	SPECIAL INSTRUCTION SHEET Page: 1 of: Complete Only Applicable Items Page: 1
This is a placehold	r page for records that cannot be scanned or microfilmed
2. Record Date 01/18/2001	3. Accession Number MOL. 20010205.0014
4. Author Name(s) Y. SUN	5. Author Organization N/A
6. Title	
 Title PRETEST PREDICTIONS FOR VENTILA 7. Document Number(s) CAL-EBS-MD-000013 	FION TESTS 8. Version REV 00
5. Title PRETEST PREDICTIONS FOR VENTILA 7. Document Number(s) CAL-EBS-MD-000013 9. Document Type REPORT	FION TESTS 8. Version REV 00 10. Medium OPTIC/PAPER
3. Title PRETEST PREDICTIONS FOR VENTILA 7. Document Number(s) CAL-EBS-MD-000013 9. Document Type REPORT 11. Access Control Code PUB	FION TESTS 8. Version REV 00 10. Medium OPTIC/PAPER

THIS IS A ONE-OF-A-KIND DOCUMENT DUE TO THE COLORED GRAPHS ENCLOSED AND CAN BE LOCATED THROUGH THE RPC

.

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT

CALCULATION COVER SHEET

1. QA: QA Page: 1 Of: 85

2. Calculation Title

Pretest Predictions for Ventilation Tests

j

MOL.20010205.0014

3. Document Identi	fier (inclu	iding Revision Number)			
CAL-EBS-MD-0000	13 REV (00			
4. Total Attachmen	ts 5.	Attachment Numbers -	Number of pages in each		
None	No	one			
		Print Name	S	ignature	Date
6. Originator	Y. Sun		fining	uil	01/18/2001
7. Checker	H. Yan	9	Hang	mo	0//18/200/
8. Lead	H. N. K	(alia	Nalia		01/18/2001
9. Remarks					, ,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Revision History		
10. Revision N	No.	T	11. Descri	iption of Revision	
00		Initial Issue.			······································
I					

CONTENTS

1.	PURP	OSE	•••••	·····	7
2.	METH	IOD	•••••		7
3.	ASSU	MPTIO	NS		7
4.	USE 0 4.1 4.2	OF COM ANSYS SPREA	IPUTER S S COMPU DSHEET	OFTWARE AND MODELS TER SOFTWARE SOFTWARE	8 8 9
5.	CALC		ONS		9
	5.2 5.3 1	5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 5.1.6 5.1.7 5.1.8 THEOR MODEI 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3	Stefan–B Physical a Physical a Physical a Physical a Physical a Physical a Effective ETICAL LING APH Model Co Boundary Approach 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2	oltzmann Constant	9 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 13 13 13 13 13 13
6.	RESUI	LTS TEMPE	RATURE	S WITHOUT VENTILATION	24
	6.2	IEMPE	RATURE	S WITH VENTILATION	24
7.	REFEF	RENCE	S		84
	7.1 I	DOCUN	MENTS C	(TED	84
	7.2 (CODES	, STAND	ARDS, REGULATIONS, AND PROCEDURES	84
	7.3 \$	SOURC	E DATA.		85
	7.4 (OUTPU	T DATA		85

FIGURES

Figure 5-1.	Configuration of ANSYS Model for Ventilation Test	23
Figure 6-1.	Predicted Temperatures at Zero Air Flow	24
Figure 6-2.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 1a	
Figure 6-3.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 1a	
Figure 6-4.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 1a	
Figure 6-5.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 1a	29
Figure 6-6.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 1a	30
Figure 6-7.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 1a	30
Figure 6-8.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 1a	31
Figure 6-9.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 1b	32
Figure 6-10.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 1b	32
Figure 6-11.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 1b	33
Figure 6-12.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 1b	33
Figure 6-13.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 1b	34
Figure 6-14.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 6 for Case 1b	34
Figure 6-15.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 8 for Case 1b	35
Figure 6-16.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2aa	36
Figure 6-17.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2aa	36
Figure 6-18.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2aa	37
Figure 6-19.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2aa	37
Figure 6-20.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2aa	38
Figure 6-21.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2aa	38
Figure 6-22.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2aa	39
Figure 6-23.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ab	40
Figure 6-24.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2ab	40
Figure 6-25.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2ab	41
Figure 6-26.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ab	41
Figure 6-27.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2ab	42
Figure 6-28.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ab	42
Figure 6-29.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ab	43
Figure 6-30.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ac	44
Figure 6-31.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2ac	44
Figure 6-32.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2ac	45
Figure 6-33.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ac	45
Figure 6-34.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2ac	46
Figure 6-35.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ac	46
Figure 6-36.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ac	47
Figure 6-37.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ad	48
Figure 6-38.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2ad	48
Figure 6-39.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2ad	49
Figure 6-40.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ad	49
Figure 6-41.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2ad	50
Figure 6-42.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ad	50

3

Page

FIGURES (Continued)

Page

Figure 6-43.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ad	51
Figure 6-44.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ba	52
Figure 6-45.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2ba	52
Figure 6-46.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2ba	53
Figure 6-47.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ba	53
Figure 6-48.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2ba	54
Figure 6-49.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ba	54
Figure 6-50.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ba	55
Figure 6-51.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2bb	56
Figure 6-52.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2bb	56
Figure 6-53.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2bb	57
Figure 6-54.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2bb	57
Figure 6-55.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2bb	58
Figure 6-56.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2bb	58
Figure 6-57.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2bb	59
Figure 6-58.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 3a	60
Figure 6-59.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 3a	60
Figure 6-60.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 3a	61
Figure 6-61.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 3a	61
Figure 6-62.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 3a	62
Figure 6-63.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 3a	62
Figure 6-64.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 3a	63
Figure 6-65.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 3aa	64
Figure 6-66.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 3aa	64
Figure 6-67.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 3aa	65
Figure 6-68.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 3aa	65
Figure 6-69.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 3aa	66
Figure 6-70.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 3aa	66
Figure 6-71.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 3aa	67
Figure 6-72.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 4a	68
Figure 6-73.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 4a	68
Figure 6-74.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 4a	69
Figure 6-75.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 4a	69
Figure 6-76.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 4a	70
Figure 6-77.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 4a	70
Figure 6-78.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 4a	71
Figure 6-79.	Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 4aa	72
Figure 6-80.	Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 4aa	72
Figure 6-81.	Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 4aa	73
Figure 6-82.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 4aa	73
Figure 6-83.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 4aa	74
Figure 6-84.	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 4aa	74

