

ANL/ER/CP--88020 CONF-9607182--1

RECEIVED
MAR 25 1997
OSTI

Soil Aggregate Stabilization and Carbon Sequestration:
Feedbacks through Organomineral Associations

J.D. Jastrow and R.M. Miller

Environmental Research Division

Argonne National Laboratory

Argonne, Illinois 60439

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *ph*

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

1 I. Introduction

2 Primary production (specifically, the rate and quality of C transfer belowground) and soil microbial
3 activity (specifically, the rates of C transformation and decay) are recognized as the overall biological processes
4 governing soil organic C (SOC) dynamics. These two processes and, hence, SOC cycling and storage are
5 controlled by complex underlying biotic and abiotic interactions and feedbacks, most of which can be tied in one
6 way or another to the influences of the five state factors related to soil formation (Jenny, 1941), and many of which
7 are sensitive to management practices. Overall, C input rates and quality are largely dependent on climate
8 (especially temperature and precipitation), vegetation type and landscape, soil type, and management practices.
9 Decomposition processes and turnover rates, however, are greatly influenced by climate, the type and quality of
10 organic matter (e.g., N content and the ratios of C:N and lignin:N), chemical or physicochemical associations of
11 organic matter (OM) with soil mineral components, and the location of OM within the soil.

12 The mechanisms responsible for stabilizing SOC (Fig. 1) may be categorized as (1) biochemical
13 recalcitrance, (2) chemical stabilization, and (3) physical protection (Christensen, 1996). Biochemical
14 recalcitrance may be due to the chemical characteristics of the substrate itself — e.g., lignin derivatives (Stott et
15 al., 1983) or melanins produced by fungi and other soil organisms (Martin and Haider, 1986) — or may result
16 from transformations during decomposition, including incorporation into the excrement of soil meso- and
17 microfauna (Kooistra and van Noordwijk, 1996). Chemical stabilization occurs because of chemical or
18 physicochemical associations between what would otherwise be decomposable compounds and soil mineral
19 components. For example, organic compounds sorbed to clay surfaces, often by polyvalent cation bridges, or those
20 intercalated between expanding layers of clays are quite resistant to degradation (Martin and Haider, 1986;
21 Christensen, 1996; Tisdall, 1996). In addition, the drying of organics may cause them to be denatured or
22 polymerized, thereby protecting them chemically from decomposition (Dormaar and Foster, 1991). Soil structure,
23 however, plays a dominant role in the physical protection of soil organic matter (SOM) by controlling microbial
24 access to substrates, microbial turnover processes, and food web interactions (Elliott and Coleman, 1988; van Veen
25 and Kuikman, 1990). Relatively labile material may become physically protected from decomposition by
26 incorporation into soil aggregates (Oades, 1984; Gregorich et al., 1989; Golchin et al., 1994a,b) or by deposition in
27 micropores inaccessible even to bacteria (Foster, 1985).

28 Because of the physical protection afforded by soil structure, significant interactions exist between SOC
29 dynamics and the formation, stabilization, and degradation of soil aggregates. In soils where OM is the major
30 aggregate binding agent, plant growth and the decomposition of organic inputs lead to the development of a
31 hierarchical aggregate structure (Tisdall and Oades, 1982; Oades and Waters, 1991). The exact nature and

1 stability of this structure in a given soil depend on the relative amounts and strengths of various types of
2 organomineral associations that function as aggregate binding and stabilizing agents at each hierarchical level of
3 organization. At the same time, the nature of these organomineral associations and their spatial locations within
4 the aggregate hierarchy determine the degree to which SOC is physically protected from decomposition and,
5 consequently, result in organic pools with various input and turnover rates.

6 Thus, feedbacks between SOC cycling and aggregate cycling can occur. In aggrading systems, organic
7 inputs lead to the formation and stabilization of aggregates, which in turn can protect SOM from decomposition,
8 leading to further aggregate stabilization. In degrading systems, the disruption of aggregates exposes previously
9 protected but relatively labile OM to decomposers, resulting in a loss of SOM and further destabilization of
10 aggregates. In soils at or near equilibrium in terms of the amount of SOC in the whole soil, neither OM nor
11 aggregates are static, and the turnovers of aggregates and various OM pools are still interrelated. In any case, the
12 feedbacks between SOC cycling and aggregate cycling appear to be controlled by the formation or destruction of
13 organomineral associations functioning as aggregate binding agents. Furthermore, in soils exhibiting a
14 hierarchical aggregate structure, the feedbacks likely cross spatial scales affecting binding agents at different
15 hierarchical levels of organization.

16 In this paper, we will examine the relationship between the function of various organomineral associations
17 as aggregate binding agents and C sequestration in soils. Our discussions will focus on soils that exhibit an
18 aggregate hierarchy in which aggregate stability is controlled primarily by organic materials. After briefly
19 examining the nature and function of organomineral associations in macro- and microaggregates, we will present
20 evidence from our own studies of restored prairies on Illinois mollisols as a case study to demonstrate the
21 occurrence of feedbacks between aggregation and C accrual in an aggrading system.

22 **II. Aggregate Hierarchy**

23 Attempts to understand the formation and stabilization of aggregates in soils where OM is the major
24 binding agent have been influenced considerably by the hierarchical view of the aggregation process proposed by
25 Tisdall and Oades (1982) and elaborated by Dexter (1988), Kay (1990), and Oades and Waters (1991), among
26 others. In this conceptual model (Fig. 2), the mechanisms of aggregate formation and stabilization and their
27 relative importance change with spatial scale. Primary particles and clay microstructures are bound together with
28 bacterial and fungal debris into extremely stable silt-sized microaggregates (2-20 μm in diameter), which may be
29 bound together with fungal and plant debris and fragments into larger microaggregates (20-250 μm in diameter).
30 The organic binding agents involved in stabilizing microaggregates are believed to be relatively persistent and to
31 consist of humic materials or polysaccharide polymers strongly sorbed to clays, with the most persistent clay-

1 organic associations being strengthened by bridges of polyvalent metal cations (Tisdall and Oades, 1982; Tisdall,
2 1996). Microaggregates, in turn, are bound into macroaggregates (>250 μm in diameter) by (1) transient binding
3 agents (i.e., readily decomposable organic materials, the most important being microbial- and plant-derived
4 polysaccharides) and (2) temporary binding agents (i.e., fine roots, fungal hyphae, bacterial cells, and algae). As
5 macroaggregates increase in diameter, transient binding agents appear to be less important, whereas temporary
6 binding agents generally increase in importance.

