007 since 2006, and bringing giving close to 2004 levels. Between 2004 and 2005, giving to Greater Minnesota experienced a decline of 26 percent, largely due to the one-time grant to Grand Itasca Hospital from Blandin Foundation in 2004, which was not repeated in 2005.
- Giving to the Twin Cities metro area grew 10 percent in 2007.
- Giving to the Minnesota statewide category grew 5 percent.

Giving outside Minnesota:

- Giving to organizations that serve areas outside Minnesota (defined as a state or group of states, but not the entire nation), increased by 12 percent from 2006, and captured 30 percent of the grant dollars – \$271.8 million – in 2007.
- Giving to organizations with a national service area decreased by 2 percent in 2007, to \$113 million, after significant growth of 48 percent from 2005 to 2006.
- Finally, giving to organizations with service areas outside the U.S. grew by 6 percent, to \$33.3 million.

Geographic Distribution by Grantmaker Type

Consistent with previous years, in 2007 community/public foundations and private foundations gave the largest share of their grant dollars to organizations serving Minnesota, with the largest portion of those funds going to organizations that serve the Twin Cities metro area (see Table 33 and Figure AX).


The share of community/public foundation grant dollars going to organizations that serve Minnesota increased in 2007 to 77 percent from 74 percent in 2006. Contributing to the overall growth was a 4-percentage-point increase in giving by community/public foundations to organizations serving Greater Minnesota.

Private foundations increased their share of grant dollars to organizations serving Minnesota by 1 percentage point to 66 percent in 2007. They shifted their grant dollars slightly within the state, however, increasing their share of giving to organizations serving the Twin Cities and decreasing slightly their share of giving to organizations serving Minnesota statewide.

Corporate foundations and giving programs gave the largest share of their grant dollars to organizations serving areas outside Minnesota (39 percent). Corporations based in Minnesota often divide their grantmaking between headquarters communities and other regions of the country or world where their customers, plants and/or offices are located. Organizations that serve the Twin Cities and organizations with a national service area captured the second largest shares of corporate grant dollars, at 23 percent each.

Corporate grantmakers continued to give slightly smaller shares of their grant dollars to all Minnesota service areas combined, declining to 34 percent in 2007, from 36 percent in 2006, and from 40 percent in 2005. The decrease in corporate funders' share of giving to the state was spread across the Twin Cities and statewide in 2007; corporate funding to organizations that serve Greater Minnesota grew by 1 percentage point.

Figure AW Minnesota Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area, 2007*



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 32 Minnesota Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area, 2004-2007*

	2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Twin Cities	\$278,145,607	34%	\$246,676,350	33%	\$268,660,578	32%	\$296,339,270	32%
Greater Minnesota	\$108,897,229	13%	\$80,547,786	11%	\$90,059,232	11%	\$105,973,304	12%
Minnesota Statewide	\$91,945,588	11%	\$103,327,133	14%	\$93,119,465	11%	\$97,460,419	11%
Minnesota Total	\$478,988,424	59%	\$430,551,269	58%	\$451,839,276	54%	\$499,772,993	55%
Areas Outside Minnesota	\$205,551,542	25%	\$209,934,474	28%	\$241,978,252	29%	\$271,805,109	30%
National	\$107,738,378	13%	\$77,723,102	10%	\$114,766,205	14%	\$113,011,171	12%
International	\$22,583,542	3%	\$28,427,834	4%	\$31,301,605	4%	\$33,298,723	4%


* All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 33 Share of Minnesota Grantmaking Dollars to Geographic Service Area by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2007*

	2005			2006			2007		
	Private	Corporate	Community/ Public	Private	Corporate	Community/ Public	Private	Corporate	Community/ Public
Twin Cities	34%	27%	49%	35%	24%	45%	37%	23%	45%
Greater Minnesota	14%	4%	16%	16%	3%	18%	16%	4%	22%
Minnesota Statewide	17%	10%	13%	14%	8%	11%	13%	7%	10%
Minnesota Total	65%	40%	78%	65%	36%	74%	66%	34%	77%
Areas Outside Minnesota	23%	40%	14%	25%	38%	14%	25%	39%	15%
National	8%	16%	5%	7%	23%	6%	6%	23%	3%
International	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Figure AX Share of Grant Dollars to Geographic Service Area by Grantmaker Type, 2007



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
 Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Grantmaking by Intended Beneficiary

This section is an analysis of trends in grantmaking by intended beneficiary for the Giving in Minnesota sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota. In addition to classifying grants using the NTEE system to identify subject areas, MCF applies the Foundation Center's supplemental Grants Classification System (GCS) codes (see Methodology, page 61) to identify major beneficiary groups (see Table 34), as well as types of support (see Table 36).

Grants are coded only to specific populations/groups when the intended beneficiary is clearly articulated in the grant description or is clear from the name and purpose of the recipient organization. Because many grants lack a detailed description of their purpose, the amount of funding reported here is likely to under-represent the amount of support grantmakers in Minnesota intend to benefit specific population groups.

In cases where more than one beneficiary group is indicated in the grant description, the grant is coded for multiple intended beneficiaries. For example, a grant intended to benefit African-American women will be coded for African Americans/blacks as well as for women and girls. MCF codes up to a maximum of three beneficiaries per grant. The majority of grants are not coded to more than one beneficiary; in 2007, only 3 percent of all grants in the sample were coded to multiple beneficiaries.

It is important to note that among the grants not coded for specific populations/groups are those that provide general support to institutions or programs that serve broad public interests (including, for example, community centers), and elementary and secondary schools, college scholarships and general recreational agencies (e.g., YMCAs).

Based on the criteria above, just over half (53 percent) of the 2007 grants in the Giving in Minnesota sample could be coded to a specific beneficiary group other than general public/unspecified.

Grantmaking Trends by Intended Beneficiary

Giving to programs serving the general public/unspecified beneficiary group received the largest share of grant dollars in 2007, at 47 percent (see Table 34).

In 2007, grantmakers gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve the following five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public category):

- Children and youth (22.2 percent, or \$209.4 million)
- Adults (9.2 percent, or \$86.8 million)
- Poor or economically disadvantaged (4.8 percent, or \$45.5 million)
- Native Americans/American Indians (3.3 percent, or \$31.3 million)
- Other named groups⁸ (3.2 percent, or \$30 million)

⁸ This category includes groups that are named in grant descriptions, such as artists, teachers, disaster victims, etc., but for which there is no specific category in the GCS codes.

In 2006, giving to organizations that serve the same groups listed above also received the largest shares of grant dollars.

Grantmaking by the sample to programs serving the following three beneficiary groups experienced significant growth in 2007, as compared to 2006: military/veterans; poor/economically disadvantaged; and aging/elderly/senior citizens.

- Giving to programs serving the military/veterans grew 266 percent, to \$1.6 million, from \$426,000. It is important to note that because overall giving to this beneficiary group is comparatively small, changes in giving appear as large percentage increases.
- Giving to programs serving the poor/economically disadvantaged grew 57 percent, to \$45.5 million, from \$28.9 million in 2006. Grants from The Saint Paul Foundation to Common Bond Communities for their capital campaign and from Northwest Area Foundation to its partnership organizations for poverty reduction and capacity building account for the majority of the change.
- Giving to programs serving the aging/elderly/senior citizens grew 50 percent, to \$18.6 million, from \$12.3 million in 2006. Capital grants from the Minnesota Community Foundation for Warroad Care Center and from Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation to Presbyterian Homes accounted for the majority of the change.

Grantmaking to programs serving the following five beneficiary groups experienced notable declines in 2007: migrant workers, single parents, people with HIV/AIDS, crime/abuse victims, and ethnic/racial minorities - other specified groups.

- No grant dollars in the 2007 sample were devoted to organizations that serve migrant workers and single parents. As such, those beneficiary groups experienced 100 percent decreases in giving. It is important to note that in 2006, overall giving to organizations that serve each of these groups was very small – \$212,000 to migrant workers and \$38,000 to single parents.
- Following a 25 percent increase in 2006, grantmaking to organizations serving people with HIV/AIDS declined by 39 percent in 2007 to \$745,000.
- Grantmaking to organizations serving crime/abuse victims experienced a decline of 34 percent in 2007, also following a major increase in 2006 (260 percent), when The McKnight Foundation granted \$1 million to the state of Minnesota for a pilot program aimed at reducing child maltreatment.

-
- Grantmaking to organizations that serve ethnic and racial minorities - other specified groups has experienced a steady downward trend since 2005, decreasing by 27 percent in 2006 and 30 percent in 2007. This category includes grants going to Jewish programs or organizations. The decline in 2007 is due in part to changes in the research sample. The Harry Kay Charitable Foundation and the Sabes Family Foundation, which accounted for \$3 million in grantmaking in 2006 to Jewish programs, were not in the research sample in 2007.

Intended Beneficiary Trends by Grantmaker Type

Among all three grantmaker types – corporate, private, and community/public – each gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations serving three beneficiary groups – general public, children and youth, and adults, mirroring the trend in the sample overall (see Table 35).

In 2007, as in 2006, corporate grantmakers gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve the following five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public category):

- Children and youth (32.6 percent, or \$130.1 million)
- Adults (5.9 percent, or \$23.6 million)
- Native Americans/American Indians (4.9 percent, or \$19.5 million)
- Poor/economically disadvantaged (3.2 percent, or \$12.9 million)
- Other named groups (2.6 percent, or \$10.4 million)

In 2007, private foundations gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve the following five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public):

- Children and youth (14.3 percent, or \$57.7 million)
- Adults (12.9 percent, or \$51.8 million)
- Poor/economically disadvantaged (6.4 percent, or \$25.9 million)
- Other named groups (3.4 percent, or \$13.5 million)
- Native Americans/American Indians (2.6 percent, or \$10.5 million)

In 2006, giving by private foundations to organizations serving Native Americans/American Indians was not among the top 5; giving to women and girls was.

In 2007, community/public foundations gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve the following five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public):

- Children and youth (15.4 percent, or \$21.6 million)
- Adults (8.1 percent, or \$11.4 million)
- Aging/elderly/senior citizens (7.5 percent, or \$10.6 million)
- Poor/economically disadvantaged (4.8 percent, or \$6.7 million)
- Other named groups (4.3 percent, or \$6.1 million)

In 2006, organizations serving these same five beneficiary groups also received the largest shares of community/public grant dollars, but ranked in slightly different order.

