



LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Standard Chemical-Thermodynamic Properties of Phosphorus and Some of its Key Compounds and Aqueous Species: An Evaluation of Differences between the Previous Recommendations of NBS/NIST and CODATA

J. A. Rard, T. J. Wolery

February 2, 2007

Journal of Solution Chemistry

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

The Standard Chemical-Thermodynamic Properties of Phosphorus and Some of its Key Compounds and Aqueous Species: An Evaluation of Differences between the Previous Recommendations of NBS/NIST and CODATA

Joseph A. Rard · Thomas J. Wolery

Abstract The aqueous chemistry of phosphorus is dominated by P(V), which under typical environmental conditions (and depending on pH and concentration) can be present as the orthophosphate ions $H_3PO_4^0(aq)$, $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, or PO_4^{3-} (aq). Many divalent, trivalent, and tetravalent metal ions form sparingly soluble orthophosphate phases that, depending on the solution pH and concentrations of phosphate and metal ions, can be solubility limiting phases. Geochemical and chemical engineering modeling of solubilities and speciation requires comprehensive thermodynamic databases that include the standard thermodynamic properties for the aqueous species and solid compounds. The most widely used sources for standard thermodynamic properties are the NBS (now NIST) Tables (from 1982 and earlier; with a 1989 erratum) and the final CODATA evaluation (1989). However, a comparison of the reported enthalpies of formation and Gibbs energies of formation for key phosphate compounds and aqueous species, especially $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$ and $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, shows a systematic and nearly constant difference of 6.3 to 6.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ per phosphorus atom between these two evaluations. The existing literature contains numerous studies (including major data summaries) that are based on one or the other of these evaluations. In this report we examine and identify the origin of this difference and conclude that the CODATA evaluation is more reliable. Values of the standard entropies of the $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, and $PO_4^{3-}(aq)$ ions at 298.15 K and $p^{\circ} = 1$ bar were re-examined in the light of more recent information and data not considered in the CODATA review, and a slightly different value of $S_m^{\circ}(H_2PO_4^-)$, aq, 298.15 K = $90.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ was obtained.

Keywords Standard entropy \cdot Gibbs energy of formation \cdot Enthalpy of formation \cdot

 $Entropy \ of \ formation \cdot Orthophosphates \cdot Phosphorus$

J. A. Rard (corresponding author) · T. J. Wolery Energy and Environment Directorate, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of California, Livermore, CA 94550 email: rard1@llnl.gov

1. Introduction

The prediction of solubilities of minerals and other solid phases in water and aqueous electrolyte solutions, and the concentrations and speciation of dissolved ionic and neutral species, is commonly made using geochemical modeling codes such as EQ3/6 [1], FREZCHEM [2], or PHRQPITZ [3]. These modeling codes require a comprehensive thermodynamic database consisting of standard state or reference state thermodynamic properties, and, for aqueous electrolytes, evaluated parameters for a thermodynamic model that is valid over wide ranges of molality and temperature. An example of a very widely used and published thermodynamic database is the one developed at the University of California, San Diego [4] and its extension to low temperatures [5]. A further extension for use with the EQ3/6 modeling code [1] has been described very briefly by Alai *et al.* [6]. These cited databases and modeling codes use representations of the thermodynamic properties of electrolyte solutions to high ionic-strengths based on Pitzer's ion-interaction model [7].

The orthophosphate ion and its hydrolyzed forms generally occur at low levels in natural waters, but they are very important because their presence controls the concentrations of some divalent, trivalent, and tetravalent metal ions owing to the formation of sparingly soluble phases. For example, the commercially important (and nearly insoluble) trivalent rare earth ores xenotime and monazite are mixtures of rare earth orthophosphates [8], with thorium(IV) phosphate also being a constituent of monazite. Phosphorites, consisting mainly of calcium apatite and other calcium orthophosphates are quite common is sedimentary deposits, especially those of

marine origin, and are the major commercial source of phosphorus. Autunite-group minerals such as $Ca(UO_2)_2(PO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O(cr)$ have been proposed as solubility-limiting phases for uranium in soils and sediments contaminated with uranium and, along with uranyl silicates, are the solubility limiting phases for secondary minerals produced by the oxidative weathering of natural $UO_2(s)$ [9].

Orthophosphate is also a component of many standard pH buffers. The presence of excess amounts of phosphate and polyphosphates, largely from agricultural runoff and treated sewage, gives rise to increased rates of eutrophication in natural waters. Phosphate is an essential component of biological systems, being present for example in bone, DNA, RNA, ADP, and ATP.

In order to model the solubility of sparingly soluble orthophosphate minerals and the speciation of phosphorous in natural waters, a comprehensive thermodynamic database is required for its aqueous species, especially for their standard thermodynamic properties.

Although the aqueous chemistry of phosphorus is dominated by P(V), it is quite complicated. Orthophosphoric acid, H₃PO₄, has three acidic protons and can thus exist as H₃PO₄⁰(aq), H₂PO₄⁻(aq), HPO₄²⁻(aq), or PO₄³⁻(aq) depending on concentration, pH, and temperature. Polymeric phosphate ions are also encountered including the pyrophosphate ion P₂O₇⁴⁻(aq) and its hydrolyzed forms, the triphosphate ion P₃O₁₀⁵⁻(aq) and its hydrolyzed forms, and higher-order polymers. Aqueous species containing phosphorus in lower valence states are encountered under anaerobic conditions including the orthophosphite ion PO₃³⁻(aq) and its hydrolyzed forms, and the phosphite ion PO₂²⁻(aq) and its hydrolyzed forms; both H₃PO₃ and H₃PO₂ are obtained as minor by-products during the combustion of P(cr) by $O_2(g)$. Because of this multiplicity of aqueous valence states and polymeric and hydrolyzed species, the characterization of the chemical thermodynamic properties of phosphorus is more difficult than for many other elements.

Rossini *et al.* [10] in the National Bureau of Standard's "Circular 500" summarized the results of their previous evaluations of the thermodynamic properties of pure compounds and aqueous species of phosphorus, based on literature coverage to 1949 at "298.16 K". Their evaluated results consisted mainly of the standard enthalpy of formation $\Delta_r H_m^o$ and, in some cases, the standard Gibbs energies of formation $\Delta_r G_m^o$, the standard molar entropies S_m^o , and the standard molar heat capacities $C_{p,m}^o$. These tables were subsequently extended and updated by groups of elements in the NBS Technical Note 270 series, *e.g.*, reference [11]. All 8 of the Technical Note 270 series of reports (published between 1965 and 1981) were later combined, with minor corrections, and converted from units of calories to joules [12]. A more recent and widely accepted evaluation of standard values of $\Delta_r H_m^o$ and S_m^o {and of values of H^o (298.15 K) – H^o (0) for pure phases} is the 1989 CODATA evaluation [13]. Grenthe *et al.* [14] have subsequently calculated values of $\Delta_r G_m^o$ and their uncertainties from the CODATA results and gave some additional evaluations.

The CODATA recommended results for phosphorus are limited to P(cr, white), $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ and several gas phase species. However, assessed values of $\Delta_f H_m^o$ for P₄O₁₀(cr, hexagonal) and PCl₅(cr) were implied in the CODATA report because they were involved in the thermodynamic cycles used for the evaluations for the properties of the aqueous species, and are based on measurements by Head and Lewis [15], Schumm *et al.* [16] and Birley and Skinner [17]. All of the evaluations described in this and the preceding paragraph [10–14] are restricted to 298.15 K.

