

Conf 751125

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF A DIVERTOR FOR A TOKAMAK EXPERIMENTAL POWER REACTOR

A. V. Georgievsky,* S. L. Gralnick, D. L. Jassby, D. M. Meade, L. G. Saksagansky,**
A. M. Stephanovsky,*** and F. H. Tenney
Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

This report was prepared by the United States Research and Development for their employees, subcontractors, or warranty, or the liability or responsibility or usefulness of any process disclosed, or infringe privately owned

MASTER

Summary

A design for a Double-Null Poloidal Divertor for a Tokamak EPR is presented which allows remote assembly of the torus and utilizes a standard neutral pumping and heat removal system.

I. Introduction

The present Experimental Power Reactor EPR designs by the Oak Ridge,¹ Argonne² and General Atomic³ groups consider reactors which approach the condition of net electrical power and as such require relatively clean plasmas for burn times ~ 50-100 sec. Impurities have a number of deleterious effects on tokamak fusion reactors such as:

1. Enhanced radiation losses due to line radiation from partially-stripped impurities, recombination and bremsstrahlung radiation from fully stripped impurities.
2. Reduction of reacting fuel ion density when the total plasma pressure is limited.
3. Energetic neutral beams (~ 200 keV) used for plasma heating are ionized by ion-impact ionization which is multiplied by \bar{Z} . This increased ionization forces the beam to be deposited near the surface for $Z = 3$, or requires that the beam energy be increased substantially for adequate beam penetration.
4. The current profile is also affected by the presence of impurities. Low Z impurities at the outer surface can cause the plasma column to shrink and have a disruptive instability while high-Z impurities near the plasma center can cause the temperature profiles to be hollow.

Power producing reactors operating at thermonuclear ignition are severely affected by impurities, particularly high-Z impurities. A number of studies⁴ have indicated that high-Z impurity concentrations (e.g. Mo) at $n_z/n_e \sim 0.1$ to 0.5% will significantly increase the n_T required for ignition. If ignition is not possible due to the presence of impurities, energetic neutral beams can be used to produce reactions and plasma heating. For low thermonuclear gain devices, $Q < 1$, such as TFTR, the major effect of the impurities is to limit neutral beam penetration. However, a beam driven reactor would require $Q \sim 5-10$ to produce net electrical power⁵ and in this case even low-Z impurities producing $\bar{Z} = \sum_j n_j Z_j^2/n_e \approx 2-3$ can significantly increase the plasma current⁶ and hence the cost of the reactor required to achieve a given Q .

In addition to the impurity problem at the plasma-wall boundary, the pumping of cold neutral gas in an externally driven reactor is a significant problem,

$\sim 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to recycle the escaping plasma. Also neutral density in the neutral beam injection duct must be $\leq 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to avoid impact ionization of the neutral beam. Therefore the required pumping speed of the vacuum system is $S \sim 10^8$ liters/sec. The pumping orifice area required is

$$A = \frac{4S}{Kv} \approx \frac{2 \times 10^6}{K} \text{ cm}^2$$

where K takes into account the reduction in conductance due to the length of the pumping lines between the vacuum vessel and pumps. Consider a pumping duct extending radially outward all around the torus with height equal to the plasma diameter, in this case the orifice area is $A = 2\pi R^2 \approx 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2$ or roughly an order of magnitude less than the required pumping area and the blanket area has been severely compromised. A solution to the problem is to pump the escaping particles at a higher velocity e.g., 100 eV instead of 10 eV temperature.

A viable solution to both the impurity and pumping problem appears to be a poloidal magnetic divertor. In this report we describe a conceptual design of a divertor for an EPR device similar to the ORNL EPR devices. The divertor design described here is the result of work carried out during a two week exchange visit by Soviet scientists to the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory and as such represents the initial thoughts on an EPR divertor and it is expected that further study and optimization will be carried out in the future.

II. Tokamak Reactor Divertor Design

A. General Requirements. The primary requirements for a tokamak reactor divertor design can be stated as follows:

1. a magnetic configuration and divertor geometry that provide the impurity reduction and pumping speed required for reactor operation,
2. a magnetic configuration that provides equilibrium and stability for the confined hot plasma,
3. a divertor burial chamber and pumping system that is capable of removing the plasma impurity particle flux, and
4. a configuration with superconducting divertor coils that are shielded from the neutrons so that the entire assembly capable of remote non-destructive disassembly.

The proposed configuration is a Double-Null Poloidal Divertor which provides a reacting plasma. It is essentially identical to the ORNL EPR design similar to the ANL EPR and Russian T-20 design.

Conf 751125 -- 105

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF A DIVERTOR FOR A TOKAMAK EXPERIMENTAL POWER REACTOR

orgievsky,* S. L. Gralnick, D. L. Jassby, D. M. Meade, L. G. Saksagansky,**
A. M. Stephanovsky,*** and F. H. Tenney
Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MASTER

Summary

Double-Null Poloidal Divertor for tokamak which allows remote assembly and utilizes a standard neutral pumping system.

Introduction

Experimental Power Reactor (EPR) design at Argonne² and General Atomic³ reactors which approach the condition for ignition and as such require relatively long times ~ 50-100 sec. Impurities have serious effects on tokamak fusion.

Losses due to line radiation from stripped impurities, recombination radiation from fully stripped impurities.