Table 34 Minnesota Grantmaking by Intended Beneficiary, 2005–2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Adults	\$66,749,588	8.9%	\$80,097,901	9.3%	\$86,750,636	9.2%
African Americans/Blacks	\$4,932,841	0.7%	\$7,256,805	0.8%	\$6,626,964	0.7%
Aging/Elderly/Senior Citizens	\$10,148,191	1.3%	\$12,332,426	1.4%	\$18,551,485	2.0%
Asians/Pacific Islanders	\$6,778,628	0.9%	\$3,600,656	0.4%	\$3,653,557	0.4%
Children and Youth	\$118,531,415	15.8%	\$187,354,570	21.8%	\$209,381,743	22.2%
Crime/Abuse Victims	\$1,081,854	0.1%	\$3,889,568	0.5%	\$2,567,294	0.3%
People with Disabilities	\$4,413,868	0.6%	\$4,674,151	0.5%	\$5,830,791	0.6%
Ethnic/Racial Minorities - Other Specified Group(s)	\$9,622,216	1.3%	\$7,046,254	0.8%	\$4,938,614	0.5%
Ethnic/Racial Populations - General	\$3,440,089	0.5%	\$3,432,659	0.4%	\$2,501,300	0.3%
Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Transgender	\$821,882	0.1%	\$827,451	0.1%	\$820,590	0.1%
General Public/Unspecified	\$408,972,097	54.4%	\$424,865,003	49.4%	\$446,691,920	47.4%
Hispanics/Latinos	\$7,128,751	0.9%	\$8,022,948	0.9%	\$7,202,534	0.8%
Homeless	\$3,592,332	0.5%	\$5,466,444	0.6%	\$5,860,199	0.6%
Immigrants/Newcomers/Refugees	\$5,739,274	0.8%	\$6,800,534	0.8%	\$6,334,382	0.7%
Men and Boys	\$3,202,783	0.4%	\$3,390,975	0.4%	\$3,784,245	0.4%
People with Mental/Emotional Disabilities	\$2,763,369	0.4%	\$3,818,762	0.4%	\$4,238,442	0.4%
Migrant Workers	\$302,100	<0.1%	\$211,756	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Military/Veterans	\$676,015	0.1%	\$425,905	<0.1%	\$1,559,242	0.2%
Native Americans/American Indians	\$24,203,248	3.2%	\$22,756,616	2.6%	\$31,288,032	3.3%
Offenders/Ex-Offenders	\$686,648	0.1%	\$1,134,577	0.1%	\$1,090,095	0.1%
Other Minorities	\$24,380	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other Named Groups	\$31,264,288	4.2%	\$26,179,708	3.0%	\$29,955,576	3.2%
People with HIV/AIDS	\$975,360	0.1%	\$1,220,754	0.1%	\$745,052	0.1%
Poor/Economically Disadvantaged	\$25,529,851	3.4%	\$28,929,275	3.4%	\$45,492,780	4.8%
Single Parents	\$79,500	<0.1%	\$38,110	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Substance Abusers	\$688,470	0.1%	\$1,287,368	0.1%	\$1,025,099	0.1%
Women and Girls	\$9,866,306	1.3%	\$14,453,382	1.7%	\$15,123,409	1.6%
Total	\$752,215,342	100.0%	\$859,514,559	100.0%	\$942,013,981	100.0%

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 35 Intended Beneficiary by Grantmaker Type, 2006–2007*

	Private			Corporate			Community/Public			
	2006		2007	2006		2007	2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Adults	\$49,461,659	13.3%	\$51,801,529	\$20,260,271	5.6%	\$23,583,159	\$10,375,971	8.3%	\$11,365,948	8.1%
African Americans/Blacks	\$2,434,930	0.7%	\$3,158,995	\$2,922,746	0.8%	\$2,869,012	\$1,899,129	1.5%	\$598,957	0.4%
Aging/Elderly/Senior Citizens	\$5,876,319	1.6%	\$6,450,527	\$2,179,014	0.6%	\$1,528,493	\$4,277,093	3.4%	\$10,572,465	7.5%
Asians/Pacific Islanders	\$1,936,188	0.5%	\$2,130,216	\$1,187,590	0.3%	\$846,050	\$476,879	0.4%	\$677,291	0.5%
Children and Youth	\$54,444,024	14.7%	\$57,674,370	\$113,970,669	31.3%	\$130,130,224	\$18,939,877	15.2%	\$21,577,149	15.4%
Crime/Abuse Victims	\$2,618,611	0.7%	\$1,366,770	\$801,679	0.2%	\$690,325	\$469,278	0.4%	\$510,199	0.4%
People with Disabilities	\$3,902,315	1.1%	\$5,470,716	\$2,677,149	0.7%	\$2,527,540	\$1,913,450	1.5%	\$2,070,977	1.5%
Ethnic/Racial Minorities -										
Other Specified Group(s)	\$5,523,840	1.5%	\$3,543,709	\$1,081,500	0.3%	\$1,126,000	\$440,914	0.4%	\$268,905	0.2%
Ethnic/Racial Populations - General	\$1,120,592	0.3%	\$761,500	\$1,470,045	0.4%	\$1,151,800	\$842,023	0.7%	\$588,000	0.4%
Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Transgender	\$460,668	0.1%	\$418,995	\$139,050	<0.1%	\$211,700	\$227,733	0.2%	\$189,895	0.1%
General Public/Unspecified	\$190,063,758	51.3%	\$195,870,624	\$167,261,977	46.0%	\$179,839,826	\$67,539,268	54.0%	\$70,981,470	50.6%
Hispanics/Latinos	\$3,718,205	1.0%	\$2,839,908	\$3,359,635	0.9%	\$3,047,271	\$945,107	0.8%	\$1,315,355	0.9%
Homeless	\$3,860,756	1.0%	\$3,543,912	\$1,133,000	0.3%	\$1,564,430	\$472,688	0.4%	\$751,857	0.5%
Immigrants/Newcomers/Refugees	\$3,841,011	1.0%	\$4,021,072	\$1,001,865	0.3%	\$1,428,930	\$1,957,658	1.6%	\$884,380	0.6%
Men and Boys	\$1,592,226	0.4%	\$1,960,823	\$874,788	0.2%	\$901,983	\$923,962	0.7%	\$921,439	0.7%
Migrant Workers	\$148,320	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$63,436	0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Military/Veterans	\$91,155	<0.1%	\$676,600	\$244,110	0.1%	\$584,119	\$90,640	0.1%	\$298,523	0.2%
Native Americans/American Indians	\$5,626,354	1.5%	\$10,529,056	\$14,808,589	4.1%	\$19,527,779	\$2,321,673	1.9%	\$1,231,197	0.9%
Offenders/Ex-Offenders	\$881,707	0.2%	\$800,605	\$97,340	<0.1%	\$63,000	\$155,530	0.1%	\$226,490	0.2%
Other Minorities	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other Named Groups	\$13,578,367	3.7%	\$13,524,028	\$8,565,660	2.4%	\$10,379,288	\$4,035,680	3.2%	\$6,052,260	4.3%
People with HIV/AIDS	\$885,845	0.2%	\$493,522	\$288,559	0.1%	\$228,986	\$46,350	<0.1%	\$22,544	<0.1%
Poor/Economically Disadvantaged	\$10,852,043	2.9%	\$25,898,470	\$13,588,178	3.7%	\$12,921,595	\$4,489,054	3.6%	\$6,672,715	4.8%
Single Parents	\$38,110	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Substance Abusers	\$1,024,106	0.3%	\$802,050	\$67,465	<0.1%	\$102,500	\$195,797	0.2%	\$120,549	0.1%
Women and Girls	\$6,739,850	1.8%	\$8,490,513	\$5,818,781	1.6%	\$4,154,605	\$1,894,751	1.5%	\$2,478,291	1.8%
Total	\$370,720,959	100.0%	\$402,228,510	\$363,799,661	100.0%	\$399,408,615	\$124,993,939	100.0%	\$140,376,856	100.0%

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Grantmaking by Support Type

This section describes trends and patterns in grantmaking by support type for the Giving in Minnesota sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state by grants paid. MCF applies the Foundation Center's Grants Classification System (GCS) to code support type across five broad categories and 39 subcategories. MCF only codes support types that are articulated clearly in the grant description. In 2007, foundations reported more complete data on their giving by support type than in 2006. As a result, MCF was able to code 89 percent of the Giving in Minnesota sample's grants by support type in 2007.

Grantmaking Trends by Support Type

Minnesota grantmakers continued to devote the largest share of their grant dollars – 55.3 percent, or \$453.7 million – to program support. This share is similar to that devoted to program support in 2006 (see Table 36).

The share of grant dollars for general support continued to decline in 2007, to 20.9 percent. Shares of giving to this category have declined every year since 2004, when they were at 29 percent. Most of the decline has been in the general/operating support subcategory.

Giving for capital support grew in 2007 to 14.9 percent, or \$122.3 million, from \$89.6 million in 2006.

Support Type Funding by Grantmaker Type

In 2007, all grantmaker types – private, community/public and corporate – gave their largest share of grant dollars to fund program support, followed by general support and capital support (see Table 37).

In 2007, private foundations provided the following types of support:

- Giving to program support increased slightly, to \$199.4 million.
- Giving to general support increased to \$82.2 million from \$70.9 million in 2006.
- Giving to capital support increased to \$63.4 million from \$53.9 million in 2006. About 11 percent went to capital campaigns, 2.5 percent to endowment funds, and 2 percent to building/renovation.
- Giving to student aid decreased slightly in 2007, to \$22.5 million, as did the share, to 6 from 7 percent.
- Giving to other was about the same in 2007 as 2006 – \$7.7 million or a 2.1 percent share of private grant dollars. The majority of the funds in this category went to research and program evaluation.

In 2007, corporate grantmakers provided the following types of support:

- Giving to program support increased slightly, to \$202.8 million, but the share of funding remained the same year-over-year.
- Giving to general support declined slightly, to \$55.2 million, as did the share of funding – 17 percent. About 11 percent was devoted to the general operating support subcategory, while 6 percent was devoted to annual campaigns.
- Giving to capital support increased to \$33.9 million, a 10 percent share.
- Giving to student aid and the share of funds for student aid both increased slightly – to \$14.5 million, or 4.5 percent of the share corporate grant dollars.
- Giving to other increased – to \$18.9 million from \$13.2 million the previous year. This was largely due to an increase in employee matching gifts, as well as increased giving to research.

In 2007, community/public foundations provided the following types of support:

- Program support increased to \$51.5 million in 2007 from \$25.8 million in 2006, capturing 43 percent of the share of grant dollars given by community/public.
- Grant dollars to general support increased, to \$33.8 million from \$25.8 million in 2006; the share of dollars devoted to general support was 28 percent.
- Both dollars and shares for capital support increased to \$25 million in 2007 from \$7.3 million in 2006, representing 21 percent of the share of community/public grant dollars.
- Funds for student aid support increased to \$7.5 million, or 6.2 percent of the share of community/public funds.
- Both dollars and shares for other decreased significantly, to \$2.3 million, or 2 percent of the share of community/public funds, from \$5.0 million in 2006, or 7.2 percent. This change was largely due to a decrease in funding for research.

