PNNL-11010 UC-810 Project Technical Information

> RECEIVED MAR 2 6 1996 OSTI

Vitrification of Noble Metals Containing NCAW Simulant with an Engineering Scale Melter (ESM): Campaign Report

W. Grunewald G. Roth W. Tobie S. Weisenburger K. Weiss M. Elliott L.L. Eyler

March 1996

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute

Battelle



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED.

PNNL-11010 UC-810 Project Technical Information

Vitrification of Noble Metals Containing NCAW Simulant with an Engineering Scale Melter (ESM): **Campaign Report**

W. Grunewald G. Roth W. Tobie S. Weisenburger K. Weiss M. Elliott L. L. Eyler

March 1996

. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352

Reprint of historical document PHTD-K967, dated September 1993. Prepared for Pacific Northwest Laboratory by the Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (KfK0, Institut fur Nukleare Entsorgungstechnik (INE). Data, formatting, and other conventions reflect standards at the original date of printing. Technical peer reviews and editorial reviews may not have been performed.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Die

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY operated by BATTELLE for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401.

Ľ

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161

 $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ The document was printed on recycled paper.

.

C:\winword\ESM\Report4.doc-

VITRIFICATION OF NOBLE METALS CONTAINING NCAW SIMULANT WITH AN ENGINEERING SCALE MELTER (ESM)

Campaign Report

Work performed under Contract No. 125818-A-A3 for Pacific Northwest Laboratories Richland, WA, USA

W. Grünewald
G. Roth
W. Tobie
S. Weisenburger
K. Weiss
M. Elliott (PNL)
L. Eyler (PNL)

September, 1993

Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (KfK) Institut für Nukleare Entsorgungstechnik (INE)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The comprehensive analytical measurements within this project were performed by Dr. D. Hentschel of INE analytical lab and his staff.

Microscopic observations on selected samples were carried out by Dr. W. Bernotat of INE and by Dong-Sang Kim of PNL Richland.

Glass electrical resistivity and viscosity measurements were carried out by Dr. B. Luckscheiter of INE.

Mr. I. Perez and Dr. G. Jensen of PNL provided both technical and programatic support.

Mr. L. Mahoney of PNL provided technical support for the computer modeling.

Fruitful discussions with Prof. Pentinghaus of INE contributed much to the interpretation of the results in respect to glass chemical phenomena.

The authors thank Prof. Kim, director of INE, for supporting this project.

ABSTRACT

The Engineering- Scale Melter (ESM) has been designed as a 10th-scale representation of the DWPF-type melter which is currently the reference melter for the vitrification of Hanford double shell tankwaste. The ESM and related equipments have been integrated to the existing mock-up vitrification plant VA-WAK at KfK. From June 22 to July 10, 1992, a shake down test using 2.61 m³ of NCAW simulant without noble metals was performed. From July 11 to August 30, 1992, 14.23 m³ of the same simulant with the nominal concentration of the noble metals ruthenium, rhodium and palladium were vitrified. The main objective of the work was to investigate the behaviour of such a melter type with respect to the discharge of the noble metals with routine glass pouring operations via the glass overflow.

The overall results indicate an accumulation of noble metals in the bottom area of the flatbottomed ESM. In total about 65 wt-% of the noble metals Ru, Pd and Rh fed to the melter could be drained out during the operation whereas 35 wt-% accumulated in the melter. These data are based on the results of the analysis of the glass samples taken from glass pouring stream into the canisters. After the melter was drained at the end of the campaign through a bottom drain valve, glass samples were taken from the residual bottom layer. The samples had a significantly increased noble metals content (factor of 20 to 45 to the target loading). They showed also a significant decrease of the specific electric resistance compared to the bulk glass (factor of 10).

A decrease of 10 - 15% of the electric resistance between the power electrodes could be seen at the end of the run. But the total amount of noble metals accumulated during the run obviously was not yet sufficient enough to disturb the Joule heating of the glass tank severely.

.f.C.antonto

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents		•
List of Figures	. i	iii
List of Tables		vii
List of Acronyms		viii

1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS -

2.0 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK

3.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

- 3.1 Vitrification facility
- 3.2 Material data
- 3.3 Data acquisition and visualisation system PISA

4.0 TEST DESCRIPTION

- 4.1 Feed Preparation
- 4.2 Melter operation
 - 4.2.1 Test run U1
 - 4.2.2 Test run U2

5.0 TEST RESULTS

- 5.1 Overall results of the test campaigns U1, U2
- 5.2 Noble metals behavior in the glass melter
 - 5.2.1 Glass sampling procedure
 - 5.2.2 Analysis procedure
 - 5.2.3 Results
 - 5.2.4 Interpretation of data
 - 5.2.5 Emptying of melter
 - 5.2.6 Noble metals balance (U2 test run)

i

- 5.3. Microscopic observation of glasses from ESM test run U2
 - 5.3.1 Glass samples investigated
 - 5.3.2 Microscopic observations
 - 5.3.3 Investigation of sample material taken from "Cold Cap" covering the glass melt pool (sampling in the region <u>around</u> cold cap)
 - 5.3.4 Investigation of sample material taken from centre of the "Cold Cap"
- 5.4 Noble metals effects on glass properties
 - 5.4.1 Samples investigated
 - 5.4.2 Results

6.0 COMPUTER MODELING OF THE ENGINEERING SCALE TEST MELTER

- 6.1 Computer modeling results pre-test predictions
 - 6.1.1 Power and thermocouple stalk data
 - 6.1.2 Further data in preparation
- Appendix A Investigation of bubble rich glass product taken from the outer melt surface of the ESM melter during long-term operation
- Appendix B PISA data base

Appendix C Listing of all XRF glass analysis data by INE - Analytical Laboratory

Appendix D Computer Modeling

.

.

.

iii

•

List of fig	gures
Fig. 3-1:	Basic flowsheet of the test facility. The dotted lines refer to components used for other test programes at INE (HAWC-WAK program)
Fig. 3-2:	View from top onto the test facility including the NCAW feed make up tank, the melter feed tank, and the ESM
Fig. 3-3:	Front view of the test facility (simplified)
Fig. 3-4:	Longitudinal cross section of the ESM
Fig. 3-5:	Plan view cross section of the ESM
Fig. 3-6:	Transverse cross section of the ESM
Fig. 3-7:	View from top onto the ESM
Fig. 3-8:	Glass sampling system of the ESM
Fig. 3-9:	Glass overflow system of the ESM and heating technique applied
Fig. 3-10:	Temperature monitoring points of the ESM
Fig. 3-11:	Viscosity versus temperature curves for the glass frit and NCAW glass product
Fig. 3-12:	Specific electrical resistance versus temperature of the NCAW glass product
Fig. 3-13:	Structure of computerized data acquisition and visualization system PISA
Fig. 3-14:	Overview picture of PISA
Fig. 3-15:	PISA plot of the data regarding the feed make up tank (FMT)
Fig. 3-16:	PISA plot of the data regarding the melter feed tank (MFT)
Fig. 3-17:	PISA plot for melter feeding area and glass melting by the ESM
Fig. 3-18:	PISA plot for the temperature monitoring points of the ESM
Fig. 3-19:	PISA plot concerning power release in the glass tank and glass overflow area of the ESM
Fig. 3-20:	PISA plot of data for the off gas area, part 1
Fig. 3-21:	PISA plot of data for the off gas area, part 2.
Fig. 3-22:	PISA plot of data for the off gas area, part 3

3

- Fig. 3-23: PISA plot of NCAW feed simulant storage tank. From this tank the simulant was batchwise pumped into the FMT
- Fig. 4-1: NCAW feed preparation procedure
- Fig. 4-2: Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 1
- Fig. 4-3: Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 1
- Fig. 4-4: Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 2
- Fig. 4-5: Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 2
- Fig. 4-6: Feeding of formic acid and off gas composition from Feed Make up Tank (FMT) while formating NCAW feed simulant batch No. 2
- Fig. 4-7: Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 3
- Fig. 4-8: Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 3
- Fig. 4-9: Feeding of formic acid and off gas composition from FMT while formating NCAW feed simulant, batch No. 3
- Fig. 4-10: Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 4
- Fig. 4-11: Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 4
- Fig. 4-12: Feeding of formic acid and off gas composition from FMT while formating NCAW feed simulant, batch No. 4
- Fig. 4-13: Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 5
- Fig. 4-14: Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, batch No. 5

iv

٠,

v

Fig. 4-15:	Feeding of formic acid and off gas composition from FMT while formating NCAW feed simulant, batch No. 5
Fig. 4 - 16:	Operational diagram of the first week of the pretest run U1
Fig. 4-17:	Operational diagram of the second week of the pretest run U1
Fig. 4-18:	Operational diagram for the first week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-19:	Operational diagram for the second week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-20:	Operational diagram for the third week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-21:	Operational diagram for the fourth week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-22:	Operational diagram for the fifth week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-23:	Operational diagram for the sixth week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-24:	Operational diagram for the seventh week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-25:	Operational diagram for the eighth week of the ESM noble metals test run U2
Fig. 4-26:	Determination of the feed rate to ESM by monitoring the level in the MFT by ultra-sonic measurements versus operation time
Fig. 4-27:	Volumes of NCAW melter feed simulant versus operation time in the Feed Make up Tank and the Melter Feed tank (noble metals test run U2)
Fig. 4-28:	Typical vertical temperature profile of the ESM melter during the noble metals test run. Upper curve for maximum glass level before glass pouring. The lower curve typical for minimum glass level after glass pouring
Fig. 5-1	Calibration curves gained from lab samples for XRF measurements of noble metals concentrations in the NCAW glass
Fig. 5-2	XRF measured noble metals concentration diagrams obtained from glass samples while pouring canisters No. 1, 2 and 3
Fig. 5-3	XRF measured noble metals concentration diagrams obtained from glass samples while pouring canisters No. 4, 5, 6
Fig. 5-4	XRF measured noble metals concentration diagrams obtained from glass samples while pouring canisters No. 7, 8, 9

Fig. 5-5	XRF measured noble metals concentration diagrams obtained from glass samples while pouring canisters No. 10, 11, 12
Fig. 5-6	XRF measured noble metals concentration diagrams obtained from glass samples while pouring canisters No. 13, 14, 15
Fig. 5-7	XRF measured noble metals concentration diagrams obtained from glass samples while pouring canisters No. 16, 17
Fig. 5-8	Noble metals concentration in glass samples taken during glass pouring into canister Nos. 6 and 15, measured by Griffiths inspectorate, London. The dotted lines give the corresponding XRF values measured at INE's analytical lab.
Fig. 5 - 9	Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring canisters No. 1, 2, 3
Fig. 5-10	Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring canisters No. 4, 5, 6
Fig. 5-1	Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring canisters No. 7, 8, 9
Fig. 5-12	Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring canisters No. 10, 11, 12
Fig. 5-13	Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring canisters No. 13, 14, 15
Fig. 5-14	Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring canisters No. 16, 17
Fig. 5-15	Content of the noble metals in the canisters and comparision with the target values of each canister
Fig. 5-16	"Feed in" and "discharge" curves of the ESM for the noble metals contained in the NCAW simulant
Fig. 5-17	Electric data of the ESM monitored by the PISA system during the test run
Fig. 5-18	Results of noble metals concentrations in glass samples taken when emptying the melter (glass poured into cans No. 18 and 19, via bottom drain). Noble metals concentrations in the remaining glass layer on ESM bottom
Fig. 5-19	Comparison of the specific electrical resistance versus temperature of the NCAW glass product and sample No. 1 from the melter bottom

_

۰.

- Fig. 5-20 EDS spectra of area #1 in Photo 3
- Fig. 5-21 EDS spectra of area #2 in Photo 3
- Fig. 5-22 EDS spectra of area #3 in Photo 3
- Fig. 5-23 Electrical resistivity of glass samples containing different quantities of noble metals
- Fig. 5-24 Viscosity of glass samples containing different concentrations of noble metals
- Fig. 6-1 Pre-test predicted power, bulk glass temperature, and average thermocouple stalk temperature compared to preliminary-reported data
- Fig. 6-2 Pre-test thermocouple stalk temperature compared to preliminary-reported data
- Fig. 6-3 Predicted RuO₂ balance at experimental conditions.
- Fig. 6-4 Ru balance compared to experimental results.
- Fig. 6-5 Ru balance compared to experimental results (10% biased)
- Fig. 6-6 Predicted Pd balance at experimental conditions.
- Fig. 6-7 Pd balance compared to experimental results.
- Fig. 6-8 Predicted Rh balance at experimental conditions.
- Fig. 6-9 Rh balance compared to experimental results.
- Fig. 6-10 Predicted RuO₂ sludge layer depth.
- Fig. 6-11 Predicted RuO₂ balanced for sssumed 3X NM feed rate.
- Fig. 6-12 RuO₂ retention for sssumed 3X NM feed rate.
- Fig. 6-13 RuO₂ sludge layer depth for assumed 3X NM feed rate.
- Fig. 6-14 Total NM retention compared to lumped parameter analysis.
- Fig. 6-15 Continuous monitored and temperature controlled average power and temperature.
- Fig. 6-16 Comparison of electric resistance.
- Fig. 6-17 Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.
- Fig. 6-18 Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.

- Fig. 6-19 Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.
- Fig. 6-20 Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.
- Fig. 6-21 Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.

.

ix

List of table	S _								
Tab. 3-I:	Compilation of data of the NCAW simulant:								
	A: Calculation of melter feed targets								
	B: .HWVP melter feed composition								
	C: Feed requirements for frit recycle and formic acid								
	D: HWVP target glass composition								
Tab. 3-II:	Types, scanning and storage rates of PISA system								
Tab. 4-I:	Feed preparation procedure								
Tab. 4-II:	Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, batch 1 (for pretest run U1)								
Tab. 4-III:	Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, batch 2 (for noble metals test run U2)								
Tab. 4-IV:	Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, batch 3								
Tab. 4-V:	Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, batch 4								
Tab. 4-VI:	Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, batch 5								
Tab. 4-VII:	Compilation of data regarding NCAW feed volumes in the FMT and MFT, transfer volumes, densities, sum up numbers and feed rates to melter								
Tab. 4-VIII:	List of events and distortions experienced during the noble metals test run U2. Time periods of NCAW simulant feeding off are indicated including the reasons for								
Tab. 5-I:	Table of test results								
Tab. 5-II:	Content of noble metals in the glass of the different canisters								
Tab. 5-III:	Noble metals analyzed from the liquid samples of the off gas components								
Tab. 6-I:	Summary of two field electric characteristics								

x

•

.

List of Acronyms

DOE .	Department of Energy
DWPF	Defense Waste Processing Facility
ESM	Engineering Scale Melter
FMT	Feed Make-up Tank
HWVP	Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant
ICP	Inductive Coupled Plasma
D	Inner Diameter
INE	Institut für Nukleare Entsorgungstechnik
KfK	Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe
LEWC	Low Enriched Waste Concentrate
MFT	Melter Feed Tank
MPA	Melter Performence Activity
NCAW .	Neutralized Current Acidic Waste
PAMELA	Pilot Anlage Mol zur Herstellung endlagerfähiger Glasprodukte
PHDT	PNL Hanford Technology Development
PISA	Produktions - und Maschinendaten - Informations - System für
	Automationsanwendungen
PNL	Pacific Northwest Laboratories
RFA	<u>R</u> öntgen - <u>F</u> luoreszenz - <u>A</u> nalyse (s. XRF)
RHF	Refractory Heated Feeder
TEMPEST	Transient Energy, Momentum and Pressure Equations Solutions in Three Dimensions
VA-WAK	<u>V</u> erglasungs- <u>A</u> nlage - <u>W</u> ieder <u>a</u> ufbereitungsanlage <u>K</u> arlsruhe
WST	Waste Storage Tank
XRF	X-Ray-Fluorescence

1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In November 1989, a first discussion took place between members of Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL), Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC), the United States Department of Energy (US-DOE) Richland Operations and the Institut für Nukleare Entsorgungstechnik of Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (KfK-INE) regarding the question of noble metals behaviour in a liquid fed ceramic melter when vitrifying Neutralized Current Acid Waste (NCAW). A contract was signed in April 1991 between PNL and KfK-INE comprising three tasks for KfK: (i) to design and construct an Engineering-Scale Melter (ESM) together with a suitable feeding system for NCAW melter feed, (ii) to operate the facility with NCAW feed simulant containing the noble metals ruthenium, rhodium and palladium, and (iii) to evaluate the melter performance particularly in respect to noble metals retention in close cooperation with PNL.

Facility

The basic design features of the 1/10 scale ESM fit those of the current HWVP reference melter (including flat bottomed melt tank, glass pouring via overflow with underpressure support, two pairs of uncooled Inconel 690 electrodes). Exceptions are the overflow heating technique, the bottom drain, and the geometry of the glass pool, i.e. the ratio of the glass tank height to its diameter. The ratio had to be increased from about 0.5 of the HWVP full scale melter to 1.25 of the ESM in order to get a glass residence time in the ESM comparable with that of the full scale melter.

The ESM had been designed for a throughput of 20 l/h NCAW melter feed simulant. The corresponding glass production rate is 10 kg/h. The actual throughput of the ESM, however, was only 12-15 l/h. Higher feed rates led to overfeeding of the melter. Two SiC melter plenum heating elements, placed horizontally inside Inconel 690 tubes, were maintained at 900°C for additional heat supply to the glass pool surface. The residence time of the glass in the melt tank was 80 - 100 hours. It exceeded significantly the target value of 58 h. The target value corresponds to the design feed rate of 20 l/h.

The round shaped melter has 0.28 m² glass pool surface and 550 kg glass capacity. It is a 1/10 of the current full scale HWVP reference melter. The melt tank is flat bottomed. Two power electrode sets are placed in the upper and lower part of the pool on opposite sides. For glass pouring it posses both an overflow and bottom drain device. The glass overflow serves as the routinely used pouring system. It consists of a thick-walled Inconel 690 pipe with 30° slope. For pouring, the canister needs airtight coupling with the flange of the overflow housing. The glass flow can be started and sustained by an underpressure of approximately 300 mm water column, generated in the overflow housing by means of an air jet.

The construction of the NCAW feeding system and the ESM melter were completed in June 1992. The feeding area consists primarely of a 5 m³ Feed Make up Tank (FMT) and a 1.6 m³ Melter Feed Tank (MFT) from which the feed is send to the melter by means of a feed pump. For cleaning of the melter off gas the existing equipment could be used. For melter and process control, the data acquisition and visualization system PISA had been modified and applied.

For glass sampling under the described underpressure conditions a specific device had been designed. It allows to take five glass samples from the overflow glass stream during a pouring operation and to store them in the overflow housing until the end of the pouring. The glass was drained in batches of approximately 65 to 75 kg into stainless steel canisters of 430 mm in dia and 1350 mm height. The cans had a glass capacity of maximum 400 kg. Pouring of a batch was carried out every 8 - 10 hours with a pouring rate of 50 kg/h. The bottom drain system of the ESM was used for emptying the melter after run termination.

Test runs

The test runs were performed with simulated NCAW melter feed which contained 140 g/l waste oxides, 17 g/l recycle oxides, and 343 g/l of fine powdered glass frit. It was an alkaline suspension with a pH of approximately 8 to 9 after formating. A volume of 16.8 m³ NCAW melter feed simulant was processed. Both the NCAW feed simulant and the powdered glass frit were prepared in the United States and shipped to KfK-INE where final preparation took place (including formating with formic acid). NCAW feed processing in the ESM was started on July 2, 1992, with a pretest designated U1. By this test 2.61 m³ of noble metals free NCAW melter feed simulant were vitrified. It served to check the correct function of the melter including the glass pouring systems and the glass sampling device, before the noble metals containing NCAW feed was processed.

In the pretest run U1 the feeding area proved to be sensitive and critical. Frequent plugging of the feed line between the MFT and the melter was experienced. Moreover, undissolved larger particles in the feed of up to 5 - 10 mm in size caused blockages. These particles have been analyzed as LaF_3 compound. Other factors contributing to the problem included the flow meter, which was installed in the feed line. It was not applicable because it needed a too low cross section for the measurement effect. In cooperation and discussions with participating staff members of PNL, WHC and US-DOE Richland Operations, the plugging problems could later be substantially reduced in the noble metals test run U2. But the direct feed throughput measurement device had to be eliminated. As alternative method, the measurement of the decrease of the MFT waste simulant level in dependance of the operation time was used to calculate the feed rates by differentiation of the curve. In case of pluggage

of the feed line to ESM the feeding to the ESM was usually interrupted for 5 to 40 min.

In the main test run, U2, about 14.23 m³ of NCAW simulant were vitrified. The simulant contained 0.73 g/l of the noble metals (Ru 0.44 g/l, Rh .0.12 g/l and Pd 0.15 g/l). The total amount of noble metals processed with the NCAW simulant was 9.94 kg, consisting of 6.16 kg Ru, 1.68 kg Rh, and 2.10 kg Pd. The continuous noble metals test run lasted from July 11 until August 30, 1992. Glass pouring operations via the ESM overflow system were carried out 89 times and more than 435 glass samples were taken for analysis. XRF analysis of the glass samples were usually available about two days after sampling. The main operational parameter like melter power, glass temperatures, feed rate, and glass pouring operations were plotted in an operation diagram.

Processing behaviour of NCAW simulant

The melter feed was difficult to process. The feed in the FMT and MFT tended to become more viscous with time (in terms of days) after the frit was added to the formated waste. This increased the pluggage risk in the feed line between the MFT and ESM. But particularly it also influenced the processing behaviour on the molten glass pool surface. In some cases the slurry was so viscous that it did not self-distribute across the glass pool surface area. The resulting cold cap then became thick (up to 5 cm) and rigid with very few vents. The effect of this thick cold cap on noble metals agglomeration is not understood at this time. This effect will be discussed further in future HWVP reports. The feed formed piles 5 to 10 cm in diameter and up to 30 cm high until the feed income channel of the feed tube was blocked by the top of the pile. To avoid such conditions the feed in the MFT was diluted when necessary by adding some water (less than 5%) or nitric acid.

The feed simulant also tended occasionally to cover the pool surface with somewhat foaming material. In those cases the feed rate to melter had to be reduced in order to avoid total coverage of the pool with obviously highly heat insulating material. Foamy material from the glass pool surface was sampled and analyzed (see Appendix A of this report). This glassy material represents a concentrated suspension of glass product melt, frit melt, calcine and salt melts with a high viscosity.

Noble metals discharge

The analyzed content of the noble metals in the glass samples, taken during glass pouring operations with overflow system, show for all three elements lower concentrations than the target values. For ruthenium and rhodium, the concentrations in canister 10 - 17 reached about 75% of the targets, and for Pd 60 %. For each glass canister the noble metals content has been determined by

integration of the concentration profiles of the measured glass samples. The "fed in" curve and the "discharge" curve (noble metals fed to the ESM with the melter feed and discharged from ESM with the glass) are not parallel. This is indicative that a nearly constant increasing amount of noble metals remained in the melter with time. In the glass pool no air bubbling or other means for intensifying the glass melt convection were used. A portion of 2.13 kg Ru, 0.54 kg Rh, and 0.80 kg Pd may have settled towards the melter bottom according to the "fed in" and "discharge" data.

At the end of the run the melter was emptied via the bottom drain. A layer of approximately 1-3 cm variable thickness with very high noble metals concentrations (4-6 wt%) remained in the melter. Samples from this layer exhibited one order of magnitude lower el. resistivity than the bulk of the glass. This effect can also be seen from the electric resistance between the power electrodes when comparing the same current settings at the beginning and at the end of the noble metals run. Between the upper electrodes a decrease of about 9% and between the lower electrodes of about 13% can be calculated. This effect was not enough to disturb the Joule heating severely but operation problems with longer times can be predicted from those findings.

Conclusions

The noble metals discharge efficiency via the glass overflow of a melter type like the ESM indicate a risk for long-term melter operation and, hence, melter lifetime due to the influence of accumulation of noble metals on the melter floor. However, based on KfK's experience in the past decade with processing of LEWC waste in PAMELA, with 5M nitric acid Wackersdorf HLLW simulate, and with HLLW simulate of WAK waste, the noble metals segregation and accumulation effect in the case of NCAW waste glass seems to be not so strong. This can partly be due to the lower concentration of the noble metals in the NCAW glass and also due to formation of smaller noble metals particles observed in the NCAW glass. Anyway, the tendency to disturb the Joule heating can be seen and must be taken into account for a final melter design.

Because the NCAW represents only a smaller portion of the total amount of HLW to be vitrified by the HWVP a new melter design for NCAW for achieving an optimal noble metals compatible melting system may be not the right solution. However the current HWVP reference melter for NCAW is recommended to get some modifications. Those modifications should include the inner melt tank geometry and specific operational procedures. The tank geometry should be modified to concentrate the noble metals accumulations in an area where they could be either removed periodically, f.e. via bottom drain, or remobilized by introducing sparging air. Also the distance of the lower power electrodes from this area should be increased. Moreover the modifications are dependant on the specific operation procedures, f.e. use of a bottom drain additionally to the overflow system.

2.0 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK

The Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant (HWVP) is scheduled to vitrify several types of High Level Waste with a total volume of around 30000 m³ until the fiscal year 2013. The first waste to be vitrified will be the Neutralized Current Acid Waste (NCAW) of totally about 7000 m³. Following pretreatment and feed preparation, the NCAW melter feed will contain approximately 0.73 g/l noble metals (Ru, Rh, Pd). This corresponds to more than 1-3 tons of noble metals in total.

Noble metals present in the melter feed have been shown in testing conducted by KfK-INE and at the radioactive processing facility PAMELA in Mol, Belgium to result in the premature failure of melters that have flat glass tank floors. The noble metals are mainly insoluble in the glass and therefore will form particulates, that could settle to the floor of the melter. The Hanford reference melter was not designed to assure the suspension of insoluble particles. If a sufficient quantity of noble metals accumulates in the melter, the joule heating effect of the electric current will be impossible to achieve and the melter will have to be replaced. Therefore the melter performance assessment (MPA) activity is responsible for determining the effects on the HWVP reference melter. As a part of this work, an Engineering-Scale melter (ESM) has been designed and constructed by the Institut für Nukleare Entsorgungstechnik (INE) at the Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (KfK) in Karlsruhe, Germany. Operation took place from July 2 to August 30, 1992. Data from this test will be used directly and via computational modeling to estimate the life of the reference melter. This test program has been performed under contract to, and in cooperation with, the Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL). The <u>PNL-HWVP Technology Development (PHTD)</u> Project has been managing this work. The main objectives of the work were as follows:

<u>Construction of an ESM including necessary feeding equipments for installation into one of KfK-INE's test facilities (VA-WAK)</u>

The melter size was defined to be 1/10th of the reference plant melter concerning the glass pool surface. The mean residence time of the glass, assuming an average feeding rate of 20 l/h, was calculated to be the same as in the reference melter (58h). The melter was equipped with plenum heaters to boost the melting rate. In order to supply the melter with simulated feed, a separate feed preparation and feeding system had to be built. For melter off-gas treatment, the part of the existing VA-WAK equipment could be used.

• <u>Conduction of a 1-week shake down test processing</u> using about 3m³ of NCAW melter feed simulant without noble metals.

- <u>Conduction of a noble metal test</u> using flowsheet quantities of Ru, Pd, and Rh and a total amount of NCAW melter feed simulant of about 14 m³.
- <u>Determination of the noble metals behavior in the melter</u> using a mass balance based on glass, feed and off-gas samples. Additional information about possible segregation and accumulation of noble metals sediments onto the melter floor is given by analyzing electric power and restistance data during the run. Further the morphology of the noble metals found in the glass samples should be characterized.
- <u>Providing all the operation data</u> necessary to support the TEMPEST computer modelling for the HWVP.

The test report describes the technical system including especially the ESM and the feed preparation equipment. The performance of the plant operation is outlined and the overall data are given. The main interest is focused on the noble metals behavior. The analytical results are discussed and conclusions are drawn concerning the feasibility of the ESM and the reference melter concept.

3.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

3.1 Vitrification facility

The existing nonradioactive vitrification test facility designated VA-WAK has been used to integrate the feed preparation system and the ESM for processing NCAW simulant. The basic flowsheet of the integrated equipments is given in Figure 3-1. The part of the plant used for the VA-WAK only is drawn in dotted lines.

The NCAW simulant is pumped from the large waste storage tank outside this building into the feed make up tank (FMT). The waste storage tank has an agitator with two impellers to ensure that the waste remains thoroughly mixed. The maximum capacity of the FMT is 4.5 m³. The diameter of the tank is 1.8 m, the overall height is 2.5 m, and the nominal fill level is 1.75 m. It is equipped with a steam heating/water cooling jacket. For homogenization, a 3 kW stirrer is inserted in the central axis from the top. The stirrer blade is a turbine (without radial plates). It is controlled by a variable speed controller with a range of 54-280 rpm. Additionally, the content of the vessel can be pumped by diaphragm pumps in a circuit. These pumps are also used to transfer the ready prepared feed simulant



Figure 3-1: Basic flowsheet of the test facility. The dotted lines refer to components used for other test programmes at INE (HAWC-WAK program)

to the melter feed tank (MFT). During formating the formic acid is also dosed by diaphragm pumps through a submerged tube introduced from the top of the vessel.

The arising off-gas during formating and concentrating is exhausted by a vacuum jet passing a tube bundle condenser. The off-gas composition (H2, NOx, CO2, N2O, N2) can be analyzed on-line by a gas chromatagraph (Chrompack GmbH/Frankfurt, Gasanalyzer CP2001D) and infrared analyzers (Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmar GmbH Überlingen, Multicomponent Process-Photometer MCS 100). The condensate is transferred together with other secondary waste streams to a collection tank. It is not recycled back to the tank. The instrumentation of the FMT consists of temperature, pressure, level and density measurements. At the beginning of the runs dip tube measurement was used for level and density detection. Due to the high viscosity of the slurry, it could only temporarely be operated after cleaning. Thus an additional ultra-sonic level measurement device was integrated in the FMT as well as in the MFT after the 3rd batch. The glass frit was manually added batchwise through a vertical tube on top of the tank. For adjusting the feed composition, water has been added. Both the MFT and FMT had viewports for visual observations.

The melter feed tank can be operated between a maximum content of 1.4 m^3 and a minimum of 0.4 m^3 . It has a diameter of 1.2 m and a height of 1.8 m. The maximum level is 1.2 m. The feed is continously transferred by diaphragm pumps to the melter. The Moyno progressive cavity pumps, originally installed for this purpose, had to be replaced due to frequent failures. The MFT is also equipped with a 1.5 kW stirrer as well as with level and density measurements. The stirrer has a variable speed controller with a range of 54-280 rpm. The MFT is connected by a 8 mm ID sloped feeding line to the water cooled melter feed tube. In the Fig. 3-2 and 3-3 the facility plan including the integrated ESM melter and the feed preparation equipments are shown.

The structure of the ESM is shown in Figures 3-4 to 3-8. The melter has been designed to have a 1/10th-scale glass surface area and the average residence time of the glass equal to the HWVP reference melter. As a result the ratio depth to diameter of the glass tank is significantly higher for the ESM melter as for the reference melter. The major design data of the ESM are given below as follows:

Glass pool surface area	:	0.28 m ²
Pool depth	:	0.78 m (approx.)
Design feed rate	:	20 l/h
Design glass rate	:	10 kg/h
Glass inventory	:	585 kg (approx.)
Glass residence time	:	58 h (approx.)
Melter weight (empty)	:	7 t (metric)
Operating temperature	:	1150°C



:





15.2.93/WB/FE/Fig8/D4



Figure 3-3: Front view of the test facility (simplified)



2/93/Wh/Gb/Higs 5/D42



Fig.3-5: Plan view cross section of the ESM



(

Fig.3-6: Transverse cross section of the ESM

2/93/Wh/Gh/H 193-7/043



Fig.3-7: Top section View of the ESM

2/93/Wb/Gb/Fig3-8/043



Fig.3-8: Glass sampling system

The bulk glass is heated by alternating current between two pairs of uncooled Inconel 690 electrodes 26.8 cm wide by 22.5 cm high. The temperature of each electrode is monitored by a type S thermocouple that was 30 mm from the electrode face. The lower set of electrodes is 9 mm off of the melter floor. The power to the electrodes is supplied by two 60 kVA single phase transformers (in phase).

The current to the main electrodes is controlled by a single phase Thyrovar (AEG) with phase cutting method. The desired current is kept stable by the internal controller in the Thyrovar unit. The Thyrovar supplies the subsequently added single phase transformer and the secondary winding is connected to the electrodes. For the melter plenum heaters the same method is used.

For glass pouring a vacuum supported overflow system is used. The inclination of the overflow channel consisting of an Inconel 690 tube (70 mm outer dia., 40 mm inner dia., 1100 mm long) is 30°. Heating of the glass-carrying Inconel 690 pipe is performed by the RHF (Refractory Heated Feeder) system shown in Figure 3-9. Four pairs of electrode plates made of Inconel 690 are placed on opposite sides of 4 ceramic refractory blocks with the channel hole in the center. An alternating current (50 Hz) between the electrodes heats up the ceramic refractory (isostatically pressed CR100 type, 95% Cr2O3). This refractory is higher in Cr2O3 and thus, more conductive than the melter tank refractory so that it can be resistively heated. By temperature radiation, the Inconel 690 pipe and thus the glass is maintained at temperatures of about 1100 °C. The canister is coupled gas tight to the lower flange of the overflow housing. For glass sampling under the described underpressure conditions a specific device had been designed. It allows to take five glass samples from the overflow glass stream during a pouring operation and to store them in the overflow housing until the end of the pouring (see Figure 3-8). The glass was drained in batches of approximately 65 to 75 kg into stainless steel canisters of 430 mm inner dia. and 1350 mm height. The cans had a glass capacity of maximum 400 kg. Pouring of a batch was carried out every 8 - 10 hours with a pouring rate of 50 kg/h. By the use of a vacuum air jet the glass flow can be started and its flow rate controlled. Load cells are installed on the canister car to measure the canister weight. For emptying the melter a bottom drain can be used. The metallic part of the drain channel (Inconel 690) is then induction heated. The glass tank of the melter is constructed with fused cast ceramic material (type ER 2161) surrounded by ceramic insulation. The melter structure is encased in a gastight stainless steel containment box. The melter is equipped with 32 type S and J thermocouples. The major portion of the temperature monitoring points are shown in Figures 3-10. The glass and plenum temperatures were monitored by 8 type S thermocouples in a 2.5 mm diameter Inconel 690 thermowell placed half way between the melter center and the tank walls. The arrangement of these thermocouples allows very well to identify the melt level (see figures 4.16 to 4.25). Additionally, on an experimental base, a test probe was



Fig.3-9: Glass overflow system of ESM and heating technique applied

2. 23 KS, 30, F 25-9 544



Fig. 3-10: Temperature monitoring points of the ESM

installed from the melter top to measure the voltage to the main electrodes. When the molten glass reached the level of the test probe the voltage increased from 1 to 20 VAC.

The melter off-gas is first directed vertically through the roof of the melter, and then horizontally to the dust removal wet scrubber. The vertical part of the off-gas connection is equipped with a filmcooler to quench the off gas to avoid particle sticking. Additionally supersonic air blasters have been integrated to clean from time to time (8h) the vertical and horizontal part of the off gas line. The washing column of the first of a series of scrubbers consists of three valve and two sieve plates. The scrub solution is circulated by an airlift countercurrently to the gas stream. The main task of this component is to retain larger dust particles. Condensable gases are separated in the following tube bundle condenser with an integrated collection tank. The condenser is operated using chilled water (7°C entrance temperature) to increase its efficiency. Fine dust particles are separated in a jet scrubber. The washing liquid is pumped through a nozzle at 3 bar pressure ($3.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$) to produce a spray cone. The off gas is sucked by the jet effect of the nozzle through the cone, and fine particles will stick to the small droplets. By a cyclone effect, the droplets are separated from the gas.

A valve plate column is added to absorb the NOx portion of the process gas. The gas passes the column countercurrently to the wash water, which is pumped in a circuit with a rate of 2 m³/h. Into this circuit Hydrogenperoxide (H₂O₂) is added (about 5 l/h) to support the oxidation of NO present in the gas stream. The washing water is cooled with chilled water to improve the efficiency of the absorption reaction. The off-gas finally passes a gas cooler and a trapper. A blower at the end of the line maintains an underpressure in the whole system up to the ESM plenum. The underpressure in the melter is controlled by the blower by-pass and/or the addition of rarefaction air.

3.2 MATERIAL DATA

The NCAW waste simulant has been delivered to KfK-INE as a premixed waste solution. The waste simulant was prepared by Optima Chemical in the United States. To adjust this mixture to the target oxide yield of 125 g/l the following shim chemicals had to be added: Al(OH)₃, Ca(OH)₂, MoO₃, NaNO₂, NaNO₃ and ZrO₂. The noble metals had already been added by the supplier. The target composition is given in Table 3-I, A-D. The table shows also the compositions of the recycle chemicals to be added during melter feed batch preparation. Also the glass frit composition is shown. This frit was prepared by American Porcelain and Enamel Company. Finally the target values of the resulting melter feed oxides are listed. Based on these numbers 11 of melter feed yields to 0.5 kg of glass product. The viscosity of the glass frit as well as of the glass product is shown in Figure 3-11. Fig. 3-12 gives the electrical resistance of the glass product.

