ANL/ER/PP--90778

Differential sensitivity of aerobic gram-positive and gram-negative
 microorganisms to 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) leads to dissimilar growth and
 TNT transformation: Results of soil and pure culture studies.

- 4 5 MARK E. FULLER AND JOHN F. MANNING, JR. 6 RECEIVED 7 Environmental Research Division JAN 1 6 1997 8 Argonne National Laboratory USTI Argonne, IL 60439 9 10 USA 11 Corresponding author: 12 13 14 Mark E. Fuller 15 Environmental Research Division • ER/203-J070 Argonne National Laboratory 16 17 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439 18 Phone: (708) 252-1795. Fax: (708) 252-8895. 19 Electronic mail address: mark\_fuller@qmgate.anl.gov 20 21 22 Running title: Differential sensitivity to TNT
- 23 Submitted: July 30, 1996

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.



#### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

3 The effects of 2,4,6-trintrootoluene (TNT) on indigenous soil populations and pure bacterial cultures were examined. The number of colony-forming units 4 (CFU) appearing when TNT-contaminated soil was spread on 0.3% molasses 5 6 plates decreased by 50% when the agar was amended with 67  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, 7 whereas a 99% reduction was observed when uncontaminated soil was plated. 8 Furthermore, TNT-contaminated soil harbored a greater number of organisms able 9 to grow on plates amended with greater than 10 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. The percentage of 10 gram-positive isolates was markedly less in TNT-contaminated soil (7%; 2 of 30) 11 than in uncontaminated soil (61%; 20 of 33). Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 12 Pseudomonas corrugata, Pseudomonas fluorescens and Alcaligenes xylosoxidans 13 made up the majority of the gram-negative isolates from TNT-contaminated soil. 14 Gram-positive isolates from both soils demonstrated marked growth inhibition 15 when greater than 8-16  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> was present in the culture media. Most pure cultures of known aerobic gram-negative organisms readily degraded TNT and 16 17 evidenced net consumption of reduced metabolites. However, pure cultures of 18 aerobic gram-positive bacteria were sensitive to relatively low concentrations of 19 TNT as indicated by the 50% reduction in growth and TNT transformation which 20 was observed at approximately 10 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. Most non-sporeforming grampositive organisms incubated in molasses media amended with 80  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> or 21 22 greater became unculturable, whereas all strains tested remained culturable when 23 incubated in mineral media amended with 98  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that TNT sensitivity is likely linked to cell growth. These results indicate that gram-negative 24 25 organisms are most likely responsible for any TNT transformation in contaminated 26 soil, due to their relative insensitivity to high TNT concentrations and their ability 27 to transform TNT. Aerobic gram-positive organisms, however, are most likely

1 adversely affected by TNT contamination of soil and probably exist predominantly

I

2 as resistant resting stages.

### INTRODUCTION

1 2

3 The biodegradation of munitions has received increased attention recently, especially in light of the extensive contamination present at many military 4 5 installations. For over 50 years, the biological transformation and degradation of 6 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) and other munitions have been known to occur (27), 7 although the observation was also made that high levels of TNT had the potential 8 of inhibiting biological activity (28). Relatively recently, investigators have 9 attempted to study aerobic TNT transformation in depth by using complex systems 10 (6, 19) and pure cultures of *Pseudomonas* (11, 32), *Corynebacterium* (13), Mycobacterium (30), Streptomyces (24), and the white-rot fungus Phanerochaete 11 chrysosporium (21, 29). Anaerobic biodegradation has been examined using 12 consortia (5, 12), Clostridium (8, 20), Desulfovibrio (1, 3), Veillonella (20), an 13 unidentified sulfidogenic bacterium (26) and the archaeobacterium Methanococcus 14 (2). Klausmeier et al. (15) reported the effects of TNT on different classes of 15 16 microbes (unidentified gram-positive sporeformers, actinomycetes and several 17 genera of yeasts and fungi) and concluded that observable effects occurred at TNT 18 concentrations greater than 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. Interestingly, no comprehensive results have been published indicating the TNT transformation capacity of specific 19 20 microbial genera common to the soil environment or the potential effects of TNT 21 on these genera. Furthermore, most examinations of TNT-contaminated soils have 22 dealt with the isolation of specific pure or mixed cultures able to degrade TNT, 23 rather than the in situ microbial community before, during, and after remedial efforts to biodegrade TNT. This research was undertaken to test the hypotheses 24 that (i) aerobic gram-positive organisms are more susceptible to inhibition of both 25 26 growth and TNT transformation capacity than aerobic gram-negative organisms, and; (ii) the microbial community of TNT-contaminated soil is dominated by 27

1 gram-negative organisms.

2

3

4

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

5 Chemicals and media. All chemicals were reagent grade or purer. 2.4.6-6 Trinitrotoluene (TNT) was obtained from Chem Services, Inc. (West Chester, PA). 7 2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene (2ADNT) and 4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene (4ADNT) were supplied by the U.S. Naval Surface Warfare Center, Indian Head Division 8 9 (Indian Head, MD). Mineral medium (MM) (50 mM; pH 6.8) consisted of the following (g L-1): KH2PO4, 0.347; K2HPO4, 0.427; (NH4)2SO4, 1.234; 10 11 MgSO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.460; CaCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.176; FeSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.001; and 5 mL of a solution of trace metals (mg L<sup>-1</sup>: H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 60; CoCl•6H<sub>2</sub>O, 40; ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, 20; 12 13 MnCl<sub>2</sub>•4H<sub>2</sub>O, 6; NaMoO<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, 6; NiCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O, 4; CuCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, 2) made in 14 pure water (JT Baker, McGraw Park, IL). Soil extraction buffer (SEB) (50 mM; pH 6.8) consisted of 0.694 g of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 0.854 g of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> per liter of pure 15 water. The standard culture medium was 0.3% molasses (v:v) in deionized water; 16 agar was added at 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> when needed. Media containing TNT were autoclaved, 17 18 mixed for 24 hours to dissolve crystalline TNT, dispensed into appropriate 19 containers and re-autoclaved.