Table 36 Minnesota Grantmaking by Support Type, 2005–2007*

	2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
General Support	\$152,777,283	25.1%	\$154,098,900	22.0%	\$171,221,041	20.9%
Annual Campaign	\$26,589,489	4.4%	\$25,351,154	3.6%	\$26,013,688	3.2%
General/Operating Support	\$125,684,648	20.6%	\$128,712,726	18.4%	\$145,207,353	17.7%
Income Development	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Management Development	\$503,145	0.1%	\$35,020	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Capital Support	\$84,816,013	13.9%	\$89,596,828	12.8%	\$122,306,066	14.9%
Building/Renovation	\$16,051,645	2.6%	\$10,082,308	1.4%	\$11,934,410	1.5%
Capital Campaign	\$54,533,649	7.6%	\$71,099,352	10.1%	\$91,963,950	11.2%
Collections Acquisition	\$403,564	0.1%	\$750,458	0.1%	\$269,137	<0.1%
Computer Systems and Equipment	\$1,002,287	0.2%	\$1,678,877	0.2%	\$2,925,299	0.4%
Debt Reduction	\$265,000	<0.1%	\$20,600	<0.1%	\$75,000	<0.1%
Endowment Funds	\$9,461,882	1.6%	\$3,197,432	0.5%	\$11,468,623	1.4%
Equipment	\$3,097,986	0.5%	\$2,767,801	0.4%	\$3,669,647	0.4%
Land Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Program Support	\$309,987,916	50.9%	\$389,937,433	55.7%	\$453,744,706	55.3%
Conferences/Seminars	\$4,175,817	0.7%	\$7,455,722	1.1%	\$6,536,708	0.8%
Curriculum Development	\$1,583,121	0.3%	\$2,041,575	0.3%	\$1,623,476	0.2%
Exhibitions	\$2,046,632	0.3%	\$1,556,552	0.2%	\$2,748,502	0.3%
Faculty/Staff Development	\$11,656,304	1.9%	\$8,481,716	1.2%	\$9,091,343	1.1%
Film/Video/Radio Production	\$31,800	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Performance/Production Costs	\$7,818,399	1.3%	\$10,459,107	1.5%	\$10,200,590	1.2%
Professorships	\$222,600	<0.1%	\$50,470	<0.1%	\$100,000	<0.1%
Program Support/Program Development	\$281,362,609	46.2%	\$357,736,438	51.1%	\$421,434,738	51.3%
Publication	\$442,974	0.1%	\$898,222	0.1%	\$588,384	0.1%
Seed Money	\$647,660	0.1%	\$1,257,630	0.2%	\$1,420,965	0.2%
Student Aid Funds	\$41,852,566	6.9%	\$40,951,072	5.8%	\$44,529,969	5.4%
Awards/Prizes/Competitions	\$2,933,312	0.5%	\$8,321,688	1.2%	\$9,162,770	1.1%
Fellowship Funds	\$5,573,362	0.9%	\$8,431,819	1.2%	\$9,673,870	1.2%
Fellowships - To Individuals	\$12,019,658	2.0%	\$30,900	<0.1%	\$170,000	<0.1%
Internship Funds	\$86,920	<0.1%	\$311,544	0.2%	\$398,955	<0.1%
Scholarship Funds	\$19,394,788	3.2%	\$21,871,379	3.1%	\$23,553,942	2.9%
Scholarships - To Individuals	\$1,844,526	0.3%	\$1,983,741	0.3%	\$1,570,432	0.2%
Student Aid	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other	\$19,557,863	3.2%	\$25,968,478	3.7%	\$28,966,947	3.5%
Emergency Funds	\$0	0.0%	\$155,338	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Employee Matching Gifts	\$5,914,078	1.0%	\$10,968,635	1.6%	\$14,927,624	1.8%
Employee-Related Scholarships	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,000	<0.1%
Foundation-Administered Programs	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other Grants to Individuals	\$672,404	0.1%	\$64,890	<0.1%	\$51,000	<0.1%
Officers and Trustees Discretionary Grants	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Program Evaluation	\$2,192,982	0.4%	\$1,621,343	0.2%	\$2,866,621	0.3%
Program-Related Investments/Loans	\$1,198,269	0.2%	\$416,963	0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Research	\$7,307,227	1.2%	\$10,244,292	1.5%	\$10,621,162	1.3%
Technical Assistance	\$2,272,904	0.4%	\$2,497,018	0.4%	\$498,540	0.1%
Total	\$608,991,641	100%	\$700,552,712	100%	\$820,768,729	100%
Unknown	\$176,238,904		\$139,332,627		\$100,249,267	

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Table 37 Support Type Funding by Grantmaker Type, 2006–2007*

	Private			Corporate			Community/Public			
	2006			2006			2006			
	Grant Dollars	Share	2007	Grant Dollars	Share	2007	Grant Dollars	Share	2007	
General Support	\$70,889,113	20.9%	\$82,195,065	\$57,360,684	19.7%	\$55,240,779	\$25,849,104	37.1%	\$33,785,197	28.1%
Annual Campaign	\$3,197,329	0.9%	\$2,151,706	\$18,724,282	6.4%	\$19,849,694	\$3,429,543	4.9%	\$4,012,288	3.3%
General/Operating Support	\$67,679,424	19.9%	\$80,043,359	\$38,636,401	13.3%	\$35,391,085	\$22,396,901	32.2%	\$29,772,909	24.8%
Income Development	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Management Development	\$12,360	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$22,660	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Capital Support	\$53,867,391	15.9%	\$63,350,082	\$28,389,819	9.7%	\$33,934,642	\$7,339,618	10.5%	\$25,021,342	20.8%
Building/Renovation	\$7,170,426	2.1%	\$8,030,557	\$1,930,391	0.7%	\$1,727,275	\$981,491	1.4%	\$2,176,578	1.8%
Capital Campaign	\$42,141,224	12.4%	\$42,412,779	\$23,440,081	8.0%	\$29,133,020	\$5,518,047	7.9%	\$20,418,151	17.0%
Collections Acquisition	\$487,293	<0.1%	\$156,400	\$239,990	<0.1%	\$13,000	\$23,175	<0.1%	\$99,737	<0.1%
Computer Systems and Equipment	\$899,639	0.3%	\$1,959,260	\$725,884	0.2%	\$703,910	\$53,354	0.1%	\$262,129	0.2%
Debt Reduction	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$20,600	<0.1%	\$75,000	<0.1%
Endowment Funds	\$1,791,728	0.5%	\$9,276,906	\$1,024,335	0.4%	\$1,411,260	\$381,369	0.5%	\$780,457	0.7%
Equipment	\$1,377,080	0.4%	\$1,514,180	\$1,029,138	0.4%	\$946,177	\$361,583	0.5%	\$1,209,290	1.0%
Land Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Program Support	\$182,671,502	53.8%	\$199,449,039	\$181,461,989	62.3%	\$202,798,682	\$25,803,942	37.1%	\$51,496,985	42.9%
Conferences/Seminars	\$2,667,641	0.8%	\$2,670,217	\$3,716,966	1.3%	\$3,481,701	\$1,071,115	1.5%	\$384,790	0.3%
Curriculum Development	\$870,531	0.3%	\$920,726	\$1,163,834	0.4%	\$553,500	\$7,210	<0.1%	\$149,250	<0.1%
Exhibitions	\$617,897	0.2%	\$429,150	\$867,585	0.3%	\$1,319,852	\$71,070	0.1%	\$999,500	0.8%
Faculty/Staff Development	\$6,588,117	1.9%	\$7,520,053	\$1,635,107	0.6%	\$946,853	\$258,492	0.4%	\$624,437	0.5%
Film/Video/Radio Production	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Performance/Production Costs	\$1,484,894	0.4%	\$1,060,750	\$8,735,830	3.0%	\$8,453,746	\$238,383	0.3%	\$686,094	0.6%
Professorships	\$30,900	<0.1%	\$0	\$19,570	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$100,000	0.1%
Program Support/Program Development	\$169,005,571	49.8%	\$185,946,693	\$164,633,964	56.5%	\$187,715,122	\$24,096,903	34.6%	\$47,772,923	39.8%
Publication	\$275,010	0.1%	\$166,450	\$591,282	0.2%	\$227,908	\$31,930	<0.1%	\$194,026	<0.1%
Seed Money	\$1,130,940	0.3%	\$735,000	\$97,850	<0.1%	\$100,000	\$28,840	<0.1%	\$585,965	<0.1%
Student Aid Funds	\$24,408,836	7.2%	\$22,502,218	\$10,981,172	3.8%	\$14,545,795	\$5,561,064	8.0%	\$7,481,956	6.2%
Awards/Prizes/Competitions	\$5,349,820	1.6%	\$5,108,500	\$2,907,156	1.0%	\$3,640,749	\$64,712	0.1%	\$413,521	0.3%
Fellowship Funds	\$5,662,651	1.7%	\$5,921,886	\$2,678,013	0.9%	\$3,192,520	\$91,155	0.1%	\$559,464	0.5%
Fellowships - To Individuals	\$0	0.0%	\$170,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$30,900	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Internship Funds	\$251,804	0.1%	\$319,455	\$50,470	<0.1%	\$67,500	\$9,270	<0.1%	\$12,000	<0.1%
Scholarship Funds	\$13,130,812	3.9%	\$10,252,974	\$5,250,563	1.8%	\$7,606,026	\$3,490,005	5.0%	\$5,694,942	4.7%
Scholarships - To Individuals	\$13,749	<0.1%	\$729,403	\$94,969	<0.1%	\$39,000	\$1,875,022	2.7%	\$802,029	0.7%
Student Aid	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other	\$7,745,340	2.3%	\$7,758,576	\$13,183,612	4.5%	\$18,932,028	\$5,039,526	7.2%	\$2,276,343	1.9%
Emergency Funds	\$9,759	<0.1%	\$0	\$145,579	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Employee Matching Gifts	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$10,583,479	3.6%	\$14,927,624	\$385,156	0.6%	\$0	0.0%
Employee Related Scholarships	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$2,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Foundation-Administered Programs	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other Grants to Individuals	\$0	0.0%	\$41,000	\$47,380	<0.1%	\$0	\$17,510	<0.1%	\$10,000	<0.1%
Officers and Trustees Discretionary Grants	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Program Evaluation	\$1,066,327	0.3%	\$2,328,121	\$359,316	0.1%	\$490,000	\$195,700	0.3%	\$48,500	<0.1%
Program-Related Investments/Loans	\$104,030	<0.1%	\$0	\$296,846	0.1%	\$0	\$16,087	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Research	\$4,520,190	1.3%	\$5,138,655	\$1,624,837	0.6%	\$3,342,664	\$4,099,265	5.9%	\$2,139,843	1.8%
Technical Assistance	\$2,045,034	0.6%	\$250,800	\$126,175	<0.1%	\$169,740	\$325,809	0.5%	\$78,000	0.1%
Total	\$339,582,183	100.0%	\$375,254,980	\$291,377,275	100.0%	\$325,451,926	\$69,593,254	100.0%	\$120,061,823	100.0%

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota. Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition*.