7 All pools of organic binding agents are subject to the simultaneous effects of loss through decomposition
8 and inputs from the creation of new materials. However, the long-term existence of persistent agents (perhaps tens
9 to hundreds of years) may be due more to protection from decomposition by their physicochemical associations
10 with inorganic soil components than to any inherent biochemical inertness (Kay, 1990).

11 The distinction between micro- and macroaggregates is based both on size and on susceptibility to slaking,
12 i.e., rapid wetting (Edwards and Bremner, 1967; Tisdall and Oades, 1982). In soils exhibiting aggregate
13 hierarchy, macroaggregates subjected to slaking break down into microaggregates, rather than primary particles.
14 Stabilized microaggregates are not disrupted by rapid wetting and mechanical disturbance, including cultivation.
15 In contrast, the stability of macroaggregates is generally controlled by management practices and other
16 disturbances or by factors affecting root and hyphal growth and rhizosphere organisms (Tisdall and Oades, 1980,
17 1982).

18 A consequence of aggregate hierarchy is the porosity exclusion principle outlined by Dexter (1988). If
19 aggregate hierarchy exists, then smaller aggregates should have smaller pores, greater contact between particles,
20 and higher bulk densities than larger aggregates because the latter also contain larger pores between the smaller
21 aggregates that comprise them (Oades and Waters, 1991; Oades, 1993). As such, the effectiveness of various
22 binding mechanisms will depend on their physical dimensions relative to those of the pores (i.e., planes of
23 weakness) being bridged (Kay, 1990).

24 Although it is helpful to think of aggregate hierarchy in the sense of the binding together of increasingly
25 larger aggregated units, in most cases aggregates are probably not formed sequentially. In fact, evidence exists to
26 suggest that although plant roots and fungal hyphae provide the mechanical framework for the formation of
27 macroaggregates, it is the decomposition process that leads to the development of microaggregates and an
28 aggregate hierarchy (Elliott and Coleman, 1988; Tiessen and Stewart, 1988; Oades and Waters, 1991; Beare et al.,
29 1994b). Hence, microaggregates may form as a result of biological activity within or on the edges of relatively
30 stable macroaggregates or may develop when macroaggregates turn over or fragment as the roots or hyphae
31 binding them together are decomposed. Of course, in cultivated or other disturbed systems a significant proportion
32 of macroaggregates may be reformed from relatively intact microaggregates as a result of root and hyphal growth.

1 All levels of aggregate development, however, may occur simultaneously as each aggregate size class forms and
2 turns over at its own rate, depending on management practices and on the degree of protection from decomposition
3 afforded the organic binding agents of each size class. Oades (1993) speculated that aggregate hierarchy occurs in
4 soils as a legacy of long-term exploration by roots, particularly those of grasses, and that it is not likely to occur in
5 very young soils (e.g., polders) or to apply in soils where inorganic cements predominate (e.g., oxisols).

6 **III. Organomineral Associations**

7 Organomineral associations can occur at a variety of spatial scales with varying degrees of stability
8 against physical, chemical, or biological disruption or degradation. In his extensive review of the subject,
9 Christensen (1996) divided organomineral complexes into primary and secondary associations. He defined
10 primary organomineral complexes as those related to the primary structure of soils and associated with primary
11 particles isolated after complete dispersion of the soil. Secondary organomineral complexes were defined as
12 consisting of aggregates of primary organomineral complexes that form the secondary structure of soils. We will
13 consider here secondary organomineral associations within macroaggregates (but external to microaggregates) and
14 those within microaggregates 2-250 μm in diameter and also how these organomineral associations promote the
15 stabilization of both soil aggregates and SOM.

16 **A. Macroaggregates**

17 Because of the porosity exclusion principle, the stabilization of hierarchically formed macroaggregates
18 requires organomineral associations large enough to bridge the gaps and pores between the microaggregates and
19 primary particles composing macroaggregates. Larger macroaggregates can also be composed of smaller
20 macroaggregates, making the size of the gaps to be stabilized even larger. Organomineral associations at this scale
21 are largely related, either directly or indirectly, to the growth and decomposition of plant roots and the hyphae of
22 mycorrhizal fungi (Tisdall, 1996; Jastrow et al., 1997).

23 The lengths of roots and mycorrhizal hyphae are often directly related to the percentage of soil in water-
24 stable macroaggregates, particularly in aggrading systems (Tisdall and Oades, 1980; Miller and Jastrow, 1992a).
25 The direct effects of living roots and hyphae (Fig. 2) may be conceptualized by viewing the three-dimensional
26 network of roots and hyphae as a "sticky string bag" that physically entangles or enmeshes smaller aggregates and
27 particles, creating rather stable macroaggregates (Oades and Waters, 1991). Not only do roots and hyphae form a
28 network that can serve as a framework for macroaggregate formation, but extracellular mucilage coatings on root
29 and hyphal surfaces can strongly sorb to inorganic materials, helping to stabilize aggregates (Tisdall and Oades,
30 1979; Gupta and Germida, 1988; Tisdall, 1991; Dorioz et al., 1993). Furthermore, encrustation of roots and

1 hyphae with inorganics is believed to physically slow decomposition, thereby preserving the enmeshing framework
2 for a time even after the roots and hyphae senesce (Oades and Waters, 1991). The pressures exerted by growing
3 roots and by localized drying caused by plant water uptake are physical forces that promote both the formation and
4 the degradation of aggregates (Kay, 1990). Hence, the types of roots produced by different plants and their
5 densities and architectures can influence macroaggregate size distributions (Miller and Jastrow, 1990).

