MASTER

Tritium Concentrations in Bees and Honey at Los Alamos National Laboratory: 1979–1996

· . · ,

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED



Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36.

Edited by Hector Hinojosa, Group CIC-1

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither The Regents of the University of California, the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by The Regents of the University of California, the United States Government, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of The Regents of the University of California, the United States Government, or any agency thereof. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

LA-13202-MS

UC-902 and UC-908 Issued: January 1997

Tritium Concentrations in Bees and Honey at Los Alamos National Laboratory: 1979–1996

P. R. Fresquez D. R. Armstrong L. H. Pratt



Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

TRITIUM CONCENTRATIONS IN BEES AND HONEY AT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY: 1979–1996

by

P. R. Fresquez, D. R. Armstrong, and L. H. Pratt

ABSTRACT

Honeybees are effective monitors of environmental The objective of this study was to summarize pollution. tritium (³H) concentrations in bees and honey collected from within and around Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) over an 18-year period. Based on the long-term average, bees from nine out of eleven hives and honey from six out of eleven hives on LANL lands contained ³H that was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than background. The highest average concentration of ³H in bees (435 pCi mL⁻¹) collected over the vears was from LANL's Technical Area (TA) 54-a low-level radioactive waste disposal site (Area G). Similarly, the highest average concentration of ³H in honey (709 pCi mL⁻¹) was collected from a hive located near three ³H storage ponds at LANL TA-53. The average concentrations of ³H in bees and honey from background hives was 1.0 pCi mL⁻¹ and 1.5 pCi mL^{-1} , respectively. Although the concentrations of ³H in bees and honey from most LANL and perimeter (White Rock/Pajarito Acres) areas were significantly higher than background, most areas, with the exception of TA-53 and TA-54, generally exhibited decreasing ³H concentrations over time.

I. INTRODUCTION

Honeybees are effective monitors of environmental pollution; they forage for pollen and nectar over a large area (e.g., 7 sq. km) (Wallwork-Barber et al. 1982), accumulate contaminants from all four media (e.g., air, water, plants, and soil) (Bromenshenk et al. 1985), and return to a fixed location (the hive) for sampling (Simmons et al. 1990). The presence and distribution of pesticides (Anderson and Wojtas

1986). polychlorinated biphenvls (Morse et al. 1987), heavy metals (Crane 1984, Jong and Morse 1977, Free et al. 1983), and radioactivity (Simmons et al. 1990, Hakonson and Bostick 1976, and Kirkham and Corey 1977) have all been assessed using honeybee colony networks. Hakonson and Bostick (1976), in particular, showed the usefulness of honeybee colonies for determining the presence of ³H, ¹³⁷Cs, and Pu in the environment within the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Of the three radionuclides area. ³H was studied, most readily collected by the bees and transferred to the honey.

The objective of this study was to summarize ³H concentrations in bees and honey collected from hives located within and around LANL over an 18-year period. Results of other radionuclides (⁵⁷Co, ⁶⁰Co, ¹⁵²Eu, ⁴⁰K, ⁷Be, ²²Na, ⁵⁴Mn, ⁸³Rb, ¹³⁷Cs, ²³⁸Pu, ²³⁹Pu, ⁹⁰Sr, ²⁴¹Am, and ^{tot}U) in honey collected from hives located around the perimeter of the Laboratory (Los Alamos and White Rock/Pajarito Acres, New Mexico) have been previously described (Fresquez et al., 1997).

II. MATERIALS & METHODS

Over the course of this investigation, approximately 11 LANL, two perimeter (Los Alamos and White Rock/Pajarito Acres), and as many as five regional background Pedro, San areas (San Juan, Rancho, and/or Pojoaque, El Mexico), Chimayo, New were established for study (Figure 1). At each of these sites, two standard 1.7by 1.4- by 0.8- ft Langstroth hives containing nine 0.5- by 0.8- ft frames were stocked with approximately 3 of Italian honeybees (Apis lb Annually, around late mellifera). August early September, approximately 500 g of forager and nurse bees and two frames of honey were placed into clean plastic bags, marked for identification, and transported to the Laboratory in locked ice chests.





Bees from LANL and perimeter areas were collected from 1982 through 1993 and 1982 through 1996, respectively. Honey from LANL and perimeter areas was collected from 1979 through 1995 and 1979 through 1996, respectively.

