

UCRL-PROC-228828



LAWRENCE  
LIVERMORE  
NATIONAL  
LABORATORY

# Comparative Dissolution Modes of Iron-Based Amorphous Alloys and Other Corrosion Resistant Polycrystalline Materials

R. B. Rebak, P. D. Hailey, S. D. Day, J. C. Farmer

March 9, 2007

Materials Science and Technology 2007 (MS&T'07)  
Detroit, MI, United States  
September 16, 2007 through September 20, 2007

## **Disclaimer**

---

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Abstract prepared for presentation in the symposium “Iron-Based Amorphous Metals: An Important Family of High-Performance Corrosion-Resistant Materials” at the Materials Science and Technology 2007 (MS&T’07) Conference and Exhibition in Detroit, MI, at the COBO Center on 16-20 September 2007

## **Comparative Dissolution Modes of Iron-Based Amorphous Alloys and Other Corrosion Resistant Polycrystalline Materials**

Raul B. Rebak  
Phillip D. Hailey  
S. Daniel Day  
Joseph C. Farmer

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550

Metallic amorphous alloys or metallic glasses have been studied extensively for the last three decades due to their unique characteristics, including superior mechanical properties and corrosion resistance. Iron-based amorphous alloys have in general better corrosion resistance than their polycrystalline cousins such as the austenitic 18-8 stainless steel series (e.g. 316L SS). Fe-based amorphous alloys have even higher localized corrosion resistance than the nickel-based Alloy 22 under many laboratory tested conditions. Electrochemical laboratory tests have shown that when polycrystalline alloys such as Alloy 22 are anodically polarized in hot concentrated chloride brines, they dissolve unevenly following patterns associated with their crystalline character. However, amorphous alloys, when polarized to even higher potentials than the polycrystalline alloys, they dissolve in a desirable uniform manner. This is because the amorphous Fe-based alloys do not offer defects in the metal that can be preferentially attacked. Comparative studies will also be presented on the dissolution modes of Ni-gadolinium and borated stainless steels.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. DOE by the University Of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48. Work was sponsored by the United States Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Civilian and Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM); and Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Defense Science Office (DSO). The guidance of Leo Christodoulou at DARPA DSO and of Jeffrey Walker at DOE OCRWM is gratefully acknowledged.

---

RBR, 06March2007