Soil. Soil contaminated with TNT was excavated from the ridge-and-furrow 20 21 area of Group 61 at the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant (JAAP). This area received large amounts of TNT-laden process water over a fifty year period, leaving the soil 22 23 contaminated with residual TNT to a depth of 6-8 inches. Uncontaminated soil 24 was taken from the upper bank of the ridge-and-furrow area. The initial TNT concentration in the contaminated soil was approximately 7800  $\mu$ g g<sup>1</sup>, while the 25 uncontaminated soil contained no detectable munitions compounds. Soil was air-26 27 dried, screened to remove roots and small rocks, rewetted to approximately 12%

1 moisture content (w:w), and stored at room temperature for 1 week prior to use.

2 Soil experiments. Soil (1.0 g dry weight) was added to 9 mL of SEB in a 3 screw cap tube and shaken horizontally for 5 minutes. One milliliter of this soil 4 suspension was serially diluted in SEB, and spread plates were made on half-5 strength tryptic soy agar and molasses agar amended with nominal concentrations 6 of 0, 10, 25 and 67  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. The remaining suspension was heat-shocked by 7 placing it in an 80°C water bath for 15 minutes, followed by immersion in cold 8 water. This suspension was also diluted and plated onto the same media. Plates 9 were incubated at room temperature, and colonies were counted each day until the number of colony-forming units (CFU) stabilized. Representative colonies from 10 11 all plates exhibiting growth were picked for purification and further testing.

After purification, isolates were gram stained. Isolates that produced anomalous results were stained again upon subculturing and the Ryu KOH test was also performed (25). Gram-negative isolates were identified by using the Biolog Identification System (Biolog, Inc., Hayward, CA) running MicroLog 1 (v. 3.5). All isolates were plated onto agar media amended with different concentrations of TNT to assess the sensitivity of the indigenous soil populations of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria.

Pure culture experiments. The bacterial genera, source, and growth media are listed in Table 1. Organisms purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were screened for growth in molasses media; those organisms not growing were cultured in the recommended media. Bacteria were subcultured at least three times before being used in experiments, and repeated purity checks were conducted to ensure that anexic cultures were being tested. Several trials were conducted because of the large number of cultures screened.

In each trial, bacteria were inoculated into triplicate 30 mL screw cap tubes containing 10 mL of the appropriate medium containing 8 different concentrations

of TNT. Tubes were shaken at a 45° angle on a specially equipped orbital shaker 1 2 (200 rpm; room temperature). Initial concentrations of TNT in each medium are 3 listed in Table 4. The optical density (600 nm) of the cultures was monitored on a 4 regular basis to assess growth. After two weeks of incubation, tubes were sampled 5 for residual TNT and metabolite concentrations. One milliliter of the well mixed 6 culture was spun for 2 minutes at 12,400 rpm in a microcentrifuge to pellet the 7 cells. A 0.5 mL volume of the supernatant was added to 0.5 mL of acetonitrile, 8 mixed by inverting and was analyzed as described below. Cultures exhibiting 9 growth inhibition were analyzed for culturable cells by dropping 20 µL of the liquid from each of the three replicate tubes onto TNT-free molasses agar plates 10 and examining the plates for growth for up to two weeks. Confirmatory trials were 11 12 conducted with bacteria showing extensive TNT transformation of high initial TNT 13 concentrations, as well as those gram-negative bacteria exhibiting TNT sensitivity.

14 Gram-positive bacteria were inoculated into molasses medium and MM amended with 0 and 80-98  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> to assess whether the apparent loss of 15 culturability caused by elevated TNT concentrations was dependent upon the 16 17 presence of utilizable carbon. The sensitivity to 2ADNT and 4ADNT, both at 18 concentrations of 5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, was also assessed in molasses medium and MM. After incubation for 2 weeks, culturability was assessed by dropping 10 µL 19 20 aliquots onto TNT- and monoaminodinitrotoluene-free plates and examining them 21 for colony formation for up to 2 weeks.

22 Analytical methods. TNT was analyzed by high performance liquid 23 chromatography with a Water Associates (Milford, MA) liquid chromatograph 24 equipped with two model 6000A solvent pumps, a model 990 variable-UV-array, 25 multiple-wavelength detector set at 254 nm, a data module, and a model 600E 26 system controller. The mobile phase was methanol:water (50:50). Sample aliquots 27 (50  $\mu$ L) were injected onto a Supelco LC-18 5  $\mu$ m column (Bellefonte, PA) at room temperature. The flow rate was 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Both quantitative and qualitative
 standards of TNT and metabolites were run periodically to validate retention time
 and conversion factors for each of the compounds.

4 **Data analysis.** Data were analyzed by ANOVA by using StatView (v. 4.0: 5 Abacus Concepts Inc., Berkeley, CA). Treatment means were tested for significant 6 differences at the 1% level by using the Fisher's protected least significant 7 difference post hoc procedure. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was used to 8 fit logistic curves to growth and TNT transformation data from gram-positive 9 organisms, from which effective concentrations causing 50% reduction in growth 10 and TNT transformation (EC50/growth and EC50/trans, respectively) were 11 determined.

12

#### 13 **RESULTS**

14

15 Soil experiments. The number of culturable heterotrophs growing on half-16 strength tryptic soy agar (TSA) and molasses agar was significantly greater ( $P \leq$ 17 0.0001) for uncontaminated soil than for TNT-contaminated soil (Figure 1A). 18 Uncontaminated and TNT-contaminated soil harbored similar numbers of 19 organisms able to grow on molasses agar amended with 10 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, whereas 20 TNT-contaminated soil contained 2 and 10 times more bacteria that were able to grow on molasses agar amended with 25 and 67  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, than 21 22 uncontaminated soil.

