

UCRL-JRNL-212979



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Echoes from Ancient supernovae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

A. Rest, N. B. Suntzeff, K. Olsen, J. L. Prieto, R. C. Smith, D. L. Welch, A. Becker, M. Bergmann, A. Clocchiatti, K. Cook, A. Garg, M. Huber, G. Miknaitis, D. Minniti, S. Nikolaev, C. Stubbs

June 15, 2005

Nature

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Echoes from Ancient Supernovae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

Armin Rest¹, Nicholas B. Suntzeff¹, Knut Olsen¹, Jose Luis Prieto², R. Chris Smith¹, Douglas L. Welch³, Andrew Becker⁴, Marcel Bergmann⁵, Alejandro Clocchiatti⁶, Kem Cook⁷, Arti Garg⁸, Mark Huber⁷, Gajus Miknaitis⁴, Dante Minniti⁶, Sergei Nikolaev⁷, & Christopher Stubbs⁸

¹*Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory⁹, La Serena, Chile*

²*Dept. Astronomy, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210 USA*

³*Dept. Physics & Astronomy, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, L8S 4M1, Canada*

⁴*Dept. Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle 98195 USA*

⁵*Gemini Observatory⁹, La Serena, Chile*

⁶*Dept. Astronomia y Astrofisica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile*

⁷*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550 USA*

⁸*Dept. of Physics and Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138 USA*

⁹*Based on observations obtained at NOAO, operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. (AURA) under cooperative agreements with the NSF.*

In principle, historical supernovae could still be visible as scattered-light echoes even centuries later [1, 2]. Searches for surface brightness variations using photographic plates have not recovered any echoes in the regions of historical Galactic supernovae [3]. Using differenced images, our SuperMACHO collaboration has discovered three faint new variable surface brightness complexes with high apparent proper motion pointing back to well-defined positions in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC). These correspond to three of the six smallest (and likely youngest) supernova remnants believed to be due to thermonuclear (Type Ia) supernovae [4]. A lower limit to the age of these remnants and echoes is 200 years given the lack of any reported LMC supernovae until 1987. The discovery of historical supernova echoes in the LMC suggests that similar echoes for Galactic supernovae such as Tycho, Kepler, Cas A, or SN1006 could be visible using standard image differencing techniques.

Over 100 years ago, a rapidly expanding nebula was photographed by Ritchey around Nova Persei 1901 [6] which was interpreted as a light echo from the nova explosion [7]. Later modelling of the physics of the scattering and the geometry that leads to apparent superluminal expansion confirmed this interpretation [8]. Since then, light echoes (whereby we mean a simple scattering echo rather than fluorescence or dust re-radiation) have been seen in the Galactic nova Sagittarii 1936 [9] and the eruptive variable V838 Monocerotis [10]. Echoes have also been observed from extragalactic supernovae, including SN 1991T [13, 14], 1993J [15, 16], 1998bu [17], with SN1987A being the most famous [11,12].

By simple scaling arguments based on the visibility of Nova Persei [1,2,18] light echoes from supernovae as old as a few hundred to a thousand years could be detected, especially if the illuminated dust cloud has regions of high dust densities, exceeding a particle concentration of 10^{-8} cm^{-3} [19]. More sophisticated models of scattered light

echoes have been published [5,20,21] but a predicted late-time light echo surface brightness is not calculated.

The few targeted surveys searching for echoes from supernovae [3,22] and novae [23,24] have not been successful. However, these surveys did not use digital image subtraction techniques to remove the dense stellar and galactic backgrounds. Even the bright echoes near SN 1987A [12] at $V \sim 21.3$ mag arcsec⁻² are hard to detect relative to the dense stellar background of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC).