At the Laboratory, 5 mL of moisture were distilled from each sample, mixed with 15 mL of a scintillation solution, and counted with a scintillation counter for 50 min. for 3 H.

A nonparametric Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was used to assess differences in the arithmetic means of ³H concentrations in bees and honey collected from LANL and perimeter stations versus regional background (all regional stations were combined) at the 0.01 and 0.05 probability level (Gilbert 1987). Pearson's correlation coefficients ³H compare used were to concentrations in bees with ³H concentrations in honey among the study sites. Also, a Mann-Kendall nonparametric test was used to

evaluate trends in ³H detected in bees and honey collected from LANL, perimeter, and regional background hives over time at the 0.05 probability level. The probability for the Mann-Kendall test was taken from Hollander and Wolfe (1973) in those cases with <10 data points and from the normal approximation in those cases with >10 data points. A positive value for the statistic indicated an upward trend while a negative value for the statistic indicated a downward trend (the direction indicated by the statistic was reported even when the test result was not significant).

III. RESULTS & DISCUSSION a. Honeybees

The upper limit background concentration (ULB) (i.e., the background mean plus two standard deviations) for ³H in bees collected from regional background hives over the study period was 3.4 pCi mL⁻¹ (Table 1). In general, ³H in bees collected from LANL areas

| | 1982 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1996 | Mean |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|---|----------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| LANL | | | | | | | | | | | With |
| TA-5 | 1 | 14.0 | 5.7 | 30.0 | 44.0 | 72 | 10 | 20.9 | 65 | | 16 2** |
| | | $(4.0)^2$ | (1.4) | (6.0) | (8.0) | (1.6) | (0.6) | (2.8) | (1.6) | | (20 3) ³ |
| TA-8 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 07 | 1.8 | 35 | 0.5 | 14.6 | 0.6 | | (25.5) A 0* |
| | | (1.8) | (1.2) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (1.0) | (0.6) | (2 A) | (0.6) | | (0.3) |
| TA-9 | | 12.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | (0.0) | 57 | 0.7 | (2.4) | (0.0) | | (9.3) |
| 111-2 | | (2.0) | (1.2) | (0.6) | (0.6) | $(1, \Lambda)$ | (0.6) | (0.6) | 0.0 | | 2.9 |
| TA 15 | | (2.0) | 2.2 | (0.0) | (0.0) | (1.4) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.6) | | (8.1) |
| IA-15 | | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 780.0 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 13.1 | 0.9 | | 102.2** |
| Th 16 | | (1.4) | (0.8) | (0.8) | (160.0) | (0.8) | (1.1 | (2.2) | (1.6) | | (547.8) |
| TA-16 | | | 1.1 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | | 2.8 |
| | | | (0.8) | (1.6) | (1.2) | (1.0) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | | (5.3) |
| TA-21 | 3.6 | | 23.0 | 6.7 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 8.2 | 16.1 | 4.9 | | 12.4** |
| | (2.4) | | (4.0) | (1.6) | (4.0) | (4.0) | (1.6) | (2.4) | (1.4) | | (14.8) |
| TA-33 | 35.0 | 8.7 | 30.0 | 4.9 | 430.0 | 47.0 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 9.9 | | 65.9** |
| | (23.1) | (2.0) | (6.0) | (1.2) | (80.0) | (10.0) | (2.8) | (2.2) | (2.0) | | (274.5) |
| TA-35 | | 21.0 | 3.6 | 63.0 | 190.0 | 25.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 15.6 | | 40.2** |
| | | (4.0) | (1.0) | (12.0) | (4.0) | (6.0) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (2.4) | | (127.5) |
| TA-49 | | | 2.0 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.8 | | 2.9* |
| | | | (0.8) | (0.6) | (2.0) | (1.4) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.6) | | (6.1) |
| TA-53 | 15.0 | 6.1 | 16.0 | 110.0 | 3300.0 | 55.0 | 4.9 | 21.7 | 245.7 | | 419.4** |
| | (9.9) | (1.6) | (4.0) | (20.0) | (600.0) | (12.0) | (1.0) | (2.8) | (11.4) | | (2,166,1) |
| TA-54 | 38.0 | | 260.0 | 130.0 | 1800.0 | 760.0 | 24.1 | 411.8 | 54.4 | | 434.8** |
| | (25.0) | | (60.0) | (20.0) | (400.0) | (160.0) | (4.8) | (16.2) | (4.6) | | (1.210.0) |
| PERIMETI | ER | | | <u> </u> | ()))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) | (, | () | () | () | | (1,210.0) |
| LA ⁴ | 1.8 | | | | 0.1 | | | | 0.6 | -03 | 07 |
| | (1.2) | | | | (0.6) | | | | (0.6) | (0.3) | (1.6) |
| | (1) | | | | (0.0) | | | | (0.0) | (0.3) | (1.0) |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0.7 | |
| WR/PA | 11.0 | 16 | 3.1 | 10.0 | | | | | 246 | (0.3) | 10.6* |
| | (7.3) | 4.0 | (1.0) | (2.0) | | | | | 34.0 | -0.1 | 10.5* |
| DACKCDO | | (1.2) | (1.0) | (2.0) | | | | | (3.0) | (0.3) | (25.0) |
| DACKGRU | | 2.7 | • | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.7 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| | (0.0) | (3.7) | (3.0) | (0.6) | (0.5) | (0.9) | (0.3) | (0.1) | (0.4) | (0.3) | (2.4) |