Gurvich *et al.* [18] reported critically assessed thermodynamic properties for various pure compounds (solids, liquids, gases) to high temperatures. The NIST-JANAF tables [19] report thermodynamic properties to very high temperatures, which for phosphorus are restricted to P(cr), P(l), P(g), P₄O₁₀(cr), P₄O₁₀(g), and PCl₅(g). Barin's [20] values for P₄O₁₀(cr) and P₄O₁₀(g) are essentially identical to the NIST-JANAF values, whereas those of Gurvich *et al.* [18] show some differences and their reported enthalpies of formation of P₄O₁₀(cr), and P₄O₁₀(g) in their tables are given with the wrong sign.

Table 1 summarizes the $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $\Delta_{\rm f} G_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, and $S_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ values for aqueous PO₄³⁻(aq), HPO₄²⁻(aq), H₂PO₄⁻(aq), and H₃PO₄⁰(aq), P(cr, white), P₄O₁₀(cr) and PCl₅(cr) at 298.15 K from these various evaluations [10–14, 18, 19]. Some differences are expected because the more recent evaluations are based on larger databases that include experimental studies published after the earlier evaluations. In some cases there are large differences, *e.g.*, values of $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ vary by 19.7 kJ·mol⁻¹ for PCl₅(cr), by 28.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ for P₄O₁₀(cr), and by 6.3 to 7.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ for the aqueous ions PO₄³⁻(aq), HPO₄²⁻(aq), and H₂PO₄⁻(aq). It is worth noting that, except for PCl₅(cr), the older Circular 500 values of $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ and $\Delta_{\rm f} G_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ [10], and the more recent CODATA values [13] and their extension by the NEA [14, 21], are generally in good agreement, whereas the later NBS values [11,12] are significantly less negative. The value of $\Delta_{\rm f} G_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ for H₂PO₄⁻(aq) in the NBS 270 tables [11] is apparently erroneous and was corrected in the later report [12]. The Circular 500 [10] gave a list of references for source papers used for these evaluations but did describe the calculations. In contrast, the NBS 270 series of reports [11] and the combined tables [12] provide no information about the evaluations or source papers for the thermodynamic data. The CODATA evaluations [13] include a list of references and evaluated results for most of the reactions used in the evaluations. However, the CODATA report does not contain a discussion of the origin of differences between their evaluated thermodynamic values and those given in the earlier evaluations [10–12], nor to the best of our knowledge has one been published elsewhere in the open literature.

Because of these significant differences in evaluated thermodynamic values among these published thermodynamic databases for phosphorus, combining values from different databases runs the risk of introducing significant inconsistencies into thermodynamic modeling calculations. The NBS [12] and CODATA evaluations [13] are presently widely used in constructing thermodynamic databases for geochemical and engineering modeling calculations (generally supplemented by values for additional compounds and aqueous species). For example, the CODATA values are an integral part of the thermodynamic assessments for the Nuclear Energy Agency's Thermochemical Data Base project [14, 21] and the extension to radionuclides at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory [22]. Weber *et al.*'s evaluation for orthophosphates [23] is tied to the CODATA values at 298.15 K but is then based on a commercial database at higher temperatures, and they note that the two databases are not consistent in all cases and may require adjustment of some standard thermodynamic values when used in combination. The NBS values were used to fix some of the 298.15 K standard thermodynamic values for the SUPCRT92 software package [24] (but there are some minor differences mainly resulting from unit conversion and round off in the SUPCRT92 values as exemplified in the slop98.dat data file; see also the paper by Shock and Helgeson [25]). Alberty's [26] database for the Gibbs energy of formation of biochemically-relevant species at 298.15 K is based on the CODATA values for the orthophosphate ions and H₂O(l), but on the NBS tables for other inorganic and some organic species.

In this report we examine the origin of differences between the NBS/NIST and CODATA evaluations for key compounds and aqueous species of phosphorous that apear in both evaluations. We also re-evaluate the standard entropies of the $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ and $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$ ions based on a more complete coverage of the published literature including some studied published after the CODATA review.

2. Examination of the origin of differences between the NBS (1982 and earlier) and the CODATA (1989) evaluations for phosphorus

Differences among values of evaluated thermodynamic properties can arise from differences in the assessed values of $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$, $\Delta_{f}G_{m}^{o}$, or S_{m}^{o} , or from differences in more than one property. By examining the standard entropies S_{m}^{o} listed in Table 1 it can be seen that the selected S_{m}^{o} values from the NBS tables [12] and the CODATA Key Values [13] are the same or nearly so for the listed ions and solid substances, and thus they cannot be the origin of the observed large differences for $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$ and $\Delta_{f}G_{m}^{o}$.

The enthalpies of formation of $PO_4^{3-}(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, and $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$ given in the CODATA tables were described [13] as being derived from the enthalpy of formation

of 1 mol H₃PO₄ in 100 mol H₂O, $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$ (H₃PO₄ in 100 H₂O, 298.15 K) = -1296.2 ± 1.0 kJ·mol⁻¹, which was stated to be based on the enthalpy of combustion of P(cr) results of Head and Lewis [15] to form H₃PO₄ in 40 mol H₂O with appropriate dilution data from 40 to 100 mol of H₂O, along with an independent determination based on the enthalpy of formation of PCl₅(cr) of Schumm et al. [16] combined with the enthalpy of hydrolysis of Birley and Skinner [17]. However, Birley and Skinner's value of $\Delta_{f} H_{m}^{o}$ (H₃PO₄ in 100 H₂O, 298.15 K) = -(309.80 ± 0.35)(4.184) = -1296.2 ± 1.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ is identical to the CODATA value except for the larger assigned uncertainty. The Head and Lewis combustion result [15], after correction for the enthalpy of dilution from 1 mol H₃PO₄ in 40 mol H₂O to 1 mole H₃PO₄ in 100 mol H₂O using the NBS values [12], becomes $\Delta_f H_m^o$ (H₃PO₄ in 100 H₂O, 298.15 K) = - $(1294.3 \pm 1.6) - 0.6 = -1294.9 \pm 1.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. These calculations imply that the CODATA value of $\Delta_{f} H_{m}^{o}$ (H₃PO₄ in 100 H₂O, 298.15 K) = -1296.2 ± 1.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ was actually obtained solely from the PCl₅(cr) data, unless there are some additional small but undescribed corrections to the data based on combustion of P(cr) that somehow bring it into exact agreement with this value (which is improbable). The CODATA choice of $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}(H_{3}PO_{4} \text{ in } 100 \text{ H}_{2}O, 298.15 \text{ K})$ corresponds to $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (P₄O₁₀, cr, 298.15 K) = -3008.2 kJ·mol⁻¹, which is essentially identical to $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}({\rm P}_{\rm 4}{\rm O}_{\rm 10}, {\rm cr}, 298.15 {\rm K}) = -3008.3 \pm 5.2 {\rm kJ} \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1}$ recommended by Schumm *et al.* [16]. This value agrees well with the assessed value of $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}(P_{4}O_{10}, cr, 298.15 \text{ K}) =$ $-3010.1 \pm 3.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ from Gurvich *et al.* [18], which is based on essentially the same source data, and $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}(P_{4}O_{10}, cr, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -3009.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ given in the

NIST-JANAF tables [19] that is based on the enthalpy of combustion results reported by Egan and Luff [27].