6 The evidence for the role of extracellular mucilage coatings (the "sticky" part of the string bag) is based
7 largely on microscopy and selective staining of ultrathin sections (e.g., Tisdall and Oades, 1979; Gupta and
8 Germida, 1988; Oades and Waters, 1991; Foster, 1981, 1994). These mucilages are generally believed to consist
9 mainly of polysaccharides but may also contain polyuronic acids and amino compounds (Foster, 1981; Tisdall,
10 1996). However, recent studies suggest that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi produce a previously undescribed class
11 of aggregate binding agents (R.M. Miller, S.F. Wright, J.D. Jastrow, and A. Upadhyaya, unpublished data). As
12 identified by using immunofluorescent monoclonal antibody techniques, arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae produce a
13 hydrophobic glycoproteinaceous substance, named glomalin, on the surfaces of both internal and external hyphae
14 (Wright et al., 1996). Glomalin is also deposited on soil surfaces, where its resistance to extraction suggests it
15 might be relatively persistent (Wright and Upadhyaya, 1996). The amounts of glomalin extracted from soils of a
16 prairie restoration chronosequence were directly related to both external hyphal lengths and the mean weight
17 diameter of slaked, water-stable aggregates (R.M. Miller, S.F. Wright, J.D. Jastrow, and A. Upadhyaya,
18 unpublished data). Glomalin may polymerize and develop its hydrophobicity in response to drying and air
19 exposure (Wessels, 1996), thereby protecting the hyphae from desiccation. However, this polymerization process
20 may extend to and incorporate contacts with other soil components, essentially adhering fungal walls to soil and
21 particulate organic matter (POM) surfaces. In addition to the glue-like properties of glomalin, its hydrophobicity
22 may contribute indirectly to aggregate stability by dampening the disruptive forces of rapid water movement into
23 the pores between and within aggregates.

24 Most of the indirect effects of roots and hyphae are related to decomposition processes. The exudates and
25 rhizodeposits of growing roots are rapidly turned over by active microbial populations that are dominated by gram-
26 negative bacteria (Foster, 1983), resulting in the deposition of extracellular microbial polysaccharides in the
27 rhizosphere. Furthermore, as roots and hyphae die, organic substrate is deposited throughout the macroaggregate
28 structure of the soil, where the activities of soil fauna, saprophytic fungi, and bacteria reduce this material to POM,
29 resulting in further deposition of microbial polysaccharides. In addition, colonization of POM or fecal pellets by
30 saprophytic fungi and the consequent proliferation of hyphae (Fig. 2) contribute to stabilizing the pores between
31 microaggregates and other particles (Haynes and Beare, 1996). The coating of aggregate surfaces and
32 intramacroaggregate pores with microbially derived polysaccharides and other transformation products, such as

1 aliphatic compounds, helps to stabilize macroaggregates (Haynes and Swift, 1990; Martens and Frankenberger,
2 1992; Haynes and Francis, 1993). However, because of the lability of most of these materials as a substrate for
3 further microbial attack, the persistence of this mechanism depends on the balance between production and
4 degradation and the extent of chemical or physical stabilization (Haynes and Beare, 1996).

5 The OM binding microaggregates and other particles together into macroaggregates is believed to be
6 physically protected from decomposition. Evidence for the protection of relatively labile, intramacroaggregate OM
7 and its function as an intermicroaggregate binding agent comes from observations of the loss of both aggregate
8 stability and OM following the cultivation of undisturbed soils (e.g., Tisdall and Oades, 1980; Carter, 1992;
9 Cambardella and Elliott, 1993) and from laboratory mineralization studies of intact and crushed macroaggregates
10 (Elliott, 1986; Gupta and Germida, 1988; Beare et al., 1994a).

11 Cambardella and Elliott (1992, 1993, 1994) suggested that much of the SOM lost when long-term
12 grasslands are cultivated is POM or a relatively labile, silt-sized organomineral fraction (2-20 μm) with a density
13 of 2.07-2.22 g cm^{-3} , which together may account for about 40% of the total SOC. Because large shares of both of
14 these organic pools were found within small macroaggregates (250-2000 μm), these authors proposed that both
15 pools serve as intermicroaggregate binding agents. Furthermore, they suggested that together the two SOM
16 fractions account for a significant portion of the conceptually defined intermediate (or slow) pool of current SOM
17 models (Parton et al., 1996).

18 Theoretically, aggregate hierarchy predicts that the organic C (OC) content of macroaggregates should be
19 greater than that of microaggregates because the former are composed of the latter plus organic
20 intermicroaggregate binding agents. In summarizing a range of studies, Angers and Carter (1996) concluded,
21 however, that the associations between aggregate size and C contents are not clear or consistent. Direct, inverse,
22 and nonexistent relationships have been documented. The results apparently depend both on operational
23 differences between studies (e.g., aggregate separation procedures, whether POM is removed, or whether
24 concentrations are corrected for sand content) and on actual differences between systems (e.g., vegetation and soil
25 types, management practices, and climatic conditions).

26 Hypothetically, the OM in macroaggregates also should be younger and more labile. In most studies, the
27 relationships between the C:N ratio of aggregate OM and aggregate size support this contention, as do most of the
28 laboratory mineralization studies (Angers and Carter, 1996). Recent studies using the natural abundance of stable
29 C isotopes following a switch in the photosynthetic pathway of the vegetation as a tracer of recent organic inputs
30 demonstrate that macroaggregates have a higher proportion of C derived from the most recent vegetation than do
31 microaggregates (Skjemstad et al., 1990; Puget et al., 1995; Angers and Carter, 1996). Similarly, Jastrow et al.
32 (1996) observed that the proportion of recent C in large macroaggregates (>1 mm) was greater than in

1 microaggregates, but unlike the other studies, they also found the proportion of recent C in microaggregates
2 (53-212 μm) was essentially equal to the proportions in small macroaggregates (up to 1 mm). The differing results
3 may be due to management differences; Jastrow et al. (1996) studied an aggrading temperate pasture, whereas
4 Puget et al. (1995) and Angers and Carter (1996) worked in temperate cultivated systems. Skjemstad et al. (1990)
5 also studied a pasture (although under wet, subtropical conditions), but they separated and analyzed all
6 macroaggregates as one size class.

7 **B. Microaggregates**

8 As noted earlier, the decomposition process is probably the driving force that leads to the development of
9 microaggregates and an aggregate hierarchy. The stability of microaggregates depends mostly on the strength by
10 which clays and other inorganic components of the soil are sorbed to POM, microbial debris, and a variety of other
11 organic colloids and compounds primarily of microbial origin. Sorption occurs via a variety of organomineral
12 associations, such as polyvalent cation bridges, H-bonding, van der Waals forces, and interactions with hydrous
13 oxides and aluminosilicates (Edwards and Bremner, 1967; Oades, 1984; Haynes and Beare, 1996; Tisdall, 1996).
14 Clays are generally the mobile component, with much of their movement and reorientation around organics
15 resulting from localized drying and the mechanical actions of plant and fungal growth. Drying also appears to
16 play an important role in increasing the stability of organomineral associations by enhancing bonding forces and
17 the polymerization and denaturing of the organics (Oades, 1984; Chenu, 1989; Dorraar and Foster, 1991; Dorioz
18 et al., 1993).

