

Report Title

“Resource Assessment of the In-Place and Potentially Recoverable Deep Natural Gas Resource of the Onshore Interior Salt Basins, North Central and Northeastern Gulf of Mexico”

Type of Report

Semiannual Progress Report for Year 3

Reporting Period Start Date

October 1, 2005

Reporting Period End Date

March 31, 2006

Principal Author

Ernest A. Mancini (205/348-4319)
Department of Geological Sciences
Box 870338
202 Bevill Building
University of Alabama
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0338

Date Report was Issued

April 26, 2006

DOE Award Number

DE-FC26-03NT41875

Name and Address of Participants

Ernest A. Mancini
Paul Aharon
Dept. of Geological Sciences
Box 870338
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0338

Donald A. Goddard
Roger Barnaby
Center for Energy Studies
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge, LA 70803

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Abstract

The principal research effort for the first half of Year 3 of the project has been resource assessment. Emphasis has been on estimating the total volume of hydrocarbons generated and the potential amount of this resource that is classified as deep (>15,000 ft) gas in the North Louisiana Salt Basin, the Mississippi Interior Salt Basin, the Manila Subbasin and the Conecuh Subbasin. The amount of this resource that has been expelled, migrated and entrapped is also the focus of the first half of Year 3 of this study.

Table of Contents

	Page
Title Page	i
Disclaimer	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
Introduction	1
Executive Summary	1
Project Objectives	2
Experimental	3
Work Accomplished	3
Work Planned	8
Results and Discussion	8
Conclusions	9
References.....	9

**“Resource Assessment of the In-Place and Potentially Recoverable
Deep Natural Gas Resource of the Onshore Interior Salt Basins,
North Central and Northeastern Gulf of Mexico”**

**Semiannual Progress Report for Year 3
October 1, 2005—March 31, 2006**

Introduction

The University of Alabama and Louisiana State University have undertaken a cooperative 3-year, advanced subsurface methodology resource assessment project, involving petroleum system identification, characterization and modeling, to facilitate exploration for a potential major source of natural gas that is deeply buried (below 15,000 ft) in the onshore interior salt basins of the North Central and Northeastern Gulf of Mexico areas. The project is designed to assist in the formulation of advanced exploration strategies for finding and maximizing the recovery from deep natural gas domestic resources at reduced costs and risks and with minimum impact.

The results of the project should serve to enhance exploration efforts by domestic companies in their search for new petroleum resources; especially those deeply buried (below 15,000 ft) natural gas resources, and should support the domestic industry’s endeavor to provide an increase in reliable and affordable supplies of fossil fuels.

Executive Summary

The principal research effort for the first half of Year 3 of the project has been resource assessment. Emphasis has been on estimating the total volume of hydrocarbons generated and the potential amount of this resource that is classified as deep (>15,000 ft) gas in the North Louisiana Salt Basin, the Mississippi Interior Salt Basin, the Manila Subbasin and the Conecuh Subbasin. The amount of this resource that has been expelled, migrated and entrapped is also the focus of the first half of Year 3 of this study.

The estimate of the total volume of hydrocarbons generated and expelled for the North Louisiana Salt Basin in this study (2870 billion barrels generated and 2170 billion barrels expelled) using a petroleum system approach is comparable to Zimmerman's (1999) estimate of the total volume of hydrocarbons generated/expelled (2472 billion barrels) for this basin. The estimate of the total volume of hydrocarbons generated and expelled for the Mississippi Interior Salt Basin in this study (910 billion barrels generated and 700 billion barrels expelled) is consistent with the findings of Mancini et al. (2003) for the petroleum system in this basin. In this study, the total volume of hydrocarbons generated for the Manila Subbasin is estimated to be 25 billion barrels, and the total volume of hydrocarbons generated for the Conecuh Subbasin is estimated to be 98 billion barrels.

