

Innovation for Our Energy Future

2006 DOE Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies Program Review

Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Analysis

Keith Wipke, Senior Engineer II NREL May 19, 2006

Project ID# TV-12

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

NREL/PR-560-39783 Presented at the 2006 DOE Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies Program Annual Merit Review in Washington, D.C., May 16-19, 2006. NREL is operated by Midwest Research Institute - Battelle



Disclaimer and Government License

This work has been authored by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) under Contract No. DE-AC36-99GO10337 with the U.S. Department of Energy (the "DOE"). The United States Government (the "Government") retains and the publisher, by accepting the work for publication, acknowledges that the Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for Government purposes.

Neither MRI, the DOE, the Government, nor any other agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe any privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors and/or presenters expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of MRI, the DOE, the Government, or any agency thereof.

Project Objectives and Targets

- Objectives
 - Validate H₂ FC Vehicles and Infrastructure in Parallel
 - Identify Current Status of Technology and its Evolution
 - Re-Focus H₂ Research and Development
 - Support Industry Commercialization Decision by 2015



Key Targets			
Performance Measure	2009*	2015**	
Fuel Cell Stack Durability	2000 hours	5000 hours	
Vehicle Range	250+ miles	300+ miles	
Hydrogen Cost at Station \$3/gge \$2-3/gge			
* To verify progress toward 2015 targets ** Subsequent projects to validate 2015 targets			



Project Overview

Timeline

- Project start: FY03
- Project end: FY09
- ~33% of Task III complete (see timeline slide)

Budget

- NREL FY04 funding: \$630K
- NREL FY05 funding: \$750K
- NREL FY06 funding: \$812K
- Context: Overall DOE project is ~\$170M project over 5 years
 - Equal investment by industry

Partners

See partner slide

Tech. Val. Barriers

- A. **Vehicles** lack of controlled & onroad H_2 vehicle and FC system data
- B. **Storage** technology does not yet provide necessary 300+ mile range
- C. Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure – cost and availability
- D. Maintenance and Training Facilities

 lack of facilities and trained personnel
- E. Codes and Standards lack of adoption/validation
- H. Hydrogen Production from Renewables – need for cost, durability, efficiency data for vehicular application
- I. H₂ and Electricity Co-Production cost and durability



Project Timeline





Project Now Well Underway: 1st Year of Data Analyzed

Current Status of Data Reporting to the Hydrogen Secure Data Center at NREL



Industry Partners: Actively Working with 4 Teams with Signed DOE Cooperative Agreements



(1) Fuel cells supplied by Ballard



Teams are Fielding Four Main Types of Vehicles





Representative Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure Supporting Vehicles



Refueling Stations from All Four Teams Test Vehicle/Infrastructure Performance in Various Climates



Project Approach

- Provide facility and staff for securing and analyzing industry sensitive data
 - NREL Hydrogen Secure Data Center (HSDC)
- Perform analysis and simulation using detailed data in HSDC to:
 - Evaluate current status and progress toward DOE vehicle and infrastructure targets
 - Feedback current technical challenges and opportunities into DOE H₂ R&D program
 - Provide analytical feedback to originating companies on their own data (detailed data products)
- Publish/present progress of project to public and stakeholders (composite data products)







Approach: Providing Data Analysis and Results for Both the Public and for the Industry Project Teams



Approach: Collect Detailed Vehicle and Infrastructure Data for Analysis

Key Vehicle Data	Key Infrastructure Data
Stack Durability	Conversion Method
Fuel Economy (Dyno & On-Road) and Vehicle Range	Production Emissions
Fuel Cell System Efficiency	Maintenance, Safety Events
Maintenance, Safety Events	Hydrogen Purity/Impurities
Top Speed, Accel., Grade	Refueling Events, Rates
Max Pwr & Time at 40C	H ₂ Production Cost
Freeze Start Ability (Time, Energy)	
Continuous Voltage and Current (or Power) from Fuel Cell Stack, Motor/Generator, Battery & Key Auxiliaries: (Dyno & On-Road)	Conversion, Compression, Storage, and Dispensing Efficiency

Approach for Vehicle Data Analysis: Automated Process from CD/DVD Delivery to Results



