

Magnetotelluric Data, Rainier Mesa/Shoshone Mountain, Nevada Test Site, Nevada

Open-File Report 2006-1215

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey



Magnetotelluric Data, Rainier Mesa/Shoshone Mountain, Nevada Test Site, Nevada

By Jackie M. Williams, Jay A. Sampson, Brian D. Rodriguez, and Theodore H. Asch

Open-File Report 2006-1215

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

U.S. Department of the Interior

DIRK KEMPTHORNE, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey

Mark D. Myers, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia 2006

For product and ordering information: World Wide Web: http://www.usgs.gov/pubprod Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment: World Wide Web: http://www.usgs.gov Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted material contained within this report.

Contents

Introduction	1
Electrical Rock Properties	1
Magnetotelluric Method	3
Magnetotelluric Survey	3
Magnetotelluric Data	5
References Cited	7

Figure

1. Index map showing magnetotelluric (MT) stations in the areas of Rainier Mesa and	
Shoshone Mountain	2

Table

1. Station Locations

Appendix

Magnetotelluric Data Plots .	9)

Introduction

The United States Department of Energy (DOE) and the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) at their Nevada Site Office (NSO) are addressing ground-water contamination resulting from historical underground nuclear testing through the Environmental Management (EM) program and, in particular, the Underground Test Area (UGTA) project.

From 1951 to 1992, 828 underground nuclear tests were conducted at the Nevada Test Site northwest of Las Vegas. Most of these tests were conducted hundreds of feet above the ground-water table; however, more than 200 of the tests were near or within the water table. This underground testing was limited to specific areas of the Nevada Test Site, including Pahute Mesa, Rainier Mesa/Shoshone Mountain, Frenchman Flat, and Yucca Flat.

One issue of concern is the nature of the somewhat poorly constrained pre-Tertiary geology, and its effects on ground-water flow. Ground-water modelers would like to know more about the hydrostratigraphy and geologic structure to support a hydrostratigraphic framework model that is under development for the Rainier Mesa/Shoshone Mountain Corrective Action Unit (Bechtel Nevada, 2006).

During 2005, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the DOE and NNSA-NSO, collected and processed data from twenty-six magnetotelluric (MT) and audiomagnetotelluric (AMT) sites at the Nevada Test Site. The 2005 data stations were located on and near Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mountain to assist in characterizing the pre-Tertiary geology in those areas. These new stations extend the area of the hydrogeologic study previously conducted in Yucca Flat. This work will help refine what is known about the character, thickness, and lateral extent of pre-Tertiary confining units. In particular, a major goal has been to define the upper clastic confining unit (UCCU – late Devonian to Mississippian-age siliciclastic rocks assigned to the Eleana Formation and Chainman Shale) from the Yucca Flat area and west towards Shoshone Mountain, to Buckboard Mesa in the south, and onto Rainier Mesa in the north. Subsequent interpretation will include a three-dimensional (3-D) character analysis and a two-dimensional (2-D) resistivity model. The purpose of this report is to release the MT sounding data for the twenty-six stations shown in **figure 1**. No interpretation of the data is included here.

Electrical Rock Properties

Electromagnetic geophysical methods detect variations in the electrical properties of rocks-in particular, electrical resistivity, or its inverse, electrical conductivity. Electrical resistivity can be correlated with geologic units on the surface and at depth using lithologic logs to provide a 3-D picture of subsurface geology. In the upper crust the resistivity of geologic units is largely dependent upon their fluid content, pore-volume porosity, interconnected fracture porosity, and conductive mineral content (Keller, 1989). Although there is not a one-to-one relation between lithology and resistivity, there are general correlations that can be made using typical resistivity values, even though values can be found at other localities that may fall outside of the ranges presented below (Palacky, 1987). Fluids within the pore spaces and fracture openings, especially if saline, can reduce resitivities in what would otherwise be a resistive rock matrix. Resistivity also can be lowered by the presence of electrically conductive clay minerals, graphitic carbon, and metallic mineralization. It is common, for example, for altered volcanic



Figure 1. Index map showing magnetotelluric (MT) stations in the areas of Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mountain. The 26 stations were collected in 2005. Dashed lines are Nevada Test Site areas.

