LA-UR- 01-3151

T

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title:	Characterization of the Proton Beam at the Output of the 6.7MeV LEDA RFQ
Author(s):	Martin E. Schulze, Chris K. Allen, K. C. Dominic Chan, Pat L.Colestock, Ken R. Crandall, Robet W. Garnett, Doug Gilpatrick, Walter Lysenko, J. David Schneider, Richard L.Sheffield, H. Vernon Smith, Thomas P. Wangler
Submitted to:	PAC 2001 Particle Accelerator Conference Chicago, IL June 18-22, 2001







Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PROTON BEAM FROM THE 6.7 MEV LEDA RFQ*

M.E.Schulze[†], General Atomics, Los Alamos, NM, 87544, USA C.K.Allen, P.L. Colestock, D.Gilpatrick, W. Lysenko, L.J. Rybarcyk, J.D.Schneider, R.L.Sheffield, H.V.Smith, and T.P.Wangler LANL, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA K.R.Crandall, TechSource, Santa Fe, NM 87594-1057 USA

Abstract

The present configuration of the Low-Energy Demonstration Accelerator (LEDA) consists of a 75-keV proton injector, a 6.7-MeV 350-MHz cw radio-frequency quadrupole (RFQ) with associated high-power and lowlevel rf systems, a 52-magnet periodic lattice followed by a short high-energy beam transport (HEBT) and highpower (670-kW cw) beam stop. The rms beam emittance was measured prior to the installation of the 52-magnet lattice, based on wire-scanner measurements of the beam profile at a single location in the HEBT. New measurements with additional diagnostic hardware have been performed to determine the rms transverse beam properties of the beam at the output of the 6.7-MeV LEDA RFQ.

The 52-magnet periodic lattice also includes ten beam position monitors (BPMs) evenly spaced in pairs of two. The BPMs provide a measure of the bunched beam current that exhibits nulls at different locations in the lattice. Model predictions of the locations of the nulls and the strength of the bunched beam current are made to determine what information this data can provide regarding the longitudinal beam emittance.

1 INTRODUCTION

The LEDA RFQ is described extensively elsewhere [1]. The primary objective of the 52-quadrupole-magnet FODO lattice is to provide a vehicle to measure phasespace halo in space charge dominated beams [2,3]. The quadrupole magnets are located every 21 cm. Beam diagnostics, steering magnets and vacuum components are interspersed between the magnets. A schematic of the lattice showing the locations of the magnets and diagnostics is presented in Figure 1. The diagnostics are described in greater detail by Gilpatrick et al. [4].

The wire scanner located downstream from the fourth quadrupole magnet is used to measure transverse beam profiles for many different field settings of the first four quadrupole magnets. Measurements were made at beam currents of 16, 50 and 75 mA.

The bunched beam current has been measured at the ten BPMs for many different values of the beam current. Analyses of this data and comparison to the prediction of

*Work supported by U.S. DOE contract DE-AC04-96AL89607 tschulz@gat.com multi-particle simulation codes (LINAC) have been made. These comparisons indicate that the bunched beam current data does provide information regarding the shape of the longitudinal beam distribution, a correlation between the longitudinal emittance and phase spread, and a measure of the rms energy spread of the debunched beam at the end of the beam line.





2 TRANSVERSE EMITTANCE

The procedure uses four quadrupoles installed at the output end of the RFQ, followed by a beam-profile diagnostic device that consists of both a thin movable carbon filament for measurement of the dense beam core, and scrapers for measurement of the lower-intensity halo. Transverse rms beam projections are measured as a function of the gradients of the four quadrupoles, and the data are used to determine the transverse Courant-Snyder parameters. The method involves using a fitting routine developed for both TRACE3D and LINAC that minimizes the χ^2 of the difference between the measurements and the data.