Table 3 - ICompilation of data of the NCAW simulantA: Calculation of melter feed targets

٠

Target Feed																
W Loading =	0,28							Waste	Waste	Target	Target	Target	Target	Target	Resulting	Resulting
e 1 .		<u> </u>			Waste Only	Waste Only	Waste	wt% v	vt% total	Recycle	Recycle	rit, wt%	Frit	Feed	Oxide	Oxide
Element	mw e	Oxide	mw Oxide	Cations	mol/L at 125	g/L at 140	g Oxide/L	Oxide	Feed	t% ox in mf	g/L mf	ox in mf	g e/L	g/L	wt%	g/l
Ag	107.88	Ag2O	231.74	2	1.32E-3	1.69E-1	0.17	· 0.12	0.035					0.16	0.03	0.17
AI	26.98	A1203	101.9 6	2	2.27E-1	6.86E+O	12.96	9.33	2.61	0.14	0.370			7.23	2.73	13.66
В	10.81	B2O3	69.62	2	2.10E-4	2.54E-3	0.01	0.01	0.00			14.03	21.78	21.79	14.03	70.16
Ba	137.33	BeO	153.33	1	1.46E-3	2.26E-1	0.25	0.18	0.05					0.22	0.05	0.25
Ca	40.08	CaO	66.08	1	1.80E-2	8.08E-1	1.13	0.81	0.23	0.01	0.036			0.84	0.24	1.18
Cd	112.41	C9O	128.41	1	3.00E-2	3.78E+O	4.31	3.11	0.87	0.11	0.481			4.26	0.97	4.86
Ce	140.12	C=02	172.12	1	4.77E-3	7.49E-1	0.92	0.66	0.19					0.75	0.18	0.92
Cr	52	Cr2O3	161.99	2	4.39E-3	2.56E-1	0.37	0.27	0.08					0.26	0.07	0.37
Cs	132.91	Cs20	281.81	2	5.43E-3	8.08E-1	0.86	. 0.62	0.17					0.81	0.17	0.86
Cu	63.55	CuO	79.66	1	3.93E-3	2.80E-1	0.35	0.25	0.07					0.28	0.07	0.35
Fe	66.85	Fe203	159.69	2	4.61E-1	2.82E+1	40,33	29.04	8.13	0.04	0.140			28,35	8.11	40.53
Ge	72,59	GeO2	104.59	1	1.86E-6	1.61E-4	.0.00	0.00	0.00					0.00	0.00	0.00
к	39.1	K2O	94.2	2	6.32E-3	2.338-1	0.28	0.20	0.08					0.23	0.06	0.28
La	138.91	La203	325.8	2	6.12E-3	7.97E-1	0.93	0.67	0.19	0.01	0.043			0.84	0.20	0.98
Li	6.94	Li2O	29.88	2	6.05E-6	4.70E-6	0.00	0.00	0.00			6.00	11.61	11.61	6.00	25.00
Mg	24.31	MgO	40.305	1	1.16E-2	3.13E-1	0.52	0.37	0.10	0,01	0.030			0.34	0.11	0.67
Mn	64.94	MnO2	86.94	1	3.15E-2	1.94E+O	3.07	2.21	0.62	0.03	0.095			2.03	0.64	3.22
Mo	95.94	MoO3	143.94	1	4.96E-3	6.32E-1	0.80	0.67	0.16					0.53	0.16	0.80
Na	22.99	Na2O	61.98	2	8.82E-1	2.27E+1	30.61	22.04	6.17	1.45	6.378			28.09	7.67	37.86
Nb	92.91	Nb205	265.82	2	1.10E-4	1.14E-2	0.02	0.01	0.00					0.01	0.00	0.02
Nd	144.24	Nd203	336.48	2	2.64E-2	4.26E+0	4.97	3,58	1.00					4,26	0.99	4.97
NI	68.7	NiO	74.7	1	3.93E-2	2.68E+0	3.29	2.37	0.66					2.58	0.66	3.29
Р	30,97	P205	141.95	2	1.56E-2	5.41E-1	1.24	0.89	0.25	0.11	0.240			0.78	0.36	1.79
Pb	207.2	PbO2	239.2	1	3.74E-3	8.68E-1	1.00	0.72	0.20					0.87	0.20	1.00
Pd	106.4	PdO	122.4	1	1.24E-3	1.48E-1	0.17	0.12	0.03					0.15	0.03400	0.17
Pr	140.91	Pr203	328.82	2	1.18E-3	1.86E-1	0.22	0.16	0.04					0.19	0.04	0.22
Rb	85.47	R62O3	218.94	2	6.70E-4	6.41E-2	0.08	0.06	0.02					0.08	0.02	0.08
Rh	102.91	Rh2O3	253.82	2	1.04E-3	1.20E-1	0.15	0.11	0.03					0.12	0.0296	0.15
Ru	101.07	RuO2	133.07	1	3.85E-3	4.36E-1	0.67	0.41	0.12					0.44	0.1148	0.67
Sb	121.76	Sb2O3	291.5	2	6.11E-6	6.97E-3	0.01	0.01	0.00					0.01	0.00	0.01
Se	78.96	SeO2	110.96	1	1.82E-4	1.61E-2	0.02	0.02	0.00					0.02	0.00	0.02
Si	28.09	SiO2	60.09	1	8.56E-2	2.69E+0	5.76	4.15	1.16	1.49	3,483	49.67	115.88	122.04	62.21	261.06
Sm	150.4	Sm203	346.8	2	5.54E-4	9.33E-2	0.11	0.08	0.02					0.09	0.02	0.11
Sn	118.69	SnO	134.69	1	9.99E-5	1.33E-2	0.02	0.01	0.00					0.01	0.00	0.02
Sr	87.62	SrO	103.62	1	1.46E-3	1.43E-1	0.17	0.12	0.03					0.14	0.03	0.17
Ta	180.95	Te205	441.9	2	1.92E-5	3.89E-3	0.00	0.00	0.00					0.00	0.00	0.00
To	127.6	TeO2	159.6	1	7.94E-4	1.13E-1	0.14	0.10	0.03					0.11	0.03	0.14
Ti	47.9	TiO2	79.9	1	1.04E-2	5.58E-1	0.93	0.67	0.19	4.00E-03	0.012			0.67	0.19	0.95
Y	88.9	Y2O3	225.81	2	9.04E-4	9.00E-2	0.11	0.08	0.02					0.09	0.02	0.11
Zn	65,38	.ZnO	81.38	1	6.24E-3	3.84E-1	0.48	0.34	0.10					0.38	0.10	0.48
Zr	91.22	ZrO2	123.22	1	1.56E-1	1.59E+1	21.53	16.50	4.34					16.94	4.31	21.53
Total					2.04E+0		138.88	100.00	28.00	3.404				257.50	99.78	498.90

Targetk1.xis, 17.2.1993

mw = molecular weight mf = melter feed
Table 3-I: Compilation of data of the NCAW simulant B: HWVP Melter Feed Composition

	Melter Feed Oxide Concentration	Feed	Compount Concentration
Oxide	(wt%)	Compound	(g/L feed)
Ag20	0.03.	AnNO3	0.25
AI203	2.57	AUOHI3	19.85
B203	2F-03	H3BO3	0.01
Ba0	0.05	$B_{2}(OH)_{2} + 8H_{2}O$	0.57
CaO	0.00	Ca/OH)2	1 49
	0.20		1. 4 5 A 9A
	0.18	Ce(OH)3	1.02
Cr203	0.10	Cr/NO313 + 9H2O	1.02
Ce20	0.17	CeNO3	1 19
CuO	0.07	$C_{11}(SOA) \pm 5H2O$	1.15
5.203	8.06	E0/04/3	54.23
Ge02	45-05	GeO2	25-4
K20	0.06	KUH 9502	0.34
1a203	0.00	LaF3	0.34
20200	0.10		0.25
MaQ	0 10	$M_0(NO3)2 + 6H2O$	3 32
MpO2	0.10	Mg(1003/2 + 01120 MpO2	3.32
MoO3	0.01	MnO2 MoO3	0.80
Na2O	6 10	NaCl	0.80
CI	0.08	Na2CO3	14 91
F-	0.03	Na2C2O4	1.05
•		Nal	0.03
		NaNO2	33.76
		NaNO3	4.01
SO3	0.19	Na2SO4	1.04
		NaOH	3.34
Nb2O3	0.03	Nb2O5	0.02
Nd2O3	0.99	NdF3	. 0.25
		Nd(OH)3	5.56
NiO	0.66	Ni(OH)2	4.09
P2O5	0.25	Na3PO4	2.88
PdO	0.03	Pd(NO3)2	0.32
PbO2	0.20	Pb(NO3)2	- 1.39
Pr2O3	0.04	Pr(OH)3	0.26
Rb2O3	, 0.02	RbNO3	0.11
Rh2O3	0.03	Rh(NO3)2 + 2H2O	0.30
Ru2O3	0.11	Ru(NO)(NO3)3	1.34
Sb2O3	2E-3	Sb2O3	0.01
SeO2	5E-3	SeO2	0.02
SiO2	1.14	SiO2	5.77
Sm2O3	0.02	Sm(NO3)3 + 6H2O	0.28
SnO	3E-3	Sn(NO3)2	0.03
SrO	0.03	Sr(NO3)2	0.35
Ta205	1E-3	Ta2O5	5E-3
TeO2	0.03	TeO2	0.14
TiO2	0.19	TiO2	0.93
Y2O3	0.02	Y(OH)3	0.14
ZnO	0.10	Zn(OH)2	0.58
ZrO2 .	4.31	Zr(OH)4	28.00
Total:	28%	Total:	204.8 g/L

TAB3IB.XLS

(343 g-frit/L-melter feed)	
SiO2	72.26
B2O3	20.45
Li2O	7.29
Total	100.00
88 wt% Formic Acid Additions	a/l Meiter Feed
	g/L Montor / CCu
HWVP Feed	30.68
Recycle Feed	3.26
Frit Addition	3.53
Recycle Composition (17 g-equiv.oxides/L-melter feed)	g/L Melter Feed (3)
7	0.00
Zeolite (IE-96) (1)	2.80
	5.60
	0.31
NaOH	0.65
	. (2)
	0.50
Na3PO4	1 29
NaCl	0.02
NaNO3	14.48
······································	
(1) Particle size < 120 mesh	

Table 3-I:Compilation of data of the NCAW simulantC:Feed requirements for frit, recycle and formic acid

0

TAB3IC.XLS

Table 3-I: Compilation of data of the NCAW simulantD: HWVP Target glass composition

Oxide	Oxide Conc. (Wt %)	Recycle Feed Oxide Conc. (Wt %)	Frit Oxide Cond (Wt%)
			(11070)
Ag20	0.03		
AI203	2.57	0.14	
B2O3	2E-3		14.03
BaO	0.05		
CaO	0.23	0.01	
CdO	0.87	0.11	
CeO2	0.18		
CI	0.08		
Cr203	0.07		
Cs20	0.17		
CuO	0.07		
F	0.03		
Fe2O3	8.06	0.04	
GeO2	4E-5		
K20	0.06	0.01	
La203	0.19	0.01	•
LiO2	••••		5.00
ΜαΟ	. 0.10	0.01	0.00
MnO2	0.61	0.03	
MoO3	0.16	0.00	
Na20	6.10	1 45	
Nb203	3F-3	1.45	
Nd203	0 99		
NiO	0.55		
P205	0.25	0.11	
PdO	0.03	0.11	
PbO2	0.20		
Pr203	0.04		
Rb2O3	0.02		
Rh2O3	0.03		
Ru203	0.11		
Sb2O3	2 F -3		
SeO2	5F-3		
SiO2	1.14	1 49	49 57
Sm203	0.02	1.70	40.07
Sn0	35-3		
503	0.19		
SrO	0.13		
Ta205	1F-3		
TeO2	0.03		
Ti02	0.03	15-2	
Y203	0.13	450	
7n()	0.02		
ZrO2	4.31		
	20.9/	2.40%	

TAB3ID.XLS

• !

esmvis.xdc Temperature, °C Glass frit. NCAW glass product sample U2/17-4 No.3 sample date: August 29,1992, 3.06 p.m. Viscosity, dPas

Fig. 3-11 Viscosity versus temperature curves for the glass frit and NCAW glass product



Fig. 3–12 Specific electrical resistance versus temperature of the NCAW glass prodact

The second secon

3.3 DATA ACQUISITION AND VISUALISATION SYSTEM PISA

The main process data of the vitrification plant were collected by the computer system PISA additionally and independently from line printers on the control panels. The structure of the PISA-system is given in figure 3-13. It consists of two data loggers (logger 1 for digital, logger 2 for analog signals), two personal computers in master-slave-operation, two monitors, and three printers. The master computer was used for process graphic, bar charts, line charts, tables, actual and history data in diagrams and mass storage for the whole analog data. The digital process data (status of stop valves, pumps etc.) were not stored. The slave computer had no mass storage and was used to observe the actual process in graphics and tables. The performance of the PISA graphics, tables, line charts and bar charts is shown as typical examples in the figures 3-14 to 3-23. The incoming electrical analog signals were divided into groups concerning their types, scanning and storage rates (given in table 3-II).

Exceptions concerning the scanning rate and the storage rate to the table above were necessary for the feed make up tank used for the feed preparation. The temperatures, the off-gass flow rate, the underpressure in the vessel and the hydrogen analyses were scanned and stored each 60 seconds. The list of the database contains all the digital and analog process signals, including channel No., denomination, unit, range, storage interval and its limits. The stored process data are available as ASCII-files which can be used for further calculations by other software programmes (a channel listing is be given in appendix B).

Type of measurement	Scanning rate (sec)	Storage rates (sec)		
Levels	20	60		
Densities	20	. 60		
Flow rates	300	300		
Pressures	300	300		
Temperatures	300	300		
El. powers	60	300		
Currents	60	300		
Voltages	60	300		
Qualities (weights, conc.)	600	600		

Table 3-II: Types, scanning and storage rates of PISA system





Fig. 3-14: Overview picture of PISA

4



Fig. 3-15: PISA plot of the data regarding the feed make up tank (FMT)



Fig. 3-16: PISA plot of the data regarding the melter feed tank (MFT)



Fig. 3-17: PISA plot for melter feeding area and glass melting by the ESM



alle Angaben in °C

Fig. 3-18: PISA plot for the temperature monitoring points of the ESM



Fig. 3-19: PISA plot concerning power release in the glass tank and glass overflow area of the ESM



Ξ,

Fig. 3-20: PISA plot of data for the off gas area, part 1

Kondensator



Fig. 3-21: PISA plot of data for the off gas area, part 2



Fig. 3-22: PISA plot of data for the off gas area, part 3



:

Fig. 3-23: PISA plot of NCAW feed simulant storage tank. From this tank the simulant was batchwise pumped into the FMT

4-1

4.0 TEST DESCRIPTION

4.1 Feed preparation

The melter feed had been prepared in 5 batches (B1-B5). Batch 1 should not contain noble metals. Due to a mistake during the first filling of the FMT 2 barrels with noble metal containing simulant were added. Thus, the noble metal concentration was about 1/6 of the target for noble metal containing feed. This explains the low concentrations of noble metals analyzed (see table 4-II). The feed preparation procedure is shown in Figure 4-1 and the corresponding data are given in the Table 4-I. The premixed waste simulant was stored in a large waste storage tank (WST, see figure 3-23). Before transfer from WST to the Feed Make up Tank (FMT), an initial liquid sample was taken from WST and analyzed. After the end of this transfer, a sample from the FMT was taken and analyzed, too. Based on this data the shim chemicals have been added. The simulate then was heated up to 95°C and a third sample taken. After sampling the formic acid addition was started with an average feeding rate into the FMT of 60 to 70 l/h. (52-61 l/h/l). The subsequent digestion was performed at 99°C for 4 hours and a final sample taken. For preparation of the first 2 batches, forced cooling was used after digestion. The other batches cooled naturely to 40°C before the recycling chemicals were added. At this stage the glass frit powder was mixed to the formated waste simulant. To assure a good mixture the rotation speed of the stirrer of the FMT was increased for some time (230 rpm). After this mixing procedure another sample has been taken. Its analysis data served as the basis for the final adjustment of the batch with water to the target value of 500 g/l oxides. Before the first of the several transfers from the FMT to the smaller Melter Feed Tank (MFT) took place a sample was taken for final control.

The analysis data of the liquid samples taken during the batch preparation are compiled in the tables 4-II to 4-VI. There are some differences between the targets and some of the analyzed elements by ICP. For the noble metals especially this may be due to the reason of the detection limit of the ICP. On the other hand showed the XRF-results of the glass samples that the oxide loading was quite near the target. The figures 4-2 to 4-15 illustrate for each batch in dependance of time the temperatures in the FMT including the off-gas temperature, the gas flow rates, the pressure, and the volume concentrations of the off-gas species NO, NO₂, N₂O and H₂. Due to safety reasons, the off-gas composition was intended to be analyzed on-line. But the H₂ analyzer did not work correctly during batch 2 and 3. During their preparations gas samples were taken every 30 minutes and separately send to a gas chromatograph. The H₂ concentrations were all the time about a factor of 10 below the safety limit. Two sets of temperature profiles are shown for the FMT, one for the upper thermocouple and one for the lower thermocouple. There is an offset in the curves for the smaller batches because the upper thermocouple was near the top surface of the liquid.



Fig.4-1:

Table 4-IFeed Preparation Procedure

Campaign	U1	U2	U2	U2	U2
Batch	B1	B2 ⁺)	B3	B4	B5
Start	28.06.1992	07.07.1992	19.07.1992	30.07.1992	12.08.1992
Stop	01.07.1992	11.07.1992	22.07.1992	03.08.1992	16.08.1992
1. Lagertank Sampling	-	-	L102	-	-
2. Transfer to FMT, Vol. (L)	2400	3570	3500	3750	3840
3. FMT Sampling	L1	L37	L119	-	L274
4. Shim Chemicals, Add. (kg)			•		
AI (OH)3	14,100	15,720	12,900	17,900	18,355
Ca(OH)2	[.] 1,362	2,840	3,080	3,200	3,302
MoO3	0.51	0.55	0.541	0.86	0.883
. NaNO2	89,040	27,980 ⁻	125,000	129,000	132,096
NaNO3	22,800	30,440	28,900	30,000	30,605
ZrO2	-	··· 4,420	0.84	2,800	-
5. Heat up to (°C)	95	92	95	95	95
6. FMT Sampling	L2	L40	L120	L186	L275
7. Formic Acid Add. ,85% (L)	111	147	159	163 -	· 167
Feed rate (L/h)	63	62	. 71	61	62
8. Heat up to (°C)	99	99	99	99	99
9. Digestion [h]	4(7)	4	4	4	4
10. FMT Sampling	L3A	L49	L122	L187	L273
	L3B			L194	L276
11. Cooling down to (°C)	. 40	40	40	40	40
	forced	forced	natural	natural	natural
12. Recycling Chemicals (kg)					
CdO	1,478	2,030	1,700	2,200	2,229
Diatomacesous Earth	14,784	20,270	17,300	21,700	21,460
KMnO4	0.818	1,120	0.955	1,200	1,234
NaCl	0.053	0.072	0.062	0.078	0.08
Na2C2O4	5,570	7,640	6,500	8,200	8,398
NaNO3	38,227	52,420	44,600	⁻ 56,200	57,630
NaPO4	3,406	4,670		-	-
Na3PO4x12H2O	-	-	9,200	7,440	11,900
Zeolite	7,392	10,140	8,600	10,900	11,440
HNO3 65% (kg)	2,640	3,620	3,080	3,800	4,740
НСООН 85% (L)	•	21.7	18.4	23,0	24.2
13. FMT Sampling	L4A	Ļ50	-	-	-
	L4B				
14. Frit Additon (kg)	906	1241.7	1056	1331	1365
15. FMT Sampling	L5A	L51	-	-	L277
	L5B				
16. Final Adjustment H2O (L)	457	170	130	830	403
17. FMT Sampling	-	-	L134	L206	L283
18. Transfer to MFT, Vol. (L)	2610	2622	3080	3880	3980

٠,

*) Low nitrite batch

Table 4-II Compilation of the analysis data obtained for the NCAW feed preparation, Batch 1 (for pretest run U1)

.