Limiting fuel ion density when the temperature is limited.

Beams (~ 200 keV) used for ionization by ion-impact ionization. This increased ionization to be deposited near the surface requires that the beam energy be high for adequate beam penetration.

Plasma is also affected by the presence of low Z impurities at the periphery of the plasma column to shrink the plasma column while high-Z impurities at the center can cause the temperature to be hollow.

Reactors operating at temperatures are severely affected by impurities, particularly high-Z impurities. A number of studies⁴ show that high-Z impurity concentrations of 1 to 0.5% will significantly reduce the plasma temperature and prevent ignition. If ignition is achieved in the presence of impurities, energy can be used to produce reactions for low thermonuclear gain devices. The major effect of the impurities is to reduce the beam penetration. However, a beam must penetrate to produce net energy. In this case even low-Z impurities with $Z^2/n_e = 2-3$ can significantly reduce the temperature⁶ and hence the cost of the reactor to achieve a given Q.

The impurity problem at the plasma boundary and the injection of cold neutral gas in an divertor is a significant problem.

~ 10¹¹ cm⁻³ to recycle the escaping plasma. Also the neutral density in the neutral beam injection ducts must be ≤ 10¹¹ cm⁻³ to avoid impact ionization of the neutral beam. Therefore the required pumping speed of the vacuum system is S ~ 10⁸ liters/sec. The pump orifice area required is

$$A = \frac{4S}{Kv_n} \approx \frac{2 \times 10^6}{K} \text{ cm}^2 \quad (1)$$

where K takes into account the reduction in conductance due to the length of the pumping lines between the vacuum vessel and pumps. Consider a pumping duct extending radially outward all around the torus with a height equal to the plasma diameter, in this case the orifice area is $A = 2\pi R_2 a \approx 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2$ or roughly an order of magnitude less than the required pumping area, and the blanket area has been severely compromised. The solution to the problem is to pump the escaping particles at a higher velocity e.g., 100 eV instead of room temperature.

A viable solution to both the impurity and neutral pumping problem appears to be a poloidal magnetic divertor. In this report we describe a conceptual design of a divertor for an EPR device similar to the ORNL and ANL EPR devices. The divertor design described here is the result of work carried out during a two week exchange visit by Soviet scientists to the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory and as such represents only the initial thoughts on an EPR divertor and it is hoped that further study and optimization will be carried out in the future.

II. Tokamak Reactor Divertor Design

A. General Requirements. The primary requirements for a tokamak reactor divertor design can be summarized as follows:

1. a magnetic configuration and divertor action that provide the impurity reduction and pumping speed required for reactor operation,
2. a magnetic configuration that provides equilibrium and stability for the confined hot plasma,
3. a divertor burial chamber and pumping system that is capable of removing the plasma energy and particle flux, and
4. a configuration with superconducting divertor coils that are shielded from the neutrons and with the entire assembly capable of remote non-destructive disassembly.

The proposed configuration is a Double-Null Poloidal Divertor which provides a reacting plasma that is essentially identical to the ORNL EPR design and is similar to the ANL EPR and Russian T-20 design, Table I.

2

I. Introduction

The present Experimental Power Reactor EPR designs by the Oak Ridge,¹ Argonne² and General Atomic³ groups consider reactors which approach the condition of net electrical power and as such require relatively clean plasmas with burn times ~ 50-100 sec. Impurities have a number of deleterious effects on tokamak fusion reactors such as:

1. Enhanced radiation losses due to line radiation from partially-stripped impurities, recombination and bremsstrahlung radiation from fully stripped impurities.
2. Reduction of reacting fuel ion density when the total plasma pressure is limited.
3. Energetic neutral beams (~ 200 keV) used for plasma heating are ionized by ion-impact ionization which is multiplied by Z . This increased ionization forces the beam to be deposited near the surface for $Z = 3$, or requires that the beam energy be increased substantially for adequate beam penetration.
4. The current profile is also affected by the presence of impurities. Low Z impurities at the outer surface can cause the plasma column to shrink and have a disruptive instability while high- Z impurities near the plasma center can cause the temperature profiles to be hollow.

Power producing reactors operating at thermonuclear ignition are severely affected by impurities, particularly high- Z impurities. A number of studies⁴ have indicated that high- Z impurity concentrations (e.g. Mo) at $n_z/n_e = 0.1$ to 0.5% will significantly increase the nT required for ignition. If ignition is not possible due to the presence of impurities, energetic neutral beams can be used to produce reactions and plasma heating. For low thermonuclear gain devices, $Q = 1$, such as TFTR, the major effect of the impurities is to limit neutral beam penetration. However, a beam driven reactor would require $Q = 5-10$ to produce net electrical power⁵ and in this case even low- Z impurities producing $Z = \int n_j Z_j^2/n_e = 2-3$ can significantly increase the plasma current⁶ and hence the cost of the reactor required to achieve a given Q .