19 A hierarchy of microaggregates, based on the scale of the organic nucleating agents, develops in many
20 soils (Fig. 2). The C:N ratios of both the light ($<2 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) and mineral-associated fractions of microaggregates
21 from a mollisol generally decreased with aggregate size, indicating increasing levels of decomposition (Baldock et
22 al., 1992). Particulate OM (mostly plant and fungal debris) is often found at the core of microaggregates
23 90-250 μm in diameter, where it is protected from rapid decomposition by encrustation with inorganic material
24 (Oades and Waters, 1991; Waters and Oades, 1991). This POM is eventually decomposed, often leaving cavities
25 surrounded by smaller microaggregates believed to be stabilized by the metabolic products and bodies of microbes
26 that used the POM as a substrate. Plant fragments are more difficult to recognize in microaggregates less than
27 90 μm in diameter. The cores of microaggregates 20-90 μm in diameter may include the resistant remnants of
28 plant debris, such as lignin particles, or may be empty. Microaggregates less than 20 μm in diameter appear to
29 consist of clay microstructures stabilized by microbially derived polymers, hyphal fragments, and bacterial cells or
30 colonies encrusted with clay particles. Baldock et al. (1992) found that both the light and mineral-associated

1 fractions of aggregates 2-20 μm in diameter had C:N ratios (11.5 and 8.8, respectively) within the range measured
2 for soil microbial biomass (8-12).

3 Golchin et al. (1994b) proposed a conceptual model of the changes OM undergoes during decomposition,
4 from its entry into the soil through its incorporation into microaggregates to its eventual rendering into microbial
5 metabolites and association with clay minerals (Fig. 3). These authors proposed that when plant (surface and root)
6 debris enters the soil, it is initially colonized by soil microbes and begins to adsorb mineral particles. As plant
7 fragments become encrusted with mineral particles, they become the organic cores of stable microaggregates and
8 are protected from rapid decomposition. While these organic cores are still rich in carbohydrates and chemically
9 attractive to microorganisms, microbially produced mucilages and metabolites permeate the encrusting mineral
10 particles, resulting in very stable aggregates. However, once the more labile portions of the organic cores are
11 consumed, decomposition of more resistant plant structural materials proceeds more slowly. Eventually,
12 decomposition of the organic cores renders the aggregates unstable, and relatively recalcitrant POM is released
13 from intimate association with mineral particles, because the production of stabilizing microbial products declines
14 as the organic cores become less palatable. Mineral particles and organomineral associations that coated the cores
15 along with adsorbed microbial products may then become associated with more labile POM.

16 This model was developed from studies employing ultrasonic dispersion and sequential density
17 fractionation techniques to isolate (1) free POM located between aggregates, (2) occluded POM from within
18 aggregates, and (3) colloidal or clay-associated (amorphous) OM (Golchin et al., 1994a,b). The chemical
19 composition of the isolated fractions was characterized by solid-state ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy,
20 and the degree of mineral association of each fraction was determined on the basis of density, C and N contents,
21 and scanning electron microscopy. Additional support for the model was obtained from subsequent studies using
22 the natural abundance of stable C isotopes as a tracer of OM cycling through fractions isolated from soils sampled
23 35 and 83 years after a switch in the photosynthetic pathway of the vegetation (Golchin et al., 1995).

24 **IV. Interrelationships between Aggregation and Organic Carbon Sequestration: A Case Study**

25 Our studies of a chronosequence of prairie restorations on mollisols that were cultivated for over 100 yr
26 can be used as a case study to examine the interrelationships of aggregation and OC sequestration in an aggrading
27 system. Because the restoration chronosequence provides gradients of water-stable macroaggregate formation and
28 total SOC (Fig. 4), along with gradients of root and hyphal proliferation and of various other SOC pools (Cook et
29 al., 1988; Miller and Jastrow, 1992b; Jastrow, 1996; Jastrow et al., 1997), the site allows us not only to investigate
30 how different organic binding mechanisms interact to promote the development of soil aggregate hierarchy, but
31 also to determine how and where OC is sequestered within this hierarchy. Similarly, we believe that the site

1 provides evidence for the existence of feedbacks between the formation and stabilization of soil aggregates and
2 SOC sequestration.

3 **A. Organomineral Associations and Development of Soil Aggregate Hierarchy**

4 The relative contributions of roots, mycorrhizal hyphae, SOM, microbial biomass, and microbially derived
5 polysaccharides to the direct and indirect mechanisms of water-stable macroaggregate formation were investigated
6 by using path analysis techniques (Jastrow et al., 1997). Path analysis enables the heuristic examination of causal
7 processes underlying observed relationships. After constructing a conceptual model of the interrelationships
8 among multiple independent and dependent variables (Fig. 5), the total correlations between independent and
9 dependent variables can be partitioned into causal (direct and indirect effects) and noncausal components (Asher,
10 1983).

11 In the restoration chronosequence, external mycorrhizal hyphae had the strongest direct effect on the
12 percentage of water-stable macroaggregates (Table 1). Roots exhibited the next strongest direct effects on
13 aggregation, with essentially equal contributions for each of two measured diameter size classes. However, the
14 indirect effects of the two size classes differed substantially, with fine roots (0.2-1 mm in diameter) having the
15 overall largest total effect of all binding agents evaluated. The indirect effects of fine roots on macroaggregates
16 was due primarily to strong positive associations of fine roots with mycorrhizal hyphae and microbial biomass.
17 Interestingly, the strongest indirect contribution of veryfine roots (<0.2 mm in diameter) was via SOC, probably as
18 the major source of accruing POM. Hence, the path analysis approach strongly supported the importance of the
19 various contributions of roots and mycorrhizal hyphae to the stabilization of macroaggregates in aggrading
20 systems. The roles of microbially derived extracellular polysaccharides (as measured by hot-water soluble
21 carbohydrate C) and of POM (best represented by the indirect effects of roots through SOC) in stabilizing
22 macroaggregates were overwhelmed by the contributions of roots and hyphae via the "sticky string bag"
23 mechanism in this system.