FIGURES (Continued)

Page

Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 4aa	75
Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 5a	76
Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 5a	76
Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 5a	77
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 5a	77
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 5a	
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5a	78
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5a	79
Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 5aa	80
Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 5aa	80
Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 5aa	
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 5aa	
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 5aa	
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5aa	
Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5aa	
	Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 4aa Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 5a Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 5a Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 5a Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 5a Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 5a Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5a Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5a Predicted Average Temperatures for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5aa Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5aa

TABLES

Table 5-1.	Physical and Thermal Properties for Waste Package and Waste Package	
	Support	9
Table 5-2.	Physical and Thermal Properties for Concrete Pipe	10
Table 5-3.	Physical and Thermal Properties for Insulating Material	10
Table 5-4.	Physical and Thermal Properties for Invert Material	11
Table 5-5.	Physical and Thermal Properties for Ventilation Air at 298 K (25°C), 310.5 K	
	(37.5°C), and 350 K (77°C)	11
Table 5-6.	Nusselt Numbers for Pure Natural Convection	17
Table 5-7.	Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients for Pure Forced Convection	18
Table 5-8.	Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients for Mixed Natural and Forced	
	Convection Based on Morgan's Correlation (Gebhart et al. 1988)	20
Table 5-9.	Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients for Mixed Convection Using Modified	
	Approach Based on Morgan and Kuehn and Goldstein	22
Table 6-1.	Description of Cases Considered in Sensitivity Study	26
Table 6-2.	Summary of Predicted Peak Temperatures	27

1. PURPOSE

The objective of this calculation is to predict the temperatures of the ventilating air, waste package surface, concrete pipe walls, and insulation that will be developed during the ventilation tests involving various test conditions. The results will be used as input to the following three areas:

- Decisions regarding testing set-up and performance.
- Assessing how best to scale the test phenomena measured.
- Validating numerical approach for modeling continuous ventilation.

The scope of the calculation is to identify the physical mechanisms and parameters related to thermal response in the ventilation tests, and develop and describe numerical methods that can be used to calculate the effects of continuous ventilation. Sensitivity studies to assess the impact of variation of linear power densities (linear heat loads) and ventilation air flow rates are included. The calculation is limited to thermal effect only.

This engineering work activity has been evaluated in accordance with the AP-2.21Q procedure, and is subject to QA controls (CRWMS M&O 2000a). The calculation is developed in accordance with the AP-3.12Q procedure, *Calculations*, Revision 0, ICN 3, and prepared in accordance with the *Development Plan for Ventilation Pretest Predictive Calculation* (CRWMS M&O 2000a).

2. METHOD

The calculation uses the numerical code ANSYS Version 5.2 to predict the temperatures of the air, the carbon steel waste package, the concrete pipe simulating the emplacement drift, and the insulation around the pipe to control heat loss for the ventilation tests. The code applies the following scientific laws in predicting temperature distributions: Fourier's Law of heat conduction, Newton's Law of cooling, and the Stefan-Boltzmann Law of thermal radiation. Only two-dimensional cases were analyzed.

Primary data were selected from the Technical Information Center (TIC), Document Control (DC), and input transmittal in accordance with the AP-3.14Q procedure, *Transmittal of Input*. The use or control of electronic media for data is not required. There is no variance in the method used from that planned (CRWMS M&O 2000a, Section 2). Details of the approach used in the calculation are provided in Section 5.

3. ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions are made in the calculations:

- **3.1** The temperature of the intake air for ventilation is assumed to be 25°C. The tests will be conducted indoor, and the indoor air temperature is expected to be controlled and measured. Any difference between the measured intake air temperature and the assumed will be considered in the adjustment of inputs to the posttest calculations. Further confirmation of this assumption is not required. Used throughout.
- **3.2** The temperature of the air outside the insulation is assumed to remain constant at 25°C. The rationale for this assumption is the same as for Assumption 3.1. Further confirmation of this assumption is not required. Used throughout.
- **3.3** Natural convection is dominant outside the insulation, and forced convection is negligible because the air flow outside the test set-up or insulation is minimal. Further confirmation of this assumption is not required. Used throughout.
- **3.4** The initial temperature of the whole system is assumed to be 25°C. The rationale for this assumption is the same for Assumption 3.1. Further confirmation of this assumption is not required. Used throughout.
- **3.5** The waste package and drift are sufficiently long compared to the diameters that twodimensional analyses will be satisfactory. This assumption may be confirmed by agreement between the test results and those calculated from this report. Used throughout.

4. USE OF COMPUTER SOFTWARE AND MODELS

4.1 ANSYS COMPUTER SOFTWARE

A commercially available computer program, ANSYS Version 5.2, is used to perform the pretest prediction calculations. ANSYS is a general purpose, finite-element analysis code, and is used in many disciplines of engineering such as structural, geotechnical, and mechanical, dealing with behavior of solids and fluids, including thermal response. ANSYS is installed on the Silicon Graphics (SGI) and Sun Microsystems workstations with the Unix operating system. ANSYS Version 5.2 has been verified and validated (CSCI#: 30013 V5.2SGI, CRWMS M&O 1997) according to the AP-SI.1Q procedure, *Software Management*. The input and output files generated by ANSYS were archived and submitted to the Technical Data Management System (TDMS) and the Records Processing Center (RPC) (DTN: MO01011MWDPPV13.006). The results are presented and described throughout Section 6.0. A detailed discussion of the general features and fields of application of the ANSYS code is presented in the User's Manual (Swanson Analysis Systems 1995).

The ANSYS Version 5.2 software (CSCI#: 30013 V5.2SGI) was obtained from the software Configuration Management (CM) in accordance with the AP-SI.1Q procedure. The software was appropriate for the applications used in this analysis. The software was used within the range of validation as specified in the software qualification report (CRWMS M&O 1997).

4.2 SPREADSHEET SOFTWARE

Microsoft Excel 97 spreadsheet software was used in displaying some of the ANSYS results graphically. The results from ANSYS analyses were used as inputs, and the outputs are presented in the forms of figures in Section 6. User-defined formulas and/or algorithms are displayed where used. No additional information governing the use of Microsoft Excel 97 in this calculation is required by the AP-SI.1Q procedure.