In addition to the impurity problem at the plasma-wall boundary, the pumping of cold neutral gas in an intensely beam driven reactor is a significant problem, particularly in neutron producers with $nT = 10^{13}$ sec-cm⁻³. For these devices, the particle throughput due to beam injection becomes ~ 10^{22} particles/sec for a reactor with a $\bar{a} = 1$ m, $\bar{R}_0 = 3$ m and $\bar{n}_e = 7 \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻³. This particle input is ~ 25% of the natural recycling flux and would cause the plasma density to double in ~ 4 particle confinement times and thereby causing the plasma pressure to increase beyond the MHD equilibrium limit. Near the surface of the confined plasma, the neutral density for 1 eV D⁰ must be in the range of

the vacuum system is $S = 10^8$ liters/sec. The orifice area required is

$$A = \frac{4S}{Kv} = \frac{2 \times 10^6}{K} \text{ cm}^2$$

where K takes into account the reduction in conductance due to the length of the pumping lines between the vacuum vessel and pumps. Consider a pumping duct tending radially outward all around the torus with height equal to the plasma diameter, in this case the orifice area is $A = 2\pi R^2 a = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2$ or roughly an order of magnitude less than the required pumping area and the blanket area has been severely compromised. A solution to the problem is to pump the escaping particles at a higher velocity e.g., 100 eV instead of 10 eV temperature.

A viable solution to both the impurity and pumping problem appears to be a poloidal magnetic divertor. In this report we describe a conceptual design of a divertor for an EPR device similar to the ORNL EPR devices. The divertor design described here is the result of work carried out during a two week exchange visit by Soviet scientists to the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory and as such represents the initial thoughts on an EPR divertor and it is expected that further study and optimization will be carried out in the future.

II. Tokamak Reactor Divertor Design

A. General Requirements. The primary requirements for a tokamak reactor divertor design can be stated as follows:

1. a magnetic configuration and divertor design that provide the impurity reduction and pumping speed required for reactor operation,
2. a magnetic configuration that provides equilibrium and stability for the confined hot plasma,
3. a divertor burial chamber and pumping system that is capable of removing the plasma end particle flux, and
4. a configuration with superconducting diagnostic coils that are shielded from the neutrons and the entire assembly capable of remote non-destructive disassembly.

The proposed configuration is a Double-Nu Poloidal Divertor which provides a reacting plasma which is essentially identical to the ORNL EPR design similar to the ANL EPR and Russian T-20 design. We have chosen to keep the plasma size fixed for comparisons between non-divertor EPR designs and a divertor design can be made in terms of engineering trade-offs versus plasma purity and pumping at plasma parameters (I_p , a , R , and B_p).

Also we have chosen to consider a 50 kG toroidal field since this allows a 7 MA plasma current at $a = 1-2$ and $q(a) = 4$ in our design. This large plasma current along with impurity reduction by the divertor increases the prospects for operating the reactor at ignition or at high gain near ignition and the

neutral beam. Therefore the required pumping speed of the vacuum system is $S \sim 10^8$ liters/sec. The pump orifice area required is

$$A = \frac{4S}{Kv_n} = \frac{2 \times 10^6}{K} \text{ cm}^2 \quad (1)$$

where K takes into account the reduction in conductance due to the length of the pumping lines between the vacuum vessel and pumps. Consider a pumping duct extending radially outward all around the torus with a height equal to the plasma diameter, in this case the orifice area is $A = 2\pi R_2 a = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2$ or roughly an order of magnitude less than the required pumping area, and the blanket area has been severely compromised. The solution to the problem is to pump the escaping particles at a higher velocity e.g., 100 eV instead of room temperature.

A viable solution to both the impurity and neutral pumping problem appears to be a poloidal magnetic divertor. In this report we describe a conceptual design of a divertor for an EPR device similar to the ORNL and ANL EPR devices. The divertor design described here is the result of work carried out during a two week exchange visit by Soviet scientists to the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory and as such represents only the initial thoughts on an EPR divertor and it is hoped that further study and optimization will be carried out in the future.

II. Tokamak Reactor Divertor Design

A. General Requirements. The primary requirements for a tokamak reactor divertor design can be summarized as follows:

1. a magnetic configuration and divertor action that provide the impurity reduction and pumping speed required for reactor operation,
2. a magnetic configuration that provides equilibrium and stability for the confined hot plasma,
3. a divertor burial chamber and pumping system that is capable of removing the plasma energy and particle flux, and
4. a configuration with superconducting divertor coils that are shielded from the neutrons and with the entire assembly capable of remote non-destructive disassembly.

The proposed configuration is a Double-Null Poloidal Divertor which provides a reacting plasma that is essentially identical to the ORNL EPR design and is similar to the ANL EPR and Russian T-20 design, Table I. We have chosen to keep the plasma size fixed so that comparisons between non-divertor EPR designs and our divertor design can be made in terms of engineering trade-offs versus plasma purity and pumping at the same plasma parameters (I , a , R , and B_T).

Also we have chosen to consider a 50 kG toroidal field since this allows a 7 MA plasma current for $q(a) = 1-2$ and $q(a) \approx 4$ in our design. This large plasma current along with impurity reduction by the divertor increases the prospects for operating the reactor at ignition or at high gain near ignition and thereby

4

achieving substantial thermonuclear power perhaps even net power output.

The Tokamak Reactor Divertor design is shown in Fig. 1. The main features of the design are:

1. Double-Null poloidal divertor inside the toroidal field coils with a slightly "D" shaped confined plasma with a 7MA plasma current,
2. extended divertor exhaust channels and pumping chambers located at the outer surface of the torus allowing a standard pumping and heat removal system, and
3. a divertor coil system with zero net ampere turns, allowing the poloidal coils to be placed inside the toroidal coil without topologically linking the toroidal field coil. This feature allows remote disassembly of the entire coil system.