Values of $\Delta_{f}G_{m}^{o}$ for PO₄³⁻(aq), HPO₄²⁻(aq), H₂PO₄⁻(aq), and H₃PO₄⁰(aq) from NBS tables [12] and those calculated in the NEA reviews [14, 21] from information from the CODATA report [13] and additional evaluations are given in Table 1, and differ by $\Delta(\Delta_f G_m^o) = 6.83 \pm 0.06 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ where the uncertainty is the 95% confidence limit. This nearly constant difference between the $\Delta_{\rm f} G^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ values implies that the dissociation constants of orthophosphoric acid used in the NBS evaluation are identical or nearly so to those described in the CODATA evaluation. If a similar examination is made of the $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$ values summarized in Table 1, there is a systematic trend from $\Delta(\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) = 7.0 \text{ kJ·mol}^{-1}$ for $PO_4^{3-}(aq)$, $\Delta(\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) = 6.9 \text{ kJ·mol}^{-1}$ for HPO₄²⁻(aq), $\Delta(\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) = 6.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for H₂PO₄⁻(aq), to $\Delta(\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) = 5.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for $H_3PO_4^0(aq)$. The average difference is $\Delta(\Delta_f H_m^o) = 6.5 \pm 1.1 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, where the uncertainty is the 95% confidence limit, which agrees with the $\Delta(\Delta_f G_m^o)$ value within these uncertainty limits. However, these variations for $\Delta(\Delta_f H_m^o)$ may imply that the enthalpies of dissociation of the acidic protons of H₃PO₄(aq) used in the NBS evaluations (which are not known to us) are different from those used in the CODATA evaluations that are described in reference [13].

Because the $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$ values for PO₄³⁻(aq), HPO₄²⁻(aq), H₂PO₄⁻(aq), and H₃PO₄⁰(aq) in the CODATA and NEA evaluations [13, 14] were based on thermodynamic cycles that include P₄O₁₀(cr) and PCl₅(cr), it is reasonable to expect that similar thermodynamic cycles were used in the NBS evaluations [11, 12]. However, the values of $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (PCl₅, cr, 298.15 K) from the two evaluations are very close as shown in Table 1, and therefore thermodynamic calculations involving this compound could only account for a very small fraction of the observed differences for the enthalpies of formation of the aqueous species. It is more likely that the observed differences are the result of differences between different sources for the enthalpies of combustion of P(cr) to yield H₃PO₄(aq) that are reflected in the values of $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (P₄O₁₀, cr, 298.15 K).

Dissolution of $P_4O_{10}(cr)$ is water yields aqueous solutions of orthophosphoric acid by the reaction:

$$P_4O_{10}(cr) + 6H_2O(l) \rightarrow 4H_3PO_4(aq)$$
(1)

From the results summarized in Table 1 for the NBS [12] and CODATA-based [13, 14] evaluations, the difference in $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m} (P_4O_{10}, {\rm cr}, 298.15 {\rm K})$ values yield $\Delta (\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m})/4$ = 24.2/4 = 6.1 kJ·mol⁻¹, which is within the uncertainty limits of the value $\Delta (\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m})$ = 6.5 ± 1.1 kJ·mol⁻¹ derived two paragraphs above for the aqueous species. The fairly consistent systematic differences observed for $\Delta (\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m})$ and $\Delta (\Delta_{\rm f} G^{\rm o}_{\rm m})$ between these two evaluations clearly resulted from the use of inconsistent values for the enthalpy of combustion of P(cr) in the two evaluations.

An examination of all of the source papers cited in the CODATA evaluation [13] identified only one that reported the value $\Delta_f H^o_m(P_4O_{10}, cr, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -2984.0$ $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ that is identical to the value given in the NBS tables [11, 12]. This value, taken from the study of Holmes [28], was not used in the CODATA evaluation. Although the calculations and references are not given in the NBS evaluations, Dr. Donald G. Archer of NIST/Gaithersburg [29] was able to locate information that confirms that the paper of Holmes was the source of the NBS value for $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}(P_{4}O_{10}, cr, 298.15 \text{ K})$. As discussed by Head and Lewis [15] and Irving and McKerrell [30], that result reported by Holmes now appears to be discredited. Head and Lewis [15] re-determined the enthalpy of combustion of P(cr) and Irving and McKerrell [30] combined their enthalpy of solution of P₄O₁₀(cr) with the enthalpy of combustion results of Egan and Luff [27]; their consistent results also agree well with that obtained from the enthalpies of formation and hydrolysis of PCl₅(cr) [16,17].

The examination given here implies that the evaluated values of standard thermodynamic properties for $PO_4^{3-}(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$, and $H_3PO_4^{0}(aq)$ in the NBS evaluations [11,12] are probably systematically in error because of the use of a questionable enthalpy of combustion enthalpy datum [28] in their evaluation; consequently, the corresponding CODATA values [13] are probably more reliable.

A complete re-evaluation of the standard thermodynamic properties of all of the aqueous orthophosphate species and phosphorus compounds evaluated in the CODATA effort [13] is beyond the scope of the present report. We are not aware of any studies that would yield a significant improvement in the values of $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (P₄O₁₀, cr, 298.15 K) or $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (PCl₅, cr, 298.15 K) that are needed for the evaluation of the enthalpies of formation of the aqueous orthophosphate species. Thus, at this time, we recommend that the evaluated enthalpies of formation reported in the CODATA evaluation be retained.

3. Examination and extension of the CODATA evaluation of the standard entropies of the $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ and $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$ ions

The evaluation of the thermodynamic properties for the orthophosphate ion and its protonated species for the CODATA tables [13] is complicated and utilizes thermodynamic cycles involving a number of compounds of phosphorus. It was pointed out above that the enthalpies of formation of the aqueous species are based on calorimetric data for $P_4O_{10}(cr)$ and $PCl_5(cr)$, the dissolution of $P_4O_{10}(cr)$ into water, the hydrolysis of $PCl_5(cr)$, *etc.* Relating the thermodynamic properties of $PO_4^{3-}(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, and $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$ involves the enthalpies of ionization of the various acidic ions and the dissociation constants of these acids.

In the CODATA evaluation [13] the standard entropies of the aqueous species $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ and $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$ are derived from the entropies of the solid compounds $KH_2PO_4(cr)$, $(NH_4)H_2PO_4(cr)$ and $Na_2HPO_4\cdot 7H_2O(cr)$, together with their entropies of solution into water. Because the entropies of solution are not directly measurable, they are based on the standard enthalpies and Gibbs energies of solution, and the Gibbs energies of solution in turn are based on solubilities and activity coefficients (and in some cases the activity of water) of the saturated solutions.

The standard entropy of KH₂PO₄(cr) is based on the heat capacity measurements of Stephenson and Hooley [31] from 16.1 to 299.1 K, who reported S_m^o (KH₂PO₄, cr, "298.19 K") = (32.23 ± 0.1)(4.184) = (134.85 ± 0.42) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. The value S_m^o (KH₂PO₄, cr, 298.15 K) = (134.85 ± 0.45) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ accepted in the CODATA report [13] is identical, except for the slightly larger uncertainty and it was apparently not corrected for the small changes in the temperature scale. Note that "298.19 K" is equivalent to the modern 298.15 K. Kogan and Chernyaev [32] reported heat capacities of KH₂PO₄(cr) from approximately 60 to 300 K, and used the lower temperatures heat capacities of Stephenson and Hooley to evaluate their standard entropy. The standard entropy reported by Kogan and Chernyaev, S_m^o (KH₂PO₄, cr, 298.15 K) = (32.00 ± 0.30)(4.184) = (133.9 ± 1.3) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹, was not cited in the CODATA report. However, this value agrees with the Stephenson and Hooley / CODATA value within these uncertainty limits. The complete Kogan and Chernyaev report is a deposited document that we have not obtained. We concur with the CODATA choice of the more precise value from Stephenson and Hooley [31].

