BNK- 58-4813 CONE - 730915 -- 14

THE DOSIMETRY OF THE RADIOACTIVE NOBLE GASES*

B

J. K. Soldat, P. E. Bramson and H. M. Parker Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352

To be presented at the Noble Gas Symposium, September 24-28, 1973, Las Vegas, Nevada

> NOTICE This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Covernment. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any isability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

> > DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT UNLIMITED

*This paper is based on work performed under U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Contract AT(45-1)-1830.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

THE DOSIMETRY OF THE RADIOACTIVE NOBLE GASES*

J. K. Soldat, P. E. Bramson and H. M. Parker Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352

The dosimetry of the radioactive noble gases is of widespread interest. Naturally occurring radioactive noble gases are limited to isotopes of radon (element 86) but they are important contributors to the internal doses received by uranium mine workers. Radioisotopes of argon are formed by neutron activation of the stable argon present in air at low concentration. Radioisotopes of krypton and xenon are produced as fission products during the fissioning of uranium or plutonium fuels in nuclear reactors. They are generally retained within the fuel elements until the fuel is chemically reprocessed. Small amounts of fission product⁵, however, are released from reactor facilities as a result of fuel element leaks.

The dosimetry of ⁸⁵Kr was discussed in detail by the previous speaker (Dr. W. S. Snyder). It is my intent to extend the dosimetry discussion to several other artificially created radioactive noble gases but in somewhat less detail than covered by Dr. Snyder.

The naturally occurring isotopes of radon will not be discussed further. As Dr. Snyder has demonstrated, the complete dosimetry of the noble gases includes calculation of several contributions - external dose to the skin from both beta and gamma radiations, external dose to the total body, gonads and internal organs, dose to the lung from inhaled radioisotopes and dose to body from noble gases dissolved in the bloodstream and then absorbed in the various tissues.

*This paper is based on work performed under U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Contract AT(45-1)-1830. Doses from ingestion of noble gases are generally not addressed in the literature since noble gases have low solubility in water. Although noble gas solubility is higher in pressurized water systems, the release of the pressure results in escape of the gas. Hence, the ingestion of unusually high concentrations of noble gas in water is unlikely. The concentration of the noble gases in the water at the time of ingestion would be difficult to estimate. Adding to the uncertainty in such an estimation is the fact that xenon may be retained in the water to some degree when organic material is present.

It has been aptly demonstrated by several workers (including Dr. Snyder) that the dose from noble gases absorbed in tissue is generally small compared to the dose from direct external radiation and from inhalation. (1,3,10,12)These internal doses are, however, the ones to consider for medical applications of these nuclides. In a subsequent section some of these results will be tabulated and compared with each other and with external and inhalation doses.

External doses resulting from submersion in a large plume of radioactive gas can be easily calculated if the concentration of the material in the air is relatively uniform. Doses can be determined on the assumption that the plume is "infinite" in volume relative to the range of the emitted radiations. Under this assumption the energy absorbed per gram of material is equivalent to the energy emitted per gram. All that is required then is to convert the average energy per disintegration to dose and to correct for differences in energy absorption between air and tissue and for the physical geometry of each specific exposure situation.

Frequently the airborne concentration surrounding a person is very nonuniform; for example, when he is standing close to a tall stack. For this situation the radiation from the overhead plume must be taken into consideration when evaluating the external dose. Several computer programs

-2-

have been developed for treating the required numerical integration of the contribution from each finite cloud element. (14) Such specialized situations were not included in the present work, but the calculations are manageable once the goemetry has been defined.

The dose from submersion in air is an external dose to either the skin only or the skin and the total body, depending upon the penetrating power of the radiation emitted from the airborne radioisotope.