rocks to contain replacement minerals that have resistivities ten times lower than those of the surrounding rocks (Nelson and Anderson, 1992). Fine-grained sediments, such as clay-rich alluvium, marine shales, and other mudstones, are normally conductive from a few ohm-m to a few tens of ohm-m (Keller, 1987; Palacky, 1987). Metamorphic rocks (non-graphitic) and unaltered, unfractured igneous rocks are normally moderately to highly resistive (a few hundreds to thousands of ohm-m). Carbonate rocks can have similarly high resistivities depending on their fluid content, porosity, and impurities (Keller, 1987; Palacky, 1987). Fault zones may be moderately conductive (tens of ohm-m) when comprised of rocks fractured enough to have hosted fluid transport and consequent mineralogical alteration (Eberhart-Phillips and others, 1995). Higher subsurface temperatures cause higher ionic mobility that reduces rock resistivities (Keller, 1987; Palacky, 1987). Tables of electrical resistivities for a variety of rocks, minerals, and geological environments may be found in Keller (1987) and Palacky (1987).

Magnetotelluric Method

The MT method is a passive-surface electromagnetic geophysical technique that measures variations in the Earth's natural electromagnetic fields to investigate the electrical resistivity structure of the subsurface from depths of tens of meters to tens of kilometers (Vozoff, 1991). Worldwide lightning activity at frequencies of 10,000 to 1 Hertz (Hz) and geomagnetic micro-pulsations at frequencies of 1 to 0.001 Hz provide the majority of natural signal used by the MT method. The natural electromagnetic wave propagates vertically into the Earth due to the large resistivity contrast between the air and the Earth, causing a vertical refraction of the electromagnetic wave transmitted into the Earth (Vozoff, 1972).

The natural fields are recorded in the xyz direction for the magnetic field and the xy direction for the electric field at the Earth's surface. The resulting time-series signals are used to derive tensor apparent-resistivities and phases by first converting them to complex cross-spectra using Fourier-transform techniques. Least squares, cross-spectral analysis (Bendat and Piersol, 1971) are used to solve for a tensor transfer function. Prior to conversion to apparent resistivity and phase, the tensor is normally rotated into principal directions that usually correspond to the direction of maximum and minimum apparent resistivity. For a two-dimensional (2-D) Earth, in which Earth's resistivity structure varies with depth and in one lateral direction, the MT fields can be decoupled into transverse-electric (TE) and transverse-magnetic (TM) modes; 2-D resistivity modeling is generally computed to fit both modes. When the geology satisfies the 2-D assumption, the MT data for the TE mode represents electric fields that are oriented parallel to geologic strike, and the data for the TM mode represents electric fields oriented perpendicular to strike. The MT method is well suited for studying complicated geological environments because the electric and magnetic fields are sensitive to vertical and horizontal variations in resistivity. The method is capable of establishing whether the electromagnetic fields are responding to subsurface rock bodies of effectively 1, 2, or 3 dimensions. An introduction to the MT method and references for a more advanced understanding are contained in Dobrin and Savit (1988) and Vozoff (1991).

Magnetotelluric Survey

In May of 2005, data were collected at 26 stations on and near Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mountain. The station locations were chosen to constrain the geologic/hydrostratigraphic interpretation, for proximity to roads, and to avoid, where possible, electrical noise from power lines and vehicles. The low-frequency data (0.0002 to 200 Hz) were collected with an Electromagnetic Instruments, Inc., (EMI) MT24/LF 24-bit system (EMI, 2002), and the high-frequency data (4 Hz to 23,000 Hz) were collected with a portable EMI MT-1 system (EMI, 1996). For the low-frequency data, horizontal electric fields were measured using three copper/copper sulfate porous-pot electrodes placed in an L-shaped array with dipole lengths of 30 meters (m). Titanium electrodes were used in a similar array for the high-frequency data acquisition. The orthogonal, horizontal magnetic fields in the direction of the electric-field measurement array were sensed using EMI's high-magnetic-permeability, mumetal-cored induction coils. For the low-frequency data, two single-station recordings of the orthogonal, horizontal components of the electric and magnetic fields and the vertical magnetic field were acquired at Global Positioning System (GPS) referenced times and were used as remote references for each other as shown in Table 1. The high-frequency data were recorded as non-remote referenced single stations.