5. CALCULATIONS

This section presents the inputs and approaches used in the calculation. The sources of inputs are documented in accordance with the AP-3.15Q procedure, *Managing Technical Product Inputs*.

5.1 INPUTS

5.1.1 Stefan–Boltzmann Constant

For thermal radiation calculations, the Stefan–Boltzmann constant value of 5.669×10^{-8} W/m²·K⁴ is used (Holman 1997, p. 396).

5.1.2 Physical and Thermal Properties for Waste package

The physical and thermal properties for the waste package used in the calculation are listed in Table 5-1. These values are for carbon steel material, based on the *Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer* (Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Tables A.1 and A.11). According to the *Conceptual Arrangement Simulated Emplacement Ventilation Test* (CRWMS M&O 2000c), the designed diameter of the waste package is 0.4064 m (16 inches).

Parameter	Value
Density (kg/m ³)	7854 [°]
Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)	60.5 ^ª
Specific Heat (J/kg·K)	434 ^ª
Emissivity	0.8 ^b

Table 5-1. Physical and Thermal Properties for Waste Package and Waste Package Support

Note:^a Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Table A.1.

^b Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Table A.11.

5.1.3 Physical and Thermal Properties for Concrete Pipe

The physical and thermal properties for the concrete pipe used in the calculation are listed in Table 5-2. These values are obtained based on the *Heat Transfer* (Holman 1997, Table A-3) and the *Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer* (Incropera and DeWitt, Table A.11). The designed

inner and outer diameters of the concrete pipe are 1.3716 m and 1.651 m, respectively (CRWMS M&O 2000c).

Parameter	Value	
Density (kg/m ³)	2100 ^ª	
Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)	1.37 ^a	
Specific Heat (J/kg·K)	880 ^a	
Emissivity	0.93 ^b	

Table 5-2. Physical and Thermal Properties for Concrete Pipe

Note:^a Holman 1997, Table A-3. ^b Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Table A.11.

5.1.4 **Physical and Thermal Properties for Insulating Material**

The physical and thermal properties for the insulating material (fiber glass) used in the calculation are listed in Table 5-3. The density and thermal conductivity are obtained from the Standard Fiber Glass Duct Wrap provided by the manufacturer (CertainTeed 1996). The other thermal property values are obtained based on the Heat Transfer (Holman 1997, Table A-3) and the Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer (Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Tables A.11). The designed thickness of the insulation is 0.0508 m (CRWMS M&O 2000c).

Parameter	Value
Density (kg/m ³)	12ª
Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)	0.040 ^a
Specific Heat (J/kg·K)	700 ^b
Emissivity	0.96 ^c

Table 5-3. Physical and Thermal Properties for Insulating Material

Note:^a CertainTeed 1996.

^b Holman 1997, Table A-3.

^c Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Table A.11, selected from a range of 0.93 to 0.96 for asbestos sheet.

5.1.5 **Physical and Thermal Properties for Invert Material**

The physical and thermal properties for the invert material (4-10 crushed tuff) used in the calculation are listed in Table 5-4. These values are obtained based on the Thermal and Physical Properties of Granular Materials (CRWMS M&O 2000b, Tables 4 and 6) and the Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer (Incropera and DeWitt, Table A.11).

Table 5-4. Physical and Thermal Prop	perties for Invert Material
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------

Parameter	Value
Density (kg/m ³)	2530 ^ª
Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)	0.16 ^b
Specific Heat (J/kg·K)	930 ^b
Emissivity	0.95°

Note:^a CRWMS M&O 2000b, Table 4, a mean value for fine crushed tuff.

^b CRWMS M&O 2000b, Table 6, mean values for 4-10 crushed tuff.

^c Incropera and DeWitt 1985, Table A.11, selected from a range of 0.93 to 0.96 for red brick.

5.1.6 Physical and Thermal Properties for Waste Package Support

The physical and thermal properties for the waste package support (carbon steel) used in the calculation are listed in Table 5-1.

5.1.7 Physical and Thermal Properties for Air

The physical and thermal properties for air used in the calculation are listed in Table 5-5. These values are obtained based on *Heat Transfer* (Holman 1997, Table A-5).

Table 5-5.	Physical and Thermal Properties for Ventilation Air at 298 K (25°C), 310.5 K (37.5°C), and
	350 K (77°C)

Parameter	At 298 K	At 310.5 K	At 350 K
Density (kg/m ³)	1.1868	1.1397	0.9980
Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)	0.0261	0.0270	0.03003
Specific Heat (J/kg·K)	1,005.7	1,006.4	1,009.0
Dynamic Viscosity (kg/m⋅s)	1.8363×10 ⁻⁵	1.8942×10⁻⁵	2.075×10 ⁻⁵
Prandtl Number (dimensionless)	0.709	0.706	0.697

Source: Holman 1997, Table A-5.

5.1.8 Effective Length of Test Section

The effective length of test section used in the calculation is about 33.528 m (110 feet). This information is obtained based on the *Conceptual Arrangement Simulated Emplacement Ventilation Test* (CRWMS M&O 2000c).

5.2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Heat transfer mechanisms in the ventilation tests involve conduction, convection, and radiation. Conductive heat flow occurs within the waste package, invert, concrete pipe, and insulating material whenever there is a thermal gradient. Convective heat transfer occurs between the waste package surface and the ventilating air as well as between the concrete wall and the air. Electromagnetic radiation heat transfer occurs between the waste package surface and the drift wall. The radiation can transfer heat between two surfaces with thermal gradient without going through a medium.