TABLE 1. Tritium (pCi mL⁻¹) in bees from LANL, perimeter, and regional background areas between 1982 and 1996.

¹Analysis not performed or lost in analysis.

 $^{2}(\pm 2 \text{ counting uncertainty})$; values are the uncertainty in the analytical results at the 95% confidence level.

 $^{3}(\pm 2 \text{ standard deviation}).$

⁴LA=Los Alamos and WR/PA=White Rock/Pajarito Acres * and ** denotes significantly different from regional background at the 0.05 and 0.01 level using a Wilcoxcon Rank Sum Test, respectively.

S

. .

from 1982 through 1993 ranged in concentration from 0.30 to 3,300.00 pCi mL⁻¹. Most hives on LANL lands in almost every year contained bees with ³H above the ULB The highest concentration(s). concentrations of ³H in bees year after year were consistently detected from hives located at Technical Area (TA) 53, the Los Alamos Neutron Scattering Center (LANSCE), and at TA-54, the Laboratory's active lowlevel waste burial site (Area G). Operations at TA-53 include the use of a high-energy linear particle accelerator, which, upon contact with the atmosphere, converts water vapor into ³HTO (tritiated water vapor); and, probably more importantly, there are three radioactive storage ponds on site that contain ³H (EPG 1995). Similarly, TA-54 (Area G) houses shafts that contain ³Hcontaminated wastes, and several studies have confirmed the presence of ³H in several environmental matrices (small mammals, soils, and vegetation) above that normally detected in materials collected from background locations (Biggs et al. 1995, Fresquez et al. 1996a, EPG 1995).

Tritium in bees collected from hives located along perimeter areas-Los Alamos and White Acres-ranged Rock/Pajarito in concentrations from -0.3 pCi mL⁻¹ (1996) to 1.8 pCi mL⁻¹ (1982) and from -0.1 pCi mL⁻¹ (1996) to 34.6 pCi mL⁻¹ (1993), respectively. Based on the average concentration of ${}^{3}H$ over the years, bees collected from LANL technical areas—TA-5, TA-8, TA-15, TA-21, TA-33, TA-35, TA-49, TA-53, TA-54-and White Rock/Pajarito Acres had significantly higher (p < 0.01 and 0.05) ${}^{3}H$ concentrations than bees collected from regional background locations. These data correlate well with those of Hakonson and Bostick (1976), who showed that ³H concentrations in bees from three canyon liquid waste disposal areas within LANL increased from initial values of <1.0pCi mL⁻¹ to as much as 9,200 pCi

| Location | Bees | Honey |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| LANL | | _ |
| TA-5 | 0.36 (Down) | 0.00 (Down)** |
| TA-8 | 0.27 (Down) | 0.00 (Down)** |
| TA-9 | 0.09 (Down) | 0.02 (Down)* |
| TA-15 | 0.89 (Up) | 0.03 (Down)* |
| TA-16 | 0.09 (Down) | 0.05 (Down)* |
| TA-21 | 0.45 (Down) | 0.55 (Up) |
| TA-33 | 0.30 (Down) | 0.00 (Down)** |
| TA-35 | 0.19 (Down) | 0.00 (Down)** |
| TA-49 | 0.27 (Down) | 0.13 (Down) |
| TA-53 | 0.83 (Up) | 0.95 (Up) |
| TA-54 | 0.50 (No Trend) | 1.00 (Up) |
| Perimeter | | • |
| LA | 0.37 (Down) | 0.09 (Down) |
| WR/PA | 0.35 (Down) | 0.05 (Down)* |
| Background | 0.05 (Down)* | 0.00 (Down)** |

