

# A TRITIUM VESSEL CLEANUP EXPERIMENT IN TFTR

by

**M. CAORLIN, J. KAMPERSCHROER, D.K. OWENS, ET AL.**

Published in the Proceedings of the 21st European Physical Society's  
Division of Plasma Physics Meeting (June 27-July 1, 1994,  
Montpellier, France), published by EPS 1994.

**Work supported by U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-  
AC02-76-CH0-3073.**

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**MASTER**

**Princeton University  
Plasma Physics Laboratory**

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

*me*

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

# A TRITIUM VESSEL CLEANUP EXPERIMENT IN TFTR

M. Caorlin, J. Kamperschroer, D.K. Owens, C.W. Barnes<sup>1</sup>, D. Voorhees, D. Mueller, A. T. Ramsey, P.H. La Marche, M.J. Loughlin<sup>2</sup>.

Princeton University, Plasma Physics Laboratory, PO Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA.

<sup>1</sup> Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA.

<sup>2</sup> JET Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, Oxon, UK.

**1. Introduction.** The Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor began operations with deuterium and tritium mixtures in November 1993. A series of high power DT experiments injected about 13.3 TBq (360 Ci) of tritium into the torus by 21 D-T neutral beam heated pulses, interspersed with 55 D-D pulses. As a result, some tritium was implanted into the walls and limiters. These experiments were followed by a short tritium cleanup experiment, which had to comply with operational constraints and with the need to maintain the high level of machine conditioning achieved. In the cleanup experiment, a series of deuterium ohmic shots interspersed with deuterium neutral beam injection shots was used to study the removal of tritium from the vessel.

**2. Experimental.** Table 1 lists the discharge parameters in the cleanup experiment.

The discharge sequence consisted of two deuterium neutral beam fueled shots, for tritium diagnostic purposes, followed by four ohmic shots, for cleanup. This sequence was repeated 5 times. In beam-fueled shots, the beam power was varied between 2.5 and 7.5 MW. The number of DT-neutrons produced by the D neutral beam

| PARAMETER                                | UNIT | VALUE              |
|--|------|--------------------|
| 1. Plasma current, $I_p$                 | MA   | 1.70               |
| 2. Major radius, $R_p$                   | m    | 2.62               |
| 3. Minor radius, $a_p$                   | m    | 0.97               |
| 4. Toroidal field, $B_t$                 | T    | 4.50               |
| 5. Deuterium beam power, $P_{NB}$        | MW   | 0 - 7.5            |
| 6. Beam pulse length, $t_{beam}$         | s    | 1.0                |
| 7. Flat-top duration, $t_{ft}$           | s    | 1.8                |
| 8. Deuterium pre-fill pressure, $p_{D2}$ | Pa   | $8 \times 10^{-4}$ |

**Table 1:** Discharge parameters of the cleanup experiment.

injection was measured by a calibrated neutron-activation detection system, based on <sup>28</sup>Si(n,p) activity, with 10 % accuracy, 7% shot-by-shot precision and a dynamic range of five decades [1]. This activation reaction with an energy threshold of 4.1 MeV, allows the rejection of any DD neutrons present. The ohmic discharges were adapted from

standard TFTR He cleanup shots to have a major radius of 2.62 m. For both beam and ohmic shots, the pre-fill gas was deuterium, to increase the tritium cleanup efficiency by isotopic exchange and desorption of DT molecules. The plasma major and minor radii were such that a very large plasma was created, so to "scrub" the largest possible area inside the torus. Figure 1 is a schematic of the TFTR vacuum system.

Following the high power D-T experiments, the cryopanel of beam line number 1, (NBL 1 in figure 1), were regenerated. During the cleanup experiment only NBL 1 was open to the torus for injection and to provide pumping by the cryopanel. At the end of the cleanup discharges, NBL 1 was isolated from the torus and the beam line liquid-helium cryopanel was regenerated by puffing about 600 torr liters of He at 20 °C into the beam line. This raised the cryopanel temperature to above 20 K and released most of the molecular deuterium and tritium.




Figure 1: Schematic view of TFTR's vacuum system in use for this experiment.

The pressure in the sealed beam box raised to about 1 torr in this period. The beam box was evacuated in about 1 hour and the gas routed, through the pump RP, to one of the two Gas Holding Tanks (GHT) of the tritium system. The vacuum lines to the GHTs were purged with nitrogen to increase the tritium recovery efficiency. The gas received in the GHT was analyzed for quantity by PVT measurements, for gas composition by a calibrated quadrupole mass analyzer and for tritium content by means of an *in-situ* calibrated ionization chamber [2], placed in the Tritium Analysis Station TAS.