Each of the first four quadrupole magnets are set at field levels of 50% to 150% of their nominal values in steps of 25% while holding other quads at nominal design fields. This results in 17 data points for each transverse plane for each beam current. The beam distribution for each data point is analyzed off line to determine the full width at half of the maximum amplitude (FWHM) from which the rms width is determined.

In general the predicted Courant-Snyder parameters using LINAC and TRACE3D are in good agreement with each other. The results are presented in Table 1 below.

Current	16	16 mA		50 mA		75 mA	
Code	Linac	Trace	Linac	Trace	Linac	Trace	
ε _x π(mm-mrad)	1.23	1.06	1.45	1.28	1.89	1.96	
a _x	1.69	1.53	1.43	1.46	1.20	1.26	
β _x (cm)	20.4	19.5	20.1	25.5	19.4	24.3	
ε _y π(mm-mrad)	1.17	1.12	1.81	1.82	2.46	2.14	
α _y	-1.89	-1.98	-1.09	-1.68	-1.56	-1.68	
β _y (cm)	55.7	59.1	35.7	52.2	48.2	55.5	

Table 1. Transverse Courant-Snyder Parameters as Predicted using TRACE3D and LINAC

The beam emittances inferred from the experimental data are compared with predictions from PARMTEQ in Figure 2. The data points show very good agreement in the horizontal plane and suggest that the beam emittance in the vertical plane is larger than expected at higher beam currents. The larger vertical emittance is consistent with analyses presented at LINAC 2000 [5], although the beam emittances determined previously were analyzed on the basis of the rms width of the entire beam distribution. The analysis presented above, based on the FWHM of the beam distribution, does not take into account the observed "tails" in many of the beam distributions.



Figure 2: Comparison of the experimental transverse emittances with PARMTEQ predictions.

An extensive analysis of the shapes of the beam distribution is presented by Lysenko et al. [6] who reach the conclusion that either there is missing physics in the beam simulations or that the beam from the RFQ cannot be described by three Courant-Snyder parameters. Preliminary analyses indicate that beam distributions exhibiting nonlinear space charge filamentation can possibly describe the entire distribution, as well as the FWHM.

3 BUNCHED BEAM CURRENT ANALYSIS

The bunched beam current is a measure of the strength of the 350 MHz signal in the beam pulse and can be determined from the phase spread of the beam distribution. The phase spread of the beam increases as the beam transports in the beam-halo lattice. In the absence of space charge forces, the increase in the phase spread can be determined directly from the energy spread of the beam. For high-current beams, space charge forces contribute significantly to increasing the phase and energy spread of the beam.

The bunched beam current was measured at ten locations in the beam-halo lattice. The data were obtained by averaging the measured bunched beam current over many pulses to reduce pulse-to-pulse jitter. Measurements were made at many values of the beam current ranging from 5 to 95 mA. The data show that the location of the first null in the distribution is observed to appear further upstream as the beam current is increased. At the higher currents (> 50 mA), a second null is observed in the 11 meter transport channel.

The amplitude of the bunched beam current can be expressed as a function of the phase spread of the beam as presented in Equation 1 for a parabolic longitudinal phase distribution [7].

$$A = 3\left(\left(\frac{\sin(a)}{a^3}\right) - \left(\frac{\cos(a)}{a^2}\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

Here, $a = \pi W/T$, and W is the full phase width at the base of the bunch and T is the bunch spacing (T=1/f).

The rms phase spread of the beam is calculated at many locations along the beam-halo lattice using LINAC. A 6-D waterbag distribution has been assumed for the initial distribution at the end of the RFQ. This distribution gives the same result as the beam distributions from PARMTEO simulations of the RFO. The full phase spread at the base of a parabolic distribution is 4.472 times the rms phase spread. The beam phase distributions from LINAC are consistent with parabolic distributions, although due to the finite number of particles, the full width at the base of the phase distribution is typically 4.25 rms. This value is used in the calculations of the bunched beam current. Beam phase distributions other than parabolic have been studied and these do not appear to predict either the locations or successive nulls of relative heights of the lobes.

