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#### Abstract

It is shown that ion thermal conductivity close to the magnetic axis in tokamaks is reduced by a factor of $|S|^{5 / 3}$ if $\left(M_{i} / M_{e}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(T_{e} / T_{i}\right)^{4 / 3} /|S|^{5 / 3} \gg 1$. Here, $S$ is the orbit squeezing factor, $M_{i}\left(M_{e}\right)$ is the ion (electron) mass, and $T_{i}\left(T_{e}\right)$ is the ion (electron) temperature. The reduction reflects both the increase of the fraction of trapped particles by a factor of $|S|^{1 / 3}$, and the decrease of the orbit size in units of the poloidal flux $\psi$ by a factor of $|S|^{2 / 3}$.


## I. Introduction

It is known that tokamak particle orbits that are close to the magnetic axis scale differently with respect to energy and magnetic field strength than those far away from the axis. ${ }^{1,2}$ The consequence of these orbits on neutral beam heating, $\alpha$-particle heating and transport, and magnetohydrodynamic instabilities has been studied. ${ }^{3-4}$ The importance of these particles to plasma transport is emphasized by Politzer and Lin et al. ${ }^{5,6}$ The transport processes for these particles have been calculated from the solution of the drift kinetic equation it is found that they can be understood in terms of a random walk in the poloidal flux function $\psi \cdot{ }^{7}$ Here, we extend the transport calculations to include the effects of orbit squeezing associated with $d^{2} \Phi / d \psi^{2}$ where $\Phi$ is the equilibrium electrostatic potential. ${ }^{8}$ It is shown that ion thermal conductivity is reduced by a factor of $|S|^{5 / 3}$ reflecting an increase of the fraction of trapped particles by a factor of $|S|^{1 / 3}$, and the reduction of the orbit size in. $\psi$ by a factor of $|S|^{2 / 3}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\psi}=0.8 \nu_{i}\left(\frac{I v_{t}}{\Omega_{0}}\right)^{7 / 3} \cdot\left(\frac{q}{I R}\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{1}{|S|^{5 / 3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the orbit squeezing factor $S$ is defined as $S=\left[1+I^{2} e \Phi^{\prime \prime} /\left(\Omega_{0}^{2} M\right)\right], I=R^{2} \nabla \zeta \cdot \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~B}$ is the magnetic field, $R$ is the major radius, $\zeta$ is the toroidal angle, $\nu_{i}$ is the ion collision freuqency, $e$ is the ion charge, $M$ is the ion mass, $\Omega_{0}$ is the ion gyrofrequency on axis, $v_{t}$ is the ion thermal speed, $q$ is the safety factor, and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}=d^{2} \Phi / d \psi^{2}$. This result is obtained under the assumption that $\left(M / M_{e}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(T_{e} / T_{i}\right)^{4 / 3} /|S|^{5 / 3} \gg 1$, so that the ion viscous coefficient is larger than the electron viscous coefficient. Here, $M_{e}$ is the electron mass, $T_{e}$ is the electron temperature, and $T_{i}$ is the ion temperature.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we solve the squeezed particle orbits close to the magnetic axis using three constants of motion: $P_{\zeta}$, the toroidal
canonical momentum; $E=v^{2} / 2+e \Phi / M$, the total energy; and $\mu$ the magnetic moment. Here, $v$ is the particle speed. The poloidal angular speed $\omega$ is calculated in Sec. III. The linearized drift kinetic equation is solved in Sec. IV. The ion poloidal or parallel flow and ion heat flux are calculated in Sec. V. The concluding remarks are given in Sec. VI.

## II. Particle Orbits Close to Magnetic Axis

Particle orbits in an axisymmetric tokamak can be determined from three constants of motion: total particle energy $E=v^{2} / 2+e \Phi / M$, magnetic moment $\mu=v_{\perp}^{2} / 2 B$, and toroidal canonical momentum $P_{\zeta}=\psi-I v_{\|} / \Omega$. Here, $B=|\mathrm{B}|, v_{\perp}$ is the perpendicular (to B ) particle speed, $v_{\|}$is the parallel particle speed, $\Omega$ is the ion gyrofrequency.