		r	r				·		I
NICAW Fee	d Proparation								Batch1 XI S
NCAN FEE	u Freparauon							_	Datant.ALO
U1/Batch 1									
Analysis									[
							•	L	l
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Sample No.		L1	12	L3A	_L38	L4A	L48	L5A	L17
		28.06.1992	29.06,1992	30.06,1992	30.06.1992	30.06.1992	30.06.1992	01.07.1992	_04.07.1992
		17.30 h	21.10 h	15.00 h	15.00 h	19.45 h	19.45 h	07.30 h	09.00 h
	Melter Feed	FMI before	FMI after	FMIaner	FMIatter	FMI aner	FMIaner	FMI aner	FMI
	Target	shimming	shimming	digestion	digestion	recycle add.	recycle add.	ma-addition	nnai sample
	¢/L	Q/L.	Q/L	gr_	Q/L	g/L	g/L	Q/L	g/L
- Classed		·							
Element									
	0.46		0.45	0.17	0.17	0.10	0.10	0.16	0.17
<u></u>		0.14	0.13	7 37	7.29	9.04	7 00	7.51	8 33
	1.23	0.01	0.10	0.01	7.30	0.04	1.55	19.09	21 37
		0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	13.03	0.26
	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.23	0.23	1 02	1.01	0.25	1.01
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		3.46	2.62	3 70	3.69	1.02 A 60	4.52	3.04	<u>4 20</u>
	0.75	0.40	0.02	0.70	0.00	22.0		0.51	0.57
~~~~	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.57
<u> </u>	0.20	<u> </u>	- 0.23	<u>0.24</u>	0.24	91	0.20 N	<u> </u>	<u></u> 9
C	0.01	0.32	0.20	0.33	0.34	0.36	· 0.36	0.30	0.34
Fe	28.35	29.50	27 60	30 14	29 49	37 67	37.48	27.67	29.95
Ge	0.00		7				9		
- K	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5
	0.84	0.51	· 0.47	0.52	0.52	0.56	0.57	0.48	0.52
<u> </u>	11.61	7	7	7	ງ	7	7	<u> </u>	"
Ma	0.34	0,48	0.48	0.53	0.53	0.58	0.58	0.52	0.55
Mn	2,03	2.10	1.96	2.10	2.12	2.43	2.39	2.04	2.22
Mo	0.53	0.37	1.60	1.75	1.72	1.87	1.87	1.59	1.73
Na	28.09	9.17	20.86	25.06	26.00	32.27	33.99	30.73	33.47
Nb ·	0.01	7	7	ິ ງ	7	7	ຶ່ງ	7	7
Nd	4.26	4.18	3.75	4.34	4.38	4,65	4.62	4.00	4.35
Ni	2.58	2.66	2.56	2.83	2.79	3.04	2.99	2.55	2.73
Ρ	0.78	7	9	7	7	7	ຶ່ງ	ໆ	7
Pb	0.87	0.75	0.73	0.80	0.79	0,87	0,86	0.75	0.80
Pd **)	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.04	0.02
Pr	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.18
Rb	0.06	ື "	7	ຶ	7	ງ	າ	"	ື
Rh **)	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.03	0,03	0.04	0.02	0.01
Ru **)	0.00	0,95	0.11	0.10	0.10	0,11	0.11	0.08	0.08
Şb	0.01	ຶ	7	ຶ	ິ 🦷 🤊	ງ	7	າ	۲
Se	0.02		ຼງ	າ	າ	ຼັງ	5	າ	7
Si	122.04	ິ)	ັ	ັ	7	າ	ໆ	ן ד
Sm	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.15	0,15	0.13	0.13
Sn	0.01	ໆ	7	7	າ	ື	ໆ	ໆ	
Sr ·	0.14	0.18	0.17	0,18	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.19
Ta	0.00	7	ງ	ງ	້ ງ	ງ	າ	ງ	7
Te	0.11	"	7	7	ໆ		ງ	າ	7
. 11	0.57	ງ	.)	າ	7			ໆ	<u> </u>
Y I	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07
Zn	0.38	0.31	0.33	0.37	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.36	0.39
Zr	15.94	15.23	14.28	16.00	16.06	17.17	17.26	14.85	15.40
									100 00
Total	256.80	77.66	87.47	98.84	99.16	112.71	114.14	119,19	129.26
Thursday and									
riuonde)	0.12	•	0.02	•	-		•		•
Chionde	0.38	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.09		-	· · ·		•	
Sulphrin the	20.00		19.80		•				
Suprate)	0.98	•	0.91		•			•	
Nitesta en	0.10		<u> </u>						•
Nillale)	/.20		5.60						
						0.00		7 70	
pri Density s/st		-		0.50		0.80		1./0	0.40
Density g/mL		1.10	1.11	1.13	1.13	1.15	1.15	1.34	1.34
Volume		130.70	132.70	140.00	2490.00	178,38	100.69	2610.00	200.70
AOURILIA P		2400.00		2400.00	2400.00			2010.00	2300.00
	·	1 No anahari-	data available						
		7110 611619518							
		*) Due to a m	stake during fe	ed preparation	1/6 of the simul	ant contained r	oble metals		
		/ 555 10 4 11	teres and the						
		••••) Data meas	uned by PNI						

•

.

.

_ ~~ ~

Table 4-III-

Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, Batch 2 (for noble metal test run U2)

NCAW Feed F	reparation						Batch2.XLS
U2/Batch 2 **)							
Analysis							
Sample No.		1.37	140	149	150	. 151	1.82
		07.07.1992	08.07.1992	09.07.1992	09.07.1992	10.07.1992	13.07.1992
		10.30 h	12.00 h	07:33 h	11.00 h	08.46 h	09.00 h
	Melter Feed	FMT before	FMT after	FMT after	FMT after	FMT after	FMT
·······	Target	shimming	shimming	digestion	recycle add.	frit-addition	final sample
		<u> <u> </u></u>	g/L	g/L	Q/L	g/L	g/L
							<u> </u>
Element	······································	·			·····	<u> </u> -	
		[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ag	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.24	0.25	0.17	0.15
Al	7.23	5.09	6.13	8.72	9,48	7.30	6.29
<u>B</u>		0.96	0.63	0.74	0.79	71.70	17.08
Ba	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.29	0.31	0.23	0.2
	0.84	0.39	0.78	1.11	1.19	0.93	0.82
Ce	0.75	0.60	0.61	0.22	0.25	4.38	3.85
r	0.26	• 0.23	0.24	0.00	0.89	0.03	0.00
Cs	0.81	1 7	7	<u>ເ</u> ໆ		0.2J 1	<u> </u>
Cu	0.28	0.30	0.29	0.41	0.43	0.30	0.25
Fe	28.35	26.62	25.52	36.89	37.99	26.38	22.6
Ge	0.00	7	7	ໆ	7	ຶງ	່ ໆ
<u> </u>	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ໆ
	0.84	0.57	0.56	0.79	0.80	0.58	0.53
<u> </u>	11.01	-)		7	7		<u> </u>
Mn	2.03	0.40	0,44	0.61	0.67	0.52	0.4/
· Mo	0.53	0.41	0.48	2.00	2.50	1.97	
Na	28.09	10.57	14.04	19.70	23.48	18.96	18.84
Nb	0.01)	ຶ່	7	7	າ	7
Nd	4.26	4.24	4.06	5.98	6.18	4.23	3.7
Ni	2.58	2.45	2.37	3.42	3.48	2.47	2.16
<u>Р</u>	0.78	7	າ	າ	າ	ິງ	7
<u>Pb</u>	0.87	0.80	0.79	1.15	1.16	0.85	0.75
Pa	0.17	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.06
<u>Pt</u>	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.29	0.21	0.2
Rh	0.00	0.05	0.06				
Ru	0.44	0.39	0.40	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.05
Sb	0.01	7			<u></u> 7		<u></u>
Se	0.02		7	ົ່ງ	ງ		, j
SI	122.04	7	7	7	7	7	7
Sm	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.20	0.14	0.13
Sn	0.01	<u> </u>	"		າ	7	າ
Sr Ta	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.24	0.24	0.17	0.15
	0.00		<u>]</u>	<u>_</u>		<u> </u>	ງ
	0.11						
- Y	0.57	0.07			0.10		
Zn	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.07
Zr	15.94	14.18	13,96	20.23	20.61	14.29	12.63
Total	257.52	75.34	78.21	112.20	120.10	158.24	94.72
Phaneter and							
Chloride ***	0.12		0.05	-	•	•	· · ·
Nitrite ***	0.38	•	0.09				
Sulphate ***			1 27				
Phosphate ***)	0.10	•	0,001				
Nitrate ***)	7.20	•	9.55	•	•	-	•
pH		12.40	11.60	6.20	6.30	7.60	7.6
Density g/mL		1.06	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.32	1.28
O Load. d/L		127.00	·		205.00	521.00	451.00
volume L						3420.00	3622.00
) No analysis data	available				
		M Law nitrita hatab					
		J LOW INUITE DATCH					*******
	······	***) Data measured	by PNL				
the second se							

-

Table 4-IV Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, Batch 3

NCAW Feed Pr	reparation							Batch3.XLS
U2/Batch 3	cpurudon							
Of Datento								
Analueie								
Alidiyala								
Sample No.		L102	L119	L120	L121	L122	L134	L149
	<u>`</u>	16.07.1992	<u>19.07.1992</u>	19.07.1992 15.00 h	19.07.1992		07 20 5	09 00 h
	Metter Feed	Storage	EMT before	EMT after	EMT before	FMT after	FMT after	FMT
	Target	tank	shimming	shimming	digestion	digestion	frit-add.	final sample
	g/L	q٨.	g/L	Q/	g/L	٥/L	٥L	٩٢.
								<u>├</u>
Element				·				
Ag	0.16	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.18	0.17
AI	7.23	5.34	6.21	0.83	0.31		7.49	1.33
Ba		0.78	0.19	0.00	0.37	0.26	0.24	0.24
Ca	0.84	0.39	0.79	0.28	0.26	0.95	0.96	0.92
Cd	4.26	4.08	3.58	0.66	0.61	4.35	4.23	4.15
Се	0.75	0.71	0.50	1.00	0.85	0.74	0.64	0.61
Cr	0.26	0.27	0.21	0.51	0.49	0.32	0.27	0.25
<u>Cs</u>	0.81	7		<u>_</u>	7	<u>_</u>		
Cu	0.28	0.33	0.25	0.34	0.31	0.37	0.31	27.5
Ge	20.35	21.51	21.90	20.20	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u>21.11</u>	
	0.00							
La	0.84	0.61	0.45	0.60	0.56	0.66	0.57	0.57
U U	11.61	າ	າ	ໆ	7		າ	7
Mg	0.34	0.51	0.43	4.78	4.46	0.56	0.51	0.49
Mn	2.03	2.03	1.64	2.11		2.24	2.02	1.90
Na	28.09	10.41	20.42	22 38	20.30	22.59	36.04	31.13
Nb	0.01	10.71	20.14		<u>ຼຸ</u> ອ			
Nd	4.26	4.64	3.56	0.21	0.20	5.29	4.45	4,48
NI	2.58	2.68	2.01	2.54	2.54	2.84	2.51	2.54
<u>Р</u>	0.78	7	<u> </u>	ໆ	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	າ	
Pb	0.87	0.86	0.69	0.90	0.86	1.02	0.88	0.8
Pr Pr	0.17	0.09	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.02
Rb	0.06		<u> </u>				<u>ງ</u>	
Rh	0.12	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04
Ru	0.44	0.44	0.32	0.44	0.42	0.50	0.39	0.37
<u>Sb</u>	0.01		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u>`</u>	
SC	122.04		······································				136 78	
Sm	0.09	. 0.15	0.12	0.15	0.14	0 17	0.14	0.14
Sn	0.01	7	7	າ	יייי אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין		7	
Sr	0.14	0.18	0.14	16.50	15.57	0.21	0.18	0.18
Та	0.00	7	ໆ	7	7	ງ	7	
Te	0.11		<u>_</u> _	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	
	0.5/	0.04) 				0.04
Zn	0.38	0.44	0.391	0.00	0.43	0.51	0.46	0.45
Zr	15.94	15.19	12.19	4.17	4.04	18.14	15.25	15.26
lotal	257.52	79.18	93.04	95.07	89.08	102.05	261.54	118.5
Fluoride **)	0.12	•		0.00	•	-	-	-
Chloride **)	0.38	•	•	0.14	-	•	•	•
Nitrite ***)	19.80	· · · · · ·		18.00	•	•	•	-
Sulphate)	0.98			. 0.22				· · ·
Nitrate **)	7.20			12.40				
pH	-	11.40	7.70	5.90	11.10	7.70	8.10	8.3
Density g/mL		1.07	1.25	1.11	1.05	1.10	1.31	1.31
O Load, g/L		113.00		148.00	138.00	160.00	498.00	495.00
volume L				·····	•	2000.00	2995.00	3080.00
		") No analysis data	availab le					
		1	1					
1		Data measured	DY PNL					

. .

Table 4-V Compilation of the analysis data for NCAW feed preparation, Batch 4

NCAW Feed F	reparation				Batch4.XLS	
U2/Batch 4						
Analysis						
	1	·····				
-						
Sample No.		L186	L187	L194	L206	L213
		30.07.1992	31.07.1992	31.07.1992	02.08.1992	03.08.1992
	Metter Food	19,30 n	U/.15 ft	U9.00 n	09.00 h	13.00 h
-	Tamet	shimming	digestion	diaestico	FMI aller	FMI
		al.	d/L		0/	
•						
		•				
Element	•					
						•
Ag	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.14
Al	1.23	6.27	8.00	8.19	8.16	6.27
Ba	21.73	0.17	0.22	0.32	24.50	19.27
Ca	0.22	0.2	0.27	0.20	0.20	0.2
	4.26	3.74	4 67	1.12 <u>4</u> RR	1.00	3.52
Ce	0.75	0.6	2 0.78	0.82	0.68	0.51
Cr	0.26	0.25	0.32	0.34	0.28	0.21
Cs	0.81	-) 7	7		
Cu	0.28	0.31	0.38	0.40	0.33	0.26
Fe	28.35	26.24	33.16	33.83	29.42	22.33
Ge	0.00	•	ן י	"	. 7	ໆ
<u> </u>	0.23		<u>ן</u>	"	7	ໆ
	0.84	0.59	0.74	0.76	0.64	0.48
Ma	034	0.45)			7
Mn	2.03	1 0.40	0.57	0.01	0.55	0.43
Mo	0.53	0.49	0.65	0.66	- 2.21	0.43
Na	28.09	14.92	14.35	11.30	23.64	18 71
Nb	0.01) 7	7		
Nd	4.26	4.11	5.16	5.31	4.52	3.44
NI	2.58	2.52	3.15	3.22	2.75	2.07
P	0.78	7	<u>۱</u> · ۳	7	າ	ໆ
	0.8/	0.80	1.03	1.07	0.93	0.72
Pr	0.17	0.12	0.16	0.19	0.11	0.07
Rb	0.06	0.18	0.24	0.28	0.21	0.16
Rh	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.18	. 0.13	
Ru	0.44	0.41	0.49	0.54	0.13	0.03
Sb	0.01	•7	7	7	7	1
Se	0.02	7	9	7	5	
·Si	122.04	7	ງງ	ັງ	5	
Sm	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.11
Sn	•0.01		<u> </u>	າ	າ	٣٣
<u></u>	0.14	0.16	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.01
Te	0.00		<u> </u>		<u>_</u>	?
Ti	0.57				-)	
Y	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.10	/	0.06
Zn	0.38	0.40	0.55	0.55	0.00	0.00
. Zr	15.94	13.86	17.45	17.76	15.60	11.84
Total	257.52	80.13	96.64	95.74	122.91	94.63
Fluonde ")	0.12	0.04	•		•	-
Nitrite **	0.38	0.08		•		•
Sulphate **	19.00	1 20	•		· · ·	
Phosphate **	0.90	0.21			-	•
Nitrate **)	7.20	5.34				
	,					
pН		11.50	7,80	7.60	8.60	85
Density g/mL		1.06	1.13	1.13	1.44	1.28
O Load. g/L		135.00	174.00	177.00	612.00	457.00
Volume L		+	2830.00	-	3050.00	3880.00
		n Ma anatista da				
		, no analysis data	available			
		**) Data measured	by PNI			
		, water measured	wy 1 116			

Table 4-VI

Compilation of the analysis data obtained for NCAW feed preparation, Batch 5

	1	1	1	1	1	
NCAW Feed	Preparation	1	1			Batch5 YI C
112/Batab E	- sparanoti	1				Daturd.ALO
UZIDAICH 5						
Analysis						
		1	1	<u> </u>		
Sample No.	1	1274	1275	1273	1277	1,283
	1	12.08.1992	12,08,1992	13.08.1992	13.08.1992	14.08.1992
	1	18.00 h	21.00 h	09.00 h	13.00 h	09.00 h
	Melter Feed	FMT before	FMT after	FMT after	FMT after	FMT
	Target	shimming	shimming	digestion	frit-add.	final sample
	g/L	01	Ø/L	a/L	٥/L	o/L
				•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			·			
Element		1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1				
Ag	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.20	0.15	0.14
Aİ	7.23	3.80	4.89	6.71	5.78	5.20
8	21.79	0.29	0.24	0.45	17.11	15.63
Ba	0.22	0.17	0.17	0.23	0.18	0.17
Ca	0.84	0.34	0.69	0.92	0.73	0.72
Cd	4.26	3.22	3.14	4.19	3.32	3.28
. Ce	0.75	0.58	0.57	0.73	0.56	0.59
10	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.28	0.20	0.19
Cs	0.81	<u> </u>	7	ົ້ ງ	7	າ
Cu	0.28	0.25	0.24	0.33	0.23	0.22
Fe	28.35	21.80	21.13	29.00	19.83	18,98
Ge	0.00	າ	۲ ۲	5	ງ	າ
ĸ	0.23	່	-	ົ	່ ງ	ຼື
La	0.84	0.49	0.48	0.64	0.44	0.45
U	11.61	າ	າ	າ	່ງ	ັ
Mg	0.34	0.42	0.42	0.53	0.43	0.45
Mn '	2.03	0.13	1.54	2.05	1.55	1.48
Mo	0.53	0.31	0.41	0.56	0.39	0.39
Na	28.09	5.81	14.34	18.07	19.08	16.44
Nb	0.01	າ	າ	າ	າ	ໆ
Nd	4.26	3.28	3.20	4.37	3.11	2.91
Ni	2.58	2.09	2.06	2.75	1.87	1.83
<u> </u>	0.78		7	ງ	7	ງ
Pb	0.87	0.71	0.71	•0.93	0.69	0.68
Pa	0.1/	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.13	0.21
<u>PT</u>	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.25	0.19	0.22
<u> </u>	0.06		2	7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
R	0,12	0,13	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.15
Ru	0.44	0.40	0.39	0.48	0.33	0.40
	0.01			· · · ·		
	0.02	?			-)	7
N	122.04					
	0.09	0.12	0.12	. 0.10	0.12	0.12
	0.01					
	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.17	0.12	0.11
	0.00			<u> </u>		
T1	0,11	2				
	0.57		0.00			
70	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	
71	15 04	11 00	11.05	15 42	+0.30	0.30
	13.34		1.05	19.12	10.77	3.30
Total	257 53	58 74	87 45	00.04	39 79	91 25
1044	201.02		07.15	90.01	67.65	01.33
Eluoride **	0.12		0.04			
Chloride **)	0.12		0.04			
Nitrite ***	19.80	•	22 20			
Sulphate **	0.00		1 25			
Phosphate **	0.30	-	0.00			
Nitrate **	7.20		5 35			
						ň
ъH		12 00	11 20		8.50	8 00
Density n/ml		1.06	1.40	5.40	1 20	1 31
O Losd ol		121 00	136 00	175.00	468.00	482.00
Volume L		3840 00			-00.00	3980 00
						3300.00
		·				
		") No analysis data	available			
		7.10 51,517010 0010				
		**) Data measured	by PNL			
	I and the second se	, , cond medauted				



Fig. 4-2 Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch 1

N to activitation food activity amit and



Fig. 4-3 Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch No. 1



Fig. 4-4 Measured temperatures during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch No. 2

.

1



Fig. 4-5 Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch No. 2



,

Fig. 4-6 Feeding of formic acid and offgas composition from Feed Make up Tank (FMT) while formating NCAW feed simulant, Batch No. 2

a contraction of the second






Fig. 4-8 Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch No. 3











Fig. 4-11 Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch No. 4






Fig. 4-13 Measured temperatures versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant. Batch No. 5



Fig. 4-14 Flow rate and vessel pressure versus time during feed preparation of NCAW simulant, Batch No. 5





4.2 Melter operation

Two vitrification runs have been performed with the ESM-melter. The first one designated U1 served as a shake down test for the new facility and components, respectively. For this purpose 2.61 m³ of non noble metal containing NCAW-simulant have been prepared and continously vitrified. Based on the operation experiences gained the subsequent noble metal test, designated U2, could be started. The operation work in both campaigns had been strongly supported by personel of PNL. Additionally, DOE-Richland Operations, Westinghouse Hanford Company, and SRS delegates took part for some time in the operation. Due to the lower feeding rate of 12-15 l/h compared to the design value of 20 l/h, the overall operation time exceeded the scheduled 30 days significantly. The main tasks, however, could be well finished.

Table 4-I gives the overall data for both runs. After termination of the run U2 the melter was drained out via the bottom drain in order to determine a possible accumulation of noble metal enriched glass in the bottom area of the melter tank. The melt tank then was refilled with non noble metal containing glass (black frit) to the top level of the lower electrode pair and set to idling conditions.

4.2.1 Test run U1

This test run has been mainly performed to obtain the main operation parameters of the melter. This includes the power input to the electrodes, to the booster heaters in the melter plenum, and to the RHF-heaters in the overflow section. Also, the optimum underpressure level for glass pouring via the overflow system had to be determined and the melter feed preparation, the feed transfer and the feeding system tested. The plant was continuously operated in the test phase U1 from July 2, 1992, to July 11 around the clock.

The overview data for the U1 run indicating the melter function are shown in an operational diagram (Figs. 4-16 and 4-17). The upper part of the figure shows the individual and total power supply to the melt, and also the power released by the plenum heaters, all versus the operation time. In the second part of the figure the feed rate to the melter is plotted. The red color indicates NCAW simulant feeding and the blue one water feeding, when f.e. in a time period the feed line was plugged. The feed rate could not be measured directly due to the difficult flow properties of the slurry. Instead, it had been calculated in the U1 run by the level change in the MFT every four hours. The data were compared with a condensate balance made every 8 hours. For the level detection in the MFT and



Fig. 4-16: Operational diagram for the first week of the ESM campaign U1



Fig. 4-17: Operational diagram for the second week of the ESM campaign U1

FMT, dip tube-measurements were used during the run U1 and also at the beginning of the run U2. This caused additional problems due to the slurry properties. Later during the run U2 the dip tubemeasurement devices were replaced by a better working ultra-sonic system (Manuf. Co. VEGA Grieshaber GmbH&Co, 7622 Schiltach/Schwarzwald, Model: Vegason 72-2). The precision of the feeding rate indicated in the figures 4-16 and 4-17 is in a range of 10-20%.

In the third part of the figures, five melter temperatures reflecting the main melter operation conditions are shown. They were measured by different thermocouples placed in one thermowell. The thermowell was inserted through the melter roof up to the bottom of the tank. The exact location of the thermocouples has been shown already in Fig. 3-10. This selection of the temperatures gives the central glass temperature (TR.65), the melter plenum temperature (TR.69) and temperatures at the minimum, middle and maximum melt level. With this arrangement of temperature monitoring points each glass level change , for example due to glass pouring, can be observed indirectly by the typical change of these temperatures (see later Fig. 4-28). In the fourth subdiagram of the figs. 4-16 and 4-17 the glass filling batches are listed. The glass weight indicated includes the glass samples taken during the filling.

For start up of the run U1 the plenum heaters had been set to about 950°C consuming 10 kW. The glass pool temperature was kept between 1150 - 1200°C. The power release of the upper electrode pair was typically 10-12 kW, and of the lower electrodes 8-10 kW. A water feed rate of 20 to 25 l/h was used before start up of the U1 run with NCAW melter feed. The off gas line was adjusted to an underpressure in the ESM plenum of about 10 mm water column. Due to the evaporation of the water, the power input had to be increased to keep the bulk glass temperature between 1150 - 1200 °C. The water feeding finally was switched to 15 l/h NCAW melter feed simulant as can be seen in Fig. 4-16.

During this pretest the feeding rate for non noble metal slurry could be kept at around 16 l/h maximum. But the target of 20 l/h could not reached. Apart from this, the only real problems were caused in the feeding area due to failures of pumps and plugging of the feed line between the MFT and the ESM. As a consequence, a strainer was fixed between FMT and MFT and the Moyno cavity pumps supplied for use from US were replaced by Alldos diaphragma pumps (model: KM 254-1.9901). Because of the relatively low throughput level of the ESM these pumps worked at their minimum range (20 l/h). Their total range is 20-100 l/h. Small changes necessary for feeding rate adjustments were difficult to handle.

During the U1 run three canisters have been filled by 14 pouring operations. Each batch filling had a target of 75 kg. The operation mode for the overflow system was developed as follows:

- Indication of the melt level by comparison of the mass balance for the ESM and a level measurement from the melter top
- · Connecting the canister airtight to the overflow housing flange
- Operating the vacuum air jet at an underpressure of about 300 mm water column (to initiate and maintain a desired glass flow rate of about 50-70 kg/h)
- Controlling the canister filling weight by subtracting the vacuum effect on the loadcells of the canister car
- Glass sampling according to the schedule (five samples per pour)

The operation mode described above was improved during the first glass pourings in order to control the pouring rate and the actual amount of glass in the canister as accurate as possible. The total amount of glass for each batch was precisely indicated after disconnecting the canister from the overflow housing flange.

The offgas-system worked very satisfying. To prevent a plugging of the off gas tube which connected the ESM exit with the dust scrubber two millisecond supersonic air blasters have been operated every 8 hours. At the end of the run the tube was very clean and no layer of particulates could be seen. Every day liquid samples have been taken from the scrub solutions of the off-gas cleaning components. On July 11, the run U1 was completed having vitrified 2.61 m³ of the noble metals free NCAW simulant. The melter then was kept under processing conditions for several hours by feeding water to the melter.

4.2.2 Test run U2

The noble metal run U2 started on July 11, 1992, a few hours after the pretest U1 was finished. The overview data are given in the operation diagram shown in the figures 4-18 to 4-25. The "total power" indicated in the figures is the sum of the two electrode sets and the plenum heaters. The feeding curve of this run shows in its early stage still a number of feed stops. Two major reasons caused the interruptions:

• In order to reach the target feeding rate of 20 l/h the melter was operated at its maximum glass production capacity. As a consequence the glass pool surface tended to become flooded very quickly and easily. This appeared to be the result of a thick, rigid cold cap as discussed in the summary. The cause of this cold cap is not clear.



Fig. 4-18: Operational diagram for the first week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4-19: Operational diagram for the second week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4-20: Operational diagram for the third week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4-21: Operational diagram for the fourth week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4–22: Operational diagram for the fith week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4-23: Operational diagram for the sixth week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4-24: Operational diagram for the seventh week of the ESM test run U2



Fig. 4-25: Operational diagram for the eigth week of the ESM campaign U2

• Problems with the feeding system, mainly caused by undissolved particles in the NCAW melter feed, plugged the pumps and the feed line. One of these blockages was analyzed and found to be lanthanum flouride particles.

The feed stops are listed in Tab. 4-VIII. Although a strainer was used in the transfer line between the FMT and MFT to retain larger particles, and the flow properties of the slurry were controlled either by adjusting its pH values with nitric acid, or adding water the number of the feed stops could be reduced but not entirely avoided.

The total power input to the melter was about 35 kW in the average including the plenum heaters. The power distribution between the upper and the lower pairs of electrodes in the early stage of the run, was 12.5 to 11 kW. It was then gradually changed up to 550 h of operation time to a ratio of 15 to 7.5 kW, in order to increase the feeding capacity to the target. Additionally the melt level was increased to the maximum, and for minimizing the glass level change, the number of batch fillings per canister was set from 5 each (75 kg) to 6 each (60 kg). Despite all these efforts the actual maximum feed rate was about 16 l/h. The target of 20 l/h could not be achieved. It is difficult to determine the reason for the poor processing rates of this feed. One of the most visable differences between this feed and previous HWVP feeds is the higher viscosity and yield stress of the current formulation. The slurry does not spread easily over the cold cap. The resulting cold caps are thick and rigid with few active vents. It is beyond the scope of this activity to determine the reason for this higher viscosity, but the reason appears to be either the frit or the recycle chemicals. The slurry is easily pumped before formating. After the addition of the recycle chemicals and frit, the pH of the slurry rises and the viscosity steadily increases. After formating, the pH of the slurry was usually about 6-8. Within 72 hours, the pH usually rose above 9 and the slurry became more difficult to pump. Nitric acid was added to Batches 2, 3 and 5 to bring the pH value back down to 7. This helped the viscosity temporarily, but the pH had risen again within 6 days. Later in the run, Batches 3, 4 and 5, water was used to dilute the slurry to make it easier to pump. The batches were diluted down to 475 g TO/L. This reduced the number of feed line blockages and made the slurry more spreadable over the cold cap.

After about 550 h of U2 test operation, the dip tube-measurement in the MFT could be replaced by an ultra sonic system. So the feeding rate could be determined more accurately as shown in Fig. 4-26. The overall feeding data versus the run time are given in Tab. 4-VII. These numbers have been calculated by the level changes measured in the FMT and MFT according to Fig. 4-27. In total 14.23 m^3 NCAW melter feed simulant was fed to the melter with feed rates between around 12 to 15 l/h.

IIV-4 eldeT

÷

Compilation of data regarding WAON teed volumes in the FMT and MFT, transfer volumes to the relation of data sum up numbers and feed rates to the melter

•

171	TATZET		TARLET	14465		100 44651	1		1.6.601	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u></u>	101011		103771	03771	{	100 00011	<u> </u>	·	IN MIL	f	
14	14510		09771		340		1	0	9011	00:00	20'02'1005
15	19191	L	099991		362		1	0	1811	50 00	30'08'1003
<u></u>	17033	<u> </u>	05771		107			0	0911	00:00	29.06,1002
	10001		09771		1895	·····	<u> </u>	10	(2911	00.02	2001.80.82
01	132961	<u> </u>	09221		9/8		<u> </u>	6	ICCL1	100.02	2001 80 80
196	199901		14450	1	908			0	11211	100:80	2001 20 20
71	13500		14420		0911		1	0	6011	50.00	56.08,1992
50	12112	10.1	09771	196	97C1	00'0001		0	9601	00:20	26.08.1902
01 .	BROCI		09961		200			0001	1001	91:90	2601'00'02
21	13890	<u> </u>	105161	·	1029	l	·	1001	19801	50:001	26.08.1902
	100021	<u> </u>	109701	<u> </u>	00/	<u> </u>	···	1001	1001	00.80	2001-90 96
101	15200	<u> </u>	109961		110			1001	anol	00:00	2001 80 72
6.	121021	1	109101	·	IOCII		·	1001	1001	50.00	23'08' 1005
21	15500	00.1	IJYE	1	1360		1	1001	· 1052	00:00	33'08'1005
•	13060		09161		0071			1001	1013	50:00	22,08,1902
27	15028		136961	10901	12271	00.1201	Į	1001	1001	00:11	22,08,1002
191	100/11		12400	·	109		<u> </u>	2002	10001	100.02	33,00,1002
101	102911	92'1	100921	1	084	}	1	12902	1//6	100:00	2001 00 12
11	05711		13400	1	1190	1	1 ·····	5062	998	50:00	50'08'1005
91	11586		00721		9011			5062	E98_	00:90	50'08'1005
n	100111		15400		1521				190	50:00	10'06'1005
22	120011	1.29	134461	286	10071	00.806	<u> </u>	5062	203	99:01	19,09,1992
7	129001	· · · ·	1/15/1		1097		·	10962	1008	191-90	18'08'1865
<u> </u>	1/0901		1/19/1		02.8			0962	1904	00:00	7641 '00'01
CL	10155		21711		900			3890	108	100:81	2001 90'/1
15	10001		121711	1	9111		<u> </u>	29902	296	00:00	2001 80'21
¥1	87101		21711	1	1500			2890	698	50-00	16,001,190,81
0	81001		11785	1082	1111	1030.00		3890	098	57:01	16,08,1992
CI	81001		00101		28C			2360	898	10:00	18.08,1992
<u></u>	100/6	<u> </u>	100101		1299			23900	818	50:00	16 08, 1902
11	1/1998		100901		1002			0000	120	100-20	2661 00'91
<u>ci</u>	0190		100901		1996			0780	806	00.90	2001 00 11
21	8585		00101		acu			0786	101	50.06	13'08'1805
15	2906		100101		1212			0190	582	00:00	13'08'1005
11	9768		00101		9971	-		0980	<u>611</u>	50:30	15'08'1885
8	90.52		10400		9271		00.1	0190	122	97:81	12,08,1902
0	9008		00101	0901	11011	00.0011		0	692	00:01	15'09'1005
191 21	10000		10508		1777			0011	692	16:30	12.08.1992
C1	1000		0320		037			0011	892	96-91	2001 00 21
71	0398	26.1	0966	·	00/			OCLL	57/	100:02	2001 90'L1
CL	0818		0308		018			DC11	151	00:00	2001'00'11
CL	00009	1.29	0928		10201			OCIL	924	50-00	10,061.90,01
CL	0818	1.29	8320		0211			OCLI	CIL	31:90	10'08'1005
71	9108	1'58	0926		9021			0011	102	50:00	00'08'1005
0	0882		8320	0101	0291	00.099		OCLL	109	10:03	2001.00.00
91	10962		0768		097			5080	009	01:00	2001.80.00
31	19082	971	0128		1929			0802	989	90.02	2001 90 00
<u>c.</u>	0194	62'1	0758		008			0000	100	91:40	2661 90 90
	19164	10.1	0768		1052			5080	679	100:01	2001 90'20
8	9712	1'50	0168		9011			5080	109	00:10	2001 80'1005
S1	0602	00.1	0108		1520			5080	009	51:00	2001'90'90
0	0969	1.20	8340	0148	1360	00.019	1.5.1	3000	230	09:11	2001.00.00
CL	0369	1.29	0012		120			2000	620	00:11	00.00,1992
01	0100	66'1	0092		067		1.32	0000	219	01:62	2001.00.00
51	1209	A2'I	0072		069	·		0000	V19	00-90	2001 90 90
91	58/9	1'50	0072		919	· · · · · ·		0000	/09	30:22	2001 20 20 20
£1	0729	82'1	0074		099			0000	909	00-61	06,08,1002
01	002.0	92.1	00%		004			0000	109	00:91	00.08,1992
15	9920		0012		094			0000	909	01:11	2061/90/90
14	0009	62.1	2400		008			0000	283	10:10	06,08,1992
31	980.0	061	0012		9101			0000	229	101-01	2001 90 70
11 –	9560	62.1	1400		0711		00.1	00000	699	/Z:80	2001 80 10
0	0909	62.1	001/	068	0761	00'928	00'1	0100	199	92:01	2001 00 00
91	0909	1.29	0199		097		10.1	996C	679	15:20	03'09'1805
11	9109	1.20	0199		967			0900	979	06:00	2001.00.00
۷	2930	1.29	0199		069		07.1	0900	233	57:00	03'09'1005
<u></u>	Decs		0169	0011	1120	00.0011	21.1	0082	987	50:30	2001.10.10
ř.	9109		0119	wii	3071			0011	097	00:81	2001 2000
34	2007		0179		817			0011	297	07:11	2001 /0100
8	5707	1.26	0195		997		20'1	0901	057	07:00	2001 2000
11	1150	00'1	0199		069		16.1	1150	424	00:10	2001'1002
8	\$510	1.32	0179		0711			0611	280	13:20	27.07,1902
0	0107	1C.1	0199	008	0071	00'098	20.1	0611	223	08:20	26.07,1092
دا	0107	1.32	0877		027		10.1	5140	325	00:20	26.07.1902
	0626	101	0077		092		101	3020	338	00-20	2001 20'02
<u>.</u>	9900		Deate	008	0291	00.000	86 B	0212	1002	30-01	2001 20 26
77	9906	92'1	0950		967	~~~~	96'1	0/00	192	00:91	2001 10 22
01	1100		0990		909			980C	583	97:11	2001.70.55
11	000C	8Z'L	0990		099		1.35	3002	520	10:10	22.07.1902
11	2182		0990		804			3090	172	00:91	21.07.1992
15	3110	12,1	0990		062		01'1	5690	LCZ	15:40	21,07,1902
	5420		3260		1111		01'1	5680	511	90-01	2001.1002
0	0212		3860	904	0001	00'0001		0030	781	10-10	2001 20 65
ži	0212	92'1	3260	300	999		/2.1	0001	981	91:90	2001 20 61
01	SCRI	121	99952		06/			9101	091	01:/0	2001 20 01
9	0191	12.1	59952		990		12.1	0001	201	91:00	2061 20 71
-	9011	1.26	5292		0201		1.28	1500	C11	91:00	10.07.1902
61		00.1	32992	0601	9671	1080.0601	12.1	0001	06	09:00	16.07.1992
61 0	0201				917			5110	68	06:30	2001/20/01
01 0 11	0201		\$871						and the second sec		0001 20 31
61 0 11 0	0101 0201 099	10.1	5871 5871	0 77	908	00.011	1.32	5110	07	09:91	2001-20-01
	0201 0201 059 059	16.1	\$871 \$871 9701	0 77	928 362	110.00	1.32	3110 32200	07 07	09:91	13.07,1002
61 0 11 0 C 91	0201 0201 099 099 099 099 099	10°1	\$871 \$871 9701 \$701	9101	908 388 388 910	00'017	5C.1 1.32	3110 50200 50200	07 07 C7	09:91 00-91 91:01	2001'20'C1 2001'20'C1 2001'20'C1
61 0 11 0 C 91	0201 0201 099 099 509 0	10"1 70"1 90"1	5871 5871 9701 5701 5701 5701	9701	901 980 980 9701	00'9101	20.1	3110 3220 3220 3220	07 07 C7 0	18:20 18:00 10'12 10'12 18:50	2001,70,21 2001,70,21 2001,70,21 2001,70,21
61 0 11 0 0 2 91 	0101 0201 099 099 509 0 0	мур 96.1 16.1	S871 S871 9701 S701 S701 S701	077 9701	900 900 900 900	00'017	M/M 20.1 22.1	2110 2000 2000 2000 2010	07 07 07 0	19:20 19:20 19:20 19:30	2001.70.21 2001.70.21 2001.70.21
01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	bee1 latoT 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ТЭМ угінгед Ітур 20.1 10.1	1	10460 10460 10460	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100.2401	TM3 Yiene0 W/a 20.1 20.1 20.1	2110 5260 5260 52211 5221 5221	67 67 67 0 0 4 4	19:90 19:00 19:00 19:01 19:00 19:00	0160 0120210021 02012021 02012021 02012021 02012021 02012021
01 0 11 0 5 91 4/1 91	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ТРИ угала0 hn/g 80.1 10.1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 and ler 1 1045	828 332 1012 1012 1012 1012	10,2101	TM3 Viewe() S/m1 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.	5110 5220 5220 52210 52211 5221 5221	emb-ruf 0. 0.	16:00 16:00 16:00 16:00 16:00	eib0

Table 4-VIII

List of events and distortions experienced during the noble metal test run U2. Time periods of NCAW simulant feeding off are indicated including reasons for

Foodstop.xie	Time Off	Time On	Problem
13.07.1992		15:00	Addition Of 61.8 kg HNO3 (65-%) to EMT
10.07.1352	17:10	17:43	ALLDOS Pump KM263 feed rate test
	17:50	18:35	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
	19:50	20:20	Pool surface totally flooded
14.07.1992	02:55	03:30	Pool surface totally flooded
	10:24	10:50	Flow melter installation
15.07.1992	16:35	17:00	Pool surface totally flooded
	20:15	22:25	Feed line plugged
16.07.1992	·04:28	05:13	Pool surface totally flooded
	05:30	06:25	Feed nozzle plugged
	07:08	07:43	ALLDOS Pump KM263 feed rate test, feed line plugged
	09:35	11:05	Pool surface totally flooded
	12:30	14:20	Pool surface totally flooded
	16:30	17:35	Pool surface totally flooded
	18:00	19:30	ALLDOS Pump KM263 feed rate test
17.07.1992	14:40	18:14	Pool surface totally flooded
18.07.1992	11:40	12:50	Pool surface totally flooded
20.07.1002	05:25	07:20	Feed line plugged
20.07.1992	07:40	07:50	Feed nozzle plugged
	22:46	00:30	Pool surface totally flooded
21.07.1992	02:40	05:00	Pool surface totally flooded
21.07.1332	14:25	17:00	Pool surface totally flooded
	23:00	00:02	Pool surface totally flooded
22.07.1992	00:45	01:05	Pump ball valve plugged
		14:45	100 I water addition to FMT
	15:20	16:22	Feed nozzle plugged
	17:45	18:25	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
	22:33	23:15	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
23.07.1992	06:40	08:20	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
	18:40	• 19:04	Pool surface totally flooded
	21:42	22:45	Pool surface totaly flooded
24.07.1992	09:45	11:05	Switched to ALLDOS pump KM251
	11:20	11:45	Pump plugged
	11:58	12:05	Pump plugged
26.07.1992		02:00	120 I water addition to FMT
	15:00	15:10	Feed line plugged
07.07.0000	22:05	22:15	Pump plugged
27.07.1992		00:20	50 I water addition to FMI
20.07.1000	10.10	22:15	SU I Water addition to FMI
29.07.1992	16:20	17:05	Sempling line plugged
	18:50	19:00	Feed line plugged
···	19.55	20.20	Feed nozzle plugged
30.07 1992	10100	11:40	Addition of 18.4 kg HNO3 to FMT
		11:40	50 I water addition to FMT
		20:20	100 I water addition to MFT
	21:55	22:25	Failure of direct power supply
	23:19		Failure of direct power supply
31.07.1992		10:50	100 I water addition to MFT
01.08.1992	00:55		Failure of direct power supply
	01:20	04:20	Failure of direct power supply
	07:50	09:25	Feed line plugged
	15:15	15:50	Feed line plugged
02.08.1992	03:46	03:58	Feed line plugged
03.08.1992	12:55	14:14	Pool surface totally flooded
	14:57	15:17	Feed line plugged
	19:37	20:57	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
04.08.1992	04:35	04:55	Feed nozzle plugged
	23:20	23:30	Feed nozzle plugged
05.08.1992	08:58	09:35	Pool surface totally flooded
06.08.1992	12:10	12:20	Feed line plugged
		21:30	50 I water addition to MF1
09.08.1992		09:55	150 I water addition to MFT

Table 4-VIII continued

	10:06	10:16	Feed nozzle plugged
	12:20	12:40	Feed nozzle plugged
12.08.1992	12:01	13:10	Feed nozzle plugged
13.08.1992	18:34	18:55	Feed nozzle plugged
14.08.1992	14:15	14:30	Feed nozzle plugged
15.08.1992	06:50	08:30	Feed line plugged, installation pressure gauge
·	19:15	19:45	Feed nozzie plugged
16.08.1992	08:26	08:55	Feed nozzle plugged
	22:22	23:35	Feed line plugged
17.08.1992		16:30	50 I water addition to MFT
	16:25	16:45	Feed nozzle plugged
	22:38	23:15	Pool surface totally flooded
18.08.1992	05:10	05:35	Pool surface totally flooded
	06:45	07:06	Feed line plugged
	21:48	22:10	Feed nozzle plugged
19.08.1992	05:05	05:25	Feed nozzle plugged
		10:55	50 I water addition to MFT
	15:19	15:38	Feed nozzle plugged
20.08.1992	18:40	19:15	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
21.08.1992	03:58	04:13	Feed nozzle plugged
	12:10	12:50	Feed line plugged, solids H2O T-junction
	23:09	23:43	Feed nozzle pl., feed line pl., solids H2O T-junction
22.08.1992		11:05	50 I water addition to MFT
	23:23	23:44	Feed nozzle plugged
23.08.1992		12:02	50 I water addition to MFT
24.08.1992	00:20	00:33	Feed nozzle plugged
	01:05	01:42	Feed nozzle plugged
	22:55	23:13	Feed nozzle plugged
25.08.1992	08:10	09:05	Feed nozzle plugged _
26.08.1992	02:20	03:52	Feed line plugged, solid plug of the H2O T-junction
		06:05	50 I water addition to MFT
		07:00	50 I water addition to MFT
	07:55	09:00	Feed line plugged
27.08.1992	12:25	12:40	Feed nozzle plugged
28.08.1992		08:15	Addition of 12.08 kg HNO3 to MFT
	02:25	02:50	Feed line plugged
	11:45	11:55	Feed nozzle plugged







The central glass temperature was mainly kept between 1150 and 1200°C. Fig. 4-28 gives details about the vertical temperature distribution measured by the thermowell near the end of the run. The glass product of 6.4 metric tons produced in the run U2 was filled via the overflow system into 17 canisters by 86 pouring operations. According to the sampling schedule, more than 435 glass samples have been taken. At the end of the run the melter was set to the same processing conditions as at the beginning, especially regarding the current input data for the electrodes. Comparison of the electric data show a difference between the beginning of the noble metals test run U2 and the end. Fig. 5-17 shows the electrical resistance data calculated as V/A for the upper and the lower pairs of power electrodes versus the operation time. Additionally the current settings of the electrodes, their temperatures and the central pool temperature are plotted. Between a run time of 120 to 200 h at the beginning and 1140 to 1180 h at the end, the electrical current was the same, the temperatures even are slightly lower, but the resistance between the lower as well as the upper electrodes is lower. It has been reduced from 0.167 to 0.146 V/A (87%) between the lower and 0.151 to 0.138 V/A (91%) between the upper electrodes. This reduction of the electric resistance from 9 to 13% indicates a highly conductive layer reflecting an accumulation of noble metals in the bottom area. The change of the resistance after about 550 h operation time is due to the change of the power distribution as described above, i.e. the electric current between the upper pair of electrodes was substantially increased (from 260 Amp into the range up to 350-400 Amp).

5. TEST RESULTS

5.1 Overall test results

The overall test results can be summarized as follows:

- 14.23 m³ of noble metal containing NCAW feed simulant have been vitrified continuously in the ESM-melter
- The electrical data of the power electrodes did not show indications for an electrically more conductive noble metal layer on the melter bottom
- Due to lower NCAW simulant feeding rates of 12-15 l/h compared to the target of 20 l/h, the average residence time of the glass and thus of the bulk of noble metals in the melter was increased from 58 hours (target) to 80 to 100 hours
- the ESM functioned satisfactorely without serious problems including glass pouring and glass sampling
- XRF measured noble metals concentrations in the glass samples had to be corrected

The overall data are given in table 5-I.



Fig. 4-28: Typical vertical temperature profile of the ESM melter during the noble metals test run. Upper curve for maximum glass level before glass pouring. The lower curve typical for minimum glass level after glass pouring

	<u> </u>	<u>U2</u>
Date started	luly 2 1992	July 11 1993
Date completed	July 11, 1992	August 30, 1993
Total time has	210	1185
On-line time, hrs	186	1118
	88	94
		•
Feed consumed, m3	2.6	14.2
Glass frit consumed, kg	906	4994
Glass produced, kg	1083	6423
Avg. feed rate, I/hr	•	
total time	12.4	12
on-line time	14.1	12.7
Avg. glass rate, kg/hr		
total time	• 6.2	6
on-line time	7	6.3
Avg. residence time, hr		
total time	· 89	92
on-line time	79	87
Noble metals amount		
Ruthenium	-	6.16