LINAC is used to determine the values of ε_z and β_z that provide the best fit to the bunched beam current data. Figure 3 shows a typical fit to the data at 95 mA.



Figure 3: Normalized bunched beam current at 95 mA compared to typical LINAC fit.

The longitudinal beam-phase ellipse from the RFQ, as predicted by PARMTEQ, is essentially an upright ellipse

and no attempt has been made to determine α_z from the data. The analysis does not provide a unique value for ε_{z} and β_z , but rather defines a correlation between ε_z and β_z that provide equally good fits to the data. The results of analyses performed at 78 and 95 mA are presented in Figures 4 and 5 respectively. These figures show that the correlation between the values of ε_z and β_z is approximately linear with a negative slope. The data at 95 mA support a much greater range of values than at 78 mA. This because a smaller initial phase spread coupled with a larger emittance (larger energy spread) produces the same result as a large initial phase spread and smaller emittance due to the effects of space charge forces. The effects of space charge increase the range of the correlation. Analysis of the data at 10 mA indicates that a positive slope in the correlation between ε_z and β_z provides the best fit to the data.



Figure 4. Longitudinal emittance and energy spread at 78 mA.



Figure 5. Longitudinal emittance and energy spread at 95 mA

The PARMTEQ predictions for ε_z and β_z and the predicted rms energy spread at the end of the 11-meter lattice are also plotted in Figures 4 and 5. The results are in better agreement with PARMTEQ simulations at 78 mA than at 95 mA. The analyses presented in Figures 4 and 5 show that the energy spread at the end of the beamhalo lattice is very well defined by the bunched beam current measurements. The final energy spreads at 78 and 95 mA are about 98.7 and 103 keV, respectively. The uncertainty in these values is very small as the beam is fully debunched at the end of the channel and the energy spread is no longer increasing due to space-charge forces.

The rms energy spread in the beam-halo lattice as predicted by LINAC is plotted in Figure 6 for initial values of ε_z and β_z that provide a good fit to the bunched beam-current measurements. Results are presented for 10, 78 and 95 mA.



Figure 6. RMS energy spread along the beam-halo lattice as predicted by LINAC

4 SUMMARY

The rms transverse beam properties at the exit of the RFQ inferred from quadrupole scan beam profile measurements are in relatively good agreement with PARMTEQ simulation of the RFQ. The overall shapes of the distributions are not well understood at the present time. Bunched beam-current measurements of the RFQ beam are able to provide significant information regarding the longitudinal beam properties.

5 REFERENCES

- H. V. Smith, Jr., et al., "Status Report on the Low-Energy Demonstration Accelerator (LEDA)," *ibid.*, pp. 581-583.
- [2] T. Wangler, *et al.*, "Experimental Study of Proton-Beam Halo Induced by Beam Mismatch in LEDA," this conference.
- [3] P. L. Colestock, *et al.*, "Measurements of Halo Generation for a Proton Beam in a FODO Channel," this conference.
- [4] J. D. Gilpatrick, *et al.*, "Experience with the Low-Energy Demonstration Accelerator (LEDA) Halo Experiment Beam Instrumentation," this conference.
- [5] M. E. Schulze, *et al.*, "Beam Emittance Measurements for the Low-Energy Demonstration Accelerator Radio-Frequency Quadrupole," Proc. LINAC2000 (Monterey, 21-25 August 2000), pp. 575-577.
- [6] W. P. Lysenko, et al., "Characterizing Proton Beam of 6.7 MeV LEDA RFQ by Fitting Wire-Scanner Profiles to 3-D Nonlinear Distributions," this conference.
- [7] R. E. Shafer, "Beam Position Monitoring", 1989 Accelerator Instrumentation Workshop (BNL), AIP Conference Proceeding 212 (1990). See Table 4.1.