B. Divertor Physics Requirements. While many of the detailed plasma physics questions concerning the divertor scrape-off region are unanswered at the present time, we can nonetheless make reasonable estimates of the two most important parameters of the divertor scrape-off;

1. the width of the divertor exhaust channels and
2. the length of the exhaust channels.

A shielding divertor would have a moderately wide (~ 5 cm) dense ($5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) plasma which ionizes incoming impurity atoms and sweeps the resultant impurity ions into the divertor burial chambers. A shielding divertor allows neutral recycling and refueling at the surface of the confined plasma with negligible impurity influx and therefore permits the long burn times required for EPR. The present design allows a 20 cm scrape-off channel which should easily provide for a shielding divertor with essentially no plasma wall contact.

The length of the exhaust channels was designed to be the maximum allowed inside the toroidal field coil bore so as to minimize back flow of neutral gas by enhancing the plasma pumping effect and increasing the pumping area. Also the neutralizer plates are at the largest possible major radius with a large surface area thereby reducing the energy removal problem.

C. Poloidal Field Design.

1. **General Considerations.** The proposed design combines favorable features of both the long exhaust channel Single-Null Divertor in the Princeton Reference Design⁷ and the short channel Double-Null Divertor in the Wisconsin UMAK-1 design,⁸ that is the design has long exhaust channels with a stable plasma column placed in the high toroidal field region near the inside bore of the toroidal field coil. Since the divertor poloidal field design determines the requirements for all other systems pumping, mechanical structure and toroidal field coil size, considerable effort during the exchange period was directed toward a study of the poloidal field configuration. While a number of parameters remain to be optimized, this design should enable some engineering design estimates to be made.

2. Results of Poloidal Magnetic Field Studies. The

the torus. The negative coil at $R = 7.4$, Z provides most of the equilibrium field, pushes null point toward a smaller major radius for slightly "D" shaped plasma, and constricts exhaust channel while its positive return coil at $R = 8.4$, $Z = 5.1$ pulls both exhaust channels outer part of the torus. These internal coils provide the divertor field and 75% of the vertical field required for plasma equilibrium, the balance of equilibrium field is produced by an adjustable coil. The filamentary calculations were sufficient for the positioning of divertor channels and however for the high β_0 ($\beta_0 \sim 2$) and low aspect ratio ($A = R/a \sim 3$) plasma, self-consistent MHD equilibrium calculations were used to accurately determine plasma shape.

3. **Plasma Current Startup Considerations.** Control is also essential during the plasma startup phase. This can be accomplished magnetically

- a. initiating the plasma discharge at a null in the poloidal field located away from the poloidal limiters,
- b. creating an expanding magnetic limiter reduces the plasma-wall interaction due to the related MHD instabilities, and
- c. providing proper divertor action during startup.

In this reference design three possible start-up sequences have been considered.

a. **Fixed flux plot.** The DF and EF currents are created proportional to the plasma current and maintains divertor action and separatrix position during plasma startup but does not provide an expanding magnetic limiter to eliminate the effect. In this scheme, 43 volt-sec is generated in the DF and EF fields.

b. **Fixed DF-hexapole null.** This mode is used to the startup sequence in PDX. The DF current is fixed in time; at $t = 0$, the EF current is zero which generates a hexapole null at $R = 6.5$. As the plasma current increases, the EF coil current is driven toward - 2.8 MA providing the proper equilibrium transverse field. This mode provides good sec capability. Studies of flux plots during startup for this simple programming show poor equilibrium tracking, clearly we have not yet determined the present configuration for startup.

c. **Fully programmed poloidal coils.** Future designs will involve programming all coil systems including the EF. Since in a reactor, the OH coil current is nearly constant during the burn phase, we investigate coupling the OH transverse field with the poloidal field design.

D. Engineering Description.

1. **Poloidal coil design.** An important feature of this divertor EPR reference design is the use of the internal poloidal coil. The internal coil is in the form of 180° loops which carry positive current half-way around the torus, crossover

thermonuclear power perhaps even

or Divertor design is shown in
ures of the design are:

oidal divertor inside the toroidal
slightly "D" shaped confined
lasma current,

r exhaust channels and pumping
the outer surface of the torus
pumping and heat removal system,

system with zero net ampere turns,
al coils to be placed inside the
ut topologically linking the
. This feature allows remote
entire coil system.

Requirements. While many of the
questions concerning the di-
on are unanswered at the present
es make reasonable estimates of
parameters of the divertor

divertor exhaust channels and
exhaust channels.

or would have a moderately wide
(2 cm^{-3}) plasma which ionizes in-
nd sweeps the resultant impurity
burial chambers. A shielding
recycling and refueling at the
plasma with negligible impurity
mits the long burn times re-
esent design allows a 20 cm
h should easily provide for a
essentially no plasma wall con-

exhaust channels was designed to
inside the toroidal field coil
back flow of neutral gas by en-
ging effect and increasing the
neutralizer plates are at the
radius with a large surface area
ergy removal problem.

Design.

tions. The proposed design com-
s of both the long exhaust
ertor in the Princeton Reference
channel Double-Null Divertor in
sign,⁸ that is the design has
th a stable plasma column
oidal field region near the in-
al field coil. Since the di-
esign determines the requirements
mping, mechanical structure and
e, considerable effort during
directed toward a study of the
ation. While a number of para-
mized, this design should en-
sign estimates to be made.