All of the noble gases considered in this paper emit only beta and gamma radiation. For the present work, we have converted rad to rem using a quality factor of 1, except a Quality Factor (QF) of 1.7 was used for beta particles and electrons with maximum energies equal to or less than 30 keV.⁽²⁾ It has been reported by H. J. Dunster that the ICRP is seriously considering the use of a QF of 1.0 for all beta and electron energies.⁽¹⁶⁾

In addition, we have chosen to calculate the skin dose at a depth of $7x10^{-3}$ cm (7 mg/cm²) and the total-body dose at a depth of 5 cm as originally suggested by the NCRP.⁽⁶⁾ Recent measurements indicate that 4 or 5 mg/cm² might be more appropriate than 7 mg/cm².⁽¹³⁾ The dose to the male gonads was calculated at a depth of 1 cm in tissue. No separate dose calculation was made, however, for the female gonads. The total-body dose can be used as an upper bound to the female gonad dose from external radiation.

Ratio of surface to depth dose was estimated for each maximum beta energy by methods given by Loevinger, et al,⁽⁵⁾ and summed by nuclide. Gamma radiation dose at each of the 3 depths in tissue was determined from the values of absorption coefficients for muscle and the ratio of stopping power for muscle and air tabulated by the National Bureau of Standards.⁽⁶⁾ The decay schemes for the nuclides were taken from Lederer, et al.⁽⁴⁾ (a)

-3-

⁽a)Note that the abundance values for the 2 beta transistions of Xe-137 were transposed in the Xe-137 decay diagram. The values given in the tables on pages 73 and 285 of Reference 4 were used.

For a person standing on the ground surrounded by a very large hemisphere of radioactive gas the geometry for gamma radiation is obviously 2π . For beta radiation with its shorter range in air, the physical arrangement approaches the infinite volume (4π geometry). However, since the beta is of limited penetrating power, it will irradiate the skin from only one side and again the geometry will be 2π .^(a)

The resulting equation for calculation of the dose from air submersion is: (11)

 $(D.F.)_{air sub} = 0.887 (\overline{E}_{\beta} + \overline{E}_{\gamma})$ (1) where $(D.F.)_{air sub}$ is the dose factor in units of mrem/hr per μ Ci/m³,

 \overline{E}_{β} , \overline{E}_{γ} are the effective energies of the beta and gamma radiations, respectively, calculated at the depth of interest $(7 \times 10^{-1})'$ or 5 cm) and corrected for relative stopping power.

The constant takes into account the density of air $(1.2x10^{-3} \text{ g/cc } 0.20^{\circ}\text{C})$, the conversion from MeV to mrem and the factor of 1/2 for 2π geometry.

The dose to the lung from the air within it can be calculated from the following equation:

(D.F.)_{inhalation} = 2.13 \hat{V}_{L} ϵ/m mrem/hr per μ Ci/m³ (2)

where V_{L} is the volume of air within the lung, 4 liters,⁽¹⁰⁾

 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is the effective energy deposited in the lung, MeV per disintegration,

m is the mass of the lung, $1000 \text{ g.}^{(2)}$

The concentration of the noble gas in the air within the lung is taken to be the same as that in the inspired air. The effective energy, ε , is calculated from the formula of the ICRP⁽²⁾ using an effective radius of 10 cm for the lung.

The results obtained from applying Equation 1 to calculation of the external dose rates to skin, testes and total body from 14 radioactive noble gases are

⁽a) Minor exceptions can occur in thin membranes, such as a protruding ear which could receive beta radiation from both sides, approaching a 4π geometry.

summarized in Table I. Also included in Table I are the dose rates to lung tissue from inhalation of these noble gases as well as the combined dose to the lung from both inhalation and external gamma irradiation.

Two important daughter radionuclides, Rb-88 and Cs-138, have been included in Table I. These two nuclei make significant contributions to the dose rates from their parent activities. Rb-88 has a short half-life (18 min) and will nearly always be present at equilibrium with its parent Kr-88 (2.8 hr). The total dose rate from the parent-daughter combination is from 30% to 300% higher than from the parent alone, depending upon tissue depth.

Both Xe-138 and Cs-138 have relatively short half-lives, 14 min and 32 min, respectively, and the daughter will contribute a varying amount to the total dose from the Xe-138, depending upon the time since release of the noble gas to the atmosphere. The dose rate per unit concentration of Cs-138 is 150% to 200% of that from its parent Xe-138 but its longer halflife may reduce its relative contribution slightly.