Accomplishment: Analysis Controlled by New NREL-Developed GUI – Fleet Analysis Toolkit (FAT)



15

Accomplishment: FAT GUI Includes TripView to Further Investigate Individual Trips and Refuelings

		Elevation	39.65
Mileage Begin: 2 End: 2 Dist: 1 Time: 2	9183.938 9203.826 From Spd 9.888 19.194 275	Max: 1680.1876 Min: 1556.3593 Deta: 123.8283 Ambient Temp. 35	(Leg) 30.6
Speed Max: Min : Avg :	81 5285 0 30	Operating Hours Veh Operating Hours: 1000 Stack Operating Hours: 1000	39.50
Battery	00		80 -
Max Min Avg	9154 -12962 -194	Max: 89.3143 Min: 74.63 Delta: 14.6843	Man Man
Fuel Cell Power	Voltage	Current	0 500 1000 Imme (ii) 0.4
Max: 1279 Min: 2427 Avg: 2584	92 612 446 5 555	287 4 50	03- 802-
Fuel Economy			0.1 - i method, Purge off
Fuel i method :	Begin E 0 0.3	End Diff 13105 0.33105	00 500 1000 1500 2000 2 Time (s)
P-T method :	1.8414 1/	4634 0.37796	660
Fuel Economy i method : 5 P-T method : 5 Mfg. massflow: -0	mil/ig mil/gge mil/g 7.9789 58.3571 65.5 0.7825 51.1137 57.3 061916 -0.06232 -0.06	de ivs. P.T. ivs. Mfg Mfg 162 Purge (%): 12.41 100.11 NaN 842 Ublization (%): 87.59 -0.11 NaN 9965 Avg Refuel Rate (kg/min): 0	950 - 950 - 850 - 860 - 460 - 400 -
			300 -
Vehicle Information	HOPPING STREET COURSE	HEL S. A.Z.	0 50 100 150 200 260 200

ator

Accomplishment: Completion of Four New Quarterly Technology Validation Assessment Reports

	May 2005 • NREL/VA-Q105	NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory	Table of Contents
essment Report	DOE's Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration Program: Quarterly Validation Assessment (1Q 2005)	DOE's Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration Program: Quarterly Validation Assessment (3Q 2005) Cory Welch, Holly Thomas, Keith Wipke, Sam Sprik	1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
tion Ass	Cory Welch, Holly Thomas, Keith Wipke National Renewable Energy Laboratory Golden, CO	National Remonshift Energy Laboratory This report contains "commercially valuable technical data and information" and shall not be released outside of NREL's Hydrogen Secure Data Center (HSDC), per HSDC security concendents and DOL intentione DL #1528.	2.3 PROCESS 13 2.3.1 Partner Data Reparting 13 2.3.2 Partner Data Reparting 13 2.3.3 Instructions with Other Organizations and Partners 33 2.3.4 Areas of Focus for Next Assessment 33 3 INFRASTRUCTURE VALIDATION 36
sment Report	August 2005 • NREL/VA-2005 DOE's Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration Program: Quarterly Validation Assessment (2Q 2005)	DOE's Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration Program: Quarterly Validation Assessment (4Q 2005) Cory Welch, Holly Thomas, Keith Wipke, Sam Sorik	3.1 FDDDNOS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 36 3.2 PERFORMANCE RESOLUTS 37 3.1.1 Implementation Summary 37 3.2.2 Denaited Technical Target Publication Status 37 3.2.3 Denaited Technical Target Publication Status 37 3.2.3 Compacting Data Products 39 3.2.4 Refueling 40 3.2.5 Refueling 40 3.2.6 On-Sate Elynopen Production 46 3.2.7 Supply Summary 43 3.2.8 Other Significant Events 31 3.3 PRACESS 55 3.1.1 Porture Data Reporting 53 3.3.2 Analysis Methodology 56 3.3.3 Interactions with Other Organizations and Partners 56 3.3.4 Areas of Focus for Next Assessment 37
Validation Asses	Cory Welch, Holly Thomas, Keith Wipke National Renewable Energy Laboratory Golden, CO This report contains "commercially valuable technical data and information" and shall not be released cutside of NREL's Rydrogen Secure Data Conter (MSDC), and MREL's Rydrogen Secure Data Conter (MSDC), and MREL's procedures and DOE solicitation DE-PS36-03G093010	National Renewable Energy Laboratory This report contains "commercially valuable technical data and information" and abali not be released outside of NRDL & Hydrogen Secure Doals Canter (HSDC), per HSDC security procedures and DOE solicitation DE-P036- 030083010.	 Internal reports document detailed methodology and results (detailed data products) Used to help guide DOE H₂
	National Renewable Energy Laboratory HIT Case Bouwers, Golds, Calenda BHB-3083 35/27-3081 www.weiger Cysecad for fe U.S. Department of Energy Other of Energy Electrony and Renewable Binege by Maxee Research Institute - Estable Caread No. D6-AC36-89-0013257		R&D