Assuming $B=B_{0} /(1+\epsilon \cos \theta)$ where $\epsilon=r / R_{0}$ is the inverse aspect ratio and $B_{0}$ is $B$ on the axis, we find from $P_{\zeta}$ conservation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi-\psi_{0}=\frac{I v_{\|}}{\Omega_{0}}(1+\epsilon \cos \theta)-\frac{I v_{\| 0}}{\Omega_{0}}\left(1+\epsilon_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\psi_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$ is a convenient reference point, and $v_{\| 0}=v_{\|}\left(\psi_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$. Solving Eq. (2) for $v_{\|}$ and substituting into energy and magnetic moment conservation laws, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
S\left(\psi-\psi_{0}\right)^{2}+\left[2 \frac{I v_{\| 0}}{\Omega_{0}}\left(1+\epsilon_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right)+2 \frac{I^{2}}{\Omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{e \Phi_{0}^{\prime}}{M}(1+\epsilon \cos \theta)^{2}\right]\left(\psi-\psi_{0}\right)+\frac{2 I^{2} v_{\| 0}^{2}}{\Omega_{0}^{2}}\left(\epsilon_{0} \cos \theta_{0}-\epsilon \cos \theta\right) \\
+\frac{2 I^{2}}{\Omega_{0}^{2}} \mu B_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0} \cos \theta_{0}-\epsilon \cos \theta\right)=0+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, we have approximated $\Phi$ by $\Phi=\Phi_{0}+\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\psi-\psi_{0}\right)+(1 / 2) \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi-\psi_{0}\right)^{2}+\ldots$. Equation (3) evidently uses a large aspect ratio approximation, $\epsilon \ll 1$. Note that crucial $\psi$-dependence enters through

$$
\epsilon=C_{1} \sqrt{\psi}
$$

where $C_{1}=\sqrt{2 q / \delta I R}$, and $\delta$ is the elongation parameter. Note that in distinction to the off-axis, conventional orbit description, the relation is not quadratic in $\psi$. The point is that
the $\psi$ dependence of $\epsilon$ must be treated more carefully near the axis. We simplify by choosing $\psi_{0}=\cos \theta_{0}=0$ and neglecting $d q / d \psi$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S x^{3}+2\left(\frac{I v_{\| 0}}{\Omega_{0}}+\frac{I^{2} e \Phi_{0}^{\prime}}{M \Omega_{0}^{2}}\right) x-\frac{2 I^{2} C_{1}}{\Omega_{0}^{2}}\left(v_{\| 0}^{2}+\mu B_{0}\right) \cos \theta=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\sqrt{\psi}$. Equation (4) describes the squeezed trajectory $(\psi, \theta)$ for particles close to the magnetic axis.

Because $\psi>0$ for real orbits, only solutions that keep $x>0$ are acceptable. Also, all the imaginary solutions must be discarded. Thus we seek real positive solutions of Eq. (4).

A cubic equation is characterized by the sign of $\left(r^{2}+q^{3}\right)$, where, for Eq. (4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{I^{2} C_{1}}{S \Omega_{0}^{2}}\left(v_{\| 0}^{2}+\mu B_{0}\right) \cos \theta  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{q}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{I\left(v_{\| 0}+\frac{I c \Phi_{0}^{\prime}}{B_{0}}\right)}{\Omega_{0} S} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left(r^{2}+q^{3}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}^{2}+\mathbf{q}^{3}=\left(\frac{I^{2} C_{1}}{S \Omega_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(v_{\| 0}^{2}+\mu B_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma \kappa+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\operatorname{sgn}\left[\left(v_{\| 0}+I c \Phi_{0}^{\prime} / B\right) /\left(S \Omega_{0}\right)\right], \operatorname{sgn}(x)=x /|x|$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \quad \kappa=\frac{8}{27}\left(\frac{I}{|S| \Omega_{0}}\right)^{3} \frac{\left|v_{\| 0}+I c \Phi_{0}^{\prime} / B_{0}\right|^{3}}{\left(\frac{I^{2} G_{1}}{S \Omega_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(v_{\| 0}^{2}+\mu B_{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Eq. (4) can be written in terms of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}=\left[\mathrm{r}+\left(\mathrm{q}^{3}+\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{1 / 3}  \tag{9}\\
& S_{2}=\left[\mathrm{r}-\left(\mathbf{q}^{3}+\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{1 / 3} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solutions for Eq. (4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i}=\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& Z_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right)+\frac{i \sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& Z_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right)-\frac{i \sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that to obtain Eqs. (5)-(13) we have used the fact that the coefficient of $x^{2}$ term vanishes in Eq. (4). This simplifies the general results in Ref. 9.