Precise lung dosimetry would require that these two daughter radionuclides be treated as attached to particles. As such there would be a buildup in the lung with an attendant increase in the dose calculated. On the practical level, this buildup may be disregarded because of the short radioactive half-lives of the daughters.

The detailed results for the beta and gamma contributions to the dose to the skin and testes are given in Table II - A and B, where they are compared to similar data presented by Schaeffer⁽⁹⁾ at the recent IRPA Congress in Washington, D.C. Dr. Schaeffer calculated the beta dose at a point 'P' in tissue by integrating the contribution from all of the beta particles which were able to reach that point. All of the beta particles were assumed to travel in a straight line through air and tissue. There is excellent

-5-

agreement between Dr. Schaeffer's results and those obtained with the empirical formula of Loevinger for all nuclides except perhaps Kr-87 where our values are 30% higher.

Dr. Schaeffer's calculations indicated that no beta rays from any of the noble gases were energetic enough to penetrate through the 1 cm of tissue assumed to be over the male gonads. The Loevinger formula, however, implies a small beta contribution to the doses to the testes, especially for the Rb-88 daughter of Kr-88 and for Kr-87 and Xe-137.

Table II-C lists the results obtained by us for the total-body dose rate and compares them with those of Schaeffer⁽⁹⁾ and Russell and Galpin.⁽¹⁰⁾ The latter authors employed the reciprocity theorem⁽⁵⁾ for their calculation wherein:

"the internal gamma (total-body dose from an external cloud equals the dose to the cloud from the radioactivity in the body if the concentrations are equal. This dose is then the difference between the dose to a point in an infinite medium with uniform source distribution and the dose absorbed in the body from a uniform source in the body with the same concentration as in the infinite media."

This method of calculation yields an average dose over the entire body rather than a dose at a single depth in tissue. Nevertheless, the results obtained by Russell and Galpin are in reasonable agreement with those obtained by Dr. Schaeffer and in the present work utilizing the half infinite cloud calculation.

Dr. Schaeffer's values do not include a correction for attentuation in the first few centimeters of tissue. The attenuation becomes important for the very low energy radiation such as the bremsstrahlung from Kr-85. For this nuclide the dose calculated in the present work is 2/3 of that calculated by Dr. Schaeffer. Neither of these two sets of calculations, however, include a "build-up factor" which could compensate somewhat for the ommission of the attenuation corrections in Dr. Schaeffer's calculations. In addition to the results shown in the table, Dr. Snyder has reported values of 1.6×10^{-3} for Kr-85⁽¹⁰⁾ and 0.020 for Xe-133.⁽¹⁵⁾

The calculated internal dose to the lungs from inhalation of noble gases is summarized in Table III along with the values calculated by Russell and Galpin. The latter authors assumed a lung volume of 5.6 liters rather than the 4 liters employed in the present work. As a result our values are generally but not always lower than those of Russell and Galpin. The two exceptions are Kr-88 and Xe-135.

Table III also tabulates internal doses to lung and other tissues calculated by Mrs. Whitton⁽¹²⁾ for Kr-85 and Xe-133. The value used by Mrs. Whitton for the lung volume was not stated, but her calculated values for lung dose agree well with ours. As can be seen in the table the doses from noble gases absorbed in tissue are significantly lower than those from external gamma radiation.

Table IV-lists the results obtained by several workers for internal and external doses from Kr-85.

The assumptions used by the various authors were not always the same. This is especially true of the depth at which the "skin" dose was calculated and the lung volume. The value for the lung dose attributed to Dr. Schaeffer was not actually given in his paper but was estimated by us from the value of the (MPC)_a which he calculated for lung as the critical organ. As such the value of 5.8×10^{-3} probably includes the contribution from external radiation.

When the variations in assumptions are taken into account there is reasonable agreement among the values obtained by the different authors. It is also obvious that the internal doses are insignificant compared to the external total-body and skin doses. For noble gas nuclides with relatively little penetrating radiation, the critical organ will be the skin even considering its less restrictive dose standard. For the other noble gases, the total body will be the critical organ.

