


CONF-951215-9



ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

New Tools for the Analysis and Design of Building Envelopes

K. Papamichael, F.C. Winkelmann, W.F. Buhl,
H. Chauvet, D. Collins, K.L. Ellington, J. LaPorta,
J. Sadlier, S. Selkowitz, J. Thorpe, T. Trzcinski,
J.J. Hirsch, S.A. Criswell, J.S. McMenamin, I. Rohmund,
A. Bhimani, B. Spurlock, and D.J. Borstein
Energy and Environment Division

REC
SEP 20 1996
OSTI

August 1994
Presented at the
*Thermal Performance of
the Exterior Envelopes of
Buildings VI Conference,*
Clearwater Beach, FL,
December 4-8, 1995,
and to be published in
the Proceedings

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
is an equal opportunity employer.

Original version presented at the Thermal Performance of the Exterior Envelopes of Buildings VI Conference, December 4-8, 1995, Clearwater Beach, Florida, and published in the Proceedings. This revised version incorporates a few text changes and updated graphs.

New Tools for the Analysis and Design of Building Envelopes

K. Papamichael, F.C. Winkelmann, W.F. Buhl, H. Chauvet, D. Collins, K.L. Ellington, J. LaPorta,
J. Sadlier, S. Selkowitz, J. Thorpe, T. Trzcinski

*Building Technologies Program
Energy and Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA*

J.J. Hirsch

J.J. Hirsch & Associates, Camarillo, CA

S.A. Criswell, J.S. McMenamin, I. Rohmund

Regional Economic Research, Inc., San Diego, CA

A. Bhimani, B. Spurlock

Southern Company Services, Atlanta, GA

D.J. Borstein

D.J. Borstein & Associates, Los Altos, CA

August 1994

Portions of work described here were supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technologies, Building Systems and Materials Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098; the Electric Power Research Institute; Southern California Edison Co.; Pacific Gas & Electric Co.; Bonneville Power Administration; Southern Company Services; and the California Institute for Energy Efficiency (CIEE), a research unit of the University of California. (CIEE is a consortium of the CPUC, the ICEC, and California utilities, including LADWP, SCE, SCG, SMUD, and PG&E. Publication of research results does not imply CIEE endorsement of or agreement with these findings, nor that of any CIEE sponsor.)

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

New Tools for the Analysis and Design of Building Envelopes

K. Papamichael, F.C. Winkelmann, W.F. Buhl, H. Chauvet, D. Collins, K.L. Ellington, J. LaPorta,
J. Sadlier, S. Selkowitz, J. Thorpe, T. Trzcinski

*Building Technologies Program
Energy and Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA*

J.J. Hirsch

J.J. Hirsch & Associates, Camarillo, CA

S.A. Criswell, J.S. McMenamin, I. Rohmund

Regional Economic Research, Inc., San Diego, CA

A. Bhimani, B. Spurlock

Southern Company Services, Atlanta, GA

D.J. Borstein

D.J. Borstein & Associates, Los Altos, CA

ABSTRACT

We describe the integrated development of PowerDOE, a new version of the DOE-2 building energy analysis program, and the Building Design Advisor (BDA), a multimedia-based design tool that assists building designers with the concurrent consideration of multiple design solutions with respect to multiple design criteria.

PowerDOE has a windows-based Graphical User Interface (GUI) that makes it easier to use than DOE-2, while retaining DOE-2's calculation power and accuracy. BDA, with a similar GUI, is designed to link to multiple analytical models and databases. In its first release it is linked to PowerDOE and a Daylighting Analysis Module, as well as to a Case Studies Database and a Schematic Graphic Editor. These allow building designers to set performance goals and address key building envelope parameters from the initial, schematic phases of building design to the detailed specification of building components and systems required by PowerDOE.

The consideration of the thermal performance of building envelopes through PowerDOE and BDA is integrated with non-thermal envelope performance aspects, such as daylighting, as well as with the performance of non-envelope building components and systems, such as electric lighting and HVAC. Future versions of BDA will support links to CAD and electronic product catalogs, as well as provide context-dependent design advice to improve performance.

