

Innovation for Our Energy Future

Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project

Fall 2008

Composite Data Products Final Version September 24, 2008

NREL is operated for DOE by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC

Keith Wipke, Sam Sprik, Jennifer Kurtz, and Todd Ramsden



Contract No. DE-AC36-08-GO28308



Innovation for Our Energy Future

Disclaimer and Government License

This work has been authored by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) under Contract No. DE-AC36-99GO10337 with the U.S. Department of Energy (the "DOE"). The United States Government (the "Government") retains and the publisher, by accepting the work for publication, acknowledges that the Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for Government purposes.

Neither MRI, the DOE, the Government, nor any other agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe any privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors and/or presenters expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of MRI, the DOE, the Government, or any agency thereof.



Innovation for Our Energy Future


Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project

Fall 2008 Composite Data Products September 24, 2008 (Final)

Keith Wipke, Sam Sprik, Jennifer Kurtz, Todd Ramsden



CDP#1: Hours Accumulated and Projected Hours to 10% Stack Voltage Degradation




(1) Range bars created using one data point for each OEM. Some stacks have accumulated hours beyond 10% voltage degradation.

- (2) Range (highest and lowest) of the maximum operating hours accumulated to-date of any OEM's individual stack in "real-world" operation.
- (3) Range (highest and lowest) of the average operating hours accumulated to-date of all stacks in each OEM's fleet.
- (4) Projection using on-road data -- degradation calculated at high stack current. This criterion is used for assessing progress against DOE targets, may differ from OEM's end-of-life criterion, and does not address "catastrophic" failure modes, such as membrane failure.
- (5) Using one nominal projection per OEM: "Max Projection" = highest nominal projection, "Avg Projection" = average nominal projection. The shaded green bar represents an engineering judgment of the uncertainty on the "Avg Projection" due to data and methodology limitations. Projections will change as additional data are accumulated.
- (6) Projection method was modified beginning with 2008 Q2 data.

Created: Sep-03-08 10:36 AM




CDP#2: Vehicle Range




5

CDP#6: Fuel Economy




6

CDP#8: FC System Efficiency




CDP#9: Safety Reports – Vehicles



REL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

8


CDP#10: Storage Weight % Hydrogen






9

CDP#11: Volumetric Capacity of H2 Storage






CDP#12: Vehicle Hydrogen Tank Cycle Life






CDP#13: On-Site Hydrogen Production Efficiency



¹Production conversion efficiency is defined as the energy of the hydrogen out of the process (on an LHV basis) divided by the sum of the energy into the production process from the feedstock and all other energy as needed. Conversion efficiency does not include energy used for compression, storage, and dispensing.




CDP#18: Refueling Rates



13

CDP#20: Safety Reports – Infrastructure




- unplanned H2 release insufficient to sustain a flame


Created: Sep-02-08 5:01 PM




CDP#21: Range of Ambient Temperature During Vehicle Operation




CDP#22: Vehicle Operating Hours



CDP#23: Vehicles vs. Miles Traveled




CDP#24: Cumulative Vehicle Miles Traveled




Created: Sep-22-08 02:00 PM


CDP#25: Vehicle H2 Storage Technologies



CDP#26: Cumulative H2 Produced or Dispensed




CDP#27: Hydrogen Quality Index




Created: Sep-22-08 1:41 PM



CDP #28: Hydrogen Impurities






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2


Created: Sep-22-08 1:42 PM






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2





Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2. Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2









Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2









Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2. Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2 *Total S calculated from SO2, COS, H2S, CS2, and Methyl Mercaptan (CH3SH).





Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2

Created: Sep-22-08 1:42 PM







Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2

Created: Sep-22-08 1:42 PM






Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2








Data is from Learning Demonstration and California Fuel Cell Partnership testing Year 1 is 2005Q3-2006Q2, Year 2 is 2006Q3-2007Q2, and Year 3 is 2007Q3-2008Q2


Created: Sep-22-08 1:42 PM

-

CDP#29: Fueling Rates – Communication and Non-Communication Fills




CDP#30: Infrastructure Maintenance




Created: Aug-08-08 4:37 PM



CDP#31: Number of Reporting Stations




CDP#32: Infrastructure Hydrogen Production Methods






CDP#33: Percentage of Theoretical Range Traveled Between Refuelings



1. Range calculated using the combined City/Hwy fuel economy from dyno testing (not EPA adjusted) and usable fuel on board.

Created: Sep-22-08 11:51 AM

CDP#34: Effective Vehicle Range




 Calculated using the combined City/Hwy fuel economy from dyno testing (non-adjusted) and usable fuel on board.

2. Applying window-sticker correction factors for fuel economy: 0.78 x Hwy and 0.9 x City.

3. Using fuel economy from on-road data (excluding trips > 1 mile, consistent with other data products).


Created: Sep-22-08 11:52 AM

CDP#35: Average Refuelings Between **Infrastructure Safety Reports**





CDP#36: Type of Infrastructure Safety Report By Quarter



An INCIDENT is an event that results in:

- a lost time accident and/or injury to personnel
- damage/unplanned downtime for project equipment, facilities or property
- impact to the public or environment
- any hydrogen release that unintentionally ignites or is sufficient to sustain a flame if ignited
- release of any volatile, hydrogen containing compound (other than the hydrocarbons used as common fuels)


A NEAR-MISS is:

- an event that under slightly different circumstances could have become an incident
- unplanned H2 release insufficient to sustain a flame

Created: Sep-02-08 5:02 PM



CDP#37: Primary Factors of Infrastructure Safety Reports



- any hydrogen release that unintentionally ignites or is sufficient to sustain a flame if ignited
- release of any volatile, hydrogen containing compound (other than the hydrocarbons used as common fuels)


A NEAR-MISS is:

- an event that under slightly different circumstances could have become an incident
- unplanned H2 release insufficient to sustain a flame


Created: Sep-02-08 5:01 PM



CDP#38: Refueling Times




CDP#39: Refueling Amounts



34

CDP#40: H2 Tank Level at Refueling




1. Some refueling events not recorded/detected due to data noise or incompleteness.

2. The outer arc is set at 20% total refuelings.

3. If tank level at fill was not available, a complete fill up was assumed.



CDP#41: Refueling Tank Levels - Medians




1. Some refueling events not recorded/detected due to data noise or incompleteness.

2. If tank level at fill was not available, a complete fill up was assumed.




CDP#42: Refueling by Time of Day






CDP#43: Refueling by Day of Week



Created: Sep-19-08 10:35 AM




CDP#44: Driving Start Time – Day



Created: Aug-29-08 9:49 AM

PIREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory 39

CDP#45: Driving by Day of Week




Created: Aug-29-08 9:49 AM


2001 NHTS Data Includes Car, Truck, Van, & SUV day trips ASCII.csv Source: http://nhts.ornl.gov/download.shtml#2001




CDP#46: Fuel Cell System Operating Power



CDP#47: Trip Length



CDP#48: Primary Factors Affecting Learning Demo Fleet Fuel Cell Degradation




- 1) On-going fuel cell degradation study using Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression model for combined Learning Demonstration Fleet.
- 2) DOE Fleet model has a low percentage of explained decay rate variance.

H*: Factor group associated with high decay rate fuel cell stacks L***: Factor group associated with low decay rate fuel cell stacks



CDP#49: Primary Factors Affecting Learning Demo Team Fuel Cell Degradation




- 1) On-going fuel cell degradation study using Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression model for each team.
- 2) Teams' PLS models have a high percentage of explained decay rate variance, but the models are not robust and results are scattered.