If one were to calculate the $(MPC)_a$ values for these noble gases based upon the dose rates presented in this paper, the values obtained would be much less restrictive than the ICRP values currently accepted. For example, the ICRP $(MPC)_a$ for 168-hr occupational exposure to Kr-85 is 3 μ Ci/m³. Dr. Schaeffer calculated corresponding values of 20, 170, and 290 for skin, total body and lung (internal and external), respectively, as critical organs. As a result one should always rely on first principles when calculating radiation doses, rather than to simply multiply fractional MPC by the dose standard.

	(mrem/	hr per µCi/m ³ (a))	· · · · · ·	•	
		External	· · · · ·	Internal	
Nuclide	Skin(b)	Testes(c)	Tota] Body(d)	_{Lungs} (e)	Total Lungs
Ar-39	0.12	0.00043	0.00033	0.0016	0.0019
Ar-41	1.6		1.1	0.0067	1.1
Kr-83m	0.0041	7.2x10 ⁻⁴	1x10 ⁻⁴	4.3×10 ⁻⁴	5.3x10 ⁻⁴
Kr-85m	0.32	0.13	0.13	0.0024	0.13
Kr-85	0.16	0.0022	0.0022	0.0019	0.0041
Kr-87	2.7	1.3	1.3	0.013	1.3
Kr-88	2.0	1.5	1.5	0.0067	1.5
(Rb-88)	(2.7)	(0.93)	(0.56)	(0.026)	(0.59)
Xe-131m	0.071	0.023	0.016	0.0013	0.017
Xe-133m	0.15	0.051	0.041	0.0017	0.043
Xe-133	0.069	0.025	0.025	0.0012	0.027
Xe-135m	0.50	0.35	0.35	0.0019	0.35
Xe-135	0.49	0.21	0.21	0.0033	0.21
Xe-137	1.8	0.22	0.12	0.015	0.14
Xe-138+D	1.7	1.2	1.2	0.0066	1.2
(Cs-138)	(3.1)	(1.8)	(1.8)	(0.012)	(1.8)

TABLE I

DOSE RATES TO SELECTED TISSUES FROM A SEMI-INFINITE CLOUD OF NOBLE GASES

(a) No credit taken for attenuation by clothing.

(b) At a tissue depth of 7×10^{-3} cm

(c) At a tissue depth of 1 cm

(d) At a tissue depth of 5 cm

(e) Assuming a lung volume of 4 liters

TABLE II

COMPARISON OF EXTERNAL DOSE RATES TO SELECTED TISSUES FROM A SEMI-INFINITE CLOUD OF NOBLE GASES

(mrem/hr per µCi/m³)

A. SKIN

	Schaoffor(9)		This Paper	·.
<u>Nuclide</u>	Beta	Beta ^(a)	<u>Gamma (b)</u>	Total
Ar-39 Ar-41	0.37	0.12 0.40	4.5×10 ⁻⁴ 1.2	0.12 1.6
Kr-83m Kr-85m Kr-85 Kr-87 Kr-88 (Rb-88)	0.17 0.17 0.83)	0 0.18 0.16(0.21)(c) 1.1 0.32 (2.1)	$\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \times 10^{-3} \\ 0.14 \\ 2.8 \times 10^{-3} (2.1 \times 10^{-3}) (c) \\ 1.6 \\ 1.70 \\ (0.64) \end{array}$	4.1x10 ⁻³ 0.32 0.16(0.21)(c) 2.7 2.0 (2.7)
Xe-131m		0.044	0.0 26 0.056	8:0 ²¹
Xe-135m Xe-135m Xe-135 Xe-137 Xe-138	0.040	0.040(0.0075) ^{(d} 0.089 0.25 1.6 0.28) 0.029(0.026) ^(d) 0.41 0.24 0.17	0.069(0.034) ^(d) 0.50 0.49 1.8
(Cs-138)	()	(1.0)	(2.1)	(3.1)

1

- (a) Including conversion electrons.
- (b) Including bremsstrahlung
- (c) Values reported by Dr. Snyder in Reference 10.
- (d) Values reported by Dr. Snyder in Reference 15.