Palladium	- ·	2.10
Rhodium	-	1.68
Glass canisters		
during operation	. 3	17
during melter discharge	•	2
Pouring operations	14	86
Total glass samples		
pouring via overflow	73	434
pouring via bottom drain	•	28
melter surface	-	2
melter bottom	-	7
Total power, kW	37	35
		•
Volte	AA A	42.2
Ampa	308	316
LW	12 7	13.3
NYY Lower electrodec	15.7	15.5
Volta	A2 A	37 3
Amne	265	231
E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	11 2	86
Planum Wasters	11.2	5.0
Volte	133	139
Amne	900 9 <i>1</i>	96
kW	12.8	13.0
Avo, Temperatures		
Glass	1148	1184
Plenum heaters	849	868
Plenum	709	730
Off- and	169	. 167

-1-

Table 5-I: Table of test results

TAB5.XLS

- - -

r

5.2 Noble metals behaviour in the glass melter

According to the noble metals concentrations in the melter feed which were 0.44 g/l Ru, 0.12 g/l Rh and 0.15 g/l Pd, the corresponding target values in the glass product were 0.115 wt% RuO₂, 0.030 wt% Rh₂O₃, and 0.035 wt% PdO. The actual contents in the glass have been determined by analyses of samples taken from the overflow glass pouring stream.

5.2.1 Glass sampling procedure

Because the glass tank volume of the melter is 220 l which corresponds to about 550 kg glass, and pouring of the glass were carried out into canisters with 370 kg glass capacity, the canisters were filled in batches of usually about 70 kg. Glass pourings were performed by the overflow system on which the canisters were airtight connected. Then an airjet generated and maintained an underpresse of 300 mm water colomn in the overflow/canister space for start and sustain the glass flow. The glass flow rate into the canister was about 50 kg/h. During glass pouring a special glass sampling device had to be used because the space within the overflow/canister had to be maintained at underpressure. It allowed to pick-up the sample from the outflowing glass stream. It allowed also to park them in a distinct position inside the evacuated overflow space. After termination of the pouring and disconnecting the canister from the overflow, the samples could be taken out.

Five glass samples were taken during a pouring operation. The quantity of each glass sample, in the form of a big cigar, was usually 120 g. In same cases 400 g were taken to get enough material for other meausrements like viscosity and el. resistivity. From filling of a canister by 5 or 6 pourings, 25 to 30 glass samples were available for analysis. In total, more than 435 glass samples had been taken and analysed. For the analysis, about 20 % of the sample mass was used. The rest of each sample was stored in a sample bank. All the sample data are given in appendix C.

5.2.2 Analysis procedure

During the test run the glass analyses had been performed by the XRF method only. The glass samples were broken, milled to a fine grained powder and imbedded into wax for forming tabletts of 15 mm in dia and 3 mm thickness. After run termination, more sophisticated noble metals analysis were made in specialized institutions (see Section 5.2.3). The XRF-equipment was calibrated by specially prepared samples from the lab. They had known contents of Ru, Rh, and Pd. The calibration







Std. deviation: 2.945E-83 Corrections: Intensity







Fig. 5-2: XRF measured noble metals concentrations obtained from glass samples taken during glass pouring into can No. 1, 2 and 3



• •

Fig. 5—3: XRF measured noble metals concentrations obtained from glass samples taken during glass pouring into can No. 4, 5 and 6

.



Fig. 5-4: XRF measured noble metals concentrations obtained from glass samples taken during glass pouring into can No. 7, 8 and 9

ζ.



Fig. 5—5: XRF measured noble metals concentrations obtained from glass samples taken during glass pouring into can No. 10, 11 and 12







Fig. 5-6: XRF measured noble metals concentrations obtained from glass samples taken during glass pouning into can No. 13, 14 and 15





Fig. 5—7: XRF measured noble metals concentrations obtained from glass samples taken during glass pouring into can No. 16, and 17

Tab. 5-II											
Content of noble metals in the glass of the different canisters											

Edelbal1.xis				Theoretical		Canister		Total Ru fed *)	Ru	Total Ru	Total Rh fed *)	Rh	Total Rh	Total Pd fed *)	Pd	Total Pd
L				Glass		weight		Target	drained	beniatb	Target	drained	drained	Target	drained	drained
Date	Time	Run time	Total Feed	Production	Canister-No.	(incl. samples)	Total glass	0.44 g/L			0.12 g/L			0.15 g/L		
[<u>h</u>	L	kg		kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
				(0.4989 kg/L)					corr. data	corr. data		corr. data	corr. data		corr. data	corr. data
		ļ														
11.07.1992	15:20	0	0	0		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
13.07.1992	08:46	41	602	300	U2 1	363.02	363.02	0,265	0.034	0.034	0.072	0.004	0.004	0.090	0.001	0.001
16.07.1992	01:40	106	1367	682	U2 2	389.06	752.08	0.601	· 0.149	0.183	0.164	0.033	0.037	0.205	0.033	0.034
19.07.1992	07:48	184	2095	1045	U2 3	384.15	1136.23	0.922	0.196	0.379	0.251	0.048	0.085	0.314	0.057	0.091
22.07.1992	18:47	267	3077	1535	U2.4	380.35	1516,58	1.354	0.206	0.585	0.369	0.060	0.145	0.462	0.080	0.171
25.07.1992	22:23	343	3879	1935	<u>U25</u>	378.31	1894.89	1.707	0.221	· 0.806	0.465	0.064	0.209	. 0.582	0.090	0.261
28.07.1992	23:15	416	4626	2308	U2 8	382.19	2277.08	2.035	0.234	1.040	0,555	0.073	0.282	0.694	0.108	0.367
01.08.1992	20:05	509	5517	2752	U2 7	359.76	2636.84	2,427	0.221	1.261	0,662	0.067	0.349	0.828	0.094	0.461
04.08,1992	11:54	<u> </u>	6312	3149	U2 8	389.71	3026.55	2.777	0.243	1.504	0.757	0.073	0.422	0.947	0.102	0.563
07.08.1992	06:17	639	7148	3566	U2 9	383.79	3410.34	3.145	0.231	1.735	0,858	0,068	0.490	1.072	0.097	0.660
10.08.1992	05:25	710	8137	4060	U2 10	396.93	3807.27	3.580	0.251	1.986	0.976	0.081	0.571	1.221	0.108	0.768
13.08.1992	05:29	782	9050	4515	U2 11	397.40	4204.67	: 3.982	0.258	2.244	1.086	0.072	0.643	1.358	0.073	0.841
16.08.1992	03:13	852	9933	4956	U2 12	396.43	4601.10	4.371	0.261	2.505	1.192	0.072	0.715	1.490	0.054	0.895
18.08.1992	21:03	918	10786	5381	U2 13	393.86	4994.96	4.746	0.248	2.753	1.294	0.068	0.783	1.618	0.054	0.949
21.08.1992	08:20	977	11619	<u> </u>	U2 14	364.42	5359.38	5.112	0.230	2.983	1.394	0.066	0.849	1.743	0.087	1.018
23.08.1992	22:37	1039	12380	6176	U2 15	373.78	5733.16	5.447	0.241	3.224	1.486	0.082	0.911	1.857	0.063	1.079
27.08.1992	04:40	1117	13411	6691	U2 16	398.18	6131.34	5.901	0.263	3.487	1.609	0.070	0.981	2.012	0.064	1.143
29.08.1992	14:35	1175	14096	7032	<u>U2 17</u>	291.76	6423.09	6.202	0.189	3.676	1.692	0.055	1.036	2.114	0.052	1.195
30.08.1992	08:50	1193	14225	7097	U2 18	350.00	6773.09	6.259	0.255	3.931	1.707	0.078	1.112	2.134	0.078	1.273
30:08.1992	10:54	1196	14225	7097	U2 19	204.04	6977.13	6.259	0.096	4.027	1.707	0.028	1.138	2.134	0.024	1.297
						,										
10181	·					6977.13			4.027			1.138	L		1.297	
A1 71				L				L	L	L		l				
-) Trese Values	aitter slig	nuy trom t	ne actual ord	ered and premix	ed amounts of	noble metals due	to the fact th	at 14.23 m3 of	melter feed	had been vi	trified Instead of	14.0 m3				
The Astel and	1	-														
THE TOTAL OIGSIS	nobie m	etais amou			·											
B.,	R 10 L-															
Ph	1.60 L-									<u> </u>						
	1.00 Kg						· · · · ·			 						
Pa Pa	Z. IU KQ									1		•				

.

curves are shown in Fig. 5.1. Additionally to the noble metals the concentrations of Al₂O₃, CdO, Cs₂O, Fe₂O₃, Na₂O, Nd₂O₃, NiO, SiO₂, TeO₂, ZrO₂, and MoO₂, had simultaneously been determined, too.

5.2.3 Results

The noble metals run was started with 550 kg noble metals free NCAW glass melt which was produced in the pretest run U1 of the ESM melter. Therefore, in the first stage of the test run U2 the noble metals concentrations in the samples were low and increased with time. The measured concentrations were plotted for each canister against the quantity of glass discharged. The 7 concentration diagramms are shown in the Figs. 5.2 to 5.7. The values are given in terms of the oxides RuO₂, Rh₂O₃, and PdO. The target values are indicated on the right side of the figures for comparison. Examples of the data base used for these figures are compiled in 5-II which refers to canisters No. 6 and 15.

The concentration profiles have several features. After start of the glass flow via overflow, the first glass sample taken immediately shows generally lower concentrations then the others. It may be due to the fact that in the time period when the overflow system was not in pouring operation (7 to 9 hours), the noble metals segregated slowly back in the sloped Inconel 690 tube towards the melt tank. Already the second glass sample, taken when 3 kg of glass were poured, showed higher concentration levels like the rest of the samples No. 3, 4, 5 did. The corresponding volume of the overflow channel is 1.7 l. Anyway sample 6 taken from the bottom area near the overflow channel showed only a slightly higher noble metal concentration than sample 7 from the opposite side (Fig. 5-18).

Another feature of the curves is that the XRF-measured PdO values are obviously all significantly too high. They indicate more Pd coming out of the melter than fed in.

In order to get independent measurements by other methods and to get necessary correction terms, for the XRF values of all three noble metals element, more than 50 glass samples were remeasured. In Figs. 5.8 the results are given. They are compared with the XRF data. The applied sample peparation and analysis method of the specialized institut (Griffith Inspectorate, London) for remeasuring has been as follows:

- <u>Preparation:</u> Where necessary the samples were crushed to a fine powder and dried at 105°C for two hours
- <u>Sample treatment</u>: The material was digested and the Palladium, Ruthenium and Rhodium collected by a sulphur matting process with Nickel oxide AT 1100 DEG. C.

- The resulting melt was treated with acid to separate the nickel from the P.G. M.'S. These were then taken into solution by another acid treatment
- Measurement: The P.G.M content of the solution was determined instrumentally using an inductive coupled plasma (ICP) source and comparing against synthetic calibration solutions

These measurement data revealed that all of INE's XRF values were systematically higher. However the nature of the concentration profiles were rather the same as can be seen from Fig. 5-8 where the XRF data are plotted as dotted lines for comparison.

Based on these additional measurements made on glass samples of the canister No. 6 and 15 respectively, all XRF data of the figures 5.2 to 5.7 were corrected by using the following procedure:

- i Calculation of the difference between INE's original XRF data and the data obtained by the Griffith inspectorate institute.
- ii Formation of an averaged deviation for each noble metals curve from the values of both canisters.
- iii Correction of all XRF-concentration data by subtraction of the average deviation from the original XRF-concentration value

RuO₂ (minus 0.041), Rh₂O₃ (minus 0.009), PdO (minus) 0.026)

The corrected concentration profiles are given in the Figs. 5-9 to 5-14. These data are considered as the realistic ones on which interpretations and evaluations will be based.

5.2.4 Interpretation of the data

Already a rough comparison between the actual data and the targets show that the noble metals concentrations in the glass samples are lower than the target values. This is valid for all three elements. Ruthenium and rhodium data are 75 % of the corresponding target values, and palladium 60 %. Each diagram of the figs. 5-9 to 5-14 was used to calculate the total quantity of RuO₂, Rh₂O₃ and PdO in the canister by integrating the concentrations over the discharged glass mass. With c(m) being the mass concentration, there is the mass of the noble metals in the canister :

$$m_{Ru02} = \int_{0}^{m_{glass}} C_{Ru02}(m_{glass}) dm_{glass}$$


Fig. 5-8 Noble metals concentration in glass samples taken during glass pouring into canister Nos. 6 and 15, measured by Griffiths inspectorate, London. The dotted lines give the corresponding XRF values measured at INE's analytical lab.



Fig. 5—9: Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples take while pouring can No. 1, 2 and 3



Fig. 5–10: Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples take while pouring can No 4 5 and 6



Fig. 5-11: Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples to







Fig. 5—13: Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring can No. 13, 14 and 15



۰,

(



Fig. 5—14: Corrected noble metals concentration data for glass samples taken while pouring can No. 16, and 17



Tab. 5-II and Fig. 5-15 give the results of these calculations. In Fig. 5-15, the target values for the individual canister are indicated, too. Their slight variation is due to the variation of the total glass mass filled into the different canisters. From the figure it can be seen the gap of noble metals in each canister.

This figures are an indication that a portion of the noble metals may have segregated and accumulated on the melter bottom. The mass balances are shown for all three noble metals in Fig. 5-16. The "fed in" curve of each diagram had been calculated from the melter feed data based on the target feed composition. The "discharge" curve of each diagram is based on the data given in Tab. 5-II. For all three noble metals, the discharge curves are not parallel to the "fed in" curve as it should be.

For ruthenium and rhodium, the "discharge" curves are straight, indicating a constant accumulation rate. The slope of the "discharge" curve for palladium appears to decreasing with time, indicating an increased retention with time. The reason therefore can not be given. They show less slope due to the supposed incomplete removal of the noble metals from the melter with glass pouring. The nominal hold up of noble metals in the glass pool (550 kg glass at average) is 0.480 kg Ru, 0.132 kg Rh, and 0.162 kg Pd.

In Fig. 5-16 the "ideal" discharge curves for complete removal of the noble metals with glass pouring is indicated, too. This curve represents the case when the noble metals would be completely drained out with glass pouring except the portion contained nominally as hold-up in the glass pool. According to the data of Fig. 5.16 the following quantity of noble metals may be accumulated in the melter during the noble metals run:

2.13 kg of Ru (35 %) 0.54 kg of Rh (32 %) 0.80 kg of Pd (38 %)

However, these quantities might have been too low to influence significantly the electric current path through the bulk of the glass. The electric resistance curves are shown in Fig. 5-17. On a first view it does not reveal an influence of accumulated highly conductive noble metals on the Joule-heating of the glass pool. The decrease of the resistance curve after 550 hours operation time is obviously due to the higher current loading of the electrodes, not by noble metals. At the end of the run, a test was made by using the same current load as in the initial period of the run U2. When







Fig. 5-16 "Feed in" and "discharge" curves of the ESM for the noble metals contai NCAW simulant



Fig. 5-17 Electric data of the ESM monitored by the PISA system during the U2 test run

comparing these data a decrease of the resistance between the power electrodes can be calculated up to 13% for the lower electrodes (see also chapter 5.4 for specific electric resistance data measured on noble metals sludge samples taken from melter bottom after emptying).

Based on the PAMELA experience such a layer of 2-3 cm, homogeniously spread over the melter floor can be enough to influence the electric field. Having processed more than 90 kg of noble metals in the PAMELA melter, the resistance decrease between the lower electrodes was more than 65%. But may be due to the convection pattern of the glass melt in the ESM, this layer is not yet contacting the electrodes. Thus a significant influence on the Joule heating is not yet seen.

5.2.5 Emptying of the melter

After termination of the U2 run the ESM melter was maintained at 1150 °C and emptied 3 hours later via the inductively heated bottom drain. The temperature of the bulk glass was kept at 1150 °C by using the melter plenum heaters and the two power electrode sets (later only the lower electrode pair). During emptying, 450 kg of glass were drained into canister No. 18 and 43 kg into No. 19. The draining glass was sampled periodically using a metal crucible and rod. The samples later analyzed for noble metals using XRF. The results of these analyses are shown in Figure 5-18. As shown in this figure, the first glass out of the melter contained a slightly higher noble metals concentration than the bulk glass. This is assumed to be the effect of the sludge layer that was directly above the bottom drain at the start of the draining. The rest of the bulk glass was slightly lower than the target noble metals concentration due to the settling. As canister No. 18 was filled the glass flow was not stopped. Draining was continued into canister 19 without interruption in order to allow the mass of glass to drain out as complete as possible and not to risk lowering of the bulk glass temperatures and thus glass fluidity. The last few drops out of the melter had high concentrations of noble metals (1-4 wt%). When the glass had stopped draining, there was a 1-3 cm layer of sludge/glass left on the melter floor. While this layer was still hot, seven samples were taken using a cup attached to a long piece of metal tubing. Again, these samples were analyzed for noble metals. The results of these analyses are shown on the right side of the lower plot in Figure 5-18. A graphic showing a top view of the melter and the sampling positions is superimposed below the lower figure for clarification.

The analytical data show that the layer which remained behind on the melter bottom contained significantly increased concentrations of the noble metals. For Ru the enrichment was up to a factor of 40 higher than the nominal value, for Rh up to 15, and for Pd up to 10. These higher values correspond to the low specific electric resistance data of such sediments which are more than one order of magnitude below that of the bulk material (Fig. 5-19).



Fig. 5-18 Results of noble metals concentrations in glass samples taken when emptying the melter (glass poured into cans No. 18 and 19, via bottom drain). Noble metals concentrations in the remaining glass layer on ESM bottom



Fig. 5–19 Comparison of the specific electrical resistance versus temperature of the NCAW glass product and sample No. 1 from the melter bottom

5.2.6 Noble metals balance (U2 test run)

To set up the noble metals balance for Pd, Ru and Rh the "fed in" data have been compared with the "discharge" data. This is shown in table 5-III and figure 5-16. The "fed in" data are calculated by the sum of the melter feed volume times the target concentration of each of the noble metals. These data slightly differ from the actual ordered and premixed amounts of noble metals due to the fact that 14.23 m³ of melter feed had been prepared instead of theoretically 14.0 m³. The discharge data are calculated from the corrected noble metals concentration in the glass samples taken from each pouring. The integration of this concentration data over the poured amount of glass delivers the absolute amount of discharged noble metals.

The evaluation of this data shows a gap for the discharge amount and indicates an accumulation in the melter. After total emptying the melter the following balance can be made up:

Element ·	Total fed	, Total drained	gap
	to the melter	incl. emptying	
	kg	kg	kg
Ru	6.16	4.03	2.13
Rh	1.68	1.14	0.54
Pd	2.10	1.30	0.80

In order to close this balance, the amount of the glass remaining in the melter has been estimated by measuring the thickness of the remaining glass layer on the melter floor after emptying. This layer is about 20-30 mm thick, which leads to a total volume of about 8-10 l of glass. Assuming an average glass density of 2.6 g/cm³ the residual amount of glass is about 20-26 kg. With an averaged concentration based on the analysis of the bottom samples the following ranges can be given:

Element	Average concentration	Total amount in	
	of bottom samples	bottom layer	
		kg	wt % 1)
Ru	0.030	0.6 ÷ 0.8	11
Rh	0.005	0.1 ÷ 0.1	6
Pd	0.005	0.1 ÷ 0.1	5
			1) Referred to input

Additionally the amount of noble metals entrained with the off gas has been calculated from the liquid samples of the several washing columns. The data are given below.

Components	Ru	Ru	Pd	Pd	Rh	Rh
	g	wt % *)	g	wt % *)	g	wt % *)
Dust scrubber	23.6	0.38	0.8	0.04	0.9	0.05
Condenser	8.3	0.13	0.1	< 0.01	0.2	0.01
Jet scrubber	5.8	0.09	0.1	< 0.01	0.1	< 0.01
NOx-column	2.5	0.04	0.1	< 0.01	0.1	< 0.01
Total	40.2	0.54	1.0	< 0.07	1.3	< 0.08

Tab. 5-III Noble metals analyzed from the liquid samples of the off gas components

*) referred to input

Even taken into account this very small amount of noble metals the overall balance cannot be closed completely. This may be mainly due to the uncertainties of the data for the above calculation of the remaining glass after draining and may be due to inhomogenity of the glass samples at all. Summarizing the balance from both calculations a significant amount especially of Ru is in form of RuO₂ remained on the melter floor.

When extrapolating these findings to long operation times serious accumulations may easily be imagined. In this case a heavy effect on the melter operation can be expected. As can be seen from the electrical resistance (see Fig. 5-17), the bottom sediments formed in the U2 test run already began to distort the electrical field between the low electrodes.

5.3 Microscopic observation of glasses from ESM test run U2

5.3.1 Glass samples investigated

The seven glass samples investigated were taken from glass pouring stream by a special sampling device. Their designation code is as follows:

U2/2-1, U2/3-1, U2/4-1

Can No. Test run

U2/17-3 probe #1, U2/17-3 probe #2 U2/17-3 probe #3, U2/17-4

Pouring batch No.

taken from the overflow stream when filling canister No. 2, No. 3, No. 4, and No. 17, respectively

5.3.2 Microscopic observations

The attached Photo 1 shows the U2/17-3, probe #3 sample, with one of large clusters, transmitted light optical micrograph. Photo 2 shows the same area as Photo 1, reflected light optical micrograph. Photo 3 is also taken from U2/17-3, probe #3 sample, with one of large clusters, SEM micrograph. In Figs. 5-20 to 5-22, EDS spectra of areas #1 to #3 in Photo 3 are given.

All seven samples had two different particles. The darker particles in optical micrograph (#2 in Photo 2) had typical shape of noble metal oxide, usually RuO_2 . They were different from RuO_2 particles observed in RSM-glasses in two ways: (1) average particle size was 2 - 3 times larger (2) contained a significant amount of Rh (#2 in Photo 3 and Fig. 5-21). The brighter particles (#3 in Photo 2) seemed to be metals, not oxides, based on their spherical shape and brightness, and consisted of Rh and Pd (#2 in Photo 3 and Fig. 5-22). A ratio of noble metals to oxides seemed less than 0.1 in all samples.

Rough estimation by optical microscopic observation of the seven samples indicated that in the samples the volume % of total noble metals (both oxides and metals) increased in the following order:

Sample 2-1 < 3-1 < 4-1 \approx 17-3#1 \approx 17-3#2 \approx 17-3#3 \approx 17-4 (~0.02 Vol %) (~0.035 Vol %) (~0.05 Vol %)



x QQ91

3





-T Michie HREA 042/17-3 probe #3



Fig. 5-20: EDS spectra of area #1 in Photo 3



Fig. 5-21: EDS spectra of area #2 in Photo 3



Fig. 5-22: EDS spectra of area #3 in Photo 3

The numbers of vol.% were estimated using an Image Analysis System. The typical size of large clusters of noble metals appeared to have the same order (except for one case, U2/4-1 < U2/17-3#1).

Transmitted light optical micrographs of the seven glass samples are shown in Photos 4 through 10. Typical areas can be seen with large clusters. These are not necessarily the exact representative areas in each sample nor the areas with the largest clusters.

5.3.3 Investigation of sample material taken from "Cold Cap"covering the glass melt pool (sampling in the region around cold cap)

The samples were taken by a special sampling device introduced through a sampling nozzle in the melter roof. The sampling device was a simple cavity of 3 cm x 4 cm x 3 cm made of Inconel 690 and welded on an long Inconel 690 rod.

Low magnification view of a sample taken from the region around the "Cold Cap" shows Photo 11, transmitted light optical micrograph. Dark (black) area appeared to be agglomeration of undissolved solid particles and/or intermediate crystalline phases. Bright area is glass phase with small amount of noble metals and unreacted solid particles. Bubbles were observed in both areas (from ~5 μ m up to ~1 mm in diameter). The further photos show:

• Photo 12, area #1 in Photo 11, transmitted optical micrograph

Clusters of noble metals are seen within a streak of glass phase between dark areas. Very small bubbles were also observed.

- Photo 13, area #2 in Photo 11, transmitted light optical micrograph
- Photo 14, same area as Photo 13, reflected light optical micrograph
- Photo 15, rectangular area in Photo 13, transmitted light optical micrograph
- Photo 16, same area as Photo 15, reflected light optical micrograph

Dark areas in transmitted light micrographs (Photos 13 and 15) were represented by very small white particles in reflected light micrographs (Photos 14 and 16). Most of all particles in high-magnification transmitted light micrograph of Photo 15 look like crystalline phase (crystallized during melting or undissolved) other than spinel type based on their transparency. Spinels and noble metals were the











1506 Uz/19-4

500 ×

4-5-83





KfK-INE ESM noble metals campaign report C:\winword\esm\Photos.doc Photos microscopic observations on glass samples and cold cap material (see text 5.3 and 5.4) reflected light #2 in 20x Photo 14 14 around cold cap 200 × 3-25-93 transmitted light center over of 200x (#2 in 202) Plete 15 Coystallin 15 mrol

around cold cap 1000 x

3-25-93

C:\winword\esm\Photos.doc



only opaque phases observed in CVS glasses (noble metals are black, spinels are dark brown or black). An example of large crystalline phase is shown in Photo 15, which may be an intermediate phase (crystallized during melting, \sim 15 µm).

Photo 17 represents the area #3 in Photo 11, transmitted light optical micrograph. Streaks of noble metal clusters are seen within the glass area.

5.3.4 Investigation of sample material taken from centre of the "Cold Cap"

Photo 18 shows a low magnification view of "Cold Cap Centre" sample material, transmitted light optical micrograph. Strong flow patterns, which at least partly might have been formed during sample collection from the melter, were visible. Most bubbles were distorted or elongated along the flow direction. The dark and bright areas were similar to those in "Region Around Cold Cap" sample, except that "Cold Cap Centre" sample had less dark areas. The cold cap was generally highly viscous and sampling of material required special techniques and procedures to get representative material.

Photo 19 gives the area #1 in Photo 18, transmitted light optical micrograph. Photo 20 represents the ractangular area in Photo 19, transmitted light optical micrograph. Streaks of undissolved particles (dark area in Photo 19) and clusters of noble metals (Photo 20) were visible whithin the glass area. Photo 21 was taken from the area #2 in Photo 18, transmitted light optical micrograph.

5.4 Noble metals effects on glass properties

The influence of noble metals on viscosity and electrical conductivity of the waste glass were investigated because these properties are important in respect to glass melting

5.4.1 Samples investigated

The glass samples investigated were

- Glass frit used in the ESM test run U2
- Samples taken from pouring stream of the overflow system and from pouring stream of the bottom drain during emptying of the melter.

The sample code is as follows: f.e. U2/8-2, #3 means: sample taken during the noble metal test run U2 while filling the 8th canister (375 kg glass capacity) with the 2nd of five pouring batches (75 kg each). During filling of one batch, five samples were taken. Here the code indicates sample #3:

ESM noble metals campaign report C:\winword\esm\Photos.doc

transmitted light Phit 18 18 < #2 Cold cap Zontrum (). · 15× 3-26-93 -bransmitted light Photo 19 \$1 in 15× 19 Cold cap Zentum() 3-26-93 100x

ESM noble metals campaign report C:\winword\esm\Photos.doc

Photos microscopic observations on glass samples and cold cap material (see text 5.3 and 5.4)



21

20

For viscosity	For specific el. resistivity	
Glass frit	· Glass frit	
U1/2-2, #3 U2/1-1, #3	U1/2-2, #3 	
U2/8-2, #3	U2/8-2, #3	
- U2/17-4, #3 - U2/18, #5 -	U2/17-4, #5 - U2/18, #1 U2/18, #5 U2/18, #12 From melter bottom, No.5 (after_emptying)	 Samples taken while emptying the melter via bottom drain

The following samples were used for measurements

5.4.2 Results

The results are plotted versus temperature in Fig. 5-23 for viscosity and in Fig. 5-24 for specific el. resistivity. The most significant influence of noble metals on glass melt properties were found by the lowering of the specific electrical resistivity with increasing contents of noble metals. According to the data in Fig. 5-24 a sample from the remaining glass layer at the melter bottom showed el. resistivity decrease by a factor of 5 (1200°C) to 20 (900°C), compared to the normal values of the glass product containing the target concentration of noble metals.

Unfortunately the collected quantity of sample material from the remaining layer of glass on the melter bottom is not sufficient to make viscosity measurement, too. However, attempts are under way to get results despite this facts. The highest viscosity has the NCAW glass frit used for the U2 run, see Fig. 5-23. Noticeable higher viscosity of the waste glass, compared to the target, can be seen for sample U2/18, #5 which has the highest noble metals concentration of all samples measured (0.268 wt% totally, see table integrated in Fig. 5-24). The noble metals concentration data given in this table of Fig. 5-24 were obtained by RFA and have not been corrected according to chapter 5.2.3.





;


ţ

Fig. 5-24: Viscosity of glass samples containing different concentrations of noble metals

6.0 COMPUTER MODELING OF THE ENGINEERING-SCALE MELTER

The objective of this activity within the Melter Performance Assessment (MPA) activity of the Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant (HWVP) Technology Development (PHTD) program is to predict development of a sludge layer containing a high concentration of noble metals and determine the effect of the sludge layer on melter operation. The work scope includes evaluating predictions with experimental data being obtained in the RSM and ESM testing. These predictions, when completed and compared to experimental data, are to be combined with other data and predictions to form the basis for assessing noble metals effects on the operation of the HWVP reference melter design.

The TEMPEST computational fluid dynamics computer program is used in this work. A computer model of the ESM was setup, debugged, and tested. The plan for computer modeling included using this model to perform pre-test predictions. The pre-test predictions were designed to develop computational approaches and to test submodels. Submodels are those which couple concentration dependent properties and noble metals particle characteristics to the computation of melter operation parameters and predictions of development of an enhanced conductivity, noble metals rich, sludge layer. In pre-test computations, target values of the experiment test plan were used to define input.

Subsequent to performance of the ESM experiment, as described in previous sections of this report, post-test computer modeling was done. The post-test computations used data from the experiment in two ways. Measured property data and glass sample analysis to determine particle characteristics were used as input to post-test analysis and as a basis for improving computer submodels. Predictions with the improved submodels are then compared to measured experimental data of melter operation.

- Work conducted at U.S. Department of Energy's Pacific Northwest Laboratory, operated by Battelle Memorial Institute under contract DE-AC06-76LO 1830
- 2) Trent, D.S., and L.L. Eyler. 1992. TEMPEST: A Three-Dimensional Time-Dependent Computer Program for Computational Fluid Dynamics, Version T, Volume 1: Theory Manual, Volume 2: Input Instructions. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio. (In preparation.)

6.1 COMPUTER MODELING RESULTS - PRE-TEST PREDICTIONS

6.1.1 Power and Thermocouple Stalk Data

Computer modeling pre-test results are presented in Section 6.1 Post-test simulation results are contained in Section 6.2. Additional details of the modeling including a description of TEMPEST, ESM computer model, analysis approach, boundary conditions, submodels, and property functions are presented in Appendix D.

The computer model described in Appendix D was used to compute the coupled flow, thermal, and electric field characteristics in the ESM. The computational approach used the temperature controller with a target bulk melt temperature of 1150°C. Bulk temperature integration was conducted over approximately the central 60 percent of the melt pool. This volume was chosen so as not to bias the integration with colder glass temperatures under the cold cap and near walls. Figure 6-1 presents the computed result for time dependent total power, integrated bulk melt temperature, and average thermocouple temperature. The average thermocouple temperature is the linear sum of four locations in the computational domain approximating the four measurement locations on the thermocouple stalk (see Figure 3-10).

Included in Figure 6-1 are experimental data for steady state power and thermocouple temperatures in the glass melt. The computed power is the total joule heating in the glass melt as a result of both electrode pairs operating. The time dependent approach of the computed result towards steady state has reached a value of 22.9 kW over the time shown. The preliminary power data is shown as 22 kW which is the sum of the power in the upper electrode pair (280 A, 43 V, 12 kW) and the lower electrode pair (250 A, 40 V, 10 kW). Agreement of these pre-test computed results and the preliminary-reported power data is quite good.

Also included in Figure 6-1 is the time dependent variation of the integrated bulk glass temperature (Tbulk) and the average of the four temperatures (TCouple) in the discrete computational domain corresponding to the four thermal thermocouple locations in the thermocouple stalk. Preliminary data for four thermocouples submerged in the glass melt representing steady state values are included in the figure. They are included as if they occurred at a time near the end of the computed time. The temperature range of the four data points (two of the hotter points are coincident) is shown by the

 M-L. Elliot, September 29, 1992. Foreign Travel Trip Report. Data reports as obtained July 15, 1992, 13:00.



Fig. 6-1: Pre-test predicted power, bulk glass temperature, and average thermocouple stalk temperature compared to preliminary-reported data

spread in the solid triangles. Agreement at the hotter of the three points is quite good in comparison to the predicted average thermocouple temperature. It should be noted that later reported data (see Figure 4-28) are typically 40-50^oC higher than these preliminary reported data.

The preliminary-reported experimental data at the four thermocouple locations in the glass melt (TR13 to TR16) are compared in Figure 6-2 to the vertical temperature distribution computed by TEMPEST at a location approximately equivalent to the location of the thermocouple stalk. The TEMPEST data are represented by the solid line and the data are represented by the solid circles. The comparison is made over a vertical distance relative to the bottom center of the melter floor.

6.2 COMPUTER MODELING RESULTS - POST-TEST PREDICTIONS

6.2.1 Noble Metals Material Balance and Retention

Using the computer model, analysis methodology, and computer submodels described in Appendix D, and data available in the body of the experimental portion of this report, TEMPEST was used to compute noble metals material balance and retention. Analysis was done using continuum species transport to represent each of the three primary components, RuO₂, Pd, and Rh, as settling particulate fields. Analysis was also conducted assuming that the total amount of noble metals could be lumped into a single representative particle field. Results of these analyses are presented in this section. The first is referred to as the three species model and the latter is referred to as the total noble metals model.

The results are for an assumed average 10 hr cycle consisting of nine hours of feeding the melter and one hour of pouring. In the calculation, the glass pour rate during the one hour of pouring is 45.37 kg-glass/hr. This value corresponds to an average pour rate of 4.5 kg-glass/hr-online which is somewhat less than the value of 6.3 kg-glass/hr given in Table 5-I. However, the value of 6.3 kg-glass/hr-online given in Table 5-1 is inconsistent with the total glass poured (6423 kg) and the total online time (1118 hr) which would be 6423/1118 = 5.7 kg-glass/hr-online. The value of 4.5 kg-glass/hr-online was obtained (see Appendix D) as a representative average of the number of pour and feed intervals presented in Figures 4-18 to 4-23. Furthermore, in Section 5.2.1, the average glass pouring rate in reported to be about 50 kg/hr.

ESM noble metals campaign report



Fig. 6-2: Pre-test thermocouple stalk temperature compared to preliminary-reported data

The noble metals source terms used in these calculations were determined as presented Appendix D. For the three species model analysis, the average source term for RuO₂ was $5.2157 \cdot 10^{-3}$ kg-RuO₂/hr, for Pd it was $1.374 \cdot 10^{-3}$ kg-Pd/hr, and for Rh it was $1.100 \cdot 10^{-3}$ kg-Rh/hr. For the single representative total noble metals case, the average source term was $1.78 \cdot 10^{-2}$ kg-NM/hr. In the three species model, each component was assigned a settling velocity and intrinsic density. The density of each species couples the stabilizing density effects as a sludge layer builds up. The settling velocity is also specified for each species field according to the discussion in Appendix D. The settling velocity leads to the predicted floor layer through the floor deposition model also discussed in Appendix D.

The time-dependent variation of the predicted RuO₂ balance is presented in Figure 6-3. In the figure, the mass in kg-RuO₂ is plotted as a function of time. Curves are included for the total amount of material fed to the melter, the total amount of material in the melter at a given time, the total amount of material predicted to be on the floor, and the total amount of material contained within the glass in the melter. The total amount of material fed to the melter. The total amount of material fed to the melter. The total amount of material fed to the melter is the sum of the material on the floor and the material in the glass. The total amount of material fed to the melter is a linear function which is just mass-source-rate times time, in the absence of operational interruptions. The total amount of material that is in the glass increases and reaches an equilibrium value. For the results presented in Figure 6-3, the amount of material in the glass reaches an asymptotic value of 0.426 kg during the feed portion of the cycle and drops to 0.397 kg after a pour cycle. Considering that there is 0.2372 m³ of glass in the computer model, at an average density of 2170 kg-glass/m³ used in the computer modeling, equilibrium mass fraction of RuO₂ varies between 0.083 and 0.077 wt%. This is comparable to the experimental value of about 0.08 to 0.09 wt% observed in Figures 5-12 to 5-15.

The amount of material that is on the floor after an initial period of adjustment at the start of operation, shows a linear rate of increase. This is consistent with the deposition rate model in these calculations. The jaggedness in the curves for total in the melter and in the glass is not a function of a numerical instability. Rather, the upswing of each jag represents the nine hours of feeding and the down swing of each represents the one hour of pouring.

Figure 6-4 presents the same RuO₂ material balance, but plotted as a function of kg of glass discharged (poured) from the melter. Included in this figure are experimental data from Table 5-II, which are also presented in Figure 5-16. Note that the mass of Ru is plotted, not RuO₂, to be consistent with experimental data. The conversion from kg-RuO₂ to kg-Ru is: (101.07 kg-Ru/133.07 kg-RuO₂). In the figure, the solid symbols are the experimental data. The lines are TEMPEST predictions. The predictions were only made to a time where 3800 kg-glass were poured.