 TABLE 2. Results of the Mann-Kendall Nonparametric Test for ³H Trends in Bees and Honey from LANL, Perimeter, and Regional Background.

¹LA=Los Alamos and WR/PA=White Rock/Pajarito Acres

* and ** denotes significance at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability level, respectively.

 mL^{-1} . Although the average concentrations of ³H in bees from most LANL hives over the years significantly higher were than background, most areas, with the exception of TA-15 and TA-53, exhibited downward trends (Table 2). None of the trend directions, with the exception of background, were statistically significant, however.

b. Honey

The ULB concentration for ³H in honey collected from regional hives over the 18-year period was 5.0 pCi mL⁻¹ (Table 3). Tritium in honey collected from LANL areas from 1979 through 1995 generally ranged in concentration from -0.2 to 7,600 pCi mL⁻¹. Again, ³H concentrations in honey from most LANL hives and in most years were

| | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | Mean |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| LANL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | |
| TA-5 | 11.8 | 27.4 | 13.6 | 7.2 | L | 12.0 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 0.2 | | 6.7* |
| | $(7.8)^2$ | (18.1) | (9.0) | (4.8) | | (7.9) | (2.0) | (2.0) | (5.1) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | | (14.7) ³ |
| TA-8 | | | | | 7.7 | 4.8 | 59.0 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | | 0.1 | 0.1 | | 7.0 |
| | | | | | (5.1) | (3.2) | (12.0) | (0.8) | (3.9) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | | (0.6) | (0.6) | | (33.2) |
| TA-9 | | | | | | 1.7 | 13.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29.1 | -0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | 4.3 |
| | | | | | | (1.1) | (2.0) | (0.8) | (0.7) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (3.4) | (0.6) | (0.7) | (0.6) | | (17.2) |
| TA-15 | | | | | | 4.2 | 26.0 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 0.5 | | 4.0 |
| | | | | | | (2.8) | (6.0) | (1.2) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (1.0) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.8) | | (14.4) |
| TA-16 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 11.0 | | | | | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0.6 | | 2.0 |
| | (1.8) | (3.4) | (2.0) | (7.3) | | | | | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (1.2) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | | (6.3) |
| TA-21 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 18.2 | 9.0 | 81.0 | 29.0 | 6200 | 7.5 | 14.0 | 3.9 | 31.0 | 110.0 | 9.1 | 49.9 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 9.9 | | 388.1** |
| | (3.8) | (3.7) | (12.0) | (6.0) | (53.5) | (19.1) | (1200) | (1.8) | (9.2) | (1.0) | (6.0) | (20.0) | (1.8) | (5.5) | (2.2) | (0.8) | (2.0) | | (2996) |
| TA-33 | 579.0 | 207.0 | 156.0 | 92.5 | 73.0 | 99.0 | 67.0 | 33.0 | 14.0 | 38.0 | 55.0 | 240.0 | 12.4 | 25.1 | -0.2 | 21.3 | 5.2 | | 101.0** |
| | (382) | (137) | (103) | (61.1) | (48.2) | (65.3) | (14.0) | (6.0) | (9.2) | (8.0) | (12.0) | (40.0) | (0.7) | (3.0) | (0.6) | (2.8) | (1.4) | | (283.6) |
| TA-35 | 26.7 | 17.9 | 63.5 | 17.6 | 31.0 | 12.0 | 73.0 | 8.4 | 11.0 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | | 16.9** |
| | (17.6) | (11.8) | (41.2) | (11.6) | (20.5) | (7.9) | (14.0) | (2.0) | (7.3) | (0.6) | (1.6) | (2.0) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.6) | (0.8) | | (42.7) |
| TA-49 | | | | | | | | | 2.2 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | | | 1.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | (1.5) | (0.6) | (1.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (1.0) | (0.6) | (0.6) | | | (4.6) |
| TA-53 | | | | 11.2 | 9.8 | 50.0 | 7600 | 0.1 | 65.0 | 61.0 | 74.0 | 420.0 | 6.40 | 32.7 | 117.9 | 1300 | 179.0 | | 709.1** |
| | | | | (7.4) | (6.5) | (33.0) | (1600) | (0.2) | (42.9) | (12.0) | (16.0) | (80.0) | (1.2) | (3.6) | (7.2) | (1.0) | (34.0) | | (4024) |
| TA-54 | 9.6 | 21.4 | 27.0 | 29.4 | 29.0 | 37.0 | | | 92.0 | 0.2 | 370.0 | 54.0 | 95.3 | 94.7 | 238.0 | 101.7 | | | 85.6** |
| | (6.3) | (14.1) | (17.8) | (19.4) | (19.1) | (24.4) | | | (60.7) | (0.6) | (80.0) | (10.0) | (16.0) | (6.4) | (11.0) | (6.6) | | | (203.8) |
| PERIM | ETER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LA ⁵ | 3.6 | 4.0 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 0.2 | | 860.0 | | | | 0.1 | | | | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 81.3 ⁴ |
| | (2.4) | (2.6) | (8.4) | (8.1) | (0.1) | | (180.0) | | | | (0.6) | | | | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.3) | (516.6) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (0.3) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (0.4) | |
| WR/ | 10.5 | 7.9 | | 3.2 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 20.0 | 0.2 | | | | | 37.3 | 2.4 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 7.8* |
| PA | (6.9) | (5.2) | | (2.1) | (3.2) | (2.6) | (2.0) | (0.8) | (13.2) | (0.6) | | | | | (8.6) | (1.0) | (0.6) | (0.3) | (20.9) |
| BACKG | ROUNE |) ³ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.6 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| | $(0.0)^4$ | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (2.4) | (4.7) | (3.7) | (1.6) | (6.0) | (1.4) | (0.6) | (2.3) | (0.4) | (0.5) | (0.8) | (0.5) | (0.6) | (0.3) | (3.5) |