TABLE II (Cont'd)

COMPARISON OF EXTERNAL DOSE RATES TO SELECTED TISSUES FROM A SEMI-INFINITE CLOUD OF NOBLE GASES

(mrem/hr per µCi/m³)

B. TESTES

	Schooffor (9)			
Nuclide	Beta	Beta ^(a)	<u>Gamma</u> (b)	<u>Total</u>
Ar-39		0.	4.3×10^{-4}	4.3x10 ⁻⁴
Ar-41	0	$2x10^{-4}$	1.1	1.1
Kr-83m		0	7.2×10^{-4}	7.2×10^{-4}
Kr-85m	0	0	0.13	0.13
Kr-85	Ō	Ō	$2.2 \times 10^{-3} (1.8 \times 10^{-3})^{(C)}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-3} (1.8 \times 10^{-3})^{(C)}$
Kr-87	0 .	0.047	1.3	1.3
Kr-88		2x10-3	1.5	1.5
(Rb-88)	()	(0.37)	(0.56)	(0.93)
Xe-131m		0	0.023	0.023
Xe-133m		0	0.051	0.051
Xe-133	0	Õ	$0.025(0.020)^{(d)}$	$0.025(0.020)^{(d)}$
Xe-135m		Ō	0.35	0.35
Xe-135	0	Õ	0.21	0.21
Xe-137		0.10	0.12	0.22
Xe-138		9x10-4	1.2	1.2
(Cs-138)	()	(0.012)	(1.8)	(1.8)

(a) Including conversion electrons

(b) Including bremsstrahlung

(c) Values reported by Dr. Snyder in Reference 10.

(d) Values reported by Dr. Snyder in Reference 15.

TABLE II (Cont'd)

COMPARISON OF EXTERNAL DOSE RATES TO SELECTED TISSUES FROM A SEMI-INFINITE CLOUD OF NOBLE GASES (mrem/hr per µCi/m³)

C. TOTAL BODY

. :

<u>Nuclide</u>	Schaeffer (9)	Russell and (8) (a)	<u>Snyder</u> (10,15)	<u>This Paper</u>
Ar-39				3.3×10^{-4}
Ar-41	1.16	****		1.1
K r- 83m				1.0x10 ⁻⁵
Kr-85m	0.16	0.076		0.13
Kr-85	3.3x10-3	1.2×10^{-3}	1.6×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-3}
Kr-87	0.90	- 0,90		1.3
Kr-88		0.88		1.5
(Rb-88)	. ()	()	()	(0.56)
Kr-89		4.2		
N . 3 03		c o 10 ⁻³		0.010
Xe-131m		6.8x10		0.016
Xe-1 33m		0.041		0.041
Xe-133	0.074	0.030	0.020	0.025
Xe-1 35m		0.24	***	0.35
Xe-135	0.24	0.14		0.21
Xe-137		0.090		0.12
Xe-138		1.7		1.2
(Cs-138)	()	()	()	(1.8)

(a) 1. The authors used the reciprocity theorem ⁽⁵⁾ to calculate total body dose.

2. The values presented here do not include the contribution from beta radiation as did those presented in the original paper.

TABLE III

COMPARISON OF INTERNAL DOSE RATES FROM INHALED NOBLE GASES (units of 10⁻³ mrem/hr per µCi/m³)

Russell and Galpin ⁽⁸⁾			Whitton (12) (b)				This Paper
Nuclide	Lungs(a)	Total Body (b)	Lungs ^(c)	Adipose Tissue	Remaining Tissue (b)	Testes (c)	Lungs(d)
Ar-39 Ar-41							1.6 6.7
Kr-83m Kr-85m Kr-85 Kr-87 Kr-88 Kr-89	3.0 3.0 17 5.2 20	0.14 0.11 0.80 0.52 1.6	1.6(2.1) 	(e) 0.24(0.13	(e) _{0.030} (0.02- 0.09)	(e) 0.030(0.042) ⁽	0.43 e) 2.4 1.9 13 6.7
Xe-131m Xe-133m Xe-133 Xe-135m Xe-135 Xe-137 Xe-138	1.8 2.2 1.7 4.0 1.5 18 13	0.21 0.27 0.2C 0.33 0.54 2.1 2.4	1.0(1.1) 	(f) 0.53(0.48	3) ^(f) 0.095 ^(0.062) 0.075	(f)0.059(0.063)	1.3 1.7 1.2 1.9 3.3 15 6.6

