CONF- 970548--1

вы**1-63625** BNL--63625

An Integrated Approach for Multi-Level Sample Size Determination*

Ming-Shih Lu and Jonathan B. Sanborn

Brookhaven National Laboratory Safeguards, Safety and Nonproliferation Division Department of Advanced Technology Upton, NY 11973, U.S.A.

Theodore Teichmann

Gentzgasse 138/16, A-1180 Vienna, Austria.

Abstract:

This paper gives an integrated approach to sampling problems involving a series of increasingly sensitive measurements on correspondingly smaller sample sizes which proceeds logically from level to level with increasing accuracy. The methods and results are consistent with those presently used by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), serve to elucidate the underlying concepts, and often simplify the calculations.

MASTER

PECEIVED

NEC 2 3 1996

OS-TI

DASTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

^{*} This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Summary:

This paper presents a unified approach to sampling problems, particularly related to nuclear safeguards questions, in which the various levels of sampling, involving increasingly sensitive measurements and correspondingly smaller samples, are treated in a logically connected and perspicuous manner.

The first step in the method described here is (the usual one of) <u>attributes</u> sampling, i.e., taking a sample of size n from a population of size N, determined from the formula

$$\frac{n}{N} = 1 - \beta_G^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

where β_G is the probability of not including any defect in the sample, G being the detection goal, in kg of special nuclear material, and m is the number of defected items when the total defect is G.

The next step is to note that when a fraction γ of the material has been diverted, the probability that the item is classified as a defect when it is measured with an instrument with standard deviation σ is

$$\Phi\!\left(\frac{\gamma-r\sigma}{(1-\gamma)\sigma}\right)$$

 $\Phi(z)$ being the cumulative normal distribution. The overall non-detection probability β for a defect of m items then becomes

$$\beta_s + (1 - \beta_s)F(1)$$

where β_s is the <u>sample</u> non-detection probability, and F(1) is an upper bound of the probability that <u>none</u> of the defects in the sample is detected by the instrument. β thus satisfies the relation

$$1-\beta \ge (1-\beta_s)\Phi(\frac{\gamma-r\sigma}{(1-\gamma)\sigma}).$$

lf

$$\Phi\!\left(\frac{\gamma-r\sigma}{(l-\gamma)\sigma}\right)$$

is very close to 1, the above expression with $\beta_s = \beta_G$ can attain the desired detection goal 1- β_G . As long as

$$\Phi\!\left(\frac{\gamma-r\sigma}{(1-\gamma)\sigma}\right)$$

is <u>greater</u> than the detection goal, the sample size can be increased to attain the required detection goal using the above equation.

If more accurate measurement methods are available, one can proceed further, considering β as a function of γ , so that

$$\beta(1,\gamma) \le 1 - (1 - \beta_1^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}) \Phi(\frac{\gamma - r\sigma_1}{(1 - \gamma)\sigma_1})$$

where β_1 is the first level non-detection probability described above. The remainder of this paper deals with the extension of this procedure to further levels of more accurate instrumentation and to discussion of the algorithms associated with the use of such more accurate instrumental methods.

For given $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$ one finds that the local maximum of Q (given below) as a function of γ

$$\mathbf{Q} = \left\{ 1 - \left(\left(\frac{\beta_{G}}{1 - (1 - \beta_{G}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}})} - (1 - \Phi_{2}) \right) / \Phi_{2} \right)^{\frac{\pi}{G}} \right\} \left(1 - \frac{G}{2xN\gamma} + \frac{1}{2N} \right)$$

yields the level 2 sample size n_2 via the formula n_2 = NQ, while the level 1 sample size becomes $n-n_2$.

Small defects, with a fractional size (approximately) less than the standard deviation, σ_1 , will not be detected. In this case, a level 3 sample size can be determined (involving a more sensitive instrument), using an analogous formalism with one more step of calculation.

The method described implicitly assumes that the errors are systematic. The less conservative case, when errors are random, is also treated. Numerical examples are given to show the effects as the various parameters are changed.