# Relating Leptogenesis to Low Energy CP Violation

Mu-Chun Chen\* and K.T. Mahanthappa $^{\dagger}$ 

\*Theoretical Physics Department, Fermilab, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

and

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA <sup>†</sup>Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0390, USA

**Abstract.** In the minimal left-right symmetric model with spontaneous CP violation, there are only two intrinsic CP violating phases to account for all CP violation in both the quark and lepton sectors. In addition, the left- and right-handed Majorana mass terms for the neutrinos are proportional to each other due to the parity in the model. This is thus a very constrained framework, making the existence of correlations among the CP violation in leptogenesis, neutrino oscillation and neutrinoless double beta decay possible.

**Keywords:** CP violation; neutrino oscillation; leptogenesis **PACS:** 12.10.Dm, 14.60.Pq

### **INTRODUCTION**

The evidence of non-zero neutrino masses opens up the possibility that the leptonic CP violation might be responsible, through leptogenesis, for the observed asymmetry between matter and anti-matter in the Universe. It is generally difficult, however, to make connection between leptogenesis and CP-violating processes at low energies due to the presence of extra phases and mixing angles in the right-handed neutrino sector. Recently attempts have been made to induce *spontaneous CP violation* (SCPV) from a single source. Here we focus on the minimal left-right symmetric model. In this model SCPV could be due to two intrinsic CP violating processes observed in Nature; these *exhaust* sources of CP-violation. As the left-handed (LH) and right-handed (RH) Majorana mass matrices are identical up to an overall mass scale, in this model there exist relations between low energy processes, such as neutrino oscillations, neutrinoless double beta decay and lepton flavor violating charged lepton decay, and leptogenesis which occurs at very high energy, as pointed out in Ref. [1].

## THE MINIMAL LEFT-RIGHT SYMMETRIC MODEL

In the minimal left-right symmetric  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$  model [2], the left- and right-handed matter fields transform as doublets of  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_R$ , respectively, and the Higgs sector that breaks the left-right symmetry to the SM gauge group contains a SU(2) bi-doublet Higgs,  $\Phi$ , a  $SU(2)_L$  triplet,  $\Delta_L$ , and a  $SU(2)_R$  triplet,  $\Delta_R$ . The Yukawa

interactions responsible for generating the lepton masses are,

$$-\mathscr{L}_{\ell} = \overline{L}_{i,R}(P_{ij}\Phi + R_{ij}\tilde{\Phi})L_{j,L} + if_{ij}(L_{i,L}^{T}\mathscr{C}\tau_{2}\Delta_{L}L_{j,L} + L_{i,R}^{T}C\tau_{2}\Delta_{R}L_{j,R}) + h.c., \quad (1)$$

where the matrices  $P_{ij}$ ,  $R_{ij}$  and  $f_{ij}$  are real due to the assumption of SCPV. The complete Lagrangian of the model is invariant under the unitary transformation, under which the matter fields transform as  $\psi_L \rightarrow U_L \psi_L$  and  $\psi_R \rightarrow U_R \psi_R$  where  $\psi_{L,R}$  are left-handed (right-handed) fermions, and the scalar fields transform according to  $\Phi \rightarrow U_R \Phi U_L^{\dagger}$ ,  $\Delta_L \rightarrow U_L^* \Delta_L U_L^{\dagger}$ , and  $\Delta_R \rightarrow U_R^* \Delta_R U_R^{\dagger}$ , with the unitary transformations  $U_L$  and  $U_R$  being

$$U_L = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\gamma_L} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\gamma_L} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad U_R = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\gamma_R} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\gamma_R} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

Under these unitary transformations, the VEV's transform as  $\kappa \to \kappa e^{-i(\gamma_L - \gamma_R)}$ ,  $\kappa' \to \kappa' e^{i(\gamma_L - \gamma_R)}$ ,  $v_L \to v_L e^{-2i\gamma_L}$  and  $v_R \to v_R e^{-2i\gamma_R}$ . Thus by re-defining the phases of matter fields with the choice of  $\gamma_R = \alpha_R/2$  and  $\gamma_L = \alpha_\kappa + \alpha_R/2$  in the unitary matrices  $U_L$  and  $U_R$ , we can rotate away two of the complex phases in the VEV's of the scalar fields and are left with only two genuine CP violating phases,  $\alpha_{\kappa'}$  and  $\alpha_L$ ,

$$<\Phi>=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\kappa & 0\\ 0 & \kappa'e^{i\alpha_{\kappa'}}\end{array}\right), \quad <\Delta_L>=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0\\ v_Le^{i\alpha_L} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad <\Delta_R>=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0\\ v_R & 0\end{array}\right). \quad (3)$$