- (a) Based on a lung volume of 5.6 liters
- (b) Used an average value of Ostwald coefficient for entire body
- (c) Lung volume not stated
- (d) Based on a lung volume of 4 liters
- (e) Values of Dr. Snyder from Reference 10
 - (f) Values of Dr. Snyder from Reference 15

TABLE IV

COMPARISON OF RADIATION DOSES TO VARIOUS TISSUES FROM A SEMI-INFINITE CLOUD OF Kr-85

Pathway Snyder (1c) Russell and Galpin (8) Whitton(12) Schaeffer (9) Kirk(3) Hendrickson(1) This External - Skin $0.21(a)^{1/4}$ $0.21(a)^{1/4}$ $0.17(d)$ $0.24(e)^{2}$ $0.11(d)$ $0.62(e)^{1/4}$ Gamma + Bremsstrahlung $2.1x10^{-3}(b)$ $1.6x10^{-3}(c)$ 0.19 $0.17(d)$ $0.24(e)^{2}$ $0.11(d)$ 0.16 External - Total Body $1.6x10^{-3}$ $1.2x10^{-3}(f)^{1/2}$ $2.3x10^{-3}$ $3:3x10^{-3}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $2.7x10^{-3}$ $2.2x$ Inhalation - Lungs $2.1x10^{-3}(g)^{3}$ $3.0x10^{-3}(f)^{1/2}$ $2.3x10^{-3}$ $(3:3x10^{-3})^{1/2}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $2.7x10^{-3}$ $2.2x$ Inhalation - Lungs $2.1x10^{-3}(g)^{3}$ $3.0x10^{-3}(f)^{1/2}$ $2.3x10^{-3}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $1.2x10^{-3}(f)^{1/2}$ $3.3x10^{-3}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$	1			(mrem/h	r per µCi/m³)				
External - Skin $0.2(a)^{1/4}$ Beta $0.2(a)^{1/4}$ Gamma + Bremsstrahlung 2.1x10 ⁻³ (b) $1.6x10^{-3}(c)$ 0.19 $0.17^{(d)}$ $0.24^{(e)}$ $0.11^{(d)}$ 0.16 External - Total Body $1.6x10^{-3}$ $1.2x10^{-3}(f)$ $2.3x10^{-3}$ $3.3x10^{-3}$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $2.7x10^{-3}$ $2.2x$ $-60nads$ $1.6x10^{-3}$ $1.2x10^{-3}(f)$ $2.3x10^{-3}$ $(3.3x10^{-3})$ $1.5x10^{-3}$ $2.7x10^{-3}$ $2.2x$ Inhalation - Lungs $2.1x10^{-3}(g)$ $3.0x10^{-3}(h)$ $1.6x10^{-3}(1)$ $5.8x10^{-3}$ (k) $$ $1.9x10^{-3}(3)$ $1.9x10^{-3}$ $2.7x10^{-3}$ $2.2x$ Inhalation - Lungs $2.1x10^{-3}(g)$ $3.0x10^{-3}(h)$ $1.6x10^{-3}(1)$ $5.8x10^{-3}$ (k) $$ $8.7x10^{-5}$ $1.9x10^{-3}(3)$ $1.9x10^{-3}(2)$ $-70tal Body 6.4x10^{-5}$ $1.1x10^{-4}$ $$ $8.7x10^{-5}$ $1.9x10^{-3}(3)$ $1.9x10^{-3}(4)$ $-60nads$ $4.2x10^{-5}$ $$ $3x10^{-5}$ $$ $3x10^{-5}$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$		Pathway	<u>Snyder</u> (10)	Russell and Galpin (8)	Whitton(12)	<u>Schaeffer</u> (9)	<u>Kirk</u> (3)	Hendrickson ⁽¹))
External -Total Body - Gonads 1.6x10 ⁻³ 1.8x10 ⁻³ 1.2x10 ⁻³ (f) 2.3x10 ⁻³ 1.2x10 ⁻³ (f) 2.3x10 ⁻³ 2.3x10 ⁻³ 2.3x10 ⁻³ 3.3x10 ⁻³ 1.5x10 ⁻³ 2.7x10 ⁻³ 2.7		External - Skin Beta Gamma + Bremsstrahlung Total	0.21(a) 2.1x10-3(b)	1.6x10-3(c)	0.19	0.17 ^(d) 0.17	0.24(e) 1.9x10-3 0.24	0.11(d) 2.7x10-3 0.11	0.16 ^(d) 2.8x10-3 0.16