When soil was heat-shocked before plating, the number of bacteria from uncontaminated soil that grew on half-strength TSA were too numerous to count (>  $3 \times 10^6$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup>), compared with  $2 \times 10^4$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> from TNT-contaminated soil. The number of culturable heterotrophs from uncontaminated soil growing on molasses agar and molasses agar amended with 10 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> were 1 significantly greater (P  $\leq$  0.0001) than those from TNT-contaminated soil (Figure 2 1B). Bacteria able to grow on molasses agar amended with 25 and 67 µg TNT 3 mL<sup>-1</sup> were below detection (< 100 CFU g<sup>-1</sup>) for uncontaminated soil, while TNT-4 contaminated soil contained approximately 1500 and 50 CFU g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, that 5 were able to grow at these TNT concentrations.

After isolates from uncontaminated and TNT-contaminated soil were purified 6 and successfully gram stained, it was readily apparent that uncontaminated soil was 7 8 dominated by gram-positive bacteria (61% of the total), whereas the culturable 9 heterotrophs in TNT-contaminated soil were overwhelmingly gram-negative (93%) 10 of the total) (Table 2). When soil was heat-shocked before plating, the percentage of gram-positives was high for both soils (100% and 81% of the total isolates, 11 respectively). No gram-positive isolates were obtained from plates amended with 12 13 25 or 67  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> regardless of the source of inoculum. Approximately 10-12% of the isolates from both soils gave ambiguous results when gram stained. 14 The identities of the gram-negative isolates from the uncontaminated and TNT-15 contaminated soils was different, as revealed by Biolog GN plates (Table 3), and a 16 17 large proportion ( $\approx 50\%$ ) of the isolates from uncontaminated soil were not able to 18 be identified. The growth of gram-positive soil isolates (n = 48) was completely 19 inhibited on molasses plates amended with greater than  $12.1 \pm 4.5 \ \mu g \ TNT \ mL^{-1}$ , whereas 33 out of 34 of gram-negative isolates grew in the presence of the highest 20 21 TNT concentration tested (67  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>).

Pure culture experiments. All 31 strains tested were able to transform low initial TNT concentrations (Table 4). Net production of monoaminodinitrotoluenes was observed by 21 strains, while 10 strains evidenced net consumption of these compounds. The growth and TNT transformation capacity of gram-positive organisms were largely inhibited by initial TNT concentrations of approximately 10 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Most gram-negative organisms grew well and degraded a substantial

9

percentage of the TNT even in the presence of initial TNT concentrations of 66 µg 1 2  $mL^{-1}$ . However, Acinetobacter johnsonii, Cytophaga pectinovora and Flavobacterium odoratum exhibited greatly reduced growth and TNT 3 4 transformation capacity at higher initial TNT concentrations. In addition. Alcaligenes eutrophus grew at high TNT concentrations, but its transformation of 5 6 TNT decreased steadily as the TNT concentration increased. Most notable among 7 the gram-negative organisms were Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas cepacia, 8 Sphingomonas capsulata, Rahnella aquatilis BFB and Myxococcus xanthus, which transformed virtually 100% of the TNT, regardless of the initial TNT 9 concentration, and exhibited net consumption of 2ADNT and 4ADNT. 10

Gram-positive bacteria exhibited differential culturability when incubated in the 11 presence of TNT (Table 5). Of the 14 gram-positive bacteria tested, 100% were 12 13 culturable after 2 weeks of incubation in molasses media and MM, 12 of 14 (86%) remained culturable in MM plus 98  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> and only 3 of 14 (21%) were 14 15 culturable from molasses media amended with 80  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). All 13 16 gram-positive organisms remained culturable after incubation in both molasses and MM amended with a combined concentration of 2ADNT and 4ADNT of 10 µg 17 18 mL<sup>-1</sup>. Of the gram-negative bacteria which failed to grow in the presence of high 19 TNT concentrations, Ac. johnsonii, Cy. pectinovora, and F. odoratum cultures remained culturable after incubation with up to 66, 17 and 28 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, 20 21 respectively.

The data on percent maximum growth and percent initial TNT versus initial TNT concentration for individual gram-positive organisms were pooled and are presented in Figure 2. Using nonlinear regression on the pooled data revealed that the overall EC<sub>50/growth</sub> value was somewhat lower than the EC<sub>50/trans</sub> value (7.8 ± 0.4 vs. 9.5 ± 0.5  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively); this pattern was also observed when analyses were performed with data grouped by genera (Table 6). The regression analysis of the data verified that the gram-positive bacteria tested were severely
 inhibited by TNT concentrations greater than 10 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>.

3

## 4 **DISCUSSION**

5

6 Both the pure culture and soil studies of this research substantiated the 7 hypothesis that aerobic gram-positive bacteria are adversely affected by TNT. 8 Aerobic gram-positive soil isolates were never observed to grow on molasses agar 9 amended with confirmed concentrations of more than 20  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, although 10 the average concentration of TNT at which 100% inhibition was observed was 12.1 11  $\pm$  4.5 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. This observation roughly agrees with results from pure 12 cultures of known aerobic gram-positive organisms in which 50% growth inhibition was seen at a level of TNT of 7.8  $\pm$  0.4  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. Of great interest 13 was the fact that the EC<sub>50/growth</sub> and EC<sub>50/trans</sub> values of all the gram-positive 14 15 genera tested fell within such a narrow range, indicating that the mechanism of 16 inhibition is most likely due to the distinct characteristics of aerobic gram-positive 17 bacteria as a group. Additionally, since organism culturability was more severely reduced by TNT in media containing readily utilizable carbon than in carbon-free 18 media, the negative effects of TNT on aerobic gram-positive bacteria are probably 19 linked to cell growth. 20