It is obvious that the sign of $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}+\mathrm{q}^{3}\right)$ is determined by the magnitude and the sign of $\sigma \kappa$. We shall find that for circulating particles $-\infty<\sigma \kappa<-1$ and $0<\sigma \kappa<\infty$, and for trapped particles $-1 \leq \sigma \kappa \leq 0$. We will assume $S$. $>0$. For $S<0$, particles are trapped on the strong magnetic field side of the torus.

## A. Circulating particles $-\infty<\sigma \kappa<-1$

If ( $r^{2}+q^{3}$ ) is negative, all solutions of Eq. (4) are real. But we only choose the positive solutions. If $\cos \theta>0$, there is only one positive solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cos \beta=\cos \theta / \sqrt{-\sigma \kappa}$, and $\widehat{x}=\left[\left(I^{2} C_{1} / S \Omega_{0}^{2}\right)\left(v_{\| 0}^{2}+\mu B_{0}\right)\right]^{1 / 3}$. If $\cos \theta<0$, there are two positive solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{ \pm}=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \pm \frac{\beta}{3}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cos \beta=|\cos \theta| / \sqrt{-\sigma K}$.
From Eqs. (14) and (15), we can construct two classes of circulating particles. The ones that encircle the magnetic axis are described by

$$
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \begin{cases}\cos \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right), & \cos \theta>0  \tag{16}\\ \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\frac{\beta}{3}\right), & \cos \theta<0\end{cases}
$$

The ones that intersect but do not encircle the magnetic axis are described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\frac{\beta}{3}\right), \quad \cos \theta<0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this class of circulating particles only exist on the second and the third quadrant where $\cos \theta<0$.

## B. Circulating particles $0<\sigma \kappa<\infty$

When $\left(r^{2}+q^{3}\right)$ is positive, and there is only one real solution. If $\cos \theta>0$, there is a real positive solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 \widehat{x}(\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \sinh \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sinh \beta=\cos \theta / \sqrt{\sigma \kappa}$. If $\cos \theta<0$, there is no real positive solution. Therefore, there is only one class of circulating particles described by Eq. (18). These circulating particles intersect (but do not encircle) the magnetic axis and exist only in the first and the fourth quadrant.

## C. Trapped particles $-1 \leq \sigma \kappa \leq 0$

In this parameter regime, there is a critical value of the poloidal angle $\theta_{c}$ such that $\sigma \kappa+\cos ^{2} \theta_{c}=0$.
i) $-\theta_{c}<\theta<\theta_{c}$, and $\cos \theta>0$
$\left(r^{2}+q^{3}\right)$ is positive in this case and there is only one real solution. It is a positive solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \cosh (\beta / 3) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cosh \beta=\cos \theta / \sqrt{-\sigma \kappa}$.
ii) $\pi / 2>\theta>\theta_{c}$, or $-\theta_{c}>\theta>-\pi / 2$, and $\cos \theta>0$ :

Because ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}+\mathrm{q}^{3}$ ) is negative, there are three real solutions. But there is only one positive real solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cos \beta=\cos \theta / \sqrt{-\sigma \kappa}$.
iii) $\pi-\theta_{c}>\theta>\pi / 2$, or $-\pi / 2>\theta>-\left(\pi-\theta_{c}\right)$, and $\cos \theta<0$ :

There are three real solutions because $\left(r^{2}+q^{3}\right)<0$, and two of them are positive

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{ \pm}=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \pm \frac{\beta}{3}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cos \beta=|\cos \theta| / \sqrt{-\sigma \kappa}$.
iv) $\pi>\theta>\pi-\theta_{c}$ or $-\left(\pi-\theta_{c}\right)>\theta>-\pi$ and $\cos \theta<0$ :

In this case $\left(r^{2}+q^{3}\right)>0$, there is only one real solution. However, this real solution is negative and is not acceptable.