24 The results of further separate path analyses for each of three macroaggregate size fractions (Jastrow et
25 al., 1997) support the role of the porosity exclusion principle as a factor controlling the types of organic binding
26 agents that function at different spatial scales within aggregates (Tisdall and Oades, 1982; Oades and Waters,
27 1991; Dorioz et al., 1993). In general, the relative importance of the binding mechanisms for each aggregate size
28 fraction was related to the physical size of the mechanism and the strength of its associations with other
29 mechanisms. For example, fine roots had their greatest effects on aggregates greater than 2 mm in diameter,
30 whereas veryfine roots exerted their strongest effects on smaller aggregates. Also as expected, the strongest direct
31 effect in the smallest macroaggregate size fraction was due to microbial biomass. However, in contrast to what

1 their physical size would suggest, mycorrhizal hyphae were of greatest relative importance in the two largest
2 aggregate size fractions, because of their strong associations with fine roots.

3 B. Aggregate-Associated Carbon Sequestration

4 Because of the high densities of roots and hyphae occurring in restored prairie (Cook et al., 1988; Miller
5 and Jastrow, 1992b), the formation of macroaggregates stable enough to withstand slaking and wet sieving is
6 rapid. At the same time, OC is accumulating across the chronosequence at a much slower rate (Fig. 4). In fact,
7 the rate constant (k) of the exponential model describing changes in aggregation (0.438 yr^{-1}) is more than 35 times
8 the k for total SOC (0.012 yr^{-1}). Consequently, the calculated average turnover time ($1/k$) for macroaggregates is
9 2.3 yr compared with 83 yr for total SOC. Given that the longevity of a majority of prairie grass roots is about
10 three growing seasons (Weaver and Zink, 1946), the rapid turnover time for macroaggregates is not surprising.

11 The relatively rapid turnover time for macroaggregates suggests that physical protection of
12 intermicroaggregate binding agents (such as POM) inside macroaggregates may not be a major mechanism
13 influencing C sequestration in this system. However, the lack of major seasonal changes in aggregation for older
14 restorations (J.D. Jastrow and R.M. Miller, unpublished data) indicates that new macroaggregates are probably
15 being formed just as rapidly as old macroaggregates are being degraded. Hence, the majority of
16 intermicroaggregate binding agents are not likely to be unprotected for lengthy periods of time.

17 An increase in the C:N ratios of macroaggregates with time since planting to prairie also suggested that
18 the accumulating OM is not highly processed and could include relatively large quantities of POM trapped inside
19 macroaggregates (Jastrow, 1996). However, the C content of the light-fraction POM ($<1.85 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) released by
20 relatively low ultrasonic energy from within macroaggregates was a relatively constant proportion of the total OC
21 in whole soils across the chronosequence. Rather, C accrual with time since planting to prairie occurred in the
22 heavy fraction ($>1.85 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$), suggesting that C was accumulating as organic cores of undispersed
23 microaggregates located within macroaggregates and/or as microbially produced mineral-associated complexes
24 (Jastrow, 1996). These findings are consistent with the conceptual model of OM cycling through microaggregates
25 proposed by Golchin et al. (1994b).

26 Other studies from the same site also support this conceptual model. The inputs and turnover of OC in
27 different size classes of water-stable aggregates were estimated by using the natural abundance of stable C isotopes
28 following a switch in vegetation from long-term corn (C4) on soils formed under tallgrass prairie (dominated by
29 C4 grasses) to C3 pasture grasses (Jastrow et al., 1996). The average turnover time for old (C4-derived) C was
30 412 yr for microaggregates, compared with an average turnover time for macroaggregates of 140 yr, indicating that
31 old C associated with microaggregates may be more biochemically recalcitrant and better physically protected than

1 that associated with macroaggregates. Net inputs of new (C3-derived) C increased with aggregate size (0.73 to
2 1.13 g kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹). Together, these data support the concept of an aggregate hierarchy. The faster turnover times for
3 old C and greater inputs of new C in macroaggregates suggest that they are composed of a mixture of
4 microaggregates (with much longer turnover times) and of other C pools (such as intermicroaggregate binding
5 agents) that are both turning over and accumulating more rapidly than the C associated with microaggregates.
6 However, net inputs to microaggregates were equal to those for small macroaggregates (up to 1 mm in diameter),
7 suggesting (1) that the formation and degradation of microaggregates may be more dynamic than predicted by their
8 resistance to mechanical dispersion or by the turnover times for old C and (2) that at least two different OC pools
9 characterized by differing turnover rates exist within microaggregates. Golchin et al. (1995) also hypothesized, on
10 the basis of changes in the natural abundance of stable C isotopes, that microaggregates contain two C pools with
11 differing turnover rates.

12 For soils *in situ*, microaggregates whose stability is weakened by the lack of microbial activity may be
13 more susceptible to degradation caused by chemical dispersion or by physical stresses. Thus, as microbial activity
14 slows, clays associated with microaggregate surfaces may be released and dispersed, enabling them to become
15 reoriented around and sorbed to the mucilages and metabolites on the surfaces of new (in our case, C3-derived)
16 chemically attractive POM supporting an active microbial population. At the same time, the slow turnovers
17 observed for old (C4-derived) C occur because (1) additional time is needed for all of the C4-derived POM
18 contained within microaggregates to degrade and (2) significant quantities of C4-derived microbial metabolites
19 likely remain strongly associated with mineral particles encrusting new (C3-derived) microaggregate cores.

20 Alternatively, a significant proportion of the C3-derived C associated with microaggregates may be
21 located on aggregate surfaces. In soils with very stable macroaggregates, such as those in this study, the few
22 microaggregates released by slaking were probably bound into macroaggregates *in situ* but were not stabilized
23 sufficiently to survive the slaking process. Hence, they could be coated with intermicroaggregate binding agents of
24 relatively new origin. This scenario is consistent with the observed deposition of glomalin by mycorrhizal hyphae
25 on aggregate surfaces (Wright and Upadhyaya, 1996; R.M. Miller, S.F. Wright, J.D. Jastrow, and A. Upadhyaya,
26 unpublished data), as discussed in Section IIIA. The scenario may also be related to the relatively labile, silt-sized
27 organomineral fraction (density = 2.07-2.22 g cm⁻³) isolated by Cambardella and Elliott (1994) from within
28 macroaggregates, which they hypothesized is of microbial origin and functions as an intermicroaggregate binding
29 agent.

