

# **Reducing Power Production Costs by Utilizing Petroleum** Coke

**Annual Report July 1998** 

By: Kevin C. Galbreath

## Work Performed Under Contract No.: DE-FC21-93MC30098

For

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy Federal Energy Technology Center P.O. Box 880 Morgantown, West Virginia 26507-0880

By Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota P. O. Box 9018 Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202-9018

JUST

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

## Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owed rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF FIGURES	i
LIST	OF TABLES	° i
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	EXPERIMENTAL	1
3.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION         3.1       Coal-Coke Grindability         3.2       Vanadium Catalysis of SO <sub>2</sub> (g) Oxidation	2 2 4
4.0	REFERENCES	7

### LIST OF FIGURES

1	Schematic of the 40,000-Btu/hr combustor showing SO <sub>3</sub> (g) sampling locations and temperatures	2
2	Ni and V concentrations of the coal, coal-coke blends, and coke	3
3	Size distributions of the fuels as a function of blend ratio	3
4	V + Ni contents for three size fractions of the fuels as a function of blend ratio	4

## LIST OF TABLES

1	Proximate and Ultimate Analysis Results of the North Antelope Coal and Petroleum Coke Fuels	5
2	Flue Gas Compositions	6
3	Chemical Compositions of the Baghouse Ashes	6

#### **REDUCING POWER PRODUCTION COSTS BY UTILIZING PETROLEUM COKE**

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

A Powder River Basin subbituminous coal from the North Antelope mine and a petroleum shot coke were received from Northern States Power Company (NSP) for testing the effects of parent fuel properties on coal-coke blend grindability and evaluating the utility of petroleum coke blending as a strategy for improving electrostatic precipitator (ESP) particulate collection efficiency. Petroleum cokes are generally harder than coals, as indicated by Hardgrove grindability tests. Therefore, the weaker coal component may concentrate in the finer size fractions during the pulverizing of coal-coke blends. The possibility of a coal-coke size fractionation effect is being investigated because it may adversely affect combustion performance. Although the blending of petroleum coke with coal may adversely affect combustion performance, it may enhance ESP particulate collection efficiency. Petroleum cokes contain much higher concentrations of V relative to coals. Consequently, coke blending can significantly increase the V content of fly ash resulting from coal-coke combustion. Pentavalent vanadium oxide  $(V_2O_5)$  is a known catalyst for transforming gaseous sulfur dioxide  $(SO_2[g])$  to gaseous sulfur trioxide  $(SO_3[g])$ . The presence of  $SO_3(g)$  strongly affects fly ash resistivity and, thus, ESP performance.

#### 2.0 EXPERIMENTAL

The North Antelope coal, petroleum shot coke, and coal:coke blends of 95:5 and 85:15 (on a weight basis) were selected to investigate the possibility of a coal-coke size fractionation effect. These fuels were pulverized using a Micron Powder Systems Bantam Mill, a small hammer mill. The mill was operated under identical settings typically used to produce a standard coal combustion grind (i.e., 70%-80% -200 mesh). After the fuels were pulverized, sieve analyses were conducted to determine the size distributions of the pulverized fuels. The Ni and V contents of the pulverized products in each of three size fractions ( $\geq$ 200 mesh, <200 mesh but  $\geq$ 325 mesh, and <325 mesh) were determined using microwave digestion (ASTM D3683) and inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (EPA Method 6010) to assess the preferential partitioning of coal and petroleum coke. Ni and V are significantly enriched in petroleum cokes relative to coals and are, therefore, good tracers for the coke component.

The North Antelope coal and two coal:coke blends of 95:5 and 85:15 were burned in a 40,000-Btu/hr (42-MJ/hr) downfired combustion system shown in Figure 1. Flue gas  $SO_2$  and  $SO_3$  concentrations were measured using an on-line analyzer and a controlled condensation method, respectively, to evaluate  $V_2O_5$  catalytic activity. The controlled condensation method is described by DeVito and Smith (1). In addition, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8 was used during testing of the 85:15 blend to compare  $SO_3(g)$  analysis results with the controlled condensation method.  $SO_3(g)$  samples were collected from two different locations in



Figure 1. Schematic of the 40,000-Btu/hr combustor showing  $SO_3(g)$  sampling locations and temperatures.

the combustor, as indicated in Figure 1, corresponding to temperatures of approximately 275° and 580°C. Fly ash samples were collected from the baghouse after each test and analyzed for major and minor element composition using wavelength-dispersive x-ray fluorescence (WDXRF) spectrometry (ASTM Method D4326). Ni and V concentrations of the baghouse ashes were determined using microwave digestion (ASTM Method D3683) and ICP-AES (EPA Method 6010).

#### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Coal-Coke Grindability

Samples of the North Antelope coal, petroleum coke, and coal-coke blends of 95:5 and 85:15 (on a weight basis) were pulverized under identical conditions as described in Section 2.0 of this report. As indicated in Figure 2, Ni and V are significantly enriched in the petroleum coke relative to the coal and are, therefore, good tracers for examining the possibility of a size fractionation effect as a result of the pulverizing process. Seive analyses were conducted on the four fuels to determine their particle-size distributions. As shown in Figure 3, the pulverizer reduced the two parent fuels to approximately the same size, suggesting that the two fuels are very similar in hardness. The pulverized 95:5 blend was significantly finer, whereas the 85:15 blend was significantly coarser relative to the parent fuels. Summations of the Ni and V contents for three size fractions (<45  $\mu$ m, ≥45  $\mu$ m but <75  $\mu$ m, and ≥75  $\mu$ m) of the fuels are compared in



Figure 2. Ni and V concentrations of the coal, coal-coke blends, and coke.



Figure 3. Size distributions of the fuels as a function of blend ratio (coal:coke on a weight basis).

Figure 4. The finest particle-size fraction of the petroleum coke is significantly enriched in V and Ni relative to its coarser size fractions. In contrast, V and Ni are uniformly distributed among the three particle-size fractions of the pulverized North Antelope coal. The finest particle-size fractions of the coal-coke blends are only slightly enriched in V and Ni relative to their coarser size fractions because of the relatively low proportions of petroleum coke that were blended with the coal. Based on this comparison, the size fractionation effect hypothesized in Section 1.0 of this report is not very significant for these fuels at relatively low coke blend proportions.

#### 3.2 Vanadium Catalysis of SO<sub>2</sub>(g) Oxidation

Proximate and ultimate analyses of the North Antelope coal and petroleum coke fuels are presented in Table 1. The analysis results in Table 1 compare favorably, with relative percent differences of generally <10, to yearly average values for moisture, ash, calorific value, and sulfur provided by NSP. The flue gas compositions resulting from burning the North Antelope coal and two coal–coke blends in the 40,000-Btu/hr combustion system are presented in Table 2. Mass balance calculations, based on the fuel compositions in Table 1 and fuel feed rates, were used to estimate the inorganic sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>) composition of the flue gas. As expected, the primary effect of petroleum coke blending on flue gas composition is a significant increase in sulfur concentration. Sulfur speciation analyses indicate that although SO<sub>2</sub>(g) concentrations increase significantly with increasing coke blending, the SO<sub>3</sub>(g) remains undetectable. Mass balance calculations suggest that increasing coke blending promotes the conversion of fuel sulfur to inorganic SO<sub>4</sub> compounds in the fly ash. Chemical analyses of fly ashes collected in the



Figure 4. V + Ni contents for three size fractions of the fuels as a function of blend ratio (coal:coke on a weight basis).

baghouse, presented in Table 3, confirm the direct relationship between coke blending and sulfation of the fly ash. In addition to sulfur, the V concentrations of the ashes increase significantly with increasing coke blending, suggesting that  $V_2O_5$ -catalysis of  $SO_2(g)$  oxidation is responsible for the increase in fly ash sulfation.