Based on the balance of thermal energy, the general three-dimensional, heat conduction equation (Fourier's law of heat conduction) can be expressed in Cartesian coordinates as (Holman 1997, Equation 1-3, p. 5):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(k\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(k\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right) + q^{\prime\prime\prime} = \rho c_{p}\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$
(Eq. 5-1)

Where

Τ	=	temperature, K
t	=	time, s
k	=	thermal conductivity, W/m·K
ρ	=	density, kg/m ³
q '''	=	heat generation rate per unit volume, W/m ³
C_p	=	specific heat, J/kg·K

For an air-ventilated test section, the overall effect of convection can be evaluated using Newton's law of cooling (Holman 1997, Equation 1-8, p. 12):

$$q = hA(T_w - T_a) \tag{Eq. 5-2}$$

Where

\boldsymbol{q}	_	heat flow rate, W
h	=	convection heat transfer coefficient, W/m ² ·K
A	=	convection surface area, m^2
T_w	=	concrete pipe or waste package surface temperature, K
T_a	=	ventilation air temperature, K

The heat from the waste packages to the concrete wall is transferred mainly through thermal radiation. In the ANSYS model, the waste packages are completely enclosed by the concrete pipe, so the total radiant exchange can be calculated using the following equation based on the Stefan-Boltzmann law (Holman 1997, Equation 1-11, p. 14):

$$q = F_{\varepsilon}F_{G}\sigma A \left(T_{w}^{4} - T_{c}^{4}\right)$$
 (Eq. 5-3)

W	here
---	------

heat flow rate, W

Fε	=	emissivity function, dimensionless
F_{G}	=	geometric view factor function, dimensionless
σ	=	Stefan-Boltzmann constant with a value of $5.669 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4$
A	=	radiation surface area, m^2
T_w	=	absolute temperature of the waste package surface, K
T_c	=	absolute temperature of the concrete pipe surface, K

q

5.3 MODELING APPROACH

5.3.1 Model Configurations

The model configuration used in ANSYS thermal calculations is illustrated in Figure 5-1. The model contains a waste package, waste package support, invert, concrete pipe, and insulation. Materials for both the waste package and its support are carbon steel. The invert is composed of crushed tuff. Material for the insulation is fiber glass (see Section 5.1.4). Based on Assumption 3.5, a two-dimensional model is used in the calculation.

5.3.2 Boundary Conditions

Two types of boundary conditions, convection and radiation, are used in the calculation. The radiation boundary exists on the surface of the waste package. All other boundaries are set as convection boundaries. The air temperature within the test section is time-dependent, while the air temperature outside the insulation is prescribed to be 25°C (Section 3.2).

5.3.3 Approach

As stated, conduction, convection, and radiation heat exchanges are involved in the ventilation tests. Conduction and radiation are modeled by ANSYS using Equations 5-1 and 5-3, respectively. Details on the approach can be found in the *ANSYS User's Manual for Revision 5.2* (Swanson Analysis Systems 1995, Volume I, Chapter 4). For convection heat transfer associated with the continuous ventilation, the solutions from ANSYS analyses cannot directly give the result of air temperatures. Additional process is required to take into account the coupled fluid flow and heat transfer effects. The approach used is discussed below.

5.3.3.1 Modeling of Continuous Ventilation

Determination of heat exchange in a ventilation test is a complex three-dimensional and timedependent coupled fluid flow and heat transfer problem. To simplify the solution, an approximate numerical approach using the ANSYS computer code is employed. A description of the approach follows.

First, the entire test section, subjected to continuous ventilation, with a length of L is divided into an integral number of segments of equal length Δl , so the total number of segments, m, will be equal to $L/\Delta l$. During modeling, the segments are treated as a series of connected elements, and the exit air temperature at a segment is used as an intake air temperature for the subsequent segment. The ventilating air, concrete pipe wall and waste package temperatures at a specific modeling time are assumed to be constant over the length of a segment. Theoretically, the length of segments should be selected as short as possible so that the changing air, wall, and waste package surface temperatures along a segment can be reasonably represented by their averaged constants.

The computation of temperatures is performed for every segment sequentially over a prespecified ventilation time or duration, t_{vent} , so the total number of computational runs for each case is the same as that of the number of segments, m. In this calculation, the 110-foot-long test

section (33.528-meter-long) (Section 5.1.8) was divided into four (4) segments, with a length of 8.382 meters for each segment.

Second, the ventilation time, t_{vent} , is partitioned into a number of time-steps, n, for each computational run. In this calculation, the size of time-steps selected varies from 1 hour to 72 hours for a modeling time of up to 360 hours.

Third, after the selection of segment length and time-step size, the ANSYS program is executed sequentially for a total number of m times for each case. Resulting wall temperature and waste package surface temperature and the heat flow rate for the currently modeled segment are utilized to calculate the average exhaust air temperature of the segment by means of Newton's cooling law (Equation 5-2). This exhaust air temperature is then used as input for the ventilating intake air temperature of the computational run for the subsequent segment as described below in detail. This process is repeated until the computational run for the last segment is completed.

The following outlines the process of using Newton's cooling law (Equation 5-2) and energy balance (Fourier's law of heat conduction) (Equation 5-1) to calculate the exhaust air temperatures in a segment.

The rates of heat removed from wall and waste package surface in a segment by ventilation are determined by:

$$q_w = hA_w (T_{wa} - T_{ain})$$
 (Eq. 5-4)

and

Where

$$q_p = hA_p \left(T_{pa} - T_{ain} \right) \tag{Eq. 5-5}$$

q_w	=	rate of heat removed from concrete wall, W
q_p	=	rate of heat removed from waste package surface, W
h		convection heat transfer coefficient, W/m ² ·K
A_w	=	concrete wall area, m ²
A_p	=	waste package surface area, m ²
T_{wa}	=	average concrete wall temperature, K
T_{pa}	=	average waste package surface temperature, K
Tain	=	intake air temperature, K

The exhaust air temperature is calculated based on Holman (1997, Equation 6-1, p. 286) as

$$T_{aout} = T_{ain} + \frac{q_w + q_p}{Q\rho c_p}$$
(Eq. 5-6)

Where

Taout	=	exhaust air temperature, K
Tain	=	intake air temperature, K
q_w	=	rate of heat removed from concrete wall, W
q_{P}	=	rate of heat removed from waste package surface, W
Q	=	ventilation air flow rate, m ³ /s

ρ	=	density of air, kg/m ³
Cp	=	specific heat of air, J/kg·K

Then substitute the average of the intake and exhaust air temperatures for the intake air temperature, T_{ain} , in Equations (5-4) and (5-5), to calculate q_{rm} the rate of heat removed by ventilation at a given time step, that is,

$$q_{rm} = q_w + q_p = hA_w (T_{wa} - T_{aa}) + hA_p (T_{pa} - T_{aa})$$
(Eq. 5-7)

Where

average of intake and exhaust air temperature in a segment at a given time step, K, defined as

$$T_{aa} = \frac{T_{ain} + T_{aout}}{2}$$
(Eq. 5-8)

Where

 $T_{ain} =$ intake air temperature, K $T_{aout} =$ exhaust air temperature, K

5.3.3.2 Calculation of Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients

5.3.3.2.1 Convection Within Test Section

Mixed natural and forced convection within the test section is considered in evaluation of the convection heat transfer coefficient.