Fig. 6-3. Predicted RuO₂ balance at experimental conditions.







Up to this time, agreement between data and predictions is very good. Close examination of the experimental feed rate indicates that it was not constant, as was assumed in the calculations. The predictions tend to indicate that the amount of Ru discharged from the melter is slightly over predicted, which correspondingly results in an under prediction of the retention.

Note that the calculation of retention in the TEMPEST prediction is an integrated sum, computed by post processing data in the pour spout. The experimentally determined retention, as shown in Figure 6-4, was computed simply as the ratio of kg-Ru discharged from the melter to the kg-Ru fed to the melter. This ratio appears to asymptotically approaching a value of 40% (prior to discontinuing operation at about 6400 kg-glass poured). The experimentally determined retention obtained by integration is reported in Section 5.2.4 as 35% for Ru. This latter value is in very good agreement with the asymptotic value of the computer predictions indicated by the results in Figure 6-4.

Figure 6-5 presents the same predicted Ru material balance. In this figure, it was arbitrarily assumed that a 10% bias error exists in the experimental data for material discharged from the melter. This was done simply to examine the sensitivity of differences between data and predictions. Agreement between data and predictions are slightly improved in this figure. Considering that all of the measured wt% data obtained in the experiment was "shifted" (see Section 5.2.2, it is not inconceivable that such a bias error exists in the data. Alternately, it is not inconceivable that a modification to the parameters used in determining the deposition rate (see Appendix D) could also result in a biased shift in the prediction of the discharged concentration of Ru.

Results for the Pd and Rh components are presented in Figures 6-6 to 6-9. The general character of the material balance of the Pd and Rh components, which were modeled as pure material particles, is quite similar to that of Ru discussed above.

In Figure 6-6, the asymptotic mass fraction of Pd in the poured glass varies between 0.10 and 0.11 kg-Pd over the feed/pour cycle. These correspond to mass fractions of 0.019 and 0.021 wt% for glass density of 2170 kg/m³. Experimental values of Pd wt% (see Figures 5-9 to 5-12) are mostly in the 0.02 to 0.03 wt% range. In Table 5-II, over the corresponding equilibrium run time of 416 to 710 hr (2207 to 3807 kg-glass poured), the average mass of Pd in the discharged glass is 0.101 kg. In Figure 6-7, the asymptotic retention is approaching 34% compared to the experimentally determined value of 38% (Section 5.2.4) determined by integration. Thus, the agreement between data and predictions is very good.



Fig. 6-5. Ru balance compared to experimental results (10% biased)



Fig. 6-6. Predicted Pd balance at experimental conditions.

ESM noble metals campaign report

KfK-INE



Fig. 6-7. Pd balance compared to experimental results.



Fig. 6-8. Predicted Rh balance at experimental conditions.

ESM noble metals campaign report



Fig. 6-9. Rh balance compared to experimental results.

Corresponding results for Rh in Figure 6-8 show an equilibrium mass of Rh in the discharged glass between 0.086 and 0.093 kg-Rh over the feed/pour cycle. These correspond to mass fractions of 0.017 and 0.018 wt% in the discharged glass. Experimental values are near 0.025 wt% over much of the experiment as observed in Figures 5-9 to 5-12. Table 5-II data indicate that the weight of Rh in the equilibrium range is between 0.06 and 0.08 kg-R11 and 0.08 kg-Rh. In Figure 6-9, the asymp retention is approaching 34%, a number which is in very good agreement with the experimental value of 32% (Section 5.2.4). Thus, the predictions of Rh mass are also in good agreement with data.

These results indicate that the modeling assumptions used in this analysis and the analysis approach, as discussed in Appendix D, are quite good and that good agreement with data is obtained for the prediction of retention of noble metals in the ESM.

The time dependent results for the accumulation of mass on the floor of the melter can be used to estimate the thickness of a noble metals enriched sludge layer. Figure 6-10 presents the time dependent RuO₂ mass results. Curves for mass of RuO₂ in the glass and mass on the floor are presented. The amount of mass on the floor can be converted to an equivalent layer thickness by dividing the mass on the floor by the density of RuO₂, area of the floor, and maximum packing factor, or

$$\Delta h = M_{\text{floor}} / (\rho_{\text{RuO2}} \cdot A_{\text{floor}} \cdot C_{\text{v,max}})$$
(6.1)

where ∆h	= Sludge layer thickness
M _{floor}	= Mass of RuO_2 on the floor, kg- RuO_2
PRuO2	= Density of RuO_2 , 6970 kg/m ³
Afloor .	= Floor area, 0.28 m^2
C _{v.max}	= Maximum packing concentration, kg-RuO $_2/m^3$.

Results for assumed maximum packing concentration of 0.06 and 0.08 are presented in the figure. Extrapolation of these results to the end of the experiment, 1193/24 = 49.7 days-run-time, indicate a sludge layer between 1 and 1.5 cm. Experimental observations indicate that a sludge layer between 1-3 cm thick existed after draining of the melter stopped (Section 5.2.5). If a lesser maximum packing concentration were used, the computed thickness would be greater. Samples from the bottom of the melter indicate mass fractions approaching 5 wt% which corresponds to a volume fraction of about 5.2170 kg-glass/6970 kg-RuO₂ = 1.6 vol%. If the 5 wt% really does represent a maximum packed concentration, then the estimated sludge layer would be nearly 5.6 cm thick. The 0.06 maximum packed concentration was determined from examination of micrographs as discussed in Appendix D.

KfK-INE

ESM noble metals campaign report



Fig. 6-10. Predicted RuO2 sludge layer depth.

In addition to the individual species calculation for RuO₂, Pd, and Rh, another calculation was conducted assuming a single particle component with characteristics of RuO₂. This calculation was conducted as an upper bound calculation. It is an upper bound calculation because RuO₂ particles exhibit "hairball" characteristics, with a high degree of porosity. The equivalent included effective volume of these hairballs occupy much larger volume than do the smaller, metallic Pd and Rh particles. Thus, they would tend towards a greater sludge layer depth than would small, rounded metal particles. For this calculation, a noble metals (NM) source rate of 0.0178 kg-NM/hr was used. This feed rate is approximately a factor of 3 greater than the actual RuO₂ feed rate in the experiment and approximately a factor of 2 greater that the total of RuO₂, Pd, and Rh fed to the melter. Nevertheless, the results are useful because they indicate that in the range of the concentrations of noble metals under consideration, the retention characteristics are largely independent of the magnitude of the source term. This would be true up to a point in a actual melter where a sludge layer would be come significantly thick as to affect the melt pool flow, thermal, and electric characteristics. These results also provide a second data set from which interpolations of sludge layer thickness can be made.

Results of the single NM component mass balance are presented in Figures 6-11 to 6-13. In Figure 6-11, the mass balance as a function of time is shown. Comparison of this result with that of the RuO₂ results in Figure 6-3 show a very similar characteristic to the overall material balance. Furthermore, the retention for this case, Figure 6-12, is also approaching an asymptotic value of something just less than 40%. Figure 6-13 shows the computed effective sludge layer depth for assumed maximum packing concentration of 6 and 10 vol%. These depths are approaching the 4-5 cm thick range.

Figure 6-14 presents a comparison of the TEMPEST predicted results with a lumped parameter method. The lumped parameter method is a mathematical description of a well-mixed volume with associated in flow and out flow. The melt pool is the well mixed volume and the mass balance is a simple ordinary differential equation solution in time. Development of the equations, as used here, is included in Appendix D. The TEMPEST results are very consistent with the lumped parameter results and lie between the two cases of an assumed volume change during pouring and an assumed constant volume melt pool during pouring. This comparison is important for several reasons. It points to the fact that the constant volume melt approximation used in the TEMPEST model is not a serious detractor to the actual situation where the melt surface, and hence melt volume, changes during the pouring and feeding portions of a cycle.





Fig. 6-11. Predicted RuO2 balanced for sssumed 3X NM feed rate.



Fig. 6-12. RuO₂ retention for sssumed 3X NM feed rate.



Fig. 6-13. RuO₂ sludge layer depth for assumed 3X NM feed rate.



Fig. 6-14. Total NM retention compared to lumped parameter analysis.

Also included in the figure are results which were computed with the lumped parameter model that assumed that there was no settling of the material to the floor. In this case, the discharged (no settling) curve represents the ideal situation referred to in relation to data in Figure 5.18. It is useful to note that for the settling cases, the retention is asymptotically approaching a value near 40%, whereas the ideal case of no settling shows an integrated retention (total-in minus total-out)/(total-in) $\cdot 100$ which is asymptotically approaching zero.

Another observation that can be made from the results of Figure 6-14 is that TEMPEST is predicting that the melter is a well mixed volume. In fully coupled calculations conducted without allowing settling of material to a stationary floor layer, less than a 2% variation existed in the concentration distribution in the melt pool. A by-product of this result is that it supports the use of a lumped parameter method to estimate retention in the melter and to do parametric analysis with the lumped parameter approach. The more computationally intensive TEMPEST approach could then be used to investigate specific aspects of coupled melter operation resulting from a parametric analysis done to define a range of operational limits.

6.2.2 Power and Electric Operation Parameters

Operation parameters monitored during ESM test U2 included power to the upper and lower electrode and to the plenum heater. Time history of the power is included in Figures 4-18 to 4-25. In early stages of the U2 test, power distribution to the upper and lower electrode pairs was 12.5 and 11 kW, respectively. The distribution was gradually changed over the first 550 h of operation to a ratio of 15 to 7.5 kw. This ratio was held about constant over the duration of the test. Average voltage, current, and power values are listed in Table 5-I. Resistance of the melter during the test U2, determined by dividing rms-voltage by rms-current, is presented in Figure 5-17. Although not specifically stated, it is assumed that the resistance is rms-equivalent, not open circuit resistance.

Numerous post-test computer simulations were conducted to determine power and electric operation parameters. These were done using various combinations of assumed viscosity, electric conductivity, and sludge layer depth. A synopsis of some of these results are presented here.

Figure 6-15 presents power, bulk average temperature, and thermocouple stalk temperature for one pouring case. These results are very typical of the several calculations completed. Both the continuous monitored values and the temperature controlled average values are included in the figure. For the latter, the controller integration time was 0.5 min. The total power supplied to the melter typically reached an equilibrium value of 25 to 26 kW.

.







The power level required to maintain a controlled temperature is almost exclusively a function of boundary condition. The predicted 25-26 kW values are comparable to the U2 test values of 23.5 kW early in the test, 22.5 kw near the 550 h point, and the overall average of 22 kw listed in Table 5-I. The over prediction of roughly 10-15% is considered to be a good agreement.

Comparison of the post-test power result in Figure 6-15 with the pre-test result in Figure 6-1 (which are temperature controlled to a bulk average temperature of 1150°C) indicates a very similar characteristic approach to the thermocouple stalk controlled temperature of 1150°C. There are some differences in these two results that are worth noting. The pre-test results in Figure 6-1 are for a clean melt (no noble metals) obtained using RSM/Pre viscosity and electric conductivity correlations (see Appendix D). The post-test results are obtained using ESM-correlated data. The post-test results are also obtained using an assumed 1 cm sludge layer initially on the floor of the melter. The layer was dissipated throughout the melt by the end of the simulation because the concentration dependent viscosity was of insufficient magnitude to completely inhibit convection in the layer. Note that the temperature controlled averages exhibit smoother curves than do the continuous monitored values. The "spiky" characteristics in the continuous monitored values are a consequence of the physical instabilities in the melt and represent predicted falling columns of rather cooler glass passing the monitoring location. These columns tend to move around the melt. An experimental measurement of a thermocouple stalk would probably not sense these characteristics because of the time constant associated with the thermocouple/thermowell dampening due to axial conduction in a thermowell, and any time constant associated with data acquisition systems. In the ESM data acquisition, the scanning and storage rate for temperatures was 300 sec (see Section 3.3). It is not possible from ESM data to confirm the existence of such falling columns of cold glass.

Table 6-I presents a composite of the operational parameters of several investigatory simulations in predicting resistance of the melter. The object of these simulations was to compare predicted resistances to data (Figure 5-17) determined from measured voltage and current. (Note that the time history curves in Figure 5-17 appear to be inversely labeled, relative to average resistance values determinable from average voltage and current in Table 5-I.) For these simulations, the current source was specified in each electrode pair to approximate the early-, mid-, and end-test conditions. Based on the amount of material initialized in the simulations with 1 cm layer of sludge, approximate experiment times of 0, 600, and 850 h were simulated . For reference and comparison purposes, U1 and U2 test average values from Table 5-I are included in Table 6-I.

		· · ·					Experimental Data (Table 5-I)	
Run Number	flay.0b	flay.0c	flay.0a	flay.2a	flay.2	flay.0	Ul Avg.	U2 Avg.
<u>Upper Pair</u>				· .				
Current (12, Amps)	270.0	228.6	270.0	270.0	270.0	249.2	308	316
Voltage (V ₂ , Volts)	62.1	52.5	59.2	57.0	60.2	52.8	44.4	42:4
Resistance (R_2, Ω)	0.230	0.230	0.219	0.211	0.222	0.212	0.144	0.134
Power (P ₂ , kw)	16.76	12.01	16.00	15.40	15.21	13.17	13.7	13.3
Resistance-True (R_2^* , Ω)	0.194	0.194	0.192	0.191	0.190	0.191	-	-
Lower Pair								
Current (I1, Amps)	229.5	193.5	229.5	230.0	229.5	176.1	265	231
Voltage (V1, Volts)	61.3	51.8	46.6	35.5	31.5	32.0	42.4	37.3
Resistance (R_1, Ω)	0.267	0.267	0.202	0.154	0.137	0.182	0.160	0.161
Power (P ₁ , kw)	14.07	10.02	10.69	8.14	7.24	5.63	11.2	8.6
Resistance-True (R_1^*, Ω)	0.217	0.217	0.165	0.127	0.113	0.140	-	-
Total Power (P _T , kw)	30.83	22.03	26.69	23.54	22.45	18.80	24.9	23.0
Power Ratio (P ₂ /P ₁)	1.19	1.20	1.50	1.89	2.10	2.33	1.2	1.2/1.55/2.0
Average Glass Temperature (°C)	1153.5	1153.4	1153.4	1153.3	1153.4	1153.3	1148	1184
Thermocouple Temperature (°C)	1149.8	1149.7	1149.6	1149.7	1149.6	1149.6	-	-
Average Concentration in Glass								
RuO ₂ (kg/m ³)	0.	0.	1.176	1.183	1.675	1.675		
Pd (kg/m³)	0.	0.	0.300	0.304	.430	.426		
Rh (kg/m ³)	0.	0.	0.253	0.256	.362	.359		
Average Concentration in Sludge								
RuO ₂ (kg/m ³)	0.	0.	283.9	283.6	402.6	402.7		
Pd (kg/m ³)	0.	0.	80.41	80.34	113.3	113.4		
Rh (kg/m³)	0.	0.	55.74	55.69	78.9	79.0		
Glass Conductivity (1/Ω-m)	~15.5	~15.5	~15.5	~15.6	~15.7	~15.7		
Sludge Layer Conductivity (1/ Ω -m)	~15.5	~15.5	. ~770.	~770.	~1830	~1830		
Approximate Operating Time (hr) (9hr feed, 1hr pour cycle)	0	0	600.	600.	850	850		
Sludge Layer Shape	(none)	(none)		<u>3 cm</u>		<u>3 cm</u>		

Comparing predicted data in column 1 (flay.0b) with data from U1, which represents the clean melter without noble metals, it can be observed that the current is under predicted by about 10% in both electrode pairs while the power is over predicted by about 10%. This is consistent with the voltage in each pair being over predicted by about 50%. Correspondingly, the rms-resistance is also over predicted by about 50% relative to the experimental data. The ratio of the rms-resistance of the upper pair to the lower pair is (0.230/0.267) = 0.86. By comparison, the ratio of test U1 values is (0.144/0.160) = 0.90. This is good agreement and consistent with the ratio of upper-to-lower power being 1.19 in the prediction and 1.22 in the U1 test. Note also that the true-resistance (open circuit value) is less than the rms-resistance determined by dividing the rms-voltage by the rms-current.

The best agreement in the current, voltage, rms-resistance, and power with U2 test data are found in columns 4 and 5 (flay.2a and flay.2), respectively, for the lower electrode pair. These two simulations assumed different floor layers. In the first, a uniformly flat layer which was 1 cm thick near the walls and 3 cm thick in the center with an effective electrical conductivity of about 770 S/m was used. In the latter, a uniform 1 cm thick layer existed over the whole floor with an electrical conductivity of about 1830 S/m. The magnitude of the conductivity in the sludge layer is compared to data in Appendix D. The electrical conductivities are only approximate because while the amount of material is uniform in the layers, the temperature distribution is variable. In these two simulations, the concentration of RuO₂, Pb, and Rh are those values predicted by TEMPEST to have settled to the floor over 600 and 850 h (see Section 6.2.1). For these cases, the current in the lower electrode pair is 230 and 229.5 amps while the U2 average data value is 231 amps. The voltage is 35.5 and 31.5 volts while the U2 average test value of 0.161 Ω .

The rms-resistance and true-resistance from the predictions are compared to data in Figure 6-16. The predicted rms-resistance is determined as the ratio of the predicted rms-voltage and rms-current, R = E/I. This is consistent with the data which was determined as the ratio of the voltage to electric current. The true-resistance shown in the figure is the value of resistance an ohm meter would measure across an electrode pair if the other pair were open-circuited. Note that in all cases, the true-resistance is always less than the rms-resistance in an alternating current system where both electrode pairs are being powered by an in-phase source. With no noble metals in the melt (t=0) the predicted rms-resistance is greater than that of the U2 test, but the ratio of the upper to the lower is about the same, 0.86 compared to 0.90. The trend in the prediction is that the resistance in the lower pair decreases to values less than the upper pair when the sludge layer is present. The predicted resistance in the upper pair remains largely unaffected by the presence of the sludge layer.



Fig. 6-16. Comparison of electric resistance.

ESM noble metals campaign report

The decrease in the resistance between the lower electrodes occurs because of the enhanced conductivity sludge layer bridging the electrodes. In the experiment, it is reported that a 2-3 cm layer of sludge remained on the floor of the melter after draining. Figure 5-18 presents floor samples which exhibit concentrations ranging from about 4-6 wt% (total) over the melter floor.

The primary difference between the conditions of the predictions and the data is that the electric conductivity in the floor layer is probably too large. A more detailed discussion is given in Appendix D on how the concentration dependence of the electric conductivity was determined. By 850 hours, 1.84 kg-total of RuO₂, Pd, and Rh have accumulated on the floor. From Figure 6-10, the estimated sludge layer is between 1 and 1.5 cm thick. This is consistent with the 1-3 cm thick sludge layers assumed in Table 6-1. The thickness is based on a maximum packing factor of 6 vol%, which for RuO₂, the principal component at a density of 6970 kg-RuO₂/m³, corresponds to 19.3 wt%. The resistivity data of Figure 5-19 shows the ratio of enhanced conductivity sludge at 1150°C to be about 9 times that of glass product. The sludge samples used for the measurements include floor sample No. 1 and a glass sample labeled batch 2, sample 3, pouring glass taken approximately half way through the U2 test. The floor sample is reported (Figure 5-18) as being nearly 6 wt% (total NM) which corresponds to a volume fraction of about 2 vol% (considering only the RuO₂ component). At 2 vol% and a maximum packing of 6 vol%, Eq. (D.30) results in an enhanced conductivity about a factor of 10 greater than the noble metals-free glass. This is consistent with the experimental ratio of 9. Thus the enhanced conductivity correlation used is consistent. It can be concluded that either the maximum packing factor is significantly less than the 6 vol% determined from analysis of the glass sample micrographs, that the layer is not continuous on the floor or that the noble metals in the sludge layer are much more dispersed. The latter would suggest that the layer is much thicker than predicted with a correspondingly lower electric conductivity. Resistivity data presented in Figure 5-23 is of no value in resolving the issue further because it is data of samples which all contain less than 0.4 wt% (uncorrected), except the one floor sample No. 5, which contains nearly 6 wt%. Electrical resistivity for Sample No. 5 presented in Figure 5-23 differs by nearly a factor of 1.5 from data for Sample No. 1 in Figure 5-19. The direction of the difference in electrical conductivity is consistent with the correlation used in the analysis. The additional data, however, does not help resolve the issue of maximum packing factor.

6.2.3 Temperature Profiling

Experimental temperature profile measurements made in the glass melt are discussed in reference to Figure 4-28 in Section 4.2.2. Figures 4-19 to 4-25 present time history temperature data at five

locations on the thermocouple stalk. Of these five locations, only one (TR64) is continuously in the melt. The other four are in the cold cap region or the plenum. The one in the melt indicates that the central melt temperature was very nearly 1200°C over most of test U2. There are short time durations where the temperature in the central part of the melt would drop as low as 1100°C. The average operating melt temperature is reported as 1184°C (Table 5-I). This is somewhat hotter than the target operation temperature of 1150°C. Running the melter at the hotter temperature was done in an attempt to increase feed processing and glass production. Control of the ESM melter was by manual adjustment of the electric current to the melter.

All of TEMPEST's post-test simulations were conducted using a temperature controller targeted to a temperature of 1150°C. It was found that in the ESM computer model, the average melt temperature over the central 2/3 of the melt volume was typically within $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C of the controlling temperature location. Table 6-1 lists average and controlled temperatures for several cases.

The distribution in temperature from bottom to top varies significantly in the melt, depending upon position and time. Figure 6-17 compares computed temperature profiles with the data from Figure 4-28. The experiment data were acquired near the end of test U2 and thus the reported 2-3 cm layer of sludge would have been present at this time. The computations included in Figure 6-17 were for a case in which a 1 cm layer existed across the floor. Accounting for the difference in average temperature, the agreement between the predicted temperature distribution and the measured data is quite good. Comparison of the predicted curves more in the central region of the melt (Curves 1 and 3) with the data at filled condition (upper data curve) indicates a very similar overall shape and slope, except very near the floor of the melter. All of the predicted temperature profiles show a marked affect of the presence of the sludge layer. This is especially pronounce just in front of the electrode faces (Curves 2 and 4, open symbols) where local temperatures reach 1200°C, some 50 degrees hotter than the average, and nearly 80 degrees hotter than the lower region of the central portion of the melt. (Note that the colder temperatures in Curves 2 and 4, below the lower edge of the lower electrode pair are in the refractory material.) The asymmetry which exists in the upper region of the melt in front of the electrode faces is a consequence of the asymmetric melt flow distribution in this physically unstable condition of cold-over-hot.

Figures 6-18 to 6-21 present a logical progression of temperature profile changes which result as the amount of material in the sludge layer decreases from an assumed 1 cm thick layer to no layer at all. These results are a characteristic example of the temperature profile variations in a melt which contains a sludge layer in one extreme and no sludge layer in the other. Without the enhanced



Fig. 6-17. Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.



Fig. 6-18. Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.

ESM noble metals campaign report



Fig. 6-19. Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.



Fig. 6-20. Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.



Fig. 6-21. Comparison of vertical temperature distributions.

electrical heating which occurs in the sludge layer due to the increased electrical current shorting through the layer, the glass in the bottom of the melter does not exhibit locally high temperatures that is seen when the shorting sludge layer is present. This predicted trend is consistent with observations made in the RSM experiments (Cooper, Elliott, et al. 1993) from a limited amount of temperature probing of the melter during operation. No temperature probing was done in the ESM.

6.3 CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions drawn from the prediction presented herein include the following:

- Material balances, including retention, for RuO₂, Pd, and Rh are in excellent agreement with corresponding experimental data. This is key to the objective of this work.
- Concentration distributions throughout the melter are typically less than ±2% of the average concentration in the melt at any given time (excluding the sludge layer). The melt pool is concluded to be well mixed.
- Sludge layer thickness is between 1 and 1.5 cm for an assumed maximum packing 6 vol%.
- Power and current are typically overpredicted by 10-15%. Voltage is underpredicted because of discrepancies in electrical conductivity.
- Comparison of predicted rms-resistance across the electrode pairs with rms-resistance computed in the U2 test from measured voltage and current are inconclusive.

Predicted resistance across the electrode pairs without noble metals (beginning of test) are greater than those determined in the U2 test. As a sludge layer builds up, predicted resistance across the upper electrode pair remains nearly constant. It is relatively unaffected by the sludge layer. Experimental resistance across the upper electrode pair is also relatively constant. Predicted resistance across the lower electrode pair, however, shows a marked decrease (both the rmsresistance and the true resistance) as the sludge layer builds up. Experimental data indicate the resistance across the lower pair to be relatively constant, even through a 1-3 cm sludge layer has built up on the melter floor. Thus it can be concluded that either:
- The maximum packing factor of 6 vol% used in the electrical conductivity data correlation is too large, or
- The sludge layer thickness during operation was greater than the 1-3 cm this layer observed, or
- The sludge layer was not continuous across the floor.

The source of the discrepancy in the comparison of the resistance across the lower pair remains to be resolved.

REFERENCES

Anderson, L. D., T. Dennis, M. L. Elliott, L. L. Eyler., P. H. Hrma. 1992. "Laboratory Testing and Computer Modeling of HWVP Melter Feed", Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Cobb, W. T., and P. R. Hrma. 1990. "Behavior of RuO₂ in a Glass Melt", <u>Ceramic Transactions</u>, 23:233-237.

Trent, D. S. and L. L. Eyler. 1992. "TEMPEST A Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Time-Dependent Computational Fluid Dynamics". Battelle Memorial Institute.

Westik, J. H., Jr. 1986. "Temperature Control System for Liquid-Fed Ceramic Melters". PNL-6009, Pacific Northwest Laboratory.

APPENDIX A: Investigation of bubble rich glass product taken from the outer melt surface of the ESM melter during long-term operation

٢

• •

C:\winword2\REM-EDS.doc

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on melt surface

Investigation of bubble rich glass product taken from the outer melt surface of the ESM melter during long-term operation

INE

August 1992

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass

on melt surface

REM/EDS-Analysis

of sample taken during ESM operation from the outer uncovered region of the foamy glass pool surface

Sample No.: 295 PE 06.08.1992, 20.50 hours FRIT: see table 1, page 16 FEED: Batch No. 4, see table 2, page 17, as analysed

A. Macroscopic observations

The sampled material was taken from the outer surface area of the glass pool of the ESM melter during operation (Aug. 6, 8.50 p.m.) It behaves like a rising dough and develops in the liquid state a bubbly texture, see Figs. 1, 2. While sampling from the melt surface of the ESM it had a temperature of approximately 900 $^{\circ}$ C.

It is not a foaming in the strict sense. However, the material obviously is very viscous and the amount of it may grow in thickness. This material apparently is highly heat insulating and reduces the heat transfer from the hotter melt pool to its surface significantly.

- B. Firm qualitative results
- 1. Appearance and texture

The glassy material is not homogenous and bubbly. The fracture surfaces are rough. Its colour is dark brown.

2. Inclusions and newly formed crystalline phases

The glassy material is rich in included salty agglomerates (liquid at process temperatures); it is also rich in included calcine and newly formed crystalline phases.

• Newly formed crystalline phases

KFK-INE

- 2 -Appendix A

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on melt surface

 SiO_2 is frequently found and the crystal structure type involved presumingly is cristobalite, see Fig. 3. These crystals may have grown from SiO_2 -rich part of the unmixed glass frit.

For the basic frit, unmixing occurs at a temperature below about 950°C, as is shown in Fig. 30, page 19.

Even the delivered glass frit is already unmixed as indicated by its optical anisotropy.

In pores Ag, Cd-iodide crystals grow by a condensation mechanism, when the porous melt is cooled down, see Figs. 6, 7. This is in accordance with the phase diagram CdJ_2 -AgJ; CdJ₂ boils above 708°C.

• Calcine

The glass product contains small lumps of calcine, which show individual concentration of the element Zr.

• Salts

A lot of salty agglomerates are present. Their sizes differ from the μ m - to the mm -range. Under the electron beam they become movable and analysis is extremely difficult. Their composition varies drastically from spot to spot. All possible anions are found: Sulfate, chloride, iodide, phosphate, nitrate and possibly nitrite. The total amount of salt melt can be estimated from the anion inventory in the feed, table 3, page 18.

C. <u>Summary:</u>

The viscous glassy material from the outer surface which is not covered with cold cap material represents a concentrated suspension of glass product melt, frit melt,

- 3 -Appendix A

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on melt surface

calcine and salt melts. This explains the high viscosity and therefore the formation of bubbles due to the degassing of the calcine and the thermal decomposition of the salts. This material is a late state of the "cold" cap. The total anion inventory is comparatively low so that the overall vitrification rate is low, too.

A more detailed analysis of the glassy material by EDS is severely handicapped because we cannot measure Li and B.

It is recommended to determine the viscosity of such a material at a reasonable temperature as a function of time. That will give us information concerning the homogenization of the suspension.

- 4 -Appendix A

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on mell surface



Fig. 1



Fig. 2

General appearance of the sample material under low magnification

KFK-INE	– 5 – Appendix A	ESM test run U2
	· · ·	Analysis of bubble rich glass on melt surface
		3100 x
· ·		

Fig. 3

The glassy matrix of this area contains a larger number of elongated crystals up to 10 μ m in length having SiO₂ composition. Most probably the crystals are cristobalites which grew from the SiO₂-rich part of the unmixed basic frit.

10 µm 301 kU 310E3 2037/12 PE-6892







2980 x

Fig.5 Fracture surface under higher magnification

. •	- 7 -	
KFK-INE	Appendix A	ESM test run U2
·		Analysis of bubble rich glass on melt surface
0.1 mm 3.0.1 kV	4.62E2 2032/12 PE-68	462 x

1 Ay, Ld, J-Knitu

Fig. 6 Tiny crystal of Cd, Ag-Iodide on the surface of a pore



7400 x

Fig. 7 The iodide crystal under higher magnification





Fig. 18





Fig. 20: Area rich in Zr and calcine

.





• •

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on mell surface



PE 6-AUG-92

Fig. 25: KCl-rich salt inclusion

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on mell surface

Table 1

Analysed frit sample Nr. 169

<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	wt%	target wt%
sio ₂	72.53	72.26
B ₂ O ₃	18.93	20.45
Li ₂ 0	7.17	7.29
Σ	98.63	100.00

- 13 -Appendix A

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on mell surface

	100.	5
NCAW	feed	composition

	Melter Feed Oxic Concentration	le Feed	Compour Concent:	nt ration
<u>Oxide</u>	(wt %)	Compound	(q/L f	eed)
Ag ₂ O	0.03	AgNO ₃	0.25	
Al_2O_3	2.57	AI(OH) ₃	19.85	
B203	2E-3	H ₃ BO ₃	0.01	
BaO	0.05	$Ba(OH)_{2}+8H_{2}O$	0.52	
CaO	0.23	$Ca(OH)_2$	1.49	
CdO	0.87	Cd(OH) ₂	4.94	
CeO ₂	0.18	$Ce(OH)_{2}$	1.02	
Cr ₂ O ₂	0.07	$Cr(NO_{3})_{3}+9H_{2}O$	1.97	
C520	0.17	CsNO ₂	1,19	
CuQ	0.07	$Cu(SO_4)+5H_2O$	1,10	~ \$(
FeaOa	8.06	$Fe(OH)_{2}$	54 23	-4 50
GeO2	4E-5	GeOn	2E-4	
K20	0.06	KOH	0 34	
Lago	0.19	LaFa	0.34	
20203	0.12	La (OH) a	0.25	
МаО	0.10	$M\alpha(NO_2) \rightarrow 6H_2O$	3 32	
MnO ₂	0.61	MnOn	3 07	
MoOn	0.16	MoOn	0.80	
NanO	6 10	NaCl	0.00	A-I
C1	0.08	Naci	14 91	
. F-	0.03	Na ₂ Co ₃	1 05	
· E	0.03		1.05	17
•	•	Nal	22 76	- J
		NanO ₂	33.70	•
50.	0.10	NanO ₃	4.01	4-5
203	0.19	Na2304 NaOU	1.04	
Mb. O.	0.02	NAON Nh O	3.34	
ND2O3	0.03	ND2U5	0.02	-
NG203	0.99		0.25	
NICO			5.56	
NIO	0.66	NI(UH) ₂	4.09	C D
P_2U_5	0.25	Na ₃ PO ₄	2.88	
Pau	0.03	$Pa(NU_3)_2$	0.32	
PDO_2	0.20	$PD(NU_3)_2$	1.39	
PL_2O_3	0.04	PF(UH)3	0.26	
	0.02	$RDRU_3$	0.11	
R11203	0.03	$\frac{RI(NO_3)_2+2H_2O}{DU(NO_3)}$	0.30	
Ru_2O_3		$Ru(NO)(NO_3)_3$	1.34	
30203 CoO		SD2U3	0.01	
SeU2			0.02	
S102	1.14	$S10_2$	5.//	
Sm2U3	0.02	$Sm(NO_3)_3+6H_2O$	0.28	
500	36-3	$Sn(NO_3)_2$	0.03	
	0.03	$Sr(NO_3)_2$	0.35	
Ta ₂ U ₅	16-3		56-3	
TeU ₂	0.03	TeU ₂	0.14	
T102	0.19	1102	0.93	
¥203	0.02	Y(OH) ₃	0.14	
ZnO	0.10	Zn(OH) ₂	0.58	•
$2rO_2$.	4.31	Zr(OH)4	28.00	
<u> </u>				
Total.	28%	Total.	204 0 -	/T

.

KFK-	INE
------	-----

ESM test run U2 Analysis of bubble rich glass on melt surface



Fig 30: Region of immiscibility in $Li_2O - B_2O_3 - SiO_2$

AUSDRUCK DER DATENBASIS

	KANAL	MSR-Nr	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-A1	T1-A1	H1-21	H2-A1	05
100%	Intvl	Groesse	-				••• ••	112-111	0.8
	1/0	UCC0011 T	FOFDDFDDIMPF &						
	1/1	C00117	I DERUGRIONICE A						
	1/2	Necoost m	TOPODEDDIADE D						
	1/2	C0021T	PODENDERFORES B				•		
	.1/3	9002D1 95C00317	DUDENVENTIE ENGENIENN						
	1/5	C0031/T	VENTTI, VOD FOEDDEDDIMDE A						
	1/6	HSCOOALT	DIFENDED R						
	1/7	GODAT.T.	VENTTI, NACH FOERDERPUMPE A						
	1/8	GOOSLT	VENTTI, VOR FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/9	GOOGLT	VENTTL NACH FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/10	GO07LT	VENTIL RUEHRKREISLAUF						
	1/11	GOOSLT	VENTIL RUEHRKREISLAUF						
	1/12	GO09LT	VENTIL RUEHRKREISLAUF						
	1/13	GO10LT	VENTIL RUEHRKREISLAUF						
	1/14	GO11LT	VENTIL TRANSFER PUMPE A						
	1/15	GO12LT	VENTIL TRANSFER PUMPE B						
•	1/16	HSG013LT	VENTIL TRANSFERLEITUNG 551						
	1/17	GO14LT	VENTIL CHEMIEBEHAELTER 551						
	1/18	GO15LT	VENTIL UEBERNAHMEBEH. 551						
	1/19	GO16LT	VENTIL ZWISCHENBEH. 551						
	1/20	TSW01LT	FOERDERPUMPE A						
	1/21	TSWO2LT	FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/22	TSWO3LT	RUEHRER A						
	1/23	TSW04LT	KULARER B						
	1/24	G052MT	VENTIL DATEL RELEARNIEL FMT						
	1/26	GO54MT	VENTIL CHEMIEABWASSER FMT					•	
	1/27	GOSSMT	VENTIL KUEHLWASSER VI.						
	1/28	GO57MT	VENTIL GLASPULVER I						
	1/29	GO58MT	VENTIL GLASPULVER II						
	1/30	GO59MT	VENTIL AUSGANG FMT						
	1/31	GOGOMT	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A						
	1/32	HSG001UB	VENTIL RUEHRLUFT FMT						
	1/33	RD1							
	1/34	HSG003UB	VENTIL AIRJET J50 M						
	1/35	RD2							
	1/36	RD3							
	1/3/	HSGOU62B	VENTIL FILMCOULER						
	1/30	GOG1MT	VENTIT, NACH PIMPE A						
	1/40	GO62MT	VENTIL VOR PUMPE B					-	
	1/41	GOGIMT	VENTIL NACH PUMPE B		•				
-	1/42	RD5							
	1/43	HSGO02DB	VENTIL WASSERSPUELUNG OFEN						
	1/44	HSG003DB	VENTIL RUEHRLUFT MFT						
	1/45	GO64MT	VENTIL TRANSFER MET						
	1/46	GO65MT	VENTIL KREISLÄUF MFT						
	1/47	GOGGMT	VENTIL WASSERSPUELUNG FMT						
	1/48	HSG001S	VENTIL SPUELLUFT BIGI A3						•
	1/49	HSG002S	VENTIL KUEHLUNG EINLAUFROHR						
	1/50	RD6							
	1/51	TWIJS	NULALWASSER EINLAUFROHR						
	1/52	TRAEUIS	TRALO NA 1 TRAFO ND 2						
	1/54	RD7	TIGHT O HE Z						

100%	KANAL Intvl	MSR-Nr Groesse	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-Al	T1-Al	H1-Al	H2-A1	0%
	1/55	RD8							
	1/57	TRAFOGS	TRAFO NR 3						
	1/58	TRAF07S	TRAFO NR 4						
	1/59	TRAF08S	TRAFO NR 5						
	1/60	TRAF09S	TRAFO NR 8						
	1/61	TRAF010S	TRAFO NR 7						
	1/62	TRAFULLS	TRAFO NR 6	•					
	1/63	RD10	TRAFO NR 9						
	1/65	RD11							
	1/66	RD12							
	1/67	GO67MT	WASSERSPUELUNG VOR PUMPE B						
	1/68	RD13							
	1/69	RD14				-			
	1/70	HSGOUSNE	VENTIL RUEHRLUFT NE						-
	1/72	HSCOOSNE	VENTIL AIRLET ARLISL. AUI						
	1/73	HSGO07NE	VENTIL ENTLEEREN NE						
	1/74	HSG008NE							
	1/75	LWO1NE	NE FUELLSTAND				•		
	1/76	TSW05NE	NE HEIZUNG			-			
	1/77	GO68MT	WASSERSPUELUNG VOR PUMPE A						
	1/78	GO69MT CO70WT	WASSERSPUELUNG AUSG. PUMPEN						
	1/80	HSG001GD	VENTIT, SPIELLIFT BIGT & A						
	1/81	RD15							
	1/82	GO71MT	WASSERSPUELUNG NACH PUMPE A					· ·	
	1/83	GO72MT	WASSERSPUELUNG NACH PUMPEN						
1.0	1/84	UHR	LOGGERZEIT	ZEIT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	1/85	200 DOSIDARI	UIDWACEN INSEMTOSTATON						
	1/86	POSUBKH	HUBWAGEN UEBERLAUFPOSTTION						
	1/87	POSBAKH	HUBWAGEN BODENAUSLAUFPOS.						
	1/88	HSG073MT	RUEHRER FMT						
	1/89	HSG074MT	FOERDERPUMPE A						
	1/90	HSG001KO	VENTIL ENTLEEREN						
	1/91	HSGOUGKO	VENTIL KUEHLKREISLAUF						
	1/93	HSG075MT	FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/94	GO76MT	VENTIL ABGASAIRJET J50			•			
	1/95	GO78MT	BYPASS KUEHLW. MANTEL FMT						
	1/96	HSG002SW	VENTIL ENTLEEREN						
	1/9/	HSGO04SW	VENTIL BEFUELLEN						
	1/99	HSGOOGSW	VENTIL KUEHLKREISLAUF						
	1/100	GO12SW	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A						
	1/101	HSG013SW	KREISLAUFPUMPE A						
	1/102	GO13SW	VENTIL NACH PUMPE A						
	1/103	HSG014SW	KREISLAUFPUMPE B						
	1/104	GO145W	VENTLL VOK PUMPE B						
	1/106	TSW07SW	KREISLAUFPUMPE A						
	1/107	TSW08SW	KREISLAUFPUMPE B						
	1/108	GO79MT	VENTIL BELUEFTUNG FMT						
	1/109	PIW53MT	DRUCK DOSIERLEITUNG ZUM ESM						
	1/110	TSW51MT	STOERUNG RUEHRER FMT						
	1/112	HSG003NO	VENTIL ENTLEEREN						
	1/113	HSG005NO	VENTIL BEFUELLEN						

Appendix B

	KANAL	MSR-Nr	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-Al	T1-Al	H1-Al	H2-A1	۶0
100%	Intvl	Groesse							
		•							
	1/114	HSG010NO	VENTIL RUEHRLUFT						