The following table lists the 26 MT and AMT station locations as recorded using a GPS during field acquisition. Coordinates are referenced to the 1866 Clarke spheroid and North American 1983 Western United States datum. Longitude and latitude format is degrees, minutes, seconds. Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 11 North units are in meters. Station elevation is given in meters (NAVD29) above sea level. The accuracy of the *x*, *y*, component is ± 5 m. The accuracy of the *z* component is ± 10 m.

Station	Latitude (d,m,s)	Longitude (d,m,s)	Elevation above sea level (m)	Zone 11 Northings (m)	Zone 11 Eastings (m)	Remote Reference d to Station
1	36,55'28.2	116,16'20.1	1,603	4086744	564819	5
2	36,56'03.7	116,15'14.9	1,729	4087851	566420	6
3	36,56'48.6	116,14'52.9	1,929	4089239	566954	7
4	36,57'15.4	116,13'30.8	1,648	4090080	568979	8
5	37,04'45.8	116,19'17.8	1,454	4100906	562963	1
6	37,02'45.2	116,15'42.8	1,537	4100216	565636	2
7	37,02'31.6	116,14'01.8	1,665	4099819	568133	3
8	37,02'49.1	116,12'36.8	1,602	4100375	570229	4
9	37,05'53.5	116,19'15.2	1,521	4105982	560348	12
10	37,06'05.6	116,17'42.4	1,581	4106370	562632	21
11	37,06'06.1	116,15'48.0	1,632	4106408	565460	17
12	37,05'02.6	116,13'42.5	1,640	4104474	568573	9
13	37,07'04.2	116,20'38.3	1,566	4108146	558283	22
14	37,07'21.3	116,18'28.3	1,578	4108693	561479	20
15	37,07'42.9	116,16'33.1	1,686	4109380	564321	26
16	37,08'53.4	116,15'41.2	1,733	4111563	565505	25
17	37,10'33.3	116,14'48.5	1,828	4114650	566860	11
18	37,09'57.1	116,13'35.8	2,096	4113551	568664	24
19	37,10'31.7	116,11'00.7	1,772	4114652	572478	23
20	37,10'36.5	116,10'12.5	1,867	4114810	573666	14
21	37,14'00.6	116,18'23.2	2,127	4121001	561521	10

 Table 1. Station Locations [d,m,s degrees, minutes, seconds; m, meters]

22	37,13'30.6	116,16'30.0	2,063	4120102	564318	13	
23	37,13'05.9	116,15'02.1	2,097	4119357	566489	19	
24	37,13'30.7	116,13'19.1	2,107	4120130	569030	18	
25	37,13'36.7	116,12'20.6	2,059	4120333	570460	16	
26	37,13'03.8	116,10'37.8	2,062	4119342	573002	15	

Magnetotelluric Data

The recorded time-series data were transformed to the frequency domain and processed to determine a 2-D apparent resistivity and phase tensor at each site. Rotation of the impedance tensor allows for decoupling into the TE and TM modes. The data provided here have not been rotated from the original north-south, east-west acquisition orientation. During the analysis and interpretation process, each station will be rotated to a fixed angle determined by the given nominal profile orientation. Low-frequency time-series data were edited, and cross-power files were created with Egbert's (1997) multiple-station magnetotelluric data-processing algorithms using remote references. Cross-power files were sorted to select optimal signal-to-noise time-series data sets (see appendix 1).

The effects of near-surface resistivity anomalies can cause what are known as "static shifts" in the data (Sternberg and others, 1988). Cultural features also can affect the measured magnetotelluric responses. These include fences, pipelines, communication lines, railways, and other manmade conductors.

The figures in appendix 1 represent the field-processed MT data for each station, after the time-series data were converted to the frequency domain and the tensor-transfer function was developed.

For each station, nine separate plots are given:

- 1. Apparent Resistivity (x and y symbols are xy and yx components)
- 2. Impedance Phase (x and y symbols are xy and yx components)
- 3. Rotation Angle
- 4. Impedance Skew
- 5. Multiple Coherency (x and y symbols are xy and yx components)
- 6. Impedance Polar Plots
- 7. Tipper Magnitude
- 8. Tipper Strike
- 9. HzHx (x symbol) and HzHy (o symbol) Coherency

Error bars (],[) on the Apparent Resistivity, Impedance Phase, Skew, Tipper Magnitude, and Tipper Strike plots represent probable errors within one standard deviation of the sample variance (Gamble and others, 1979).