Project Objectives

The objectives of the study are: to perform resource assessment of the in-place deep (>15,000 ft) natural gas resource of the onshore interior salt basins of the North Central and Northeastern Gulf of Mexico areas through petroleum system identification, characterization and modeling and to use the petroleum system based resource assessment to estimate the volume of the in-place deep gas resource that is potentially recoverable and to identify those areas in the interior salt basins with high potential to recover commercial quantities of the deep gas resource.

The project objectives will be achieved through a 3-year effort. First, emphasis is on petroleum system identification and characterization in the North Louisiana Salt Basin, the Mississippi Interior Salt Basin, the Manila Subbasin and the Conecuh Subbasin of Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama and Florida panhandle. This task includes identification of the petroleum systems in these basins and the characterization of the overburden,

source, reservoir and seal rocks of the petroleum systems and of the associated petroleum traps. Second, emphasis is on petroleum system modeling. This task includes the assessment of the timing of deep (>15,000 ft) gas generation, expulsion, migration, entrapment and alteration (thermal cracking of oil to gas). Third, emphasis is on resource assessment. This task includes the volumetric calculation of the total in-place hydrocarbon resource generated, the determination of the volume of the generated hydrocarbon resource that is classified as deep (>15,000 ft) gas, the estimation of the volume of deep gas that was expelled, migrated and entrapped, and the calculation of the potential volume of gas in deeply buried (>15,000 ft) reservoirs resulting from the process of thermal cracking of liquid hydrocarbons and their transformation to gas in the reservoir. Fourth, emphasis is on identifying those areas in the onshore interior salt basins with high potential to recover commercial quantities of the deep gas resource.

Experimental

Work Accomplished (Table 1)

In-Place Resource Assessment—Work has been completed on estimating the total volume of hydrocarbons generated in the North Louisiana Salt Basin, the Mississippi Interior Salt Basin, the Manila Subbasin and the Conecuh Subbasin. Work on the potential amount of this resource that is classified as deep (>15,000 ft) gas in these basins and subbasins continues. This assessment involves estimating the amount of the gas resource that is generated directly from the source rock (primary gas) and the amount that is the result of cracking oil to gas (secondary gas). Additional core rock samples were collected and analyzed to assist in this evaluation (Tables 2 and 3). The method of Schmoker (1994) and the use of petroleum system software applications (Platte River) were used in the estimation of the total volume of hydrocarbons generated and expelled in the interior salt basins. Listed below are the results of these determinations.

1. North Louisiana Salt Basin (by LSU, Roger Barnaby)

$$\text{Total mass Smackover} = (2.66 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^3 \text{ volume Smackover}) \times (2.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ average density}) \\ \times (1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^3/\text{m}^3) = 6.65 \times 10^{19} \text{ g}$$

$$\text{At 1\% TOC, total mass of organic carbon} = 0.01 \times (6.65 \times 10^{19} \text{ g}) = 6.65 \times 10^{17} \text{ g}$$

Smackover original hydrocarbon index (HI_0) = 300 to 650 mg HC/g TOC
immature lower Smackover reported by Sassen and Moore (1998)

Smackover present-day hydrocarbon index (HI_p) = 6 to 58 mg HC/g TOC
average HI_p = 34 mg HC/g TOC; data from this study

$$\text{Total mass of hydrocarbons generated per unit mass of organic carbon} = (HI_0 - HI_p) = (650 - 34) = 616 \text{ mg HC/g TOC}$$

$$\text{Total mass of hydrocarbons generated} = 616 \text{ mg HC/g TOC} \times (6.65 \times 10^{17} \text{ g TOC}) \times (10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg}) = 4.1 \times 10^{14} \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{Converted to barrels of 25}^\circ \text{ API oil} = (4.1 \times 10^{14} \text{ kg} \times 7 \text{ bbls}/1000 \text{ kg}) = 2870 \times 10^9 \text{ barrels}$$

2. Mississippi Interior Salt Basin (by UA, Peng Li)

$$\text{Area of the basin: } 5.18 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2$$