	1/115	HSG011NO	VENTIL KUEHLKREISLAUF						
	1/116	HSG016NO	KREISLAUFPUMPE A						
	1/117	G016N0	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A						
	1/118	HSG017NO	KREISLAUFPUMPE B						
	1/119	G017N0	VENTIL NACH PUMPE A						
	1/120	G018N0	VENTIL VOR PUMPE B						
	1/121	G019N0	VENTIL NACH PUMPE B						
	1/122	TSW12NO	KREISLAUFPUMPE A						
	1/123	TSW13NO	KREISLAUFPUMPE B						
	1/124	TSW56MT	STOERUNG FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/125	HSG050FT	RUEHRER MET		•				
	1/126	G051FT	VENTIL AUSGANG MET						
	1/127	GO52FT	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A						
	1/128	HSG001A	VENTIL KUEHLKREISLAUF						
	1/129	LW02A	TROPFENABSCHEIDER						
	1/130	LWOIA	TROPFENABSCHEIDER						
	1/131	GO53FT	VENTIL NACH PUMPE A						
	1/132	GO54FT	VENTIL VOR PUMPE B						
	1/133	GOOIGE	VENTIL VOR GEBLAESE						
	1/134	GO02GE	VENTIL NACH GEBLAESE						
	1/135	HSG003GE	VENTIL ABGASJET ZULEITUNG						
	1/136	HSG004GE	VENTLL ABGASJET TREIBLUFT						
	1/13/	HSGOOSGE	VENTLL BIPASS						
	1/138	HSG006GE	CERLARDE CERLARDE						
	1/139	COREER	VENTIT, NACH DIMDE B						
	1/140	COSSET	VENTIL TRANSFER ZIM ESM			•			•
	1/142	CO57FT	VENTIL TRANSFER KREISLAUF						
	1/142	HSG001BE	VENTIL ATRIET						
	1/144	GO59FT	WASSERSPUELUNG VOR PUMPEN						
	1/145	GOGOFT	WASSERSPUELUNG VOR PUMPE B						
	1/146	HSG002V	KLREISLAUFPUMPE A KUEHLW.						
	1/147	G002V	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A KUEHLW.						
	1/148	HSGOOSV	KREISLAUFPUMPE B KUEHLW.						
	1/149	G003V	VENTIL NACH PUMPE B KUEHLW.						
	1/150	HSG004V	KREISLAUFPUMPE A PLATTENWT.						
	1/151	G004V	VENTIL VOR PUMPE B KUEHLW.				•		
	1/152	HSG005V	KRIESLAUFPUMPE B PLATTENWT.						
	1/153	G005V	VENTIL NACH PUMPE B KUEHLW.						
	1/154	G007V	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A PLATTENWT						
	1/155	G008V	VENTIL NACH PUMPE A PLATTENW						
	. 1/156	G009V	VENTIL VOR PUMPE B PLATTENW.						
	1/157	G010V	VENTIL NACH PUMPE B PLATTENW						
,	1/158	LSW01V	LEVEL KUEHLWASSERTANK						
	1/159	LSW04V	LEVEL KUEHLWASSERTANK						
	1/160	TSW05V	PUMPE A KUEHLWASSER						
	1/161	TSW06V	FUMPE B KUEHLWASSER						
	1/162	TSW07V	PUMPE A PLATTENWT.						
	1/163	TSWU8V	TUNEL B FLATIENWY.						
	1/164	TCWIGV	TEPEKATUK KUEHLWASSERTANK						
	1/165	COGINM	MELTERLAR						
	1/167	COGOET	VENILL RADDEADE. VOK FUMPE A						
	1/160	COESEM	VENTI MASSERST. RACH FUMPEN						
	1/100	COGAT	VENTIL MASSERSF. N. FUMPE B						
	1/109	UCC0012D	VENTILI MASSEASE. N. FUMPE A						
	1/171	HSGOOTAB	VENTIL RODEN AUFFANGREH						
	1/172	HSG003AB	FOERDERPUMPE A						

.

Appendix B

100%	KANAL Intvl	MSR-Nr Groesse	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-A1	T1-A1	H1-Al	H2-A1	0% -
	1/173	GOOSAB	VENTIL EINSPEISUNG VON BEH.						
	1/174	HSG004AB	FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/175	GO04AB	VENTIL VOR PUMPE A						
	1/176	GO05AB	VENTIL NACH PUMPE A			-			
	1/177	GOOGAB	VENTIL VOR PUMPE B						
	1/178	GO07AB	VENTIL NACH PUMPE B						
	1/179	HSG008AB	VENTIL EINLAUF AUFFANGBEH.						
,	1/180	HSG009AB	VENTIL ENTL. CHEMIEABWASSER						
	1/181	HSG010AB	VENTIL ENTL. IN TRANSPORTBEH						
	1/182	GO11AB	VENTIL ENTLEEREN SYPHON						
	1/183	HSG012AB	VENTIL SPUELEN SYPHON						
	1/184	TSW01AB	PUMPE A						
	1/185	TSW02AB	PUMPE B						
	1/186	_GO65FT	VENTIL WASSERSP. AUSG. TRANS						
	1/187	HSGO67FT	FOERDERPUMPE A						
	1/188	HSGO68FT	FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/189	TSW50FT	STOERUNG RUEHRER MFT						
	1/190	TSW51FT	STOERUNG FOERDERPUMPE A						
	1/191	TSW52FT	STOERUNG FOERDERPUMPE B						
	1/192	HSG001HO	VENTIL EINLASS H2O2 ZUGABEB.						
	1/193	HSGO02HO	VENTIL AUSLASS H2O2 ZUGABEB.						
	1/194	HSG003H0	H2O2 DOSIERPUMPE						
	1/195	LW01HO	H2O2 VORRATSBEHAELTER						
	1/196	LWO3HO	H2O2 ZUGABEBEHAELTER						
	1/197	TIW01HO	TEMP. H2O2 VORRATSBEHAELTER						
	1/198	G005S	BIGILUFT BIGI A53/A54						
	1/199	GOOGS	BIGILUFT BIGI A3/A4						
	1/200	GO07S	VENTIL SPUELLUFT BIGI A53						
	1/201	YWOIME	MF-ANLAGE						
	1/202	GOOSS	VENTIL SPUELUFT BIGI A54						
	1/203	PDW54MT	DIFF.DRUCK ABWASSERFILTER						
	1/204	HEGOSUAD	FASSPUMPE HCOOH						
	1/205	nSG051AD	DUSTERPUMPE HCOOH						
	1/206	TSW5UAD	STUERUNG FASSPUMPE HCOOH						
	1/207	TSW51AD	STUERUNG DOSLERPUMPE HCOOH						

100%	KANAL Intvl	MSR-Nr Groesse	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-Al	T1-Al	H1-Al	H2-Al	<i>\$</i> 0
	2/0	DTOILT	DICHTE LAGERTANK	a/cm3	0.0	0.0	15	15	1 0
2.0	3600	2000		97040	0.0		1.5	1.5	1.0
2000.0	3600	10	DRUCK LEVEL	mmws.	0.0	0.0	2000.0	2000.0	0.0
100.0	2/2 0	RANA4 0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/3 0	RANA5 0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	2/4	RANAG			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	2/5	RANA7			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0 2/6	0 RANA392	•		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/7	0 RANA393			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0		DICUTE MASSENTSTALLED	a / am 3	0.0	0.0	1 5	15	1 0
2.0	60 50	00000	DECATE MASSENISTROBER .	970003	0.0	0.0	1110.0	1110 0	1.0
1200.0	60	300		mms	0.0	0.0	1110.0	1110.0	0.0
300.0	2/10 0	mmWSO3NE O	DRUCK LEVEL	nnWs	0.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0
2.0	2/11 60 50	DR01KO 00000	DICHTE KONDENSATOR	g/cm3	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.0
2000 0	2/12.	mmWs01KO	DRUCK LEVEL	mmWs	0.0	0.0	1748.6	1748.6	0.0
2000.0	2/13	DR02SW	DICHTE STRAHLWAESCHER	g/cm3	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.0
2.0	2/14	nnWs02SW	DRUCK LEVEL	nmWs	0.0	0.0	1706.6	1706.6	0.0
2000.0	60 2/15	300 DRO3NO	DICHTE NOX-ABSORBER	a/cm3	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.0
2.0	60 50 2716	00000	הסוורע ז דיודיו	mula	0.0	0.0	1630.4	1620 4	
2000.0	60 2/17	300 DR01AB	DICHTE AUFFANGBEHAELTER		0.0	0.0	1 5	1039.4	1.0
2.0	3600	2000 mmWs01AB	DRUCK LEVEL		0.0	0.0	2003.9	2003.9	1.0
2000.0	3600	20			0.0	0.0	2000.7	2003.7	0.0
2.0	2/19 60 50	DR50MT)0000	DICHTE FMT	g/cm3	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0
5000 0	2/20 60	mm¥s50MT 300	DRUCK LEVEL FMT	mmWs	0.0	0.0	5000.0	5000.0	0.0
	2/21	DRSOFT	DICHTE MFT	g/cm3	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0
2.0	2/22	mmWsSOFT	DRUCK LEVEL MFT	mmWs	0.0	0.0	5000.05	50000.0	0.0
5000.0	60 2/23	300 RANA8			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0 2/24	0 ROH02DB	ROHWERT VOLSTROM WASSERDOS	1/h	0.0	0.0	100 0	100 0	0 0
100.0	300	10 POW115		17.11 117.7h	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300	10	ROMARKI VOLSTROM GERSRIKELT	NITH	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
2500.0	300	10	ROHWERT VOLSTROM KUEHLER. NE	1/h	0.0	0.0	2500.0	2500.0	0.0
500.0	2/27 300	ROH01SW 10	ROHWERT VOLSTROM KREISL. SW	mbar	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
10.0	2/28 300	ROH05SW	ROHWERT VOLSTROM ABGAS N.SW	mbar	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
300.0	2/29	ROH02NO	ROHWERT VOLSTROM NOX. KREISL	mbar	0.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0
	2/30	ROHO1GE	ROHWERT VOLSTROM ABGAS V. GB	mbar	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
10.0	200	10							

KfK-INE

•

Appendix B

APPENDIX B: Listing of the data base for the PISA-system

100\$	KANAL Intvl	MSR-Nr Groesse	Signalbeschr.	HNIB	T2-A1	T1-A1	H1-A1	H2-A1	\$0 *0
6 7	2/32	ROH03GE	Rohmert Volstrom Bypass gebl	mbar	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
	2/33	LU ROH04GE	ROHMERT VOLSTROM N GEBLAESE	mbar	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
	2/34	ROH01HO	ROHWERT VOLSTROM H202 DOS	1/h	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
	2/35	ERSZMTR	VOLSTROM ABGAS FMT	h/EmN	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	300 2/36	LU FR54MTR	VOLSTROM ABGASJET FMT	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	2/37	ER55MTR	VOLSTROM FORCED AIR FMT	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	2/38	LU FRWSOFTR	VOLSTROM IN ESH	1/h	0.0	0.0	22.0	22.0	0.0
	2/39	PDRW01SR	U-DRUCK SCHMELZOFEN	01/4	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
	2/40	PDRW02SR	D-DRUCK SCHMELZOFEN NE	- •\\$	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	2/41	PDR01NER	D-DRUCK NASSENTSTAUBER	e\¢	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	2/42	PDR02NER	D-DRUCK AUSGANG NE KO.BLASE	o%	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	2/43	LU RANA381			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/44	0 PR04KSR	U-DRUCK ZWISCHEN KO-SW	e\6	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300 2/45	10 PDR01SWR	D-DRUCK STRAHLWAESCHER	o\#	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300 2/46	10 PRO2SWR	UEBERDRUCK STRAHLW. DUESE	e%e	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.001	0.0
0.001	2/47	PDR03NOR	D-DRUCK NOX-ABSORBER	مرہ	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
	2/48	PR01GER	U-DRUCK VOR GEBLAESE	۰۴	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
	2/49	PIW04GR	DRUCK HINTER GEBLAESE ROHM.	مرہ	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	2/50	LU PIW01BER	U-DRUCK JET BEHAELTERABLUET	٥ï٩	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
0.001	2/51	LU PRSW50MR	DRUCK IM EMT	sym	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
	2/52	PRSZMTR	U-DRUCK ABGASROHR	symm	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
	2/58 [.]	TRCWSOM	TEMPERATUR FMT UNTEN	Cel	0.0	0.0	105.0	105.0	20.0
0.021	2/59	TR52MT	TEMPERATUR DAMPF FMT	Cel	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
n•nn7	2/60 4	TR54MT	TEMPERATUR ABGASKUEHLER FMT	Cel	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
200.0	2/61 4	TR57MT	TEMPERATUR ABGAS	Cel	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
	2/62	TR58MT	TEMPERATUR FMT OBEN	Cel	0.0	0.0	103.0	103.0	20.0
0.021	2/63	TRWSOM	TEMPERATUR ELEKTRODE 1	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	400.0
1400.0	2/64	TRW51M	TEMPERATUR ELEKTRODE 2	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	400.0
1400.0	300 4 2/65	TRW52H	TEMPERATUR ELEKTRODE 3	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	400.0
1400.0	300 4 2/66	TRW53M	TEMPERATUR ELEKTRODE 4	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	400.0
1400.0	300 4 2/67	TRW54M	TEMPERATUR RHF EINGANG VORN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
1 11171									

.

•

. . .

٠

	KANAL	MSR-Nr	Signalt	beschr.	EINH	T2-A1	T1-Al	H1-A1	H2-A1	0%
100%	Intvl	Groesse								•
						<u> </u>				
	2/69	TRW56M	TEMP. I	RHF EINGANG MITTE VORN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
1400.0	300 2/70	40000 TRW57M	TEMP. I	RHF EING, MITTE HINTEN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100 0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000			001	0.0	010		1100.0	0.0
1400.0	2/71 300	TRW58M 40000	TEMP. I	RHF AUSGANG MITTE VORN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
	2/72	TRW59M	TEMP. I	RHF AUSG. MITTE HINTEN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
1400.0	300 2/73	40000 TRW60M	TEMP. I	RHF AUSGANG VORN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000			6 .1			1100 0	1100 0	
1400.0	300	40000	TEMP. I	RHE AUSGANG HINTEN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
1400 0	2/75	TR62M	TEMP-S	rutzen I	Cel	0.0	0.0	1250.0	1250.0	400.0
1400.0	2/76	TR63M	TEMP-S	TUTZEN II	Cel	0.0	0.0	1200.0	1200.0	400.0
1400.0	, 300 2/77	40000 TR64M	TEMP-S'	TITZEN TIT	Cal	0.0	0.0	1200 0	1200 0	400 0
1400.0	300	40000	.um .u.		CET	0.0	0.0	1200.0	1200.0	400.0
1400.0	2/78 300	TR65M 40000	TEMP-S	TUTZEN IV	Cel	0.0	850.0	0.0	0.0	400.0
	2/79	TR66M	TEMP-S!	TUTZEN V	Cel	0.0	0.0	1250.0	1250.0	400.0
1400.0	300 2/80	40000 TR67M	TEMP-S	TUTZEN VI	Cel	0.0	0.0	1250.0	1250.0	400.0
1400.0	300	40000		***	a .1			1050 0	1050 0	400.0
1400.0	300	40000	TEMP-5	TUTZEN VII	Cer	0.0	0.0	1250.0	1250.0	400.0
1400 0	2/82 300	TR69M 40000	TEMP-S	TUTZEN VIII	Cel	640.0	1200.0	700.0	0.0	400.0
1400.0	2/83	TRW70M	TEMP. I	HEIZELEMENT WAAG. VORN	Cel	0.0	0.0	1200.0	.1200.0	400.0
1400.0	300 2/84	40000 TRW71M	TEMP. 1	HEIZELEMENT WAAG HINT.	Cel	0.0	0.0	1200.0	1200.0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000								
1400.0	2785 300	40000	TEMP.	WANNE ER2161	Cel	0.0	0.0	1150.0	1150.0	400.0
1400 0	2/86 300	TR73M	TEMP. I	WANNE AK90	Cel	0.0	0.0	1000.0	1000.0	0.0
1400.0	2/87	TR74M	TEMP.	WANNE CONTAINMENT	Cel	0.0	0.0	350.0	350.0	0.0
1400.0	300 2788	40000 TR75M	TEMP 1	TEBERLATEROHR AUSCANC	ر ما	0.0	0.0	1150 0	1150 0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000		ODDINUMOENOIIN HOOGANG	CET	0.0	.0.0	1130.0	1150.0	0.0
1400.0	2/89 300	TR76M 40000	TEMP. (JEBERLAUFROHR EINGANG	Cel	0.0	0.0	1150.0	1150.0	0.0
1400 0	2/90	TR77M	TEMP. (UEBERLAUFROHR MITTE	Cel	0.0	0.0	1150.0	1150.0	0.0
- 1400.0	2/91	10000 TR78M	TEMP. I	BODENAUSLAUF	Cel	0.0	0.0	1000.0	1000.0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000 /TP79M		OREPOFEN ED1681	Cal	0.0	0.0	800.0	800 0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000			CET	0.0	0.0	800.0	300.0	0.0
1400.0	2/93 300	TR80M 40000	TEMP. (OBEROFEN SILIDUR	Cel	0.0	0.0	800.0	800.0	0.0
1400 0	2/94	TR81M	TEMP. (OBEROFEN CONTAINMENT	Cel	0.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0
1400.0	2/95	40000 TR82M	TEMP.	UEBERL. EINGANG AK90	Cel	0.0	0.0	1100.0	1100.0	0.0
1400.0	300	40000 DANA 22	הטוניים		0-1			200.0		
300.0	300	40000	NORMER	- GROWING RESERVED	CET	0.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0
300.0	2/97 300	TR02NE 40000	AUSGAN	g nassentstauber	Cel	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
200.0	2/98	TRCO3NE	BLASE	NASSENTSTAUBER	Cel	0.0	0.0	120.0	120.0	0.0
, 300.0	300 2/99	40000 TRO4NE	KUEHLK	REISL. NASSENT. RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
50.0	300	40000								

100%	KANAL Intvl	MSR-Nr Groesse	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-A1	T1-Al	H1-A1	H2-Al	0% -
50.0	2/102 300 4	TR01KO _	BLASE KONDENSATOR	Cel	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
100.0	2/103	TR04KO	AUSGANG KONDENSATOR	Cel	.0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	2/104	TRO6KO	KUEHLKREISL. KONDENSATOR RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
50.0	300 4	0000 RANA28		Col	0.0	0.0			
100.0	0	0		CEI	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/106	RANA29 0		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50.0	2/107 300 4	TRO2SW	WASCHKREISLAUF STRAHLW. RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
	2/108	TROSSW	AUSGANG STRAHLWAESCHER	Cel	0.0	· 0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300 4 2/109	0000 Tro9sw	KUEHLKREISL. STRAHLW. RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
50.0	300 4	0000 RANA 30		Co.1	0.0	0.0			
100.0	0	0		Cer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/111 0	RANA31 0		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50.0	2/112 300 4	TROSNO	BLASE NOX-ABSORBER	Cel	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
50.0	2/113	TR10NO	WASCHKREISL. NOX-ABSORBER RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
50.0	300 4 2/114	0000 TR11NO	KUEHLKREISL. NOX-ABSOBER RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
50.0	300 4	0000 TR01NER	POHWEDT CASPAIN NACCENTER	0.1	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	•
600.0	300	10	NORMENT GADRADH RADDENIDI.	Cer	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
100.0	2/116 0	RANA33 0		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50.0	2/117 300 4	TR01A	KUEHLKREISL. ABGASKUEHLER RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
100.0	2/118	RANA34		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· 0.0
100.0	0 2/119	TR01GE	EINGANG GEBLAESE	Cel	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300 4	0000 TR04GE	AUSGANG CERLAESE	Col	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300 4	0000		CEI	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	0	RANA35 0		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50.0	2/122 300 4	TR01V 0000	KUEHLWASSER VL	Cel	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
50.0	2/123 300 4	TR02V	KUEHLWASSER RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	35.0	35.0	0.0
50.0	2/124	TRIOV	KALTWASSER VL	Cel	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
50.0	2/125	TR11V	KALTWASSER RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
50.0	300 40	0000		a .1			10.0		
50.0	300 4	0000	PLATIENRAERNETAUSCHER VL	Çeı	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
50.0	2/127 300 40	TR13V 0000	PLATTENWAERMETAUSCHER RL	Cel	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
80.0	2/128	ERA50KWM	LEISTUNG TRAFO NR. 1 EL. 1+2	k₩	0.0	18.0	60.0	60.0	0.0
100.0	2/129	ERA53KWM	LEISTUNG TRAFO NR. 2 EL. 3+4	k₩	0.0	0.0	60.0	60.0	0.0
100.0	300 40 2/130	RANA1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0 2/131	0 RANA2			0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0	0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/132	KANA3 0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

-- ----

	KANAL	MSR-Nr	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-Al	T1-Al	H1-Al	H2-A1	0%
100%	Intvl	Groesse	•						
			•						
10.0	2/134 300 4	ERA59KWM 0000	LEISTUNG TRAFO 4 RHF EING M.	kW	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
10.0	2/135 300 4	ERA62KWM	LEISTUNG TRAFO 5 RHF AUS. MI	kW	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
50.0	2/136	ERA71KWM	LEISTUNG TRAFO 8 HEIZE. WAAG	kW	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0
50.0	300 4 2/137	ERA68KWM	LEISTUNG TRAFO 7 STARTHEIZ.	kW	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0
50.0	300 4 2/138	0000 ERA65KWM	LEISTUNG TRAFO 6 RHF AUSGANG	kW	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
10.0	300 4 2/139	0000 RANA36			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0		1-7.7	0.0	0.0		10.0	0.0
2.0	300 4	0000	LEISTONG TRAFO 9 BODENAUSL.	ĸw	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
60.0	2/141 300 4	EIO1KWMF 0000	LEISTUNG MF ANLAGE	kW	0.0	0.0	60.0	60.0	0.0
100.0	2/142 0	RANA37 0		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/143	RANA38		Cel	0.0	0.0	· 0.0	0.0	0.0
500.0	2/144	ER51AM	STROM TRAFO 1	A	0.0	0.0	450.0	450.0	0.0
500.0	2/145	ER54AM	STROM TRAFO 2	A	0.0	0.0	450.0	450.0	0.0
500.0	300 4 2/146	0000 RANA9			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/147	0 RANA10			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/148	0 RANA380			0.0	0.0	0.0	· 0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0		_	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	300 40	0000	STROM TRAFU 3 RHE EINGANG	A	0.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	0.0
100.0	2/150 300 4(ER60AM 0000	STROM TRAFO 4 RHF EINGANG MI	A	0.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	0.0
100.0	2/151 300 40	ER63AM 0000	STROM TRAFO 5 RHF AUSGANG MI	A	0.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	0.0
200.0	2/152 300 40	ER72AM 0000	STROM TRAFO 8 HEIZEL. WAAG	A	. 0.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0
	2/153	ER69AM	STROM TRAFO 7 STARTHEIZUNG	A	0.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0
200.0	300 40 2/154	ER66AM	STROM TRAFO 6 RHF AUSGANG	A	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300 40 2/155	0000 ER75AM	STROM TRAFO 9 BODENAUSLAUF	A	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
50.0	300 40 2/156	0000 RANA39		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0 PANA40		Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0		001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/158 0	RANA41 0		Cer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/159 0	RANA42 0		Cel	0.0	· 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/160 300 4	ER52V14 0000	SPANNUNG TRAFO 1	v	0.0	0.0	220.0	220.0	0.0
100 0	2/161	ER55VM	SPANNUNG TRAFO 2	v	0.0	0.0	220.0	220.0	0.0
100.0	2/162	RANA381			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/163	RANA382			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/164	0 RANA383	· ·		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100 0	0	0							

٠,

APPENDIX B: Listing of the data base for the PISA-system

.

.

- ----

	KANAL	MSR-Nr	Signalbeschr.		EINH	T2-A1	T1-A1	H1-Al	H2-A1	0%
100%	Intvl	Groesse								
··		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · ·						·	
	2/165	ERSSUM	SPANNING TRAF	O 3 RHF ETNGANG	v	0.0	0.0	500 0	500.0	0.0
500.0	300 4	0000	SPRIMONO INE	O 2 ME DINGHNG	v	0.0	0.0	200.0	500.0	0.0
	2/166	ER61VM	SPANNUNG TRAF	O 4 RHF EING.MI	v	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
500.0	300 4	0000	•							
	2/167	ER64VM	SPANNUNG TRAF	O 5 RHF AUSG.MI	v	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
500.0	300 4	0000				• •		400.0	400 0	
500.0	300 4	LR/SVM	SPANNUNG TRAE	0 6 HEIZEL.WAAG	v	0.0	0.0	400.0	400.0	0.0
	2/169	ER70VM	SPANNUNG TRAF	O 7 STARTHEIZ.	v	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
500.0	300 4	0000								
	2/170	ER67VM	SPANNUNG TRAF	0 6 RHF AUSGANG	v	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
500.0	300 4	0000								
500 0	2/171	ER76VM	SPANNUNG TRAF	O 9 BODENAUSL.	v	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
500.0	2/172	RANA43			Cel	0.0	0.0	· 0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0			002	0.0	0.0	010	010	0.0
	2/173	RANA44			Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0								
100.0	2/174	RANA45			Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	2/175	ORSW52MT	GASANALYSE WAS	SSERSTOFF	VOT.S	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
5.0	60 50	0000			1021	0.0	0.0	2.0	210	0.0
	2/176	RANA384	•			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0		_	_					
500.0	2/177	WRSWO1KH	KOKILLENGEWIC	HT	kg	0.0	0.0	400.0	400.0	0.0
200.0	2/178	RANA385				0 0		0.0	0 0	0.0
100.0	0	0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2/179	RANA386				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0.								
100.0	2/180	RANA387				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	U 2/191	0 0				• •	0.0	0.0	• •	• •
0.0	• 0	0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2/182	RANA389				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 2/183	U RANA390				. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0					••••			
	2/184	RANA391				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0	0								
	2/185	QR01NO	NO VOR NOX-ABS	SORBER	VOL%	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	300 4	0000								
5.0	2/186	QR02N0	NO2 VOR NOX-A	BSORBER .	AOT &	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	2/187	OROSNO	NO NACH NOX-A	BSORBER	VOLS	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	300 4	0000								
_	2/188	QR04NO	NO2 NACH NOX-	ABSORBER	VOL%	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	300 4	0000		ana mm						
5.0	300 4	QRSW50MT	ANALISE NO ABO	gas imt	VOT &	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	2/190	QRSW51MR	ANALYSE NO2 A	BGAS FMT	VOLS	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	300 4	0000								

•

100%	KANAI Intvl	L MSR-Nr Groesse	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-A1	T1-Al	H1-A1	H2-A1	0%
				·			<u> </u>		
200.0	A/4 60 !	LRCW02NE 500000	FUELLSTAND NASSENTSTAUBER	1	100.0	100.0	155.0	155.0	0.0
20.0	A/5 0	LIWO3NE	FUELLSTAND ENTGASBEH. RECJET	1	-20.0	-20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
1000 0	A/6	LRSW01KO	FUELLSTAND KONDENSATOR	1	200.0	200.0	800.0	800.0	0.0
1000.0	A/7	LRW02SW	FUELLSTAND STRAHLWAESCHER	1	35.0	35.0	110.0	110.0	0.0
200.0	60 5 A/8	500000 LRWO3NO	FUELLSTAND NOX-ABSORBER	1	250.0	250.0	650.0	650.0	0.0
1000.0	60 ! A/9	500000 LRSW01AB	FUELLSTAND ABWASSERAUFFANGE.	· 1	400.0	400.0	1800.0	1800.0	0.0
2100.0	360	20000	FUELLSTAND FEED MAKE ID TANK	1	0.0	0.0	4500 0	4500 0	0.0
5000.0	60 3	500000		-	0.0	. 0.0	400.0	4500.0	0.0
1500.0	A/11 60 !	LRSW50FT 500000	FUELLSTAND MELTER FEED TANK	1	0.0	0.0	1300.0	1300.0	0.0
0.0	A/12 0	XARITH12 0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	A/13	FR02DB	VOLUMENSTROM WASSERDOSIERUNG	1/h	-10.0	-10.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	A/14	XARITH14			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 A/15	0 FIO4NE	VOLUMENSTROM KUEHLKR. NE	l/h	0.0	0.0	2500.0	2500.0	0.0
2500.0	300 A/16	40000 FRCW01SW	VOLUMENSTROM KREISL. SW	1/h	2500.0	2500.0	4500.0	4500.0	0.0
5000.0	300 A/17	40000 FR055W	VOLUMENSTROM ABGAS NACH SW	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	100 0	100.0	0.0
100.0	300	40000		1 /1		0.0	2500.0		0.0
3000.0	300	40000	VOLUMENSTROM AREISL MUX-ABS	1/1	0.0	0.0	2500.0-	2500.0	0.0
150.0	A/19 300	FR01GE 40000	VOLUMENSTROM ABGAS VOR GEBL.	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0
150.0	A/20 300	FR02GE 40000	VOLUMENSTROM FALSCHLUFT	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0
100.0	A/21	FRO3GE	VOLUMENSTROM BYPASS GEBLAESE	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
100.0	A/22	FR04GE	VOLUMENSTROM ABGAS NACH GEBL	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
200.0	300 A/23	40000 FR01H0	VOLUMENSTROM H2O2 DOSIERUNG	1/h	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
20.0	300 A/24	40000 PDRW01S	UNTERDRUCK SCHMELZOFEN	mmWs	-60.0	-60.0	5.0	5.0	-100.0
50.0	300 A/25	40000 PDRW02S	DIFF.DRUCK OFEN NE	mm\s	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
50.0	300 A/26	40000 PDR01NE	DIFF.DRUCK NASSENTSTAUBER	mmWs	0.0	0:0	700.0	700.0	0.0
800.0	300	40000							
50.0	A/27 300	40000	DIFF.DRUCK AUSG. NE-KO	miws		0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
100.0	A/28 0	XARITH28 0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
500 0	A/29 300	PRO4KOSW	U-DRUCK KO-SW	mmWs	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0
	A/30	PDR01SW	DIFF.DRUCK STRAHLWAESCHER	mmWs	-600.0	-600.0	200.0	200.0	200.0
-000.0	A/31	PR02SW	DRUCK STRAHLW. DUESE	bar	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
5.0	300 A/32	40000 PDR03NO	DIFF.DRUCK NOX-ABSORBER	mmWs	0.0	0.0	1000.0	1000.0	0.0
1000.0	300 A/33	40000 PR01GE	U-DRUCK VOR GEBLAESE	mmWs	-1500.0	-1500.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1500.0	300 A/34	40000 PTW04CE	DRUCK NACH GEBLARSE	mmWc	-20 0	-20.0	1000.0	1000 0	-20.0
1000.0	300	40000		100113	-20.0	-20.0	1000.0	2000.0	20.0

.....

- -7

100%	KANAL MSR-Nr Intvl Groesse	Signalbeschr.	EINH	T2-Al	T1-Al	н1-А1	H2-Al	\$0
	A/A5 SUKWM	SIMME KW INS GLASBAD	 •w			200.0	200.0	
200 0	300 20000		A .(0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
20010	A/46 PRSW50M	P DRUCK IM FEED MAKE UP TANK	mmW<	-300.0	-300.0	10 0	10.0	-400 0
100.0	60 500000		Autro	500.0	000.0	10.0	10.0	-400.0
	A/47 PR52MT	U-DRUCK ABGASLEITUNG	mmWs	-300.0	-300.0	10.0	10.0	-400 0
100.0	300 40000							
	A/48 XARITH4	8		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	0 0							
	A/49 QRSW51M	t no2 abgas FMT	VOL%	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
25.0	300 40000 .	•						
	A/50 FR52MT	Volstrom Abgas FMT	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
150.0	300 40000							
	A/51 FR54MT	VOLSTROM ABGASJET FMT	Nm3/h	0.0	0.0	80.0	80.0	0.0
120.0	300 40000							
120.0	A/52 FR55MT	VOLSTROM FORCED AIR FMT	Nm3/n	0.0	0.0	90.0	90.0	0.0
120.0	300 40000 3/53 FDW50FT	VOLSTROM FFFD TN FSV	1 /6	0.0	0.0	22.0	22.0	
50 0	60 500000	VOIDTROM FEED IN ESM	1/11	0.0	0.0	22.0	22.0	0.0
	2/55 TD01NE	CASPAIN NASSENTSTALIDED	Col		0.0	200 0	300 0	0.0
500 0	300 40000	GASARON NASSENISIAUDER	Cer	0.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0
200.0	3/62 TEAFOID	WIDERSTAND TRAFO 1 7W E1+E2	ohm	0 1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0
0 5	300 40000	A MIDENSIAND INALO I 20. DI+D2	OIM	0.1		0.2	0.2	0.0
0.5	300 40000 3/63 TRAFO2R	WTDERSTAND TRAFO2 ZW. E3+E4	obm	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0
05	300 40000		0		•••			
0.5	A/64 TRAFO3RI	WIDERSTAND TRAFO RHF EING.	ohm	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
50.0	300 40000							
	A/65 TRAFO4R	M WIDERSTAND TRAFO 4 RHF EI.MI	ohm	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
50.0	300 40000					. •		
	A/66 TRAFO5R	W WIDERSTAND TRAFO 5 RHF AU.MI	ohm	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
50.0	300 40000		•					
	' A/67 TRAFOGR	M WIDERSTAND TRAFO 6 RHF AUSG	ohm	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
50.0	300 40000	·····						
	A/68 TRAFO9R	M WIDERSTAND TRAFO 9 BODENAUSL	ohm	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
200.0	300 40000	2	d - 1		0.0	• •		• •
100.0	A/69 XARITH6	5	Cei	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0		H DOHWERT TEMP-DIFF MAKE ITO T	Cel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	60 10	n nonnant that bitt ranks of 1.	CCT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100.0	A/71 DELTA	TEMPDIFF. MAKE UP TANK	Cel	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	0.0
10.0	60 500000							

APPENDIX C: Listing of all XRF glass-analysis data performed by INE -Analytical Laboratory

ESM noble metals campaign report

	UZ.QAN	DATE	TIME	NGTOT	NIO	PdO	Rn203	Ru203
	(1	07 7.1. 1	12.22	*	¥ 0 10	****	*	*
• •	(2	07-541	13.22	0,09	0,10	0,006	0,001	0,03
Test pouring	13	07-Jul	13:59	0,09	0,11	0,008	-0,001	0,03
	4	07-Jul	13:59	0,09	0.09	0,002	0,001	0,03
	(5	07-Jul	14:36	0,10	0,10	0,006	-0.001	0.03
	/ 6	07-Jul	14:36	0,09	0,10	0.004	0,000	0.03
111/1.1	7	07-Jul	15:19	0,10	0,10	0,007	-0,002	0.03
04/2-1	<u>т</u> в	07-Jul	15:19	0,08	0,09	0,006	0,001	0,03
	EICH14	08-Jul	07:57	1,05	0,72	0,052	0,048	0.17
	(9	08-Jul	07:57	0,79	0,82	0,007	-0,002	0,03
	(11	08-Jul	08:36	0,78	0,81	0,006	-0,000	0,03
	12	08-Jul	08:36	0,83	0,80	0,006	-0,002	0,03
01/1-2	213	08-Jul	09:14	0,86	0,79	0,006	-0,002	0,03
	14	08-Jul	09:14	0,85	0,80	0,006	-0,001	0,03
	(15	08-Jul	09:51	0,82	0,80	0,007	-0,004	0,02
	16	08-Jul	12:00	0,07	0,06	0,006	-0,000	0,03
U1/1-3	117	08-Jul	12:00	0,07	0,06	0,007	0,000	0,02
	118	08-Jul	12:38	0,07	0,06	0,006	-0,001	0,03
	120	08-JUL	12.30	0,07	0,05	0,005	0,000	0,03
	(2)	08-311	13.20	0,07	0,07	0,005	-0,000	0,02
	22	08711	14.06	0,07	0,00	0,004	0,000	0,02
01/1-4	123 .	08701	14.00	0,07	0,00	0,000	-0,001	0,03
• • • • •	24	08-Jul	14:43	0,08	0,05	0,000	-0,000	0,03
	25	08-Jul	14:43	0,07	0,05	0,006	-0,001	0,02
	126	08-Jul	15:20	0,08	0.05	0.004	-0.001	0,02
	27	08-Jul	15:20	0,07	0,05	0,005	-0,000	0,02
UX/X-5	28	09-Jul	07:14	0,98	0,80	0.006	-0,004	0.02
	29	09-Jul	07:14	0,98	0,78	0,007	-0,002	0,02
	130	09-Jul	07:57	0,96	0,79	0,005	-0,004	0,03
	(31	09-Jul	07:57	1,02	0,74	0,006	-0,003	0,03
01/2-1	{ 32	09-Jul	08:43	0,08	0,05	0,006	-0,004	0,02
/-	(33	09-Jul	08:43	0,10	0,05	0,004	0,000	0,02
	EICH14	09-Jul	14:14	1,03	0,58	0,049	0,049	0,16
	EICH14	14-Jul	07:48	1,04	0,71	0,051	0,048	0,17
· .	134	14-Jul	07:48	0,99	0,74	0,006	-0,002	0,02
UX/2-2 .	135	14-Jul	08:28	0,41	0,18	0,006	-0,002	0,02
- 100 02	130	14-JUL	08:28	0,21	0,12	0,005	-0,000	0,02
	120	14-JUL 14 7.17	09:05	0,12	0,05	0,004	-0,000	. 0,02
	(30	14-001	09:05	0,97	0,74	0,006	-0,002	0,02
	140	14-501	09:44	1 02	0,73	0,005	0,001	0,02
01/2-3	41	14-Jul	10:26	1,02	0,75	0,006	-0,002	0,02
	42	14-Jul	10:26	1.02	0,75	0,005	-0,003	0,02
	43	14-Jul	11:15	1,01	0.74	0,006	-0.002	0,02
U1/2-4/1	44	14-Jul	11:15	1,02	0.75	0.005	-0,002	0.02
	(89	14-Jul	11:54	1,15	0,71	0.029	0.015	0,07
	90	14-Jul	11:54	1,16	0,71	0,030	0,014	0,07
02/2-4/2-4	91	.14-Jul	12:31	1,15	0,70	0,028	0,013	0,07
	192	14-Jul	12:31	1,15	0,71	0,028	0,013	0,07
01/2-4/2-3	145	14-Jul	13:09	1,02	0,75	0,002	-0,003	0,02
	(40	14-Jul	13:09	1,03	0,74	0,004	-0,002	0,02
12/2-4/5	93	14-JU1	14:14	1,16	0,70	0,027	0,013	0,07
11/2-4/4-51	147	14-011	14:14	1,03	0,75	0,005	-0,001	0,02
• 1/ 1/ • •	(40	14-JUL 14 Tul	14:50	1,05	0,74	0,005	-0,003	0,02
	50	14-001	15:18	1,06	0,75	0,005	-0,002	0,02
_	101	14-001 	13:18	1,03	0,75	0,007	-0,003	0,02
U1/2-5 -	51	15-701	07.32	1 09	0,04-			0,07
• 1, 4 •	52	15-501	07.32	1 08	0,75	0,003	-0,004	0,02
	53	15-Jul	08:10	1.07	0.74	0,005	-0,004	0,02
	(54	15-Jul	08:48	1.07	0.75	0,004	-0,003	0,02
	55	15-Jul	08:48	1,10	0,66	0,004	-0.004	0.03
11/2 1	56	15-Jul	09:32	1,07	0.74	0.003	-0.003	0.02
1-01	57	15-Jul	09:32	1.06	0.75	0,002	-0.003	0.02
	58	15-Jul	10:12	1.07	0.74	0,006	-0,002	0.02
01/3-2/1	59	15-Jul	10:12	1,09	0.75	0,007	-0,003	0.02
	EICH14	15-Jul	10:50	1,01	0,70	0,051	0,049	0.16
U2/1-4/3	91	15-Jul	10:50	1,14	0,70	0,030	0,013	0.07
12/1-2/1	81	15-Jul	11:35	1,11	0,71	0,016	0,006	0.04
Va/1-a/1	60	15-Jul	11:35	1,09	0,74	0,006	-0,003	0,02
01/3-2/2-41	61	15-Jul	12:14	1,08	0,74	0,005	-0,003	0,02
	62	15-Jul	12:14	1,09	0,75	0,004	-0,004	0.02

.

ESM noble metals campaign report

	U2.QAN	DATE ·	TIME	siO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO3	
	(1	07-Jul	13:22	47,91	0,012	5,48	·	
	2	07-Jul	13:22	49,34	0,011	5,43		
Tost county	$\frac{1}{3}$	07-Jul	13:59	47,69	0,012	5,49		
iwi jawa	4	07-Jul	13:59	43,86	0,013	5,62		
	5.	07-Jul	14:36	46,41	0,015	5,52		
	<i>(</i> 6	07-Jul	14:36	45,24	· 0,013	5,58		
	7	07-Jul	15:19	47,90	0,013	5,48		
U1/1-1 -	{ 8	07-Jul	15:19	43,85	0,013	5,65		
	EICH14	08-Jul	07:57	50,54	0,031	4,55		
	ر٩	08-Jul	07:57	53,93	0,013	5,05		
	(11	08-Jul	08:36	54,04	0,013	5,04		
hili o)12	08-Jul	08:36	53,25	0,016	5,06		
01/1-2	113	08-001	09:14	51 33	0,016	4,33		
	14	08-041	09:14	19 75	0 017	5,28		
	(15 ·	08-111	12.00	44,52	0.013	5,67		
	(17	08-Jul	12:00	41.01	0.014	5.78		
01/1-3	18 .	08-Jul	12:38	42,31	0,013	5,76		
•	19	08-Jul	12:38	41,65	0,014	5,76		
•	120	08-Jul	13:28	43,34	0,014	5,71		
	(21	08-Jul	13:28	41,48	0,014	5,79		
	22	08-Jul	14:06	. 42,61	0,013	5,74		
U1/1-4 ·	{23	08-Jul	14:06	41,71	0,014	5,78		
	24	08-Jul	14:43	42,72	0,014	5,73		
	(25	08-JUI	14:43	41,15	0,015	5,83		
0111 F	20	08-Jul	15.20	43,24	- 0,015	5,70		
01/2-5	128	09-Jul	07:14	52,94	0,021	4,95		
	29	09-Jul	07:14	52.68	0,022	5.02		
	130	09-Jul	07:57	53,63	0,022	4,87		٠
	(31	09-Jul	07:57	52,92	0,023	4,96		
01/2-1	{32	09-Jul	08:43	41,01	0,016	5,71		
0.1/2 - 1	(33	09-Jul	08:43	40,08	0,015	5,77		
	EICH14	09-Jul	14:14	52,07	0,028	4,53		·
	EICH14	14-Jul	07:48	51,75	0,028	4,52		
	(34	14-Jul	07:48	51,54	0,024	5,07		
111/2 2	35	14-Jul	08:28	44,18	0,019	5,58		
01/2-2	36	14-JUL	08:28	41,70	0,018	5,75		
	137	14-001	09:05	41,09	0,013	5 25		
	(30	14-041	09.05	48,12	0.024	5,28		
	40	14-Jul	09:44	51.76	-0.019	5,06		•
11/2.2	141	14-Jul	10:26	51,66	0,025	5,04		
V1/2-3	42	14-Jul	10:26	50,62	0,026	5,16		
	(43	14-Jul	11:15	50,87	0,025	5,12		
01/2-4/1	44	14–Jul	11:15	51,85	0,027	5,00		
,	(89	14-Jul	11:54	52,60	0,032	4,71		
bolt blue	90 .	14-Jul	11:54	52,67	0,035	4,74		
W2/ <i>X-</i> 4/44	91	14-Jul	12:31	52,27	0,032	4,83		
	(92	14-Jul	12:31	52,36	0,034	4,83		•
U1/2-4/2-3	545 146	14-JUI 14-JUI	13:09	51,43	0,025	5,00	0,1	0 8
notrate	63 63	14-5ul	14:14	52.07	0,020	4,88	0.1	8
02/1-413	.(47	14-Jul	14:14	50,93	0,027	5,11	0,1	8
01/2-4/4-5	48	·14-Jul	14:58	51,82	0,026	5,01	0,1	8
•	č49	14-Jul	15:18	51,98	0,026	5,01	0,1	8
,	50	14-Jul	15:18	51,42	0,027	5,03	0,1	8
V1/2-5 ·	(91	-15-Jul	-07:32-		0;022		0-1	9-
•	51	15-JUL	07:32	55,29	. 0,028	5,00	0,1	ຽ ດ
	152	15-Jul	08.10	50,80	0,027	5,09	0,1	8
	(54	15-Jul	08:48	50,09	0.027	5,16	0.1	8
	55	15-Jul	08:48	51,52	0,027	4,99	0,1	8
V1/3-1 .	56	15-Jul	09:32	51,17	0,027	5,04	0,1	8
	57	15-Jul	09:32	51,53	0,028	5,00	0,1	8
un la sui	58	15-Jul	10:12	50,89	0,028	5,07	0,1	8
01/3-2/1	59	15-Jul	10:12	51,88	0,029	4,95	0,1	8
112/1-112	EICH14	15-Jul	10:50	52,10	0,029	4,51	0,1	7
10/1 0/1	91	15-Jul	10:50	52,23	0,032	4,85	0,1	ы 0
x1x-211	(60	15-Jul	11:35	51,10	0,030	4,04	0,1	8
U1/3-2/2-U.	61	15-Jul	12:14	50,99	0,029	5.06	0.1	8
1.10	62	15-Jul	12:14	51,82	0,028	4,95	0,1	8

K£K-I	K£K-INE			endix C		ESM noble metals campaign report			
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O	
U1/3-2/5	63	15-Jul	12:52	.3.13	1.07	0.18	* 7.94	- 	
, .,.,.	(64	15-Jul	12:52	3,07	1,10	0,18	7,95	9,21	
	65	15-Jul	13:41	3,13	1,01	0,19	8,05	9,05	
UA/3-3	466	15-Jul	13:41	3,13	1,05	0,19	8,15	9,24	
	67	15-Jul	14:20	3,11	1,05	0,19	8,12	8,94	
	(68	15-Jul	14:20	3,15	. 1,04	0,19	8,16	9,13	
	69	15-Jul	15:08	3,09	1,05	0,19	8,14	9,00	
U1/3-4	J70 J71	15-JUL	15:08	3,16	1,01	0,19	8,22	9,29	
0470 T	72	15-JUL 15-JUL	15.47	3,05	1,09	0,19	8,14	8,76	
	173	15-Jul	08:42	1,76	1,09	0,20	6 51	9,09	
	EICH 14	16-Jul	09:21	2,95	1,06	0,15	8,48	8,05	
U2/J-J/J	74	'16-Jul	09:21	2,69	1,11	0,18	8,11	8,57	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3	
11/2 0/-	<i>c</i> a			8	8	8	8	8	
01/5-2/5	63	15-JUL	12:52	1,09	0,73	0,006	-0,002	0,03	
	64	15 - JUL	12:22	1,08	0,74	0,006	-0,002	0,03	
11/2 2		15-041	13.41	1,10	0,75	0,005	-0,004	0,02	
0-13-5	67	15-Jul	14:20	1.09	0.74	0,005	-0,003	0,02	
	68	15-Jul	14:20	1,10	0,74	0,005	-0,004	0,02	
	69	15-Jul	15:08	1,10	0,74	0,004	-0,003	0,02	
	70	15-Jul	15:08	1,12	0,75	0,004	-0,004	0,02	
UI/3-4	<u>{71</u>	15-Jul	15:47	1,10	0,74	0,005	-0,002	0,02	
	72	15-Jul	15:47	1,10	0,73	0,004	-0,003	0,02	
	C73	16-Jul	08:42	0,17	0,56	0,005	-0,001	0,02	
112/11/1		16-JUL	09:21	1,02	0,70	0,050	0,046	0,17	
152/1-1/1	/4	10-0 UT	09:21	0,70	0,57	0,008	0,000	0,02	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	Zr02	MoO2		
	-			8	8	 ¥	 F		
UX /3-2/5	63	15-Jul	12:52	50,88	0,028	5,06	.0,18		
	(64	15-Jul	12:52	50,26	0,028	5,15	0,18		
111/2-2	65	15-Jul	13:41	51,71	0,029	4,94	0,18		
0470-0	100	15-Jul	13:41	51,12	0,029	5,00	0,18		
	67	15-JU1	14:20	51,20	0,029	5,00	0,18		
	(69	15-541	15.08	51 25	0,028	4,98	0,18		
	70	15-Jul	15:08	51,57	0,029	4,99	0,10		
01/3-4	{ 71	15-Jul	15:47	50.77	0.031	5.04	0,18		
	72	15-Jul	15:47	51,31	0,029	4,95	0,18		
	173	16-Jul	08:42	53,97	0,029	5,04	0,18		
	EICH 14	16-Jul	09:21	51,47	0,027	4,65	0,17		
12/1-1/1	74	16-Jul	09:21	50,63	0,031	5,03	0,18		

KfK-INE		A	ppendix C	ESM noble metals campaign report			
U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs2O	Fe203	Na2O
12/2-2/1 104	16-701	14.45	ዩ 2 ዓለ	ቼ 1 08	୍ଷ 0.21	ቼ 8.03	8 7.72
/103	16-Jul	14:45	2,85	.1,18	0,20	7,86	7,76
U2/2-2/5-3/102	16-Jul	15:23	2,92	1,18	0,20	7,86	7,79
(101	16-Jul	15:23	2,84	1,19	0,20	7,87	7,83
$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$	17-JUL 17-JUL	09:57	2,52	1,09	0,21	8,06	7,94
(2/2 - 1/1) = 94	17-Jul	10:38	2,87	1,16	0,20	7,91	7,62
U2/2-2/2-1 99 .	⊥7-Jul	10:38	2,92	1,12	0,20	7,96	7,71
EICH14	17-Jul	11:16	2,79	1,00	0,15	8,36	7,83
(98)	17-Jul	11:36	2,94	1,03	0,21	7,99	7 68
W/2-1/5-3 791	17-JUL 17-JUL	12:14	2,09	1,10	0,20	7,96	8,03
/88	17-Jul	12:14	2,89	1,15	0,20	7,86	7,82
87	17-Jul	12:54	2,95	1,07	0,20	7,96	8,02
U2/1-3/5-1 { 86	17-Jul	12:54	2,80	1,23	0,19	7,76	8,02
85	17-JUL 17-JUL	13:33	2,99	1,08	0,20	7,95	8,11
U2/1-2/5 83	17-Jul	14:12	2,92	1,17	0,19	7,77	8,46
U2/1-2/4 82	17-Jul	14:12	2,90	1,14	0,20	7,85	8,15
U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd2O3	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
112 10 0 11			8	8	8	8	8
$\frac{104}{102}$	16-Jul	14:45	1,16	0,69	0,033	0,020	0,08
U2/2-2/5-2 102	16-Jul	15:23	1,15	0,69	0,031	0,017	0,08
(101	16-Jul	15:23	1,16	0,68	0,030	0,017	0,08
12/2-1/2 95	17-Jul	09:57	0,15	0,02	0,024	0,014	0,07
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	17-Jul 17-Jul	09:57	1,17	0,70	0,030	0,014	0,07
112/2:2/2.11 99	17-Jul	10:38	1,15	0,69	0,029	0,015	0,08
EICH14	17-Jul	11:16	1,03	0,70	0,046	0,046	0,17
(98	17-Jul	11:36	1,17	0,70	0,033	0,017	0,07
02/2-1/5-3197	17-JU1 17-JU1	12:14	1,15	0,69	0,031	0,016	0,08
(88	17-Jul	12:14	1,11	0,69	0,021	0,010	.0,06
112/1-2/5-1 87	17-Jul	12:54	1,13	0,70	0,022	0,011	0,06
0 2 / 1 - 5/0 1 86	17-JUI 17-JUI	12:54	1,09	0,69	0,018	0,010	0,05
.(84	17-Jul	13:33	1,12	0,71	0,019	0,008	0,04
U2/1-2/5 83	17-Jul	14:12	1,07	0,69	0,018	0,007	0,04
02/1-2/4 82	17-Jul	14:12	1,10	0,70	0,015	0,005	0,04
U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
02/2-3/1 104	16	14:45	52.20	ъ 0.035	* 4.86	ъ 0.18	
(103)	16-Jul	14:45	51,11	0,034	5,02.	0,18	
W/2-2/5-3 { 102	16-Jul	15:23	51,10	0,033	5,02	0,18	
12/2-1/2 95	16-JUL 17-JUL	15:23	50,96	0,033	5,05 4,90	0,18	
$\frac{112}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{94}$	17-Jul	09:57	52,25	0,032	4,83	0,18	
12/2-11/1 (100	17-Jul	10:38	51,35	0,033	4,98	0,18	
U2/2-2/2-1(99	17-Jul 17-Jul	10:38	52,78	0,033	4,92	0,18	
(98	17-Jul	11:36	52,62	0,033	4,81	0,18	
02/2-1/5-3/97	17-Jul	11:36	52,04	0,034	4,89	0,18	
- (96	17-Jul	12:14	52,12	0,032	4,87	0,18	
88	17-JUL	12:14	52.04	0,032	5,01	0,18	
W2/1-315-1 86	17-Jul	12:54	49,78	0,031	5,11	0,18	
85	17-Jul	13:33	51,89	0,031	4,88	0,18	

17-Jul 17-Jul

17-Jul

l 84

U2/1-2/5 83 U2/1-2/4 82

13:33

14:12

14:12

.

51,95 50,55 51,06

0,032 0,029

0,031

4,89 5,02 4,98

0,18

0,18

0,18

.

.

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
· U2/3-2/5-3	(128 127 EICH 14	17-Jul 17-Jul 18-Jul	15:36 15:36 08:19	% 2,94 2,89 1,97	% 1,11 1,11 0,98	% 0,21 0,21 0,15	% 8,04 7,95 8,47	% 7,71 7,51 7,80
U2/3-3/1-;	(126 {129 2{130	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	08:19 08:57 08:57	2,88 2,88 2,81 2,81	1,08 1,21 · 1,17	0,21 0,21 0,20	8,08 7,92 7,96	7,28 7,49 7,28
12/3-5/5-4	143 142 141 140	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	09:40 10:48 10:48	2,90 2,89 2,95	1,05 1,13 1,06 1,08	0,21 0,21 0,21	8,03 8,06 8,03	7,45 7,44 7,38 7,25
U2/3-4/5-1	$ \begin{array}{c} (139) \\ (138) \\ 137 \\ (136) \end{array} $	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	11:26 11:26 12:05 12:05	2,89 2,88 2,87 2,84	1,07 1,17 1,10 1,20	0,21 0,21 0;21 0,21	8,05 7,92 7,99 7,89	7,63 7,48 7,55 7,46
12/3-2/5-2		18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	13:24 13:24 14:07 14:07	2,91 2,89 2,88 2,89	1,15 1,10 1,11 " 1,13	0,21 0,21 0,21 0,21	7,90 7,98 . 7,96 7,95	7,65 7,46 7,58 7,33
0	(131	18-Jul • •	14:46	2,93	1,07	0,21	8,01	7,55
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru203
UQ 3-2/5-3	(128)127)EICH 14	17-Jul 17-Jul 18-Jul	15:36 15:36 08:19	1,19 1,20 1,03	0,68 0,69 0,70	0,046 0,044 0,050	0,026 0,025 0,047	0,10 0,10 0,16
U2/3-3/1-2	(126 {129 {130	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	08:19 08:57 08:57	1,20 1,18 1,20	0,69 0,67 0,68	0,044 0,037 0,037	0,024 0,021 0,021	0,10 0,10 0,10