Apparent resistivity is the approximate ratio of the electric-field strength to the magnetic-field strength at a given frequency. The impedance phase is proportional to the slope of the apparent resistivity curve on a log-log plot, but from baselines at ± 45 degrees (Vozoff, 1991). A measure of the dimensionality for MT data is provided by the impedance skew of the impedance tensor (Vozoff, 1972). If the effective measured resistivity response to the geology beneath a MT station truly is one or two dimensional, then the skew will be zero. Instrumental

and environmental sources of electrical noise can cause non-zero skew values. Skew values typically are small (about 0.1) for relatively low-noise recordings. Higher skews (above 0.2) are an indication of either the resistivity response to 3-D geology or higher levels of noise. Manmade electrical noise, such as power lines, power generators, and moving vehicles and trains, can have a negative effect on MT data quality. All of these local disturbances can produce incoherent noise that mainly affects frequencies above 1 Hz. Other manmade electrical noise, such as direct-current electric trains and active cathodic protection of pipelines, produces coherent electromagnetic signals that mainly affect frequencies below 1 Hz.

In the survey area, noise from a number of small power lines and small moving vehicles was negligible at distances greater than 0.4 km from the noise source. Power-line signal levels were measured at each site and typically were less than 20 percent of the maximum recordable signals. Noise from larger power lines, power generators, pipelines, and trains was negligible at distances greater than 5 km. Local lightning, wind, and rainstorms also can degrade data quality. Burying the magnetic induction coils and the electric dipole wires minimized wind noise.

Predicted values of the electric field can be computed from the measured values of the magnetic field (Vozoff, 1991). The coherence of the predicted electric field with the measured electric field is a measure of the signal-to-noise ratio provided in the multiple coherency plots. Values are normalized between 0 and 1; values at 0.5 signify signal levels equal to noise levels. For this data set, coherencies generally were at an acceptable level, except at times in the frequency ranges of 0.01 to 5 Hz (often referred to as the "dead band").

The field-processed MT data include some scatter and poor signal-to-noise ratios. Spectral results were inspected visually for noisy data, and the best signal-to-noise field data were combined into the final plots.

The magnetotelluric impedance polar plots provide a measure of MT data dimensionality (Reddy and others, 1977). For 1-D resistivity structures, the principal impedance polar diagram (dashed line) is a circle. For 2-D or 3-D resistivity structures, the principal impedance polar diagram (dashed line) elongates either parallel or perpendicular to strike direction. Over resistors, the principal impedance polar diagram elongates perpendicular to strike direction, and over conductors, it elongates parallel to strike direction. For 2-D resistivity structures, the additional impedance polar diagram (solid line) attains the shape of a symmetric clover leaf. For 3-D resistivity structures, the additional impedance polar diagram (solid line) elongates in one direction, and its amplitude is comparable to that of the principal impedance polar diagram (dashed line).

The magnetotelluric "tipper" is calculated from the vertical component of the magnetic field. The tipper magnitude is a measure of the "tipping" of the magnetic field out of the horizontal plane (Vozoff, 1991). It will equal zero for the 1-D case. It typically increases to values between 0.1 to 0.5 and seldom approaches 1, as it responds primarily to vertical and subvertical structures. The tipper magnitude of the stations discussed in this report ranged from 0.1 to 0.6 over the lower frequencies, indicating some vertical structure at depth. The tipper strike is used to help resolve the 90-degree ambiguity in the impedance rotation angle. The HzHx and HzHy coherency is a measure of the signal-to-noise ratio of the vertical magnetic field with respect to each of the orthogonal, horizontal magnetic-field directions. Values are normalized between 0 and 1; values at 0.5 signify signal levels equal to noise levels. These three-component magnetic-field coherencies provide a check on the signal-to-noise ratio of the measured values in the tipper magnitude and tipper strike plots.