Methodology

Data collection for Giving in Minnesota is a year-round process that involves multiple sources of information about grantmakers and grants in Minnesota and across the U.S. Data on the grants made by 100 of the largest grantmakers located in Minnesota for which MCF can obtain information (the Giving in Minnesota sample) are coded, based on the best available information provided by grantmakers, using the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) and the Grants Classification System (GCS). These two coding systems allow for categorization of grants by subject area, geographic service area, intended beneficiary, and support type.

Sources of Information

Information on overall Giving in Minnesota and on giving by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state comes from the following sources:

- Self-reporting by grantmakers to MCF on grants paid, assets and grant description. Sources include financial information provided to MCF for the Annual Rankings of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota; grants lists provided by the largest grantmakers; annual reports; and Minnesota Grantmakers Online (MGO), MCF's database of Minnesota grantmakers and grants.
- Annual 990 tax return forms filed by community/public foundations and 990-PF forms filed by private foundations and company-sponsored foundations, which report total grants, total assets and grant lists at the end of the organization's filing year. Sources include Minnesota Attorney General's Charity Search database and GuideStar's and the Foundation Center's 990 Finders.

Information on individual giving comes from the Internal Revenue Service's Statistics of Income, Individual Income and Tax Data, by State And Size of Adjusted Gross Income, for Tax Year 2007, which reports in aggregate on the 906,845 tax returns with charitable deductions filed in Minnesota for that year.

Information on the percentage of charitable givers in the United States who itemize their tax returns comes from *Giving USA*, a publication of Giving USA Foundation, researched and written by the Center on Philanthropy at Indiana University (2008 edition).

Information on national and international giving trends comes from *Foundation Yearbook: Facts and Figures on Private and Community Foundations, 2009 Edition*, published by the Foundation Center.

Information on individual donations to religion comes from *Giving and Volunteering in the United States 2001: Findings from a National Survey, Independent Sector*

Information on grant dollars flowing into Minnesota from non-Minnesota-based foundations comes from the Foundation Center's 2009 research data set used for *Foundation Giving Trends: Update on Funding Priorities, 2009 Edition*.

Sample

In order to provide detailed information on trends in Giving in Minnesota, MCF analyzes the giving of 100 of the largest grantmakers located in the state, by grants paid, for which MCF can obtain information. The 100 grantmakers in the sample in 2007 include 50 private foundations, 34 corporate foundations and giving programs, and 16 community/public foundations (see Appendix i).

The sampling base for *Giving in Minnesota, 2009 Edition* includes 27,243 grants of \$2,000 or more awarded by the 100 grantmakers in the sample. These grants totaled \$917.9 million, represented 85 percent of the total giving of the top 100⁹, and 70 percent of all grantmaking in the state. Eighty-five percent of the grantmakers in this year's sample also appeared in last year's sample.

In reports prior to Giving in Minnesota, 2007 edition (which covered grantmaking in 2005), all grantmakers that awarded \$1 million or more in grants were included in the sample for analysis. In 2007, the criteria were changed to include the top 100 grantmakers in Minnesota, in order to keep the number of grantmakers in the sample consistent across years. Information presented in this report for 2003 and 2004 was recalculated to reflect only the 100 largest grantmakers for those years (and thus the 2003 and 2004 information differs from that presented in reports before the 2007 edition of Giving in Minnesota).

⁹ Total giving by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state was \$1.1 billion in 2007..

Grant Coding

MCF applies two coding systems to the grants of \$2,000 and above made by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state: the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) coding system, which was developed by the National Center for Charitable Statistics (NCCS) in 1987, and the Grants Classification System (GCS), which was developed by the Foundation Center in the late 1980s.

The NTEE is used widely by the IRS, as well as philanthropy research organizations, such as the Foundation Center, to classify nonprofit organization activities. The system classifies 26 major subcategories (A-Z) (see Appendix x) under 10 basic subject areas (see Table 38). The 26 subcategories include additional subfields for services, disciplines or types of institutions unique to that field.

For coding, in cases where an organization may have more than one purpose, the code is limited to the one listed first on a nonprofit's IRS Form 990. MCF codes each grant by the grant description's subject purpose, when available. When no grant description is provided, the grant is coded based on the recipient organization's primary purpose.

To further analyze trends by intended beneficiaries and support type, MCF applies supplemental Grants Classification System (GCS) codes to grants in the sample. These codes have been developed and maintained by the Foundation Center. This additional coding allows MCF to analyze of grantmaking to beneficiary groups (see Table 39) and by type of support (see Table 36). The detailed beneficiary group codes in Table 39 are collapsed for reporting purposes into Table 34.

Time Period

To ensure consistency in the Giving in Minnesota report, MCF analyzes data that cover the same time period each year. The 2009 report describes Minnesota grantmaking trends for the year 2007, which MCF defines as the fiscal year of any Minnesota foundation or corporate giving program that ends between June 1, 2007 and May 31, 2008. Grants reported on during this time period may have been made anytime between June 1, 2006, and May 31, 2008, depending on the grantor's fiscal year.

The grant dollar amounts reported for the Giving in Minnesota sample reflect total grant dollars paid during a single year.

Limitations

The sample trends presented in Giving in Minnesota are meant to suggest grantmaking patterns in Minnesota. Although giving by the sample represents a large portion of Minnesota's grant dollars, it is not a comprehensive picture of all giving in the state. Grants of less than \$2,000 have been excluded, as have all grants made by smaller foundations, grants made directly to individuals, and grants made by private operating foundations.

Some of the data sources have certain limitations:

- The annual 990 and 990-PF tax returns often provide minimal information about grants and grant recipients. In particular, these tax returns may lack detailed programmatic descriptions, including the purpose of the grant, the address or geographic service area of grant recipients, the type of support provided (operating vs. programmatic, etc.), and the intended beneficiary of the grant. While MCF's staff attempts to locate this information online, it is often difficult or impossible to obtain. As a result, the data obtained from tax returns are, in general, not as complete as the data provided to MCF through self-reporting.
- The level of detail and specificity in self-reported data varies across grantmakers, depending on what they choose to provide. In general, self-reported data tends to be more complete than that found on tax returns.
- The data on individual giving in Minnesota are estimated based on information found in *Giving USA* (2008 edition) and data from the IRS; not all individuals who make charitable contributions itemize their tax returns.
- Information from corporate giving programs is voluntarily self-reported to MCF; a corporation is only required to report to the IRS contributions made through a corporate foundation (if it has one).

Table 38 National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE)

Subject Area	Major Groups Included
Arts, Culture & Humanities	A
Education	B
Environment/Animals	C, D
Health	E, F, G, H
Human Services	I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P
International Affairs	Q
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	R, S, T, U, V, W
Religion	X
Mutual Membership	Y
Unknown/Unclassified	Z

Table 39 Grants Classification System (GCS) Beneficiary Group Codes

Code	Description
A0	Not specified/general public (grants/organizations that could benefit everyone, not a specific population)
A1, A2, A3, A4	Infants/toddlers, children/youth, children, youth
A5	Adults
A6	Aging
A7	Young adults
D0	Disabilities, people with
D1	Physically disabled
D2	Blind/visually impaired
D3	Deaf/hearing impaired
D4	Mentally disabled
E0	Minorities (grants/organizations that are described as working with ethnic/racial populations, but not a specific group)
E1	Asians/Pacific Islanders
E2	African Americans/Blacks
E3	Hispanics/Latinos
E4	Native Americans/American Indians
E5	Indigenous people
E9	Other racial, ethnic minorities
F0	Women
F1	Infants/toddlers, female
F2, F3, F4	Girls
F5	Adults, women
F6	Aging, female
F7	Young adults, female
M0	Men
M1	Infants/toddlers, male
M2, M3, M4	Boys
M5	Adults, men
M6	Aging, male
M7	Young adults, male
N0	Other named groups (groups that have a commonality that isn't linked to ethnic/racial or minority status)
N1	Military/veterans
N2	Offenders/ex-offenders
N3	Substance abusers
N4	AIDS, people with
N5	Single parents
N6	Crime/abuse victims
N7	Terminal illness, people with
O0	Minorities (groups that are linked through minority status that isn't linked to ethnic/racial)
O1	LGBTQ
O2	Immigrants/refugees
P0	Economically disadvantaged
P1	Homeless
P2	Migrant workers

Appendices

Appendix i: *Giving in Minnesota* Sample

The analysis described in the *Giving in Minnesota* Sample Trends of this report is based primarily on a detailed analysis of the 2007 grantmaking activity of the following 100 Minnesota grantmakers. The list of grantmakers used for last year's report is also included as a reference.

2007 Private Foundations (Family and Independent)

Alliss Educational Foundation
Marshall H. and Nellie Alworth
Memorial Fund
Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen
Foundation
Hugh J. Andersen Foundation
Andreas Foundation
L. and N. Andreas Foundation
The Barry Foundation
James Ford Bell Foundation
The Beverly Foundation
F.R. Bigelow Foundation
Blandin Foundation
Douglass Brandenburg Family Foundation
Bush Foundation
Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation
Martin and Esther Capp Foundation
The Curtis L. Carlson Family Foundation
Carolyn Foundation
Cloverfields Foundation
Edelstein Family Foundation
George Family Foundation
Greycoach Foundation
Hardenbergh Foundation
Healthier Minnesota Community
Clinic Fund
HRK Foundation
Jerome Foundation
Kopp Family Foundation
Steven C. Leuthold Family Foundation
Ted and Roberta Mann Foundation
Mardag Foundation
The McKnight Foundation
Mithun Family Foundation
Northwest Area Foundation
Ordean Foundation
I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation,
Incorporated
Otto Bremer Foundation
Pax Christi Foundation
Thomas J. Petters Family Foundation

The Phileona Foundation
Edward and Leslye Phillips Family
Foundation
The Jay and Rose Phillips Family
Foundation
Carl and Eloise Pohlad Family Foundation
Prospect Creek Foundation
Margaret Rivers Fund
Robina Foundation
Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation
Wallin Foundation
The Wasie Foundation
WEM Foundation
Wessner Foundation
A. H. Zeppa Family Foundation

2006 Private Foundations (Family and Independent)

Acorn Foundation
Alliss Educational Foundation
Marshall H. and Nellie Alworth
Memorial Fund
Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen
Foundation
Hugh J. Andersen Foundation
Andreas Foundation
The Barry Foundation
F.R. Bigelow Foundation
Blandin Foundation
Otto Bremer Foundation
Bush Foundation
Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation
The Curtis L. Carlson Family Foundation
Carolyn Foundation
Albert W. Cherne Foundation
Cloverfields Foundation
Edelstein Family Foundation
George Family Foundation
Greycoach Foundation
Mary Livingston Griggs and Mary Griggs
Burke Foundation
Hardenbergh Foundation
Healthier Minnesota Community
Clinic Fund