Magnetic Field Studies. The

the torus. The negative coil at $R = 7.4$, $Z = 2.5$ pro-
vides most of the equilibrium field, pushes the poloidal
null point toward a smaller major radius forming a
slightly "D" shaped plasma, and constricts the lower
exhaust channel while its positive return current at
 $R = 8.4$, $Z = 5.1$ pulls both exhaust channels toward the
outer part of the torus. These internal coils generate
the divertor field and 75% of the vertical field re-
quired for plasma equilibrium, the balance of the equi-
librium field is produced by an adjustable coil EF1.
The filamentary calculations were sufficiently accurate
for the positioning of divertor channels and shielding,
however for the high β_0 ($\beta_0 \sim 2$) and low aspect ratio
($A = R/a \sim 3$) plasma, self-consistent MHD equilibrium
calculations were used to accurately determine the
plasma shape.

3. Plasma Current Startup Considerations. Impurity
control is also essential during the plasma startup
phase. This can be accomplished magnetically by:

- a. initiating the plasma discharge at a multipole
null in the poloidal field located away from metal-
lic limiters,
- b. creating an expanding magnetic limiter that re-
duces the plasma-wall interaction due to skin-effect
related MHD instabilities, and
- c. providing proper divertor action during plasma
startup.

In this reference design three possible startup se-
quences have been considered.

a. Fixed flux plot. The DF and EF currents are in-
creased proportional to the plasma current. This
maintains divertor action and separatrix positioning
during plasma startup but does not provide an ex-
panding magnetic limiter to eliminate the skin
effect. In this scheme, 43 volt-sec is generated by
the DF and EF fields.

b. Fixed DF-hexapole null. This mode is very similar
to the startup sequence in PDX. The DF currents are
fixed in time; at $t = 0$, the EF current is + 10.4 MA
which generates a hexapole null at $R = 6.9$ m. As
the plasma current increases, the EF coil current is
driven toward - 2.8 MA providing the proper equilib-
rium transverse field. This mode provides 76 volt-
sec capability. Studies of flux plots during start-
up for this simple programming show poor divertor
throat tracking, clearly we have not yet optimized
the present configuration for startup.

c. Fully programmed poloidal coils. Future studies
will involve programming all coil systems OH, DF and
EF. Since in a reactor, the OH coil current is very
nearly constant during the burn phase, we will in-
vestigate coupling the OH transverse field into the
poloidal field design.

D. Engineering Description.

1. Poloidal coil design. An important feature of
this divertor EPR reference design is the demount-
able internal poloidal coil. The internal coils are
in the form of 180° loops which carry positive cur-
rent half-way around the torus, crossover and return

2

toroidal coil without topologically linking the toroidal field coil. This feature allows remote disassembly of the entire coil system.

B. Divertor Physics Requirements. While many of the detailed plasma physics questions concerning the divertor scrape-off region are unanswered at the present time, we can nonetheless make reasonable estimates of the two most important parameters of the divertor scrape-off;

1. the width of the divertor exhaust channels and
2. the length of the exhaust channels.

A shielding divertor would have a moderately wide (~ 5 cm) dense ($5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) plasma which ionizes incoming impurity atoms and sweeps the resultant impurity ions into the divertor burial chambers. A shielding divertor allows neutral recycling and refueling at the surface of the confined plasma with negligible impurity influx and therefore permits the long burn times required for EPR. The present design allows a 20 cm scrape-off channel which should easily provide for a shielding divertor with essentially no plasma wall contact.

The length of the exhaust channels was designed to be the maximum allowed inside the toroidal field coil bore so as to minimize back flow of neutral gas by enhancing the plasma pumping effect and increasing the pumping area. Also the neutralizer plates are at the largest possible major radius with a large surface area thereby reducing the energy removal problem.

C. Poloidal Field Design.

1. General Considerations. The proposed design combines favorable features of both the long exhaust channel Single-Null Divertor in the Princeton Reference Design⁷ and the short channel Double-Null Divertor in the Wisconsin UMAK-1 design,⁸ that is the design has long exhaust channels with a stable plasma column placed in the high toroidal field region near the inside bore of the toroidal field coil. Since the divertor poloidal field design determines the requirements for all other systems pumping, mechanical structure and toroidal field coil size, considerable effort during the exchange period was directed toward a study of the poloidal field configuration. While a number of parameters remain to be optimized, this design should enable some engineering design estimates to be made.

2. Results of Poloidal Magnetic Field Studies. The configuration shown in Fig. 1 satisfies the general criteria stated above. The net vertical field for toroidal plasma equilibrium is in agreement with the magnitude required by the Shafranov formula and has radial positional stability. However, the vertical positional stability is probably marginal and further optimization is required. Each of the poloidal coils has a specific function. The positive coil ($R = 5.4$, $Z = 5.1$) produces the primary divertor stagnation point at $R = 5.4$, $Z = 3.1$, while its return current at $R = 5.4$, $Z = 7.2$ bends the exhaust channel down from the toroidal coil and diverts it toward the outside of

calculations were used to accurately determine plasma shape.