References Cited

- Bechtel Navada, 2006, A hydrostratigraphic model and alternatives for the ground-water flow and contaiminant transport model of Corrective Action Unit 97: Yucca Flat-Climax Mine, Lincoln and Nye counties, Nevada: Report DOE/NV/11718-1119, in press.
- Bendat, J.S., and Piersol, A.G., 1971, Random data-analysis and measurement procedures: New York, Wiley Interscience, 407p.
- Dobrin, M.D., and Savit, C.H., 1988, Introduction to geophysical prospecting (4th ed.): New York: McGraw-Hill, 867 p.
- Eberhart-Phillips, Donna, Stanley, W.D., Rodriguez, B.D., and Lutter, W.J., 1995, Surface seismic and electrical methods to detect fluids related to faulting: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 100, no. B7, p. 12,919-12,936.
- Egbert, G.D., 1997, Robust multiple station magnetotelluric data processing: Geophysics Journal International, 130, p. 475-496.
- EMI, Inc., 1996, MT-1 magnetotelluric system operation manual, version 3.2: Richmond, Calif., ElectroMagnetic Instruments, Inc., 220 p.
- EMI,Inc., 2002, MT24/LF system operation and maintenance manual, version 1.0: Richmond, Calif., ElectroMagnetic Instruments, Inc., 72 p.
- Gamble, T.D., Goubau, W.M., and Clarke, J., 1979, Error analysis for remote reference magnetotellurics: Geophysics, v. 44, no. 5, p. 959-968.
- Keller, G.V., 1987, Rock and mineral properties, *in* Nabighian, M.N., ed., Electromagnetic methods in applied geophysics theory: Tulsa, Okla., Society of Exploration Geophysicists, v. 1, p. 13-51.
- Keller, G.V., 1989, Electrical properties, *in* Carmichael, R.S., ed., Practical handbook of physical properties of rocks and minerals: Boca Raton, Fla., CRC Press, p. 359-427.
- Nelson, P.H., and Anderson, L.A., 1992, Physical properties of ash flow tuff from Yucca Mountain, Nevada: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 97, no. B5, p. 6,823-6,841.
- Palacky, G.J., 1987, Resistivity characteristics of geologic targets, *in* Nabighian, M.N., ed., Electromagnetic methods in applied geophysics theory: Tulsa, Okla., Society of Exploration Geophysicists, v. 1, p. 53-129.

- Reddy, I.K., Rankin, David, and Phillips, R.J., 1977, Three-dimensional modelling in magnetotelluric and magnetic variational sounding: Geophysics Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, v. 51, p. 313-325.
- Sternberg, B.K., Washburne, J.C., and Pellerin, Louise, 1988, Correction for the static shift in magnetotellurics using transient electromagnetic soundings: Geophysics, v. 53, p. 1, 459-1,468.
- Vozoff, Keeva, 1972, The magnetotelluric method in the exploration of sedimentary basins: Geophysics, v. 37, p. 980-1041.
- Vozoff, Keeva, 1991, The magnetotelluric method, *in* Nabighian, M.N., Electromagnetic methods in applied geophysics: Tulsa, Okla., Society of Exploration Geophysicists, v. 2, pt. B, p. 641-711.

Appendix

Magnetotelluric Data Plots

There are nine separate plots for each station:

- 1. Apparent Resistivity for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes
- 2. Impedance Phase for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes
- 3. Rotation Angle for the impedance tensor (corresponds to the direction of maximum apparent resistivity)
- 4. Impedance Skew for the impedance tensor
- 5. Multiple Coherency for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes of the electric field
- 6. Impedance Polar Plots (at 12 selected frequencies)
- 7. Tipper Magnitude for the vertical magnetic field
- 8. Tipper Strike for the vertical magnetic field
- 9. HzHx (x symbol) and HzHy (o symbol) Coherency

Refer to the "Magnetotelluric Data" section in this report for an explanation of these plots. The priorities listed on the plots were determined prior to data acquisition. During post-processing the priority ranking was changed.





< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Survey Co:USGS



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm01.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 1



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Kotation: Filename: rm01.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 1





Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >







Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm01.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm01.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:32 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm01.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:32 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm01.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 21:3 May 06, 2005	Plotted: 11:32 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005 Plotted: 10:59 Jan 17, 2006 Survey Co:USGS < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Station 2



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm02.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 10:59 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



	no cu uon.
Client: DOE	Filename: rm02.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005	Plotted: 10:59 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 2

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm02.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005	Plotted: 10:59 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm02.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 10:59 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 2



Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOEFilename: rm02.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 02:0 May 06, 2005Plotted: 10:59 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm03.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 22:2 May 06, 2005	Plotted: 11:00 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 3





Station 3



Client: DOE	Filename: rm03.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 22:2 May 06, 2005	Plotted: 11:00 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >
,	-



Remote: none Acquired: 22:2 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm03.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:00 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:2 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm03.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:00 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >


Survey Co:USGS

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:2 May 06, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Filename: rm03.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:00 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >





Client: DOEFilename: rm03.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 22:2 May 06, 2005Plotted: 11:00 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Survey Co:USGS

37



Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 4



Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm04.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 4



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm04.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 4



POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm04.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 4



Client: DOEFilename: rm04.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm04.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

o

1111

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o Rainier

°0

× × COHERENCY 9 0 0 × 0 o × × 0 × × J o 4 × 0 > ×× 0 × 0 ° 0 ο × 0 × 0 × °o × × 0 ᠊ᢙ q 0 × 00 ŝ С 0 .001 .01 1 .1 10 100 1000 10000 100000 FREQUENCY (Hz) **Rotation**:

Client: DOEFilename: rm04.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 21:5 May 07, 2005Plotted: 11:03 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn

Q,

0

00 ××

×



Client: DOEFilename: rm05.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 04:1 May 08, 2005Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 5



Client: DOEFilename: rm05.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 04:1 May 08, 2005Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 5



48

Acquired: 04:1 May 08, 2005

Survey Co:USGS



Remote: none				
Acquired: 04:1	May	08,	2005	
Survey Co:USGS	-			

Filename: rm05.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE	Filename: rm05.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 04:1 May 08, 2005	Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Station 5



Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOEFilename: rm05.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 04:1 May 08, 2005Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOEFilename: rm05.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 04:1 May 08, 2005Plotted: 08:57 Jan 18, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm06.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:30 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 6



Client: DOE	Filename: rm06.avg	
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4	
Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005	Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006	
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >	

Station 6



Remote: none Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Filename: rm06.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 6



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >





Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm06.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 6



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm06.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOEFilename: rm06.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 04:4 May 05, 2005Plotted: 11:31 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Station 7



Survey Co:USGS

Station 7



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm07.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm07.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 7



Client: DOE	Filename:
Remote: none	Channels:
Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005	Plotted:
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 16, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >


Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 7

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm07.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 7



Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 7



Remote: DOE Acquired: 22:0 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm07.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:59 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 8



.

Station 8



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 08, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm08.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 8





Client: DOEFilename: rm08.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 01:3 May 08, 2005Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 08, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm08.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE				
Remote: none				
Acquired: 01:3	May	08,	2005	
Survey Co:USGS				

Filename: rm08.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 08, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm08.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 8



Client: DOEFilename: rm08.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 01:3 May 08, 2005Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 8

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 08, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm08.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 9





Filename: rm09.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 9



Client: DOE	Filename: rm09.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005	Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 9



Client: DOEFilename: rm09.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 9





E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOEFilename: rm09.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm09.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation: Filename: rm09.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 9



Client: DOEFilename: rm09.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 9



Acquired: 22:0 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 12:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 10

IMPEDANCE PHASE Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn 9000 000 O Do O 0 0 0 0 0 CCCCCCC 45 ∮ 0 DEGREES -45 . -90 **×** -1353 ****** -180 10 .001 .01 .1 1 100 1000 10000 100000 FREQUENCY (Hz) **Rotation:**

Client: DOEFilename: rm10.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 10



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm10.avg	
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4	
Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005	Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006	
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >	

Station 10



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm10.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 10



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm10.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm10.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 10

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm10.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 10



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation: Filename: rm10.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Remote: none Acquired: 00:3 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 12:55 Jan 17, 2006

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE	Filename: rm11.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005	Plotted: 13:08 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 11



Station 11



	Rotation:
Client: DOE	Filename: rm11.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005	Plotted: 13:08 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 11



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm11.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:08 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn

,



Client: DOE	Filename: rm11.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005	Plotted: 13:08 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >
POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename:	rm11.avg
Remote: none	Channels:	Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005	Plotted:	13:08 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI –	ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:08 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 11



Client: DOEFilename: rm11.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005Plotted: 13:08 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

١

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOEFilename: rm11.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 03:1 May 13, 2005Plotted: 13:06 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

I:

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

•

Station 12 Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 12



Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm12.avg Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 12



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 12



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:2 May 13, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm12.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:09 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 13



Client: DOEFilename: rm13.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 13



Remote: none Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm13.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm13.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm13.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 13

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm13.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 13

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Survey Co:USGS

Station 13



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:4 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm13.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:19 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 14 APPARENT RESISTIVITY Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn 1000 ł OHM METERS 100 ł 9.9⁹⁹⁹⁹ eو G þ Q ര QQ 10 --.001 .01 .1 1 10 100 1000 10000 100000 FREQUENCY (Hz) **Rotation**: Client: DOE Filename: rm14.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006

Remote: none

Survey Co:USGS

Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005

Station 14





Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Kotation: Filename: rm14.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 14

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm14.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005	Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation:

Filename: rm14.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 14



Client: DOE	Filename: rm14.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005	Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 14



Client: DOEFilename: rm14.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 14

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:5 May 10, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm14.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:21 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 15 Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn APPARENT RESISTIVITY гm 110 1111 Q Ø 1000 ٥_d GÛ ¥ 0000 * } ₫ фФФФФ OHM METERS ൟൣൕ × ΦΦ 100 ංල Q.0000 } } ₽ 10 ---ա -.001 .01 .1 1 10 100 1000 10000 100000 FREQUENCY (Hz)

Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm15.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 15

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm15.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments > 7

Station 15



Client: DOE	Filename: rm15.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005	Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 15 IMPEDANCE SKEW Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn TTTH æ 9 SIKEW 4 }}* ¢, } ł 0 .001 .01 10 .1 1 100 1000 10000 100000 FREQUENCY (Hz) **Rotation**: Client: DOE Filename: rm15.avg Remote: none Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005 Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006 Survey Co:USGS < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Station 15 Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOEFilename: rm15.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >




Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm15.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 15

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm15.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE	Filename: rm15.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Chi Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:3 May 12, 2005	Plotted: 13:22 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments

Station 15



>

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm16.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3
Acquired: 03:4 May 11, 2005	Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments

Station 16



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

· · .

Station 16



Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm16.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 16



E MULT Coh.

Station 16



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm16.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn

POLAR PLOTS



Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn 120 } 60 }* ł DEGREES 0 ł 09-⊁ ł } -120-180 шÍ



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 11, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation: Filename: rm16.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 16

TIM THI 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 × ø σ ×× × × 0 õ × 0 × × × COHERENCY 9 õ 0 ٥× 0 0 0 ŏ 0 0 ×× 0 0 n ο 0 0 × 4 × 0 0 o × 0 0 × 0 Ņ σ × ٥× 0 00 0 0 11 0 .001 .01 .1 1 10 100 1000 10000 100000 FREQUENCY (Hz)

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rotation: Client: DOE Filename: rm16.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 11, 2005 Plotted: 13:23 Jan 17, 2006 Survey Co:USGS < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn

Station 17



Client: DOEFilename: rm17.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 21:3 May 14, 2005Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >





Station 17



Station 17



Client: DOEFilename: rm17.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 21:3 May 14, 2005Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOEFilename: rm17.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 21:3 May 14, 2005Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS **Rotation**:

Filename: rm17.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Station 17



Survey Co:USGS

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 21:3 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Kotation: Filename: rm17.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 18



Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm18.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 18 Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm18.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005	Plotted: 13:28 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 18



Plotted: 13:29 Jan 17, 2006

< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005

Survey Co:USGS

Station 18

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm18.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:29 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOEFilename: rm18.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005Plotted: 13:29 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm18.avg. Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:29 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 18



Client: DOEFilename: rm18.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005Plotted: 13:29 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 23:4 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm18.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:29 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19



Client: DOEFilename: rm19.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm19.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm19.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm19.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005	Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >
Station 19

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm19.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm19.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19



Client: DOEFilename: rm19.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 19