Average thickness of lower Smackover: 115.65 m

$$\text{Total mass of lower Smackover} = (5.99 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^3 \text{ volume Smackover}) \times (2.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ average density}) \\ \times (1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^3/\text{m}^3) = 1.50 \times 10^{19} \text{ g}$$

$$\text{At 1.5\% TOC, total mass of organic carbon} = 0.015 \times (1.50 \times 10^{19} \text{ g}) = 2.25 \times 10^{17} \text{ g}$$

Smackover original hydrocarbon index (HI_0) = 300-650 mg HC/g TOC
immature lower Smackover reported by Sassen and Moore (1998)

Smackover present-day hydrocarbon index (HI_p) = 4 to 137 mg HC/g TOC
average HI_p = 51 mg HC/g TOC reported by Mancini et al. (2003)

Total mass of hydrocarbons generated per unit mass of organic carbon = ($HI_0 - HI_p$) = (650 – 51) = 599 mg HC/g TOC

Total mass of hydrocarbons generated = 599 mg HC/g TOC \times (2.25×10^{17} g TOC) \times (10^{-6} kg/mg) = 1.3×10^{14} kg

Converted to barrels of 25° API oil = (1.3×10^{14} kg \times 7 bbls/1000 kg) = 910×10^9 barrels

3. Manila Subbasin (by UA, Peng Li)

Area of the basin: 4.95×10^9 m².

Average thickness of lower Smackover: 66.72 m.

Total mass of lower Smackover = (3.30×10^{11} m³ volume Smackover) \times (2.5 g/cm³ average density) \times (1×10^6 cm³/m³) = 8.25×10^{17} g

At 2% TOC, total mass of organic carbon = $0.02 \times (8.25 \times 10^{17}$ g) = 1.65×10^{16} g

Smackover original hydrocarbon index (HI_0) = 300-650 mg HC/g TOC
immature lower Smackover reported by Sassen and Moore (1998)

Smackover present-day hydrocarbon index (HI_p) = 40 to 230 mg HC/g TOC
average HI_p = 87 mg HC/g TOC reported by Wade et al. (1987) and Claypool and Mancini (1989)

Smackover original hydrocarbon index (HI_0) = 300-650 mg HC/g TOC
immature lower Smackover reported by Sassen and Moore (1998)

Total mass of hydrocarbons generated per unit mass of organic carbon = $(HI_0 - HI_p) = (300 - 87) = 213$ mg HC/g TOC

minimum HI_0 value is used because terrestrially derived kerogen is present in the lower Smackover of the Manila Subbasin

Total mass of hydrocarbons generated = $213 \text{ mg HC/g TOC} \times (1.65 \times 10^{16} \text{ g TOC}) \times (10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg}) = 3.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg}$

Converted to barrels of 25° API oil = $(3.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg} \times 7 \text{ bbls/1000 kg}) = 25 \times 10^9 \text{ barrels}$

4. Conecuh Subbasin (by UA, Peng Li)

Area of the basin: $1.30 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2$.

Average thickness of lower Smackover: 45.53 m.

Total mass of lower Smackover = $(5.92 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ volume Smackover}) \times (2.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ average density}) \times (1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^3/\text{m}^3) = 1.48 \times 10^{18} \text{ g}$

At 1.5% TOC, total mass of organic carbon = $0.015 \times (1.48 \times 10^{18} \text{ g}) = 2.22 \times 10^{16} \text{ g}$

Smackover original hydrocarbon index (HI_0) = 300-650 mg HC/g TOC
immature lower Smackover reported by Sassen and Moore (1998)

Smackover present-day hydrocarbon index (HI_p) = 3 to 114 mg HC/g TOC
average $HI_p = 35$ mg HC/g TOC reported by Claypool and Mancini (1989)

Total mass of hydrocarbons generated per unit mass of organic carbon = $(HI_0 - HI_p) = (650 - 35) = 615$ mg HC/g TOC

Total mass of hydrocarbons generated:

$$= 615 \text{ mg HC/g TOC} \times (2.22 \times 10^{16} \text{ g TOC}) \times (10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg}) = 1.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{Converted to barrels of 25}^\circ \text{ API oil} = (1.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg} \times 7 \text{ bbls/1000 kg}) = 98 \times 10^9 \text{ barrels}$$

Using petroleum system software the total mass of hydrocarbons expelled in the interior salt basins are as follows.