Inhalation - Lungs $2.1 \times 10^{-3}(g)$ $3.0 \times 10^{-3}(h)$ $1.6 \times 10^{-3}(1)$ $5.8 \times 10^{-3}(k)$ $1.9 \times 10^{-3}(3)$ $1.9 \times 10^{-3}(1)$ $1.9 \times$		External -Total Body - Gonads	1.6×10^{-3} 1.8×10^{-3}	1.2x10 ^{-3(f)} 1.2x10 ^{-3(f)}	2.3x10 ⁻³ 2.3x10 ⁻³	3:3x10 ⁻³ (3:3x10 ⁻³	1.5x10 ⁻³ 1.5x10 ⁻³	2.7x10 ⁻³ 2.7x10 ⁻³	2.2x10 ⁻³ 2.2x10 ⁻³
- Remaining Tissue (2-9)x10 ⁻⁵ 3x10 ⁻⁵ 3x10 ⁻⁵ (5.4) - Gonads 4.2x10 ⁻⁵ 3x10 ⁻⁵	-	Inhalation - Lungs - Total Body - Adipose Tissue	$2.1 \times 10^{-3}(g)$ 8.4×10-5 1.3×10-4	3.0x10-3(h) 1.1x10-4	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}(1)$	5.8x10 ⁻³ (k)	8.7×10 ⁻⁵	1.9x10-3(j)	1.9x10-3(g)
 (a) Average dose to skin layer 5x10⁻³ cm thick, maximum is ~10% higher. (b) Average dose to a layer 0.2 cm thick. (c) Assumed skin depth of 0.1 cm (d) Assumed skin depth of 0.007 cm (e) Assumed skin depth of 0.0 cm (f) Based upon reciprocity theorem (g) Assumed lung volume of 4 liters (h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters 		- Remaining Tissue - Gonads	(2-9)x10 ⁻⁵ 4.2x10 ⁻⁵		3x10-5 3x10-5	 	~~~~ ~~~~		
 (c) Assumed skin depth of 0.1 cm (d) Assumed skin depth of 0.007 cm (e) Assumed skin depth of 0.0 cm (f) Based upon reciprocity theorem (g) Assumed lung volume of 4 liters (h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters 	Sil	 (a) Average dose to skin (b) Average dose to a la 	layer 5x10 ⁻³ yer 0.2 cm thi	cm thick, max ck.	imum is ∿10% h	igher.			
 (d) Assumed skin depth of 0.007 cm (e) Assumed skin depth of 0.0 cm (f) Based upon reciprocity theorem (g) Assumed lung volume of 4 liters (h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters 		(c) Assumed skin depth o	f 0.1 cm						
 (e) Assumed skin depth of 0.0 cm (f) Based upon reciprocity theorem (g) Assumed lung volume of 4 liters (h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters 		(d) Assumed skin depth o	f 0.007 cm	· .					
 (f) Based upon reciprocity theorem (g) Assumed lung volume of 4 liters (h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters 		(e) Assumed skin depth o	f 0.0 cm		•				. · · ·
<pre>(g) Assumed lung volume of 4 liters (h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters</pre>		(f) Based upon reciproci	ty theorem				· .		
(h) Assumed lung volume of 5.6 liters		(g) Assumed lung volume	of 4 liters						· •
		(h) Assumed lung volume	of 5.6 liters	•					

- (i) Lung volume not specified
- (j) Assumed lung volume of 3.5 liters
- (k) Estimated from Dr. Schaeffer's calculated value of (MPC)_afor lung and probably includes the contribution of external radiation.