Stephenson and Zettlemoyer [33] reported heat capacities of $(NH_4)H_2PO_4(cr)$ from 18.1 to 299.3 K and reported a standard entropy of $S_m^o \{(NH_4)H_2PO_4, cr, "298.19 \text{ K}"\}$ = $(36.32 \pm 0.1)(4.184) = (151.96 \pm 0.42) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. The value $S_m^o \{(NH_4)H_2PO_4, cr, 298.15 \text{ K}\}$ = $(151.96 \pm 0.45) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ accepted in the CODATA report [13] is identical except for the slightly larger uncertainty. We are not aware of any additional heat capacities for thus system and thus accept the value $S_m^o \{(NH_4)H_2PO_4, cr, 298.15 \text{ K}\}$ selected in the CODATA report.

Waterfield and Staveley [34] measured the heat capacities of Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O(cr), Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O(cr), and Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O(cr) from about 5 to 300 K. Only smoothed results were reported. Their derived values are S_m^o (Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, cr, 298.15 K) = (52.90 ± 0.2)(4.184) = (221.33 ± 0.84) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹, S_m^o (Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, cr, 298.15 K) = (103.87 ± 0.3)(4.184) = (434.59 ± 1.26) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹, and S_m^o (Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O, cr, 298.15 K) = (148.56 ± 0.4)(4.184) = (621.58 ± 1.67) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. Compiled solubilities [35] for the various hydrates of Na₂HPO₄·xH₂O(cr) indicate that Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O(cr) is the thermodynamically stable hydrate in contact with the saturated aqueous solutions below about 308.6 K, and thus this hydrate is the one needed for evaluation of the standard entropy of the $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ ion.

Waterfield and Staveley [34] also determined the dissociation vapor pressures of the solid mixtures $Na_2HPO_4(cr) + Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O(cr)$, $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O(cr) + Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O(cr)$ $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 7H_2O(cr)$, and $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 7H_2O(cr) + Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12H_2O(cr)$ at 298.15 K, along with the enthalpies of solution of the individual solid phases. Combining this information with $S_m^o(Na_2HPO_4, cr, 298.15 \text{ K})$ from the published literature, they calculated the entropies of the three hydrates. Agreement between calculated and experimental values was good for the two lower hydrates, but the value of $S_{m}^{o}(\text{Na}_{2}\text{HPO}_{4}\cdot12\text{H}_{2}\text{O}, \text{ cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = (152.07 \pm 0.2)(4.184) = (636.26 \pm 0.84) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ 1 ·mol⁻¹ calculated by this method is significantly larger than the value of $S_{\rm m}^{\rm o}({\rm Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12H_2O}, {\rm cr}, 298.15 {\rm K}) = (621.58 \pm 1.67) {\rm J} \cdot {\rm K}^{-1} \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1}$ obtained by integration of the heat capacities, which implies that this solid phase has a large residual entropy of 14.7 ± 2.1 at 0 K due to disorder in the crystal structure. A similar procedure was used in the CODATA evaluation [13], except that the entropy of $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12H_2O(cr)$ was tied to that of $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 7H_2O(cr)$ rather than to Na₂HPO₄(cr). The resulting CODATA value of S_m° (Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O, cr, 298.15 K) = (634.6 ± 1.0) J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ is 1.7 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ smaller than Waterfield and Staveley's calculated value that was referenced to Na₂HPO₄(cr).

Because of the uncertainty in the value of $S_m^o(Na_2HPO_4\cdot 12H_2O, cr, 298.15 \text{ K})$ resulting from this residual entropy, it is desirable to also use other thermodynamic cycles to evaluate $S_m^o(HPO_4^{2-}, aq, 298.15 \text{ K})$. Low temperature heat capacities are available for both $(NH_4)_2HPO_4(cr)$ and $K_2HPO_4(cr)$ [36, 37] and, because of the absence of residual entropies at 0 K, entropies derived from these studies have the potential of yielding a more reliable value of $S_m^o(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K})$.

Luff et al. [36] measured the heat capacities of (NH₄)₂HPO₄(cr) from 8.70 to 316.14 K and derived the value S_m^o {(NH₄)₂HPO₄, cr, 298.15 K} = 42.30(4.184) = 177.0 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. Similarly, Luff and Reed [37] reported heat capacities of K₂HPO₄(cr) from 9.49 to 316.12 K and derived the value S_m^o (K₂HPO₄, cr, 298.15 K) = 42.80(4.184) = 179.1 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. Assessed values of the standard enthalpies of solution of (NH₄)₂HPO₄(cr) are reported in the CODATA review [13]. Also needed for the evaluation of the standard entropy of solution are the molalities and activity coefficients of the saturated solutions of these electrolytes at 298.15 K. Available compilations [35, 38, 39] indicate that $m(\text{sat.}) \approx 5.4 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$ for $(\text{NH}_4)_2$ HPO₄ and $m(\text{sat.}) \approx 9.5 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$ for K₂HPO₄, both at 298.15 K. Unfortunately, the isopiestic measurements needed to evaluate the activity coefficients do not extend to these high molalities and are available at 298.15 K only from 0.1058 to 3.107 mol \cdot kg⁻¹ for $(NH_4)_2HPO_4(aq)$ [40] and from 0.0894 to 0.8861 for K₂HPO₄(aq) [42, 47]. Thus, it is not possible to evaluate the activity coefficients of their saturated solutions and consequently not the standard solubility products of (NH₄)₂HPO₄(cr) and K_2 HPO₄(cr). As a result, the data cited in this paragraph cannot be used to calculate another value of $S_m^{o}(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K})$. We hope that a reader of this paper will perform the needed isopiestic measurement for $(NH_4)_2HPO_4(aq)$ and $K_2HPO_4(aq)$ at 298.15 K from low molalities to saturation because this information would allow two independent checks to be made on the value of $S_{\rm m}^{\rm o}({\rm HPO_4^{2-}}, aq, 298.15 \text{ K})$ derived in

the CODATA report and, potentially, improve its value. More precise solubility determinations are also needed to resolve discrepancies among published values.

The CODATA evaluation derived the standard Gibbs energy of solution of Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O(cr) at 298.15 K from $m(\text{sat.}) = 0.812 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$ reported by Wendrow and Kobe [41] and interpolated the isopiestic results of Scatchard and Brekenridge [42] to this molality to yield $\gamma_{\pm}(\text{sat.}) = 0.223$ and water activity $a_{w}(\text{sat.}) =$ 0.9732, which yields the standard solubility product $K_s = 0.01714$ and $\Delta_{sol}G_m^o(Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12H_2O, cr, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -10.080 \pm 0.050 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. However, Wendrow and Kobe cite two other solubility studies at this temperature, and Linke [35] cited those studies along with two additional ones that yield m(sat.) = 0.845, 0.855, 0.859, and 0.828 mol·kg⁻¹. There values are all higher that the Wendrow and Kobe solubility, whereas Platford's results [40] yield an agreeing value of m(sat.) =0.818 mol·kg⁻¹. The unweighted mean of these values, $m(\text{sat.}) = 0.836 \pm 0.039$ $mol kg^{-1}$, agrees within the 95% confidence limits with the CODATA selection. Platford also reported values of $K_s = 0.016$ from solubility and isopiestic measurements for Na₂HPO₄(aq) solutions and $K_s = 0.020$ from similar measurements for aqueous Na₂HPO₄ + (NH₄)₂HPO₄ mixtures, and their average of $K_s = 0.018 \pm 0.02$ is nearly equal to $K_s = 0.01714$ obtained in the CODATA review. Therefore, our reexamination of the standard solubility product will not result in a significant change in the entropy of solution of Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O(cr) and thus the CODATA value of $S_{m}^{0}(\text{HPO}_{4}^{2-}, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -33.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ is retained.