Hiawatha Education Foundation
The Hormel Foundation
HRK Foundation
Jerome Foundation
The Harry Kay Charitable Foundation
Kopp Family Foundation
Ted and Roberta Mann Foundation
Mardag Foundation
William W. and Nadine M. McGuire
Family Foundation
The McKnight Foundation
Mithun Family Foundation
The Nelson Family Foundation
Northwest Area Foundation
Oakleaf Foundation
Ordean Foundation
I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation,
Incorporated
Pax Christi Foundation
Thomas J. Petters Family Foundation
The Jay and Rose Phillips Family
Foundation
Carl and Eloise Pohlad Family Foundation
Prospect Creek Foundation
Margaret Rivers Fund
The Sabes Family Foundation
Sumasil Foundation
Tozer Foundation, Inc.
W M Foundation
Wallin Foundation
The Wasie Foundation
WEM Foundation
Wessner Foundation

2007 Corporate Foundations & Corporate Giving Programs

3M/3M Foundation
ADC Foundation
Allianz Life Insurance Company of
North America
Ameriprise Financial, Inc.
Andersen Corporate Foundation
Best Buy Children's Foundation

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota
Foundation/Blue Cross and Blue
Shield of Minnesota
Cargill Foundation & Citizenship Fund
Deluxe Corporation Foundation/
Deluxe Corporation
The Dorsey & Whitney Foundation
Ecolab Foundation and Corporation
Federated Insurance Foundation, Inc.
General Mills Community Action
The Graco Foundation
Hormel Foods Corporation Charitable Trust
Mayo Clinic
The Medtronic Foundation
Opus Foundation
The Pentair Foundation
Piper Jaffray
RBC Foundation - USA
Regis Foundation
St. Jude Medical Foundation
Securian Foundation/Securian Financial
Group
Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community
SUPERVALU Foundation
Target
TCF Foundation
Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation
Travelers Corporation and Travelers
Foundation
U.S. Bancorp Foundation
United Health Foundation
Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota
Xcel Energy Foundation/Corporate
Citizenship

**2006 Corporate Foundations &
Corporate Giving Programs**

3M/3M Foundation
ADC Foundation
Ameriprise Financial, Inc.
Andersen Corporate Foundation (fka The
Bayport Foundation of Andersen
Corporation)
Best Buy Children's Foundation
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota
Foundation/Blue Cross and Blue Shield
of Minnesota
Cargill Foundation & Citizenship Fund
Deluxe Corporation Foundation/
Deluxe Corporation
The Dorsey & Whitney Foundation
Ecolab Foundation and Corporation
Federated Insurance Foundation, Inc.

General Mills Community Action
The Graco Foundation
Hormel Foods Corporation Charitable Trust
Marquette Financial Companies Community
Support Program
Mayo Clinic
The Medtronic Foundation
The Pentair Foundation
Piper Jaffray
RBC Foundation - USA
Regis Foundation
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi, L.L.P.
Private Foundation
St. Jude Medical Foundation
Securian Foundation/Securian
Financial Group
Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community
Target
The Toro Company Giving Program
Travelers Corporation and Travelers
Foundation
TCF Foundation
Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation
U.S. Bancorp Foundation
United Health Foundation
Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota
Xcel Energy Foundation/Corporate
Citizenship

**2007 Community/
Public Foundations**

American Center for Philanthropy
Catholic Community Foundation in the
Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis
Central Minnesota Community Foundation
ClearWay Minnesota
Duluth Superior Area Community
Foundation
Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation
Fidelis Foundation
The Hormel Foundation
Lutheran Community Foundation
The Minneapolis Foundation
Minnesota Community Foundation
Minnesota Early Learning Foundation
Rochester Area Foundation
St. Croix Valley Community Foundation
The Saint Paul Foundation
West Central Initiative

**2006 Community/
Public Foundations**

American Center for Philanthropy
Catholic Community Foundation in the
Archdiocese of Saint Paul and
Minneapolis
Central Minnesota Community Foundation
ClearWay Minnesota (fka Minnesota
Partnership for Action Against
Tobacco)
Duluth Superior Area Community
Foundation
Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation
Fidelis Foundation
Lutheran Community Foundation
Medica Foundation
The Minneapolis Foundation
Minnesota Community Foundation
Rochester Area Foundation
The Saint Paul Foundation
West Central Initiative

Appendix ii: Top 50 Minnesota Grantmakers by Grants Paid, 2007

Foundation/Corporate Giving Program	Grants/PRI/Corporate Giving	Fiscal Year End
1. The McKnight Foundation	\$93,643,806	12/31/07
2. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$64,473,353	5/31/08
3. The Saint Paul Foundation ¹	\$59,565,623	12/31/07
4. The Minneapolis Foundation ²	\$49,540,078	3/31/08
5. Medtronic Foundation and Corporation	\$47,476,419	4/30/08
6. Cargill Foundation and Cargill Incorporated	\$44,021,000	12/31/2007 & 5/31/2008
7. Bush Foundation	\$38,567,884	12/31/07
8. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$36,479,528	12/31/07
9. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$32,111,712	12/31/07
10. United Health Foundation and UnitedHealth Group ³	\$30,952,513	12/31/07
11. Best Buy Children's Foundation and Corporation	\$30,800,000	2/29/08
12. Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community	\$26,051,471	9/30/07
13. 3M Foundation and Corporation	\$25,054,000	12/31/07
14. Northwest Area Foundation	\$24,062,900	3/31/08
15. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation	\$23,520,415	12/31/07
16. U.S. Bancorp Foundation	\$19,856,000	12/31/07
17. Blandin Foundation	\$19,301,844	12/31/07
18. Travelers Corporation, Travelers Foundation and Travelers Connecticut Foundation	\$16,757,821	12/31/07
19. Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota ⁴	\$10,600,000	12/31/07
20. The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation	\$9,481,645	12/31/07
21. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$8,849,176	12/31/07
22. James Ford Bell Foundation	\$8,046,445	12/31/07
23. Carl and Eloise Pohlada Family Foundation	\$7,727,589	12/31/07
24. F.R. Bigelow Foundation	\$7,306,568	12/31/07
25. Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	\$7,159,000	12/31/07
26. Xcel Energy Foundation and Corporate Citizenship	\$7,046,457	12/31/07
27. Ecolab Foundation and Corporation	\$6,991,933	12/31/07
28. St. Jude Medical Foundation	\$6,628,035	12/31/07
29. WEM Foundation	\$6,528,409	12/31/07
30. Central Minnesota Community Foundation	\$6,269,069	6/30/07
31. Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis	\$5,971,530	6/30/07
32. L. and N. Andreas Foundation	\$5,338,935	12/31/07
33. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$5,250,495	12/31/07
34. Alliss Educational Foundation	\$4,650,000	12/31/07
35. Hugh J. Andersen Foundation	\$4,475,907	2/29/08
36. West Central Initiative	\$4,461,873	6/30/07
37. I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation, Incorporated	\$4,389,779	12/31/07
38. The Curtis L. Carlson Family Foundation	\$4,388,551	12/31/07
39. Pax Christi Foundation	\$4,366,000	6/30/07
40. Robina Foundation	\$4,280,000	12/31/07
41. Thomas J. Petters Family Foundation	\$3,955,500	12/31/07
42. Hardenbergh Foundation	\$3,898,000	12/31/07
43. Rochester Area Foundation	\$3,820,000	12/31/07
44. Cloverfields Foundation	\$3,695,633	12/31/07
45. Andersen Corporate Foundation and Andersen Corporation	\$3,650,337	11/30/07
46. Healthier Minnesota Community Clinic Fund	\$3,556,921	12/31/07
47. ClearWay Minnesota	\$3,504,769	6/30/07
48. The Pentair Foundation	\$3,487,708	12/31/07
49. The Hormel Foundation	\$3,308,092	11/30/07
50. Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation	\$3,233,045	12/31/07

Target Foundation & Corporation reported combined cash and in-kind contributions of \$169 million for the fiscal year ending 2/2/08. A separate cash contribution total was not provided.

¹ The Saint Paul Foundation includes the Minnesota Community Foundation, J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

² The Minneapolis Foundation includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

³ United Health Foundation has additional direct charitable distributions in the form of mission-related programs and projects that amount to \$6,800,000.

⁴ Wells Fargo Foundation and Wells Fargo Bank (both based in California) total U.S. contributions: \$91,800,000.

Appendix iii: Top 50 Minnesota Foundations by Assets, 2007

Foundation	Assets	Fiscal Year End
1. The McKnight Foundation	\$2,316,874,275	12/31/07
2. The Saint Paul Foundation ¹	\$1,008,411,095	12/31/07
3. Bush Foundation	\$900,000,000	12/31/07
4. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$817,604,041	12/31/07
5. The Minneapolis Foundation ²	\$689,426,201	3/31/08
6. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$615,761,975	12/31/07
7. Blandin Foundation	\$472,839,298	12/31/07
8. Northwest Area Foundation	\$466,535,191	3/31/08
9. Charles K. Blandin Residuary Trust	\$406,640,352	12/31/07
10. The Hormel Foundation	\$320,382,000	11/30/07
11. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$221,348,732	12/31/07
12. The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation	\$212,000,000	12/31/07
13. WEM Foundation	\$211,899,088	12/31/07
14. Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis	\$191,571,805	6/30/07
15. ClearWay Minnesota	\$178,594,993	6/30/07
16. F.R. Bigelow Foundation	\$175,171,520	12/31/07
17. J. A. Wedum Foundation	\$161,202,958	12/31/07
18. Cargill Foundation	\$112,565,180	12/31/07
19. Alliss Educational Foundation	\$111,576,030	12/31/07
20. The Curtis L. Carlson Family Foundation	\$106,965,657	12/31/07
21. I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation, Incorporated	\$105,000,000	12/31/07
22. Hugh J. Andersen Foundation	\$90,643,222	2/29/08
23. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation	\$87,509,000	12/31/07
24. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$85,662,443	12/31/07
25. Jerome Foundation	\$84,856,848	4/30/08
26. Andreas Foundation	\$84,570,407	11/30/07
27. Opus Foundation	\$76,778,994	12/31/07
28. Hardenbergh Foundation	\$75,449,071	12/31/07
29. The Phileona Foundation	\$68,759,539	9/30/07
30. Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation	\$68,518,890	12/31/07
31. Carl and Eloise Pohlada Family Foundation	\$64,203,717	12/31/07
32. Mardag Foundation	\$62,110,725	12/31/07
33. George Family Foundation	\$60,569,135	12/31/07
34. Peter J. King Family Foundation	\$60,000,000	12/31/07
35. Central Minnesota Community Foundation	\$59,676,872	6/30/07
36. Northland Foundation	\$59,409,859	6/30/07
37. Mithun Family Foundation	\$58,806,919	12/31/07
38. General Mills Community Action	\$57,937,864	5/31/08
39. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota Foundation	\$55,225,990	12/31/07
40. Andersen Corporate Foundation	\$54,706,589	11/30/07
41. The Sabes Family Foundation	\$53,435,411	12/31/07
42. Duluth Superior Area Community Foundation	\$53,178,588	12/31/07
43. Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation	\$50,380,063	12/31/07
44. Southwest Initiative Foundation	\$50,100,000	6/30/07
45. The Barry Foundation	\$49,448,025	12/31/07
46. Ted and Roberta Mann Foundation	\$49,142,754	12/31/07
47. Initiative Foundation	\$48,004,519	6/30/07
48. Douglass Brandenburg Family Foundation	\$46,428,123	12/31/07
49. Rochester Area Foundation	\$44,858,153	12/31/07
50. West Central Initiative	\$44,816,430	6/30/07