U2/3-5/5-1		18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	09:40 09:40 10:48 10:48	1,23 1,21 1,21 1,20	0,69 0,68 0,69	0,050 0,045 0,050 0,044	0,028 0,026 0,028 0,023	0,11 0,10 0,10
	(139 (138 (137	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	11:26 11:26 12:05	1,21 1,20 1,21	0,69 0,67 0,68	0,052 0,043 0,043	0,027 0,024 0,025	0,11 0,11 0,10
U2/3-4/5-1	136 135 134 (122	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	12:05 13:24 13:24	1,17 1,19 1,20	0,68 0,68 0,68	0,042 0,047 0,043	0,023 0,027 0,022	0,11 0,11 0,09
U2/3-3/5-3	133 132 131	18-Jul 18-Jul 18-Jul	14:07 14:07 14:46	1,20 1,20 1,20	0,68 0,69 0,69	0,046 0,039 0,046	0,026 0,024 0,026	0,10 0,10 0,10
·	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
•	(128	17-Jul	15:36	ъ 52,11	* 0,037	¥ 4,91	% 0,18	
02/3-2/5-3)127)EICH 14	17-Jul 18-Jul	15:36 08:19	52,37 51 99	0,037	4,91	0,18	
	126	18-Jul	08:19	52,32	0,036	4,55	0,18	
12/3-3/1-2	{129 130	18-Jul 18-Jul	08:57	51,14	0,035	5,05	0,18	
	(143	18-Jul	09:40	52,54	0,038	4,99	0,18	
	142	18-Jul	09:40	52,11	0,037	4,93	0,18	
02/3-5/5-1	140	18-Jul	10:48	52,60 52,46	0,038	4,82	0,18	
	139	18-Jul	11:26	52,49	0,038	4,84	0,18	
	(138	18-Jul	11:26	51,79	0,036	5,00	0,18	
12/3-4/5-1	136	18-Jul	12:05	52,39	0,036	4,88 5,04	0,18	
- 10 110 1	135	18-Jul	13:24	52,03	0,037	4,94	0,18	
I	(134	18-Jul	13:24	52,33	0,037	4,90	0,18	
12/2-3/5-2-	132	18-Jul 18-Jul	14:07	52,26	0,037	4,90	0,18	
~x10~010 5	(131	18-Jul	14:46	52,56	0,036	4,83	0,18	

ESM noble metals campaign report

				_				
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
	(125	18-711	14-46	5 279	1.23	0.20	7.83	7.14
02/3-2/2-1	1120	18-Jul	15.24	2.87	1,17	0.21	7.86	7.63
112/2 1/5	123	18-Jul	15:24	2,91	1.06	0.21	8.03	7.25
02/3-1/3	1118	19-311	08:28	1.63	1,10	0.22	8,07	7.52
	140	19-JUL	09:13	2,88	1,11	0.21	8.11	7.87
1121. 110 1	1146	19-111	09:13	2,88	1,16	0.21	7,97	7.51
U2/4-1/5-1	1145	19-Jul	10:16	2.84	1,18	0,21	7,92	7,68
	145	19-Jul	11:02	2.84	1,21	0,21	7,85	7,57
	(122	19-Jul	11:02	2.86	1,13	0,21	7,92	7,18
Note the t	121	19-Jul	11:43	2,88	1,08	0,21	7,98	7,47
02/3-1/4-1	1120	19-Jul	11:43	2.87	1,11	0,21	7,94	7,41
	1119	19-Jul	12:31	2,85	1,21	0,21	7,80	7,66
10/10/2	180	19-Jul	12:31	2.99	1,08	0,20	7,96	8,67
62/1-2/2-1	179	19-Jul	13:18	3,01	1,07	0,20	7,95	8,77
	(78	19 - Jul	13:18	2,96	1,10	0,19	7,84	8,91
wale the a	177	19-Jul	13:56	2,96	1,12	0,20	7,93	8,91
0.2/1-115-2.	76	19-Jul	13:56	2,97	1,12	0,19	7,89	8,93
	75	19-Jul	14:36	2,88	1,22	0,19	7,70	9,04
	(12				•	·	·	
	U2.OAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru2O3
				Å	\$	8	8	8
11212 0/21	(125	18-Jul	14:46	1,18	0,68	0,034	0,019	0,10
Uz 13-2/2-1	124	18-Jul	15:24	1,18	0,68	0,036	0,022	0,09
12/3-11.5	123	18-Jul	15:24	1,21	0,69	0,042	0,023	0,10
-270 1170	(148	19-Jul	08:28	1,21	0,69	0,049	0,027	0,10
	147.	19-Jul	09:13	0,90	0,29	0,055	0,036	0,11
U2/4-1/5-1	146	19-Jul	09:13	1,22	0,68	0,046	0,027	0,11
	145	19-Jul	10:16	1,20	0,67	0,045	0,025	0,10
	144	19-Jul	11:02	1,20	0,67	0,03 <u>9</u>	0,020	0,09
	(122	19-Jul	11:02	1,20	0,68	0,038	0,022	0,10
112/2 110 1	∫ 121	19-Jul	11:43	1,19	0,69	0,044	• 0,024	0,10
02/3-1/4-1	120	19-Jul	11:43	1,21	0,69	0,042	0,023	0,10
	1119	19-Jul	12:31	1,17	0,67	0,040	0,022	0,10
1211 212 1	(80	19-Jul 🔬	12:31	1,10	0,70	0,010	0,002	0,03
$\int dx / x dx dx$	{79	19-Jul ·	13:18	1,11	0,70	0,011	0,002	0,04
	(78	19-Jul	13:18	1,09	0,71	0,008	0,000	0,03
112/1-1/5-2)77	19-Jul	13:56	1,10	0,71	0,009	-0,001	0,03
	76	19-Jul	13:56	1,08	0,71	0,006	0,001	0,03
	[75	19-Jul	14:36	1,07	0,69	0,006	-0,001	0,02
	112 OBN	סמער	THE	\$102	TeO2	Zr02	MoO2	
	02.QAN	DALE	1.1.1.115	8	\$	8	8	
	(125	18	14-46	50.96	0.036	5.10	0.18	
U2/3-2/2-1	1124	18-J11	15:24	51,72	0,035	4,97	0,18	
112/2 1/5	123	18~.711]	15:24	52,56	0.037	4.83	0.18	
02/3-1/3	/148	19-J11	08:28	52,32	0.038	4,83	0,18	
	147	19-Jul	09:13	52,05	0,037	4,91	0,18	
112/4 1/- 1.	146	19~Jul	09:13	51,90	0,038	4,98	0,18	
1-1-1-1	145	19-Jul	10:16	51,62	0,037	5,02	0,18	
	(144	19-Jul	11:02	51,33	0,036	5,04	0,18	
	(122	19-Jul	11:02	52,12	0,036	4,93	0,18	
112 10 1 1. 1	121	19-Jul	11:43	52,48	0,036	4,86	0,18	
02/3-1/4-1	120	19-Jul	11:43	52,28	0,036	4,91	0,18	
	119	19-Jul	12:31	51,14	0,036	5,05	0,18	
12/1-212 1	(80	19-Jul	12:31	51,51	0,030	4,86	0,17	
~~/~~/x~1	(79	19-Jul	13:18	51,68	0,031	4,91	0,18	
	78	19-Jul	13:18	51,31	0,029	4,96	0,18	
12/1-1/5-2.	77	19-Jul	13:56	50,90	0,029	4,98	0,18	•
	76	19-Jul	13:56	50,94	0,030	4,98	0,18	
i	L75	19-Jul	14:36	49,05	0,028	5,13	0,17	
KfK-I	NE		Appendix C			ESM noble metals campaign report		
----------------------	----------------	---------	------------	-------------	-----------	----------------------------------	------------------------	-----------
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
112/2 2/1. 2		16701	10:14	2,99	* 1.07	* 0.21	ъ 8,14	* 7.92
02/2-3/4-3	(106	16-Jul	10:14	2,95	. 1,04	0,21	8,16	7,44
	(113	16-Jul	10:56	2,92	1,08	0,21	8,06	7,65
12/2 11/0-0)112	16-Jul	10:56	2,89	1,07	0,21	8,04	7,45
a a 4/5-2	·) 111	16-Jul	11:34	2,91	1,08	0,21	8,03	7,56
	(110	16-Jul	11:34	2,91	1,04	0,21	8,08	7,39
	(118	16-Jul	12:12	2,90	1,08	0,21	8,01	7,49
112/2 Clark	1117	16-JUL	12:12	2,89	1,08	0,21	7,96	7,29
4/2-5/5-1	115	16-JUL	12:50	2,93	1.07	0,21	8,00	1,5:
	1110	16-Jul	13:29	2,03	1,04	0,21	7,96	7 16
U212-411	109	16-Jul	13:29	2,93	1,09	0.21	8,03	7.40
U212-315	108	16-Jul	14:07	2,96	1,07	0,21	8,03	7.62
U2/2-3/2	105	16-Jul	14:07	2,86	1,10	0,21	8,02	7,44
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru203
	(a a a			8	8	*	*	8
02/2-3/4-3	\$107	16-Jul	10:14	1,20	0,70	0,040	0,022	0,09
	(100	16-301	10:14	1 21	0,08	0,039	0,022	0,09
	112	16-Jul	10-56	1 20	0,00	0 040	0,021	
12/2-4/5-2	1111	16-Jul	11:34	1,19	0,69	0.040	0,022	0,05
unja 110 a	110	16-Jul	11:34	1,22	0,69	0,040	0,023	0,09
	(118	16-Jul	12:12	1,20	0,68	0,043	0,023	0,09
	117	16-Jul	12:12	1,18	0,68	0,040	0,022	0,10
02/2-5/5-1	{116	16-Jul	12:50	1,18	0,68	0,041	0,023	0,09
	115	16-Jul	12:50	1,20	0,68	0,036	0,022	0,10
112/2011	(114	16-Jul	13:29	1,18	·0,69	0,037	0,021	0,09
U2/2-4/1 U2/2 2/r	109	16-JUL	13:29	1,19	0,69	0,035	0,021	0,09
Va/2-3/5	105	16-JUL	14:07	1 18	0,69	0,039	· 0,021	0,09
Va/a-3/a	100	10-0 41	14.07	1,10	0,05	0,055	0,010	0,05
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
	(107	16-711	10.14	5 52 1 1	*	ъ 4 04	τ ⁵ 0 10	
)2/2-3/4-3	107	16-Jul	10:14	52,11	0,035	4,84	0,18	
	/113	16-Jul	10:56	52,21	0,036	4,86	0.18	
10/0 11/0 0	112	16-Jul	10:56	52,41	0,036	4,82	0,18	
K/2-415-2]111	16-Jul	11:34	52,27	0,035	4,86	0,18	•
	(110	16-Jul	11:34	52,55	0,037	4,80	0,18	
	(118	16-Jul	12:12	52,36	0,036	4,86	0,18	
12/2-5/5-1.	116	167117	12:12	52,40	0,037	4,85	0,18	
	115	16-Jul	12:50	52.66	0,036	4,04	0.18	
	114	16-Jul	13:29	52,56	0,035	4.82	0.18	
12/2-4/1	109	16-Jul	13:29	52,21	0,035	4,88	0,18	
02/2-3/5	108 '	16-Jul	14:07	52,37	0,035	4,83	0,18	
UZ/2-3/2	105	16-Jul	14:07	52,08	0,034	4,90	0,18	

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3	CdO	Cs2O	Fe203	Na2O
				8	8	8	F	8
U2/4-2/1	149	22-Jul	10:07	2,90	1,13	0,21	8,02	7.40
	(163)	22-Jul	10:46	2,86	1,22	0,21	7,87	7.69
	. 162	22-Jul	10:46	2,88	1,11	0,21	8.01	7,60
12/4-4/5-1	{161	22-Jul	11:27	2,89	1,16	0.21	7.97	7,80
	160	22-Jul	11:27	2,82	1,26	0,21	7,84	7.57
	(159	22-Jul	12:05	2,90	1,16	0.21	7,95	7,81
	(158	22-Jul	12:05	2,89	1,13	0.21	7,99	7.57
	157	22-Jul	12:45	2,94	1,08	0.22	8,06	7.67
12/4-2/5-1	156	22-Jul	12:45	2,89	1,17	0,21	7,94	7.52
00/1-0/0 1	155	22-Jul	13:24	2,91	1,05	0.22	8.07	7.73
	154	22-Jul	13:24	2,93	1,08	0.21	8,00	7.64
	(153	22-Jul	14:03	2,90	1.08	0.22	8.03	7.55
12/4-2/5-2) {152	22-Jul	14:03	2,90	1,14	0.21	7,92	7.54
	151	22-Jul	14:41	2,90	1,10	0.21	8.01	7.73
	1.50	22-Jul	14:41	2,92	1,12	0.21	7,99	7.54
Solids from Sho	WAL133	23-Jul	10:19	7,74	8,61	0.46	8,95	9,99
02/4-5/1	164	23-Jul	11:43	2,92	1,13	0.21	7,95	7.76
10/11 -12 0	∫166	23-Jul	11:43	2,91	1.08	0.21	8,05	7.55
W/9-5/3-2	165	24-Jul .	10:52	2,94	1,05	0,22	8,04	7,55
	(167	24-Jul	10:52	2,96	1,09	0.22	7.97	7.63
U2/4-5/4-5	168	24-Jul	11:36	2,94	1.10	0.22	7,96	7.84
•	`169	24-Jul	11:36	0,80	0,37	-0.01	-2.27	4.57
	(170	25-Jul	10:20	2,90	0,96	0,22	8,26	7.09
	171	25-Jul	10:59	2,93	1,11	0.22	8.14	7.57
(12/5-1/1-5	∤172	25-Jul	10:59	2,81	1,22	0,21	8.03	7.33
•	173	25-Jul	· 11:37	2,91	1,15	0,21	8,06	7.63
	(174 [·]	25-Jul	11:37	2,93	1,08	0,22	8,13	7.53
	(175	25-Jul	12:18	2,97	1,09	0,22	8,12	7.61
	176	25-Jul	12:18	2,88	1,20	0,21	8,02	7.58
02/52	√177	25-Jul	13:13	2,91	1,18	0,21	8.04	7,91
• • • •	178	25-Jul	13:13	2,91	1,12	0,22	8,09	7.84
TUT as mala	L179 ·	25-Jul	13:51	2,94	1,16	0,21	8.02	7.90
THI SUITPLE	_c L 134	25-Jul	13:51	2,84	1,11	0,18	8,41	8.65
in or her ho g	/180	26-Jul	09:39	2,94	1,05	0,22	8,29	7,51
101-0	181	26-Jul	10:40	2,89	1,15	0,22	8,12	7,65
V2/5-3	{182	26-Jul	10:40	2,88	1,14	0,22	8,13	7.67
	183	26-Jul	11:19	2,94	1,13	0,22	8,13	7.80
	(184	26-Jul	11:19	2,92	1,08	0,22	8,18	7.53
	/185	26-Jul	· 12:18	2,92	1,11	0,22	8,16	7,65
the factor of	186	26-Jul	12:18	2,93	1,09	0,22	8,19	7,66
U2/5-4	{187	26-Jul	12:58	2,93	1,12	0,21	8,18	7,75
•	188	26-Jul	12:58	2,91	1,10	0,22	8,20	7,60
	l189	26-Jul	13:36	2,92	1,14	0,22	8,13	7,74
	(190	26-Jul	13:36	2,90	1,13	0,22	8,18	7,72
note e)191	26-Jul	14:18	2,90	1,19	0,21	8,09	7,78
62/5-5	1192	26-Jul	14:18	2,91	1,14	0,22	8,17	7,67
	193	26-Jul	14:59	2,93	1,08	0,22	8,26	7,81
	\ 194	26-Jul	14:59	2,90	1.11	0 22	8 22	7 80

ŝ

	U2.OAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
	• - • 2 • - •			8	8	*	8	8
112/11-2/1	149	22-Jul	10:07	1,22	0,69	0,043	0,026	0,10
Va/ 4- 4/ 1	(163	22-Jul	10:46	1,19	0,67	0,048	0,027	0,11
	162	22-,711]	10:46	1,21	0.68	0.052	0,029	0.11
	161	22-J11	11.27	1,23	0.68	0,048	0,029	0.11
12/4-4/5-1	1160	22-041	11.27	1 20	0.67	0.041	0.023	0.10
	1150	22-041	12.05	1 22	0 68	0 046	0 026	0 10
	(159	22-041	12.05	1 22	0,00	0.050	0 028	0,11
	150	22-0u1	12.05	1 22	0,00	0,050	0,020	0 11
10 lin 2 le		22-041	12-45	1 21	0,09	0,034	0,000	0,11
Ux/4-3/5-1	1 1 20	22-JUL	12.40	1 22	0,00	0,040	0,023	0,10
	155	22-Jul	13:24	$\frac{1}{22}$	0,09	0,055	0,031	0,11
	(154		13:24	1,23	0,00	0,047	0,029	0,10
	(153	22-Ju1	14:03	1,21	0,68	0,052	0,028	0,11
12/4-2/5-2	152	22-Jul	14:03	1,20	0,68	0,045	0,026	0,10
	151	22-Jul	14:41	1,21	0,68	0,052	0,028	0,11
	. (150	22-Jul	14:41	1,22	0,68	0,047	0,027	0,11
solids from strain	er1133	23-Jul	10:19	2,53	1,18	0,545	0,042	0,12
02/4-5/1	164	23-Jul	11:43	1,23	0,68	0,049	0,025	0,09
	_ ∫166	23-Jul	11:43	1,23	0,69	0,053	0,029	0,11
U2/4-5/3-2	21165	24-Jul	10:52	1,23	0,70	0,051	0,029	0,10
undu e la d	<u>_{167</u>	24-Jul	10:52	1,23	0,69	0,053	0,029	0,11
02/4-5/4-	⁵ {168	24-Jul	11:36	1,23	0,68	0,057	0,031	0,11
	169	24-Jul	11:36	-0,19	-0,37	0,004	0,005	0,03
	<i>(</i> 170	25-Jul	10:20	1,25	0,69	0,052	0,027	0,10
	171	25-Jul	10:59	1,24	0,68	0,051	0,028	0,11
12/5-1/1-5	: {172	25-Jul	10:59	1,24	0,68	0,050	0,025	0,11
02/3-7/7-3	173	25-Jul	11:37	1,23	0,68	0,050	0,028	0,11
	174	25-Jul	11:37	1,24	0,69	0,053	0,031	0,11
	/175	25-Jul	12:18	1,23	0,69	0,051	0,029	0,10
	176	25-Jul	12:18	1,20	0,68	0,048	0,027	0,11
112/5-2	177	25-Jul	13:13	1,22	0,68	0,053	0,030	0,11
Var J J	178	25-Jul	13:13	1,24	0,68	0,054	0,030	0,11
	179	25-Jul	13:51	1.24	0,68	0,055	0,029	0,12
THI cample	T 134	25-Jul	13:51	1.30	0.70	0.024	0.022	0.06
motion to glas	/180	26-Jul	09:39	1,25	0.70	0,050	0.031	0.11
v	181	26-Jul	10:40	1.24	0.68	0.049	0.028	0.10
112/5-2	182	26-Jul	10:40	1.22	0,68	0.052	0.030	0.12
V2/J J	183	26-Jul	11:19	1,24	0.68	0.055	0.031	0.11
	184	26-Jul	11:19	1,25	0,69	0.054	0.031	0.11
	(185	26-Jul	12:18	1.23	0.69	0.051	0.029	0.10
	186	26-Jul	12:18	1,26	0.70	0.053	0,030	0.11
112/5-11	J187	26-Jul	12:58	1,24	0.69	0,055	0.030	0.11
u2/3-4	188	26-Jul	12:58	1.27	0,69	0.054	0.032	0.12
	189	26-Jul	13:36	1.25	0,68	0,053	0,030	0.11
	/190	26-Jul	13:36	1,25	0.70	0,048	0,026	0,09
	191	26-Jul	14:18	1.23	0,69	0,048	0,026	0.09
12/5-5	1192	26-Jul	14:18	1,23	0.69	0.054	0,030	0.11
	1193	26-Jul	14:59	1.27	0,70	0,060	0.034	0.12
	1194	26711]	14.59	1.26	0,69	0.059	0.031	0.11
	·				0,02	0,000	0,001	-,

•

 \sim

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2
112/11-211	140	22-7117	10.07	52 06	ດັດສາ	Å 0.4	ъ 0 10
02/9-2/1	/160	22-0 UI	10.07	51 25	0,037	4,74	0,10
	160	22-0 UI	10.46	52 27	0,030	5,05	0,10
noto tote a)102	22-JUL	11.27	52,37	0,038	4,09	0,10
4×14-4/5-1	1160	22-JUL	11.27	50 77	0,037	4,90	0,18
	1150	22-JUL	12.05	50,77	0,037	3,12	0,18
	(159	22~JU1	12:05	51,89	0,038	4,95	0,18
	1158	22-JUI	12:05	52,16	0,038	4,94	0,18
12/4-3/5-1	1157	22-JUI	12:45	52,49	0,037	4,85	0,18
	1120	22-Jul	12:45	51,80	0,038	4,99	0,18
·	155	22-Jul	13:24	52,61	0,037	4,83	0,18
	\154	22-Ju1	13:24	52,53	0,037	4,85	0,18
	(153	22-Jul	14:03	52,50	0,038	4,84	0,18
(12/4-2/5-2	152	22-Jul	14:03	52,13	0,037	4,93	0,18
	151	22-Jul	14:41	52,38	0,036	4,89	.0,18
colide from the	(150	22-Jul	14:41	52,22	0,038	4,92	0,18
series from strume	/L133	23-Jul	10:19	32,22	0,053	4,36	0,10
62/4-5/1	164	23-Jul	11:43	52,23	0,037	4,94	0,18
	(166	23-Jul	11:43	52,49	0,038	4,88	0,18
U2/4-5/3-2	{165	24-Jul	10:52	52,73	0,038	4,82	0,18
	(167	24-Jul	10:52	52,55	0,039	4,89	0,18
U2/4-5/4-S	{168	24-Jul	11:36	52,51	0,038	4,87	0,18
	`169	24-Jul	11:36	78,16	0,012	-2,56	-0,16
	(170	25-Jul	10:20	52,59	0,039	4,69	0,19
	171	25-Jul	10:59	52,08	0,039	4,90	0,18
(12/5-1/1.5)	{172	25-Jul	10:59	51,21	0,037	5,08	0,18
	173	25-Jul	11:37	51,86	0,039	4,95	0,18
	174	25-Jul	11:37	52,35	0,039	4,86	0,18
	/175	25-Jul	12:18	52,31	0,038	4,86	0,18
4	176	25-Jul	12:18	51,38	0,038	5,01	0,18
U2/5-2 T	177	25-Jul	13:13	51,60	0,038	4,97	0,18
	178	25-Jul	13:13	52,12	0,038	4,91	0.18
TUT is concili	179	25-Jul	13:51	51,79	0,037	4,95	0,18
THI SUTTIPLE	L 134	25-Jul	13:51	51,38	0,026	4.77	0.17
	(180	26-Jul	09:39	52,23	0,039	4,82 -	0,18
	181	26-Jul	10:40	51,79	0,038	4,92	0,18
02/5-3 {	182	26-Jul	10:40	51,87	0,038	4,92	0,18
	183	26-Jul	11:19	51,97	0,038	4,89	0,18
(184	26-Jul	11:19	52,27	0,040	4,85	0,18
	(185	26-Jul	12:18	52,12	0,037	4,86	0,18
- 4	186	26-Jul	12:18	52,18	0,038	4,83	0,18
U2/5-4 <	187	26-Jul	12:58	51,96	0,038	4,88	0,18
	188	26-Jul	12:58	52,08	0,039	4,87	0,18
	189	26-Jul	13:36	51,86	0,038	4,90	0,18
	(190	26-Jul	13:36	51,90	0,038	4,88	0,18
	191	26-Jul	14:18	51,42	0,037	4,96	0,18
1215-5 1	192	26-Jul	14:18	51,80	0,039	4,90	0,18
	193	26-Jul	14:59	52,12	0,039	4,80	0,18
l	194	26-Jul	14:59	51,97	0,039	4,88	0,18

K£K-	KfK-INE		A	opendix C		ESM noble metals campaign report			
					- 1-		7-001	¥ 60	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO %	Cs2O %	Fe2O3 %	Na2O %	
	EICH 14	27-Jul	08:53	2,81	0,95	0,15	8,64	7,44	
	(195	27-Jul	08:53	2,76	1,31	0,21	8,12	7,74	
	196	27-Jul	09:40	2,94	1,15	0,22	8,20	7,99	
U2/6-1	197	27-Jul	09:40	2,94	1,12	0,22	8,22	8 07	
	198	27-JUL	10:37	2,99	1,10	0.22	8,10	7.86	
	(199	27-0u1 27Tul	11:16	2,93	1.20	0,21	8,02	7,92	
	201	27-Jul	11:16	2,93	1,13	0,22	8,16	7,93	
1216-2	202	27-Jul	11:54	2,96	1,16	0,22	8,02	8,37	
ut o a	203 .	27-Jul	11:54	2,95	1,21	0,22	8,06	9,71	
	(204	27-Jul	12:33	2,92	1,25	0,21	1,90	8,33	
	205	27-JUL 27-JUL	12:33	2,94	1,20	0,22	7,96	8,62	
117/6-2	207	27-Jul	13:34	2,90	1,27	0,22	7,98	8,64	
0270-5	208	27-Jul	14:15	2,93	1,24	0,22	7,98	8,88	
un la com	, l209	27-Jul	14:15	2,85	1,31	0,21	7,92	8,64	
02/6-4/	210	29-Jul	14:56	2,91	1,25	0,22	8,02	8,99	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru203	
	PTOP 14	27	08.53	5 1 0 3	0.71	0.049	0.045	0.17	
	/195	27-Jul	08:53	1,23	0,68	0,044	0,024	0,10	
·	196	27-Jul	09:40	1,23	0,69	0,056	0,031	0,12	
U2/6-1	{197	27-Jul	09:40	1,25	0,70	0,056	0,032	0,11	
	198	27-Jul	10:37	1,25	0,69	0,057	0,031	0,11	
	(199	27-JUL 27-JUL	11.16	1,24	0,70	0,042	0,025	0,09	
	200	27-Jul	11:16	1,25	0,70	0,058	0,033	0,12	
U216-2	202	27-Jul	11:54	1,26	0,69	0,060	0,034	0,12	
	203	27-Jul	11:54	1,24	0,69	0,073	0,041	0,12	
	(204	27-Jul	12:33	1,25	0,68	0,057	0,031	0,11	
	(205	27 - Ju = 27 - Ju = 1	13.34	1,25	0,68	0,047	0,031	0,11	
12/6-3	207	27-Jul	13:34	1,26	0,69	0,058	0,032	0,12	
,	208	27-Jul	14:15	1,22	0,68	0,061	0,034	0,11	
	(209	27-Jul	14:15	1,25	0,68	0,054	0,031	0,11	
U2/6·4/	1/ 210	29-Ju1	14:56	1,25	0,69	0,050	0,030	0,10	
	U2.QAN	DATE .	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrQ2	MoO2		
	EICH 14	27-JuJ	08:53	51.75	0,029	4,52	0,18		
	/195	27-Jul	08:53	49,71	0,038	5,16	0,18		
un la l	196	27-Jul	09:40	51,63	0,039	4,91	0,18		
U2/6-1	197	27-Jul	09:40	51,92	0,040	4,88	0,18		
	(198	27-JUL 27-JUL	10:37	51,00	0,038	4,93	0,18		
	(200	27-Jul	11:16	51,38	0,037	4,98	0,18		
112/0 0	201	27-Jul	11:16	51,96	0,039	4,88	0,18		
0216-2	202	27-Jul	11:54	51,92	0,035	4,91	0,18		
	203	27-Jul	11:54	51,35	0,041	4,98	0,18		
	1205	27-JUL 27-JUL	12:33	51,40	0.039	4,98	0,18		
	206	27-Jul	13:34	50,57	0,039	5,06	0,18		
12/6-3	207	27-Jul	13:34	50,58	0,039	5,06	0,18		
	208	27-Jul	14:15	50,92	0,039	5,00	0,18		
no le ma	(209	27-JUL 29-Tul	14:15	49,89	0,039	5,12	0,18		
Vx/6-4/1	210 .	23-0 UI	14.00	50,70	0,033	5,01	5,15		

KfK-INE		-	Appe	ndix C	ESM noble metals campaign report			
							•	
•	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3	CdO	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
U2/6-4/2-0	211 EICH 14 212	29-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	14:56 08:52 08:52	2,82 2,82 2,91	1,27 0,97 1,15	0,22 0,16 0,22	8,03 8,47 8,27	8,93 7,74 7,83
	(213 (214 (215 (216)	30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	09:33 09:33 10:20 10:20	2,92 2,93 2,95 2,96	1,13 1,13 1,10 1,14	0,22 0,22 0,22 0,22	8,28 8,27 8,28 8,25	8,24 7,91 8,20 8,08
U2/6-5)217 218 219	30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	10:58 11:19 11:19	2,94 2,91 2,80	1,16 1,20 1,34	0,22 0,22 0,21	8,20 8,13 7,93	8,33 8,31 8,50
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
V2/6-4/24	211 EICH 14 212	29-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	14:56 08:52 08:52	% 1,23 1,04 1,27	% 0,69 0,71 0,70	% 0,060 0,050 0,056	% 0,032 0,048 0,032	% 0,11 0,17 0,12
	213 214 (215 216	30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	09:33 09:33 10:20 10:20	1,28 1,28 1,28 1,29	·0,70 0,70 0,70 0,70	0,062 0,059 0,057 0,054	0,034 0,032 0,032 0,030	0,12 0,12 0,10 0,10
U2/6-5	{217 218 219	30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	10:58 11:19 11:19	1,26 1,27 1,24	0,69 0,69 0,68	0,060 0,059 0,052	0,034 0,034 0,030	0,12 0,12 0,12
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
02/6-4/2-5	(211 EICH 14 212 213	29-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	14:56 08:52 08:52 09:33	50,41 52,05 51,54 51,68	0,040 0,028 0,040 0,039	5,06 4,51 4,91 4,84	0,18 0,17 0,18 0,18	
U2/6-5 T	214 (215 216 217	30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul 30-Jul	09:33 10:20 .10:20 10:58	51,77 51,91 51,73 51,58	0,041 0,039 0,040 0,039	4,85 4,81 4,87 4,89	0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18	
	218 219	30-Jul 30-Jul	11:19 11:19	51,34 49,34	0,040 0,038	4,93 5,13	0,18 0,17	

KfK-INE			Арре	ESM noble metals campaign report				
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3 %	CGO Å	Cs20 %	Fe2O3 %	Na20 `%
U2/7-1	220 221 EICH 14 222	31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul	11:26 11:26 12:05 12:05	2,91 2,89 2,81 2,89 2,89	1,22 1,26 1,03 1,21	0,22 0,22 0,15 0,22 0,22	8,12 8,08 8,20 8,13 8,18	8,22 8,47 7,86 8,63 8,62
U2/7-2	223 224 225 226 227 228	31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul	12:45 12:45 13:25 13:25 14:06	2,90 2,84 2,87 2,89 2,89	1,25 1,29 1,26 1,22 1,26	0,22 0,22 0,22 0,22 0,22	8,13 8,08 8,14 8,23 8,13	9,31 8,37 8,96 8,50 8,74
	229	31-Jul	14:49	2,76	1,32	0,21	8,06	8,58
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd2O3 . %	NiO &	PdO %	Rh2O3 %	Ru2O3 %
U2/7-1	(220 221 EICH 14 222 223 224	31–Jul 31–Jul 31–Jul 31–Jul 31–Jul 31–Jul 31–Jul	11:26 11:26 12:05 12:05 12:45 12:45	1,26 1,25 1,02 1,27 1,26 1,25	0,70 0,69 0,70 0,70 0,70 0,69	0,049 0,054 0,045 0,060 0,062 0,065	0,029 0,030 0,043 0,034 0,035 0,037	0,103 0,110 0,165 0,111 0,120 0,118
U2/7-2	225 226 227 228 229	31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul	13:25 13:25 14:06 14:06 14:49	1,25 1,25 1,27 1,27 1,24	0,69 0,70 0,69 0,69 0,68	0,048 0,055 0,058 0,058 0,054	0,024 0,029 0,034 0,033 0,031	0,083 0,093 0,120 0,118 0,122
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2 %	TeO2 १	ZrO2 %	` МоО2 %	
U2/7-1	220 221 EICH 14 222 223	31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul	11:26 11:26 12:05 12:05 12:45	50,99 50,55 52,28 51,09 51,34	0,039 0,039 0,028 0,039 0,040	4,96 5,01 4,59 4,95 4,92	0,18 0,18 0,17 0,18 0,18	
U2/1-2	(224 (225 (226 (227) (228) (229)	31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul 31-Jul	12:45 13:25 13:25 14:06 14:06 14:49	50,52 49,98 50,39 50,81 50,31 49,61	0,039 0,039 0,041 0,039 0,039	5,01 5,06 5,02 4,97 5,01 5,11	0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18	

KfK-INE			_ Apj	pendix C	ESM noble metals campaign report			
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
	FTCH 14	038110	09.25	5 279	ъ 0.99	0.15	8.39	7.67
	/230	03-Aug	10:39	2,92	1.23	0,22	8.21	7.85
	231 .	$03 - Au\sigma$	10:58	2,92	1,25	0,22	8,18	8.08
110/7 0	EICH 14	04-Aug	11:05	2,84	0,98	0,15	8,56	7,41
$u_{2/1-3}$	1232	04-Aug	11:05	2,92	1,20	0,22	8,40	7,91
	233	04-Aug	11:43	2,81	1,25	0,22	8,25	7,96
	234	04-Aug	11:43	2,93	1,11	0,22	8,44	8,09
	/235	04-Aug	13:05	2,92	1,17	0,22	8,35	7,99
	236	04-Aug	13:05	2,93	1,20	0,22	8,33	8,12
1217-4	237	04-Aug	13:43	2,93	1,15	0,23	8,38	7,97
,	238	04-Aug	13:43	2,96	1,19	0,22	8,34	8,07
undo - L	1239	04-Aug	15:03	2,92	1,18	0,22	8,35	8,03
02/8-5/5	264	04-Aug	12:03	2,98	1,20	0,22	8,31	8,24
	-							
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd2O3 %	NiO F	PdO %	Rh2O3 ዩ	Ru2O3 %
	EICH 14	03-Aug	09:25	1,03	0,71	0,048	0,045	0,169
	(230	03-Aug	10:39	1,28	0,70	0,051	0,030	0,118
	231	03-Aug	10:58	1,25	0,70	0,049	0,025	0,088
(1) /7 7	EICH 14	04-Aug	11:05	1,02	0,71	0,046	0,045	0,176
62/1-5	{ 232	04-Aug	11:05	1,28	0,70	0,054	0,032	0,132
	233	04-Aug	11:43	1,26	0,70	0,052	0,030	0,119
	(234	04-Aug	12.05	1 27	0,71	0,064	0,035	0,119
	235.	04-Aug	13.05	1,27	0.71	0,053	0.028	0,097
02/7-4	1230	04-Aug	13:43	1.28	0.71	0.058	0.032	0,118
	238	04-Aug	13:43	1,25	0,71	0,058	0,033	0,120
	239	04-Aug	15:03	1,27	0,70	0,055	0,032	0,113
V2/8-5/.	5 264	04-Aug	15:03	1,28	0,70	0,058	0,035	0,120
							•	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2 %	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2 %	
	EICH 14	03-Aug	09:25	52.14	0.028	4,54	0,17	
	(230	03-Auq	10:39	50,74	0,040	5,01	0,18	
	231	03-Aug	10:58	50,59	0,040	5,01	0,18	
12/7-3	JEICH 14	04-Aug	11:05	51,85	0,027	4,58	0,18	
	232	04-Aug	11:05	50,76	0,039	4,99	0,18	
	233	04-Aug	11:43	50,31	0,040	5,04	0,18	
	(234	04-Aug	11:43	51,55	0,040	4,84	0,18	
	235	04-Aug.	13-05	50.94	0,039	4,91	0,18	
ון_צ/מו	237	04-Aug	13:43	51,43	0,041	4,82	0,18	
02/7-4	1238	04-Aug	13:43	51,05	0,040	4,95	0,18	
	239	04-Aug	15:03	51,06	0,041	4,95	0,18	
U2/8-5/	5 264	04-Aug	15:03	51,01	0,039	4,93	0,18	
,- ,								

アチア	· T1	NTE
VTV	. — "L.,	NL

Appendix C

ESM noble metals campaign report

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3 %	CdO %	Cs20 Գ	Fe2O3	Na2O %
U2/8-5/4-1	263 262 261 260	05–Aug 05–Aug 05–Aug 05–Aug	07:48 08:27 08:27 09:13	2,91 2,87 2,96 2,91	1,16 1,24 1,18 1,15	0,22 0,22 0,22 0,23	8,49 8,35 8,41 8,49	7,96 7,77 8,28 8,07
U2/84/5-1	259 258 257 256	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	09:13 10:28 10:28 11:07	2,95 2,94 2,96 2,90	1,17 1,13 1,16 1,20	0,23 0,22 0,23 0,22	8,45 8,48 8,42 8,37	8,16 7,96 8,26 8,11
U2/8·3/5-1	255 (254 253 252 251	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	11:07 11:45 11:45 12:27 12:27	2,86 2,95 2,91 2,90 2,97	1,31 1,11 1,27 1,18 1,18	0,22 0,23 0,22 0,23 0,22	8,24 8,45 8,30 8,35 8,35	8,16 7,98 8,55 8,10 8,15
U2/8-2/5-1	250 249 248 247 246 245	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	13:09 13:09 13:50 13:50 14:28 14:28	2,93 2,94 2,91 2,95 2,94 2,98	1,23 1,21 1,14 1,13 1,12 1,17	0,22 0,22 0,23 0,22 0,23 0,23	8,30 8,32 8,38 8,37 8,41 8,37	7,96 8,28 8,21 8,31 7,90 8,30
	[.] U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO ·	PdO	Rh203	Ru2O3
)2/8-5/4-1	263 262 261 260	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	07:48 08:27 08:27 09:13	* 1,29 1,26 1,30 1,28	* 0,71 0,70 0,70 0,72	* 0,058 0,052 0,060 0,053	* 0,033 0,030 0,034 0,029	¥ 0,119 0,115 0,115 0,092
U2/8-4/5-1	259 258 257 256 255	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	09:13 10:28 10:28 11:07	1,27 1,28 1,28 1,27	0,71 0,71 0,71 0,71	0,060 0,059 0,062 0,052	0,034 0,034 0,034 0,032	0,115 0,123 0,118 0,112
U2/8·3/5-1	254 253 252 251	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	11:45 11:45 12:27 12:27	1,24 1,29 1,27 1,27 1,28	0,70 0,71 0,70 0,71 0,70	0,048 0,061 0,059 0,058 0,057	0,029 0,035 0,032 0,033 0,032	0,123 0,125 0,118 0,113
U2/B-2/5-1	$ \begin{array}{c} (250) \\ (249) \\ 248 \\ 247 \\ 246 \\ 245 \\ \end{array} $	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	13:09 13:09 13:50 13:50 14:28 14:28	1,27 1,27 1,28 1,27 1,29 1,29	0,70 0,70 0,71 0,72 0,71 0,71	0,048 0,055 0,061 0,056 0,058 0,057	0,030 0,034 0,035 0,032 0,033 0,031	0,115 0,118 0,118 0,105 0,112 0,102
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
U2/3-5/4-1	263 262 261 260	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	07:48 08:27 08:27 09:13	% 51,05 50,49 51,04 51,17	% 0,041 0,039 0,039 0,039	% 4,89 4,99 4,91 4,88	% 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18	
02/8-4/5-1	259 258 257 256 255	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	09:13 10:28 10:28 11:07	51,04 51,34 51,15 50,77	0,039 0,041 0,039 0,040	4,91 4,86 4,89 4,96	0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18	
V2/8·3/5-1·	255 254 253 252 251	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	11:45 11:45 12:27 12:27	49,57 51,55 50,03 51,09 51,05	0,038 0,041 0,039 0,040 0,039	5,10 4,82 5,05 4,94 4,93	0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18	
U2/B-Z/5-1.	250 249 248 247 246 245	05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug 05-Aug	13:09 13:09 13:50 13:50 14:28 14:28	50,61 50,77 51,46 51,38 51,55 51,14	0,039 0,039 0,041 0,038 0,040 0,039	5,00 4,98 4,88 4,88 4,85 4,85	0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18 0,18	

<i>x</i>fr	TNE
ULU-	TTAG

,

.

Appendix C

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
1218.1	244	05 3	15.05	5 00	5 1 24	* 0.00	5 0 0 4	ъ 7 оо
02/0-1	244	05-Aug	15:00	2,09	1 20	0,22	0,24	7,98
	243	05-Aug	15:00	2,92	1,20	0,22	0,32	8,22
	242	05-Aug	15:44	2,89	1,18	0,22	8,31	8,02
12/7-5/4-1	241	05-Aug	15:44	2,93	1,20	0,22	8,31	8,25
	EICH 14	06-Aug	08:09	2,80 ·	0,97	0,15	8,55	7,41
	240	06–Aug	08:09	2,91	1,21	0,23	8,44	8,10
	<i>(</i> 265	06-Aug	08:48	2,87	1,25	0,22	8,21	7,91
	266	06-Aug	08:48	2,90	1,24	0,22	8,33	7,90
02/8-6	₹267	06-Aug	09:26	2,90	1,16	0,22	8,32	8,14
	268	06-Aug	09:26	2,86	1,26	0,22	8,18	8,20
	269	06-Aug	10:18	2,87	1,25	0,22	8,17	8,10
	(270	06-Aug	10:18	2,83	1,25	0,22	8,20	8,02
	271	06-Aug	10:56	2,92	1,14	0,22	8,24	8,24
12/9-1	272	06-Aug	10:56	2,88	1,14	0,22	8,27	8,00
000/	273	06-Aug	11:34	2,87	1,19	0,22	8,18	8.14
	274	06-Aug	11:34	2,89	1.16	0,22	8.24	8.16
	(275	06-Aug	12:13	2,89	1.19	0.22	8.20	7,96
	276	06-Aug	12:13	2,83	1.24	0.21	8,09	8.37
112/9-2	1277 .	.06-Aug	12:52	2,84	1.24	0.22	8,11	8.44
Var Dac	278	06-Aug	12:52	2.78	1.27	0.21	8.05	8.15
	279	06-Aug	13.30	2,89	1,21	0.21	8 08	8 26
	(280	06-Aug	13.30	2 94	1 16	0 22	8 17	8 18
	281	06-Aug	14.08	2 90	1 15	0,22	8 08	8 34
1210 2	1201	06-Aug	14.00	2,50	1 24	0,22	0,00	0,14
Vx19-3	202	06-Aug	1/./9	2,00	1 16	0,21	8,00	0,20
	205	06-Nug	1/.40	2,00	1 20	0,22	7 05	3,05
	1 204	Do-Aud	14:40	4,11	1,30	U,41	1,90	1,90

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd2O3	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru2O3
11218-1	1044	05 3	15.06	5	5 0 70	0 050	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ō 110
V~/0-1	· 244 /042	05-Aug	15:00	1,20	0,70	0,052	0,031	0,119
uph rhul	243	05-Aug	15:06	1,20	0,70	0,058	0,033	0,123
02/1-5/4-14	242	05-Aug	15:44	1,25	0,70	0,056	0,032	0,114
	241	05-Aug	15:44	1,27	0,70	0,051	0,031	0,106
	EICH 14	06-Aug	08:09	1,03	0,71	0,047	0,045	0,172
	240	06-Aug	08:09	1,27	0,72	0,049	0,025	0,080
•	265	06-Aug	08:48	1,23	0,70	0,048	0,028	0,101
vola r	266	06-Aug	08:48	1,28	0,71	0,046	0,025	0,088
02/8-6	267	06-Aug	09:26	1,27	0,70	0,056	0,033	0,114
	268	06-Aug	09:26	1,25	0,69	0,054	0,031	0,119
(269	06-Aug	10:18	1,25	0,69	0,054	0,030	0,117
	(270	06-Aug	10:18	1,24	0,70	0,049	0,025	0,091
	271	06-Aug	10:56	1,23	0,70	0,059	0,034	0,107
12/9-1	272	06-Aug	10:56	1,28	0,70	0,058	0,034	0,118
	273	06-Aug	11:34	1,25	0,69	0,058	0,034	0,120
L L	274	06-Aug	11:34	1,24	0,69	0,062	0,033	0,115
	(275	06-Aug	12:13	1,25	0,70	0,051	0,030	0,109
	276	06-Aug	12:13	1,23	0,69	0,053	0,031	0,108
U2/9-2 {	277	06-Aug	12:52	1,23	0,69	0,055	0,033	0,113
	278	06-Aug	12:52	1,24	0,68	0,053	0.031	0,115
(279	06-Aug	13:30	1,24	0,68	0.056	0.032	0.120
	(280	06-Aug	13:30	1,25	0.70	0,056	0,033	0.106
	281	06-Aug	14:08	· 1,25	0,69	0,060	0,034	0.115
02/9-31	282	06-Aug	14:08	1,23	0,68	0.057	0.031	0,115
	283	06-Aug	14:48	1.25	0.69	0.071	0.039	0.122
Ĺ	284	06-Aug	14:48	1,23	0,67	0,049	0,028	0,118

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2
1218.1	244	05-Aug	15-06	50 54	ດັດເດ	5 00	ъ О 19
0270-1	(243	05-Aug	15.00	50,88	0,030	4 96	0,18
	242	05-Aug	15-44	51 15	0 041	A 9A	0,10
12/7-5/4-1	1241	05-Aug	15.44	50 91	0,041	1 01	0,10
	ETCH 14	05-Aug	08.09	51,87	0,029	4,54 A 56	0,18
	240	06-Aug	08.09	50 66	0,029	4,50	0,10
	(265	06-Aug	08:48	50,42	0,039	1 99	0,10
	266	$06-Au\sigma$	08:48	50,50	0,040	1 99	0,10
U2/8-6	1267	06-Aug	09:26	51,41	0.041	4.85	0,18
	268	06-Aug	09:26	50.43	0.039	5,00	0.18
	269	06-Aug	10:18	50.46	0,038	4,98	0,18
	(270	06-Aug	10:18	50.57	0.040	4,98	0,18
	(271	06-Aug	10:56	51.68	0.040	4,80	0.18
U2/9-1	1272	06-Aug	10:56	51.70	0.040	4.82	0,18
	273	06-Aug	11:34	51.29	0.039	4.87	0.18
	274	06-Aug	11:34	51,51	0,040	4.86	0,18
	1275	06-Aug	12:13	51,28	0.038	4.88	0.18
	276	06-Aug	12:13	50,85	0.039	4,94	0.17
$\frac{02}{9}-2$	277	06-Aug	12:52	50.72	0.039	4,94	0.17
·	278	06-Aug	12:52	50,49	0,038	5,00	0.17
	279	06-Aug	13:30	51,30	0,038	4,89	0.17
	(280	06-Aug	13:30	51,68	0.040	4,82	0,18
	281	06-Aug	14:08	51,94	0,039	4,80	0,18
112/9-3	282	06-Aug	14:08	51,05	0,038	4,92	0,17
$\omega_{1} = 0$	283	06-Aug	14:48	51,79	0;039	4,82	0,17
	284	06-Aug	14:48	50,16	0,038	5,02	0,17

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3 %	CdO %	Cs2O Ֆ	Fe2O3 %	Na2O
	EICH 14 (285	07-Aug 07-Aug	13:16 13:38	2,89 2,86	0,98	0,16 0,21	8,40 7,93	7,59 8,07
<i>v2/9-</i> 4	286 287 288	07-Aug 07-Aug 07-Aug	13:38 14:17 14:17	2,85 2,89 2,85	1,18 1,14 1,23	0,21 0,22 0,21	8,03 8,02 7,91	7,88 8,06 7,99
12/9-5/X	(289 290 ·	07-Aug 07-Aug	14:56 14:56	2,84 2,86	1,23 1,18	0,21 0,21	7,91 7,99	8,06 8,23
	u2.qan	DATE	TIME	Nd203 .	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru2O3
	EICH 14 /285	07-Aug 07-Aug	13:16 13:38	% 1,03 1,21	ጜ 0,71 0,68	% 0,046 0,047	% 0,045 0,027	% 0,164 0,102
U2/9-4	286	07-Aug 07-Aug 07-Aug	13:38 14:17 14:17	1,25 1,24 1,22	0,69 0,69 0,67	0,052 0,058 0,054	0,029 0,033 0,031	0,106 0,119 0,117
V2/9-5/1	289 290	07-Aug 07-Aug	14:56 14:56	1,21 1,22	0,67 0,68	0,055 0,054	0,030 0,030	0,118 0,101
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2 %	TeO2 १	ZrO2 %	МоО2 %	
	EICH 14 (285	07-Aug 07-Aug	13:16 13:38	52,15 50,56	0,043 0,038	4,56 4,95	0,18 0,17	
U2/9-4 -	286 . 287 288	07-Aug 07-Aug 07-Aug	13:38 14:17 14:17	52,17 51,22	0,040 0,038 0,040	4,84 4,75 4,90	0,18 0,17 0,17	
02/9-5/.1	(289 290	07-Aug 07-Aug	14:56 14:56	51,21 51,74	0,038 0,040	4,90 4,83	0,17 0,17	

KIK-INE		Appe			ESM noble m	etals campaign re	pon
·							
U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
ETCH 14	11-Aug	12:38	2,81	0,97	0,15	8,49	7.48
(291	11-Aug	12:38	2,86	1,20	0,21	8,09	7,87
12/9.5/2-5)292	11-Aug	13:17	2,86	1,16	0,21	8,05	7,99
293	11-Aug	13:17	2,78	1,22	0,21	8,02	7,69
(294	11-Aug	14:00	2,79	1,20	0,21	7,96	7,92
is peel surface 295 .	11-Aug	14:00	2,12	1,09	0,20.	7,70	7,05
an (296 297	11-Aug	15:08	2,80	1,09	0,21	8,07	7,89
12/10-1 2298	11-Aug	15:47	2,87	1,13	0,21	7,96	8.01
299	11-Aug	15:47	2,81	1,21	0,21	7,87	7,73
EICH 14	12-Aug	08:41	2,83	0,95	0,16	8,75	7,83
UTT-SUMOR 300	12-Aug	08:41	2,80	1,11	0,21	8,11	7,46
incition to glues 1260	12-Aug	09:30	2,69	0,93	0,19	7,92	6,93
(301	12-Aug	09:30	2,85	1,07	0,22	8,08	7,69
12/10-2/1-11 302	12-Aug	10:16	2,81	1,18	0,21	7,90	7,89
303	12-Aug	10:16	2,84	1 14	0,21	7,87	7,04
(304	12-Aug	10:50	2,03	⊥,⊥4	0,21	7,00	1,52
		MTMD	N4202	Nio	DdO	Ph2O3	P w203
U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	NG2O3	ATO &	Pa0 %	R11203	Ru203
ETCH 14	11-Aug	12:38	1.03	0.71	0,047	0,045	0,171
(291	11-Aug	12:38	1,24	0,69	0,053	0,029	0,112
292	11-Aug	13:17	1,24	0,68	0,056	0,033	0,118
V2/9-5/2-5 { 293	11-Aug	13:17	1,21	0,68	0,050	0,029	0,121
(loss ocal (294	11-Aug	14:00	1,21	0,68	0,054	0,032	0,118
Surface four 295	11-Aug	14:00	1,19	0,65	0,053	0,030	0,129
296	11-Aug	15:08	1,21	0,68	0,056	0,031	0,102
(12/10-1 200	11-Aug	15+17	1 21	0,69	0.054	0,030	0,098
299	11-Aug	15:47	1.19	0.67	0,051	0,030	0.121
EICH 14	12-Aug	08:41	1,07	0,67	0,055	0,052	0,174
4FT- sumple 300	12-Aug	08:41	1,23	0,68	0,055	• 0,033	0,117
melten le glass 1260	12-Aug	09:30	1,20	0,66	0,024	0,026	0,102
301	12-Aug	09:30	1,24	0,70	0,053	0,032	0,108
U2/10-2/1-4 302	12-Aug	10:16	1,22	0,67	0,053	150,0	0,101
1303	12-Aug	10.10	1,23	0,67	0.054	0,032	0,120
	12-Auy	10.00	±,44	0,07	0,037	5,052	0,120
•			-1				
U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	S102 %	TeO2 %	ZrO2 %	моО2 %	
EICH 14	11-Aug	12:38	51,99	0,028	4,56	0,18	
(291	11-Aug	12:38	51,38	0,039	4,88	0,18	
02/9.5/2.5 292	11-Aug	13:17	51,90	0,038	4,79	0,17	
293	11-Aug	14-00	51,54	0,041	4,90	0,18	
glass pcc/ 294	11-Aug	14:00	53,14	0.039	4,58	0,17	
Surface fran (296	11-Aug	15:08	52,43	0,039	4,70	0,17	
297	11-Aug	15:08	52,43	0,040	4,70	0,17	
12/10-1 298	11-Aug	15:47	52,32	0,039	4,73	0,17	
299	11-Aug	15:47	51,63	0,039	4,85	0,17	
EICH 14	12-Aug	08:41	51,50	0,028	4,56	0,18	
HFT- sample 1260	12-Aug	08:41	52,28	0,039	4,74 1 38	0,18	
nolkn to yluse 1200	12-Aug	09:30	52.60	0,032	4,50	0.18	
302	12-Aug	10:16	51.92	0,038	4,83	0.17	
12/10-2/1-4 1303	12-Aug	10:16	52,52	0,039	4,72	0,17	
. 304	12-Aug	10:56	52,55	0,038	4,75	0,17	
•	-						

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
ù2/10-2/5	305 (306 307	12-Aug 12-Aug 12-Aug	10:56 11:34 11:34	2,84 2,88 2,86	1,11 1,16 1,12	0,21 0,21 0,21	7,91 7,81 7,77	* 7,68 8,16 7,83
U2/10-3	EICH 14 308 EICH 14	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	08:47 10:19 10:59	2,82 2,96 2,80	0,99 1,14 1,03	0,15 0,21 0,15	8,55 8,02 8,54	7,70 8,66 8,19
TNT- sample. McI&n Ki glass	→ 309 L277 310	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	10:59 11:39 11:39	2,78 2,81 2,86	1,23 0,98 1,14	0,20 0,17 0,21	7,94 8,10 8,01	8,94 7,44 8,77
U2/10-4) 312 313 314	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	12:19 12:19 12:57 12:57	2,78 2,84 2,82 2,81	1,18 1,12 1,13 1,21	0,21 0,21 0,21 0,21	7,95 8,00 7,98 7,89	8,53 8,56 8,25 8,76
112/10-5/1-3	315 {316 {317	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	13:36 13:36 14:14	2,76 2,81 2,80	1,22 1,19 1,17	0,21 0,21 0,21	7,90 7,95 7,94	8,76 8,72 8,66
	(318	13-Aug	14:14	2,73	1,17	0,21	7,89	8,81
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO *	PdO %	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
U2/10-2/5	305 306 307	12-Aug 12-Aug 12-Aug	10:56 11:34 11:34	1,21 1,20 1,21	0,68 0,68 0,68	0,057 0,054 0,053	0,033 0,031 0,031	0,120 • 0,109 0,118
02/10-3	EICH 14 308 EICH 14	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	08:47 10:19 10:59	1,04 0,68 0,86	0,72 0,19 0,39	0,050 0,064 0,049	0,047 0,037 0,048	0,170 0,116 0,162
7417- sumpie moten to yuss	→ 1277 310 (311	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	10:59 11:39 11:39 12:19	0,99 1,08 1,06 1,04	0,36 0,40 0,40 0,38	0,063 0,026 ·0,067	0,036 0,025 0,038	0,122 0,077 0,122
<i>U2/1</i> 0-4	312 313 314 315	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	12:19 12:57 12:57 13:36	1,03 1,06 1,02 1,04	0,38 0,39 0,35 0,38	0,063 0,062 0,061 0,060	0,033 0,037 0,037 0,037 0,036	0,115 0,118 0,122 0,120 0,121
U2/105/1 <i>-3</i>	316 317 318	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	13:36 14:14 14:14	1,01 0,99 0,98	0,38 0,36 0,35	0,056 0,059 0,066	0,034 0,035 0,037	0,115 0,113 0,115
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
U2/.IC-2/5	305 (306 307	12-Aug . 12-Aug	10:56 11:34	* 52,63 52,37	% 0,040 0,038	% 4,72 4,76	% 0,17 0,17	
02/10-3	EICH 14 308 EICH 14	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	08:47 10:19 10:59	52,77 51,84 52,13 - 51,61	0,039 0,028 0,039 0,028	4,74 4,62 4,73 4,67	0,17 0,18 0,17 0,18	
THT-SUMPle Inchen to gloes	→ 309 → L277 310 (211)	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	10:59 11:39 11:39	51,16 52,87 52,11	0,037 0,029 0,038	4,89 4,55 4,74	0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/10-4	312 313 314 315	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	12:19 12:19 12:57 12:57 13:36	51,83 52,33 52,30 51,54 51,47	0,039 0,037 0,037 0,037 0,040	4,81 4,70 4,72 4,83 4,85	0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/10.5/1.3	316 317 318	13-Aug 13-Aug 13-Aug	13:36 14:14 14:14	51,70 51,97 52,01	0,037 0,039 0,039	4,85 4,81 4,76 4,76	0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17	

K£K-IN	E		Appe	endix C		ESM noble n	netals campaign i	report
<u></u>	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	cdo	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
	(210	13-0100	11.53	ቼ 276	* 1.21	* 0.21	ъ 7.82	* 8.89
02/10-5/4-5	1320	13-Aug	14:53	2,74	1,26	0.21	7,82	8,81
	ß21	13-Aug	15:33	2,77	1,21	0,20	7,84	8,63
	322	13-Aug	15:33	2,83	1,18	0,21	7,89	8,91
12/10-6	{323	14-Aug	09:07	2,89	1,15	0,21	7,86	7,92
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	324	14-Aug	09:45	2,82	1,10	0,21	7,87	7,86
•	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7,81	7,70					
	ß26	14-Aug	10:24	2,77	1,15	0,21	7,82	7,70
waller s	327	14-Aug	11.03	2,93	1 1/	0,21	7,92	7 85
02/11-1	7328	14-Aug	11.03	2,15	1,18	0.21	7,72	7,96
	329	14-Aug	11:43	2,79	1,14	0.21	7.72	7,81
	/331	14-Aug	11:43	2,81	1,08	0,21	7,84	7,69
•	332	14-Aug	12:21	2,80	1,16	0,20	7,73	7,89
02/11-2	333	14-Aug	12:21	2,81	1,13	0,21	7,74	8,02
	334	14-Aug	13:12	2,79	1,18	0,21	7,67	7,68
	(335	14-Aug	13:12	2,82.	1,15	0,21	7,68	7,92
	(336	14-Aug	13:50	2,73	1,18	0,20	7,70	7,83
02/11-3/1-4	{337	14-Aug	13:50	2,82	1,13	0,21	7,75	8,20
	338	14-Aug 14-Aug	14:28	2,81	1,13	0,21	7,73	8,05
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru203
	1210	12 3.00	14-53	8	*	*	*	* 0 119
02/10-5/4-5	1319	13-Aug	14:55	1.00	0,32	0,057	0,034	0,120
	(320	13-Aug	15:33	0,99	0.37	0,055	0,033	0,110
	322	13-Aug	15:33	1,00	0,34	0,059	0,037	0,110
110/10-6	<b>323</b>	14-Aug	09:07	1,18	0,66	0,052	0,033	0,119
02/10-0	324	14-Aug	09:45	1,21	0,67	0,056	Rn203 % 0,036 0,034 0,033 0,037 0,033 0,035 0,031 0,032 0,033 0,033 0,031 0,035	0,125
	(325	14-Aug	09:45	1,19	0,66	0,052	0,031	0,117
	(326 (327	14-Aug	10:24	1,19	0,67	0,048	0,029	0,110
112/11 1	327	14-Aug	10:24	1,22	0,68	0,055	0,034	0,117
UX / 11 - 1	1328	14-Aug	11.03	1,19	0,00	0,053	0,031	0,121
	329	14 - Aug	11:43	1,17	0,65	0,052	0,033	0.124
	(331	14-Aug	11:43	1,20	0,67	0,049	0,033	0,111
	332	14-Aug	12:21	1,16	0,66	0,048	0,031	0,108
112/11-2	{333	14-Aug	12:21	1,18	0,66	0,053	0,035	0,127
ULT IN A	334	14-Aug	13:12	1,17	0,65	0,046	0,030	0,115
	(335	14-Aug	13:12	1,17	0,66	0,050	0,032	0,119
	(336	14-Aug	13:50	1,19	0,66	0,044	0,028	0,104
	1337	14-Aug	14.29	1,10	0,66	0,050	0,033	0,113
	339	14-Aug	14:28	1,19	0,66	0,050	0,033	0,113
	U2.OAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
	-			8	8	8	8	
12/10 chir	{319	13-Aug	14:53	51,68	0,038	4,82	0,17	
02/10-3/4-3	(320	13-Aug	14:53	51,05	0,037	4,89	0, 17	
	(321	13-Aug	15.33	51 93	0,030	4,01	0,17	
· U2/10-6	323	14-Aug	09:07	52,34	0,037	4.74	0,17	
0	324	14-Aug	09:45	52,79	0,040	4,64	0,17	
	325	14-Aug	09:45	52,53	0,037	4,71	0,17	
	<b>j</b> 326	14-Aug	10:24	52,41	0,038	4,73	0,17	
1.	327	14-Aug	10:24	52,96	0,038	4,59	0,17	
U2/11-1	<b>{328</b>	14-Aug	11:03	52,65	0,038	4,70	0,17	
	329	14-Aug	11:03	52,27	0,037	4,70	0,17	
	(330	14-Aug	11-43	53,01	0.039	4,62	0.17	
	1222	14-Aug	12:21	52.52	0,038	4,71	0,17	
(1)/11 2	333	14-Aug	12:21	52,78	0,038	4,68	0,17	
Ux/11 - 2	334	14-Aug	13:12	52,34	0,038	4,77	0,17	
	(335	14-Aug	13:12	52,65	.0,038	4,71	0,17	
	(336	14-Aug	13:50	52,33	0,037	4,75	0,17	
12/11-3/1-4	1337	14-Aug	14.20	52,65	0,038	4,08	0,17	
	320	14-Aug	14:20	52,51	0,038	4,68	0,17	
	(333	14-Aug	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52,10	0,000		5,17	

٠

ZP

.

ESM noble metals campaign report