INTRODUCTION

Since the energy crises of the 1970's, a large number of energy-efficient strategies and technologies have been developed that promise significant reductions in building energy consumption and peak electricity demand. Many of these strategies and technologies are designed for improved thermal performance of building envelopes. The performance of any technology, however, depends on the context of its application. Unless thermal and energy benefits can be accurately predicted, along with other, non-energy performance aspects—such as comfort, esthetics and economics—most building designers will not accept the risks associated with approaches that they have not implemented or considered in the past. However, the required modeling for the prediction of building performance is often seen as prohibitively expensive, because it requires time consuming use of complicated methods by specialized consultants. A powerful way to promote energy efficiency in buildings is to provide affordable tools that allow architects and engineers to quickly evaluate energy and non-energy performance during the design process. Due to the magnitude and complexity of the algorithms required for accurate prediction, such tools can only be implemented in the form of computer programs.

The rapid decrease of the cost of computing power over the last decade has brought computers into most architectural and engineering offices, initially for word processing and other “business” tasks, and gradually for drafting, rendering, and preparation of construction documents. Over the last few years the continuously increasing computational power of personal computers has become adequate to support the execution of powerful building simulation programs, such as DOE-2—for energy calculations—(Birdsall et al 1990), SUPERLITE—for daylight simulation—(Modest 1982), RADIANCE—for lighting analyses and photo-realistic rendering— (Ward 1990), and COMIS—for air flow simulation—(Feustel 1992). Such programs were originally developed on mainframe computers for research purposes and provide powerful, detailed modeling and highly accurate performance prediction. However, they are very hard to use because they require preparing complicated text files to describe the building and its context, and provide output in the form of numerical tables that are hard to review and understand. Moreover, such models use building representations that are incompatible with each other and thus require multiple, specialized descriptions of the building and its context. As a result, such programs are of limited use in the building industry. They are generally used only by experienced consultants for large projects that can justify and support the high associated cost.

The need to control energy use and peak demand in buildings has led the US Department of Energy (DOE) and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) into a collaborative effort to develop PowerDOE, a new version of the DOE-2 building energy analysis program, featuring a windows-based Graphical User Interface (GUI) and various algorithmic and database improvements. Through its ease of use and tremendous reduction in time requirements for input preparation and output review, PowerDOE is expected to extend the use of DOE-2 beyond energy specialists to the majority of consultants and engineers involved in building design.

To extend the use of energy tools to architectural decision-makers in the schematic design phases, additional capabilities not available in PowerDOE are needed. DOE and the California Institute for Energy Efficiency (CIEE) have initiated a collaborative effort to develop the Building Design Advisor (BDA), a building design support environment that is intended to meet this need. Using a single, object-based¹ representation of the building, BDA allows designers to concurrently use multiple

¹ In an object-based representation, the building is seen as a collection of *objects*, such as “walls,” “windows,” etc., which have *attributes*, such as “area,” “U-value,” etc., as well as *relations* among them, such as “part of,” “kind of,” etc.

performance analysis models, databases and case studies to compare alternative design solutions with respect to multiple performance considerations.

POWERDOE

PowerDOE combines an enhanced DOE-2.1E simulation engine with a visually oriented user interface, unifying the building description and building analysis processes and allowing dynamic interaction between them.

The PowerDOE interface includes a graphical presentation of the building that reduces the time needed to prepare an accurate building description. The graphical presentation is organized in a hierarchy that groups the building elements in a way that is intuitive and familiar to designers and analysts. Architecturally, each building consists of one or more floors and each floor consists of one or more spaces. Each space is bounded by walls and each wall can have one or more attached windows and/or doors (Figure 1). Each wall and window is further described as a collection of one or more layers of material (Figure 2). HVAC equipment and corresponding graphics (not shown) are grouped by air and water flow paths that supply the building's heating, cooling, and ventilation requirements. Electricity and fuel supply and corresponding graphics (not shown) are grouped to reflect the building circuits and provide end-use consumption and demand estimates. The program includes on-line, context-sensitive help, providing detailed information on all interface screens and data entry fields.

The interface allows a system administrator to customize the input screens, so that new screens can be added or existing screens altered, including the hiding, protecting, and moving of parameters. User inputs and parameter defaults are context dependent and can be specified as expressions involving other parameters and computed values. This provides a powerful capability to generalize inputs and defaults, as well as enabling rapid parametric analyses. A spreadsheet GUI element allows the user to easily specify multiple parametric runs varying building envelope and/or equipment performance characteristics.