¹ The Saint Paul Foundation includes the Minnesota Community Foundation, J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

² The Minneapolis Foundation includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

Appendix iv: Top 25 Minnesota Private Foundations by Grants Paid, 2007

Foundation	Grants/PRI	Fiscal Year End
1. The McKnight Foundation	\$93,643,806	12/31/07
2. Bush Foundation	\$38,567,884	12/31/07
3. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$36,479,528	12/31/07
4. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$32,111,712	12/31/07
5. Northwest Area Foundation	\$24,062,900	3/31/08
6. Blandin Foundation	\$19,301,844	12/31/07
7. The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation	\$9,481,645	12/31/07
8. James Ford Bell Foundation	\$8,046,445	12/31/07
9. Carl and Eloise Pohlrad Family Foundation	\$7,727,589	12/31/07
10. F.R. Bigelow Foundation	\$7,306,568	12/31/07
11. WEM Foundation	\$6,528,409	12/31/07
12. L. and N. Andreas Foundation	\$5,338,935	12/31/07
13. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$5,250,495	12/31/07
14. Alliss Educational Foundation	\$4,650,000	12/31/07
15. Hugh J. Andersen Foundation	\$4,475,907	2/29/08
16. I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation, Incorporated	\$4,389,779	12/31/07
17. The Curtis L. Carlson Family Foundation	\$4,388,551	12/31/07
18. Pax Christi Foundation	\$4,366,000	6/30/07
19. Robina Foundation	\$4,280,000	12/31/07
20. Thomas J. Petters Family Foundation	\$3,955,500	12/31/07
21. Hardenbergh Foundation	\$3,898,000	12/31/07
22. Cloverfields Foundation	\$3,695,633	12/31/07
23. Healthier Minnesota Community Clinic Fund	\$3,556,921	12/31/07
24. Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation	\$3,028,255	12/31/07
25. George Family Foundation	\$2,951,045	12/31/07

Appendix v: Top 25 Minnesota Corporate Foundations & Giving Programs by Grants Paid, 2007

Foundation/Giving Program	Grants/PRIs/ Corporate Giving	Fiscal Year End
1. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$64,473,353	5/31/08
2. Medtronic Foundation and Corporation	\$47,476,419	4/30/08
3. Cargill Foundation and Cargill Incorporated	\$44,021,000	12/31/2007 & 5/31/2008
4. United Health Foundation and UnitedHealth Group ¹	\$30,952,513	12/31/07
5. Best Buy Children's Foundation and Corporation	\$30,800,000	2/29/08
6. 3M Foundation and Corporation	\$25,054,000	12/31/07
7. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation	\$23,520,415	12/31/07
8. U.S. Bancorp Foundation	\$19,856,000	12/31/07
9. Travelers Corporation, Travelers Foundation and Travelers Connecticut Foundation	\$16,757,821	12/31/07
10. Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota ²	\$10,600,000	12/31/07
11. Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	\$7,159,000	12/31/07
12. Xcel Energy Foundation and Corporate Citizenship	\$7,046,457	12/31/07
13. Ecolab Foundation and Corporation	\$6,991,933	12/31/07
14. St. Jude Medical Foundation	\$6,628,035	12/31/07
15. Andersen Corporate Foundation and Andersen Corporation	\$3,650,337	11/30/07
16. The Pentair Foundation	\$3,487,708	12/31/07
17. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota Foundation and Corporation	\$3,095,056	12/31/07
18. RBC Foundation – USA	\$3,050,919	10/31/07
19. The Graco Foundation	\$2,888,189	12/31/07
20. Deluxe Corporation Foundation/Deluxe Corporation	\$2,865,034	12/31/07
21. Opus Foundation	\$2,860,417	12/31/07
22. TCF Foundation	\$2,700,000	12/31/07
23. Mayo Clinic	\$2,500,000	12/31/07
24. Piper Jaffray	\$2,202,900	12/31/07
25. SUPERVALU Foundation	\$2,194,807	2/23/08

Target Foundation & Corporation reported combined cash and in-kind contributions of \$169 million for the fiscal year ending 2/2/08. A separate cash contribution total was not provided.

¹ United Health Foundation has additional direct charitable distributions in the form of mission-related programs and projects that amount to \$6,800,000.

² Wells Fargo Foundation and Wells Fargo Bank (both based in California) total U.S. contributions: \$91,800,000.

Appendix vi: Top 25 Minnesota Community/Public Foundations by Grants Paid, 2007

Foundation	Grants/PRI s	Fiscal Year End
1. The Saint Paul Foundation ¹	\$59,565,623	12/31/07
2. The Minneapolis Foundation ²	\$49,540,078	3/31/08
3. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$8,849,176	12/31/07
4. Central Minnesota Community Foundation	\$6,269,069	6/30/07
5. Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis	\$5,971,530	6/30/07
6. West Central Initiative	\$4,461,873	6/30/07
7. Rochester Area Foundation	\$3,820,000	12/31/07
8. ClearWay Minnesota	\$3,504,769	6/30/07
9. The Hormel Foundation	\$3,308,092	11/30/07
10. Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation	\$3,233,045	12/31/07
11. Fidelis Foundation	\$3,003,894	9/30/07
12. Duluth Superior Area Community Foundation	\$2,138,778	12/31/07
13. American Center for Philanthropy	\$1,865,272	12/31/07
14. Minnesota Early Learning Foundation	\$1,751,682	12/31/07
15. St. Croix Valley Community Foundation	\$1,624,728	6/30/07
16. Youthworks! Foundation, Inc.	\$1,474,083	9/30/07
17. Winona Community Foundation	\$1,463,277	12/31/07
18. Northwest Minnesota Foundation	\$1,339,468	6/30/07
19. Northland Foundation	\$1,213,211	6/30/07
20. Medica Foundation	\$1,195,000	12/31/07
21. Southwest Initiative Foundation	\$1,164,316	6/30/07
22. Initiative Foundation	\$1,131,912	6/30/07
23. Women's Foundation of Minnesota	\$957,027	3/31/08
24. Headwaters Foundation for Justice	\$947,931	6/30/07
25. Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation	\$928,987	6/30/07

¹ The Saint Paul Foundation includes the Minnesota Community Foundation, J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

² The Minneapolis Foundation includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

Appendix vii: Top 50 Non-Minnesota-Based Foundation Grants to Minnesota-Based Recipients, 2007

Foundation	State	Grantmaker Type¹	Dollars Awarded to Minnesota-Based Recipients	Number of Grants
1. The Annenberg Foundation	PA	IN	\$8,529,000	3
2. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation	NJ	IN	\$7,264,272	12
3. The Grainger Foundation Inc.	IL	IN	\$6,054,350	1
4. The Gonda Family Foundation	CA	IN	\$5,734,830	1
5. Wells Fargo Foundation	CA	CS	\$5,497,474	146
6. The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation	NY	IN	\$5,494,000	14
7. AT&T Foundation	TX	CS	\$5,288,494	3
8. The Ford Foundation	NY	IN	\$5,280,000	18
9. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation	WA	IN	\$5,148,022	3
10. Verizon Foundation	NJ	CS	\$4,637,501	3
11. AXA Foundation, Inc.	NY	CS	\$4,430,000	8
12. John S. and James L. Knight Foundation	FL	IN	\$4,010,000	9
13. Lilly Endowment Inc.	IN	IN	\$3,878,996	14
14. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation	WI	CS	\$3,850,911	142
15. The PepsiCo Foundation, Inc.	NY	CS	\$3,752,400	2
16. Robert & Ardis James Foundation	DE	IN	\$3,311,564	2
17. The L. E. Phillips Family Foundation, Inc.	DE	IN	\$2,910,000	2
18. The Kresge Foundation	MI	IN	\$2,250,000	3
19. Weitz Family Foundation	NE	IN	\$2,159,960	1
20. State Farm Companies Foundation	IL	CS	\$2,000,000	1
21. Tyson Family Foundation, Inc.	AR	IN	\$2,000,000	1
22. Walton Family Foundation, Inc.	AR	IN	\$1,638,800	9
23. Alcatel-Lucent Foundation	NJ	CS	\$1,540,000	1
24. The Bank of America Charitable Foundation, Inc.	NC	CS	\$1,527,101	1
25. Merrill Lynch & Co. Foundation, Inc.	NY	CS	\$1,396,789	2
26. The Barry Foundation	ND	IN	\$1,368,781	17
27. Alfred P. Sloan Foundation	NY	IN	\$1,364,430	4
28. Surdna Foundation, Inc.	NY	IN	\$1,360,000	21
29. Energy Foundation	CA	IN	\$1,345,000	8
30. The Rockefeller Foundation	NY	IN	\$1,300,000	1
31. The Joyce Foundation	IL	IN	\$1,199,400	8
32. The Community Foundation for the National Capital Region	DC	CM	\$1,174,817	7
33. UBS Foundation U.S.A.	NJ	CS	\$1,126,500	6
34. North Fork Foundation	NY	CS	\$1,118,529	3
35. The Christy and John Mack Foundation	NY	IN	\$1,066,666	1
36. The J. Willard and Alice S. Marriott Foundation	DC	IN	\$1,010,000	2
37. The John A. Hartford Foundation, Inc.	NY	IN	\$1,000,000	1
38. Harold Simmons Foundation	TX	CS	\$1,000,000	1
39. The David and Lucile Packard Foundation	CA	IN	\$862,535	2
40. Conrad N. Hilton Foundation	NV	IN	\$860,000	1
41. The Annie E. Casey Foundation	MD	IN	\$822,500	11
42. The Starr Foundation	NY	IN	\$800,000	3
43. Yawkey Foundation II	MA	IN	\$750,000	1
44. Oberkottter Foundation	PA	IN	\$743,521	7
45. SC Johnson Fund, Inc.	WI	CS	\$730,672	2
46. The Chrysler Foundation	MI	CS	\$709,630	4
47. Weyerhaeuser Company Foundation	WA	CS	\$697,108	3
48. The Daniel Foundation of Alabama	AL	IN	\$600,000	1
49. Lumina Foundation for Education, Inc.	IN	IN	\$600,000	1
50. The Merck Company Foundation	NJ	CS	\$570,000	4

Source: The Foundation Center, 2009. Based on grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a national sample of 1,339 larger U.S. foundations (including 800 of the 1,000 largest ranked by total giving). For community foundations, only discretionary grants are included. Grants to individuals are not included.

¹IN = Independent Foundation; CM = Community Foundation; CS = Corporate Foundation.