From solutions in Eqs. (19)-(21), we can construct a class of trapped particles characterized by

$$
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cosh \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right)  \tag{i}\\
\cos \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right) \\
\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\frac{\beta}{3}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for the outer-half of the trapped particle trajectory and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 \widehat{x}(-\sigma \kappa)^{1 / 6} \sin (\pi / 6-\beta / 3) \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the inner-half of the trapped particle trajectory. These two halves are separated by the turning points $\pm \theta_{t}= \pm\left(\pi-\theta_{c}\right)$. As we shall see in Sec. III, the poloidal angular speed $\omega$ vanishes at turning points. Note, however, the inner-half of the trapped particle trajectory intersect (but does not encircle) the magnetic axis.

## III. Poloidal Angular Speed $\omega$

The poloidal angular speed $\omega$ that appears in the drift kinetic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=v_{\|}-v_{\|} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\left(\frac{I v_{\|}}{\Omega}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift and curvature and grad $B$ drifts are included. Here, $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field. In this section we express $\omega$ in terms of $x$ and $\beta$. Assuming $\epsilon \ll 1$ and employing $\epsilon=C_{1} \sqrt{\psi}$, we can $\dot{\text { write }} \omega$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=v_{\|}-\frac{v_{\|}^{2}+\mu B}{\sqrt{\psi} \Omega B} \frac{I}{2} C_{1} B_{0} \cos \theta+\frac{I c}{B_{0}}\left(\Phi_{0}^{\prime}+\Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime} \psi\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (2), we find, by assuming $\psi_{0}=0$ and $\epsilon \ll 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\|} \approx \frac{\Omega_{0}}{I}\left(\psi+\frac{I v_{\| 0}}{\Omega_{0}}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (26) into Eq. (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \approx \frac{\Omega_{0}}{I \sqrt{\psi}}\left[S \psi^{3 / 2}+\frac{I\left(v_{\| 0}+I c \Phi_{0}^{\prime} / B_{0}\right)}{\Omega_{0}} \psi^{1 / 2}-\frac{I^{2} C_{1}}{2 \Omega_{0}^{2}}\left(v_{\| 0}^{2}+\mu B_{0}\right) \cos \theta\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the last term in the square brackets of Eq. (27) can be simplified with Eq. (4) and $\omega$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\Omega_{0}}{I}\left[S \psi+\frac{2}{3} \frac{I}{\Omega_{0}}\left(v_{\| 0}+I c \Phi_{0}^{\prime} / B_{0}\right)\right] . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\psi=x^{2}$ has the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=4 \widehat{x}^{2} \kappa^{1 / 3} T^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is one of the following functions: $\cos (\beta / 3), \sin (\pi / 6 \pm \beta / 3), \sinh (\beta / 3)$, and $\cosh (\beta / 3)$. Substituting Eq. (29) into Eq. (28), we can express $\omega$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\widehat{\omega}\left(4 T^{2}+\sigma\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\omega}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\Omega_{0}}{I} S \widehat{x}^{2} \kappa^{1 / 3} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression of $\omega$ given in Eq. (30) plays a role in our theory similar to that of $v_{\|}$in the conventional theory. To see this, let us consider $\omega$ of the trapped particles in the region where $\theta \approx \pm \theta_{t}$. In this case, $\omega$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\widehat{\omega}\left[4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6} \pm \frac{\beta}{3}\right)+\sigma\right] . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $\theta= \pm \theta_{t}, \dot{\beta}=0$, and $\omega=0$. Thus, indeed at $\theta= \pm \theta_{t}$, the poloidal angular speed $\omega$ vanishes as expected. It is straightforward to show that $\omega$ does not vanish anywhere on the circulating particle's trajectory.