1 C. Demonstration of Feedbacks

2 Thus, we have demonstrated for our system that the rapid formation of water-stable macroaggregates is
3 followed by the sequestration and accrual of SOC, and we have examined how and where the C is accumulating.
4 However, because of the rapid incorporation of over 90% of the soil into macroaggregates able to survive slaking
5 and wet sieving (Fig. 4), the demonstration that C sequestration feeds back to enhance aggregate stability requires
6 a method capable of assessing greater levels of stability. Hence, we combined wet sieving with turbidimetric
7 techniques in an approach to aggregate stability assessment that differs somewhat from the combined method
8 developed by Pojasok and Kay (1990). For aggregates that have survived slaking and wet sieving, their stabilities
9 should be related to the amounts of clays dispersed by the higher energy and abrasive forces associated with end-
10 over-end rotation (compared with wet sieving).

11 Size fractions of water-stable macro- and microaggregates were collected by slaking of air-dry soil
12 followed by wet sieving as described by Jastrow et al. (1996). After sieving, all size fractions were air-dried. For
13 each size fraction, 0.25-g subsamples of intact aggregates were weighed into spectrophotometer tubes, 7 ml of
14 deionized water were added, and the tubes were covered with Parafilm. After 5 min in water, the tubes were spun
15 end-over-end about their centers (30 rpm) for 2 min and allowed to settle undisturbed for 30 min. The percent
16 light transmission was measured at a wavelength of 630 nm on a Spectronic 20 spectrophotometer. Two
17 subsamples were measured and averaged for each size fraction from each of five randomly collected cores for each
18 sampled plot.

19 For each size fraction, the increases in percent transmission observed across the chronosequence indicate
20 relatively lower amounts of dispersed clays and greater aggregate stability with time since disturbance (Fig. 6).
21 Improvements in aggregate stability were also associated with increasing SOC ($r \geq 0.83$, $P \leq 0.0001$ for each size
22 fraction). Interestingly, macroaggregates from the cultivated field were significantly more stable than
23 microaggregates, but substantial increases in the stability of microaggregates and small macroaggregates (212-
24 500 μm) occurred only 4 yr after planting to prairie. For larger aggregates (>500 μm), significant improvement in
25 stability was not observed until 15 yr of prairie.

26 These findings, coupled with those presented in Fig. 4, suggest that relatively stable macroaggregates can
27 be formed rapidly in response to the proliferation of roots and hyphae associated with grassland vegetation but that
28 improvements in stability resulting from concomitant increases in SOC appear to occur initially at smaller spatial
29 scales. Presumably this occurs through the deposition of microbial byproducts and the sorption of clays to OM
30 during decomposition.

1 V. Conclusions

2 Soil aggregation and OM storage are intimately associated with each other. Consequently, changes in
3 either of these processes often result in feedbacks on the other. These feedbacks are mediated through
4 organomineral associations, which function as aggregate binding and stabilizing agents. The nature of various
5 organomineral associations and their spatial locations within soil aggregate structure determine the extent to which
6 SOC is physically protected and chemically stabilized, resulting in organic pools with varying input and turnover
7 rates (Table 2). Similarly, when OM is the major stabilizing agent and aggregate structure is hierarchical,
8 different types of aggregates are being formed and turned over at different rates related to the turnover rates of their
9 organomineral binding agents.

10 Thus, better information on the nature and dynamics of organomineral associations will lead to a greater
11 understanding of soil structural dynamics and of C cycling and sequestration in soils. Consequently, such
12 information will also contribute to improved approaches to soil management. Similarly, a better understanding of
13 organomineral associations may provide a key to better defining or quantifying the conceptual pools used by SOM
14 simulation models and could serve as the basis for development of a new generation of such models.

15 Acknowledgments

16 This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Research, Office of Health
17 and Environmental Research, Environmental Sciences Division, Global Change Research, under contract
18 W-31-109-Eng-38.

19 References

- 20 Angers, D.A. and M.R. Carter. 1996. Aggregation and organic matter storage in cool, humid agricultural soils.
21 p. 193-211. In: M.R. Carter and B.A. Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural*
22 *Soils*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.
- 23 Asher H.B. 1983. *Causal Modeling*. Sage University Paper Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social
24 Sciences, 07-003. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, CA, 96 pp.
- 25 Baldock, J.A., J.M. Oades, A.G. Waters, X. Peng, A.M. Vassallo, and M.A. Wilson. 1992. Aspects of the chemical
26 structure of soil organic materials as revealed by solid-state ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. *Biogeochem.* 15:1-42.
- 27 Beare, M.H., M.L. Cabrera, P.F. Hendrix, and D.C. Coleman. 1994a. Aggregate-protected and unprotected organic
28 matter pools in conventional and no-tillage soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 58:787-795.

- 1 Beare, M.H., P.F. Hendrix, and D.C. Coleman. 1994b. Water-stable aggregates and organic matter fractions in
2 conventional- and no-tillage soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 58:777-786.
- 3 Cambardella, C.A. and E.T. Elliott. 1992. Particulate soil organic-matter changes across a grassland cultivation
4 sequence. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 56:777-783.
- 5 Cambardella, C. A. and E.T. Elliott. 1993. Carbon and nitrogen distribution in aggregates from cultivated and
6 native grassland soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 57:1071-1076.
- 7 Cambardella, C.A. and E.T. Elliott. 1994. Carbon and nitrogen dynamics of soil organic matter fractions from
8 cultivated grassland soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 58:123-130.
- 9 Carter, M.R. 1992. Influence of reduced tillage systems on organic matter, microbial biomass, macro-aggregate
10 distribution and structural stability of the surface soil in a humid climate. *Soil Tillage Res.* 23:361-372.
- 11 Carter, M.R. 1996. Analysis of soil organic matter storage in agroecosystems. p. 3-11. In: M.R. Carter and B.A.
12 Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural Soils*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.
- 13 Chenu, C. 1989. Influence of a fungal polysaccharide, scleroglucan, on clay microstructures. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*
14 21:299-305.
- 15 Christensen, B.T. 1996. Carbon in primary and secondary organomineral complexes. p. 97-165. In: M.R. Carter
16 and B.A. Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural Soils*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca
17 Raton, FL.
- 18 Cook, B.D., J.D. Jastrow, and R.M. Miller. 1988. Root and mycorrhizal endophyte development in a
19 chronosequence of restored tallgrass prairie. *New Phytol.* 110:355-362.
- 20 Dorioz, J.M., M. Robert, and C. Chenu. 1993. The role of roots, fungi and bacteria on clay particle organization.
21 An experimental approach. *Geoderma* 56:179-194.
- 22 Dormaar, J.F. and R.C. Foster. 1991. Nascent aggregates in the rhizosphere of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*
23 L.). *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 71:465-474.
- 24 Dexter, A.R. 1988. Advances in characterization of soil structure. *Soil Tillage Res.* 11:199-238.
- 25 Edwards, A.P. and J.M. Bremner. 1967. Microaggregates in soils. *J. Soil Sci.* 18:64-73.
- 26 Elliott, E.T. 1986. Aggregate structure and carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in native and cultivated soils. *Soil*
27 *Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50:627-633.
- 28 Elliott, E.T. and D.C. Coleman. 1988. Let the soil work for us. *Ecol. Bullet.* 39:23-32.
- 29 Foster, R.C. 1981. Polysaccharides in soil fabrics. *Science* 214:665-667.
- 30 Foster, R.C. 1983. The plant root environment. p. 673-684. In: *Soils: An Australian Viewpoint*. CSIRO,
31 Melbourne/Academic Press, London.
- 32 Foster, R.C. 1985. *In situ* localization of organic matter in soils. *Quaest. Ent.* 21:609-633.