(a) Natural Convection

 T_{aa}

=

Convection heat transfer coefficients for natural convection within the test section were evaluated using the empirical equations for the Nusselt Number developed by Kuehn and Goldstein for natural convection heat transfer in concentric horizontal cylindrical annuli (Gebhart et al. 1988, Equation 14.4.16). These equations are expressed as follows:

$$Nu_{i} = \frac{2}{\ln\left\{1 + \frac{2}{\left[\left(0.5Ra_{D_{i}}^{1/4}\right)^{15} + \left(0.12Ra_{D_{i}}^{1/3}\right)^{15}\right]^{1/15}\right\}}}$$
(Eq. 5-9)

and

$$Nu_{o} = \frac{-2}{\ln\left\{1 - \frac{2}{\left[\left(Ra_{D_{o}}^{1/4}\right)^{15} + \left(0.12Ra_{Do}^{1/3}\right)^{15}\right]^{1/5}\right\}}}$$
(Eq. 5-10)

Where

- Nu_i = Nusselt number for natural convection from waste package to air, dimensionless
- $Nu_o =$ Nusselt number for natural convection from concrete wall to air, dimensionless

 Ra_{Di} = Rayleigh number for inner cylinder (waste package), dimensionless

 Ra_{Do} = Rayleigh number for outer cylinder (concrete wall), dimensionless, and defined as (Incropera, F.P. and Dewitt, D.P. 1985, Equation 9.23):

$$Ra_{D_i} = \frac{g\beta\rho^2 c_p D_i^3}{\mu k} \Delta T$$
 (Eq. 5-11)

and

Where

$$Ra_{D_o} = \frac{g\beta\rho^2 c_p D_o^3}{\mu k} \Delta T$$
 (Eq. 5-12)

g	=	gravitational acceleration, m/s ²
β	==	thermal coefficient of volumetric expansion, 1/K
C _p	=	specific heat of air, J/kg·K
D_i	=	diameter of inner cylinder (waste package), m
D_o	=	diameter of outer cylinder (concrete wall), m
ΔT	=	temperature difference between cylinder surface and air,

As indicated in Equations 5-9 through 5-12, the Rayleigh number, and thus the Nusselt number is dependent on the temperature difference between the cylinder surface and the air. Because this temperature difference varies with time so does the Rayleigh number and the Nusselt number. For simplicity, the temperature difference is assumed to be constant over the segment length in evaluating the Nusselt numbers for pure natural convection. The average Nusselt number (Nu_{conv}) for the natural convection is estimated using the following expression (Gebhart et al. 1988, Equation 14.4.16):

$$Nu_{conv} = \left(\frac{1}{Nu_i} + \frac{1}{Nu_o}\right)^{-1}$$
(Eq. 5-13)

Table 5-6 summarizes the results of calculation of the Nusselt numbers for pure natural convection. The values of air properties at a temperature of 310.5K, such as density, thermal conductivity, specific heat, dynamic viscosity, and Prandtl number, used to calculate the convection heat transfer coefficients are given in Table 5-5, Section 5.1.7.

The Nusselt number is used to compute convection heat transfer coefficient for natural convection using Equation 5-17, as discussed in the following section.

Κ

(b) Forced Convection

The following equations were employed in calculating the convection heat transfer coefficients for forced convection:

Parameter	Pure Natural Convection		
Cylinder Identification	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe	
Surface Temperature (°C)	50	50	
Air Temperature (°C)	25	25	
Diameter (m)	0.4064	1.3716	
Rayleigh No.	1.35×10 ⁸	5.20×10 ⁹	
Nusselt No.	63.13	267.95	
Average Nusselt No.	51.09		

Table 5-6. Nusselt Numbers for	or Pure Natural Convection
--------------------------------	----------------------------

Air flow velocity, v, based on Fluid Mechanics (White 1986, Equation 1.21, p. 16):

$$v = \frac{Q}{A}$$
 (Eq. 5-14)

Where Q = ventilation air flow rate, m³/s A = cross-sectional area, m²

Reynolds No., Re (Holman 1997, Basic Heat Transfer Relations, inside front cover):

$$Re = \frac{\rho v D_h}{\mu}$$
(Eq. 5-15)

Where

 $\rho = density of air, kg/m^3$ v = air flow velocity, m/s

 D_h = hydraulic diameter of the cross section, m, defined as

$$D_{h} = \frac{4A}{P} = \frac{4\frac{\pi}{4} \left(D_{c}^{2} - D_{w}^{2} \right)}{\pi \left(D_{c} + D_{w} \right)} = D_{c} - D_{w}$$

Р	=	wetted perimeter, m
D_w	=	diameter of the waste package, m
D_c	=	inside diameter of the concrete pipe, m
μ	=	dynamic viscosity of air, kg/m·s

Nusselt No., Nu (Holman 1997, Equation 6-4a, p. 286; n=0.4 for heating, p. 286):

$$Nu = 0.023 Re^{0.8} Pr^{0.4}$$
 (Eq. 5-16)

WhereRe=Reynolds number, dimensionlessPr=Prandtl number, dimensionless

The expression (5-16) is for calculation of heat transfer in fully developed turbulent flow in smooth tubes, and is applicable for this calculation, as the surface roughness of the concrete and the waste package is negligible.

Convection heat transfer coefficient, h (Holman 1997, Equation 5-107, p. 261) is:

$$h = \frac{kNu}{D_h}$$
(Eq. 5-17)

Where

k=thermal conductivity of air, W/m·KNu=Nusselt Number, dimensionless D_h =hydraulic diameter of the cross section, m

Table 5-7 summarizes the results of calculation of the convection heat transfer coefficients for pure forced convection for the air flow rates of 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 m^3/s . The values of air properties at a temperature of 310.5K, such as density, thermal conductivity, specific heat, dynamic viscosity, and Prandtl number, used to calculate the convection heat transfer coefficients are given in Table 5-5, Section 5.1.7.