| TABLE 3 Tritium (n | $Ci m I^{-1}$ in | hopev from LAN | I. nerimeter | and regional backs | round areas hetween | 1979 and 1996. |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | HUNCY HUNH LAIY | | anu i ceivnai vacke | eround areas between | $\frac{1}{1}$ |

¹Analysis not performed or lost in analysis. ²(±2 counting uncertainty). ³(±2 standard deviation). ⁴The average without the abnormally high 1985 result=3.1 (± 9.7 [two sigma]). ⁵LA=Los Alamos and WR/PA=White Rock/Pajarito Acres

* and ** denotes significantly different from regional background at the 0.05 and 0.01 level using a Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, respectively.

ø

シード・ション・1978年の日に、1978年度であった。今後国家開催にたり、開始機構成作用には低いためで

.

,

greater than the respective yearly ULB concentration(s); and, particularly at TA-53 (LANSCE). Concentrations of ³H in honey collected from perimeter locations-Los Alamos and White Rock/Pajarito Acres—ranged from 0.0 pCi mL⁻¹ (1995) to 860 pCi mL⁻¹ (1985) and from -0.2 pCi mL⁻¹ (1995) to 37 pCi mL⁻¹ (1993) respectively. Based on the overall average, the concentrations of ³H in honey collected from hives located at TA-5, TA-21, TA-33, TA-35, TA-53, TA-54, and White Rock/Pajarito Acres were significantly higher (p <0.01 and 0.05) than background. The higher average concentration of ³H in honey from Los Alamos as compared to White Rock/Pajarito Acres was the result of one very high ³H result $(860 \text{ pCi mL}^{-1})$ in 1985, when the Laboratory released 8,638 Ci of ³H to the atmosphere and 76,850 mCi of ³H to the canyons as liquid effluents (EPG 1986). Since these release points were closer to the Los Alamos townsite than the White to

Rock/Pajarito Acres townsite, Los Alamos was mostly affected. The average concentration of ³H in honey from the Los Alamos townsite without the abnormally high 1985 ³H value would be only 3.1 pCi/mL.