- 1 Foster, R.C. 1994. Microorganisms and soil aggregates. p. 144-155. In: C.E. Pankhurst, B.M. Doube, V.V.S.R.
2 Gupta, and P.R. Grace (eds.) *Soil Biota: Management in Sustainable Farming Systems*. CSIRO Information
3 Services, East Melbourne, Australia.
- 4 Golchin, A., J.M. Oades, J.O. Skjemstad, and P. Clark. 1994a. Study of free and occluded particulate organic
5 matter in soils by solid state ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy and scanning electron microscope. *Aust. J. Soil*
6 *Res.* 32:285-309.
- 7 Golchin, A., J.M. Oades, J.O. Skjemstad, and P. Clark. 1994b. Soil structure and carbon cycling. *Aust. J. Soil Res.*
8 32:1043-1068.
- 9 Golchin, A., J.M. Oades, J.O. Skjemstad, and P. Clark. 1995. Structural and dynamic properties of soil organic
10 matter as reflected by ^{13}C natural abundance, pyrolysis mass spectrometry and solid-state ^{13}C NMR
11 spectroscopy in density fractions of an oxisol under forest and pasture. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 33:59-76.
- 12 Gregorich, E.G., R.G. Kachanoski, and R.P. Voroney. 1989. Carbon mineralization in soil size fractions after
13 various amounts of aggregate disruption. *J. Soil Sci.* 40:649-659.
- 14 Gupta, V.V.S.R. and J.J. Germida. 1988. Distribution of microbial biomass and its activity in different soil
15 aggregate size classes as affected by cultivation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 20:777-786.
- 16 Haynes, R.J. and M.H. Beare. 1996. Aggregation and organic matter storage in meso-thermal, humid soils. p. 213-
17 262. In: M.R. Carter and B.A. Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural Soils*.
18 CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.
- 19 Haynes, R.J. and G.S. Francis. 1993. Changes in microbial biomass C, soil carbohydrate composition and
20 aggregate stability induced by growth of selected crop and forage species under field conditions. *J. Soil Sci.*
21 44:665-675.
- 22 Haynes, R.J. and R.S. Swift. 1990. Stability of soil aggregates in relation to organic constituents and soil water
23 content. *J. Soil Sci.* 41:73-83.
- 24 Jastrow, J.D. 1996. Soil aggregate formation and the accrual of particulate and mineral-associated organic matter.
25 *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 28:665-676.
- 26 Jastrow, J.D., T.W. Boutton, and R.M. Miller. 1996. Carbon dynamics of aggregate-associated organic matter
27 estimated by carbon-13 natural abundance. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 60:801-807.
- 28 Jastrow, J.D., R.M. Miller, and J. Lussenhop. 1997. Interactions of biological mechanisms contributing to soil
29 aggregate stabilization in restored prairie. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* (accepted).
- 30 Jenny, H. 1941. *Factors of Soil Formation. A System of Quantitative Pedology*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New
31 York, 281 pp.
- 32 Kay, B.D. 1990. Rates of change of soil structure under different cropping systems. *Adv. Soil Sci.* 12:1-52.

- 1 Kooistra, M.J. and M. van Noordwijk. 1996. Soil architecture and distribution of organic matter. p. 15-56. In:
2 M.R. Carter and B.A. Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural Soils*. CRC Press,
3 Inc., Boca Raton, FL.
- 4 Martens, D.A. and W.T. Frankenberger, Jr. 1992. Decomposition of bacterial polymers in soil and their influence
5 on soil structure. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 13:65-73.
- 6 Martin, J.P. and K. Haider. 1986. Influence of mineral colloids on turnover rates of soil organic carbon. p. 283-
7 304. In P.M. Huang and M. Schnitzer (eds.) *Interactions of Soil Minerals with Natural Organics and*
8 *Microbes*. Spec. Publ. 17, Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, WI.
- 9 Miller, R.M. and J.D. Jastrow. 1990. Hierarchy of root and mycorrhizal fungal interactions with soil aggregation.
10 *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 22:579-584.
- 11 Miller, R.M. and J.D. Jastrow. 1992a. The role of mycorrhizal fungi in soil conservation. p. 29-44. In: G.J.
12 Bethlenfalvai and R.G. Linderman (eds.) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*. Spec. Publ. 54. Am. Soc.
13 Agron., Madison, WI.
- 14 Miller, R.M. and J.D. Jastrow. 1992b. Extraradical hyphal development of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
15 in a chronosequence of prairie restorations. p. 171-176. In: D.J. Read, D.H. Lewis, A.H. Fitter, and I.J.
16 Alexander (eds.) *Mycorrhizas in Ecosystems*. C.A.B. International, Wallingford, Oxon, United Kingdom.
- 17 Oades, J.M. 1984. Soil organic matter and structural stability, mechanisms and implications for management.
18 *Plant Soil* 76:319-337.
- 19 Oades, J.M. 1993. The role of biology in the formation, stabilization and degradation of soil structure. *Geoderma*
20 56:377-400.
- 21 Oades, J.M. and A.G. Waters. 1991. Aggregate hierarchy in soils. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 29:815-828.
- 22 Parton, W.J., D.S. Ojima, and D.S. Schimel. 1996. Models to evaluate soil organic matter storage and dynamics.
23 p. 421-448. In: M.R. Carter and B.A. Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural*
24 *Soils*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.
- 25 Pojasok, T. and B.D. Kay. 1990. Assessment of a combination of wet sieving and turbidimetry to characterize the
26 structural stability of moist aggregates. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 70:33-42.
- 27 Puget, P., C. Chenu, and J. Balesdent. 1995. Total and young organic matter distributions in aggregates of silty
28 cultivated soils. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 46:449-459.
- 29 Skjemstad, J.O., R.P. Le Feuvre, and R.E. Prebble. 1990. Turnover of soil organic matter under pasture as
30 determined by ¹³C natural abundance. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 28:267-276.
- 31 Stott, E., G. Kassin, W.M. Jarrell, J.P. Martin, and K. Haider. 1983. Stabilization and incorporation into biomass
32 of specific plant carbons during biodegradation in soil. *Plant Soil* 70:15-26.