The pure culture component of this research greatly expands and refines the conclusions of previously published data concerning aerobic gram-positive organisms. Six pure cultures of *Bacillus* sp. isolated from soil were shown by Klausmeier et al. (15) to exhibit growth-inhibition in the presence of 50 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> or more, and TNT transformation was directly proportional to cell growth. However, the *B. cereus* and *B. subtilis* tested in this research neither grew nor transformed TNT when initial TNT concentrations were greater than 8 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>,

although the bacteria were both culturable after exposure to even 66  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. 1 2 Pasti-Grigsby et al. (24) reported that several strains of actinomycetes isolated 3 from contaminated and uncontaminated soil, including Streptomyces chromofuscus, grew in the presence of 75 or even 100 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>, depending 4 on the medium composition, and degraded 95% of the 25  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> when 5 6 grown in rich media. Klausmeier et al. (15) also reported severe growth inhibition 7 of actinomycetes in media amended with greater than 50  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. The 8 present research, however, indicated that the growth of S. albus and S. griseus were 9 almost completely inhibited at TNT concentrations greater than 7 and 15 µg TNT 10 mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and that substantial transformation only occured below these levels. The apparent contradiction between these results may be attributed to strain 11 12 differences, but since neither paper states that TNT concentrations in growth media 13 were confirmed, we would question whether the concentrations of TNT assumed to 14 be in the media of both Klausmeier et al. (15) and Pasti-Grigsby et al. (24) were 15 actually present. In fact, we have conducted quite extensive evaluations of the 16 effects of medium composition and preparation on final TNT concentrations, and have found that TNT concentrations of 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> are abiotically reduced to 17 below 10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> when added to rich media (brain heart infusion broth and tryptic 18 soy broth) and autoclaved (unpublished results). A brief mention of the inability of 19 a Rhodococcus sp. to transform 100 µg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup> in a succinate-amended basal 20 21 media has been made by Dickel and Knackmuss (9). The four Rhodococcus spp. tested in this research were inhibited with respect to TNT transformation at a 22 concentration of around 11  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. Finally, to our knowledge this is the 23 24 first report of the growth and TNT transformation ability of the genera 25 Arthrobacter, Corynebacterium and Micrococcus.

26 Results obtained with TNT-contaminated and uncontaminated soil also indicate 27 that TNT is able to affect the microbial ecology of chronically contaminated

12

1 environments, in support of our second hypothesis. The shift from a gram-positive 2 dominated to a gram-negative dominated community confirms the soil studies of Klausmeier et al. (15). However, this research does not agree with the older study, 3 4 in that it clearly showed that the number of TNT-resistant bacteria in the TNT-5 contaminated soil was greater than that in uncontaminated soil and, further, that the 6 number of heat-shock resistant organisms was significantly lower in TNT-7 contaminated soil compared with uncontaminated soil. The difference in results 8 may be partially explained by noting that the older study employed experimentally 9 contaminated soil (10,000 µg TNT g<sup>-1</sup>; 25 day incubation), rather than soil with a 10 five-decade history of heavy TNT contamination. The fact that gram-positive 11 organisms are able to survive in soil contaminated with TNT concentrations far above the water solubility of TNT ( $\approx 100 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$ ) is quite interesting, since the 12 13 soil solution would most likely be saturated with TNT. Kaplan and Kaplan (14) 14 observed previously that strains of *Bacillus* and actinomycetes are cultivable from 15 soil containing concentrations of TNT as high as  $25,000 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$ . Most likely, the ability of these organisms to form spores or other resistant stages is what allows 16 17 them to survive. This ability is also believed to be the reason why the pure cultures 18 of B. cereus and B. subtilis tested in this research were viable after exposure to high TNT concentrations. 19

20 Pure culture and soil experiments also substantiated the hypotheses that aerobic gram-negative organisms are, generally, more resistant to the inhibitory effects of 21 TNT on growth and TNT transformation capacity. The published literature has 22 23 reported TNT transformation by strains of Alcaligenes (7), Desulfovibrio (1, 3), E. coli (20), Enterbacter (7), Pseudomonas (4, 7, 11, 20, 23), and Veillonella (20), as 24 25 well as the inhibitory effects of TNT on Vibrio fisheri (Microtox assay organism) 26 (10).This research adds Acinetobacter, Agrobacterium, Cytophaga, 27 Flavobacterium, Klebsiella, Myxococcus, Rahnella and Sphingomonas to the

genera of gram-negative bacteria that have been examined, so that a general 1 2 assessment of this group of organisms can be made. Most gram-negative cultures did exhibit some inhibition of TNT transformation capacity at the higher TNT 3 4 concentrations, although growth was not affected and in most cases was actually 5 stimulated. Measurable concentrations of monoaminodintrotoluenes were also 6 produced and were not degraded further. Some strains, including E. coli, R. 7 aquatilis BFB, Ps. cepacia, Sp. capsulata and Mx. xanthus performed very well, 8 degrading all the added TNT and producing no detectable metabolites. Cultures of A. eutrophus evidenced no transformation above initial TNT concentrations of 7 µg 9 10 mL<sup>-1</sup>, while Cy. pectinovora and Ac. johnsonii were growth inhibited and did not 11 transform TNT when initial concentrations were greater than 13 and 33  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These results are in agreement with the research already cited. 12 13 especially that of McCormick et al. (20), which reported wide variability between 14 strains. Of the gram-negative bacteria isolated from TNT-contaminated and 15 uncontaminated soil, all were able to grow on molasses agar amended with up to 16 67  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>. However, it was apparent that TNT-contaminated soil was 17 dominated by a few pseudomonads -- Ps. aeruginosa, Ps. corrugata, and Ps. fluorescens (type B, F and G) -- and by Al. xylosoxydans (ss den/pie), while 18 uncontaminated soil exhibited no dominance of one species over another. 19

