Hadron Collider Physics Symposium (HCP2008), Galena, Illinois, USA

Search for SM Higgs Boson Produced in Association with a Z or a W Boson in events with $\not\!\!E_T$ and *b*-jets

A. Apresyan

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

We present a search for the Standard Model Higgs boson produced in association with a Z or a W boson, using data collected with the CDF II detector at the Tevatron accelerator. A scenario where the Z decays into neutrinos or charged leptons originating from the W-decay escape detection and the Higgs decays into a $b\overline{b}$ pair is considered. Therefore the expected signature is large missing transverse energy (E_T) , no isolated leptons, and two *b*-jets. We present the preliminary results in this search using $1.7 f b^{-1}$ of data collected by CDF and the work on future improvements to increase the sensitivity of the analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the Higgs mechanism of the Standard Model, the fermions and weak gauge bosons acquire mass via interaction with the Higgs field which is described by a complex doublet. Three of the four real fields of the doublet couple to the SU(2) gauge bosons. The observable quantum of the fourth Higgs field is called the Higgs boson. The existence of this undiscovered particle is the cornerstone of the Standard Model[1].

Direct searches performed with the LEP experiments have constrained the Higgs mass to be larger than 114.4 GeV at 95% C.L. [2]. In $p\overline{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron, the most probable production mode of the Higgs is by gluon fusion through a virtual top loop. Around 70% of the Higgs would decay into two *b*-quarks yielding two *b*-jets in the final state. Since the QCD *b*-quark production is an irreducible background, this analysis would have a low sensitivity. The second most frequent production mode is when a virtual W or Z decays into a W or Z and a Higgs. In this case, it is possible to trigger on the decay products of the W/Z boson and significantly reduce the QCD background.

We are analyzing Z-Higgs and W-Higgs associated productions when the Z decays into two neutrinos, or the W decays leptonically but the charged lepton escapes the detection. Because the neutrinos will not be detected in the calorimeter, either, they lead to an unbalanced transverse energy sum in the transverse plain $(\not\!\!E_T)$.

2. DATA SAMPLE AND EVENT SELECTION

In the first step of the analysis, both the Monte Carlo and real data events are to pass a set of quality cuts to ensure that the possible beam and detector effects are removed from the data sample making it compatible with the simulation. The standard CDF jet clustering algorithm is used [3] with a jet cone of radius 0.4. Jet energies are corrected for calorimeter non-uniformity, non-linearity and energy loss in the un-instrumented regions of calorimeter and energy coming from different $p\overline{p}$ interactions during the same bunch crossing. The $\not\!$ of the event is then corrected with new jet energies.

The trigger efficiency is obtained from data and is used to scale the signal and Monte-Carlo backgrounds to correct for event loss during data taking. The efficiency of the two-jet requirement is 100% if the offline transverse energy of the most energetic jet is above 35 GeV, the second most energetic jet is above 25 GeV, and at least one of the jets has $|\eta| < 1.0$. The overall efficiency of the online event selection is then parameterized by the offline corrected E_T and applied on the Monte Carlo samples providing a proper scaling for the simulated events.

The final requirement imposed on the data and simulation before comparing them is the *b*-tag requirement. We use two categories of SECVTX *b*-tagging algorithm [4], tight and loose. The main difference between the loose and tight tagging algorithms is that the loose tagger has more efficient track selection. The *b*-tagging efficiency for tight (loose) tagger is ~ 40% (50%) and mistag rate is ~ 2% (4%). In this analysis events are split into two exclusive categories: events with 2 jets tagged by SECVTX loose algorithm or exactly one jet tagged by SECVTX tight algorithm.

2.1. BACKGROUND ESTIMATION

The backgrounds in this data sample have contributions from the following processes: QCD multi-jet production, top quark pair and single production, W or Z boson production with jets and diboson production (WW,WZ,ZZ). We simulate processes which yield real taggable objects, that is, when a *b*- or a *c*-quark pair is created. Events with light flavor jets with a positive tag are considered to be mistags and are estimated from the data[4]. The remaining background processes were generated with PYTHIA[5] Monte Carlo event generator passed through CDF II detector simulation.

	Control R	egion 1	Control Region 2		
Process	1 Tight tag	2 Loose Tags	1 Tight tag	2 Loose Tags	
QCD h.f.	$24337.1 \pm 111.4 \pm 5445.4$	$3768.5 \pm 45.8 \pm 688.2$	$50.7 \pm 5.1 \pm 12.6$	$7.0\pm2.0\pm1.9$	
Top	$7.1\pm0.4\pm0.8$	$2.3\pm0.2\pm0.4$	$134.8 \pm 1.6 \pm 16.4$	$55.9 \pm 1.0 \pm 9.0$	
Di-boson	$1.1\pm0.2\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1\pm0.1$	$14.7\pm0.8\pm2.5$	$1.9\pm0.2\pm0.4$	
W + h.f.	$26.2 \pm 2.7 \pm 11.1$	$1.1\pm0.5\pm0.4$	$80.5 \pm 4.1 \pm 34.9$	$8.2\pm1.3\pm3.6$	
Z + h.f.	$8.7\pm1.2\pm3.6$	$0.9\pm0.4\pm0.4$	$17.5\pm1.8\pm7.9$	$1.3\pm0.5\pm0.7$	
Mistag	$6181.0 \pm 63.6 \pm 498.5$	$415.2 \pm 10.0 \pm 71.1$	$86.5 \pm 4.3 \pm 6.3$	$3.7\pm1.2\pm0.8$	
Expected	30561.2 ± 5469.7	4188.1 ± 693.4	384.7 ± 42.6	77.9 ± 10.3	
Observed	29431	4190	373	79	

Table I: Number of expected background and observed events in the control regions

After achieving a good agreement between the simulation and the data in the control regions (Table I, Figure 1), a set of cuts are selected optimizing the signal Monte Carlo against the background prediction. The optimization yields the following selection requirements for signal region (SR): $\varphi(1^{st}jet, \not{E}_T) > 0.8$, $\varphi(2^{nd}jet, \not{E}_T) > 0.4$, $\not{H}_T/H_T > 0.45^1$, $1^{st}jet E_T > 60$ GeV, $\not{E}_T > 70$ GeV and no isolated leptons in the event. Table II shows the comparison between expected and observed event yields in the signal region. The dijet invariant mass distributions in the signal region are shown in Fig.2. The excess of observed events in double-loose tagged sample has been extensively studied. No systematic source for disagreement has been found. The probability of having such an excess as a result of background only fluctuation was estimated to be ~3%.

 $^{{}^{1}}H_{T}$ is the scalar sum and H_{T} is the magnitude of the vectorial sum of the p_{T} 's of the two leading jets

Process	Single Tag	Double Tags	
QCD h.f.	$157.4 \pm 9.0 \pm 49.1$	$10.6 \pm 2.4 \pm 3.9$	
Тор	$48.2\pm1.0\pm4.1$	$14.0\pm0.5\pm2.1$	
Di-boson	$11.5\pm0.6\pm2.4$	$1.9\pm0.2\pm0.4$	
W + h.f.	$59.9 \pm 4.1 \pm 26.6$	$4.6\pm1.1\pm2.0$	
Z + h.f.	$28.3 \pm 1.9 \pm 12.5$	$4.1\pm0.7\pm1.9$	
Mistag	$98.2 \pm 7.3 \pm 12.8$	$4.7\pm1.0\pm1.1$	
Expected	403.5 ± 60.1	39.9 ± 6.1	
Observed	443	51	
$(VH \ m_H = 115 \mathrm{GeV/c^2})$	(1.9)	(1.2)	

Table II: Number of expected background and signal events in the signal region after applying the final cuts.

2.2. CROSS-SECTION LIMITS

Since there is no significant excess in the data compared to the predicted backgrounds, we set 95% C.L. upper limits on Higgs boson production cross-section times the branching fraction. The systematic uncertainties are classified as correlated and uncorrelated errors considering the relations between the signal and the background processes. The correlated errors are taken into account separately for each processes in the limit calculation. The uncorrelated systematic uncertainties are: statistical error in negative tag estimate, negative-positive tag rate asymmetry factor, QCD multi-jet Monte Carlo normalization (14% in single tagged, 6.3% in double tagged sample), MC statistical fluctuations. The correlated systematics are: luminosity (6.0%), *b*-tagging efficiency scale factor between data and Monte Carlo (4.3% for single and 10.2% for double tags), trigger efficiency (3%), lepton veto efficiency (2%), PDF uncertainty (2%) and Jet Energy Scale. ISR/FSR systematic uncertainties (between 1% and 5%) are applied on the signal.

Considering the systematic uncertainties listed above, we computed the expected limit for the Higgs cross-section when the Higgs is produced with a Z/W boson and decays to two *b*-quarks where Z decays to neutrinos and W to leptons. We use Bayesian method for deriving the limits[6]. Table III shows the final result. All the cross-sections are ratios with respect to the Standard Model cross-section.



Figure 1: Dijet invariant mass distributions in control regions for: (*left*) double-tagged events in CR1, (*right*) single-tagged events in CR2

Dijet Mass, SR, Single Tight

Dijet Mass, SR, L+L



Figure 2: Dijet invariant mass in the Signal Region, single- and double-tagged events

Higgs mass	VH limit, 1	l Tight Tag	VH limit,	2 Loose Tags	VH limit,	Combined
(GeV)	Predicted	Observed	Predicted	Observed	Predicted	Observed
110	$19.7^{+9.7}_{-6.0}$	36.6	$10.4^{+4.4}_{-2.9}$	18.7	$9.3^{+4.4}_{-2.9}$	18.5
115	$22.7^{+9.5}_{-7.2}$	37.2	$11.1_{-3.3}^{+4.4}$	20.8	$9.7^{+5.0}_{-2.8}$	19.7
120	$27.5^{+11.4}_{-7.7}$	40.8	$13.0^{+6.5}_{-3.9}$	25.2	$11.5^{+5.5}_{-3.7}$	22.6
125	$31.2^{+14.8}_{-9.3}$	46.6	$15.9^{+6.6}_{-4.8}$	30.1	$13.4^{+6.1}_{-4.1}$	26.6
130	$40.6^{+16.7}_{-12.6}$	58.7	$19.5^{+10.6}_{-5.5}$	39.3	$16.6^{+7.3}_{-5.3}$	33.4
135	$52.0^{+22.4}_{-16.7}$	74.6	$24.7^{+10.7}_{-7.5}$	48.3	$21.0^{+9.7}_{-6.3}$	43.0
140	$71.6^{+31.5}_{-23.7}$	110.0	$35.3^{+17.5}_{-10.9}$	64.3	$31.5^{+16.4}_{-7.2}$	61.5
150	$172.3^{+71.7}_{-61.4}$	238.6	$77.1^{+37.1}_{-22.4}$	133.6	$72.1^{+30.9}_{-23.4}$	127.0

Table III: The predicted and observed cross-section limits of the ZH/WH processes combined when $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ divided by the SM cross-section

3. FUTURE PROGRESS

Improvements of the analysis technique are being developed to further increase the sensitivity of the analysis. Below we summarize the progress in the main directions that are pursued.

One of the main challenges in this search channel is the modeling of the large QCD multi-jet background. A model to estimate this background directly from data has been developed. In order to estimate the multi-jet background in the single-tagged sample we measure the probability to tag one jet from the "pretag"² sample. Similarly, to estimate the multi-jet background in the double-tagged sample we measure the probability to tag a jet in a sample that already has one jet tagged. This method allows us to estimate the shapes and normalizations for multi-jet production in single-tagged and double-tagged categories, Fig.3(a).

In order to increase the acceptance to the Higgs signal we accept events with three jets, for the first time at CDF in this channel. The main motivation is to accept events where one of the b quarks coming from the Higgs radiates a gluon. In addition to that, we also accept WH events where the charged lepton coming from the W is reconstructed as a jet. The latter case happens when the W decays to an electron and it fails the CDF electron identification

 $^{^2 \}it i.e.$ the events passing the basic selection criteria before the tagging requirement



Figure 3: Kinematic distributions in control regions

algorithm, but is reconstructed as a jet; or when the W decays to $\tau\nu$ and $\tau \rightarrow$ hadrons. As can be seen from Fig.3(b) our background prediction for jet multiplicity agrees very well with the observed data.

In order to effectively reduce the large QCD background, we need to get a good estimate of the event true missing energy. We do that by calculating the $\not P_T$, which is defined as the negative vectorial sum of charged particle p_T 's. For events with true $\not P_T$ the $\not P_T$ is highly correlated and parallel with calorimeter $\not P_T$, while for QCD events with mismeasured jets it is not. As shown in Fig.3(c) the $\Delta \phi(\not P_T, \not P_T)$ can serve as an excellent kinematic variable to discern real from fake $\not P_T$.

For searches of $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays it is crucial to precisely measure the energies of the jets coming from the *b*-quarks. An algorithm similar to the one used by H1 collaboration [7] has been implemented, which combines tracks and calorimeter towers to improve the reconstruction of the jets energies. Initial studies show that an improvement of ~ 10% in dijet mass resolution can be achieved. To further increase the final discriminant sensitivity, we are developing an artificial neural network to maximally separate Higgs boson signal from backgrounds.

In summary, we have performed a direct search for the Standard Model Higgs boson decaying into *b*-jet pairs in 1.7 fb^{-1} data accumulated in Run II of the CDF detector. We do not observe any significant excess over the background predicted by the Standard Model, thus we set a 95 % C.L. upper limit for the Higgs boson at various masses. Multiple improvements in the analysis technique are being developed and will be incorporated in the next iterations of the analysis.

References

- [1] J. Gunion et al., The Higgs Hunter's Guide (Addison-Wesley; New York, 1990).
- [2] A. Heister et al., (The LEP Higgs Working Group), Phys. Lett. B 565, 61 (2003).
- [3] F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1448 (1992).
- [4] D. Acosta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D. 71, 052003 (2005)
- [5] T. Sjostrand et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 135, 238 (2001)
- [6] T. Junk, Sensitivity, Exclusion and Discovery with Small Signals, Large Backgrounds, and Large Systematic Uncertainties, CDF8128
- [7] H1 Collaboration, C.Adloff et al, Z.Phys. C74 (1997) 221.