## IV. Solution of the Drift Kinetic Equation

The drift kinetic equation for ions in tokamaks is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\|} \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla f+\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}+\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi}=C(f) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{n}=\mathrm{B} / B, C(f)$ is the Coulomb collision operator, and $\mathrm{v}_{d}$ is the drift velocity which for low- $\beta$ ( $\beta$ is the ratio of the plasma pressure to the magnetic field pressure) plasma is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{d}=-v_{\|} \widehat{n} \times \nabla\left(\frac{v_{\|}}{\Omega}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The independent variables in Eqs. (33) and (34) are ( $\psi, \theta, E, \mu$ ). It is useful to note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta=-v_{\|} \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\left(\frac{I v_{\|}}{\Omega}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi=v_{\|} \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{I v_{\|}}{\Omega}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi_{e}$ be the equilibrium scale length for the equilibrium distribution function $f_{0}$. Assuming $\nu_{i} \sim\left(v_{\|} \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta+\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta\right) \gg v_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi / \psi_{e}$, we obtain from Eq. (33) to the lowest order in $v_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi /\left(\psi_{e} \nu_{i}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\| l} \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \theta}+\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \theta}=C\left(f_{0}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution to Eq. (37) is a Maxwellian distribution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=f_{M}(\psi) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next order linearized equation is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\|} \dot{\widetilde{n}} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \theta}+\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \theta}+\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \psi}+\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \psi}=C\left(f_{1}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}$ is the perturbed distribution function. The reason that $\partial f_{1} / \partial \psi$ terms must be kept is that, although $f_{1} \sim \Delta \psi \partial f_{0} / \partial \psi \ll f_{0}$, we also have $\partial f_{1} / \partial \psi \sim \partial f_{0} / \partial \psi$, as pointed out in Refs. 10 and 11.

The solution to Eq. (39) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=-\frac{I v_{\|}}{\Omega} f_{M}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}+\frac{e \Phi^{\prime}}{T}+y \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\right)+g \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes $d / d \psi, p$ is the ion pressure, and $y$ is a parameter to be determined. The function $g$ is localized in pitch angle: the pitch angle derivative of $g$ becomes small when away from the trapping boundary. Note that for Eq. (40) to be valid ion viscous coefficient must be larger than electron viscous coefficient. This requirement is satisfied if $\left(M / M_{e}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(T_{e} / T_{i}\right)^{4 / 3} /|S|^{5 / 3} \gg 1$. The function $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\|} \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}+\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}+\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi\right) f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}=\bar{C}(g), \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=v^{2} / v_{t}^{2}$, and $v_{t}=\sqrt{2 T / M}$. The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (40) gives rise to a parallel flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\|}=-\frac{I c T}{e B}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}+\frac{c \Phi^{\prime}}{T}+y \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the speed of light. Note that in obtaining Eq. (42), the radial gradient scale length of the parallel flow is assumed to be smaller than the size of the orbits. The parallel flow and poloidal flow are also assumed to be subsonic.

Equation (41) can be solved by a method developed in Ref. 10. Transforming the independent variables from $\left(\psi, \theta, E, \mu\right.$ ) to ( $E, \mu, P_{\zeta}, \theta$ ), Eq. (41) can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}+\left(\mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi\right) f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}=C(g) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $\partial / \partial \theta$ in Eq. (43) is evaluated at fixed $\left(E, \mu, P_{\zeta}\right)$. Both $\omega$ and $\left(\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi\right)$ can be expressed in terms of the gradients of $P_{\zeta}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=-\frac{I}{\Omega} \frac{\partial P_{\zeta} / \partial \psi}{\partial P_{\zeta} / \partial E}, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi=\frac{I}{\Omega} \frac{\partial P_{\zeta} / \partial \theta}{\partial P_{\zeta} / \partial E} \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in the banana regime, where the effective collision frequency $\nu_{\text {eff }}=\nu_{i} / f_{t}^{2}$ is less than the bounce frequency $\omega_{b}$ of the trapped particles. The fraction of the trapped particles can be estimated from the definition of $\kappa$ in Eq. (8) and is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t} \sim\left(\frac{I v_{t}}{\Omega_{0}}|S| C_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the fraction of the trapped particles is increased by a factor of $|S|^{1 / 3}$. The bounce frequency $\omega_{b}$ is basically $\hat{\omega} / R q$ with $\kappa \sim 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{b} \sim \frac{v_{t}}{R q} f_{t} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\nu_{\text {eff }}<\omega_{b}$ implies $\nu_{i}<f_{t}^{3} v_{t} / R q$.
In the banana regime, $g$ can be expanded as $g=g_{0}+g_{1}+\cdots$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial \theta}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi\right) f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \theta}=C\left(g_{0}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to Eq. (48) is, by utilizing Eqs. (28), (44), and (45),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}=-\frac{4}{3} \frac{I \omega}{\Omega_{0} S} f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}+h\left(E, \mu, P_{\zeta}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is an integration constant to be determined from Eq. (49).
Because $g$ is localized, the collision operator is dominated by the pitch angle scattering. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(g)=\nu_{D} \frac{B_{0} v_{\|}}{B E} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\lambda v_{\|} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu_{D}$, is the deflection frequency, and $\lambda=\mu B_{0} /\left(v^{2} / 2\right)$. The dominant scattering process occurs across the $\omega \approx 0$ boundary in the velocity space. Hence Eq. (51) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(f) \approx \nu_{D} \frac{v^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \omega^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

