NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Innovation for Our Energy Future

Performance Evaluation of a 1.5-kW a-Si PV Array Using the PVUSA Power Rating Method at NREL's Outdoor Test Facility

P. McNutt, J. Adelstein, and W. Sekulic

Presented at the 2005 DOE Solar Energy Technologies Program Review Meeting November 7–10, 2005 Denver, Colorado Conference Paper NREL/CP-520-38971 November 2005



NOTICE

The submitted manuscript has been offered by an employee of the Midwest Research Institute (MRI), a contractor of the US Government under Contract No. DE-AC36-99GO10337. Accordingly, the US Government and MRI retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for US Government purposes.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062 phone: 865.576.8401 fax: 865.576.5728 email: mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 phone: 800.553.6847 fax: 703.605.6900 email: <u>orders@ntis.fedworld.gov</u> online ordering: <u>http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm</u>



Printed on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 20% postconsumer waste

Performance Evaluation of a 1.5-kW a-Si PV Array Using the PVUSA Power Rating Method at NREL's Outdoor Test Facility

P. McNutt, J. Adelstein, W. Sekulic

National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado, peter_mcnutt@nrel.gov

ABSTRACT

As part of the work conducted in the PV Systems Reliability & Performance R&D Task, a 1.5-kW_{dc} photovoltaic (PV) array consisting of 36 Solarex MST-43MV dual-junction a-Si modules was installed and its performance monitored for almost six years (September 1999 through May 2005) at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) Outdoor Test Facility (OTF). This paper describes the system and its performance based on the PV for Utility-Scale Applications (PVUSA) power rating method.

1. Objectives

NREL's OTF has the capability to do long-term performance testing of PV modules and arrays under real-world conditions. As part of the work conducted in the PV Systems Reliability and Performance R&D Task, a 1.5-kW_{dc} photovoltaic (PV) array of Solarex MST-43MV dual-junction a-Si modules was installed and its performance monitored for almost six years (September 1999 through May 2005) at the OTF (Fig. 1). This paper describes the system and its performance based on the PVUSA power rating method.



Fig. 1. 1.5-kW Solarex OTF Roof array.

2. Technical Approach

The PV array consisted of 36 Solarex MST-43MV modules. These were glass-on-glass modules with full frames. The array was held at its maximum power point, P_{mp} , using a grid-tied Omnion Series 2400 2.2-kW inverter. The inverter accepted a positive and negative input from the array. The dc rating (at STC) of each module was 43 W_p. The rating of the positive and negative sub-arrays was 774 W_p for a total array rating of 1548 W_p. Each sub-array consisted of nine parallel strings of two series-connected modules. The array was rack-mounted on the roof of the OTF facing

south at a 40° latitude tilt. PV system data were collected using 15-minute averages using a Campbell Scientific data acquisition system (DAS). Measured parameters included dc voltages, dc currents, ac voltage, ac current, ac power, array temperatures and ambient temperature. Wind speed was collected in one-minute averages from the Reference Meteorological and Irradiance System in the OTF array field, just east of the building. The DAS was calibrated annually.

The PVUSA rating method calculates expected power from a PV system by normalizing to PVUSA test conditions (PTC), where PTC are defined as 1000 W/m² POA irradiance, 20°C ambient temperature and 1 m/s wind speed.¹ One-month blocks of 15-minute average observations are used to calculate a best-fit correlation to the following equation:

$$P_{dc} = I_{POA} (a + bI_{POA} + cT_{amb} + dW)$$
(1)

where P_{dc} = power, kW

 I_{POA} = POA irradiance, W/m² T_{amb} = ambient temperature, °C W = wind speed, m/s

a, b, c, d = regression coefficients derived from operational data.

The four coefficients, a, b, c and d, are then used to predict the system power at PTC conditions.

LabVIEW programs were used to combine the Solarex array data with the RMIS wind speed data and to perform the linear regression. LabVIEW's general linear fit function was used to determine the set of linear coefficients using the least chi-square method. Monthly power ratings were then plotted to show the system performance versus time.