- 1 Tiessen, H. and J.W.B. Stewart. 1988. Light and electron microscopy of stained microaggregates: The role of
2 organic matter and microbes in soil aggregation. *Biogeochem.* 5:312-322.
- 3 Tisdall, J.M. 1991. Fungal hyphae and structural stability of soil. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 29:729-743.
- 4 Tisdall, J.M. 1996. Formation of soil aggregates and accumulation of soil organic matter. p. 57-96. In: M.R. Carter
5 and B.A. Stewart (eds.) *Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural Soils*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca
6 Raton, FL.
- 7 Tisdall, J.M. and J.M. Oades. 1979. Stabilization of soil aggregates by the root system of ryegrass. *Aust. J. Soil*
8 *Res.* 17:429-441.
- 9 Tisdall, J.M. and J.M. Oades. 1980. The effect of crop rotation on aggregation in a red-brown earth. *Aust. J. Soil*
10 *Res.* 18:423-433.
- 11 Tisdall, J.M. and J.M. Oades. 1982. Organic matter and water stable aggregates in soils. *J. Soil Sci.* 33:141-163.
- 12 van Veen, J.A. and P.J. Kuikman. 1990. Soil structural aspects of decomposition of organic matter by micro-
13 organisms. *Biogeochem.* 11:213-233.
- 14 Waters, A.G. and J.M. Oades. 1991. Organic matter in water-stable aggregates. p. 163-174. In: W.S. Wilson (ed.)
15 *Advances in Soil Organic Matter Research: The Impact on Agriculture and the Environment*. Spec. Publ. 90,
16 Roy. Soc. Chem., Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- 17 Weaver, J.E. and E. Zink. 1946. Length of life of roots of ten species of perennial range and pasture grasses. *Plant*
18 *Physiol.* 21:201-217.
- 19 Wessels, J.G.H. 1996. Fungal hydrophobins: Proteins that function at an interface. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1:9-15.
- 20 Wright, S.F., M. Franke-Snyder, J.B. Morton, and A. Upadhyaya. 1996. Time-course study and partial
21 characterization of a protein on hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi during active colonization of roots.
22 *Plant Soil* 181:193-203.
- 23 Wright, S.F. and A. Upadhyaya. 1996. Extraction of an abundant and unusual protein from soil and comparison
24 with hyphal protein of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Sci.* 161:575-586.
- 25

Table 1. Observed correlations between the percentage of water-stable macroaggregates (>212 μm) and measurements of selected organic binding agents and their partitioning into direct, indirect, and total causal effects on the basis of path analysis ($n = 49$). The difference between the correlation and the total effect is due to conceptually noncausal relationships between variables (see text). Data from Jastrow et al. (1997).

Measured parameter	Correlation (r)	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Total effect
Fine root length	0.91	0.25	0.47	0.72
Veryfine root length	0.85	0.26	-0.04	0.22
External hyphal length	0.89	0.38	0	0.38
Soil organic C	0.43	0	0.09	0.09
Microbial biomass C	0.65	0.14	0.03	0.17
Hot-water soluble carbohydrate C	0.55	0.05	0	0.05

Table 2. Estimated ranges in the amounts and turnover times of various types of organic matter stored in agricultural soils. From Carter (1996) with permission.

Type of organic matter	Proportion of total organic matter (%)	Turnover time (yr)
Litter	—	1-3
Microbial biomass	2-5	0.1-0.4
Particulate	18-40	5-20
Light fraction	10-30	1-15
Intermicroaggregate ^a	20-35	5-50
Intramicroaggregate ^b		
Physically sequestered	20-40	50-1000
Chemically sequestered	20-40	1000-3000

^a Within macroaggregates but external to microaggregates, including particulate, light fraction, and microbial C.

^b Within microaggregates, including sequestered light fraction and microbially derived C.

1 Figure Legends

2 Fig. 1. Mechanisms of soil organic matter stabilization.

3 Fig. 2. Conceptual diagram of soil aggregate hierarchy.

4 Fig. 3. Conceptual model of microaggregate turnover as proposed by Golchin et al. (1994b).


5 Fig. 4. Changes in percentage of macroaggregates and accumulation of total soil organic carbon with time since
6 last cultivation and planting to grassland. Error bars indicate standard errors ($n = 10$). Reprinted with
7 permission from Jastrow (1996).

8 Fig. 5. Conceptual path model of hypothesized causal relationships among roots, the external hyphae of
9 mycorrhizal fungi, soil organic carbon, microbial biomass, and microbially derived polysaccharides (hot-
10 water soluble carbohydrate carbon) and their effects on the formation of water-stable macroaggregates.
11 Causal relationships are indicated by single-headed arrows, and existing but unanalyzed correlations are
12 indicated by double-headed arrows. Adapted from Jastrow et al. (1997).


13 Fig. 6. Results of the combination of wet sieving and turbidimetric techniques as an approach to assessing the
14 relative stability of different size classes of aggregates in a chronosequence of restored tallgrass prairie on
15 long-term cultivated soils ($n = 5$). Within each size fraction, bars indicated by the same letter are not
16 significantly different on the basis of Fisher's protected least significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$).

17

Biochemical Recalcitrance



Chemical Stabilization



Physical Protection






Fig 1

-  **Microaggregates**
~ 90-250 and 20-90 μm
-  **Plant and fungal debris**
-  **Silt-sized microaggregates with microbially derived organomineral associations**
-  **Clay microstructures**
-  **Particulate organic matter colonized by saprophytic fungi**
-  **Mycorrhizal hyphae**
-  **Pore space; polysaccharides and other amorphous interaggregate binding agents**



Plant root







Fig. 4

Fig. 5






Fig. 6