Accomplishment: Baseline Vehicle Chassis Dynamometer Testing Completed by All Four Teams

- One vehicle per team per geographic region
- 11 vehicles tested using SAE J2572
- Some teams may elect to use test results for EPA certification

DaimlerChrysler/BP

Chevron/Hyundai-KIA



Accomplishment: Created First 16 of 26 Composite Data Products

A. Critical Program Metrics:				
 Fuel Cell Durability, Actual vs. DOE Targets, All OEM's Vehicle Ranges, Actual vs. DOE Targets, All OEM's H2 Production Cost. Actuals/Projections vs. DOE Targets 	Highlighted CDPs Have Been Completed and Will Be Presented			
B. Composite Performance Tracking:	C. High Level Program Progress:			
Vehicles	Vehicles			
 Reliability (FC System & Powertrain, MTBF) Start Times vs. DOE Target Fuel Economy: Dyno, On-Road Normalized Vehicle Fuel Economy Fuel Cell System Efficiency Safety Incidents - Vehicle Operation Weight % Hydrogen Energy Density of Hydrogen Storage Vehicle Hydrogen Tank Cycle Life 	 21. Range of Actual Ambient Temperatures During Vehicle Operation - All Vehicle Teams 22. Histogram: # Vehicles vs. Operating Hours to Date 23. Histogram: # Vehicles vs. Miles Traveled to Date 24. Cumulative Vehicle Miles Traveled - All Teams 25. Progression of Low to High Pressure On-board H2 Storag Hydrogen Infrastructure 			
Hydrogen Infrastructure	26. Cumulative Hydrogen Production – All Teams			
 13. H2 Production Efficiency vs. Process 14. Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Efficiencies 15. H2 Production Cost vs. Process 16. H2 Purity vs. Production Process 17. Hydrogen Impurities - Range for Production Process A 18. Histogram: Refueling Rate 19. Average Maintenance Hours - Scheduled and Unschedule 	Composite Data Products are Main Output to Public and Hydrogen Community			
20. Safety Incidents - Infrastructure				

Accomplishment: Published Composite Data Products in NHA 2006 Paper and Presentation

CONTROLLED HYDROGEN FLEET AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION PROJECT: PROGRESS UPDATE¹

K. Wipke², C. Welch², H. Thomas², S. Sprik², S. Gronich³, J. Garbak³, D. Hooker⁴

Abstract

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) initiated the "Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project" through a competitive solicitation process in 2003. The purpose of this project is to conduct an integrated field validation that simultaneously examines the performance of fuel cell vehicles and the supporting hydrogen infrastructure. Insights from the vehicles and infrastructure study will be fed back into DOE's research and development program to guide and refocus future research, making this project a "learning demonstration." Five teams were selected and four cooperative agreements between DOE and industry partners have been awarded and commenced. These four cooperative agreements will ultimately support more than 130 fuel cell vehicles, which will be validated on-road, as well as more than 25 hydrogen refueling stations. Fifty-nine first-generation vehicles have already entered into service with customers, and several new hydrogen refueling stations have opened, with more vehicles and stations planned. Lessons learned from this project on the interrelationship between the vehicles and the infrastructure will influence ongoing development of codes and standards. The auto industry and the energy companies are strongly committed to this project, and the government's investment in this project is matched by each industry team.