Copyright © 2009, The Foundation Center. All rights reserved.

Appendix viii: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Actual Dollars, 2003–2007

	2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
PRIVATE										
Arts	\$37,444,701	14%	\$49,970,627	14%	\$50,517,362	14%	\$41,985,237	12%	\$38,755,172	10%
Education	\$66,136,884	24%	\$78,238,962	22%	\$67,483,647	19%	\$76,647,767	22%	\$85,942,911	22%
Environment/Animals	\$11,679,447	4%	\$17,614,915	5%	\$26,679,152	6%	\$26,603,607	8%	\$35,054,705	9%
Health	\$20,684,414	8%	\$50,116,766	14%	\$34,763,967	10%	\$42,790,587	12%	\$49,856,882	13%
Human Services	\$72,170,856	26%	\$84,569,281	24%	\$93,897,666	27%	\$88,086,467	25%	\$103,525,859	27%
International	\$6,834,617	2%	\$4,847,793	1%	\$10,156,547	3%	\$10,023,402	3%	\$11,644,733	3%
Public/Society Benefit	\$51,564,762	19%	\$56,203,487	16%	\$66,822,902	19%	\$57,652,337	16%	\$55,530,300	14%
Religion	\$7,950,534	3%	\$13,450,669	4%	\$9,111,683	3%	\$5,810,931	2%	\$7,063,725	2%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$47,500	<1%	\$1,077,000	<1%	\$27,000	<1%	\$50,375	<1%	\$25,000	<1%
Total	\$274,513,715	100%	\$356,089,500	100%	\$353,459,926	100%	\$349,650,710	100%	\$387,399,287	100%
CORPORATE										
Arts	\$47,237,832	16%	\$50,764,348	18%	\$50,716,543	20%	\$57,232,837	16%	\$64,412,046	16%
Education	\$96,141,459	33%	\$89,670,597	32%	\$64,891,625	25%	\$115,162,916	33%	\$131,573,560	34%
Environment/Animals	\$5,782,751	2%	\$4,195,985	2%	\$5,577,591	2%	\$6,784,192	2%	\$6,504,987	2%
Health	\$21,431,063	7%	\$16,000,238	6%	\$25,367,111	10%	\$29,640,129	9%	\$32,817,155	8%
Human Services	\$56,362,082	19%	\$52,294,335	19%	\$59,292,828	23%	\$65,445,649	19%	\$77,314,385	20%
International	\$899,300	<1%	\$1,452,070	1%	\$2,241,843	1%	\$682,413	1%	\$2,500,440	1%
Public/Society Benefit	\$53,545,512	18%	\$55,230,201	20%	\$48,695,150	19%	\$63,542,951	18%	\$67,875,200	17%
Religion	\$7,257,640	2%	\$6,508,372	2%	\$1,897,425	1%	\$7,952,492	2%	\$9,237,025	2%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$3,121,476	1%	\$519,342	<1%	\$49,300	<1%	\$565,471	<1%	\$278,304	<1%
Total	\$291,779,115	100%	\$276,635,488	100%	\$258,729,416	100%	\$347,009,050	100%	\$392,513,102	100%
COMMUNITY/PUBLIC										
Arts	\$10,458,109	8%	\$13,684,660	13%	\$12,895,869	14%	\$11,666,789	10%	\$14,571,282	11%
Education	\$35,436,183	28%	\$20,709,646	19%	\$16,520,075	18%	\$20,314,314	17%	\$28,085,198	20%
Environment/Animals	\$2,972,762	2%	\$2,943,788	3%	\$2,069,891	2%	\$3,519,394	3%	\$2,944,901	2%
Health	\$13,348,302	11%	\$14,014,379	13%	\$14,125,774	15%	\$18,208,598	15%	\$13,419,924	10%
Human Services	\$20,236,781	16%	\$23,873,382	22%	\$20,387,943	22%	\$29,395,653	25%	\$42,010,453	30%
International	\$603,281	<1%	\$1,121,493	1%	\$2,560,785	3%	\$5,163,209	4%	\$5,051,086	4%
Public/Society Benefit	\$23,154,237	18%	\$17,550,431	16%	\$11,794,175	13%	\$16,912,434	14%	\$16,699,495	12%
Religion	\$19,823,655	16%	\$14,086,460	13%	\$11,689,195	13%	\$13,171,280	11%	\$14,859,416	11%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$243,887	<1%	\$74,306	<1%	\$141,176	<1%	\$411,228	<1%	\$333,852	<1%
Total	\$126,277,197	100%	\$108,058,545	100%	\$92,184,883	100%	\$118,762,899	100%	\$137,975,607	100%
TOTAL GRANTS PAID	\$692,570,027		\$740,783,533		\$704,374,225		\$815,422,659		\$917,887,996	

Appendix ix: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*, 2003–2007

	2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
PRIVATE										
Arts	\$42,312,512	14%	\$54,967,690	14%	\$53,548,404	14%	\$43,244,794	12%	\$38,755,172	10%
Education	\$74,734,679	24%	\$86,062,858	22%	\$71,532,666	19%	\$78,947,200	22%	\$85,942,911	22%
Environment/Animals	\$13,197,775	4%	\$19,376,407	5%	\$21,919,901	6%	\$27,401,715	8%	\$35,054,705	9%
Health	\$23,373,388	8%	\$55,128,443	14%	\$36,849,805	10%	\$44,074,305	12%	\$49,856,882	13%
Human Services	\$81,553,067	26%	\$93,026,209	24%	\$99,531,526	27%	\$90,729,061	25%	\$103,525,859	27%
International	\$7,723,117	2%	\$5,332,572	1%	\$10,765,940	3%	\$10,324,104	3%	\$11,644,733	3%
Public/Society Benefit	\$58,268,181	19%	\$61,823,836	16%	\$70,832,276	19%	\$59,381,907	16%	\$55,530,300	14%
Religion	\$8,984,103	3%	\$14,795,736	4%	\$9,658,384	3%	\$5,985,259	2%	\$7,063,725	2%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$53,675	<1%	\$1,184,700	<1%	\$28,620	<1%	\$51,886	<1%	\$25,000	<1%
Total	\$310,200,498	100%	\$391,698,450	100%	\$374,667,522	100%	\$360,140,231	100%	\$387,399,287	100%
CORPORATE										
Arts	\$53,378,750	16%	\$55,840,783	18%	\$53,759,536	20%	\$58,949,822	16%	\$64,412,046	16%
Education	\$108,639,849	33%	\$98,637,657	32%	\$68,785,123	25%	\$118,617,803	33%	\$131,573,560	34%
Environment/Animals	\$6,534,509	2%	\$4,615,584	2%	\$5,912,246	2%	\$6,987,718	2%	\$6,504,987	2%
Health	\$24,217,101	7%	\$17,600,269	6%	\$26,889,138	10%	\$30,529,333	9%	\$32,817,155	8%
Human Services	\$63,689,153	19%	\$57,523,769	19%	\$62,850,398	23%	\$67,409,018	19%	\$77,314,385	20%
International	\$1,016,209	<1%	\$1,597,277	1%	\$2,376,354	1%	\$702,885	1%	\$2,500,440	1%
Public/Society Benefit	\$60,506,429	18%	\$60,753,221	20%	\$51,616,859	19%	\$65,449,240	18%	\$67,875,200	17%
Religion	\$8,201,133	2%	\$7,159,209	2%	\$2,011,271	1%	\$8,191,067	2%	\$9,237,025	2%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$3,527,268	1%	\$571,276	<1%	\$52,258	<1%	\$582,435	<1%	\$278,304	<1%
Total	\$329,710,400	100%	\$304,299,037	100%	\$274,253,181	100%	\$357,419,322	100%	\$392,513,102	100%
COMMUNITY										
Arts	\$11,817,663	8%	\$15,053,126	13%	\$13,669,621	14%	\$12,016,793	10%	\$14,571,282	11%
Education	\$40,042,887	28%	\$22,780,611	19%	\$17,511,280	18%	\$20,923,743	17%	\$28,085,198	20%
Environment/Animals	\$3,359,221	2%	\$3,238,167	3%	\$2,194,084	2%	\$3,624,976	3%	\$2,944,901	2%
Health	\$15,083,581	11%	\$15,415,817	13%	\$14,973,320	15%	\$18,754,856	15%	\$13,419,924	10%
Human Services	\$22,867,563	16%	\$26,260,720	22%	\$21,611,220	22%	\$30,277,523	25%	\$42,010,453	30%
International	\$681,708	<1%	\$1,233,642	1%	\$2,714,432	3%	\$5,318,105	4%	\$5,051,086	4%
Public/Society Benefit	\$26,164,288	18%	\$19,305,474	16%	\$12,501,826	13%	\$17,419,807	14%	\$16,699,495	12%
Religion	\$22,400,730	16%	\$15,495,106	13%	\$12,390,547	13%	\$13,566,418	11%	\$14,859,416	11%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$275,592	<1%	\$81,737	<1%	\$149,647	<1%	\$423,565	<1%	\$333,852	<1%
Total	\$142,693,233	100%	\$118,864,400	100%	\$97,715,976	100%	\$122,325,786	100%	\$137,975,607	100%
TOTAL GRANTS PAID										
	\$782,604,131		\$814,861,886		\$746,636,679		\$839,885,339		\$917,887,996	

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2007 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Appendix x: Subject Area Summaries, 2007

About These Summaries

The tables on the following pages provide detail about grants paid within each of the 26 major subject area categories, and breakdowns by subcategories within each category, based on the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) (see Methodology section).

For each major category, direct service and non-direct service are distinguished. Direct service organizations provided services directly to individual people as clients. Non-direct service organizations are organizations whose services are not provided directly to individuals but rather to groups, such as associations, research centers and policy groups.