The quark Yukawa interactions give rise to quark masses after the bi-doublet acquires VEV's

$$M_{u} = \kappa F_{ij} + \kappa' e^{-i\alpha_{\kappa'}} G_{ij}, \quad M_{d} = \kappa' e^{i\alpha_{\kappa'}} F_{ij} + \kappa G_{ij}.$$
(4)

Thus the relative phase in the two VEV's in the SU(2) bi-doublet,  $\alpha_{\kappa'}$ , gives rise to the CP violating phase in the CKM matrix. To obtain realistic quark masses and CKM matrix elements, it has been shown that the VEV's of the bi-doublet have to satisfy  $\kappa/\kappa' \simeq m_t/m_b \gg 1$ . When the triplets and the bi-doublet acquire VEV's, we obtain the following mass terms for the leptons

$$M_e = \kappa' e^{i\alpha_{\kappa'}} P_{ij} + \kappa R_{ij}, \qquad M_v^{Dirac} = \kappa P_{ij} + \kappa' e^{-i\alpha_{\kappa'}} R_{ij}$$
(5)

$$M_V^{RR} = v_R f_{ij}, \qquad \qquad M_V^{LL} = v_L e^{i\alpha_L} f_{ij}. \tag{6}$$

The effective neutrino mass matrix,  $M_V^{\text{eff}}$ , which arises from the Type-II seesaw mechanism, is thus given by  $M_V^{\text{eff}} = M_V^{LL} - M_V^I$ . Assuming the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal, the Yukawa couplings  $R_{ij}$  can be determined by the charged lepton masses. In the limit  $\kappa \gg \kappa'$ , the conventional type-I see-saw term is dominated by the term proportional to  $\kappa$ ,

$$M_{\nu}^{I} = (M_{\nu}^{\text{\tiny Dirac}})^{T} (M_{\nu}^{RR})^{-1} (M_{\nu}^{\text{\tiny Dirac}}) \simeq \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\nu_{R}} P^{T} f^{-1} P = \frac{\nu_{L}}{\beta} P^{T} f^{-1} P .$$
(7)

#### RESULTS

In the left-right symmetric model with the particle content we have, leptogenesis receives contributions both from the decay of the lightest RH neutrino,  $N_1$ , as well as from the decay of the  $SU(2)_L$  triplet Higgs,  $\Delta_L$ . We consider the  $SU(2)_L$  triplet Higgs being heavier than the lightest RH neutrino,  $M_{\Delta L} > M_{R_1}$ . For this case, the decay of the lightest RH neutrino dominates. In the SM, the canonical contribution to the lepton number asymmetry from one-loop diagrams mediated by the Higgs doublet and the charged leptons is given by [3],

$$\varepsilon^{N_1} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \left( \frac{M_{R_1}}{v^2} \right) \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left( \mathcal{M}_D \left( M_V^I \right)^* \mathcal{M}_D^T \right)_{11}}{(\mathcal{M}_D \mathcal{M}_D^{\dagger})_{11}} \,. \tag{8}$$

Now, there is one additional one-loop diagram mediated by the  $SU(2)_L$  triplet Higgs. It contributes to the decay amplitude of the right-handed neutrino into a doublet Higgs and a charged lepton, which gives an additional contribution to the lepton number asymmetry [3],