As part of the SuperMACHO microlensing survey, we have been monitoring the central portion of the LMC every other night for three months each year over the last four years (2001-2004) using the CTIO 4m Blanco telescope with the facility 8Kx8K MOSAIC imager. The survey covers 24 square degrees in 68 pointings in an approximate rectangle 3.7° by 6.6° aligned with the LMC bar. The images are taken through our custom “*VR*” filter ($\lambda_c=625\text{nm}$, $\Delta\lambda=220\text{nm}$) with exposure times of 60s to 200s, depending on the stellar densities. Using an automated pipeline, we subtract point spread function matched template images from the recent epoch image to search for variability. The resulting difference images are remarkably clean of the stellar background and are ideal for searching for variable objects. Our pipeline detects and catalogs the variable objects.

The echo of SN1987A shown in Figure 1 was trivial to recover with our pipeline. The *VR* surface brightness varies from 19.8 to our limit of ~ 24 mag per sq-arcsecond with one knot as bright as 19.3. The echo can be seen as far out as $7.3'$ from the explosion site. A quick inspection of the difference images showed no other obvious ring structures in the LMC. To search for very faint variable echoes, we have examined by eye all the variable objects discovered by our automatic pipeline. We found a number of very faint linear structures that had high proper motions with vector

directions inconsistent with the 1987A echo. For each structure, we estimated a vector direction as shown in Figure 2. The vector was defined to be perpendicular to a linear fit to an echo segment, with the direction given by the proper motion. Typical proper motions range from $0.5\text{--}2.4'' \text{ yr}^{-1}$ which, at the angular scale of the LMC of $0.77 \text{ light-year arcsec}^{-1}$ makes many of these structures have apparent superluminal velocities. The surface brightness ranges from 22.3 down to our limit of detection.

Figure 3 shows the echo vectors extrapolated backward in time pointing to three well-defined positions as the origins of the echo complexes. We have estimated the position of the crossing point of the vectors by calculating the crossings of all pairs of vectors in each group excluding any echo pair with a separation of less than 10 arcseconds. The origins of the four echo complexes are listed in Table 1. The error in the centroid was estimated from the averaged vector crossings. Rather surprisingly, the three unidentified echo origins correspond within arcminutes of the positions of known supernova remnants (SNRs) [25]. Even more surprisingly, these three origins correspond to three of the six youngest SNRs [4] and these three are precisely the three that are classified as likely Type Ia events based on the X-ray emission spectra!

Given the positional match with young SNRs and the high apparent proper motions of the diffuse light, we conclude that the three echoes discovered in this work are likely to be scattered light echoes from Type Ia supernovae in the LMC. Planned spectroscopy of the brightest knots in the three echo complexes should allow us unambiguously to type the supernovae and confirm the classifications from the X-ray studies.

Supernova echoes can be used to measure the structure and nature of the interstellar medium [20, 28] and, in principle, can be used to measure geometric distances [27]. The geometric relationship is relatively straightforward, given by [30]

$$z = \frac{D^2 \alpha^2}{2ct} - \frac{ct}{2}$$

where z is the distance from the light source (supernova) to the dust sheet, t is the time since peak brightness of the source, and α is the measured angular separation between the source and the observed echo. The speed of light c and the distance between Earth and the source D (effectively the distance to the LMC) are taken as known quantities. In the case of SN 1987A, where t is known, the echoes in Figure 1 can be used to map out the structure of the dust [30].

Without a date of explosion, we cannot estimate the distance of the scattering clouds surrounding the supernovae. A Type Ia SN would reach $V \sim -0.5$ mag and would be the second or third brightest star in the southern sky for a few weeks. The sizes of these remnants provide lower limits to their ages of >300 yrs (assuming an unrealistic constant shock velocity of $10,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) which means they predate the modern astronomical records in the southern hemisphere which started with the establishment of the Royal Observatory at the Cape in 1820. The best ages for these remnants from optical and X-ray observations are upper limits of <1000 to 1500 years [26]. If one can determine the distance z between the SN and the dust or between two dust sheets producing an echo arc (for example, either from correlation with the dust sheets of SN1987 or other observations, such as radio observations of HI gas), then one could in principle determine the dates of explosions of these SNe, which would provide tight constraints on the modelling and improve our understanding of these events

Also intriguing is the opportunity they provide for directly observing the spectral light from the historical supernovae themselves as Zwicky suggested in 1940 [29]. Precise image subtraction techniques on nearby galaxies and in our own Galaxy with modern digital images can reach much fainter surface brightness limits than the early photographic surveys and allow us to find echoes from supernovae up to 1000 years old. With the discovery of a bright echo knot, we could today take a spectrum of the Tycho, Kepler, SN1006, or Cas A supernova.