With Eq. (52), we can write Eq. (49) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \theta}=\nu_{D} \frac{v^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{0}}{\partial \omega^{2}} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (50) into Eq. (53) and annihilating the left-hand side of Eq. (53) by averaging over the particle trajectory, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint \frac{d \theta}{\omega \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial \omega^{2}}=0, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for circulating particles $\oint d \theta / \omega$ is defined as integration along the complete poloidal trajectory, and for trapped particles $\oint d \theta / \omega$ is defined as $\int_{-\theta_{t}}^{\theta_{t}} d \theta / \omega_{+}+\int_{\dot{\theta}_{t}}^{-\theta_{t}} d \theta / \omega_{-}$with $\omega_{+}$ indicating $\omega>0$ and $\omega_{-}$indicating $\omega<0$.

It is convenient to solve Eq. (54) by changing variables from $\omega$ to $\kappa$, with the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega \cong \frac{4}{3} \frac{\widehat{\omega}^{2}}{2 \kappa \omega} d \kappa, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid in the region where $\omega \approx 0$. Substituting Eq. (55) into Eq. (54), and solving $\partial h / \partial \kappa$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial \kappa}=\frac{C}{\frac{\kappa}{\omega^{2}} \oint \frac{d \theta \omega}{\widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta}}, \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial \omega}=-\frac{4}{3} \frac{I}{\Omega_{0}} f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}+\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{2|\omega| C}{\oint \frac{d \theta}{\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta}|\omega|} . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

For circulating particles, we impose the boundary condition that $\partial g_{0} / \partial \omega$ vanishes when $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$. For trapped particles, $h$ must be even in $\omega$ because of the reflection boundary condition at the turning points. Thus $\partial h / \partial \kappa$ must be even in $\omega$ for trapped particles. This condition can be satisfied if $C=0$ for trapped particles. We conclude that $\partial g / \partial \omega$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial \omega}=-\frac{4}{3} \frac{I}{\Omega_{0} S} f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\left(1-H \frac{|\omega|}{\langle | \omega| \rangle_{\theta}}\right), \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H=1$ for circulating particles and $H=0$ for trapped particles, and $\langle | \omega\left\rangle_{\theta}=\right.$ $\oint d \theta|\omega| / \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta / \oint d \theta / \widehat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta$. To calculate the transport flux, we only need tọ know $\partial g_{0} / \partial \omega$.

