Low Cost, Lightweight Solar Modules Based on Organic Photovoltaic Technology

Konarka Technologies, NREL, University of Delaware

SAI Final Review Meeting (September, 2010)



1] Overview

2] Stability

3] Performance

N-type materials

Bottom grid

Technology Comparison

Overview - Targets

<u>Timeline</u>

- Project start date: July 1, 2007
- Project end date: June 30, 2010
- Percent complete: 100%

<u>Budget</u>

- Total project funding: \$8.79M
- Project Spending
 - DOE share: \$3.64M (41%)
 - Contractor share: \$5.15M

Barriers

• Stability

- Accelerated aging (1000hrs@85°C/85%RH)
- Rooftop stability (>10yrs)

• Performance

- Cell performance >7%
- Module performance ~7%
- Cost
 - ~\$0.5/watt

Partners

- Project lead: Konarka Technologies
- Interactions/Collaborations
 - NREL and The University of Delaware

Overview - Results

Objectives (Project Year 3)

In order to produce solar modules for rooftop applications the performance and the lifetime must be improved to 5% - 7% and >10 year life.

Task 1. Stability

Improve lifetime of flexible modules: accelerated lifetime - 1000hrs@85°C/85%RH

Approaches taken and results:

- Adhesives with filler advantage demoed (in advanced development at KNB)
- Solution coatable barrier improvements demoed (more work needed)
- Degradation mechanism oxygen and water mechanisms demoed
- Advanced Film Barriers best examples: 1000hrs@65°C/85%RH; 200hrs@85°C/85%RH
- Glass Packaging >2000hrs@85°C/85%RH (passes IEC test: 20 years)

Task 2. Performance – n-Type materials

Stopped work - year 2

Task 3. Performance – Replace bottom electrode (ITO) with metallic grid

Improve performance of cells and modules by use of a grid: improved %T and sheet resistance

- Surface roughness target 5nm rms; current: 2nm rms
- Optical transmission target >85%T; current 85 90%T
- Sheet resistance target 5ohms/sq; current <1 ohm/sq
- Cell performance: >2.5% (best), 2.0% (average) efficiency (P3HT/PCBM active layer; lab cells)
- Coating/printing screen, flexo and gravure are options being evaluated



Task 1. Stability

Target: 1000hrs @ 85C/85%RH

Summary

1] Adhesives / fillers to aid barrier properties – done, in production

2] Solution coatable barriers

– target WVTR ~10⁻³g/m2/day

- current state ~10⁻¹g/m2/day

3] Degradation mechanisms

- oxygen attacks polymer : reversibly and irreversibly

- water affects morphology and interfaces

4] Flexible modules with flexible barriers: *1000hrs* @65°C/85%RH *200hrs*@ 85°C/85%RH

5] Glass packaged flexible modules: >2000hrs @ 85°C/85%RH

Module Stability - Effect of Buffer Layer Flexible Barriers



Summary: Buffer layer + filler improves stability accelerated aging

Rooftop Stability (Lowell, MA) Flexible Barriers



Summary: Rooftop testing in Lowell , MA shows very good stability

Module Stability – Glass Packaging



Summary: the polymers in all layers are inherently stable





Module Stability - Hot /Cold Cycling Glass Packaging



Summary: Hot/cold cycling has no effect on stability - <5% decrease in Efficiency after 250 hours

<u>Ratio</u> of final to initial parameters for flexible modules in <u>Dry Heat (65°C/10%RH)</u>

| Sample ID | Bias | Voc | Isc | FF | Power |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 230 | SC | 100.2% | 101.8% | 97.3% | 99.2% |
| 231 | SC | 101.1% | 102.0% | 101.5% | 104.7% |
| 219 | МР | 98.3% | 102.8% | 97.7% | 98.8% |
| 222 | МР | 98.9% | 102.2% | 89.8% | 90.7% |
| 220 | OC | 99.3% | 101.2% | 95.1% | 95.6% |
| 229 | OC | 100.4% | 100.6% | 105.7% | 106.9% |
| 232 | Dark | 96.6% | 102.5% | 95.2% | 94.2% |
| 248 | Control | 99.5% | 101.5% | 99.3% | 100.0% |
| 249 | Control | 99.3% | 101.3% | 97.0% | 97.7% |

Summary: Modules are thermally stable (dry heat)