For rapid input, the user can select building elements from libraries of prototypical building components and systems, including materials, wall and window constructions, lighting fixtures, operation schedules, systems, system components (fans, thermostats, etc.), and plant components (boilers, chillers, cooling towers, storage tanks, etc.). These are presented in spreadsheet style, allowing the user to quickly modify the data to conform to the actual design of interest. Once a prototype has been customized it can be simulated, entered in the libraries for later reuse, or modified in more detail using the user interface.

The simulation engine performs an hourly time step calculation based on techniques used in the DOE-2 and micro-AXCESS programs. It is structured as a process callable from the user interface to perform design calculations as well as energy-use calculation runs. The user interface calls the simulation engine to perform zone-by-zone peak load calculations and to provide the loads data used to perform default HVAC equipment sizing. In this way, as the user passes from the architectural input phase to the HVAC description phase, all loads and resulting equipment sizing are presented and changeable prior to the energy use analysis. During the simulation phase, all building components are simulated together at each time step. The simulation speed is substantially faster than other DOE-2 program implementations.

In addition to its ability to accurately simulate a wide variety of HVAC system configurations, PowerDOE incorporates important new capabilities in building envelope modeling, as well as significant improvements to old modeling techniques. These include:

- Shading of direct and diffuse solar radiation by obstructions like overhangs and neighboring buildings; visual display of shadows cast by obstructions.
- Daylighting: stepped and dimming control of electric lighting; visual display of daylighting and electric lighting illuminance distributions.
- Window management: deployment of window shading devices, like blinds and drapes, to reduce solar gain.
- Ability to model complex, dynamic glazings, such as switchable ones (electrochromics, etc.).
- Links to WINDOW 4.1 for detailed properties of non-standard glazings and windows.
- Ability to view the current design as a 3-D model, to verify correct placement of walls and windows.
- Wall and window selection guides that provide detailed technical and graphic data on each wall and window entry.

A separate PowerDOE program module allows the user to review results and prepare customized reports. Any hourly, monthly, or annual results, or comparisons of several results, can be viewed, graphed, and printed. Standard Windows capabilities allow PowerDOE graphs and reports to be easily cut and pasted into presentation documents.

THE BUILDING DESIGN ADVISOR (BDA)

BDA supports the use of analytical tools, such as PowerDOE, from the initial, schematic phases of building design, through the combination of a Schematic Graphic Editor (SGE) and a Prototypical Values Database (PVD). In addition to the geometric specifications of buildings, analysis tools require the specification of many non-geometric attributes, such as thermal properties of walls and windows, occupancy schedules, etc. Through SGE, BDA allows the user to quickly specify the basic geometric attributes of spaces, windows, doors, etc., while it automatically assigns default values from PVD to all non-geometric parameters, based on location, building type and space type. The default values assigned by BDA can be edited at any time through the Building Browser, which is described later in this section.

BDA is based on a comprehensive design theory developed over several years in collaboration with the Department of Architecture of the University of California, Berkeley, CA (Papamichael and Protzen 1993) and uses a "real-world," object-based representation of the building and its context that is "mapped" onto the specialized representations of the analytical models linked to it. In this way, BDA shields building designers from the complexity of the different building representations used by the individual analysis and visualization tools, allowing them to concentrate on design decisions through the consideration of multiple alternative solutions with respect to multiple performance aspects. Moreover, BDA is linked to a multimedia Case Studies Database that follows the same object-based representation of the building and its context. In this way, building designers can compare their designs to existing buildings, and create an appropriate, realistic context for performance evaluation. The Case Studies Database serves as an electronic magazine and supports the use of images, sound and video for enhanced coverage of building case studies. In addition to the

Schematic Graphic Editor, the Prototypical Values Database, and the Case Studies Database, the initial version of BDA is linked to PowerDOE and a Daylight Analysis Module, which extends beyond the capabilities of PowerDOE to provide spatial and temporal distributions of daylight workplane illuminance and glare index for a large number of interior reference points, as well as temporal distributions of electric lighting savings for a large number of electric lighting zones.