Although most hives on LANL lands and perimeter areas contained ³H concentrations in honey that were significantly higher than background, most sites, including background, exhibited decreasing concentrations of ³H over time seven of eleven LANL sites as well ³H in honey from White as Rock/Pajarito Acres and background locations showed significantly (p <0.01 and 0.05) decreasing trends (Table 2). The downward trends in ³H concentrations in honey collected from background hives over time were probably a reflection of the relatively short half-life of ³H (12year half-life), weathering, and/or to lower world wide fallout levels that currently exist as a result of the cessation of above ground nuclear testing in the early '60s (Wicker and

Shultz 1982). The reduction in ${}^{3}H$ concentrations in honey over time from LANL and perimeter sites, on the other hand, may be due in part to radioactive decay, weathering, and lower fallout levels, but also to (1) a reduction in ³H operations at LANL, (2) a reduction in air stack emissions liquid effluents to the and environment (EPG 1995), and (3) better engineering controls employed by the Laboratory (Fuehne 1996). These downward trends in ³H concentrations in both bees and honey correlate well with the overall of decreasing ³H observation concentrations in soils within LANL lands over time (Fresquez et al. 1996b).

Tritium concentrations in bees and honey from most LANL and perimeter hive sites were not well correlated with each other (Table 4). Although the analysis of bees and honey for monitoring radioactive constituents in the environment undoubtedly exhibited the presence and distribution of ³H

TABLE 4. Pearson's CorrelationCoefficients for ³H in bees andhoney among LANL, Perimeter,andRegionalBackgroundLocations.

| Location | Coefficient |
|------------|-------------|
| LANL | |
| TA-5 | -0.25 |
| TA-8 | -0.19 |
| TA-9 | -0.50 |
| TA-15 | 0.20 |
| TA-16 | -0.24 |
| TA-21 | 0.54 |
| TA-33 | 0.07 |
| TA-35 | 0.06 |
| TA-49 | 0.82** |
| TA-53 | -0.02 |
| TA-54 | 0.70 |
| Perimeter | |
| LA | 0.96** |
| WR/PA | 0.78* |
| Background | 0.59* |

* and ** denotes a significant correlation between bee and honey at the 0.10 and 0.05 level, respectively.

within the LANL environs, as compared to background, the low correlation between these matrices, especially at sites where ³H was detected in high quantities (³H in bees and honey tended to be correlated with each other at sites that contained ³H in lower concentrations like the perimeter and background stations), may reflect the complexity of factors related to bee biology/behavior at a given point in time-i.e., the fluctuations in the density of bees, the ratio of foragers to nurse bees collected, longevity, selection, flower/pollen flight activity (light, humidity, and temperature), and water sources and availability, all may have contributed to some degree or another to the lack of correlation between the amount of ³H in bees and the amount of ³H in honey at time of sampling (Drescher 1982, Szabo 1980, Herbert et al. 1970, Woodrow 1968, Rothenbuhler and Kulincevic 1979).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Honeybees proved to be useful monitors of ³H presence and distribution in the environment within and around LANL. Although many sites exhibited significantly higher ³H concentrations in bees and honey within and around the LANL environs as compared to background, most sites exhibited decreasing ³H concentrations in bees and honey over time. The amounts of ³H in bees and honey on LANL lands were poorly coorelated with one another.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Due to funding cutbacks and the fact that honey on LANL lands is not distributed to the public (i.e., not a significant pathway to humans), the program starting in 1996 only included the collection of honey from perimeter areas along the Laboratory-Los Alamos and White Rock/Pajarito Acres townsites-and, background sites. Many thanks to all the people that contributed over the 1979 through 1995 years to this program's success; they included Tom Buhl, Lars Soholt, John Ahlquist, Tom Hakonson, Ken Bostick, Ernie Gladney, Kimber Wallwork-Barber, Gary White, Robert Hayes, Jay Wenzel, Roger Ferenbaugh, and John Salazar. Also, special thanks to Andrea Kron and Kim Nguyen for constructing the figure, and to Tim Haarmann, Roger

Ferenbaugh and Hector Hinojosa for helpful discussion, review, and editing.

VI. REFERENCES

Anderson, J.F., and M.A. Wojtas, "Honey Bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Contaminated With Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls," *Journal Economic Entomology*, 79:1200– 1205 (1986).

