

# Flowsheet Testing of the Fission Product Extraction Process as Part of Advanced Aqueous Reprocessing

**2007 ANS National Meeting**

Jack D. Law  
David A. Meikrantz  
Dean R. Peterman  
Catherine L. Riddle  
Terry A. Todd

**June 2007**

The INL is a  
U.S. Department of Energy  
National Laboratory  
operated by  
Battelle Energy Alliance



This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint should not be cited or reproduced without permission of the author. This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights. The views expressed in this paper are not necessarily those of the United States Government or the sponsoring agency.

# Flowsheet testing of the Fission Product Extraction Process as part of Advanced Aqueous Reprocessing

Jack D. Law, David A. Meikrantz, Dean R. Peterman, Catherine L. Riddle, Terry A. Todd

*Idaho National Laboratory: P.O.Box 1625, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415 [jjack.law@inl.gov](mailto:jack.law@inl.gov)*

## INTRODUCTION

As part of the Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative (AFCI), the reduction in volume and heat generation of spent nuclear fuel requiring geologic disposal is currently being addressed. The goal is to optimize utilization of the nation's first repository and potentially reduce or eliminate the need for additional repositories. This will be achieved through separating long-lived, highly toxic elements, reducing high-level waste volumes and the toxicity of spent nuclear fuel, and reducing the heat generation of spent nuclear fuel. The Idaho National Laboratory (INL) is working closely with a team of national laboratories and other organizations to support development of these separations processes.

Key to the reduction of short-term heat load in a geological repository is the separation of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ . Removal of these highly radioactive fission products reduces the short-term ( $\sim 100$  yr) heat generation source of the spent nuclear fuel. Once separated, the Cs and Sr would be placed in storage until the activity has decayed to LLW levels, at which time it could potentially be disposed of as a non-transuranic (TRU) low-level waste (LLW).

## DESCRIPTION

To support development of this separations process, technologies for the simultaneous separation of Cs and Sr from dissolved spent nuclear fuel are being developed. In previous work, cesium and strontium have been removed from acidic nuclear waste solutions and related alkaline wastes using separate solvent extraction processes designed specifically for these elements. In general, crown ethers have been selected for the removal of strontium, and calixarenes have been selected for separations of cesium. A novel process is being developed at the INL which combines these two types of extractants to create a solvent that will extract both cesium and strontium from acidic media, simultaneously. This technology, the Fission Product Extraction (FPEX) Process, utilizes 4,4'-(5')-di-(*t*-butyldicyclohexano)-18-crown-6 (DtBuCH<sub>18</sub>C<sub>6</sub>) for the extraction of Sr, calix[4]arene-bis-(*tert*-octylbenzo-crown-6) (BOBCalixC<sub>6</sub>) for the extraction of Cs, 1-(2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropoxy)-3-(4-sec-butylphenoxy)-2-propanol (Cs-7SB) as a modifier, TOA (triocetylamine) and an

Isopar<sup>®</sup> L diluent<sup>1</sup>. Initial development of the FPEX process at the INL began in FY-03. Results from this initial testing were promising and further testing was performed in FY-05 and FY-06. Based on these test, a flowsheet was designed and tested using 24 stage of 3.3-cm centrifugal contactor.

## RESULTS

Process goals for the separation of Cs and Sr from the spent LWR fuel require  $\geq 99.9\%$  removal. Additionally, the TRU content of the Cs/Sr product must be less than 100 nCi/g so that this waste stream will be classified as a non-TRU LLW once the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  decay. This requires a decontamination factor of approximately 1E+05 to 1E+06, depending on the fuel composition and preceding separation processes, for the TRU from the Cs/Sr product.

Based upon the process goals and the results of laboratory testing, a flowsheet was designed for testing in 24 stages of 3.3-cm contactors. This flowsheet was successfully demonstrated with spent LWR fuel simulant. The flowsheet testing consisted of approximately 2 hours of startup, including the initiation of feed flows and filling of contactor stages, followed by 270 minutes of operation with feed simulant. The solvent was recycled during testing for a total of 2.6 solvent turnovers within the 24 stages of contactors. Hydraulic performance of the process was excellent and low distribution coefficients were obtained for Eu (Am surrogate). Removal efficiencies of  $>99.99$  and  $>99.98\%$  were obtained for Cs and Sr, respectively. These results exceeded the process goals of 99.9% separation.

<sup>1</sup> References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government, any agency thereof, or any company affiliated with the Idaho National Laboratory.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

An FPEX flowsheet has been successfully demonstrated with spent LWR fuel simulant. Hydraulic performance of the process was excellent. Removal efficiencies of >99.99 and >99.98% were obtained for Cs and Sr, respectively. These results exceeded the process goals of 99.9% separation. Additionally, distribution coefficients for Eu were very low indicating little of the TRU should be extracted with this flowsheet. Flowsheet testing with actual spent fuel is recommended in order to verify these results.