20 Several explanations are possible for the differential sensitivity of aerobic 21 gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria to TNT, and these explanations are 22 currently under investigation in our laboratory. It cannot be generalized that gram-23 positive organisms are simply sensitive to nitro-substituted aromatic compounds, 24 because several of these compounds (p-nitrophenol, 2,4-dinitrophenol, 2,4,6-25 trinitrophenol, 2,4-dinitrotoluene, trinitrobenzene, etc.) are transformed or 26 completely mineralized at relatively high concentrations (> 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) by 27 aerobic gram-positive bacteria (9, 13, 16, 17). Also, the anaerobic gram-positive

1 bacterium Clostridium pastuerianum (20) and an anaerobic coculture of grampositive organisms (8) have been shown to grow and transform TNT at 2 3 concentrations of 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. The most obvious reason for the difference 4 between aerobic gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria is that the structure and 5 composition of the cell wall and the presence of an outer membrane somehow 6 protects gram-negative organisms from TNT. Gram-positive cell walls may 7 simply be more permeable to TNT than the cell wall and outer membrane of gram-8 negative organisms, thus allowing more TNT to enter and disrupt proper cell 9 functioning. The TNT may interfere with the proper assembly of the gram-positive 10 cell wall specifically during growth because TNT appears to affect only actively growing cells. The possibility also exists that the resistant gram-negative bacteria 11 12 may simply be less TNT-permeable than sensitive organisms, or they may possess 13 either (a) active transport systems which readily move any TNT that gets into the 14 cell back outside the cell or (b) enzyme which detoxify TNT. These three reasons 15 seem more likely because TNT is structurally similar to the antimicrobial agent 16 chloramphenicol, which is known to lose its effectiveness against strains with 17 chloramphenicol-impermeable cell membranes (18, 31), chloramphenicol efflux 18 pumps (18, 22), or enzymes that deactivate chloramphenicol intracellularly (31). 19 Clearly this phenomenon needs to be examined in more depth. The existence of 20 TNT-sensitive gram-negative organisms (Ac. johnsonii, Cy. pectinovora and F. 21 odoratum) and TNT-insensitive anaerobic gram-positive organisms (Cl. 22 pastuerianum) will assist in these efforts.

Considering that most TNT-contaminated soils have concentrations far in excess of the water solubility of TNT, we can reasonably assume that the soil solution is usually saturated with TNT and, hence, that indigenous aerobic grampositive organisms are likely to be severely inhibited with respect to both their growth and contribution to TNT transformation.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Michele Gurgas and Rip Warner, two Science and
Engineering Research Semester students, for their excellent assistance in
conducting these experiments.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Defense Army
Environmental Center, through interagency agreement MIPR4330 with the U.S.
Department of Energy. Argonne National Laboratory is operated by the University
of Chicago for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

-

### **REFERENCES**

- Boopathy, R., and C. Kulpa. 1992. Trinitrotoluene (TNT) as a sole nitrogen
   source for a sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio* sp. (B strain) isolated
   from an anaerobic digestor. Current Microbiol. 25:235-241.
- 6
- 7 2. Boopathy, R., and C. Kulpa. 1994. Biotransformation of 2,4,68 trinitrotoluene (TNT) by a *Methanococcus* sp. (strain B) isolated from a lake
  9 sediment. Can. J. Microbiol. 40:273-278.
- 10
- Boopathy, R., C. Kulpa, and M. Wilson. 1993. Metabolism of 2,4,6 trinitrotoluene (TNT) by *Desulfovibrio* sp. (B strain). Appl. Microbiol.
   Biotechnol. 39:270-275.
- 14
- Boopathy, R., J. Manning, Jr., C. Montemagno, and C. Kulpa. 1994.
   Metabolism of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene by a *Pseudomonas* consortium under aerobic conditions. Current Microbiol. 28:131-137.
- 18
- Boopathy, R., M. Wilson, and C. Kulpa. 1993. Anaerobic removal of
   2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) under different electron accepting conditions:
   laboratory study. Water Environment Research 65:271-275.
- 22
- Bradley, P., F. Chapelle, J. Landmeyer, and J. Schumacher. 1994.
   Microbial transformation of nitroaromatics in surface soils and aquifer
   materials. Applied and Environmental Micrbiology 60:2170-2175.
- 26
- 27 7. Collie, S., K. Donnelly, B. Bae, R. Autenrieth, and J. Bonner. 1995.

1		Degradation of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) in an aerobic reactor.
2		Chemosphere 31:3025-3032.
3		
4	8.	Crawford, R. 1995. Biodegradation of nitrated munition compounds and
5		herbicides by obligately anaerobic bacteria. p. 87-98. In J. Spain (ed.),
6		Biodegradation of nitroaromatic compounds, Plenum Press, New York.
7		
8	9.	Dickel, O., and H. Knackmuss. 1991. Catabolism of 1,3-dinitrobenzene by
9		Rhodococcus sp. QT-1. Arch. Microbiol. 157:76-79.
10		
11	10.	Drzyzga, O., T. Gorontzy, A. Schmidt, and K. Blotevogel. 1995. Toxicity
12		of explosives and related compounds to the luminescent bacterium Vibrio
13		fischeri NRRL-B-11177. Archives of Environmental Contamination and
14		Toxicology 28:229-235.
15		
16	11.	Duque, E., A. Haidour, F. Godoy, and J. Ramos. 1993. Construction of a
17		Pseudomonas hybrid strain that mineralizes 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene. J. Bacteriol.
18		<b>175:</b> 2278-2283.
19		
20	12.	Funk, S., D. Roberts, D. Crawford, and R. Crawford. 1993. Initial-phase
21		optimization for bioremediation of munition compound-contaminated soils.
22		Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:2171-2177.
23		
24	13.	Gundersen, K., and H. Jensen. 1956. A soil bacterium decomposing
25		organic nitro-compounds. Acta Agricultura Scandia 6:100-114.
26		
27	14.	Kaplan, D., and A. Kaplan. 1982. Thermophilic biotransformations of