<u>Ratio</u> of final to initial parameters for flexible modules in <u>Damp Heat (</u>65°C/85%)

| Sample ID | Bias | Voc | Isc | FF | Power |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| 233 | SC | 96.4% | 97.2% | 68.0% | 63.8% |
| 234 | SC | 95.1% | 99.1% | 64.6% | 60.9% |
| 237 | SC | 97.6% | 90.5% | 47.0% | 41.6% |
| 238 | MP | 97.7% | 101.5% | 69.6% | 69.2% |
| 239 | MP | 101.1% | 100.9% | 76.8% | 78.5% |
| 242 | MP | 99.6% | 103.7% | 79.8% | 82.5% |
| 241 | OC | 101.9% | 100.9% | 82.4% | 84.4% |
| 244 | OC | 99.9% | 103.3% | 84.2% | 87.0% |
| 245 | OC | 98.8% | 100.3% | 78.1% | 77.6% |
| 246 | Dark | 94.5% | 104.6% | 80.7% | 79.9% |
| 248 | Control | 99.5% | 101.5% | 99.3% | 100.0% |
| 249 | Control | 99.3% | 101.3% | 97.0% | 97.7% |

Summary: heat and humidity causes major instability at short circuit

Solution Coatable Barriers - NREL

Performance

Task 2: Performance – *n*-Type Materials

Target : 7% module efficiency

Summary: 1] n-type polymers difficult to synthesize – stopped 2] n-type small molecules (fullerenes) 30 – 40 synthesized and tested Deep LUMO allows electron transfer from polymers with deep LUMOs High cell voltage and improved performance <u>not</u> yet realized due to morphology issues 3] improved cell efficiency with this approach not realized

Task 3. Performance - Bottom Grids

Target: Replace ITO with a metallic grid

Summary

 a metallic grid is used as the bottom electrode (cell) surface roughness <~5nm; current value <~2nm fill layer level with top of grid – done sheet resistance <5ohms/sq ; current value <1ohm/sq %T>85%; current value 85 – 90%T
 metallic grid in a module: targeting equivalent performance to ITO current status: 2% efficiency (av.); 2.6% (best)

Printed Silver Grid



<u>Data</u>

<90% open area</p>
40 - 50um wire width
Sheet resistance <10hm/sq</p>
Transmission: >85%T
Stability (no change in conductivity)
>1000 hrs @ 65°C/85%RH
>1000 hrs @ 85°C (dry)

Summary: several printing processes can be used to print grids with 40 – 50um wires and spacing between wires will be adjusted to give the desired transmission

Surface Roughness (AFM) of Grid and Fill layer

| | Max peak (nm) | Ten point height (nm) | Av. Peak (nm) | Surface roughness – RMS (nm) |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Bare ITO | 138 +/-19 | 68 +/-9 | 21 +/-3 | 6 +/-1 |
| Polyester Substrate | 84 +/- 3 | 40 +/- 2 | 15 +/- 7 | 2 +/-0 |
| Surface of Silver grid wires | 27 +/-8 | 14 +/-6 | 15 +/- 9 | 2 +/-1 |
| Surface of the fill between the grid lines | 40 +/-17 | 21 +/-11 | 27 +/-11 | 2 +/-0 |



Polyester Substrate



Space Between Grid Wires

Summary: extremely low surface roughness of the bottom grid is a key coating a cell without shorts

Standard Cell Efficiency



Power Comparison

SAI Presentation Competitive Performance

Application: Curtain Wall **Competitive Testing - Energy Collection on 08/01/10**



Daily Solar Energy Collection for 08/01/10 - Lowell, MA



Arch Rooftop Panels (horizontal orientation): Energy Collection



Energy Collection for Different Technologies



South Curtain Wall Panels: Energy Collection



Arch Curtain Wall Energy Production by Inverter for East & South Walls 3/01/10 - 7/31/10



Arch Curtain Wall Energy Production by Month for East & South Curtain Walls



Data for c-Si

Note: the East Wall is Scaled for 16 Panels



Task 1 – Stability

- Flexible modules are stable to 1000hrs at 65°C/85%RH
- Flexible modules in glass are stable to >2000hrs at 85°C/85%RH (no decrease in performance)
- Adhesive + filler helps stabilize modules
- Solution coatable barriers exhibit good WVTR; work in-progress

Task 2 – Performance: n-type charge carriers

- N-type polymers could not be synthesized
- More than 30 fullerene derivatives synthesized and tested
 - Several deep LUMO derivatives accept charge from deep LUMO polymers: higher voltage observed
 - Improvement in cell efficiency not observed morphology problem

Task 3 – Performance: grid electrode

- Exceeded flatness and roughness goals
- Exceeds sheet resistance goals
- Achieved %T goals
- Performance equivalent to ITO 2% Efficiency (av.); work in-progress

Current Cell and Module Performance

- World record (1cm² cell area)= 6.51%
- Cumulative power production larger than competitive technologies