The CODATA [13] evaluation reported that they derived the standard Gibbs energy of solution of (NH₄)H₂PO₄(cr) at 298.15 K from a solubility taken from Linke [35] along with the estimated value $\gamma_{\pm}(\text{sat.}) \approx 0.25$, which gave $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G_m^{\circ}$ {(NH₄)H₂PO₄, cr, 298.15 K} = 0.57 ± 0.35 kJ·mol⁻¹. Linke actually reported 4 values of the solubility based on a large number of studies that yield m(sat.) = 3.43, 3.62, 3.57, and 3.48 mol·kg⁻¹. The CODATA report did not identify which solubility value from Linke was selected, but back-calculation from the Gibbs energy of solution yields K_s = 0.7946 and $m(\text{sat.}) = 3.566 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$, which is essentially the third m(sat.) value from Linke's tabulation [35]. Filippov *et al.* [43] reported $m(\text{sat.}) = 3.65 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$, and Egan and Luff [44] reported $m(\text{sat.}) = 3.62 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$ but did not state if it was a new experimental or literature value. Eysseltová and Dirkse [38] reported m(sat.) =3.577 mol·kg⁻¹ from a smoothing of assessed literature data as a function of temperature, which is in reasonable agreement with the CODATA choice.

Filippov *et al.* [43, 45] reported that $m(\text{sat.}) = 3.65 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ and $\ln K_{\text{s}} = -0.62$ at 298.15 K based on isopiestic and solubility measurements. These values yield $K_{\text{s}} = 0.538$ and $\gamma_{\pm}(\text{sat.}) = 0.201$; consequently, $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G_{\text{m}}^{\circ} \{(\text{NH}_4)\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4, \text{ cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}\} = 1.54$ kJ·mol⁻¹. We believe that this value is more accurate than the value 0.57 ± 0.35 kJ·mol⁻¹ selected in the CODATA evaluation [13] because it is based on an experimental rather than an estimated activity coefficient. Combining this new result with the CODATA value of $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H_{\text{m}}^{\circ} \{(\text{NH}_4)\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}\} = 16.20 \pm 0.10$ kJ·mol⁻¹ yields $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S_{\text{m}}^{\circ} \{(\text{NH}_4)\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}\} = 49.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. This standard entropy of solution is smaller by $3.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ than the value implied in the CODATA evaluation, and yields a corresponding reduction in the derived value of $S_{\text{m}}^{\circ}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K})$ from 93.2 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ to 90.0 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹.

The CODATA [13] evaluation also used a separate calculation of $S_m^{\circ}(H_2PO_4^-)$, aq, 298.15 K) based on the standard entropy KH₂PO₄(cr) and its standard Gibbs energies and enthalpies of solution at 298.15 K. They reported that they selected a solubility of $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.828 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{\pm}(\text{sat.}) = 0.3306$ from the study of Childs *et al.* [46], which yields $K_s = 0.3652$ and $\Delta_{sol}G_m^{\circ}(\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 2.50 \pm 0.20 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (using the CODATA uncertainty estimate). Childs *et al.* [46] actually reported that $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.85 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ rather than $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.828 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ as claimed in the CODATA report. Egan and Luff [44] reported a solubility of $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.78 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, but did not state if it was a new experimental or a literature value, Linke [35] cited five literature values and an average value that yields $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.837 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$. Makovi cka and Salomon [39] reported $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.83 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ from a smoothing of literature solubilities as a function of temperature, which is essentially in agreement with the CODATA value. Given the concordance of these solubilities, the CODATA

choice of $m(\text{sat.}) = 1.828 \text{ mol·kg}^{-1}$ is reasonable.

There are four studies reporting isopiestic data for KH₂PO₄(aq) solutions at 298.15 K. Scatchard and Breckenridge's [42] measurements cover the molality range 0.12018 to 1.25414 mol·kg⁻¹ and Kabiri-Badr and Zafarani-Moattar [47] from 0.1110 to 1.2433 mol·kg⁻¹, but these results do not extend close enough to the saturation molality to yield a reliable value of γ_{\pm} (sat.). The measurements of Stokes [48] extend from 0.0993 to 1.820 mol·kg⁻¹ (presumably to saturation) and those of Childs *et al.* [46] from 0.8528 to 2.1866 mol·kg⁻¹ (to oversaturated). Activity coefficients reported in these two studies show some differences, but are nearly indistinguishable near the saturated solution molality, *e.g.*, $\gamma_{\pm} = 0.332$ [48] and $\gamma_{\pm} = 0.3328$ [46] at 1.8 mol·kg⁻¹.

Given the consistency of the values of m(sat.) and γ_{\pm} for KH₂PO₄(aq) and their close agreement with the values selected in the CODATA review [13], there is no compelling reason to recalculate the value of $S_m^o(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 91.3 \pm 1.0$ $J\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ based on data for KH₂PO₄(aq). However, because of changes for this quantity calculated from data for NH₄H₂PO₄(aq) as described above, the average value $S_m^o(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 90.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ appears to a better choice than 92.5 ± 1.5 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ recommended in the CODATA review, although the difference is small.

A consistency check can be made using information not considered in the CODATA review [13]. The second acidic dissociation reaction of H_3PO_4 can be written as:

$$H_2PO_4^{-}(aq) \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\leftarrow} HPO_4^{2-}(aq) + H^{+}(aq)$$
(2)

Using the revised value of $S_m^{\circ}(H_2PO_4^{-}, aq, 298.15 \text{ K})$, the entropy change for this dissociation reaction is given by $\Delta_{dis}S_m^{\circ} = S_m^{\circ}(HPO_4^{2-}, aq, 298.15 \text{ K}) + S_m^{\circ}(H^+, aq, 298.15 \text{ K}) - S_m^{\circ}(H_2PO_4^{-}, aq, 298.15 \text{ K}) = (-33.5 \pm 1.5) + (0) - (90.6 \pm 1.5) = -124.1 \pm 2.1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mo}\Gamma^{-1}$. Values of this quantity can also be calculated from the temperature dependence of the natural logarithm of the second dissociation constant. Based on their own careful measurements and analysis, Bates and Acree [49] used this approach to obtain $\Delta_{dis}S_m^{\circ} = -123.8 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mo}\Gamma^{-1}$. Similarly, Ender *et al.* [50] obtained $\Delta_{dis}S_m^{\circ} = -29.63 (4.184) = -124.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mo}\Gamma^{-1}$ and Gryzybowski [51] $\Delta_{dis}S_m^{\circ} = -123.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mo}\Gamma^{-1}$, but Gryzybowski also derived a slightly more negative value of $\Delta_{dis}S_m^{\circ} = -126.4 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mo}\Gamma^{-1}$ from the older dissociation constant measurements of Nims [52]. There is very good agreement between these values of $\Delta_{dis}S_m^o$ from the temperature dependence of the logarithm of the second dissociation constant and the value obtained from calorimetry and Gibbs energy of solution measurements. Thus, the recommended values of $S_m^o(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -33.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{moI}^{-1}$ (CODATA) and $S_m^o(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-, \text{aq}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 90.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{moI}^{-1}$ (revised here) should be fairly reliable.