$$\varepsilon^{\Delta_L} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \left( \frac{M_{R_1}}{v^2} \right) \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left( \mathcal{M}_D \left( M_v^{II} \right)^* \mathcal{M}_D^T \right)_{11}}{(\mathcal{M}_D \mathcal{M}_D^{\dagger})_{11}} , \qquad (9)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_D$  is the neutrino Dirac mass term in the basis where the RH neutrino Majorana mass term is real and diagonal,  $\mathcal{M}_D = O_R M_D$  and  $f^{\text{diag}} = O_R f O_R^T$ . Because there is no phase present in either  $M_D = P\kappa$  or  $M_V^I$  or  $O_R$ , the quantity  $\mathcal{M}_D \left(M_V^I\right)^* \mathcal{M}_D^T$  is real, leading to a vanishing  $\varepsilon^{N_1}$ . We have checked explicitly that this statement is true for any chosen unitary transformations  $U_L$  and  $U_R$  defined in Eq. (2). On the other hand, the contribution,  $\varepsilon^{\Delta_L}$ , due to the diagram mediated by the  $SU(2)_R$  triplet is proportional to  $\sin \alpha_L$ . So, as long as the phase  $\alpha_L$  is non-zero, the predicted value for  $\varepsilon^{\Delta_L}$  is finite. A non-vanishing value for  $\varepsilon^{N_1}$  is generated at the sub-leading order when terms of order  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa'/\kappa)$  in  $M_D$  are included. At the leading order, leptogenesis is generated solely from the decay mediated by the  $SU(2)_L$  triplet Higgs.

Assume the neutrino Yukawa coupling  $P_{ij}$  to be proportional to the up quark mass matrix and the matrix  $f_{ij}$  having hierarchical elements,

$$P_{ij} = q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_u}{m_t} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{m_c}{m_t} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & t & -t\\ t & 1 & 1\\ -t & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

with *q* being the proportionality constant and *t* a small and positive number. CP violation in neutrino oscillation is governed by the leptonic Jarlskog invariant,  $J_{cP}$ , which can be expressed model-independently in terms of the effective neutrino mass matrices as  $J_{cP} = -\text{Im}(H_{12}H_{23}H_{31})/(\Delta m_{21}^2\Delta m_{31}^2\Delta m_{32}^2)$  and  $H \equiv M_V^{\text{eff}}M_V^{\text{eff}^\dagger}$ , where  $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \equiv m_i^2 - m_j^2$  with  $m_i$  being the mass eigenvalues of the effective neutrino mass matrix,  $M_V^{\text{eff}}$ .



FIGURE 1. Correlation between lepton number asymmetry and the leptonic Jarlskog invariant.

To the leading order in  $\varepsilon$  the leptonic Jarlskog invariant is given by,

$$J_{\rm CP} \simeq -\frac{2st^2 \left(1 - t^2\right) v_L^6}{\Delta m_{21}^2 \Delta m_{31}^2 \Delta m_{32}^2} \frac{m_u}{m_t} \sin \alpha_L \,, \tag{11}$$

and the total amount of lepton number asymmetry,  $\varepsilon_{\text{total}} = \varepsilon^{\Delta_L}$ , is proprtional to  $\Delta \varepsilon'$ , defined as

$$\Delta \varepsilon' = \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{f_1^0}{v_L} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{M}_D\left(v_L f \, e^{i\alpha_L}\right)^* \mathcal{M}_D^T\right)_{11}}{(\mathcal{M}_D \mathcal{M}_D^{\dagger})_{11}} = \frac{\varepsilon^{\Delta_L}}{\beta} \propto \sin \alpha_L \,, \tag{12}$$

where  $\beta$  is a function of order one coupling constants in the scalar potential. The leptonic Jarlskog *J* and the amount of leptogenesis  $\varepsilon^{\Delta_L}$  are both proportional to  $\sin \alpha_L$ . Thus the observation of low energy CP violation then implies a non-vanishing lepton number asymmetry. We note that an amount of lepton number asymmetry  $\varepsilon_{\text{total}} \sim 10^{-8}$  corresponds to a leptonic Jarlskog invariant  $|J_{cP}| \sim 10^{-5}$ .

With an additional broken U(1) symmetry, the seesaw scale can be lowered to be closer to the EW scale. In that case, the phase  $\alpha_{\kappa'}$  responsible for CPV in the quark sector can also be relevant to the leptonic CP violating processes. Connections such as the one between electron EDM and leptogenesis can thus be established [4].

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M.-C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Rev. D71, 035001 (2005).
- J. C. Pati and A. Salam, *Phys. Rev.* D10, 275 (1974); R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, *Phys. Rev.* D11, 566 (1975).
- A. S. Joshipura, E. A. Paschos and W. Rodejohann, *Nucl. Phys.* B611, 227 (2001). S. Antusch and S. F. King, Phys. Lett. B 597, 199 (2004).
- 4. M.-C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, hep-ph/0609288.