**3. Results.** After 34 pulses, about  $1 \text{ TBq} \pm 1.8 \text{ TBq}$  ( $28 \text{ Ci} \pm 50 \text{ Ci}$ ) of tritium was recovered from the beam line cryopanel. The high estimated uncertainty ( $1.8 \text{ TBq}$ ) is typical of measuring the amount of tritium recovered as difference between the tritium content of the GHT before and after regenerating the cryopanel. This amount represents about 8% of the amount of tritium previously injected by neutral beams into the torus during the high power DT experiments. The measurement of the amount of tritium recovered is however strongly influenced by the following factors: 1) efficiency of NB cryopanel regeneration, 2) efficiency in recovering tritium from vacuum lines by nitrogen purges, 3) accuracy of tritium sampling and analysis in the dynamic system

associated with operation of the GHTs. The importance of these factors is unknown for the present measurements. The experience of this experiment suggests that in order to carry out measurements of tritium inventory in the torus based on differences between input and exhaust, a dedicated system is needed, comprising more than one plasma exhaust tank to receive only effluents from the torus and the beam lines. In addition, the amount of tritium retained in the torus is much smaller than that processed through the GHTs, i.e. on the order of 3%, hence such system must have an accuracy of the order of 1% or better.

Figure 2 shows the variation of beam power  $P_{NB}$  expressed in MW, of the plasma effective charge  $Z_{eff}$  and of the electron central density  $n_{e0}$ , as functions of the shot number. The cleanup was executed at  $n_{e0} = 2.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ , except for one shot where the beam power was 2.5 MW. The effective charge  $Z_{eff}$  increased during the experiment from 2.5 to about 4.3, indicating that the plasma composition was passing from deuterium- to carbon-dominated. This was confirmed by the fact that the carbon CII light increased slightly while the  $H_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}$  light decreased. The  $H/(H+D)$  ratio measured spectroscopically, remained constant around 12–14%, a value typical of a well-conditioned vessel in TFTR. As a consequence the  $D_{\alpha}$  light decreased, an indication of a decreased deuterium influx from the wall and limiters.

Figure 3 is a plot of the number of DT neutrons,  $N_{DT}$ , generated by the 1-second beam pulse and of the ratio  $N_{DT}/P_{NB}$ . The latter accounts for beam power variations and has the same decreasing trend as that of  $N_{DT}$ . The value of  $N_{DT}$ , indicative of the tritium concentration in the plasma, decreased during the experiment from  $4 \times 10^{15}$  to about  $1 \times 10^{15}$ , remaining well above the expected T-burnup level of  $1 \times 10^{13}$ . This decrease indicated that the amount of tritium available to the plasma from wall and limiters had diminished by the end of the cleanup experiment. After a fast initial decay in the number of neutrons, the rate of decay diminished. The tritium concentration in the plasma was too small to be detected spectroscopically, i.e. was below 2%. This kind of discharge is useful to diminish the tritium recycling for fueling control, but is not efficient in removing tritium from low flux, co-deposition areas where most of the tritium retained in the torus is expected to be located [3]. For that task, other methods such as He/O glow discharge cleaning, are necessary. This conclusion is very important for all-carbon machines and for TFTR, especially in view of tritium-abatement needs which may arise before decommissioning.


**4. Summary.** A simple tritium cleanup experiment was carried out in TFTR following the initial high power deuterium-tritium discharges in December 1993. A series of 34 ohmic and deuterium neutral beam fueled shots was used to study the removal of tritium implanted into the wall and limiters. A very large plasma was created in each discharge to "scrub" an area as large as possible. Beam-fueled shots at 2.5 to 7.5 MW of injected power were used to monitor tritium concentration levels in the plasma by detection of DT-neutrons. The neutron signal decreased by a factor of 4 during the experiment, remaining well above the expected T-burnup level. The amount of tritium recovered at the end of the cleanup was about 8% of the amount previously injected with high power DT discharges. The experience gained suggests that measurements of tritium inventory in the torus are very difficult to execute and require

dedicated systems with overall accuracy of 1%.


**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by US DoE contract no. DE-AC02-76CH03073.

**References.**

- [1] C.W. Barnes et al., "Operation and cross calibration of the activation foil system on TFTR", Rev. Sci. Instr., 61 (1990), 3190.
- [2] J.L. Anderson et al., "Operation of the TFTR tritium system during initial tritium experiments", presented at the 3rd International Symposium on Fusion Nuclear Technology, UCLA, Los Angeles, USA, June 27 – July 1, 1994.
- [3] W.R. Wampler et al., "Deposition of carbon, deuterium and metals on the walls and limiters of TFTR", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 6 (1988), 2111



**Figure 2:** Variation of deuterium neutral beam injected power  $P_{NB}$  (O), central electron density  $n_{e0}$  (●) and effective charge  $Z_{eff}$  (▽) during the experiment.