1. North Louisiana Salt Basin (by LSU, Roger Barnaby)

$$3.1 \times 10^{14} \text{ kg}$$

2170 billion barrels

2. Mississippi Interior Salt Basin (by UA, Peng Li)

$$1.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ kg}$$

700 billion barrels

Potentially Recoverable Deep Gas Volume— Work has been initiated on estimating the generated total resource and the deep gas resource in the North Louisiana Salt Basin, Mississippi Interior Salt Basin, Manila Subbasin and Conecuh Subbasin. A problem was identified with the software the UA was using in making these determinations. A new version of the software application has been acquired to complete this task. State oil and gas production data have been up dated and classified according to basin/subbasin and by reservoir (Tables 4-7). These data have been used to calculate gas to oil ratios (GOR). The GOR data is important in assessing the deep gas potential of these basins and subbasins.

Work Planned (Table 1)

Potentially Recoverable Deep Gas Volume—The estimate of the volume of the generated total hydrocarbon resource and of the deep gas resource in the onshore interior salt basins and subbasins that was expelled, migrated and entrapped will continue.

Oil Converted to Gas Assessment—The potential volume of gas in deeply buried reservoirs as a result of the thermal cracking of entrapped liquid hydrocarbons being converted in the reservoirs will be calculated.

Identification of Deep Gas Resources—The areas in the onshore interior salt basins and subbasins with high potential for the recovery of commercial quantities of the deep gas resource will be identified.

Results and Discussion

The estimate of the total volume of hydrocarbons generated/expelled for the North Louisiana Salt Basin in this study using a petroleum system approach compares favorably with the total volume of hydrocarbons generated/expelled published by Zimmermann (1999). The estimate in this study is 2870 billion barrels generated using the method of Schmoker (1994) and is 2170 billion barrels expelled using the Platte River software application, and the estimate of Zimmermann (1999) is 2472 billion barrels generated/expelled.

The estimate of the total volume of hydrocarbons generated and expelled for the Mississippi Interior Salt Basin in this study is consistent with the findings published by Mancini et al. (2003) for the characteristics of the Smackover petroleum system inherent to this basin.

The apparent gas-prone nature of the North Louisiana Salt Basin and particularly the Monroe Uplift area has been of study by previous workers, including Zimmerman and Sassen (1993) and Lewan (2002). These researchers agree that the source of the gas produced from

reservoirs in the Monroe Uplift area is the lower Smackover beds. These authors also concur that the gas is thermogenic in origin and that the timing of igneous activity, erosion, and migration play an important role in the presence of the large volume of gas in this area. These elements will be addressed in the assessment of the potential deep gas resource of the North Louisiana Salt Basin and Mississippi Interior Salt Basin in this study.

Conclusions

The project is on schedule to be completed on time although a software problem has resulted in the task involving the estimation of the volume of the potential deep gas resource to require additional time.

References

- Claypool, G.E., and Mancini, E.A., 1989, Geochemical relationships of petroleum in Mesozoic reservoirs to carbonate source rocks of Jurassic Smackover Formation, southwestern Alabama, AAPG Bulletin, v. 73, p. 904-924.
- Lewan, M.D., 2002, New insights on timing of oil and gas generation in the central Gulf Coast interior zone based on hydrous-pyrolysis kinetic parameters, Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 52, p. 607-620.
- Mancini, E.A., Parcell, W.C., Puckett, T.M., and Benson, D.J., 2003, Upper Jurassic (Oxfordian) Smackover carbonate petroleum system characterization and modeling, Mississippi Interior Salt Basin area, northeastern Gulf of Mexico, USA, Carbonates and Evaporites, v. 18, p. 125-150.
- Sassen R., and Moore, C.H., 1998, Framework of hydrocarbon generation and destruction in east Smackover trend, AAPG Bulletin, v. 72, p. 649-663.