Style tag for received and accepted dates (omit if these are unknown).

1. Shklovskii, I.S. On the possibility of observations light fronts from explosions supernovae, *Astron. Circ. USSR* No. 306, 2-3 (1964).
2. van den Bergh, S. Light echoes from ancient supernovae. *Pub. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **77**, 269-271 (1965).
3. van den Bergh, S. A preliminary search for light echoes from supernovae. *Astron. J.* **78**, 74-76 (1966).
4. Hughes, J.P. et al. ASCA observations of the Large Magellanic Cloud supernova remnant sample: Typing supernova from their remnants. *Astrophys. J.* **444**, L81-L84 (1995).
5. Patat, F. Reflections on reflexions: I. Light echoes in Type Ia supernovae. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **357**, 1161-1177 (2005).
6. Ritchey, G. W. Changes in the nebulosity about Nova Persei. *Astrophys. J.* **15**, 129-131 (1902)
7. Kapteyn, J. C. Über die Bewegung der Nebel in der Umgebung von Nova Persei. *Astron. Nachr.* **157**, 201-204 (1902).
8. Couderc, P. Les auréoles lumineuses des novae. *Ann. Astroph.* **2**, 271-302 (1939).
9. Swope, H. H. Notes on five novae in MWF 189. *Harv. Col. Obs. Bull.* **913**, 11-12 (1940).
10. Bond, H., et al. An energetic stellar outburst accompanied by circumstellar light echoes. *Nature* **422**,405-408 (2003).
11. Crotts, A. *IAU Circ.* No. 4561 (1988).
12. Suntzeff, N. B. et al. The light echoes from SN1987A. *Nature* **334**, 135-138 (1988).

13. Schmidt, B. P. et al. Reflections of past glory. *Astrophys. J.* **434**, L19-L23 (1994).
14. Sparks, W. B., et al. Evolution of the light echo of SN 1991T. *Astrophys. J.* **523**, 585-592 (1999).
15. Sugerman, B. E., & Crotts, A. P. S. Multiple light echoes from SN 1993J. *Astrophys. J.* **581**, L97-L100 (2002).
16. Liu, J.-F., Bregman, J. N., & Seitzer, P. A scattered light echo around SN 1993J. *Astrophys. J.* **582**, 919-923 (2003).
17. Cappellaro, E. et al. Detection of a light echo from SN 1998bu. *Astrophys. J.* **549**, L215-L218 (2001).
18. van den Bergh, S. The next Galactic supernova. *Astroph. Space Sci.* **38**, 447-456 (1975).
19. Schaefer, B. E. Light echoes: supernovae 1987A and 1986G. *Astrophys. J.* **323**, L47-L49 (1987).
20. Chevalier, R. A. The scattered light echo of a supernova. *Astrophys. J.* **308**, 225-231 (1986).
21. Sugerman, B.E.K. Observability of scattered-light echoes around variable stars and cataclysmic events. *Astron. J.* **126**, 1939-1959 (2003).
22. Boffi, F. R., Sparks, W. B., & Maccheto, F. D. A search for candidate light echoes: Photometry of supernova environments. *Astron. Astrophys. Sup.* **138**, 253-266 (1999).
23. van den Bergh, S. A search for light echoes from novae. *Pub. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **89**, 637-638 (1977).
24. Schaefer, B. E. Light echoes: novae. *Astrophys. J.* **327**, 347-349 (1988).

25. Mathewson, D. S. et al. Supernova remnants in the Magellanic Clouds. III. *Astrophys. J. Sup.* **58**, 197-200 (1985).
26. Smith, R.C., Kirshner, R.P., Blair, W.P., & Winkler, P.F. Six Balmer-dominated supernova remnants. 1991, *Astrophys. J.* **375**, 652-662 (1991)
27. Sparks, W. B. A direct way to measure the distances of galaxies. *Astrophys. J.* **433**, 19-28 (1994).
28. Crotts, A. P. S. Discovery of optical echoes from supernova 1987A- new probes of the Large Magellanic Cloud. *Astrophys. J.* **333**, L51-L54 (1988).
29. Zwicky, F. Types of novae. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **12**, 66-85 (1940).
30. Xu, J., Crotts, A. P. S., & Kunkel, W. E. A Three-dimensional Study Using Light Echos of the Structure of the Interstellar Medium in Front of SN 1987A. 1995, *Astrophys. J.* **451**, 806-815 (1995)

Acknowledgements C.S. thanks the McDonnell Foundation and Harvard University for their support of the SuperMACHO project. D.W. acknowledges support from a Research Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). The work of K.C., M.H. and S.N. was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48. A.C. acknowledges support from FONDECYT grant 1000524. DM was partially supported by FONDAP 15010003. J.P. was funded by the OSU Astronomy Department Fellowship. We acknowledge support from NSF AST-0443378.

Compelling interests statement The authors declare that they have no compelling financial interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.R. (e-mail: arest@ctio.noao.edu).

Figure 1. The light echoes from SN 1987A. The data, taken at the CTIO 4m Blanco telescope with the MOSAIC imager in the VR filter, were used to make this difference image with epoch 2004.97 minus 2001.95 data, representing 17.8 and 14.8 years after the explosion. The field is 13.8' by 18.4' with N up and E left. White represents flux enhancements in the 2004 image and black in

the 2001 image. Faint echo arcs can be seen as far out as 6.6' and 7.3' from the explosion site, or 0.9 and 1.1kpc in front of SN 1987A.

Figure 2. Example of new light echo in the LMC at RA, Dec=(05:16:06,-69:17:07, J2000), part of echo complex #2 in Table 1. Each panel is 80" on a side with N up and E to the left. Panel 1 (upper left) shows the unsubtracted (template) image which includes the cluster Hodge 243. Panel 2 (upper right) shows how cleanly the field subtracts with data taken 50d earlier. The next three panels show the echo motion 1, 2, and 3 years after the template date. White represents positive flux in the present epoch image and black in the template image. The vector motions are plotted in Panel 6 (lower right). Each echo is fit with a straight line (red). The apparent proper motion is given by the yellow vector and extrapolated backwards (blue). The size of the yellow vector is proportional to the length of the echo segment fit. Saturated stars are masked out with grey circles. A number of faint variable stars appear as black or white spots.

Figure 3. A plot of the light echo vectors in the LMC. The vectors have the same meaning as in Figure 2. The centres of the echo complexes are indicated by yellow circles. The lengths of the yellow vectors are 100x the length of the echo arc. The source on the left marked with a star is SN1987A. The green circles are the location of historical novae, and the red circles are the supernova remnant locations [25]. Evidently, the three unknown echo complexes point to three catalogued supernova remnants.

Table 1: Positions of Supernova Echo Origins in the LMC

Echo complex	RA	dec	position error δr	SNR name
--------------	----	-----	---------------------------	----------

1	05:35:30	-69:16	0.1	0.2	SN1987A
2	05:19:14	-69:04	1	2.5	0519-69.0
3	05:11:17	-67:31	1	10.0	0509-67.5
4	05:09:19	-68:42	2	2.3	0509-68.7 (N103B)

Position errors, based on the intersection of the echo vectors, are given in arcminutes. δr , the distance between the tabulated echo origin and SNR, is given in arcminutes. Coordinates are equinox J2000.

2004 - 2001