1		2,4,6-trinitrotoluene under simulated composting conditions. Appl. Environ.
2		Microbiol. 44:757-760.
3		
4	15.	Klausmeier, R., J. Osmon, and D. Walls. 1974. The effect of
5		trinitrotoluene on microorganisms. Dev. Ind. Microbiol. 15:309-317.
6		
7	16.	Lenke, H., and H. Knackmuss. 1992. Initial hydrogenation during
8		catabolism of picric acid by Rhodococcus erythropolis HL 24-2. Appl.
9		Environ. Microbiol. 58:2933-2937.
10		
11	17.	Lenke, H., D. Pieper, C. Bruhn, and H. Knackmuss. 1992. Degradation of
12		2,4-dinitrophenol by two Rhodococcus strains, HL 24-1 and HL 24-2. Appl.
13		Environ. Microbiol. 58:2928-2932.
14		
15	18.	Li, X. 1994. Role of efflux pump(s) in intrinstic resistance of <i>Pseudomonas</i>
16		aeruginosa resistance to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and norfloxacin.
17		Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 38:1732-1741.
18		
19	19.	Manning, J., Jr., R. Boopathy, and C. Kulpa. July 1995. A laboratory
20		study in support of the pilot demonstration of a biological soil slurry reactor.
21		Argonne National Laboratory • Environmental Research Division. Report#,
22		SFIM-AEC-TS-CR-94038.
23		
24	20.	McCormick, N., F. Feeherry, and H. Levinson. 1976. Microbial
25		transformation of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene and other nitroaromatic compounds.
26		Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 31:949-958.
27		

1	21.	Michels, J., and G. Gottschalk. 1994. Inhibition of the lignin peroxidase of
2		Phanerochaete chrysosporium by hydroxylamino-dinitrotoluene, an early
3		intermediate in the degradation of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene. Appl. Environ.
4		Microbiol. 60:187-194.
5		
6	22.	Nikaido, H. 1994. Prevention of drug access to bacterial targets -
7		permeability barriers. Science 264:382-388.
8		
9	23.	Osmon, J., and R. Klausmeier. 1972. <sup>1</sup> The microbial degradation of
10		explosives. Dev. Ind. Microbiol. 14:247-252.
11		
12	24.	Pasti-Grigsby, M., T. Lewis, D. Crawford, and R. Crawford. 1996.
13		Transformation of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) by actinomycetes isolated from
14		TNT-contaminated and uncontaminated environments. Appl. Environ.
15		Microbiol. 62:1120-1123.
16		
17	25.	Powers, E. 1995. Efficacy of the Ryu nonstaining KOH technique for
18		rapidly determining gram reactions of food-borne and waterborne bacteria and
19		yeasts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:3756-3758.
20		· · · · ·
21	26.	Preuß, A., J. Fimpel, and G. Diekert. 1993. Anaerobic transformation of
22		2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT). Arch. Microbiol. 159:345-353.
23		
24	27.	Ruchhoft, C., M. LeBosquet, Jr., and W. Meckler. 1945. TNT wastes
25		from shell-loading plants. Ind. Eng. Chem. 37:937-943.
26		
27	28.	Schott, S., C. Ruchhoft, and S. Megregian. 1943. TNT wastes. Ind. Eng.
24 25 26 27	27. 28.	<ul> <li>Ruchhoft, C., M. LeBosquet, Jr., and W. Meckler. 1945. TNT wa from shell-loading plants. Ind. Eng. Chem. 37:937-943.</li> <li>Schott, S., C. Ruchhoft, and S. Megregian. 1943. TNT wastes. Ind. F</li> </ul>

1	
-	

- Chem. 35:1122-1127.
- 2 3

5

29. Spiker, J., D. Crawford, and R. Crawford. 1992. Influence of 2,4,6trinitrotoluene (TNT) concentration on the degradation of TNT in explosivecontaminated soils by the white rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:3199-3202.

- 6 7
- 8 30. Vorbeck, C., H. Lenke, P. Fischer, and H. Knackmuss. 1994.
  9 Identification of a hydride-Meisenheimer complex as a metabolite of 2,4,610 trinitrotoluene by a *Mycobacterium* strain. J. Bacteriol. 176:932-934.
- 11
- 31. Wolin, M., and T. Miller. 1985. Physical agents, disinfectants, and
  chemotherapeutic agents. p. 129-160. *In* B. Freeman (ed.), Burrow's textbook
  of microbiology. 22nd Edition. W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia.
- 15
- 32. Won, W., R. Heckly, D. Glover, and J. Hoffsommer. 1974. Metabolic
  disposition of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene. Appl. Microbiol. 27:513-516.

Table 1. Bacterial cultures, sources and growth media used in this research.

Organism	Source	Medium
Gram-positive		
Arthrobacter globiformis	ATCC (8010)	0.3% molasses
Arthrobacter sp. RP17	CA delta soil	0.3% molasses
Bacillus cereus	ATCC (14579)	0.3% molasses
Bacillus subtilis	ATCC (6051)	0.3% molasses
Corynebacterium glutamicum	ATCC (13032)	0.3% molasses
Corynebacterium sp. Nap2	CA agricultural soil	0.3% molasses
Micrococcus luteus	ATCC (4698)	nutrient broth
Rhodococcus erythropolis	ATCC (4277)	0.3% molasses
Rhodococcus globerulus	ATCC (25714)	0.3% molasses
Rhodococcus rhodocrous	ATCC (13808)	0.3% molasses
Rhodococcus sp. TF2	CA agricultural soil	0.3% molasses
Streptomyces albus	ATCC (3004)	0.3% molasses
Streptomyces griseus	ATCC (23345)	0.3% molasses
SP1b (coryneform)	CA agricultural soil	0.3% molasses
Gram-negative		

Acinetobacter johnsonii	A
Agrobacterium sp. 2PC	S
Alcaligenes eutrophus	A
Cytophaga pectinovora	A
Escherichia coli	A
Flavobacterium odoratum	A
Klebsiella sp. 1PC	S
Myxococcus xanthus	A
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	A
Pseudomonas cepacia	A