## V. Heat Flux and Parallel Flow

The ion particle and heat fluxes are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j}=\frac{1}{\Delta \psi} \int d \psi \oint \frac{d \theta}{\mathrm{~B} \cdot \nabla \theta} \int d^{3} v \mathrm{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi f_{1} \Sigma_{j} / \oint \frac{d \theta}{\mathrm{~B} \cdot \nabla \theta} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1$, or 2 . Here $\Gamma_{1}=\langle\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla \psi\rangle$, where $\Gamma$ is the particle flux, and $\Gamma_{2}=\langle\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \nabla \psi\rangle / \dot{T}, \boldsymbol{q}$ is the heat flux. Also, $\Sigma_{1}=1, \Sigma_{2}=x-5 / 2$ and $\Delta \psi$ is the typical orbit width. It is obvious that the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (40) does not contribute to $\Gamma_{j}$ because of the $\theta$ average; only $g$ contributes to $\Gamma_{j}$. The $\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi$ in Eq. (59) can be expressed in terms of $g$ from Eq. (41) to obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi=\left[C(g)-\left(v_{\|} \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \theta+\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \theta\right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}-\mathbf{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi}\right] \\
\times\left[f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\right]^{-1} . \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (60) into Eq. (59), we can express $\Gamma_{j}$ in terms of the Coulomb collision operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j}=\frac{1}{\Delta \psi} \int d \psi \oint \frac{d \theta}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \theta} \int d^{3} v \Sigma_{j} g C(g)\left[f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\right]^{-1} /\left(\oint \frac{d \theta}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \theta}\right)^{-1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Employing Eq. (51) into Eq. (61), integrating by parts in $\lambda$, and changing the variable from $\lambda$ to $\omega$, we can express $\Gamma_{j}$ in terms of $\partial g / \partial \omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j}=-\frac{1}{\Delta \psi} \int d \psi \oint \frac{d \theta}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \theta} \int d^{3} v \Sigma_{j}\left[f_{M}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\right]^{-1} \nu_{D} E\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \omega}\right)^{2}\left(\oint \frac{d \theta}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \theta}\right)^{-1} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (62) can be evaluated to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j} \doteq-\frac{8}{2^{2 / 3} 9 \sqrt{\pi}} N \nu_{i}\left(\frac{I v_{t}}{\Omega_{D}}\right)^{7 / 3} \frac{C_{1}^{2 / 3}}{|S|^{5 / 3}} I_{p}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{5 / 3} \frac{\nu_{D}}{\nu_{i}} \Sigma_{j} e^{-x}\left(x-\frac{5}{2}-y\right)\right] \frac{T^{\prime}}{T} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the plasma density, and the pitch angle integral $I_{p}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}=\sum_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \kappa}{\kappa^{2 / 3}}\left(\left\langle\frac{\widehat{\omega}}{|\omega|}\right\rangle_{\theta}-H \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\langle | \omega| \rangle_{\theta}}\right) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $I_{p}=2.77 .{ }^{7}$ The parameter $y$ is determined by the approximate ambipolarity constraint that $\Gamma_{1} \cong 0$, which is valid if $\left(M / M_{e}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(T_{e} / T_{i}\right)^{4 / 3} /|S|^{5 / 3} \gg 1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}=-1.021 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{5 / 3}\left(\nu_{D} / \nu_{i}\right) e^{-\ddot{x}}=0.531$ and $\mu_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{5 / 3}(x-5 / 2)\left(\nu_{D} / \nu_{i}\right) e^{-x}=$ -0.542 . The ion heat flux is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}=-\frac{8}{2^{2 / 3} 9 \sqrt{\pi}} N \nu_{i}\left(\frac{I v_{t}}{\Omega_{0}}\right)^{7 / 3} \frac{C_{1}^{2 / 3}}{|S|^{5 / 3}} I_{p} \cdot \frac{T^{\prime}}{T}\left(\mu_{3}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{2}}{\mu_{1}}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{3}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{5 / 3}(x-5 / 2)^{2}\left(\nu_{D} / \nu_{i}\right) e^{-x}=1.282$. In terms of ion thermal conductivity $\chi_{\psi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \nabla \psi\rangle=-N \chi_{\psi} T_{i}^{\prime} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\psi}=0.8 \nu_{i}\left(\frac{I v_{t}}{\Omega_{0}}\right)^{7 / 3}\left(\frac{q}{I R}\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{1}{|S|^{5 / 3}} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $|S| \rightarrow 1$, Eq. (68) reproduces the expression of the ion heat conductivity in Ref. 7.
Note that ion parallel flow in Eq. (42) is completely determined once $y$ is known. Because ion parallel flow is modified, the electron bootstrap current is also modified accordingly.

## VI. Concluding Remarks

The scaling of $\chi_{\psi}$ in Eq. (68) can be understood from a random walk process in $\psi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\psi} \sim f_{t} \cdot \frac{\nu_{i}}{f_{t}^{2}} \cdot(\Delta \psi)^{2} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi=x^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi \sim\left(\frac{I^{2} C_{1}}{\Omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{v_{t}^{2}}{|S|}\right)^{2 / 3} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that the size of the orbits in $\psi$ is reduced by a factor of $|S|^{2 / 3}$. Combining Eqs. (46) and (70), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\psi} \sim \nu_{i}\left(\frac{I v_{t}}{\Omega_{0}}\right)^{7 / 3} \frac{C_{1}^{2 / 3}}{|S|^{5 / 3}} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as Eq. (68) except for the numerical coefficient and the plasma density.
The reduction in $\chi_{\psi}$ due to the effects of orbit squeezing may be responsible for the better than conventional neoclassical ion confinement observed in Enhanced-Reverse-Shear (ERS). mode. ${ }^{12}$
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