This DOE/industry collaborative project will continue for a total of 5 years, during which multiple generations of technology will be tested. Technical performance of vehicles and infrastructure will be compared against DOE targets at intermediate stages and at project completion. Examples of 2009 DOE validation targets include a 250-mile vehicle range, 2,000-hour durability of vehicle fuel cell stacks, and a hydrogen production cost of \$3/gge untaxed, when produced in quantity. This paper provides a status update covering the progress of the demonstration and validation project over the last year. This includes the first composite data products to be released from the project, along with a summary of the data inputs and analysis methodology. The composite data product aggregate





¹ This work has been surfaced by an employee of the Midwart Research Institute under Contract No. DE-AC36-09GO10337 with the U.S. Department of Emergy. The United States Government reastins and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, intervocable, worldwide locates to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purpose.

² National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO.

³ U.S. Department of Energy - Washington, DC.

[&]quot;U.S. Department of Energy - Golden Field Office, Golden, CO.

Dynamometer and On-Road Fuel Economy



Vehicle Range Based on Dyno Results and Usable H₂ Fuel Stored On-Board



Safety Incidents – Vehicles



Safety Incidents – Infrastructure



Vehicle H₂ Storage Technologies Include 350 bar, 700 bar, and Liquid H₂



Technical Status of On-Board H₂ Storage Technologies Being Validated



Hydrogen Purity Sampled from Stations Meets Target Majority of the Time





Hydrogen Impurities Sampled from All Stations – Includes On-Site Reformation, Electrolysis, and Delivered H₂



Actual Vehicle Refueling Rates: Measured by Stations or by Vehicles



Range of Ambient Temperature During Vehicle Operation



Vehicle Operating Hours and Miles Traveled Distribution



Cumulative Vehicle Miles Traveled and Mass of H₂ Produced or Dispensed



Accomplishments: Automated Analysis Updated for Analyzing Stack Current/Voltage Degradation



Voltage Degradation Analysis: Individual-Stack Methodology



Voltage Degradation Analysis: Multiple-Stack-Average Methodology



Interactions and Collaborations

- Provided feedback to industry teams on data submissions to ensure maximum benefit of data being reported while minimizing extra effort by industry
- Performed industry site visits to participate in vehicle chassis dynamometer testing
- Compiled detailed data products for two of the four industry teams and made site visits to present and discuss the results
 - Similar meetings will be held with remaining two teams in summer/fall 2006
- Participated in annual project review meetings with all four teams (March 2006)
- Interacted with relevant codes and standards teams
- Participated in CAFCP DemoNet sharing meetings
- Presented technical results to $\rm H_2$ community as a whole at NHA meeting
 - good interaction in Q&A and subsequent discussions
- Helped other countries/states establish data collection protocols for their projects based on our experience











Future Work

Remainder of FY06:

- Analyze first 1.5 years' data (through 8/06)
 - Create remaining 10 Composite Data Products (CDPs)
 - Update existing 16 CDPs with latest results/status
 - Develop new CDPs based on suggestions from industry teams and get buy-in from all teams
 - Prepare results for publication at EVS-22 and 2006 Fuel Cell Seminar
- Support September 2006 DOE MYPP and Joule milestones to evaluate current status of FCV technology relative to
 - 1000 hour intermediate durability target
 - Vehicle refueling time of 5 minutes or less
- Support DOE Go/No-Go Decision on purchasing 2nd generation FCVs in 2007 based on progress toward targets above (9/06)
- Present detailed data products to two remaining industry teams
- Write quarterly validation assessment reports (5/06, 8/06)

• FY07 and beyond:

- Semi-annually (spring/fall) compare technical progress to program objectives and targets
 - Provide public outputs through publication at conferences
- Actively feed findings from project back into HFCIT program R&D activities to maintain project as a "learning demonstration"

Summary

- First year of the 5-year project completed
 - 59 vehicles now in fleet operation
 - Several new refueling stations opened
 - No major safety problems encountered
- Project has identified current technical status relative to program targets
 - Will track improvements from 2nd generation stacks/vehicles introduced mid-way through project
- Future public results will include:
 - FC durability, reliability, efficiency, and start-up times
 - H₂ production cost, efficiency, and maintenance

Questions and Discussion



Contact: Keith Wipke, National Renewable Energy Lab 303.275.4451 keith_wipke@nrel.gov