REFERENCES

- (1) M. M. Hendrickson, <u>The Dose from ⁸⁵Kr Released to the Earth's Atmos-</u> phere, USAEC Report <u>ENWL-SA-3233</u>, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington, July 1970.
- (2) International Commission on Radiological Protection, <u>Report of ICRP</u> <u>Committee II on Permissible Dose for Internal Radiation</u>, ICRP Publication 2, Pergamon Press, New York, 1959.
- (3) W. P. Kirk, "Krypton-85, A Review of the Literature and an Analysis of the Radiation Hazards," Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Monitoring, Washington, D.C. 20460, January 1972.
- (4) C. M. Lederer, J. M. Hollander and I. Pearlman, <u>Table of Isotopes</u>, 6th Ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1967.
- (5) R. Loevinger, E. M. Japha, and G. L. Brownell, "Discrete Radioisotope Sources," Chapter 16 in <u>Radiation Dosimetry</u>, Academic Press, Inc., New York, NY, 1956.
- (6) National Bureau of Standards, <u>Physical Aspects of Irradiation</u> <u>(Recommendations of the ICRU Report 10b, 1962,)NBS-Handbook 85, U. S.</u> <u>Dept. of Commerce, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.,</u> 1964.
- (7) National Committee on Radiation Protection, Permissible Dose From External Sources of Ionizing Radiation, National Bureau of Standards Handbook 59, Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C., September 1954.
- (8) J. L. Russell and F. L. Galpin, "Comparison of Techniques for Calculating Doses to the Whole Body and to the Lungs from Radioactive Noble Gases," pp 286-308 in <u>Radiation Protection Standards: Quo Vadis</u> (W. P. Howell and J. P. Corley compilers), Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Health Physics Society Topical Symposium, Columbia Chapter, Health Physics Society, Richland, Washington, February 1972.
- (9) R. Schaeffer, "Calculs de Dose en Irradiation Extreme Par lex Gaz Ranes," paper presented at the International Radiation Protection Association 3rd Congress, September 9-14, 1973, Washington, D.C.
- (10) W. S. Snyder, et al, "Dosimetry for a Man Immersed in an Infinite Cloud of Kr-85," paper presented at the Noble Gases Symposium, Las Vegas, Nevada, September 24-28, 1973.
- (11) J. K. Soldat, <u>Modeling of Environmental Pathways and Radiation Doses</u> <u>from Nuclear Facilities</u>, USAEC Report BNWL-SA-3939, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington, October 1971.

REFERENCES (Cont'd)

- (12) J. T. Whitton, <u>Dose Arising from Inhalation of Noble Gases</u>, CEGB Report RD/B/N-1274, Central Electricity Generating Board, Berkeley Nuclear Laboratories, Berkeley, Glos., December 1968.
- (13) J. T. Whitton, "New Values for Epidermal Thicknesses and Their Importance," <u>Health Physics</u>, 24:1-8 (1973).
- (14) D. L. Strenge and E. C. Watson, KRONIC A Computer Program for Calculating Annual Average External Doses from Chronic Atmospheric Releases of Radionuclides, USAEC Report BNWL-B-264, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington, June 1973.
- (15) M. J. Hilyer and W. S. Snyder, "Estimates of Dose from Xe-133 to Infants and Children for Immersion in an Infinite Cloud and for Medical Uses," paper presented at the Mid-Year Health Physics Society Symposium in Puerto Rico, December 1972 (to be published in the conference proceedings).

(16) H. . Dunster, "Progress Report from ICRP," Health Physics, 17:389 (1969).

Health PRysicis 17:359 (1969)