4. Some effects resulting from inconsistencies between thermodynamic databases As discussed above and illustrated in Table 1, there are differences and inconsistencies between different thermodynamic databases containing evaluated thermodynamic properties for various compounds and aqueous species of phosphorus. Combining evaluated values for different compounds and aqueous species from different databases without properly accounting for such differences can yield large errors when back-calculating thermodynamic properties for the reactions upon which these evaluations were based. Pandit and Jacob [53] noted that thermodynamic values for the enthalpy and Gibbs energy of formation of $Mg_3P_2O_8(s)$ had been moved from older databases to some more recent ones without adjusting for the enthalpy difference between red phosphorus (the standard state in earlier tabulations) and white phosphorus (α -form, the current standard state). Failure to adjust for this difference resulted in errors of 35 to 40 kJ·mol⁻¹ for values of $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$ and $\Delta_{\rm f} G^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ in some tabulations. This problem may extend to evaluated data for additional compounds and aqueous species as presented in derivative works and presents an additional concern in taking evaluated thermodynamic values from other

than original sources. We note that the thermodynamic properties of the allotropic forms of phosphorus have been recently discussed in detail by Schlesinger [54]. Although white phosphorus is not the thermodynamically stable allotrope, the red and black forms are difficult to prepare in pure form, which makes them less suitable for quantitative thermodynamic measurements.

The difference of $\Delta(\Delta_r G_m^o) = 6.83 \pm 0.06 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ found between the Gibbs energies of formation CODATA [13] and NBS [12] evaluations for the protonated and non-protonated orthophosphate ions could yield large errors in solubility predictions if data from these databases or sources derivative to them were combined without properly accounting for differences between the two evaluations. Using hydroxyapatite as an example, if the value of $\Delta_r G_m^o$ {Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂, cr, 298.15 K) is taken from the NBS tabulation and $\Delta_r G_m^o$ {PO₄³⁻, aq, 298.15 K} from the CODATA tabulation, then the calculated solubility product would be in error by a factor of (15.7)⁶ = 1.51 × 10⁷ which is very significant. Clearly, values of thermodynamic quantities from different thermodynamic databases should not be used to supplement each other without a careful consideration of consistency among their common members.

Although our assessment of the published databases for orthophosphate species led us to conclude that the CODATA values are probably the best, they are limited to certain "key" compounds and aqueous species at 298.15 K. The Nuclear Energy has been extending the CODATA effort to include many more compounds and aqueous species [14, 21, 55], but these newer evaluations are limited mainly to those of relevance to radioactive waste management. These extensions include the aqueous "diphosphate" or "pyrophosphate" ions of various degrees of protonation. We recommend strongly that this effort be continued and extended to include many of the natural minerals and additional relevant aqueous species such as the monoflurophosphate ion, PO_3F^{2-} .

5. Summary

The combined NBS tables [12] and the CODATA tables [13] of standard thermodynamic properties are widely used in thermodynamic evaluations and geochemical modeling calculations. A comparison of the enthalpies and Gibbs energies of formation reported in these evaluations shows systematic differences of $\Delta(\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) = 6.5 \pm 1.1 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta(\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) = 6.83 \pm 0.06 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, respectively, for $H_3PO_4^0(aq)$, $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$, $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$, and $PO_4^{3-}(aq)$. Although the NBS tables do not provide references or information about their evaluation, an examination of the published literature indicated that the NBS values are based on a now-discredited value of the enthalpy of combustion of P(cr) from the study of Holmes [28]. We conclude that the thermodynamic values reported in the CODATA tables [13] and the extension of these results by the NEA [14, 21] are more reliable than the NBS values. The CODATA values of the standard enthalpies of formation of the aqueous protonated orthophosphate ions were reported to be based on evaluated values of $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (P₄O₁₀, cr, 298.15 K) and $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (PCl₅, cr, 298.15 K). However, an inspection of the source literature led to the conclusion that the CODATA values were probably based only on the $PCl_5(cr)$ data and not $P_4O_{10}(cr)$, although including both sources of data would only result in small differences of about $0.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$.

The differences in recommended thermodynamic values for key phosphate species between the NBS/NIST evaluation and the CODATA evaluation have resulted in an unfortunate dichotomy in the development of large thermodynamic databases for geochemical and engineering modeling. Some derivative works are based on NBS/NIST, others on CODATA. Worse still, data in some cases may have a mixed pedigree (and therefore are inconsistent) or even be of uncertain origin. A further hazard in developing large thermodynamic databases including phosphorus is that some evaluated data in existing popular compilations may not have been corrected from the older red phosphorus reference state to the currently accepted one based on white phosphorus. For all of these reasons, in developing the phosphate part of large databases for thermodynamic modeling, the use of existing popular compilations in place of original sources carries risk that has perhaps not been fully appreciated.

The calculations of the standard entropies of the $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$ and $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ ions were reexamined in light of more recently published thermodynamic data and data not considered in the CODATA review. We note that if experimental isopiestic measurements at 298.15 K for $(NH_4)_2HPO_4(aq)$ and $K_2HPO_4(aq)$ extending to saturation and more precise solubility determinations become available, then they could be combined with available standard entropies of the solid phases to yield two additional values of $S_m^0(HPO_4^{2-}, aq, 298.15 \text{ K})$. These new values would allow the reliability of the value reported in the CODATA review to be checked and improved. Our analysis of literature data suggests that $S_m^0(H_2PO_4^-, aq, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 90.6 \pm 1.5$ $J\cdot K^{-1} \cdot mo\Gamma^{-1}$ is a better choice than $92.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ J}\cdot K^{-1} \cdot mo\Gamma^{-1}$ recommended in the CODATA review, although the difference is small. A comparison of entropy of dissociation of $H_2PO_4^-(aq)$ to form $HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$ calculated using a CODATA-type thermodynamic cycle, with that obtained from the temperature dependence of the dissociation constant, shows very good agreement.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-ENG-48. This work was supported by the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project, LLNL.

References

- Wolery, T.J.: EQ3NR, A Computer Program for Geochemical Aqueous Speciation-Solubility Calculations: Theoretical Manual, User's Guide, and Related Documentation (Version 7.0), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory report UCRL-MA-110662 Part III, Livermore, California (1992)
- Marion, G.M., Grant, S.A.: FREZCHEM: A Chemical-Thermodynamic Model for Aqueous Solutions at Subzero temperatures, Special Report 94-18, US Army Corps of Engineers, Cold Region Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, New Hampshire (1994)
- Plummer, L.N., Parkhurst, D.L., Fleming, G.W., Dunkle, S.A.: US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 88-4153 (1988)
- Christov, C., Møller, N.: A chemical equilibrium model of solution behavior and solubility in the H-Na-K-Ca-OH-Cl-HSO₄-SO₄-H₂O system to high concentration and temperature. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 3717–3739 (2004)
- Marion, G.: Carbonate mineral solubility at low temperatures in the Na-K-Mg-Ca-H-Cl-SO₄-OH-HCO₃-CO₃-CO₂-H₂O system. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 1883–1896 (2001)
- Alai, M., Sutton, M., Carroll, S.: Evaporative evolution of a Na–Cl–NO₃–K–Ca– SO₄–Mg–Si brine at 95 °C: Experiments and modeling relevant to Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Geochem. Trans. 6(2), 31–45 (2005)
- Pitzer, K.S.: in Activity Coefficients in Electrolyte Solutions, 2nd ed., Pitzer, K.S.: editor, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1991), Chap. 3
- Rard, J.A.: Chemistry and thermodynamics of europium and some of its simpler inorganic compounds and aqueous species. Chem. Rev. 85, 555–582 (1985)
- Wellman, D.M., Icenhower, J.P., Gamerdinger, A.P., Forrester, S.W.: Effects of pH, temperature, and aqueous organic material on the dissolution kinetics of metaautunite minerals, (Na,Ca)₂₋₁[(UO₂)(PO₄)]₂·3H₂O. Am. Mineral. **91**, 143–158 (2006)
- Rossini, F.D., Wagman, D.D., Evans, W.H., Levine, S., Jaffe, I.: Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, Circular of the National Bureau of Standards 500, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. (1952)