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:3 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm19.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:30 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 20



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Survey .Co:USGS

Station 20



Client: DOE	Filename: rm20.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 04:0 May 16, 2005	Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn

Station 20



Remote: none Acquired: 04:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm20.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 20



Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 20

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE	Filename: rm20.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 04:0 May 16, 2005	Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 20

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 04:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm20.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 20



Remote: none Acquired: 04:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm20.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 20

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 04:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm20.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:45 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 21



Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Acquired: 01:0 May 14, 2005

Survey Co:USGS

190

Station 21



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:0 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm21.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 21



< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 21



Acquired: 01:0 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:0 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Filename: rm21.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 21



Survey Co:USGS

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

196

Station 21



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 01:0 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm21.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 21

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 01:0 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Kotation: Filename: rm21.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 08:58 Jan 18, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22



Client: DOE	Filename: rm22.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005	Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22



Client: DOEFilename: rm22.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 03:4 May 14 2005Plotted: 13:51 Jap 17 2006		
Remote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 03:4 May 14 2005Plotted: 13:51 Jap 17 2006	Client: DOE	Filename: rm22.avg
Acquired: 03:4 May 14 2005 Plotted: 13:51 Jap 17 2006	Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired. Join May 14, NUD I HOUSEL. 10:01 Juli 17, NUD	Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005	Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >	Survey Co:USGS	< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments > .

Station 22



Survey Co:USGS

< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm22.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22



Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm22.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm22.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm22.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Kotation: Filename: rm22.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 22

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 03:4 May 14, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm22.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:51 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 23



Station 23



Client: DOEFilename: rm23.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 22:0 May 16, 2005Plotted: 13:52 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 23



Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm23.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 23



.

211

Station 23

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOEFilename: rm23.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 22:0 May 16, 2005Plotted: 13:52 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >
Station 23

POLAR PLOTS

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Filename: rm23.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 23

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm23.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 23



Survey Co:USGS

Station 23

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Remote: none Acquired: 22:0 May 16, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm23.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:52 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm24.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn





Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24



Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24



Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24

E MULT Coh.

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm24.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24



Remote: none Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm24.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24





Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24



Client: DOEFilename: rm24.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 24

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:1 May 17, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm24.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:53 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn APPARENT RESISTIVITY ł 10000 1000 OHM METERS 100 ×O ** ×× 10 1111 .001 .01 .1 1 10 100 1000 10000 10000 FREQUENCY (Hz) **Rotation**:

Client: DOEFilename:Remote: noneChannels:Acquired: 00:5 May 15, 2005Plotted:Survey Co:USGS< EMI -</td>

Rotation: Filename: rm25.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOEFilename: rm25.avgRemote: noneChannels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4Acquired: 00:5 May 15, 2005Plotted: 13:54 Jan 17, 2006Survey Co:USGS< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



.

Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 00:5 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm25.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE	Filename: rm25.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 00:5 May 15, 2005	Plotted: 13:54 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Survey Co:USGS

Station 25 Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS



Client: DOE	Filename:	rm25.avg	
Remote: none	Channels:	Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch	h4
Acquired: 00:5 May 15, 2005	Plotted:	13:54 Jan 17, 2006	
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI –	ElectroMagnetic Instruments	>





Remote: noneChannAcquired:00:5May 15, 2005SurveyCo:USGS< EM</td>

Filename: rm25.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments > HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mth



Client: DOEFileRemote: noneChiAcquired: 00:5 May 15, 2005PloSurvey Co:USGS<</td>

Filename: rm25.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:54 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Remote: none Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm26.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:56 Jan 17, 2006

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Filename: rm26.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:56 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



	novanom
Client: DOE	Filename: rm26.avg
Remote: none	Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005	Plotted: 13:56 Jan 17, 2006
Survey Co:USGS	< EMI – ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS Rotation: Filename: rm26.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:56 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments > E MULT Coh.



Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Plotted: 13:57 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments > POLAR PLOTS



Client: DOE Remote: none Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS

Filename: rm26.avg Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4 Plotted: 13:57 Jan 17, 2006 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >



- Acquired: 22:1 May 15, 2005 Survey Co:USGS
- < EMI ElectroMagnetic Instruments >





HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o Rainier Mesa and Shoshone Mtn