H*: Factor group associated with high decay rate fuel cell stacks L***: Factor group associated with low decay rate fuel cell stacks


44

CDP#50: Refueling by Time of Night







CDP#51: Driving Start Time – Night




CDP#52: Refueling Data by Year



CDP#53: Fuel Cell System Energy within Power Levels




CDP#54: Time Between Trips






CDP#55: Fuel Cell System Energy






CDP#56: Daily Driving Distance






CDP#57: H2 Storage System Mass and Volume Breakdown




CDP#58: Fuel Cell System Power Density



(1) Fuel cell system includes fuel cell stack and BOP but excludes H2 storage, power electronics, and electric drive. Created: Sep-17-08 10:29 AM




CDP#59: Fuel Cell System Specific Power



Created: Sep-17-08 10:30 AM (1) Fuel cell system includes fuel cell stack and BOP but excludes H2 storage, power electronics, and electric drive.



CDP#60: On-Site Hydrogen Production Efficiency vs. Capacity Utilization




Created: Sep-24-08 4:15 PM

3) High correlation with electrolysis data ($R^2 = 0.81$) & low correlation with natural gas data ($R^2 = 0.058$)



CDP#61: Refueling Station Compressor Efficiency




¹Consistent with the MYPP, compression efficiency is defined as the energy of the hydrogen out of the process (on an LHV basis) divided by the sum of the energy of the hydrogen output plus all other energy needed for the compression process. Data shown for on-site hydrogen production and storage facilities only, not delivered hydrogen sites.

Created: Sep-03-08 4:45 PM



CDP#62: Learning Demonstration Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emissions



1. Well-to-Wheels greenhouse gas emissions based on DOE's GREET model, version 1.8b. Analysis uses default GREET values except for FCV fuel economy, hydrogen production conversion efficiency, and electricity grid mix. Fuel economy values are the Gen 1 and Gen 2 window-sticker fuel economy data for all teams (as used in CDP #6); conversion efficiency values are the production efficiency data used in CDP #13.

2. Baseline conventional passenger car and light duty truck GHG emissions are determined by GREET 1.8b, based on the EPA window-sticker fuel economy of a conventional gasoline mid-size passenger car and mid-size SUV, respectively. The Learning Demonstration fleet includes both passenger cars and SUVs.

3. The Well-to-Wheels GHG probability distribution represents the range and likelihood of GHG emissions resulting from the hydrogen FCV fleet based on window-sticker fuel economy data and monthly conversion efficiency data from the Learning Demonstration.

4. On-site electrolysis GHG emissions are based on the average mix of electricity production used by the Learning Demonstration production sites, which includes both grid-based electricity and renewable on-site solar electricity. GHG emissions associated with on-site production of hydrogen from electrolysis are highly dependent on the electricity entry. CHG emissions dependent on grid-based electricity and renewable on-site solar electricity. GHG emissions associated with on-site production of hydrogen from electrolysis are highly dependent on the electrolysis are highly dependent on the electricity entry.

Created: Sep-24-08 4:19 PM electricity source. GHG emissions from a 100% renewable electricity mix would be zero, as shown. If electricity were supplied from the U.S. average grid mix, average GHG emissions would be 1296 g/mile.



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) October 2008	2. R	EPORT TYPE echnical Report			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
 TITLE AND SUBTITLE Controlled Hydrogen Fleet a Validation Project: Fall 2008 	structure Demon	stration and	DE-	ITRACT NUMBER AC36-99-GO10337	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
K. Wipke, S. Sprik, J. Kurtz, and T. Ramsden				5d. PROJECT NUMBER NREL/TP-560-44256	
				5e. TASK NUMBER H2708100	
				5f. WOI	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401-3393 				<u> </u>	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NREL/TP-560-44256
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) NREL	
					11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) Graphs of composite data products produced by DOE's Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation project through September 2008.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS hydrogen; hydrogen demonstration; hydrogen validation					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
	lassified	UL		19b. TELEPC	DNE NUMBER (Include area code)