**Figure 3:** Plot of the number of DT neutrons  $N_{DT}$  (□) and of the ratio of  $N_{DT}$  to beam power  $P_{NB}/MW$  (■) measured during the experiment.

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-420

Dr. F. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. R.C. Cross, Univ. of Sydney, AUSTRALIA  
 Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst. for Theoretical Physics, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. M. Heindler, Institut für Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM  
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab. de Phy. Plasmas, BELGIUM  
 Commission-European, DG. XII-Fusion Prog., BELGIUM  
 Prof. R. Bouciqué, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, BELGIUM  
 Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, BRAZIL  
 Prof. Dr. I.C. Nascimento, Instituto Fisica, Sao Paulo, BRAZIL  
 Instituto Nacional De Pesquisas Espaciais-INPE, BRAZIL  
 Documents Office, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., CANADA  
 Ms. M. Morin, CCFM/Tokamak de Varennes, CANADA  
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA  
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, CANADA  
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA  
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, CANADA  
 Prof. T.W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA  
 Dr. R. Bolton, Centre canadien de fusion magnétique, CANADA  
 Dr. C.R. James,, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA  
 Dr. P. Lukác, Komenského Univerzita, CZECHO-SLOVAKIA  
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND  
 Dr. S.C. Sharma, Univ. of South Pacific, FIJI ISLANDS  
 P. Mähönen, Univ. of Helsinki, FINLAND  
 Prof. M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique,, FRANCE  
 C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionisés, FRANCE  
 J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE  
 Prof. E. Economou, Univ. of Crete, GREECE  
 Ms. C. Rinni, Univ. of Ioannina, GREECE  
 Preprint Library, Hungarian Academy of Sci., HUNGARY  
 Dr. B. DasGupta, Saha Inst. of Nuclear Physics, INDIA  
 Dr. P. Kaw, Inst. for Plasma Research, INDIA  
 Dr. P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Technology, ISRAEL  
 Librarian, International Center for Theo Physics, ITALY  
 Miss C. De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA , ITALY  
 Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, ITALY  
 Prof. G. Rostangni, Istituto Gas Ionizzati Del Cnr, ITALY  
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Devel Center, JAPAN  
 Prof. I. Kawakami, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN  
 Prof. K. Nishikawa, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN  
 Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, JAPAN  
 Director, Japan Atomic Energy Research Inst., JAPAN  
 Prof. S. Itoh, Kyushu Univ., JAPAN  
 Research Info. Ctr., National Instit. for Fusion Science, JAPAN  
 Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN  
 Library, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN  
 Prof. N. Inoue, Univ. of Tokyo, JAPAN  
 Secretary, Plasma Section, Electrotechnical Lab., JAPAN  
 Dr. O. Mitarai, Kumamoto Inst. of Technology, JAPAN  
 Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Sci. Ctr., KOREA  
 J. Hyeon-Sook, Korea Atomic Energy Research Inst., KOREA  
 D.I. Choi, The Korea Adv. Inst. of Sci. & Tech., KOREA  
 Prof. B.S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND  
 Inst of Physics, Chinese Acad Sci PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA  
 Library, Inst. of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA  
 Tsinghua Univ. Library, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 Z. Li, S.W. Inst Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Instituto Superior Tecnico, PORTUGAL  
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, S. AFRICA  
 Prof. D.E. Kim, Pohang Inst. of Sci. & Tech., SO. KOREA  
 Prof. C.I.E.M.A.T, Fusion Division Library, SPAIN  
 Dr. L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, SWEDEN  
 Library, Royal Inst. of Technology, SWEDEN  
 Prof. H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech., SWEDEN  
 Centre Phys. Des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech, SWITZERLAND  
 Bibliotheek, Inst. Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS  
 Asst. Prof. Dr. S. Cakir, Middle East Tech. Univ., TURKEY  
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Sci. Res. Inst. Electrophys. Apparatus, USSR  
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Branch of Academy of Sci., USSR  
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, I.V. Kurchatov Inst., USSR  
 Librarian, The Ukr.SSR Academy of Sciences, USSR  
 Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Inst. of General Physics, USSR  
 Kernforschungsanlage GmbH, Zentralbibliothek, W. GERMANY  
 Bibliothek, Inst. Für Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY  
 Prof. K. Schindler, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, W. GERMANY  
 Dr. F. Wagner, (ASDEX), Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY  
 Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY