A Comparison of Photoresist Resolution Metrics using 193 nm and EUV Lithography

Piyush Pathak

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison
Madison WI 53706 ppathak@wisc.edu (608)-320-0490

Thomas Wallow, Bruno LaFontaine, Yunfei Deng, Ryoung-han Kim, Jongwook Kye,
Harry J. Levinson

Advanced Micro Devices
One AMD Place, Sunnyvale CA 94088

Patrick P. Naulleau

Center for X-Ray Optics, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Rd.,
Berkeley, CA 94720, PNaulleau@lbl.gov, 510-486-4529

Chris Anderson

UC Berkeley College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720,
cnanderson@berkeley.edu

Image blur due to chemical amplification represents a fundamental limit to photoresist performance and manifests itself in many aspects of lithographic performance.[1-5] Substantial progress has been made in linking image blur with simple resolution metrics using EUV lithography.[6-8]

In this presentation, we examine performance of 193nm resist and EUV resist systems using modulation transfer function, corner rounding, and other resolution metrics. In particular, we will focus on cross-comparisons in which selected EUV and 193 nm resists are evaluated using both EUV and 193nm lithography. Simulation methods linking 193nm and EUV performance will be described as well.

Results from simulation indicate that image blur in current generation 193nm photoresists is comparable to that of many EUV resists, but that ultra-low diffusion materials designs used in very high resolution EUV resists can result in substantially lower blur. In addition to detailing correlations between EUV and 193nm experimental methods, we will discuss their utility in assessing performance needs of future generation photoresists.

References

1. C. Ahn, H. Kim, K. Baik, "A novel approximate model for resist process," Proc. SPIE **3334**, 752-763 (1998).
2. J. A. Hoffnagle, W. D. Hinsberg, M. I. Sanchez, and F. A. Houle, "Method of measuring the spatial resolution of a photoresist," Opt. Let. **27**, 1776-1778 (2002).

3. P. Naulleau, "Verification of point-spread function based modeling of an EUV photoresist," Appl. Opt. **43**, 788-792 (2004).

4. G. Gallatin, "Resist Blur and Line Edge Roughness," Proc. SPIE **5753**, 38-52 (2005).

5. D. Van Steenwinckel et al., 'Resist effects at small pitches', J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 24, 316 (2006).

6. P. Naulleau et al., "Lithographic metrics for the determination of intrinsic resolution limits in EUV resists," Proc. SPIE 6517, 65172N (2007)

7. P. Naulleau et al., "Investigation of the Current Resolution Limits of Advanced Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) Resists," Proc. SPIE **6151**, 6151034 (2006).

8. T. Wallow et al., "Progress in EUV Photoresist Technology," Proc. SPIE **6533**, 653317 (2007)

Figure 1. a) Corner rounding simulations using commercial 193nm photoresist. Left path: corner rounding analysis using Prolith resist model. Right path: corner rounding analysis using a blur point spread function (PSF) matched to Prolith resist model. b) Simulated 193nm corner rounding using blur PSFs derived from analysis of EUV resists.