Biggs, J., K. Bennett, and P.R.
Fresquez, "Radionuclide
Contaminant Analysis of Small
Mammals at Area G, TA-54, 1994,"
Los Alamos National Laboratory
report LA-13015-MS (1995).

Bromenshenk, J.J., S.R. Carlson, J.C. Simpson, and J.M. Thomas, "Pollution Monitoring of Puget Sound With Honey Bees," *Science*, 227: 800–801 (1985).

Crane, E., "Bees, Honey, and Pollen as Indicators of Metals in the Environment," *Bee World*, 65: 47–49 (1984). Drescher, W., "Suitability of Bees as an Indicator for Environmental Problems," *Decheniana-Beihefte* (Bonn), 26: 171–177 (1982).

Environmental Protection Group, "Environmental Surveillance at Los Alamos During 1993," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12973-ENV (1995).

Environmental Protection Group, "Environmental Surveillance at Los Alamos During 1985," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-10721-ENV (1986).

Free, J.B., I.H. Williams, A. Townshend, M.S. Basi, and C.L Graham, "Using Foraging Honeybees to Sample an Area for Trace Metals," *Environmental International*, 9: 9–12 (1983).

Fresquez, P.R., E.L. Vold, and L. Naranjo, Jr., "Radionuclide Concentrations in Vegetation at Radioactive-Waste Disposal Area G During the 1995 Growing Season," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13124-PR (1996a).

Fresquez, P.R., M.A. Mullen, J.K. Ferenbaugh, and R.A. Perona, "Radionuclides and Radioactivity in Soils Within and Around Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1974 through 1994: Concentrations, Trends, and Dose Comparisons," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13149-MS (1996b).

Fresquez, P.R., D.A. Armstrong, and L.H. Pratt, "Radionuclide Concentrations in Bees and Honey Within and Around Los Alamos National Laboratory," Journal of Environmenal Science and Health (In press) (1997).

Fuehne, D.P., "Effects of Engineering Controls on Radioactive Air Emissions from the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-96-1469 (1996). Gilbert, R.O., Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold (1987).

Hakonson, T.E., and K.V. Bostick, "The Availability of Environmental Radioactivity to Honey Bee Colonies at Los Alamos," *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 5: 307–310 (1976).

Herbert, E.W., W.E. Bickely, and H. Shimanuki, "The Brood-Rearing Capability of Caged Honey Bees Fed Dandelion and Mixed Pollen Diets," *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 63: 215–218 (1970).

Hollander, M., and D.A Wolfe, Nonparametric Statistical Methods, New York: Wiley, (1973).

Jong, D.D., and R.A. Morse, "Selenium in Pollen Gathered by Bees Foraging on Fly Ash-Grown Plants," *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 18: 442–444 (1977).

Kirkham, M.B., and J.C. Corey, "Pollen as Indicator of Radionuclide Pollution," *Journal Nuclear Agriculture and Biology*, 6: 71–74 (1977).

Morse, R.A., T.W. Culliney, W.H. Gutenmann, C.B. Littman, and D.J. Lisk, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Honey Bees," *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination Toxicology*, 38: 271–276 (1987).

Rothenbuhler, W.C., and J.M. Kulincevic, "Successful Selection of Honeybees for Fast and Slow Hoarding of Sugar Syrup in the Laboratory," *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 18: 272–278 (1979).

Simmons, M.A., J.J. Bromenshenk, and J.L. Gudatis, "Honeybees as Monitors of Low Levels of Radioactivity," Pacific Northwest Laboratory report PNL-7348/UC-608 (1990).

Szabo, T.I., "Effect of Weather Factors on Honeybee Flight Activity and Colony Weight Gain," Journal of Apicultural Research, 19: 164– 171 (1980).

Wallwork-Barber, M.K., R.W. Ferenbaugh, and E.S. Gladney, "The Use of Honey Bees as Monitors of Environmental Pollution," *American Bee Journal*, 112: 770–772 (1982).

Wicker, W.F., and V. Schultz, Radioecology: Nuclear Energy and the Environment, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc., (1982).

Woodrow, A.W., "Some Factors Affecting Selection of Sucrose Solutions by Foraging," *American Bee Journal*, 313–315 (1968).