3. Plasma Current Startup Considerations. Im control is also essential during the plasma start phase. This can be accomplished magnetically by

- a. initiating the plasma discharge at a multipole null in the poloidal field located away from the divertor limiters,
- b. creating an expanding magnetic limiter that reduces the plasma-wall interaction due to skin-related MHD instabilities, and
- c. providing proper divertor action during plasma startup.

In this reference design three possible startup sequences have been considered.

a. Fixed flux plot. The DF and EF currents are increased proportional to the plasma current. This maintains divertor action and separatrix position during plasma startup but does not provide an expanding magnetic limiter to eliminate the skin effect. In this scheme, 43 volt-sec is generated by the DF and EF fields.

b. Fixed DF-hexapole null. This mode is very similar to the startup sequence in PDX. The DF current is fixed in time; at $t = 0$, the EF current is +1 MA which generates a hexapole null at $R = 6.9$ m. As the plasma current increases, the EF coil current is driven toward -2.8 MA providing the proper equilibrium transverse field. This mode provides 76 sec capability. Studies of flux plots during startup for this simple programming show poor divertor throat tracking, clearly we have not yet optimized the present configuration for startup.

c. Fully programmed poloidal coils. Future studies will involve programming all coil systems OH, EF. Since in a reactor, the OH coil current will be nearly constant during the burn phase, we will investigate coupling the OH transverse field into the poloidal field design.

D. Engineering Description.

1. Poloidal coil design. An important feature of this divertor EPR reference design is the demountable internal poloidal coil. The internal coil is in the form of 180° loops which carry positive current half-way around the torus, crossover and return as negative current. This feature allows remote disassembly and zero coupling to the OH field with small coupling to the plasma field. The crossover design requires some care since they are subjected to large forces, interfere with plasma motion in the divertor and generate magnetic field errors. Further problems appear to be soluble and are discussed in more detail in the appropriate sections. With the present design we have only 3 types of DF, EF, and OH. The ohmic heating coils are similar to those used at ORNL and ANL design and are located outside the toroidal field coil.

topologically linking the
this feature allows remote
ire coil system.
quirements. While many of the
estions concerning the di-
ire unanswered at the present
ake reasonable estimates of
ameters of the divertor

divertor exhaust channels and
haust channels.

would have a moderately wide
(cm^{-3}) plasma which ionizes in-
sweeps the resultant impurity
ial chambers. A shielding
cycling and refueling at the
asma with negligible impurity
ts the long burn times re-
nt design allows a 20 cm
ould easily provide for a
sentially no plasma wall con-

haust channels was designed to
vide the toroidal field coil
ck flow of neutral gas by en-
ffect and increasing the
neutralizer plates are at the
adius with a large surface area
y removal problem.

gn.
ns. The proposed design com-
f both the long exhaust
tor in the Princeton Reference
annel Double-Null Divertor in
gn,⁸ that is the design has
a stable plasma column
al field region near the in-
field coil. Since the di-
gn determines the requirements
ping, mechanical structure and
considerable effort during
irected toward a study of the
on. While a number of para-
zed, this design should en-
gn estimates to be made.

Magnetic Field Studies. The
l satisfies the general
e net vertical field for
m is in agreement with the
Shafranov formula and has
y. However, the vertical
obably marginal and further
Each of the poloidal coils
The positive coil ($R = 5.4$,
ary divertor stagnation point
its return current at
exhaust channel down from
rts it toward the outside of

calculations were used to accurately determine the
plasma shape.

3. Plasma Current Startup Considerations. Impurity
control is also essential during the plasma startup
phase. This can be accomplished magnetically by:

- a. initiating the plasma discharge at a multipole
null in the poloidal field located away from metal-
lic limiters,
- b. creating an expanding magnetic limiter that re-
duces the plasma-wall interaction due to skin-effect
related MHD instabilities, and
- c. providing proper divertor action during plasma
startup.

In this reference design three possible startup se-
quences have been considered.

a. Fixed flux plot. The DF and EF currents are in-
creased proportional to the plasma current. This
maintains divertor action and separatrix positioning
during plasma startup but does not provide an ex-
panding magnetic limiter to eliminate the skin
effect. In this scheme, 43 volt-sec is generated by
the DF and EF fields.

b. Fixed DF-hexapole null. This mode is very similar
to the startup sequence in PDX. The DF currents are
fixed in time; at $t = 0$, the EF current is + 10.4 MA
which generates a hexapole null at $R = 6.9$ m. As
the plasma current increases, the EF coil current is
driven toward - 2.8 MA providing the proper equilib-
rium transverse field. This mode provides 76 volt-
sec capability. Studies of flux plots during start-
up for this simple programming show poor divertor
throat tracking, clearly we have not yet optimized
the present configuration for startup.

c. Fully programmed poloidal coils. Future studies
will involve programming all coil systems OH, DF and
EF. Since in a reactor, the OH coil current is very
nearly constant during the burn phase, we will in-
vestigate coupling the OH transverse field into the
poloidal field design.

D. Engineering Description.

1. Poloidal coil design. An important feature of
this divertor EPR reference design is the demount-
able internal poloidal coil. The internal coils are
in the form of 180° loops which carry positive cur-
rent half-way around the torus, crossover and return
as negative current. This feature allows remote
disassembly and zero coupling to the OH field and
small coupling to the plasma field. The cross-over
design requires some care since they are subject to
large forces, interfere with plasma motion into the
divertor and generate magnetic field errors. These
problems appear to be soluble and are discussed in
more detail in the appropriate sections. With the
present design we have only 3 types of DF, EF coils.
The ohmic heating coils are similar to those of the
ORNL and ANL design and are located outside the
toroidal field coil.



