

UCRL-11376

JUN 30 1964

CONF-502-14

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

MASTER

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

NUCLEAR STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS FOR DEFORMED NUCLEI

K. Dietrich, H.-J. Mang, and J. Pradal

April 1964

Facsimile Price \$ 1.10

Microfilm Price \$ .80

Available from the  
Office of Technical Services  
Department of Commerce  
Washington 25, D. C.

## DISCLAIMER

**This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.**

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

NUCLEAR STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS FOR DEFORMED NUCLEI

by

K. Dietrich

Physics Dept., Univ. of Southern California, Los Angeles

H.-J. Mang

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley

J. Pradal

Institut du Radium, Orsay

ABSTRACT

The generalized Hartree-Fock method is applied to a calculation of equilibrium deformations of  $Mg^{24}$  and neighbouring nuclei. A nucleon-nucleon potential of Gaussian shape and Serber exchange character is used. The quadrupole moments obtained agree reasonably with the measured values. The Nilsson potential turns out to be a rather good approximation to the self consistent field.

by

K. Dietrich  
Physics Dept., Univ. of Southern California, Los Angeles

H.-J. Mang  
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley

J. Pradal  
Institut du Radium, Orsay

We have used the generalized Hartree-Fock method [1] in a study of nuclear properties in the region of strongly deformed light nuclei around  $Mg^{24}$ . The method can be described as a variational principle with a Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer trial state [2]

$$\Psi = \prod_{\nu > 0} (U_{\nu} + U_{\nu} b_{\nu}^{+} b_{-\nu}^{+}) \phi_0 \quad (1)$$

The index  $\nu$  labels the single particle states,  $-\nu$  refers to the time reversed state and  $\phi_0$  is the vacuum state. The creation operators  $b_{\nu}^{+}$  are obtained from an original set of basis operators  $a_j^{+}$  by a unitary linear transformation.

$$b_{\nu}^{+} = \sum_j d_{\nu}^j a_j^{+} \quad (2)$$

The variations of  $V_{\nu}$ ,  $U_{\nu}$  and  $d_{\nu}^j$  must be chosen such that  $\Psi$  is normalized, transformation (2) is unitary and the average particle number is fixed. The nuclei were supposed to be axially symmetric. The variational equations were solved numerically neglecting the influence of the "pairing potential" [3] on the selfconsistent field. Oscillator wave functions served as a basic set  $a_j^{+} \phi_0$ . All single particle states up to the principal quantum number  $N = 3$  were taken into account.

A nucleon-nucleon force of Gaussian shape and Serber exchange character was used and a phenomenological spin-orbit splitting was introduced. The frequency  $\omega$  of the oscillator was chosen such as to give approximately the correct nuclear radius. The effect of the short range repulsive part of the nuclear force was simulated in one case by a repulsive Gaussian force. The numerical values of the parameters are given in Table I, and the numerical results are compared with experimental data in Table II. The quadrupole moments come out rather close to the experimental values and the break from prolate to oblate groundstate deformations above  $Mg^{24}$  is obtained. The contribution of the deformed  $O^{16}$ -core to the total quadrupole moments is between 10 and 15%. Agreement could be most probably improved by some changes of the force parameters. The calculated decoupling parameters of the  $K = 1/2$  bands agree reasonably well with experimental values.

In comparing the energy levels of the self consistent field with those of a Nilsson potential [4] one finds a remarkable similarity. Some significant differences, however, show up in the single particle wave functions. As an example, when going from  $Al^{25}$  to  $Al^{27}$  and thus changing the neutron number by two, we find that the single particle functions of the protons change more than can be explained by a change in deformation, particularly since the quadrupole moment remains almost unchanged. Further there is an appreciable diffuseness of the Fermi surface for positive parity states although the effect of pairing is generally smaller than in the case of heavy nuclei.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. N. Bogoliubov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R. 3, 292 (1958).
- [2] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [3] M. Baranger, Phys. Rev. 122, 992 (1961).
- [4] S. G. Nilsson, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab, Mat.-Fys. Medd. 29, No. 16 (1955).

Table I. Force parameters and oscillator constant  $\alpha = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar}$ .

		<u>Case A</u>	<u>Case B</u>	<u>Case C</u>
Range	(F)	1.70	1.70	1.70
$V_{SE}$	(MeV)	-33.5	-33.5	-33.5
$V_{TE}$	(MeV)	-48.6	-48.6	-48.6
$\alpha$	(F <sup>-2</sup> )	0.346	0.30	0.30
Repulsive Core				
Range	(F)	-	-	0.80
$V_{SE}$	(MeV)	0.0	0.0	33.5
$V_{TE}$	(MeV)	0.0	0.0	48.6



Table II. Intrinsic quadrupole moments  $Q_0$  (Barns) and decoupling factors  $a$  of  $K = 1/2$  rotational bands, calculated<sup>a</sup> and experimental.

Element	$Q_0$ (calc.)			$Q_0$ (Exp.)
	Case A	Case B	Case C	
Na <sup>23</sup>	0.290	0.326	0.330	0.50
Mg <sup>24</sup>	0.316	0.352	0.356	0.58
Mg <sup>25</sup>	0.316	0.356	0.356	
Mg <sup>26</sup>			0.343	
Al <sup>25</sup>	0.257	0.285	0.289	.43
Al <sup>27</sup>			0.277	.42
S <sup>32</sup>	-0.271		-0.305	
S <sup>33</sup>	-0.271		-0.305	-0.33

Element	Nilsson State	$a$ (Calc.)			$a$ (Exp.)
		Case A	Case B	Case C	
Mg <sup>25</sup>	$1/2^+$ [211]	0.286	0.421	0.810	-0.20
	$1/2^+$ [200]	-0.259	-0.592	-1.07	-0.42
	$1/2^-$ [330]	-2.354	-2.623	-2.665	-3.50
Al <sup>25</sup>	$1/2^+$ [211]	0.286	0.421	0.810	-0.02
	$1/2^+$ [200]	-0.259	-0.592	-1.07	-0.56
	$1/2^-$ [330]	-2.354	-2.623	-2.665	-3.20

a) The calculated values for odd mass nuclei are based on the quasi-particle picture.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.