<u>Major Field Area</u>	<u>NTEE Category Included</u>
Arts, Culture & Humanities	A
Education	B
Environment/Animals	C, D
Health	E, F, G, H
Human Services	I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P
International Affairs	Q
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	R, S, T, U, V, W
Religion	X
Mutual Benefit	Y
Not Classifiable	Z

Arts, culture, humanities: \$117,738,500
NTEE major field area A

Non-direct	\$18,999,272	16.1%
Direct	\$98,739,228	83.9%
Total	\$117,738,500	100.0%

<i>Direct services by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Arts/cultural organizations - multipurpose	A20	12.2%
Media/communications organizations	A30	8.5%
Visual arts organizations	A40	4.7%
Museums/museum activities	A50	16.9%
Performing arts organizations/activities	A60	42.5%
Humanities organizations	A70	1.9%
Historical societies and related activities	A80	3.9%
Arts service organizations and activities	A90	2.2%
Arts/cultural/humanities - other	A99	7.1%
		100.0%

Education: \$245,601,669
NTEE major field area B

Non-direct	\$28,167,176	11.5%
Direct	\$217,434,493	88.5%
Total	\$245,601,669	100.0%

<i>Direct services by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Elementary/secondary education (Pre-K - 12)	B20	44.3%
Vocational/technical schools	B30	0.2%
Higher education institutions	B40	20.6%
Graduate/professional schools	B50	6.6%
Adult/continuing education	B60	0.2%
Libraries/library science	B70	1.6%
Student services and organizations of students	B80	10.1%
Educational services and schools	B90	11.0%
Education - other	B99	5.4%
		100.0%

Environmental quality, protection, beautification: \$40,391,438
NTEE major field area C

Non-direct	\$4,781,644	11.8%
Direct	\$35,609,794	88.2%
Total	\$40,391,438	100.0%

<i>Direct services by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Pollution abatement and control services	C20	5.0%
Natural resources conservation/protection	C30	80.8%
Botanical, horticultural and landscape services	C40	1.2%
Environmental beautification & open spaces	C50	0.4%
Environmental education and outdoor survival programs	C60	10.8%
Environmental programs - other	C99	1.8%
		100.0%

Animal related: \$4,113,155
NTEE major field area D

Non-direct	\$924,821	22.5%
Direct	\$3,188,334	77.5%
Total	\$4,113,155	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Animal protection and welfare	D20	37.6%
Wildlife preservation/protection	D30	28.8%
Veterinary services	D40	0.6%
Zoo/zoological society	D50	19.8%
Other services - specialty animals	D60	9.0%
Animal related activities - other	D99	4.3%
		100.0%

Health - general and rehabilitative: \$57,027,047
NTEE major field area E

Non-direct	\$17,902,518	31.4%
Direct	\$39,124,529	68.6%
Total	\$57,027,047	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Hospitals, nursing homes and primary care	E20	52.6%
Health treatment facilities, outpatient	E30	18.3%
Reproductive health care facilities	E40	3.0%
Rehabilitative medical services	E50	2.0%
Health support services	E60	4.4%
Public health programs	E70	5.4%
Health care financing activities	E80	0.1%
Health, general - other	E90	1.2%
Medicine/medical care - other	E99	13.0%
		100.0%

Mental health/crisis intervention: \$12,252,648
NTEE major field area F

Non-direct	\$3,206,541	26.2%
Direct	\$9,046,107	73.8%
Total	\$12,252,648	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Alcohol, drug abuse prevention and treatment	F20	28.0%
Mental health treatment	F30	30.3%
Hot line - crisis intervention services	F40	4.1%
Addictive disorders	F50	15.4%
Counseling/Support Groups	F60	15.7%
Mental health associations	F80	0.1%
Mental health - other	F99	6.4%
		100.0%

Health - multipurpose organizations: \$19,710,656**NTEE major field area G**

Non-direct	\$4,301,025	21.8%
Direct	\$15,409,631	78.2%
Total	\$19,710,656	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Birth defects and genetic diseases	G20	0.9%
Cancer	G30	42.6%
Diseases of specific organs	G40	37.9%
Nerve, muscle and bone research	G50	1.9%
Specific named diseases	G80	9.5%
Digestive diseases-disorders	G70	0.1%
Medical disciplines	G90	2.4%
Diseases - other	G99	4.7%
		100.0%

Health - research: \$7,103,610**NTEE major field area H**

Non-direct	\$711,313	10.0%
Direct	\$6,392,297	90.0%
Total	\$7,103,610	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Birth defects and genetic diseases	H20	1.6%
Cancer	H30	4.6%
Diseases of specific organs	H40	6.3%
Nerve, muscle & bone diseases research	H50	71.3%
Specific named diseases	H80	12.1%
Neurology/neuroscience	H90	1.2%
Medical research - other	H99	3.0%
		100.0%

Public protection: \$10,545,578**NTEE major field area I**

Non-direct	\$1,553,592	14.7%
Direct	\$8,991,986	85.3%
Total	\$10,545,578	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Crime prevention	I20	18.5%
Correctional facilities	I30	0.5%
Rehabilitation services for offenders	I40	7.1%
Administration of justice/courts	I50	17.6%
Law enforcement agencies	I60	1.2%
Neglect/abuse/exploitation prevention and protection	I70	28.6%
Legal services	I80	23.9%
Public protection	I99	2.6%
		100.0%

Employment/jobs: \$10,408,891
NTEE major field area J

Non-direct	\$1,140,225	11.0%
Direct	\$9,268,666	89.0%
Total	\$10,408,891	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Employment procurement assistance and job training	J20	85.3%
Vocational rehabilitation	J30	8.5%
Employment - other	J99	6.2%
		100.0%

Food, nutrition, agriculture: \$14,262,963
NTEE major field area K

Non-direct	\$2,219,218	15.6%
Direct	\$12,043,745	84.4%
Total	\$14,262,963	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Agricultural programs	K20	45.4%
Food service/free food distribution	K30	32.4%
Nutrition programs	K40	14.1%
Food/nutrition/agriculture - other	K99	8.0%
		100.0%

Housing/shelter: \$33,855,790
NTEE major field area L

Non-direct	\$11,081,635	32.7%
Direct	\$22,774,155	67.3%
Total	\$33,855,790	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Housing development/construction/management	L20	53.0%
Housing search assistance	L30	0.1%
Low-cost temporary housing	L40	18.0%
Housing owners/renters organization	L50	2.1%
Housing support services	L80	10.5%
Housing services - other	L99	16.2%
		100.0%

Public safety, disaster preparedness and relief: \$7,523,146
NTEE major field area M

Non-direct	\$614,089	8.2%
Direct	\$6,909,057	91.8%
Total	\$7,523,146	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Disaster preparedness and relief	M20	88.0%
Safety education	M40	11.9%
Public safety/disasters - other	M99	0.1%
		100.0%

Recreation, sports and leisure: \$13,555,213
NTEE major field area N

Non-direct	\$1,394,688	10.3%
Direct	\$12,160,525	89.7%
Total	\$13,555,213	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Recreational and sporting camps	N20	20.6%
Physical fitness/community recreation facilities	N30	40.1%
Sports training facilities/agencies	N40	6.2%
Recreational, pleasure or social clubs	N50	4.4%
Amateur sports clubs/leagues	N60	10.0%
Amateur sports competitions	N70	4.4%
Recreation - other	N99	14.2%
		100.0%

Youth development: \$30,848,839
NTEE major field area O

Non-direct	\$2,129,357	6.9%
Direct	\$28,719,482	93.1%
Total	\$30,848,839	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Youth centers and clubs	O20	20.2%
Adult/child matching programs	O30	13.4%
Scouting organizations	O40	14.0%
Youth development programs	O50	29.9%
Youth development - other	O99	22.4%
		100.0%

Human services: \$101,850,277
NTEE major field area P

Non-direct	\$7,693,747	7.6%
Direct	\$94,156,530	92.4%
Total	\$101,850,277	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Human service organizations	P20	15.8%
Children and youth services	P30	7.5%
Family services	P40	17.7%
Personal social services	P50	5.6%
Emergency assistance (food, clothing, cash)	P60	3.5%
Residential/custodial care (group home)	P70	16.4%
Services promoting independence of specific groups	P80	13.9%
Human social services - other	P99	19.4%
		100.0%

International and foreign affairs: \$19,196,259
NTEE major field area Q

Non-direct	\$845,064	4.4%
Direct	\$18,351,195	95.6%
Total	\$19,196,259	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Promotion of international understanding	Q20	3.1%
International development/relief services	Q30	64.8%
International peace and security	Q40	2.6%
International human rights	Q70	4.7%
International affairs - other	Q99	24.8%
		100.0%

Civil rights, social action and advocacy: \$5,037,270
NTEE major field area R

Non-direct	\$1,289,562	25.6%
Direct	\$3,747,708	74.4%
Total	\$5,037,270	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Civil rights/advocacy for specific groups	R20	83.7%
Intergroup/race relations	R30	6.5%
Voter education/registration	R40	0.9%
Civil liberties advocacy	R60	6.5%
Civil rights - other	R99	2.4%
		100.0%

Community improvement: \$44,320,442
NTEE major field area S

Non-direct	\$8,364,467	18.9%
Direct	\$35,955,975	81.1%
Total	\$44,320,442	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Community/neighborhood development	S20	23.7%
Economic development	S30	35.8%
Business services	S40	10.9%
Nonprofit management	S50	11.6%
Community service clubs	S80	0.5%
Community improvement - other	S99	17.6%
		100.0%

Philanthropy, voluntarism and grantmaking foundations: \$62,128,224
NTEE major field area T

Non-direct	\$8,008,060	12.9%
Direct	\$54,120,164	87.1%
Total	\$62,128,224	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Private grantmaking foundations	T20	2.2%
Public foundations	T30	28.1%
Voluntarism promotion	T40	<0.1%
Philanthropy, charity, voluntarism promotion	T50	0.1%
Community funds & federated giving programs	T70	59.8%
Named trusts - other	T90	<0.1%
Philanthropy, charity, voluntarism - other	T99	9.7%
		100.0%

Science and technology research/services: \$11,173,747
NTEE major field area U

Non-direct	\$4,532,830	40.6%
Direct	\$6,640,917	59.4%
Total	\$11,173,747	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Science, general	U20	38.4%
Physical sciences research and promotion	U30	12.1%
Engineering and technology research/services	U40	21.6%
Biological/life science research	U50	12.6%
Science/technology - other	U99	15.3%
		100.0%

Social science research: \$750,500
NTEE major field area V

Non-direct	\$10,000	1.3%
Direct	\$740,500	98.7%
Total	\$750,500	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Social science research institutes/services	V20	0.5%
Interdisciplinary research	V30	97.6%
Social sciences - other	V99	1.9%
		100.0%

Public/society benefit: \$16,694,812
NTEE major field area W

Non-direct	\$2,549,377	15.3%
Direct	\$14,145,435	84.7%
Total	\$16,694,812	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Government and public administration	W20	23.1%
Military/veterans' organizations	W30	2.5%
Public transportation systems/services	W40	6.2%
Financial institutions/services	W60	11.5%
Leadership development (other than youth)	W70	39.5%
Public utilities	W80	7.1%
Public affairs and society benefit - other	W99	10.1%
		100.0%

Religion/spiritual development: \$31,160,166
NTEE major field area X

Non-direct	\$4,004,865	12.9%
Direct	\$27,155,301	87.1%
Total	\$31,160,166	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Christian	X20	87.4%
Jewish	X30	6.7%
Islamic	X40	<0.1%
Buddhist	X50	0.1%
Hindu	X70	0.4%
Interfaith issues/organizations	X90	3.7%
Religion - other	X99	1.7%
		100.0%

Mutual/membership benefit: \$71,352
NTEE major field area Y

Non-direct	\$0	0.0%
Direct	\$71,352	100.0%
Total	\$71,352	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Cemeteries and burial services	Y50	100.0%
		100.0%

Not classifiable/unknown: \$565,804
NTEE major field area Z

Non-direct	\$0	0.0%
Direct	\$565,804	100.0%
Total	\$565,804	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Unknown/unclassifiable	Z99	100.0%
		100.0%