ATCC (17909)	0.3% molasses
oil-slurry bioreactor	0.3% molasses
ATCC (17697)	0.3% molasses
ATCC (19366)	0.3% molasses
ATCC (11775)	0.3% molasses
ATCC (4651)	nutrient broth
oil-slurry bioreactor	0.3% molasses
ATCC (25565)	S P Medium (ATCC #432) <sup>†</sup>
ATCC (10145)	0.3% molasses
ATCC (25416)	0.3% molasses

Pseudomonas fluorescens	ATCC (13525)	0.3% molasses
Pseudomonas putida	ATCC (12633)	0.3% molasses
Pseudomonas sp. Tol1A	CA rangeland soil	0.3% molasses
Pseudomonas sp. JS150	J. Spain	0.3% molasses
Pseudomonas sp. DFC49	G. Sayler	0.3% molasses
Rahnella aquatilis BFB	IL stream sediment	0.3% molasses
Sphingomonas capsulata	ATCC (14666)	0.3% molasses

ł

s,

<sup>†</sup>Made without raffinose, but 2x galactose and sucrose.

Table 2. Number of gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial isolates obtained from uncontaminated and TNT-contaminated soil plated on different media<sup>†</sup>.

		-	Medium¥								
Pretreatment	Soil	Gram	TSA	Mol	Mol/10	Mol/25	Mol/67	Total			
None	Uncontaminated	Positive	11	6	3	0	0	20			
		Negative	2	1	2	4	4	13			
	Contaminated	Positive	2	0	0	0	0	2			
		Negative	6	<b>6</b> <sub>1</sub>	6	4	6	28			
	,	. •									
Heat-shocked	Uncontaminated	Positive	4	2	5	0	0	11			
		Negative	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Contaminated	Positive	7	4	6	0	0	17			
		Negative	0	1	0	2	1	4			

<sup>†</sup> Isolates yielding inconclusive gram stains are not included.

<sup>4</sup> Medium abbreviations: TSA = half-strength tryptic soy agar; Mol = 0.3% molasses; Mol/X = 0.3% molasses + X  $\mu$ g TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 3. Gram-negative bacterial isolates obtained from uncontaminated and TNTcontaminated soil. Number in parentheses indicate the number of isolates identified as the specified strain using Biolog GN plates.

۰.

¢

Uncontaminated soil	TNT-contaminated soil
Agrobacterium rhizogenes A (1)	Alcaligenes xylosoxydans ss den/pie (5)
Pseudomonas fluorescens type B (2)	Comamonas testosteroni (1)
Pseudomonas tolaasii (1)	Pseudomonas aeruginosa (7)
Sphingomonas paucimobilis A (1)	Pseudomonas corrugata (5)
Xanthomonas maltophila (1)	Pseudomonas fluorescens type B (2)
	Pseudomonas fluorescens type F (3)
	Pseudomonas fluorescens type G (1)
	Pseudomonas nitroreducens (1)
	Pseudomonas stutzeri (1)
	Pseudomonas viridiflava B (1)
	Serpens flexibilis (1)

Table 4. Percent maxiumum growth and percent TNT transformed by gram-positive and gramnegative bacteria grown in the presence of different initial TNT concentrations. Net monoaminodinitrotoluene production (consumption) in cultures with the highest initial TNT cocnentration is given in the last column.

						=										
	% maximum growth with initial								% TNT transformed with							2ADNT
	TNT concentration of									itial T	and					
Organism			(	ug n	L-1)	t			(µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )							4ADNT
Gram-positive	0	3	7	8	13	19	33	66	<u>, 3</u>	7	8	13	19	33	66	(µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )¥
A. globiformis	100	98	94	6	7	12	6	9	100	100	2	0	2	7	17	3
Arthrobacter sp. RP17 <sup>a</sup>	100	98	94	73	1	2	2	1	100	100	100	2	1	0	0	19
B. cereus	100	78	74	0	6	34	2	7	10	100	0	0	4	8	19	3
B. subtilis	99	100	100	74	7	22	5	10	84	93	94	0	0	1	18	4
C. glutamicum	100	98	97	64	21	31	3	8	99	98	12	0	4	1	17	4
Corynebacterium sp. Nap2	100	88	80	38	49	12	4	7	100	100	100	100	15	29	0	(3)
M. luteus <sup>b</sup>	100	33	85	49	5	4	3	2	100	96	78	23	6	15	6	16
Rh. erythropolis	100	75	51	39	39	18	4	8	100	95	72	58	59	14	15	4
Rh. globerulus	100	59	61	0	9	32	5	8	92	88	62	3	0	15	16	4
Rh. rhodocrous	100	70	81	46	9	13	4	5	85	84	57	12	4	16	13	4
Rhodococcus sp. TF2	100	86	69	44	9	11	4	5	97	95	66	22	4	31	3	(2)
S. albus	100	65	64	2	7	34	4	16	94	89	59	19	9	6	9	5
S. griseus	100	61	72	39	29	30	4	5	100	100	97	94	68	1	9	5
SP1b (coryneform) <sup>C</sup>	100	85	47	38	42	5	5	4	100	94	71	68	22	9	10	3
Gram-negative																
Ac. johnsonii	- 58	57	54	50	57	65	100	7	100	100	100	100	100	100	20	3
Agrobacterium sp. 2PC <sup>C</sup>	98	100	96	95	89	72	78	69	100	100	100	98	98	100	100	11
Al. eutropuhus	100	89	92	84	88	86	76	73	95	80	14	32	37	26	27	2
Cy. pectinovora <sup>C</sup>	100	97	89	92	95	1	4	2	100	100	100	100	15	9	8	3