3. Results and Accomplishments

Results of the PVUSA power rating analysis indicate that the Solarex array experienced a 7.3% degradation in power during its initial year of operation (September 1999 – August 2000), followed by an oscillating power output that increased during the summer and decreased during the winter (Fig. 2). The data were filtered based on the irradiance being greater than 800 W/m² and the array dc power being greater than 1100 W. Following the one-year "stabilization" period, a linear fit for the almost 5-year period (August 2000 - May 2005) indicates that the array dc power rating decreased by 23.2 W (1.7%) per year.



Fig. 2. Solarex OTF Roof system - PVUSA dc power rating versus time (Irr > 800 W/m² and P_{dc} > 1100 W).

This behavior is typical of a-Si modules. In a paper summarizing performance characterization of a-Si modules from several manufacturers, researchers at Sandia National Laboratories found that previously unexposed a-Si modules showed an initial rapid degradation in power over the first six months and reached a "stabilized" power level, about 20% below the initial (1st day) power, after about one year. Seasonal oscillation is usually ±4% from the "stabilized" level.² The output from a-Si arrays typically varies seasonally: operating a-Si modules at elevated temperatures, such as during hot summers months, can cause self annealing, partially reversing light-induced degradation.

Following August 2000, the mean-power rating for the Solarex system was 1285 W with a maximum power rating of 1425 W in July 2002 and a minimum power rating of 1215 W in March 2005. These equate to oscillations of +10.9% and -5.5% from the meanpower rating.

4. Conclusions

Ultimately, data gathering ended and this system was dismantled because BP Solarex stopped producing thin-film modules in November 2003. By the end of the test period, the back glass on six of the modules had cracked. Following the one-year "stabilization" period, a linear fit for almost a 5-year period indicates that the array dc power rating decreased by 1.7% per year.

Presently, the PV Systems Reliability & Performance R&D Task continues monitoring and reporting on eight PV arrays at the OTF.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the PV Measurements and Characterization Team for their work in providing module IV data. This work was conducted under DOE Contract DE-AC36-99GO10337.

REFERENCES

¹C. M. Whitaker, et al, "Application and Validation of a New PV Performance Characterization Method," Proc. 26th IEEE PVSC, 1997, p1253-1256.

²D. King, J. Kratochvil, and W. Boyson, "Stabilization and Performance Characteristics of Commercial Amorphous-Silicon PV Modules," Proc. 28th IEEE PVSC, 2000, p1446-1449.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE (<i>DD-MM-YYYY</i>) November 2005	2. REPORT TYPE Conference Paper		_	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Performance Evaluation of a 1.5 PVI ISA Power Rating Method a	5-kW a-Si PV Array U	sing the st Facility	5a. CON DE-	I TRACT NUMBER AC36-99-GO10337	
T VOOA TOwer Rating Method 2			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
 AUTHOR(S) P. McNutt, J. Adelstein, and W. Sekulic 		5d. PROJECT NUMBER NREL/CP-520-38971			
			5e. TASK NUMBER PVC6.7101		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401-3393 				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NREL/CP-520-38971	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENC	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRES	SS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) NREL	
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) As part of the work conducted in array consisting of 36 Solarex M almost six years (September 19) Test Facility (OTF). This paper Applications (PVUSA) power ra	n the PV Systems Rel MST-43MV dual-juncti 999 through May 2005 describes the system ting method.	iability & Perfor on a-Si module i) at the Nationa and its perform	mance R s was ins al Renewa nance ba	&D Task, a 1.5-kW _{dc} photovoltaic (PV) stalled and its performance monitored for able Energy Laboratory (NREL) Outdoor sed on the PV for Utility-Scale	
15. SUBJECT TERMS Photovoltaics; solar; PVUSA Power Rating; PV; NREL					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PA	AGE String UL	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME C	OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
Unclassified Unclassified Unclass			19b. TELEPC	DNE NUMBER (Include area code)	

Standard Form 298 (Rev.	8/98
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18	