

OSTI
INV
89

[REDACTED]
UNCLASSIFIED

M91040534

LEXINGTON PROJECT REPORT

No. 20

RELATION BETWEEN ENGINE AND AIRPLANE CHARACTERISTICS

[REDACTED]
ING THE
ME
RE

This document is
PUBLICLY RELEASABLE

Jerry E. Keyes
Authorizing Official
Date 3-5-98

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1st REVIEW DATE: <u>7-22-97</u>	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER(S))
AUTHORITY: <input type="checkbox"/> AOC <input type="checkbox"/> ADAC <input type="checkbox"/> ADAD	1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED
NAME: <u>Jerry E. Keyes</u>	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO: _____
2ND REVIEW DATE: <u>7-25-97</u>	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: <input type="checkbox"/> AOC <input type="checkbox"/> ADAC <input type="checkbox"/> ADAD	4. COORDINATE WITH: _____
NAME: <u>Ted Davis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
	7. OTHER (SPECIFY): _____

[REDACTED]
UNCLASSIFIED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

UNCLASSIFIED

Lexington Project Report # 20

Subject: Relation Between Engine and Airplane Characteristics
Lecturer: Benjamin Pinkel (NACA)
Notes by: Charles Marion
Date: June 10, 1948
Place: Lexington

Summary: Mr. Pinkel states that the characteristic numbers in the subject relation are thrust/unit frontal area; thrust/unit engine weight; and pounds fuel/hour/pound thrust. He develops a relation between range, gross weight, engine weight, structural load and disposable load. A tabulation is made of the performances of various supersonic and subsonic airplanes; and the thrusts of turbojets and turboprops are compared.

Military Aircraft

Various types require different characteristics -- bombers, fighters, etc. We shall consider long-range airplane types.

Power Plant

1. Power plant is designed for cruise conditions.
2. Take-off conditions are different from those in cruising -- assisted take-off is used if necessary.
3. Three numbers are characteristic of engine,
 - a. Thrust/unit frontal area of engine, F/A -- use because net thrust is performance criterion -- drag proportional to frontal area.
 - b. Thrust/unit engine, F/W_e -- weight affects lift requirement. Engine weight distinguished from fuel and useful loads.
 - c. Pounds fuel/hour/pound thrust.

Using conventional aerodynamic relations and present-day knowledge, NACA has obtained predicted performance characteristics for several types of aircraft. These are shown in the following table where:

V = Velocity of flight (m.p.h.)
 F = Thrust (lb.)
 A = Frontal Area (sq. ft.)
 W_e = Engine Weight (lb.)
 W_f = Fuel Consumption (lb./hr.)
 W_d = Useful load (lb.)
 W_g = Gross Weight (lb.)

UNCLASSIFIED

	V	Alt. (feet)	F/A	F/W _e	$\frac{W_F}{F}$	$\frac{W_d}{W_g}$	$\frac{W_F}{W_g}$	Range (miles)
Reciprocating	200	0	180	.61	.265	.51	.156	6000
	200	30,000	230	.58	.220	.50	.122	7000
	500	30,000	92	.275	.56	.34	.158	3900
Turbojet not best but current	200	0	385	1.20	.285	.56	.16	6200
	200	30,000	180	.55	.247	.50	.14	6500
	500	30,000	80	.30	.644	.36	.182	3600
Turbojet	200	0	780	2.40	1.21	.58	.55	1920
	200	30,000	300	.85	.92	.54	.52	2220
	500	30,000	320	.99	1.10	.54	.26	3700
	1400	30,000	950	5.30	1.63	.60	1.20	910
Ramjet	2000	30,000	5000	11.5	2.00	.67	1.10	1100
	2000	50,000	2000	8.5	1.94	.68	.62	2000

The calculations for the supersonic airplane were made on the assumption of L/D = 7 for wing only. It was then necessary to resort to trial and error for the required fuselage and nacelles.

Considering a turboprop versus a turbojet:

For turboprops

$$S.H.P. \approx \frac{F V}{375}$$

$$N_p S.H.P. = \frac{F V}{375}$$

where

S.H.P. = shaft horsepower
 F = thrust
 V = velocity of flight
 N = efficiency
 M = mass of air

if it be assumed that N_p and S.H.P. are approximately independent of V, then F varies inversely with V.

For turbojets

$$N_j = \frac{2V}{V_j + V} = \frac{2}{\frac{V_j}{V} + 1} ; F = M(V_j + V)$$

It turns out that F is almost independent of V.

Calculations have also been made for a nuclear steam power plant driving a plane 500 m.p.h. at 30,000 ft. W_d/W_g was found to be 0.41 with turbine inlet pressure of 1400 psia and temperature 866°F. This means 0.41 of W_g was available for the nuclear reactor and useful load. Turbine exhaust pressure was 50 psia for optimum conditions. Heat may be rejected in exchangers which act as jets to partially overcome their drag. The steam condenser was hung in a nacelle below the plane.

Additional References: NACA RM. No. E 7 JO1
RM. No. E 8

OSTI
INV
82

UNCLASSIFIED

[REDACTED]

4987
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
RECEIVED
NOV 4 - 1947
DOCUMENT
ROOM
WASHINGTON, D. C.

NOV 11 1947
[REDACTED]

81222

UNCLASSIFIED