E. coli	41	49	38	33	37	39	46	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	(5)
F. odoratum <sup>b</sup>	100	99	86	86	85	69	7	4	100	100	100	100	100	63	0	26
Klebsiella sp. 1PC <sup>C</sup>	100	93	90	90	87	84	87	90	100	100	100	100	100	97	58	4
Mx. xanthus <sup>d</sup>	100	100	96	92	<del>99</del>	98	85	82	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	1
Ps. aeruginosa	77	83	81	79	94	93	98	100	99	97	78	87	88	80	52	1
Ps. cepacia	85	77	81	83	89	79	81	100	100	100	97	100	99	100	98	(7)
Ps.fluorescens	62	58	59	63	71	51	67	100	82	85	82	85	77	75	73	(3)
Ps. putida	81	83	82	79	87	85	78	100	66	68	71	71	67	58	65	(3)
Pseudomonas sp. Tol1A	96	97	100	92	<del>9</del> 9	97	92	94	76	75	57	65	56	56	46	1
Pseudomonas sp. JS150	100	92	90	71	84	86	77	77	100	100	99	99	95	90	65	(5)
Pseudomonas sp. DFC49	100	99	97	88	97	95	91	76	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	(2)
R. aquatilis BFB	100	97	99	83	92	91	91	94	100	100	100	99	100	99	100	(5)
Sp. capsulata <sup>C</sup>	100	91	83	80	78	72	64	63	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	(9)

<sup>†</sup> Percent maxium growth is the maximum growth observed at each TNT concentration divided by the maximum growth across all TNT concentrations multiplied by 100.

¥ Values represent the combined net production (consumption) of 2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene and 4-amino-2,6dinitrotoluene in media with the highest initial TNT concentrations. Numbers in parentheses represent net consumption of these compounds.

<sup>a</sup> Initial TNT ( $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>): 0, <1, 1, 3, 5, 10, 21, 50.

<sup>b</sup> Initial TNT (µg mL<sup>-1</sup>): 0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 15, 28, 60.

<sup>c</sup> Initial TNT (µg mL<sup>-1</sup>): 0, 1, 4, 7, 10, 17, 35, 73.

<sup>d</sup> Initial TNT (µg mL<sup>-1</sup>): 0, 4, 8, 13, 17, 23, 45, 64.

Table 5. The effetc of medium composition on the culturability of gram-positive bacteria after incubation with TNT and/or monoaminodinitrotoluenes.

	Culturable cells present after 2 weeks of incubation in <sup>†</sup>						
Organism	Mol	Mol/80	MM	<u>MM/98</u>	MM/AM	Mol/AM	
A. globiformis	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Arthrobacter sp. RP17	+	-	+	+	+	+	
B. cereus	+	-	. +	+	+	+	
B. subtilis	+	+ .	+	+	+	+	
C. glutamicum	+		+	+	į +	÷	
Corynebacterium sp. Nap2	+	-	+	+	+	+	
M. luteus <sup>a</sup>	+	, <b>+</b>	+	-	NDb	ND	
Rh. erythropolis	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Rh. globerulus	+	-	+	. +	+ .	+	
Rh. rhodocrous	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Rhodococcus sp. TF2	+	<u>,</u>	+	+	+	+	
S. albus	+	-	+	-	+	+	
S. griseus	+	-	+	+	+	+	
SP1b (coryneform)	+	-	+	+	+	+	

<sup>†</sup> Medium abbreviations: Mol = 0.3% molasses; Mol/80 = 0.3% molasses + 80 μg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>; MM = mineral medium with no added carbon; MM/98 = mineral medium + 98 μg TNT mL<sup>-1</sup>; MM/AM = mineral medium + 5 μg 2ADNT mL<sup>-1</sup> and 5 μg 4ADNT mL<sup>-1</sup>; Mol/AM = 0.3% molasses + 5 μg 2ADNT mL<sup>-1</sup> and 5 μg 4ADNT mL<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> M. luteus was incubated in nutrient broth.

<sup>b</sup> ND, not determined.

Table 6. Calculated  $EC_{50/growth}$  and  $EC_{50/trans}$  for pooled data from gram-positive organisms and for specific gram-positive genera as determined by fitting logistic curves to pooled data using nonlinear regression analysis. Goodness of fit values are given in parentheses.

	Isolates	EC <sub>50/growth</sub>			
Genus	Tested	(µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )		(µg mL-1)	•
Arthrobacter	2	$5.6 \pm 0.9$	$(R^2 = 0.77)$	$6.0 \pm 1.0$	$(R^2 = 0.73)$
Bacillus	2	$7.6 \pm 0.3$	$(R^2 = 0.79)$	$7.8 \pm 0.3$	$(R^2 = 0.76)$
Corynebacterium	2	$11.0 \pm 1.0$	$(R^2 = 0.88)$	$11.6 \pm 2.3$	$(R^2 = 0.56)$
Micrococcus	1	$6.0 \pm 0.1$	$(R^2 = 0.99)$	$7.6 \pm 0.4$	$(R^2 = 0.97)$
Rhodococcus	4	$7.3 \pm 0.6$	$(R^2 = 0.84)$	$10.3 \pm 0.7$	$(R^2 = 0.78)$
Streptomyces	2	$6.9 \pm 1.2$	$(R^2 = 0.70)$	$15.9 \pm 2.3$	$(R^2 = 0.74)$
Pooled data	14	$7.8 \pm 0.4$	$(R^2 = 0.74)$	$9.5 \pm 0.5$	$(R^2 = 0.64)$

Figure 1. Culturable heterotrophs in uncontaminated ( $\Box$ ) and TNT-contaminated soil ( $\blacksquare$ ). See Materials and Methods for media descriptions. A, total heterotrophs; B, heat-shock resistant heterotrophs. Bars marked with the same letter are not significantly different (P  $\leq$  0.0001). TN, too numerous to count; BD, below detection (< 100 CFU g<sup>-1</sup>).

Figure 2. Effect of TNT concentration on the growth (A) and TNT transformation ability (B) of gram-positive bacteria. The solid line represents the logistic curve fit to the pooled data for all gram-positive organisms (n = 13) by using nonlinear regression.

ł