- Wagman, D.D., Evans, W.H., Parker, V.B. Halow, I., Bailey, S.M., Schumm, R.H.: Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, Tables for the First Thirty-four Elements in the Standard Order of Arrangement, NBS Technical Note 270-3, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. (1968);
- Wagman, D.D., Evans, W.H., Parker, V.B., Schumm, R.H., Halow, I., Bailey, S.M., Churney, K.L., Nuttall, R.L.: The NBS tables of chemical thermodynamic properties. Selected values for inorganic and C₁ and C₂ organic substances in SI units. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 11, Supplement No. 2, 1–392 (1982); errata: J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 18, 1807–1812 (1989)
- Cox, J.D., Wagman, D.D., Medvedev, V.A.: CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics, Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, New York (1989)
- Grenthe, I., Fuger, J., Konings, R.J.M., Lemire, R.J., Muller, A.B., Nguyen-Trung Cregu, C., Wanner, H.: Chemical Thermodynamics of Uranium, Wanner, H. and Forest, I.: editors, North Holland, Amsterdam (1992)
- 15. Head, A.J., Lewis, G.B.: Thermodynamic properties of phosphorus compounds.
 3. The enthalpy of formation of aqueous orthophosphoric acid. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2, 701–716 (1970)
- Schumm, R.H., Prosen, E.J., Wagman, D.D.: Enthalpy of formation of phosphorus pentachloride; derivation of the enthalpy of formation of aqueous orthophosphoric acid. J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 78A, 375–386 (1974)
- Birley, G.I., Skinner, H.A.: Heat of hydrolysis of phosphorus pentachloride, and heat of formation of aqueous orthophosphoric acid. Trans. Faraday Soc. 64, 3232–3234 (1968)
- 18. Gurvich, L.V., Veyts, I.V., Alcock, C.B. (editors): Thermodynamic Properties of Individual Substances. Volume 1. Elements O, H (D, T), F, Cl, Br, I, He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe, Rn, S, N, P, and their Compounds, fourth ed., Part one: Methods and Computation, and Part two: Tables, Hemisphere Publishing Corp., New York (1989)
- 19. Chase, M.W., Jr.: NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables, fourth edition, Part I, Al-Co and Part II, Cr-Zr, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data Monograph No. 9 (1998)

- Barin, I.: Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances, Vol. II La—Zr, Third Edition, VCH Publishers, New York, NY (1995)
- 21. Guillaumont, R., Fanghänel, T., Fuger, J., Grenthe, I., Neck, V., Palmer, D.A., Rand, M.H.: Update on the Chemical Thermodynamics of Uranium, Neptunium, Plutonium, Americium and Technetium, Mompean, F.J., Illemassene, M., Domenech-Orti, C., and Ben Said, K.: editors, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2003)
- 22. Rai, D., Xia, Y., Rao. L., Hess, N.J., Felmy, A.R., Moore, D.A., McCready,

D.E.: Solubility of $(UO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ in H⁺-Na⁺-OH⁻- $H_2PO_4^- - HPO_4^{2^-} - PO_4^{3^-} - H_2O$ and its comparison to the analogous $PuO_2^{2^+}$ system. J. Solution Chem. **34**, 469–498 (2005)

- Weber, C.F., Beahm, E.C., Watson, J.S.: Modeling thermodynamics and phase equilibria for aqueous solutions of trisodium phosphate. J. Solution Chem. 28, 1207–1238 (1999)
- 24. Johnson, J.W., Oelkers, E.H., Helgeson, H.C.: SUPCRT92: A software package for calculating the standard molal thermodynamic properties of minerals, gases, aqueous species, and reactions from 1 to 5000 bar and 0 to 1000 °C. Computers Geoscience **18**, 899–947 (1992)
- 25. Shock, E.L., Helgeson, H.C.: Calculation of the thermodynamic and transport properties of aqueous species at high pressures and temperatures. Correlation algorithms for ionic species and equation of state predictions to 5 kb and 1000 °C. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 52, 2009–2036 (1989)
- Alberty, R.A.: Calculation of standard transformed Gibbs energies and standard transformed enthalpies of biochemical reactions. Archiv. Biochem. Biophys. 353, 116–130 (1998)
- 27. Egan, Jr., E.P., Luff, B.B.: Heats of Formation of Phosphorus Oxides, Tennessee Valley Authority report AD429008, Progress Report for June 1, 1963 to November 30, 1963, Defense Technical Information Center, Ft. Belvoir, Virginia (1963)
- Holmes, W.S.: Heat of combustion of phosphorus and the enthalpies of formation of P₄O₁₀ and H₃PO₄. Trans. Faraday Soc. 58, 1916–1925 (1962)
- 29. Archer, D.G., private communication (e-mail) to Rard, J.A., 16 June 2006

- 30. Irving, R.J., McKerrell, H.: Standard heat of formation of aqueous orthophosphoric acid. Trans. Faraday Soc. **63**, 2582–2585 (1967)
- Stephenson, C.C., Hooley, J.G.: The heat capacity of potassium dihydrogen phosphate from 15 to 300°K. The anomaly at the Curie temperature. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 66, 1397–1401 (1944)
- 32. Kogan, B.S., Chernyaev, V.S.: Thermodynamic properties of potassium phosphates. VI. The low-temperature heat capacity and entropy of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate. Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 47, 288 (1973); this is an abstract of deposited document 4730-72 in VINITI, 24 August 1972
- 33. Stephenson, C.C., Zettlemoyer, A.C.: The heat capacity of ammonium dihydrogen phosphate from 15 to 300°K. The anomaly at the Curie temperature. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 66, 1405–1408 (1944)
- Waterfield, C.G., Staveley, L.A.K.: Thermodynamic investigation of disorder in the hydrates of disodium hydrogen phosphate. Trans. Faraday Soc. 63, 2349–2356 (1967)
- Linke, W.F.: Solubilities: Inorganic and Metal-Organic Compounds, 4th edition, Vol. II (1965), pp. 285–287, 729, 730, 1102, 1103
- 36. Luff, B.B., Reed, R.B., Nash, R.H.: Low-temperature heat capacity and entropy of diammonium orthophosphate. J. Chem. Eng. Data **21**, 418–419 (1976)
- Luff, B.B., Reed, R.B.: Low-temperature heat capacity and entropy of dipotassium orthophosphate. J. Chem. Eng. Data 23, 58–60 (1978)
- Eysseltová, J., Dirkse, T.P.: IUPAC NIST Solubility Data Series 66.
 Ammonium phosphates. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 27, 1289–1470 (1998); erratum, 29, 1643–1644 (2000)
- Makovička, J., Salomon, M.: Solubility Data Series. Vol. 31, Alkali Metal Orthophosphates, Eysseltová, J. and Dirkse, T.D.: editors, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1988)
- 40. Platford, R.F.: Thermodynamics of system H₂O–Na₂HPO₄–(NH₄)₂HPO₄ at 25
 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data **19**, 166–168 (1974)
- Wendrow, B., Kobe, K.A.: The system sodium oxide-phosphorus pentoxidewater. Ind. Eng. Chem. 44, 1439–1448 (1952)