2. Poloidal coil conductor. We consider the DF coils to be fabricated from stabilized NbTi cable similar to that described by ORNL or ANL. However, space considerations at the DF-1 coil lead us to consider a high current density coil in which the hoop stress is taken by an external stainless steel ring. For DF-1, we assume a core current density of 3 kA/cm^2 with a 10 cm dewar structure to arrive at the sizes illustrated in Fig. 1. Clearly, this is an area where careful expert design is required. The DF-2 and EF-1 coils have less stringent requirements and the coils shown have 2 kA/cm^2 .

3. Poloidal coil support structure. A poloidal structure problem is the support for the vertical forces on DF-1, the net force on the lower (positive) half-turn of DF-1 is $5 \times 10^6 \text{ lbs}$. This force can be supported by a cantilevered steel plate (shown in cross-section in Fig. 1) with a modest deflection of $\approx 1 \text{ cm}$ for a 50 cm thick plate clamped near the vacuum wall. As mentioned previously, the hoop force of the superconducting coil will be taken up on a stainless steel backing ring.

4. Toroidal field coil. The torque on the toroidal field (TF) coils due to the divertor field should also be investigated as well as the effect of the time changing poloidal fields on the superconducting toroidal field coils.

5. Vacuum system. The pumping system for the proposed reactor must handle a particle throughput of $\approx 10^{22}$ particles/sec and maintain a neutral pressure of $\approx 10^{-5}$ torr at the surface of the plasma. Since the plasma in the divertor channels behaves somewhat as a diffusion pump, the neutral pressure in the divertor chambers is expected to be $\approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$ torr. We propose to supply the required pumping speed of $\approx 10^7 \text{ l/sec}$ for D^0 , T^0 with internal cryo-pumps having an area of $3\text{-}5 \times 10^2 \text{ m}^2$. Helium will be pumped with external compression pumps having a speed of $5 \times 10^5 \text{ l/sec}$.

One of the important features of the present design is that no special technology, such as flowing liquid lithium, is necessary to remove the thermal energy of the plasma flowing into the divertor. The power density on the neutralizer plate is $\approx 300 \text{ w/cm}^2$ for flat plates and can be reduced to $\approx 150 \text{ w/cm}^2$ with a corrugated plate. Low power densities such as this can be handled by "standard" cooling techniques.

Conclusion

The proposed divertor design, appears to be a viable solution to the need for impurity control and neutral pumping in tokamak reactors and as such can be used for scoping a variety of engineering problems peculiar to divertors. However, the present design does not represent an optimized system with regard to device cost. The proposed toroidal field coil could probably be reduced in size from an inside height of 7.8 m to 6.5 m and from an outer midplane radius of 12.8 m to 11.3 m without seriously deteriorating the divertor performance. In this latter case, the toroidal field coil for the divertor EPR is only slightly larger than the toroidal coils for the ANL and ORNL.

References

1. M. Roberts, Oak Ridge Tokamak EPR Reference paper C 2-3, these proceedings.
2. W. M. Stacey, Jr., et al, Argonne Tokamak Experimental Power Reactor Studies, paper C 2-1, proceedings.
3. C. C. Baker, et al, General Atomic Experimental Power Reactor Design, paper C 2-7, these proceedings.
4. D. M. Meade, Nuc. Fusion 14, 289 (1974).
5. M. Nozawa and D. Steiner, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rep. ORNL TM-4421 (1974).
6. R. W. Conn and J. Kesner, Nuc. Fusion 15,
7. R. G. Mills, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Rep. MATT-1050 (1974).
8. G. L. Kukinski, et al, University of Wisconsin Nuclear Engineering Rep. UW FDM-68 (1973).

TABLE I

	<u>EPR Parameters</u>		
	T-20	ORNL	ANL
a (m)	2	2.25	2.1
R (m)	5	6.75	6.25
B_T (kG)	35	48	34
I (MA)	6	7.2	4.8
q(o)			
q(a)	2.3	2.5	2.5
β_θ	1	2	2.2
τ_p (s)	2	-2	2
τ_b (s)	20	100	20-50
P_{LOSS} (MW)	50	-100	130

3. Poloidal coil support structure. A poloidal structure problem is the support for the vertical forces on DF-1, the net force on the lower (positive) half-turn of DF-1 is 5×10^6 lbs. This force can be supported by a cantilevered steel plate (shown in cross-section in Fig. 1) with a modest deflection of ≈ 1 cm for a 50 cm thick plate clamped near the vacuum wall. As mentioned previously, the hoop force of the superconducting coil will be taken up on a stainless steel backing ring.

4. Toroidal field coil. The torque on the toroidal field (TF) coils due to the divertor field should also be investigated as well as the effect of the time changing poloidal fields on the superconducting toroidal field coils.

5. Vacuum system. The pumping system for the proposed reactor must handle a particle throughput of $\approx 10^{22}$ particles/sec and maintain a neutral pressure of $\approx 10^{-5}$ torr at the surface of the plasma. Since the plasma in the divertor channels behaves somewhat as a diffusion pump, the neutral pressure in the divertor chambers is expected to be $\approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$ torr. We propose to supply the required pumping speed of $\approx 10^7$ l/sec for D^0 , T^0 with internal cryo-pumps having an area of $3-5 \times 10^2$ m². Helium will be pumped with external compression pumps having a speed of 5×10^5 l/sec.