Table 5-7. Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients for Pure Forced Convection

Parameter	Pure Forced Convection					
Air Flow Rate (m ³ /s)	0.5	1	2	3		
Hydraulic Diameter (m)	0.8627	0.8627	0.8627	0.8627		
Cross-sectional Area (m ²)	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20		
Air Flow Velocity (m/s)	0.42	0.83	1.66	2.49		
Reynolds No.	2.31×10 ⁴	4.63×10 ⁴	9.26×10 ⁴	13.88×10 ⁴		
Nusselt No.	62.16	108.22	188.43	260.62		
Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient (W/m ² ·K)	1.88	3.27	5.70	7.89		

(c) Mixed Natural and Forced Convection

The effects of mixed natural and forced convection can be estimated using the following correlation developed by Morgan (Gebhart et al. 1988, Section 10.4.1) for the average heat transfer from horizontal cyliners in the various flow regimes and for various directions:

Aiding flow (Gebhart et al. 1988, Equation 10.4.7):

$$\frac{Nu_{mixed}}{Nu_f} = \left[1 + \frac{C_3(Gr)^m}{Re}\right]^n$$
(Eq. 5-18)

Opposing flow (Gebhart et al. 1988, Equation 10.4.8):

$$\frac{Nu_{mixed}}{Nu_f} = \left[1 - \frac{C_3(Gr)^m}{Re}\right]^n$$
(Eq. 5-19)

Cross flow (Gebhart et al. 1988, Equation 10.4.9):

$$\frac{Nu_{mixed}}{Nu_{f}} = \left[1 + \frac{C_{3}^{2}(Gr)^{2m}}{Re^{2}}\right]^{n/2}$$
(Eq. 5-20)

Where	Numixed	=	Nusselt number for mixed convection, dimensionless
	Gr	=	Grashof number, dimensionless, and defined as (Incropera,
			F.P. and Dewitt, D.P. 1985, Equation 9.12)

$$Gr = \frac{g\beta\rho^2 D^3}{\mu^2} \Delta T$$

C_3 , m , and n	=	empirical constants dependent on Gr and Re and various
		flow directions, dimensionless
Nuf	=	Nusselt number for forced convection, dimensionless, and
		is given by (Gebhart et al. 1988, Equation 10.4.10)

$$Nu_f = C_4 (Re)^n \tag{Eq. 5-21}$$

Where C_4 and n = dimensionless empirical constants, dependent on Re.

Table 5-8 summarizes the results of calculation of the convection heat transfer coefficients for mixed natural and forced convection within the test section. These values are calculated using Equations 5-17, 5-18 through 5-21 and the Nusselt numbers for pure natural convection given in Table 5-6 and forced convection given in Table 5-7. The empirical constants, C_3 , C_4 , m, and n, in Equations 5-18 and 5-21 are obtained from Tables 10.4.1 and 10.4.2 of *Buoyancy-Induced Flows and Transport* (Gebhart et al. 1988). The range of air flow rates of 0.5 m³/s to 3 m³/s was chosen as part of a sensitivity study for this calculation.

It is noted that the correlation developed by Morgan is based on the mixed natural and forced convection perpendicular to a cylinder (in cross-flow, not flow along the axis) in external flow (not within an annulus). It is not directly applicable to the geometry and flow orientation of the

ventilation tests. Nevertheless, the methodology of estimating the Reynolds number for natural convection and then the effective Reynolds number for mixed convection is applicable. The values of the convection heat transfer coefficients listed in Table 5-8 for mixed natural and forced convection are used as part of a sensitivity study for this calculation.

Parameter	Mixed Natural and Forced Convection							
Air Flow Rate (m ³ /s)	0.5 1 2 3					3		
Constant C ₃	0.635							
Constant C4		0.148						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Constant m		0.526						
Constant n		0.633						
Cylinder Identification	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe
Nusselt No. for Pure Forced Convection	50.98	110.11	78.86	170.31	122.29	264.11	158.07	341.40
Nusselt No. for Mixed								

110.80

7.37

299.31

5.90

148.27

9.86

372.09

7.33

180.87

12.03

437.37

8.62

89.13

5.93

258.99

5.11

 Table 5-8. Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients for Mixed Natural and Forced Convection Based on Morgan's Correlation (Gebhart et al. 1988)

To properly evaluate the effects of mixed natural and forced convection for the geometry and flow orientation of the ventilation tests, a modified approach is used based on Equations 5-9 and 5-10 for natural convection and Equation 5-16 for forced convection, and is presented below.

Using Equation 5-16, Reynolds number for either natural or forced convection can be estimated as

$$Re = \left(\frac{Nu}{0.023Pr^{0.4}}\right)^{1.25}$$
(Eq. 5-22)

or

Natural and Forced Convection Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient

(W/m²·K)

$$Re_{NC} = \left(\frac{Nu_{NC}}{0.023Pr^{0.4}}\right)^{1.25}$$
(Eq. 5-22)

and

$$Re_{FC} = \left(\frac{Nu_{FC}}{0.023Pr^{0.4}}\right)^{1.25}$$
(Eq. 5-23)

The effective Reynolds Reeff number for mixed natural and forced convection can be estimated as

$$Re_{eff}^2 = Re_{NC}^2 + Re_{FC}^2$$
 (Eq. 5-24)

For a flow within an annulus, the effective Nusselt number Nu_{eff} for mixed natural and forced convection can be estimated as

$$\frac{Nu_{mixed}}{Nu_{FC}} = \left(\frac{Re_{eff}^2}{Re_{FC}^2}\right)^{0.4}$$
(Eq. 5-25)

Substituting Equation 5-24 into Equation 5-25 yields

$$\frac{Nu_{mixed}}{Nu_{FC}} = \left(1 + \frac{Re_{NC}^2}{Re_{FC}^2}\right)^{0.4}$$
(Eq. 5-26)

Equation 5-26 can be used to evaluate the Nusselt number for mixed natural and forced convection in the flow of the ventilation tests. Once the Nusselt number is determined, the corresponding convection heat transfer coefficients can be evaluated using the following expressions

$$h_{w} = \frac{kNu_{w}}{D_{w}}$$
(Eq. 5-27)

and

Where

$$h_c = \frac{kNu_c}{D_c}$$
(Eq. 5-28)

ŀ	h_w	=	convection heat transfer coefficient for waste package, W/m ² ·K
ŀ	h_c	=	convection heat transfer coefficient for concrete pipe, W/m ² ·K
1	Vuw	=	Nusselt Number for waste package, dimensionless
1	Vu _c	=	Nusselt Number for concrete pipe, dimensionless
1	D _w	=	diameter of waste package, m
1	D_c	—	inside diameter of concrete pipe, m
k	k	=	thermal conductivity of air, W/m·K.