Schmoker, J.W., 1994, Volumetric calculation of hydrocarbons generated, in: Magoon, L.B., and Dow, W.G. (eds.) *The Petroleum System—from source to trap*: AAPG Memoir 60, p. 323-326.

Zimmermann, R.K., 1999, Potential oil generation capacity of the north Louisiana hydrocarbon system, *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions*, v. 49, p. 532-540.

Zimmerman, R.K., and Sassen, R., 1993, Hydrocarbon transfer pathways from Smackover source rocks to younger reservoir traps in the Monroe Gas Field, Northeast Louisiana, *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions*, v. 43, p. 473-480.

Table 1
Milestone Chart—Year 3

	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S
In-Place Resource Assessment												
	xx	xx	xx									
Recoverable Deep Gas Volume												
				xx	xx	xx						
Oil Converted to Gas Assessment												
Identification of Deep Gas Resources												
Work Planned												
Work Completed	xx											

Table 2. Organic geochemical analyses of potential source rocks, North Louisiana Salt Basin.

API	Parish	Depth (ft)	Unit	Kerogen Type			TAI	Ro (%)
				Liptinite (%)	Vitrinite (%)	Inertinite (%)		
1711901362	Webster	10,290.00	Cotton Valley	65	30	5	2 to 2+	1.03
1707300756	Ouachita	9,915.00	Cotton Valley	75	20	5	2 to 2+	0.95
1706920233	Natchitoches	9,747.00	Mooringsport	80	15	5	2	0.81
1706120117	Lincoln	9,127.00	Cotton Valley	80	15	5	2	1.03
1701520655	Bossier	11,136.00	Bossier	20	70	10	2+	1.21
1701520878	Bossier	11,178.00	Smackover	25	65	10	2+	1.29
1701520655	Bossier	11,168.00	Bossier	65	25	10	2 to 2+	1.13
1706920072	Natchitoches	13,305.00	Smackover/Norphlet	75	20	5	3-	1.64

Table 3. Organic geochemical analyses of Hope Feet #1 (API 1706700061) in the Morehouse Parish, Monroe Uplift.

Depth (ft)	Unit	Kerogen Type			TAI	Ro (%)
		Liptinite (%)	Vitrinite (%)	Inertinite (%)		
6,116.50	Smackover	80	15	5	2- to 2	0.63
6,210.50	Smackover	80	15	5	2- to 2	0.60
6,304.50	Smackover	80	15	5	2	0.66
6,530.50	Smackover	75	20	5	2	0.70
6,609.50	Smackover	70	25	5	2	0.69
6,649.50	Smackover	70	25	5	2- to 2	0.51
6,725.50	Smackover	85	10	5	--	--