Essentially all of the sulfur in the North Antelope coal and coal-coke blends is released as  $SO_2(g)$  during combustion. A fraction of the  $SO_2(g)$ , however, is probably oxidized downstream of the combustion zone to  $SO_3(g)$ , which reacts with water vapor to form sulfuric acid  $(H_2SO_4[g, 1])$ . Recent experimental tests by Graham and Sarofim (2) indicate that submicrometer ash is important in controlling the fate of sulfur in combustion flue gas because it contains known catalytic species for  $SO_2(g)$  oxidation, generally contributes >90% of the total aerosol surface area, and is intimately mixed with the flue gas. Therefore, both the amount of sulfur released and the fraction of  $SO_2(g)$  converted to  $SO_3(g)$  and  $H_2SO_4(g, 1)$  depends strongly on the composition of the submicrometer ash. The submicrometer ash produced from burning subbituminous Powder River Basin coals, such as the North Antelope coal, is generally enriched in alkaline species\*such as lime (CaO) that readily react with  $SO_2(g)$ ,  $SO_3(g)$ , and  $H_2SO_4(g, 1)$  (3, 4). Therefore, petroleum coke blending with the North Antelope coal may promote ash fouling and not the desired effect of generating  $SO_3(g)$  to improve ash resistivity characteristics.

#### TABLE 1

and reacted and some races, which as received an obsection which the			
Parameter	North Antelope Coal	Petroleum Coke	
Moisture	26.6	6.00	
Volatile Matter	34.4	8.60	
Fixed Carbon	34.9	84.8	
Ash	4.14	0.60	
Calorific Value, Btu/lb	9355	14,280	
Hydrogen	6.85	4.00	
Carbon	51.8	83.3	
Nitrogen	0.64	1.49	
Sulfur	0.26	6.14	
Oxygen	36.3	4.44	

Proximate and Ultimate Analysis Results of the North Antelope Coal and Petroleum Coke Fuels, wt% as-received unless otherwise noted

Flue Gas Compositions, Mean Values of Five Analyses with 95% Confidence Limits ( $\pm 2\sigma$ )				
Blend Ratio (Coal:Coke):	100:0	95:5	85:15	
CO <sub>2</sub> , mol%	$14.8 \pm 0.2$	$15.4 \pm 0.2$	15.4 ± 0.2	
CO, ppmv	<3	<3	<3	
Excess O <sub>2</sub> , mol%	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	$4.0 \pm 0.2$	$4.0 \pm 0.2$	
NO <sub>x</sub> , ppmv	$1185 \pm 15$	$1080\pm70$	$1090\pm70$	
SO <sub>2</sub> , ppmv	$251 \pm 5$	$451 \pm 6$	$790 \pm 30$	
SO <sub>3</sub> , ppmv	<0.5	<0.4	<0.5	
Inorganic SO <sub>4</sub> , ppmv <sup>1</sup>	<5	54	180	

### TABLE 2

<sup>1</sup>Estimated based on mass balance calculations.

## TABLE 3

Chemical Compositions of the Baghouse Ashes				
Blend Ratio (Coal:Coke):	100:0	95:5	85:15	
SiO <sub>2</sub> , wt%	27.8	28.4	25.4	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	20.1	20.0	18.7	
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	7.2	6.8	6.9	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.6	1.6	1.6	
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	1.6	1.5	1.5	
CaO	29.1	27.9	28.6	
MgO	6.7	6.5	6.4	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	1.5	1.5	1.5	
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.3	0.3	0.3	
SO <sub>3</sub>	2.9	4.1	7.4	
Ni	0.012	0.036	0.090	
V	0.033	0.165	0.531	

#### 4.0 **REFERENCES**

- 1. DeVito, M.S.; Smith, D.L. "Controlled Condensation Method: New Option for SO<sub>3</sub> Sampling," *Power* 1991, Feb., 41-44.
- 2. Graham, K.A.; Sarofim, A.F. "Inorganic Aerosols and Their Role in Catalyzing Sulfuric Acid<sup>\*</sup> Production in Furnaces," J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. **1998**, 48, 106–112.
- 3. Galbreath, K.C.; Zygarlicke, C.J.; McCollor, D.P.; Toman, D.L. "Development of a Stack-Plume Opacity Index for Subbituminous Coal-Fired Utility Boilers," *In* Proceedings of the 12th Annual International Pittsburgh Coal Conference; Shiao-Hung Chiang, Ed.; Sept. 11–15, 1995; pp 19–26.
- Galbreath, K.C.; Zygarlicke, C.J.; Toman, D.L. "Mercury-Chlorine-Fly Ash Interactions in a Coal Combustion Flue Gas," To Be Presented at the Air & Waste Management Association's 91st Annual Meeting & Exhibitions, San Diego, CA, June 14–19, 1998, Paper 98-A1045.