One of the important features of the present design is that no special technology, such as flowing liquid lithium, is necessary to remove the thermal energy of the plasma flowing into the divertor. The power density on the neutralizer plate is ≈ 300 w/cm² for flat plates and can be reduced to ~ 150 w/cm² with a corrugated plate. Low power densities such as this can be handled by "standard" cooling techniques.

Conclusion

The proposed divertor design, appears to be a viable solution to the need for impurity control and neutral pumping in tokamak reactors and as such can be used for scoping a variety of engineering problems peculiar to divertors. However, the present design does not represent an optimized system with regard to device cost. The proposed toroidal field coil could probably be reduced in size from an inside height of 7.8 m to 6.5 m and from an outer midplane radius of 12.8 m to 11.3 m without seriously deteriorating the divertor performance. In this latter case, the toroidal field coil for the divertor EPR is only slightly larger than the toroidal coils for the ANL and ORNL EPR designs.

This work was supported by U.S.ERDA Contract E(11-1)-3073.

* Physical Technical Institute, Ukrainian Academy of Science, Kharkov, USSR.

** D. V. Efremov Institute for Electro-physical Equipment, Leningrad, USSR.

***I. V. Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, Moscow, USSR.

5. M. Nozawa and D. Steiner, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rep. ORNL TM-4421 (1974).
6. R. W. Conn and J. Kesner, Nuc. Fusion 15, 775 (1975)
7. R. G. Mills, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Rep. MATT-1050 (1974)'.¹
8. G. L. Kukinski, et al, University of Wisconsin, Nuclear Engineering Rep. UW FDM-68 (1973).

TABLE I

	EPR Parameters			
	T-20	ORNL	ANL	CCCP/US
a (m)	2	2.25	2.1	-2
R (m)	5	6.75	6.25	2.25
B _T (kG)	35	48	34	50
I (MA)	6	7.2	4.8	7.0
q (o)				1-2
q (a)	2.3	2.5	2.5	3-4
β_{θ}	1	2	2.2	2
τ_P (s)	2	-2	2	2
τ_b (s)	20	100	20-50	
P _{LOSS} (MW)	50	-100	130	-80

2. We consider the DF coils utilized NbTi cable similar to ANL. However, space for coil lead us to consider a design in which the hoop stress is in a stainless steel ring. For a current density of 3 kA/cm^2 we are to arrive at the sizes early, this is an area which is required. The DF-2 stringent requirements and cm^2 .

Structure. A poloidal support for the vertical force on the lower torus is 5×10^6 lbs. This is a cantilevered steel structure (see Fig. 1) with a thickness for a 50 cm thick plate. As mentioned previously, the superconducting stainless steel backing

the torque on the toroidal field divertor should be as the effect of the fields on the superconduct-

ing system for the pro- a particle throughput of maintain a neutral pres- surface of the plasma. divertor channels behaves imp, the neutral pressure is expected to be $\approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$ by the required pumping $0, T^0$ with internal cryo- $5 \times 10^2 \text{ m}^2$. Helium will be session pumps having a

features of the present de- technology, such as flowing ary to remove the thermal ing into the divertor. The raliser plate is $\approx 300 \text{ w/cm}^2$ e reduced to $\sim 150 \text{ w/cm}^2$ Low power densities such "standard" cooling tech-

ision

design, appears to be a for impurity control and eactors and as such can be f engineering problems ver, the present design ized system with regard to oroidal field coil could from an inside height of uter midplane radius of ously deteriorating the s latter case, the toroi- r EPR is only slightly

References

1. M. Roberts, Oak Ridge Tokamak EPR Reference Design, paper C 2-3, these proceedings.
2. W. M. Stacey, Jr., et al, Argonne Tokamak Experimental Power Reactor Studies, paper C 2-1, these proceedings.
3. C. C. Baker, et al, General Atomic Experimental Power Reactor Design, paper C 2-7, these proceedings.
4. D. M. Meade, Nuc. Fusion 14, 289 (1974).
5. M. Nozawa and D. Steiner, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rep. ORNL TM-4421 (1974).
6. R. W. Conn and J. Kesner, Nuc. Fusion 15, 775 (1975).
7. R. G. Mills, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Rep. MATT-1050 (1974).
8. G. L. Kukinski, et al, University of Wisconsin, Nuclear Engineering Rep. UW FDM-68 (1973).

TABLE I

	EPR Parameters			
	T-20	ORNL	ANL	CCCP/USA
a(m)	2	2.25	2.1	-2
R(m)	5	6.75	6.25	2.25
B_T (kG)	35	48	34	50
I(MA)	6	7.2	4.8	7.0
q(o)				1-2
q(a)	2.3	2.5	2.5	3-4
β_θ	1	2	2.2	2
τ_p (s)	2	-2	2	2
τ_b (s)	20	100	20-50	
P_{LOSS} (MW)	50	~100	130	~80

3

Figure Caption

Fig. 1. Cross-sectional view of the tokamak EPR divertor design showing the toroidal field (TF) coil, divertor field (DF) coils and equilibrium field (EF) coil.