The Graphical User Interface (GUI) of BDA is comprised of two major elements: the Browser and the Desktop. The Browser allows building designers to quickly navigate through the multitude of descriptive and performance parameters addressed by the analysis and visualization tools linked to BDA (Figure 3). Through the Browser, building designers can edit the values of input parameters and select any number of input and output parameters to display in the Desktop for decision making. The Desktop is a spreadsheet-like GUI element, whose columns represent alternative design solutions or case studies of actual buildings, and whose rows represent characteristics of the building and its context that are either input or output parameters of the analysis and visualization tools linked to BDA (Figure 4). Through the Desktop, building designers can compare multiple design solutions, as well as case studies of actual buildings, with respect to multiple design considerations addressed by the analysis and visualization tools linked to BDA. The Desktop supports a variety of data types, including 2-D and 3-D distributions, images, sound, and video.

BDA is developed using state-of-the-art, object-oriented programming that supports incremental development for future links to additional analytical models and databases. Links to CAD and manufacturers catalogs are currently being explored along with the development of "advice modules" for context-dependent design advice. Future plans also include links to other analytical models, such as SUPERLITE, RADIANCE and COMIS.

BDA and PowerDOE communicate through Dynamic Link Libraries (DLLs) that support concurrent, integrated use of all available resources (Figure 5). Through coordinated development, PowerDOE and BDA capitalize on each other's functionality to support the variety of potential users in the building industry, throughout the building design process. Distribution and licensing are aimed at an affordable software environment with sufficient support to ensure wide-spread use in the building industry. Distribution plans also include collaboration with architectural and engineering departments for appropriate training of the next generations of building designers and decision-makers.

The initial versions of PowerDOE and BDA are scheduled for release in the fall of 1996. Both programs run under Microsoft Windows 3.1 or higher, and require a 486 or Pentium CPU and a color VGA monitor. BDA requires 8 megabytes of memory, while PowerDOE requires 12 megabytes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Portions of work described here were supported by: the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technologies of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098; the Electric Power Research Institute; Southern California Edison Co.; Pacific Gas & Electric Co.; Bonneville Power Administration; Southern Company Services; and the California Institute for Energy Efficiency (CIEE), a research unit of the University of California (CIEE is a consortium of the CPUC, the CEC, and California utilities, including LADWP, SCE, SCG, SMUD, and PG&E. Publication of research results does not imply CIEE endorsement of or agreement with these findings, nor that of any CIEE sponsor).

Karl Johnson, from the Electric Power Research Institute, Mark Bailey and Dru Crawley, from the US Department of Energy, and Carl Blumstein, from the California Institute for Energy Efficiency have been particularly helpful with the management and coordination of these efforts.

The exploration for future development of CAD links and advice modules of BDA is performed in collaboration with Paul Teicholz, Mark Clayton and Tony Rondonuwu at the Center for Integrated Facility Engineering, at Stanford University.

REFERENCES

Birdsall, B.; Buhl, W.F.; Ellington, K.L.; Erdem, A.E.; and Winkelmann, F.C. 1990. "Overview of the DOE-2 building energy analysis program, version 2.1D." Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report no. LBL-19735, Rev. 1, 1990.

Feustel, H.E. 1992. "Annex 23 multizone airflow modeling—an international effort." *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Air flow in Multizone Structures*, Budapest.

Modest, M. 1982. "A general model for the calculation of daylighting in interior spaces." *Energy and Buildings*, Vol. 5, pp. 66-79.

Papamichael, K.M. and Protzen, J.P. 1993. "The limits of intelligence in design." *Proceedings of the Focus Symposium on "Computer-Assisted Building Design Systems"* *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Systems Research, Informatics and Cybernetics*, Baden-Baden, Germany.

Ward, G. J. 1990. "Visualization." *Lighting Design and Application*, Vol. 20, No. 6, pp. 4-20.





Figure 1. The PowerDOE interface provides graphical feedback on the placement of building envelope elements in perspective, plan, and elevation views. This elevation view of a wall shows placement of windows and doors, which can be moved and sized by clicking and dragging or by entering geometrical data.

Figure 2. PowerDOE screen in which the layer-by-layer construction of a wall is specified. The graph in the lower right shows the thermal response of the selected construction (heat transfer through interior surface of wall for unit increase of outside surface temperature).






Figure 3. The Browser allows building designers to quickly navigate through the large number of parameters used to describe a building and its context, as well as its performance.




Figure 4. The Desktop allows building designers to compare multiple design solutions with respect to multiple design considerations towards decision making.




Figure 5. PowerDOE and BDA communicate through Dynamic Link Libraries (DLLs). BDA uses the same approach to communicate with the Schematic Graphic Editor and the Daylight Analysis Model.