Table 5-9 summarizes the results of calculation of the convection heat transfer coefficients for mixed natural and forced convection within the test section. These values are calculated using

Equations 5-22 through 5-28, and the Nusselt numbers for pure natural convection given in Table 5-6 and those for pure forced convection given in Table 5-7.

Parameter	Mixed Convection				
Air Flow Rate (m ³ /s)		1	2		
Cylinder Identification	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe	Waste Package	Concrete Pipe	
Nusselt No. for Pure Natural Convection	63.13	267.95	63.13	267.95	
Nusselt No. for Pure Forced Convection	108.22	108.22	188.43	188.43	
Reynolds No. for Pure Natural Convection	2.36×10 ⁴	1.44×10 ⁵	2.36×10 ⁴	1.44×10 ⁵	
Reynolds No. For Pure Forced Convection	4.31×10 ⁴	4.31×10 ⁴	8.62×10 ⁴	8.62×10 ⁴	
Nusselt No. for Mixed Natural and Forced Convection	120.19	293.98	193.96	321.10	
Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient (W/m ² ·K)	7.99	5.79	12.89	6.32	

 Table 5-9. Convection Heat Transfer Coefficients for Mixed Convection Using Modified Approach Based on Morgan and Kuehn and Goldstein

5.3.3.2.2 Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient for Outside the Insulation

Only natural convection is considered outside the insulation. The Nusselt number for natural convection outside the insulation is evaluated using the expression developed by Churchill and Chu for horizontal cylinders as (Holman 1997, Equation 7-36):

$$Nu^{1/2} = 0.60 + 0.387 \left\{ \frac{GrPr}{\left[1 + \left(0.559 / Pr \right)^{9/16} \right]^{6/9}} \right\}^{1/6}$$
(Eq. 5-29)

Where

GrPr =

Rayleigh number for natural convection, dimensionless

By using the insulation surface and air temperatures of 40°C and 25°C, respectively, the convection heat transfer coefficient for natural convection is calculated to be 3.28 W/m^2 ·K. It is noted that the insulation surface temperature is unknown and calculated from ANSYS runs. To have a better evaluation of this convection heat coefficient, iterations and results from the measurements are desirable.



Figure 5-1. Configuration of ANSYS Model for Ventilation Test

*.,***

6. **RESULTS**

This section summarizes the results of the calculation using the ANSYS Version 5.2 code (DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006).

6.1 **TEMPERATURES WITHOUT VENTILATION**

Temperatures were calculated for a case when no air flow was considered. Natural convection was applied to both inside the test section and outside the insulation. As stated, the convection heat transfer coefficients for natural convection are sensitive to the temperature difference between the cylinder surface and the air. Therefore, the predicted temperatures are dependent on the assumed temperatures when estimating the Nusselt numbers and convection heat transfer coefficients. Figure 6-1 shows the predicted temperatures of the waste package surface, the concrete pipe inside wall, concrete outside wall, and insulation surface based on the convection heat transfer coefficients determined in Sections 5.3.3.2.1 and 5.3.3.2.2.



Figure 6-1. Predicted Temperatures at Zero Air Flow

6.2 **TEMPERATURES WITH VENTILATION**

Temperatures were calculated for the air flow rates of 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 m^3/s . Mixed natural and forced convection was considered to account for the ventilation effects inside the test section, whereas only natural convection was considered outside the insulation. The test section was divided into four segments, except for one case where the test section was divided into eight segments as part of a sensitivity study. The exhaust air temperatures of each segment were

calculated using the approach discussed in Section 5.3.3.1. Table 6-1 lists all the cases considered along with a brief case description.

The predicted temperatures of the air, concrete inside wall, and waste package surface for all these cases are presented in Figures 6-2 through 6-99. The predicted peak temperatures for the cases considered are summarized in Table 6-2.

Table 6-1. Description of Cases Considered in Sensitivity Study

•

Case Identification	Case Description					
1a	Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on both waste package and concrete pipe = $3.27 \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$.					
1b	Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 8, convection heat transfer coefficient on both waste package and concrete pipe = 3.27 W/m^2 ·K.					
2aa	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 7.37 W/m ² ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 5.90 W/m ² ·K.					
2ab	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 2 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 9.86 W/m^2 ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 7.33 W/m^2 ·K.					
2ac	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 7.37 W/m ² K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 5.90 W/m ² K.					
2ad	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = $2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, heat output = 0.18 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = $9.86 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ K}$, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = $7.33 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ K}$.					
2ba	Mixed convection (using modified approach based on Morgan and Kuehn and Goldstein) within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 7.99 W/m^2 ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 5.79 W/m^2 ·K.					
2bb	Mixed convection (using modified approach based on Morgan and Kuehn and Goldstein) within test section: flow rate = 2 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 12.89 W/m^2 ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 6.32 W/m^2 ·K.					
За	Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on both waste package and concrete pipe = 1.88 W/m^2 ·K.					
Заа	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 5.93 W/m ² ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 5.11 W/m ² ·K.					
4a	Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m , number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on both waste package and concrete pipe = 1.88 W/m^2 ·K.					
4aa	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m , number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 5.93 W/m^2 ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 5.11 W/m^2 ·K.					
5a	Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 3.0 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on both waste package and concrete pipe = 7.89 W/m^2 ·K.					
5aa	Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 3.0 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4, convection heat transfer coefficient on waste package = 12.03 W/m^2 ·K, convection heat transfer coefficient on concrete pipe = 8.62 W/m^2 ·K.					