Responses to Previous Year (FY05) Reviewers' Comments

- Q: "Technical Accomplishments:...for a project starting in 2003 results seem too modest"
 - FY2003-2005 built the foundation of this project (solicitation, data templates, HSDC, agreement on blank CDPs)
 - Sufficient quantity of data for analysis/publication only began to be available in spring 2005 (see slide 6, reproduced at right)
 - In FY2006 the accomplishments were more visible/public
- Q: "Lack of clarity of how the HSDC assures a meaningful data sharing with stakeholders"
 - Efforts made to clarify the data sharing in this presentation
 - Composite Data Products shared with H₂ community, public, decision makers
 - Detailed Data Products shared with DOE (within the HSDC) and with the company which originated the raw data
- Q: "Go/no-go milestone criteria must be quantified"
 - This is the first year for a go/no-go decision (9/06)
 - 2006 targets are clear; status will measured against targets
 - Working with NREL Systems Integration office to facilitate the process and establish formal criteria for decision







40

Publications and Presentations

(Since FY05 Review)

- Welch, C., Wipke, K., Thomas, H., Sprik, S., "DOE's Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project: Quarterly Validation Assessment Reports," (HSDC internal documents)
 - 1Q 2005, May 2005.
 - 2Q 2005, August 2005.
 - 3Q 2005, November 2005.
 - 4Q 2005, February 2006.
- Welch, C., Wipke, K., "Fuel Cell Durability," June 2005. Written in support of DOE Joule milestone. (HSDC internal document)
- Wipke, K., "Hydrogen Secure Data Center: Procedures to Protect Technical Data Submitted Under the Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project," *updated* September 2005. (NREL document)
- Welch, C., "Composite Data Products for the Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project," *updated* January 2006. (NREL document)
- Chalk, S., Wipke, K., Welch, C., Thomas, H., Sprik, S., Gronich, S., Garbak, J., "Status of U.S. Hydrogen Infrastructure and Fuel Cell Vehicle Technology Learning Demonstration," Japanese Fuel Cell Demonstration Seminar (JHFC), March 2006 (public presentation only)
- Wipke, K., Welch, C., Thomas, H., Sprik, S., Gronich, S., Garbak, J., Hooker, D., "Hydrogen Fleet & Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project: Progress Update," NHA Annual Hydrogen Meeting and Exposition, Long Beach, CA, March 2006. (public paper and presentation)



Critical Assumptions and Issues

- Assumption: Linear Voltage Drop for Voltage Degradation Prediction
 - Linear degradation currently assumed for robustness of curve fit on the relatively short data set received to-date (i.e., using a non-linear curve at this point would provide unreliable predictions)
 - Proposed solution: As more data is received, non-linear fits may be used if the voltage data appears to have a non-linear behavior (it might flatten out or accelerate its degradation, for example)
- Issue: Timing of regularly reported data for critical September 2006 milestones and go/no-go decision.
 - DOE Cooperative Agreement data minimum reporting frequency is quarterly (some companies provide monthly)
 - Data must be submitted to HSDC 1-month after conclusion of previous quarter (eg. by end of October for FY06Q4)
 - If no special actions are taken, this would result in data from April-June 2006 (reported at end of July) to be used for Sept. 2006 milestone status
 - Proposed solution: we will be requesting an early delivery of on-road data covering July-August 2006 so that we have 2 more months of data to evaluate the technology status for the milestone

Project Safety

- Safety an important part of Controlled Fleet & Infrastructure project Cooperative Agreements.
 - NREL's role in this project is analytical, so typical office environment safety measures are being followed.
 - Industry partners have responsibility for ensuring the safety of their hydrogen vehicles and refueling infrastructure.
- Industry includes the following aspects in each of their projects:
 - Failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) on the project
 - Safety assessment
 - Risk mitigation plan
 - Measuring and monitoring safety performance
 - Communication plan, including reportable accidents, management response, and independent reviews
- All projects are reporting safety incidents on both vehicles and infrastructure
 - Current safety record presented at NHA as part of Composite Data Products (and in this presentation)
 - Periodic presentations made before Safety Review Panel
 - Any unresolved safety concerns will be brought before Panel