Table 4. North Louisiana Salt Basin 2001 Oil & Gas Cumulative Production. *

Parish	Principal Reservoirs	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)	GOR
Basin				
Webster	Ozan/Rodessa	204,138,070	3,696,121,592	18,106
Bienville	Sligo/Hosston	10,493,461	2,305,281,498	219,687
Claiborne	Nacatoch/Ozan/Sligo /Cotton Valley/Smackover	469,423,557	2,543,033,078	5,417
Red River	Tuscaloosa/Paluxy/Rodessa /Hosston	357,855	82,575,256	230,751
Natchitoches	Nacatoch/Sligo	81,200,000	834,000,000	10,270
Lincoln	Pine Island/Cotton Valley	31,224,187	2,272,668,985	72,786
Jackson	Hosston/Cotton Valley	2,336,084	375,328,103	160,665
Total		799,173,214	12,109,008,512	15,152
Sabine Uplift				
Caddo	Nacatoch	558,172,394	2,453,412,364	4,395
Bossier	Nacatoch/Lower Cretaceous	193,947,248	3,724,351,375	19,203
De Soto	Nacatoch/Paluxy	101,628,063	1,654,755,934	16,282
Total		853,747,705	7,832,519,673	9,174
Monroe Uplift				
Union	Nacatoch	19,687,968	193,987,271	9,853
Morehouse	Cotton Valley	201,005	3,798,739	18,899
Ouachita	Monroe Gas Rock Cotton Valley/Hosston/Sligo	44,038 40,698,299	7,452,904,183 766,122,977	169,238,026 18,824
Total		60,631,310	8,416,813,170	138,820
Total of all parishes		1,713,552,229	28,358,341,355	16,549

* by LSU, Goddard

Table 5. Mississippi Interior Salt Basin 2005 Cumulative Oil & Gas Production.*

Reservoir	Oil (Bbls)	Gas(Mcf)	GOR
Tertiary			
Wilcox	273,753,647	198,084,956	724
Upper Cretaceous			
Selma	39,205,424	224,393,889	5,724
Eutaw	301,449,711	1,754,506,272	5,820
Upper Tuscaloosa	26,338,415	19,226,238	730
Lower Tuscaloosa	610,702,463	1,805,166,543	2,956
Total	977,696,013	3,803,292,942	3,890
Lower Cretaceous			
Dantzler	783,201	72,450,931	92,506
Washita-Fredericksburg	56,943,318	255,821,157	4,493
Paluxy	56,544,588	568,991,732	10,063
Mooringsport	11,633,767	215,885,662	18,557
Ferry Lake	7,381	8,175	1,108
Rodessa	235,162,019	341,331,628	1,451
Pine Island	543,856	676,027	1,243
Sligo	30,927,220	157,859,597	5,104
James	902,320	80,356,905	89,056
Hosston	54,887,990	995,065,210	18,129
Cotton Valley	106,461,276	146,163,240	1,373
Total	554,796,936	2,834,610,264	5,109
Upper Jurassic			
Haynesville	6,421,491	349,786,844	54,471
Smackover	522,979,535	4,069,721,819	7,782
Norphlet	12,664,335	331,269,443	26,158
Total	542,065,361	4,750,778,106	8,764
Others (including Tuscaloosa production from Louisiana)	872,883,419	1,277,775,162	1,464
Total of all reservoirs	3,221,195,376	12,864,541,430	3,994

* by UA

Table 6. Manila Subbasin 2005 Cumulative Oil & Gas Production.*

County	Reservoirs	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)	GOR
Clarke	Tuscaloosa	8,939,249	0	
	Smackover	19,492,266	8,775,544	450
Monroe	Haynesville/Smackover/Norphlet	37,237,789	49,818,511	1,338
Total		65,669,304	58,594,055	892

*by UA

Table 7. Conecuh Subbasin 2005 Cumulative Oil & Gas Production.*

County	Reservoirs	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)	GOR
Baldwin	Paluxy/Washita-Fredericksburg/Dantzler	3,912,801	86,688	22
	Smackover	2,575,428	2,238,543	869
Conecuh	Haynesville/Smackover/Norphlet	5,256,519	6,617,103	1,259
Covington	Cotton Valley/Haynesville/Smackover	3,464,812	48,782	14
	Hosston	866,708	67,232	78
Escambia (AL)	Tuscaloosa	22,010,517	1,633,789	74
	Haynesville/Smackover/Norphlet	185,115,870	1,334,578,052	7,209
Escambia/Santa Rosa(FL)	Smackover/Norphlet	472,368,000	609,556,000	1,290
Total		695,570,655	1,954,826,189	2,810

*by UA