Case Identification and Description	Ventilating Air	WP Surface	Concrete Pipe Wall	Insulation Surface
	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)
1a - Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3/s , heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	36.8	75.8	51.6	29.7
1b - Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 8.	36.8	77.0	52.8	29.9
2aa - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	36.7	58.2	40.4	27.7
2ab - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 2 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	30.9	49.2	34.0	26.6
2ac - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	30.8	41.8	32.5	26.3
2ad - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 2 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	27.9	37.2	29.4	25.8
2ba - Mixed convection (using modified approach based on Morgan and Kuehn and Goldstein) within test section: flow rate = 1 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	36.6	56.8	40.0	27.6
2bb - Mixed convection (using modified approach based on Morgan and Kuehn and Goldstein) within test section: flow rate = 2 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	30.8	45.5	33.3	26.5
3a - Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4.	47.2	98.4	73.6	33.5
3aa - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4.	48.0	70.1	50.6	29.5
4a - Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m , number of segments used = 4.	36.0	63.5	48.3	46.7
4aa - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 0.5 m ³ /s, heat output = 0.18 kW/m, number of segments used = 4.	36.4	47.9	37.4	36.5
5a - Forced convection only within test section: flow rate = 3.0 m^3 /s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m , number of segments used = 4.	29.0	51.3	33.3	26.5
 5aa - Mixed convection (using Morgan's approach) within test section: flow rate = 3.0 m³/s, heat output = 0.36 kW/m, number of segments used = 4. 	28.9	44.9	31.4	26.1

Table 6-2. Summary of Predicted Peak Temperatures



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-5. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 1a



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.



Figure 6-6. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 1a

Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-8. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 1a



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.

Figure 6-9. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 1b









Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.







Figure 6-12. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 1b



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.







Figure 6-14. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 6 for Case 1b





Figure 6-15. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 8 for Case 1b


Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Figure 6-19. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2aa

37









Figure 6-21. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2aa





Figure 6-22. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2aa



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.

Figure 6-23. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ab



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-26. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ab









Figure 6-28. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ab





Figure 6-29. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ab



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-30. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ac



Figure 6-31. Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2ac



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.







Figure 6-33. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ac







Figure 6-34. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2ac

Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-35. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ac

46





Figure 6-36. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ac



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-37. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ad

Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Figure 6-40. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ad



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-42. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ad





Figure 6-43. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ad



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-44. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2ba



Figure 6-45. Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 2ba



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Figure 6-47. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 2ba



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-48. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 2ba



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-49. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2ba



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-50. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 2ba



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-51. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 2bb







Figure 6-53. Predicted Waste Package Surface Temperatures for Case 2bb



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.











Figure 6-56. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 2bb



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-58. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 3a

Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.

Figure 6-59. Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 3a



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-61. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 3a









Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-63. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 3a



Figure 6-64. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 3a



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-65. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 3aa





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-68. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 3aa









Figure 6-70. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 3aa



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-71. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 3aa



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.

Figure 6-72. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 4a









Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-75. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 4a



Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.



Figure 6-76. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 2 for Case 4a

Figure 6-77. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 4a





Figure 6-78. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 4a


Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-79. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 4aa





Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-82. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 4aa









Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-84. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 4aa





Figure 6-85. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 4aa



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.

Figure 6-86. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 5a









Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.







Figure 6-89. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 5a









Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-91. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5a





Figure 6-92. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5a

79



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.



Figure 6-93. Predicted Air Temperatures for Case 5aa

Figure 6-94. Predicted Concrete Inside Wall Temperatures for Case 5aa



Note: For obliterated numbers, see DTN: MO0101MWDPPV13.006.





Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-96. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 1 for Case 5aa









Note: LHL=Linear Heat Load; FR=Air Flow Rate; SN=Segment Number.

Figure 6-98. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 3 for Case 5aa





Figure 6-99. Predicted Average Temperatures in Segment No. 4 for Case 5aa

7. REFERENCES

7.1 DOCUMENTS CITED

CertainTeed 1996. Standard Fiber Glass Duct Wrap. Valley Forge, Pennsylvania: CertainTeed Corporation. TIC: 249257.

CRWMS M&O (Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System Management and Operating Contractor) 1997. Software Qualification Report for ANSYS Revision 5.2SGI. CSCI: 30013 V5.2SGI. DI: 30013-2003, Rev. 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.19970815.0536.

CRWMS M&O 2000a. Development Plan for Ventilation Pretest Predictive Calculation. Development Plan TWP-EBS-MD-000002 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.20001019.0031.

CRWMS M&O 2000b. *Thermal and Physical Properties of Granular Materials*. Input Transmittal 00148.T. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.20000320.0003.

CRWMS M&O 2000c. Conceptual Arrangement Simulated Emplacement Ventilation Test. [Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O]. ACC: MOL.20001219.0107.

Gebhart, B.; Jaluria, Y.; Mahajan, R.L.; and Sammakia, B. 1988. *Buoyancy-Induced Flows and Transport*. Textbook Edition. [New York, New York]: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation. TIC: 102802.

Holman, J.P. 1997. *Heat Transfer*. 8th Edition. New York, New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. TIC: 239954.

Incropera, F.P. and Dewitt, D.P. 1985. Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer. New York, New York: John Wiley & Sons. TIC: 208420.

Kuehn, T.H. and Goldstein, R.J. 1978. "An Experimental Study of Natural Convection Heat Transfer in Concentric and Eccentric Horizontal Cylindrical Annuli." *Journal of Heat Transfer*, 100, 635-640. New York, New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers. TIC: 244433.

Swanson Analysis Systems 1995. ANSYS User's Manual for Revision 5.2. Four Volumes. Houston, Pennsylvania: Swanson Analysis Systems. TIC: 221933.

White, F.M. 1986. *Fluid Mechanics*. Second Edition. New York, New York: McGraw-Hill Company. TIC: 243415.

7.2 CODES, STANDARDS, REGULATIONS, AND PROCEDURES

AP-3.12Q, Rev. 0, ICN 3. *Calculations*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: MOL.20001026.0084.

84

AP-3.14Q, Rev 0, ICN 2. *Transmittal of Input*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: MOL.20001122.0001.

AP-3.15Q, Rev. 2, ICN 0. *Managing Technical Product Inputs*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: MOL.20001109.0051.

AP-SI.1Q, Rev. 2, ICN 4, ECN 1. *Software Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: MOL.20001019.0023.

AP-2.21Q, Rev. 0, ICN 0. *Quality Determinations and Planning for Scientific, Engineering, and Regulatory Compliance Activities*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: MOL.20000802.0003.

7.3 SOURCE DATA

None.

7.4 OUTPUT DATA

MO0101MWDPPV13.006. Input and Output Files for Pretest Predictions for Ventilation Tests. Submittal date: 01/02/2001.

85