- 42. Scatchard, G., Breckenridge, R.C.: Isotonic solutions. II. The chemical potential of water in aqueous solutions of potassium and sodium phosphates and arsenates at 25°. J. Phys. Chem. **58**, 596–602 (1954)
- 43. Filippov, V.K., Charykova, M.V., Trofimov, Yu.M.: Thermodynamic study of the systems Na⁺, NH⁺₄||SO²⁻₄-H₂O and Na⁺, NH⁺₄||H₂PO⁻₄-H₂O at 25 °C. J. Appl. Chem. USSR, 60, 237–241 (1987)
- 44. Egan, Jr., E.P., Luff, B.B.: Heats of solution of monoammonium and monopotassium phosphates at 25 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data **8**, 181–182 (1963)
- 45. Filippov, V.K., Charykova, M.V.: Phase equilibria in the NH⁺₄||CΓ, SO²⁻₄, H₂PO⁻₄-H₂O system at 25 °C. Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. **35**, 1824– 1826 (1990)
- Childs, C.W., Downes, C.J., Platford, R.F.: Thermodynamics of aqueous sodium and potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate solutions at 25 °C. Aust. J. Chem. 26, 863–866 (1973)
- 47. Kabiri-Badr, M., Zafarani-Moattar, M. T.: Volumetric and isopiestic studies of (H₂O + K₂HPO₄ + KH₂PO₄) at 25 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data **40**, 412–414 (1995)
- 48. Stokes, J.M.: The osmotic and activity coefficients of sodium and potassium dihydrogen phosphate at 25 °. Trans. Faraday Soc. **41**, 685–688 (1945)
- 49. Bates, R.G., Acree, S.F.: H values of certain phosphate-chloride mixtures, and the second dissociation constant of phosphoric acid from 0° to 60° C. J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. **30**, 129–155 (1943)
- 50. Ender, F., Teltschik, W., Schäfer, K.: Elektrochemische und thermodynamische Untersuchungen von Phosphatpuffern in Wasser-Methanolgemischen. Z. Elektrochem. 61, 775–781 (1957)
- 51. Grzybowski, A.K.: The standard potential of the calomel electrode and its application in accurate physicochemical measurements. II. Thermodynamics of the second ionization of orthophosphoric acid. J. Phys. Chem. 62, 555–559 (1958)
- 52. Nims, L.F.: The second dissociation constant of phosphoric acid from 20 to 50°.J. Am. Chem. Soc. 55, 1946–1951 (1933)

- Pandit, S.S., Jacob, K.T.: Thermodynamic properties of magnesium phosphate (Mg₃P₂O₈)—Correction of data in recent compilations. Metal. Mater. Trans. 26A, 225–227
- 54. Schlesinger, M. E.: The thermodynamic properties of phosphorus and solid binary phosphides. Chem. Rev. **102**, 4267–4301 (2002)
- 55. Rard, J.A., Rand, M.H, Anderegg, G., Wanner, H.: Chemical Thermodynamics of Technetium, Sandino, M.C.A. and Östhols, E.: editors, North Holland, Amsterdam (1999)

Table 1 Comparison of published values of the standard molar enthalpies of formation, $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$, standard molar Gibbs energies of formation, $\Delta_{\rm f} G^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$, and standard molar entropies, S_m^o , of PO₄³⁻(aq), HPO₄²⁻(aq), H₂PO₄⁻(aq), H₃PO₄⁰(aq), P(cr, white),

Compound or ion	Selected Values (1952) ^{<i>a</i>}	NBS 270- 3 (1968) ^b	NBS Tables (1982) ^c	CODATA (1989) + NEA (1992) d	Gurvich <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1989) ^{<i>e</i>}	NIST- JANAF (1998) ^f
		Δ	$_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (kJ·mol	⁻¹)		
$H_3PO_4^0(aq)$		-1288.3	-1288.34	-1294.12		
$H_2PO_4^-(aq)$	-1302.5	-1296.3	-1296.29	-1302.6		
$HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$	-1298.7	-1292.1	-1292.14	-1299.0		
$PO_4^{3-}(aq)$	-1284.1	-1277.4	-1277.4	-1284.4		
P ₄ O ₁₀ (cr,hex)	-3012.5	-2984.0	-2984.0	(-3008.2) ^g	-3010.1	-3009.9
PCl ₅ (cr)	-463.2	-443.5	-443.5	(-443.85) ^{<i>h</i>}		
P(cr, white)	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Δ	$_{\rm f}G^{\rm o}_{\rm m}$ (kJ·mol)		
$H_3PO_4^0(aq)$		-1142.65	-1142.54	-1149.367		
$H_2PO_4^-(aq)$	-1135.1	-1088.6^{i}	-1130.28	-1137.152		
$HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$	-1094.1	-1089.3	-1089.15	-1095.985		
$PO_{4}^{3-}(aq)$	-1025.5	-1018.8	-1018.7	-1025.491		
$P_4O_{10}(cr,hex)$		-2697.8	-2697.7			-2723.3
PCl ₅ (cr)						
P(cr, white)	0	0	0	0	0	0
		S	[°] _m (J·K ^{−1} ·mol	-1)		
$H_3PO_4^0(aq)$		158.2	158.2	161.912		
$H_2PO_4^-(aq)$	89.1	90.4	90.4	92.5		
$HPO_4^{2-}(aq)$	-36.0	-33.5	-33.5	-33.5		
$PO_{4}^{3-}(aq)$	-218	-222	-220.3^{j}	-220.97		
$P_4O_{10}(cr,hex)$		228.9	228.86		231.00	228.78
PCl ₅ (cr)						

P₄O₁₀(cr) and PCl₅(cr) at 298.15 K (25 °C)

P(cr, white) 44.35 41.09	41.09 41.09	41.09 41.08	
--------------------------	-------------	-------------	--

^{*a*} From Rossini *et al.*, "Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties" [10]. Units of calories were converted to joules using 1 cal_{th} = 4.1840 J.

^{*b*} From Wagman *et al.*, Technical Note 270-3 [11]; values taken with possibly minor corrections from either Technical Note 270-1 or 270-2. Units of calories were converted to joules using 1 cal_{th} = 4.1840 J.

^c From Wagman *et al.*, "The NBS tables of chemical thermodynamic properties" [12].

^{*d*} From Cox *et al.*, "CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics" [13], and Grenthe *et al.*, "Chemical Thermodynamics of Uranium" [14]. The CODATA Key Values of 1989 [13] were accepted by Grenthe *et al.* and supplemented with calculations of $\Delta_f G_m^o$, along with some additional evaluations.

^e From Gurvich et al., "Thermodynamic Properties of Individual Substances" [18].

^{*f*} From Chase, "NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables" [19]. The values given by Barin in "Thermodynamic Data for Pure Substances" [20] for $P_2O_{10}(cr)$ and $P_2O_{10}(g)$ are essential identical to the NIST-JANAF values.

^g This value was not given in the CODATA Key Values but was involved in thermodynamic cycles to obtain $\Delta_f H_m^o$ of the various hydrogen phosphate ions. This value was derived in this report; see the discussion in the text.

^{*h*} This value was not given in the CODATA Key Values but was used in thermodynamic cycles to obtain $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ of the various hydrogen phosphate ions; it was taken from Schumm *et al.* [16].

^{*i*} This value of $\Delta_{f}G_{m}^{o}$ is apparently erroneous because $\Delta_{f}G_{m}^{o} = -1130.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ is obtained using their reported values of $\Delta_{f}H_{m}^{o}$ and S_{m}^{o} ; this derived value agrees with that reported in the NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties [12].

^{*j*} This value was reported in the errata for the NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties [12].