$ \begin{array}{c} \chi_2/11-3/5 & 340 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,61 & 4,16 & 6,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 342 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 2,76 & 1,17 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 342 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 2,76 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 342 & 14-\text{Aug} & 09:17 & 2,82 & 1,01 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 1344 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:17 & 2,82 & 1,01 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 344 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 2,77 & 1,24 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7 \\ 344 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 2,77 & 1,24 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7 \\ 345 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 2,77 & 1,24 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7 \\ 346 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 2,77 & 1,22 & 0,20 & 7,61 & 7 \\ 348 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 2,78 & 1,21 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7 \\ 349 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:13 & 2,77 & 1,20 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7 \\ 350 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 2,78 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 8 \\ 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 8 \\ 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 2,76 & 1,26 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 8 \\ 355 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 2,79 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,69 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 2,79 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,69 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,79 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,69 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 366 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 366 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,77 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 366 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,77 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,67 & 8 \\ 366 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,22 & 0, 7,49 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 03:57 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,22 & 0, 7,49 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 03:57 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,023 & 0, 0,34 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, 0,34 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0, 0,36 & 0,034 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & 0,036 & $		U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs2O	Fe203	Na2O
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10111 21r	340	14 300	15.06	- 15 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	5 1 10	* ^ ? 1	3 7 6 7	*
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/11-5/5	/340	14-Aug	15:00	2,01	1,10	0,21	7,03	/,88
$ \begin{array}{c}   342 \\   2/ 1-4 \\   343 \\   14-Aug \\   15:44 \\   2/,8 \\   1/1 \\   0,2  1/1 \\   15-Aug \\   09:17 \\   2,8 \\   1,11 \\   0,21 \\   1,11 \\   0,21 \\   1,11 \\   0,21 \\   1,11 \\   0,21 \\   1,11 \\   0,21 \\   1,11 \\   0,21 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,11 \\   0,20 \\   1,1$		1341	14-Aug	15.00	2,04	1,10	0,21	7,07	8,07
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		342	14-Aug	15:44	2,70	1,1/	0,21	7,65	7,93
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12/11-4	1343	14-Aug	15:44	2,78	1,19	0,21	1,67	8,09
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	User and 1	EICH14	15-Aug	09:17	2,82	1,01	0,16	Fe2O3 % 7,63 7,67 7,65 7,67 8,53 7,79 7,65 7,61 7,65 7,61 7,65 7,65 7,65 7,65 7,55 7,55 7,55 7,55	7,56
$ \begin{array}{c} (345) & 15-Aug & 09156 & 2,800 & 1,14 & 0,20 & 7,76 & 7 \\ (346 & 15-Aug & 10134 & 2,74 & 1,23 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 8 \\ (347 & 15-Aug & 10134 & 2,74 & 1,23 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7 \\ (348 & 15-Aug & 1113 & 2,77 & 1,20 & 0,20 & 7,63 & 7 \\ (350 & 15-Aug & 11151 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ (351 & 15-Aug & 1121 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ (352 & 15-Aug & 1121 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ (353 & 15-Aug & 1121 & 2,76 & 1,26 & 0,20 & 7,46 & 8 \\ (354 & 15-Aug & 1212 & 2,79 & 1,29 & 0,20 & 7,47 & 8 \\ (355 & 15-Aug & 13250 & 2,79 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,61 & 8 \\ (356 & 15-Aug & 13250 & 2,79 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (357 & 15-Aug & 13250 & 2,77 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (361 & 15-Aug & 13250 & 2,77 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (361 & 15-Aug & 13250 & 2,77 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (361 & 15-Aug & 13250 & 2,77 & 1,12 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (364 & 15-Aug & 1506 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (364 & 15-Aug & 1526 & 2,77 & 1,12 & 0,20 & 7,66 & 8 \\ (364 & 15-Aug & 1526 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 1526 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 1526 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 1526 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 0526 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 0526 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 0526 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 0526 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0, \\ (344 & 15-Aug & 0526 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0, \\ (345 & 15-Aug & 0127 & 1,12 & 0,26 & 0, \\ (347 & 15-Aug & 0127 & 1,12 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ (346 & 15-Aug & 1123 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ (347 & 15-Aug & 0127 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ (346 & 15-Aug & 1123 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ (347 & 15-Aug & 1123 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ (347 & 15-Aug & 1123 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ (346 & 15-Aug & 1326 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0, \\ (356 & 15-Aug & 1326 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0, \\ (356 & 15-Aug & 1326 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0, \\ (356 & 15-Aug & 1326 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & $		344	15-Aug	09:17	2,81	1,17	0,21		7,87
$ \begin{array}{c} 346 & 13-Aug & 09:56 & 2,77 & 1,24 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 8 \\ 347 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 2,78 & 1,21 & 0,20 & 7,62 & 7 \\ 349 & 15-Aug & 11:13 & 2,77 & 1,20 & 0,20 & 7,63 & 7 \\ 350 & 15-Aug & 11:151 & 2,78 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ 351 & 15-Aug & 11:51 & 2,78 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ 352 & 15-Aug & 11:51 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 8 \\ 02/11-6 & 353 & 15-Aug & 11:51 & 2,76 & 1,26 & 0,20 & 7,46 & 8 \\ 355 & 15-Aug & 11:51 & 2,79 & 1,29 & 0,20 & 7,46 & 8 \\ 355 & 15-Aug & 13:12 & 2,79 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,61 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,79 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 357 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 358 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 359 & 15-Aug & 14:28 & 2,74 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 359 & 15-Aug & 14:28 & 2,74 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 360 & 15-Aug & 16:06 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 361 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:61 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 345 & 15-Aug & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-Aug & 11:13 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 11:21 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 11:21 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 11:21 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 11:21 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,033 & 0, $		(345	15-Aug	09:56	2,80	1,14	0,20	7,76	7,88
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		346	15-Aug	09:56	2,77	1,24	0,20	7,65	8,01
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	• · · · •	347	15-Aug	10:34	2,74	1,23	0,20	7,61	7,86
$ \begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 349 \\ 350 \\ 35- Aug \\ 351 \\ 351 \\ 352 \\ 353 \\ 353 \\ 353 \\ 353 \\ 353 \\ 353 \\ 353 \\ 355 \\ 354 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 355 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 356 \\ 3$	U2/11-5	<b>{348</b>	15-Aug	10:34	2,78	1,21	0,20	7,62	7,90
$ \begin{array}{c} 350 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:13 & 2,76 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,63 & 8 \\ 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ 352 & 15-\text{Aug} & 12:34 & 2,74 & 1,21 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 8 \\ 353 & 15-\text{Aug} & 12:34 & 2,74 & 1,22 & 0,20 & 7,47 & 8 \\ 354 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 2,69 & 1,26 & 0,20 & 7,47 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 2,79 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,61 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,79 & 1,13 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,79 & 1,13 & 0,22 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,46 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 361 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,22 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 2,77 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:66 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,043 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 12/\mu - 3/5 & 340 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,043 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 342 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,66 & 0,0651 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 343 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,0651 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0,22 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 347 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,021 & 0, \\ 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:31 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & $		349	15-Aug	11:13	2,77	1,20	0,20	7,63	7,85
$ \begin{array}{c} \frac{351}{352} & 15-Aug & 11:51 & 2,78 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 7 \\ 352 & 15-Aug & 11:51 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,55 & 8 \\ 353 & 15-Aug & 12:34 & 2,79 & 1,29 & 0,20 & 7,47 & 8 \\ 355 & 15-Aug & 13:12 & 2,69 & 1,26 & 0,20 & 7,48 & 8 \\ 355 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,79 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,61 & 8 \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,21 & 7,69 & 7 \\ 02/,02-,1 & 358 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 360 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 2,78 & 1,19 & 0,20 & 7,46 & 8 \\ 360 & 15-Aug & 14:28 & 2,73 & 1,28 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 361 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 362 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,50 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-Aug & 15:44 & 2,71 & 1,22 & 0,20 & 7,50 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-Aug & 15:44 & 2,71 & 1,22 & 0,20 & 7,50 & 8 \\ 342 & 14-Aug & 15:66 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 342 & 14-Aug & 15:64 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,050 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 344 & 14-Aug & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,665 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-Aug & 09:56 & 1,19 & 0,67 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-Aug & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 350 & 15-Aug & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 02/,02/,02,03 & 15-Aug & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 351 & 15-Aug & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-Aug & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 357 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, 1 \\ 02/,02-,02,1-9 & 358 & 15-Aug & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, 1 \\ 362 & 15-Aug & 15:66 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, 1 \\ 364 & 15-Aug & 15:66 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0, 1 \\ 364 & 15-Aug & 15:66 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 &$		<b>\</b> 350	15-Aug	11:13	2,76	1,19	0,20	7,63	8,00
$ \begin{array}{c}  352 \\ \psi_2/\lambda l \cdot 6 \\  353 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 354 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 12:34 \\ 2,79 \\ 1,29 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,47 \\ 8 \\ 355 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 13:12 \\ 2,69 \\ 1,20 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,48 \\ 8 \\ 156 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 13:12 \\ 2,79 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,61 \\ 8 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,48 \\ 8 \\ 156 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 13:50 \\ 2,79 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,61 \\ 8 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,61 \\ 8 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 156 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 13:50 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 13:50 \\ 2,79 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 156 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 14:28 \\ 2,73 \\ 1,28 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,49 \\ 8 \\ 156 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 15:06 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 161 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 15:06 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 161 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 15:06 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 161 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 15:06 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 161 \\ 15 - Aug \\ 15:06 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ $		(351	15-Aug	11:51	2,78	1,25	0,20	7,55	7,85
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \psi_2/\lambda l \cdot 6 \\ \psi_2/\lambda l \cdot 6 \\ \lambda 15 \\ \lambda 1$		352	15-Aug	11:51	2,76	-1,25	0,20	7,55	8,12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	112/11-6	<b>{353</b>	15-Aug	12:34	2,74	1,21	0,20	7,58	7.92
$ \begin{array}{c} 355 & 15-Aug \\ 356 & 15-Aug \\ 357 & 15-Aug \\ 357 & 15-Aug \\ 359 & 15-Aug \\ 359 & 15-Aug \\ 359 & 15-Aug \\ 359 & 15-Aug \\ 361 & 15-Aug \\ 361 & 15-Aug \\ 362 & 15-Aug \\ 362 & 15-Aug \\ 362 & 15-Aug \\ 361 & 15-Aug \\ 362 & 15-Aug \\ 362 & 15-Aug \\ 363 & 15-Aug \\ 364 & 15-Aug \\ 364 & 15-Aug \\ 363 & 15-Aug \\ 364 & 15-Aug $	Var / 111 - 0	354	15-Aug	12:34	2,79	1,29	0,20	7,47	8,30
$\begin{array}{c} 1356 & 15-Aug \\ 1357 & 15-Aug \\ 1350 & 2,79 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 358 \\ 15-Aug \\ 359 \\ 15-Aug \\ 1350 \\ 2,78 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,60 \\ 8 \\ 360 \\ 15-Aug \\ 14:28 \\ 2,74 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,21 \\ 7,57 \\ 8 \\ 360 \\ 15-Aug \\ 14:28 \\ 2,74 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,49 \\ 8 \\ 361 \\ 15-Aug \\ 15:Aug \\ 15:2Aug \\ 15:2Au$		(355	15-Aug	13:12	2,69	1,26	0.20	7.48	8.22
$\begin{array}{c}                                      $		<i>,</i> 356	15-Aug	13:12	2,79	1.19	0,21	7.61	8,20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		357	15-Aug	13:50	2.79	1.13	0.21	7.69	7.79
$ \begin{array}{c} U2/\mu-\eta \\ 359 \\ U2/\mu-2/\mu-4 \\ 360 \\ 361 \\ 15-\text{Aug} \\ 15-\text{Aug} \\ 14:28 \\ 2,74 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,49 \\ 361 \\ 15-\text{Aug} \\ 15:42 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,49 \\ 362 \\ 15-\text{Aug} \\ 15:41 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,66 \\ 8 \\ 363 \\ 15-\text{Aug} \\ 15-\text{Aug} \\ 15:44 \\ 2,77 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,20 \\ 7,66 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\$	12/12.1	358	15-Aug	13:50	2.78	1,19	0.20	7,60	8,17
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1360 & 15-Aug & 14:28 & 2,73 & 1,28 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \\ 161 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,80 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8 \\ 363 & 15-Aug & 15:06 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,64 & 8 \\ 363 & 15-Aug & 15:44 & 2,71 & 1,24 & 0,20 & 7,50 & 8 \\ 364 & 15-Aug & 15:44 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8 \end{array} \right. \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}$	out ha h	359	15-Aug	14:28	2.74	1,19	0.21	7.57	8 24
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 2,80 & 1,12 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 8\\ 362 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 2,77 & 1,15 & 0,20 & 7,64 & 8\\ 363 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 2,71 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,64 & 8\\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 2,76 & 1,25 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 8\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 12.2\mathrm{QAN} & \mathrm{DATE} & \mathrm{TIME} & \mathrm{Nd2O3} & \mathrm{NiO} & \mathrm{PdO} & \mathrm{Rh2O3} & \mathrm{Ru22} \\ \begin{array}{c} 341 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 342 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:26 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,050 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 343 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:26 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,051 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 343 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 343 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,051 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:17 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,19 & 0,67 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 347 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 348 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 349 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 350 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 351 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 358 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 359 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 360 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,6$		1360	15-Aug	14:28	2.73	1,28	0.20	7.49	8 30
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(361	15-Aug	15.06	2,80	1 14	0 21	7 66	8,00
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{2}, 2/\lambda - 4 \\ 363 \\ 364 \\ 15 - \text{Aug} \\ 15 : 44 \\ 364 \\ 15 - \text{Aug} \\ 15 : 44 \\ 2, 76 \\ 1, 25 \\ 2, 76 \\ 1, 25 \\ 2, 76 \\ 1, 25 \\ 2, 20 \\ 7, 50 \\ 1, 25 \\ 2, 20 \\ 7, 49 \\ 8 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 2, 20 \\ 7, 49 \\ 8 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\ 1, 25 \\$		362	15-Aug	15:06	2,77	1,15	0,20	7 64	8 16
$ \begin{array}{c} U2.QAN \\ U2.Q$	12/12-2/1-11	1363	15-Aug	15.44	2 71	1 24	0,20	7 50	9 12
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/22-2/11-4	364	15-Aug	15.44	2 76	1 25	0,20	7,30	0,12
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/\mu_{1-3}/5 & 340 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,049 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 341 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,050 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 342 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 343 & 14-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,051 & 0,034 & 0, \\ 14-14 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,19 & 0,67 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 345 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 347 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,040 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 348 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 348 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 350 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 350 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 351 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 352 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 353 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 357 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 359 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 359 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 360 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0, \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ $		U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203 *	NiO %	PdO %	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
$ \begin{array}{c} 02/11-3/3 \\ 02/11-3/3 \\ 02/11-4 \\ \begin{array}{c} 341 \\ 342 \\ 343 \\ 343 \\ 344 \\ 15-Aug \\ 344 \\ 15-Aug \\ 09:17 \\ 345 \\ 15-Aug \\ 09:56 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,67 \\ 0,045 \\ 0,045 \\ 0,045 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,000 \\ 0,00$	12/11 2/5	340	14-Aug	15:06	1.18	0.65	0.049	0.031	0,122
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/\mu_{1-4} \\ u_2/\mu_{1-4} \\ \begin{array}{c} 342 \\ 343 \\ 343 \\ 343 \\ 344 \\ 15-Aug \\ 344 \\ 15-Aug \\ 917 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 15-Aug \\ 917 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 345 \\ 346 \\ 15-Aug \\ 9156 \\ 1,16 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,028 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,135 \\ 15-Aug \\ 13:12 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,64 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,135 \\ 15-Aug \\ 13:12 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,64 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,135 \\ 15-Aug \\ 13:12 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,64 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,2 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,1 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\ 0,0 \\$	02/11-5/5	/341	14-Aug	15:06	1,18	0,66	0,050	· 0.034	0,123
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/\lambda_1 \cdot y \\ u_2/\lambda_1 \cdot y \\ \begin{array}{c} 343 & 14-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,051 & 0,034 & 0, \\ \text{EICH14} & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0, \\ 344 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,19 & 0,67 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 345 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,19 & 0,67 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 346 & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 347 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,040 & 0,028 & 0, \\ 348 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 349 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 350 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0, \\ 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0, \\ 352 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,65 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 354 & 15-\text{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 355 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0, \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 359 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0, \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0, \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0, \\ 361 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0, \\ 363 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0, \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0, \\ \end{array}$		342	14-Aug	15:44	1.17	0.65	0.047	0.032	0,124
$ \begin{array}{c} U2/14-9 \\ U2/14-9 \\ U2/14-9 \\ U2/14-9 \\ U2/14-9 \\ U2/14-5 \\ U2/14-5 \\ U2/14-5 \\ U2/14-6 \\ U2/14-6 \\ U2/12-2/1-4 \\ U2/12-2/1-4 \\ U2/12-2/1-4 \\ U2/12-2/1-4 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{EICH14} & 15-\text{Aug} & 09:17 & 1,04 & 0,71 & 0,046 & 0,044 & 0,031 \\ 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,19 & 0,67 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 15-\text{Aug} & 09:56 & 1,16 & 0,665 & 0,044 & 0,028 & 0,000 \\ 347 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,028 & 0,000 \\ 348 & 15-\text{Aug} & 10:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 348 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 348 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 350 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0,000 \\ 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0,000 \\ 354 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,665 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,000 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 358 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,000 \\ 359 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0,000 \\ 361 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 361 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 363 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,000 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 &$	un/11/1	343	14-Aug	15:44	1,16	0,66	0,051	0.034	0,125
$ \begin{array}{c} 12/11-5 \\ 12/11-5 \\ 12/11-6 \\ 136 \\ 15-Aug \\ 346 \\ 345 \\ 346 \\ 347 \\ 15-Aug \\ 15-Aug \\ 10:34 \\ 15-Aug \\ 10:34 \\ 1.16 \\ 0.65 \\ 0.044 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ $	02/11-4	SEICH14	15-Aug	09:17	1,04	0.71	0,046	0.044	0.170
$ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		344	15-Aug	09:17	1,18	0,66	0,045	0,030	0,119
$ \frac{346}{347} = \frac{15-\text{Aug}}{15-\text{Aug}} = 09:56 = 1,16 = 0,66 = 0,044 = 0,028 = 0, 347 = 15-\text{Aug}}{10:34} = 1,16 = 0,65 = 0,040 = 0,028 = 0, 348 = 15-\text{Aug}} = 10:34 = 1,17 = 0,65 = 0,045 = 0,031 = 0, 350 = 15-\text{Aug}} = 11:13 = 1,17 = 0,65 = 0,044 = 0,031 = 0, 350 = 15-\text{Aug}} = 11:13 = 1,18 = 0,65 = 0,044 = 0,031 = 0, 350 = 15-\text{Aug}} = 11:51 = 1,18 = 0,65 = 0,041 = 0,027 = 0,1352 = 15-\text{Aug}} = 11:51 = 1,14 = 0,65 = 0,041 = 0,027 = 0,1352 = 15-\text{Aug}} = 11:51 = 1,14 = 0,65 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,045 = 0,045 = 0,030 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,044 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,044 = 0,044 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,044 = 0,044 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,047 = 0,044 = 0,044 = 0,033 = 0,15 = 0,044 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,044 = 0,034 = 0,0$		(345	15-Aug	09:56	1,19	0,67	0,045	0,032	0,115
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/11-5 \\ u_2/11-5 \\ u_2/11-5 \\ u_2/11-5 \\ u_2/11-6 \\ u_2/12-1 \\ u_2/12-2/1-4 \\ u_2/12-$		(346	15-Aug	09:56	1,16	0,66	0,044	0,028	0,108
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.5 \\ \mathcal{U}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.5 \\ \mathcal{U}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.4.5 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.6 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.6 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.6 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.6 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.6 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.6 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.4 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.4 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4}.4 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}.4 \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}$		347	15-Aug	10:34	1,16	0,65	0,040	0,028	0,115
$ \frac{\partial x}{\partial 4} = \begin{cases} 349 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,33 \\ 350 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0,33 \\ 351 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0,33 \\ 352 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0,33 \\ 353 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0,33 \\ 354 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,33 \\ 355 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,33 \\ 356 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,033 & 0,31 \\ 357 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0,33 \\ 358 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,33 \\ 359 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0,31 \\ 360 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,31 \\ 360 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,31 \\ 361 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,33 \\ 361 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,33 \\ 362 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,33 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,33 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,31 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,31 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,31 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15 - \text$	112/11-	<b>{348</b>	15-Aug	10:34	1,17	0,65	0,045	0,031	0,124
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 350 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:13 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,032 & 0,5 \\ 351 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0,3 \\ 352 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,65 & 0,039 & 0,030 & 0,1 \\ 353 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0,1 \\ 354 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,1 \\ 355 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,1 \\ 356 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,033 & 0,1 \\ 357 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0,1 \\ 358 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 359 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0,1 \\ 360 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,1 \\ 361 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,1 \\ 362 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,1 \\ 362 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 363 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \\ 364 & 15-\mathrm{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,1 \end{array} $	02/11-5	349	15-Aug	11:13	1,17	0,65 ·	0,044	0,031	0,121
$ U_2/J_4 - 6 \begin{cases} 351 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0,13 \\ 352 & 15-\text{Aug} & 11:51 & 1,14 & 0,65 & 0,039 & 0,030 & 0,13 \\ 353 & 15-\text{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,045 & 0,030 & 0,13 \\ 354 & 15-\text{Aug} & 12:34 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,13 \\ 355 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,13 \\ 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,033 & 0,13 \\ 357 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0,13 \\ 358 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 359 & 15-\text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,13 \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,13 \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,13 \\ 361 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,13 \\ 362 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 363 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,14 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,14 \\ 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 & 364 $	•	(350	15-Aug	11:13	1,18	0,65	0,047	0,032	0,123
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{V}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{-2}} \\ \mathcal{V}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{-2}/\mathcal{U}_{-4} \\ \begin{array}{c} 352 \\ 353 \\ 354 \\ 354 \\ 354 \\ 15-\mathrm{Aug} \\ 15-\mathrm{Aug} \\ 12:34 \\ 1,17 \\ 0,65 \\ 15-\mathrm{Aug} \\ 12:34 \\ 1,14 \\ 0,64 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,13 \\ 0,13 \\ 0,14 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,045 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,045 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,045 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,17 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\ 0,18 \\$		<i>(</i> 351	15-Aug	11:51	1,15	0,65	0,041	0,027	0,119
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		352	15-Aug	11:51	1,14	0,65	0,039	0,030	0,114
$ \frac{354}{355} = \frac{15-\text{Aug}}{15-\text{Aug}} = \frac{12:34}{1,14} = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4$	U2/11-6	{353	15-Aug	12:34	1,17	0,65	0,045	0,030	0,120
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		354	15-Aug	12:34	1,14	0,64	0,044	0,031	0,124
$ U_2/J_2-J_1 \begin{cases} 356 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,033 & 0,13 \\ 357 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,033 & 0,13 \\ 358 & 15-\text{Aug} & 13:50 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 359 & 15-\text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0,13 \\ 360 & 15-\text{Aug} & 14:28 & 1,15 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,031 & 0,13 \\ 361 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,041 & 0,029 & 0,13 \\ 362 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:06 & 1,16 & 0,66 & 0,045 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 363 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,17 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ 364 & 15-\text{Aug} & 15:44 & 1,19 & 0,64 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,13 \\ \end{cases}$		L355	15–Aug	. 13 <b>:</b> 12	1,15	0,64	0,043	0,030	0,123
$ \begin{array}{c} U_2/J_2-J_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1-Y_1 Y_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1-Y_1-Y_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1 \\ U_2/J_2-J_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y_1-Y$		(356	15-Aug	• 13:12	1,15	0,65	0,047	0,033	0,114
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·	357	15-Aug	13:50	1,18	0,66	0,045	0,033	0,121
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{2} \\ \mathcal{U}_{2}/\mathcal{U}_{2}-\mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4} \\ \mathcal{U}_{364} \\ \mathcal{U}_{4}/\mathcal{U}_{4} \\ \mathcal{U}_{4$	12/12-1	<b>{358</b>	15-Aug	13:50	1,16	0,64	0,045	0,032	0,120
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	out he h	359	15-Aug	14:28	1,16	0,64	0,046	0,033	0,131
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[360	15-Aug	14:28	1,15	0,64	0,044	0,031	0,121
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(361	15-Aug	15:06	1,18	0,67	0,041	0,029	0,108
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12/12 2/11	1362	15-Aug	15:06	1,16	0,66	0,045	0,032	0,108
(364 15-Aug 15:44 1,19 0,64 0,044 0,032 0,1	02/.12-52/.1-4	363	15-Aug	15:44	1,17	0,64	0,044	0,032	0,124
		(364	15-Aug	15:44	1,19	0,64	0,044	0,032	0,120

					•		
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	МоО2 %
us lu sic	340	14-Aug	15:06	52.48	0.038	4.73	0,17
V2/21-3/5	/3/1	14-Aug	15:06	52,60	0.038	4,72	0,17
	342	14-Aug	15:44	52,56	0,039	2rO2 38 4,73 38 4,72 39 4,74 37 4,75 29 4,64 37 4,75 39 4,71 38 4,82 39 4,81 37 4,77 38 4,80 38 4,80 38 4,75 38 4,80 38 4,75 38 4,81 37 4,87 38 4,867 37 4,87 38 4,67 37 4,80 36 4,84	0,17
	343	14-Aug	15:44	52.24	0,037	4,75	0,17
02/11-4	ETCH14	15-Aug	09:17	51,77	0,029	4,64	0,18
	344	15-Aug	09:17	52,33	0,037	4,75	0,17
	345	15-Aug	TIMESiO2TeO2ZrO2Mage $\$$ $\$$ $\$$ $\$$ 15:0652,600,0384,7315:0652,600,0394,7415:4452,560,0394,7415:4452,240,0374,7509:1751,770,0294,6409:1752,330,0374,7509:5652,630,0394,7109:5651,600,0384,8210:3451,740,0394,8110:3452,040,0374,7711:1352,150,0384,8011:5151,560,0374,8212:3452,160,0384,8411:5151,560,0374,8713:1252,370,0374,7313:5052,860,0384,6713:5052,390,0374,7414:2851,130,0374,8715:0652,760,0374,6715:4451,840,0374,8015:4451,700,0364,84	0,17			
	(346	15-Aug	09:56	51,60	0,038	4,82	0,17
	347	15-Aug	10:34	51,74	0,039	4,81	0,17
12/11-5	348	15-Aug	10:34	52,04	0,037	4,77	0,17
0.007 ////	349	15-Aug	11:13	52,15	0,038	4,80	0,17
	350	15-Aug	11:13	52,25	0,038	4,75	0,17
	351	15-Aug	11:51	51,54	0,038	4,84	0,17
	352	15-Aug	11:51	51,56	0,037	4,82	0,17
up lu c	1353	15-Aug	12:34	52,16	0,038	4,77	0,17
000/111-6	354	15-Aug	12:34	51,15	0,037	4,87	0,17
	355	15-Aug	13:12	51,44	0,038	4,85	0,17
	/356	15-Aug	13:12	52,37	0,037	4,73	0,17
	357	15-Aug	13:50	52,86	0,038	4,67	0,17
(2/12-1)	<b>{358</b>	15-Aug	13:50	52,39	0,037	4,74	0,17
0.07	359	15-Aug	14:28	52,32	0,038	4,76	0,17
	L360	15-Aug	14:28	51,13	0,037	4,87	0,17
	(361	15-Aug	15:06	52,80	0,038	4,67	0,17
12/12.211	u 362	15-Aug	15:06	52,76	0,037	4,67	0,17
02/12 -2 /1	⁷ ]363	15-Aug	15:44	51,84	0,037	4,80	0,17
	(364	15-Aug	15:44	51,70	0,036	4,84	0,17

KfK-IN	Œ	•	Appe	ndix C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ESM noble mo	etals campaign re	port
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
12/12-2/5	EICH 14 365 (366	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	08:59 08:59 09:38	2,79 2,77 2,77	0,99 1,15 1,08	0,15 0,20 0,21	8,60 7,68 7,74	ъ 7,79 7,93 7,81
U2/12-3	)367 )368  369 (370 /371  372	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	09:38 10:31 10:31 11:09 11:09 12:52	2,84 2,75 2,79 2,73 2,79 2,79 2,73	1,08 1,13 1,19 1,20 1,22 1,24	0,21 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20	7,75 7,65 7,56 7,54 7,59	7,85 7,60 8,01 7,69 7,83
U2/12-4	)373 )374 (375 (376	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	12:52 13:30 13:30 14:08	2,76 2,76 2,72 2,74	1,25 1,18 1,25 1,19	0,20 0,20 0,20 . 0,20	7,49 7,51 7,50 7,52	8,04 7,94 8,19 8,03
u2/.12-5/.1-3	3 {377 378	16-Aug 19-Aug	14:08 14:41	2,74 2,75 _.	1,28 1,21	0,20 0,20	7,46 7,49	7,98 7,85
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru2O3
)2/12-2/5	EICH 14 365 (366	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	08:59 08:59 09:38	1,06 1,15 1,18	6,69 0,66 0,67	0,049 0,046 0,042	ъ 0,048 0,033 0,032	* 0,174 0,125 0,109
ע / גע	)367 (368 (369 (370 (371	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	09:38 10:31 10:31 11:09 11:09	1,17 1,17 1,16 1,16 1,15	0,67 0,65 0,64 0,65 0,65	0,045 0,042 0,044 0,039 0,034	0,032 0,031 0,032 0,030 0,027	0,113 0,122 0,122 0,116
U2/12-4	372 373 374 375 (376	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	12:52 12:52 13:30 13:30	1,15 1,15 1,13 1,14	0,65 0,64 0,64 0,64	0,034 0,039 0,039 0,043	0,027 0,030 0,033 0,031	0,110 0,122 0,124 0,118
u2/12·5/1·3	377 (378	16-Aug 16-Aug 19-Aug	14:08 14:41	1,15 1,15 1,16	0,63 0,65 0,64	0,039 0,035 0,039	0,031 0,028 0,031	0,112 0,114 0,119
·	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
V2/J2-2/5	EICH 14 365 366 367	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	08:59 08:59 09:38 09:38	51,71 52,64 53,21 53,16	0,029 0,037 0,038 0,038	4,60 4,70 4,58 4,59	0,18 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/J2-3	)368 369 370 371	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	10:31 10:31 11:09 11:09	52,93 52,45 52,39 51,95	0,038 0,037 0,039 0,037	4,67 4,75 4,74 4,78	0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/12-4	373 374 375 (376	16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug 16-Aug	12:52 12:52 13:30 13:30	51,88 51,68 52,60 51,71	0,037 0,037 0,038 0,037	4,79 4,81 4,73 4,83	0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17	
V2/.12-5/1-3	377 378	16-Aug 19-Aug	14:08	51,22 52,23	0,037 0,037	4,71 4,85 4,76	0,17 0,17 0,17	

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O
12/12 0/11-5	j379	19-Aug	14:41	2,77	ı,21	0,20	7,50	8,23
U2/12-5/4-5	[380	19-Aug	15:19	2,67	1,27	0,20	7,46	7,83
	(381	19-Aug	15:19	2,81	1,13	0,21	7,62	8,17
102/12 1	382	20-Aug	08:37	2,27	1,24	0,20	7,58	8,09
02/13-1	{383	20-Aug	09:18	2,78	1,18	0,20	7,55	7,58
	384	20-Aug	09:18	2,83	1,11	0,21	7,65	7,70
	(385	20-Aug	09:57	2,87	1,14	0,20	7,60	7,84
	1386	20-Aug	09:57	2,80	1,13	0,20	7,63	8,04
112/10 0	387	20-Aug	10:35	2,72	1,23	0,20	7,52	7,95
UZ/13-2	1388	20-Aug	10:35	2,75	1,19	0,20	7,55	8,22
	389	20-Aug	11:13	2,78	1,17	0,20	7,52	8,00
	(390	20-Aug	11.53	2,79	1,12	0,21	7,60	8,10
	(391	20-Aug	11.53	2,03	1,33	0,20	7,38	7,63
	392	20-Aug	12:55	2,70	1,20	0,20	7,47	8,20
02/13-3	1393	20-Aug	12:50	2,00	1,30	0,19	7,39	7,93
	1394	20-Aug	12.30	2,11	1 72	0,20	7,00	8,25
	(395	20-Aug	12.37	2,01	1,34	0,19	7,30	8,18
112/12/11/2	207	20-Aug	14.15	2,13	1 10	0,20	7,55	0,44
02113-4/1-3	1308	20-Aug	14.15	2,70	1 25	0,20	7,50	0,13
	(390	20-Aug	14:13	2,09	1,20	0,20	1,41	0,40
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NìO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
				8	ક	ક	8	ક
untin chi c	<i>}</i> 379 [·]	19-Aug	14:41	1,16	0,64	0,041	0,032	0,120
02/12-3/9-5	(380	19-Aug	15:19	1,14	0,64	0,037	0,029	0,124
	381	19-Aug	15:19	1,18	0,66	0,041	0,033	0,112
	382	20-Aug	08:37	1,16	0,65	0,039	0,031	0,120
0:2/13-1	<b>{383</b>	20-Aug	09:18	1,14	0,65	0,038	0,030	0,122
	384	20-Aug	09:18	1,17	0,65	0,041	0,032	0,114
	(385	20-Aug	09:57	1,16	0,65	0,042	0,032	0,117
	1386	20-Aug	09:57	1,17	0,66	0,043	• 0,032	0,121
112/12 0	1387	20-Aug	10:35	1,10	0,65	0,036	0,030	0,116
Ux/10-2	300	20-Aug	11.12	1,10	0,65	0,046	0,034	0,128
	1309	20-Aug	11.12	1 16	0,64	0,044	0,032	0,120
	(39)	20-Aug	11.53	1 13	0,65	0,040	0,035	0,121
	1392	20-Aug	11.53	1 1 /	0,04	0,031	0,025	0,100
10/10 0	1393	20-Aug	12-58	1 15	0,05	0,037	0,029	0,100
U2/13-3	394	20-Aug	12:58	1,15	0,64	0.045	0,029	0,120
	395	20-Aug	13:37	1,13	0.63	0.038	0,028	0 121
	/396	20-Aug	13:37	1,17	0,65	0,044	0.029	0,098
112/12.11/1	2397	20-Aug	14:15	1,18	0,65	0,045	0,030	0,103
02/ 10-7/ 4	(398	20-Aug .	14:15	1,15	0,64	0,045	0,031	0,116

. . . . ....

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2
allo r h. r	(379	19-110	14 • 41	52 20	*	*	8
12/12-5/4-5	1380	19-Aug	15.10	51 47	0,037	4,76	0,17
	13.91	19-Aug	15.19	51,47	0,037	4,86	0,17
	1292	20 Aug	12:13	52,96	0,037	4,65	· 0,17
112 1	1302	20-Aug	08:3/	51,76	0,035	4,81	0,17
02/13-1	1383	20-Aug	09:18	52,55	0,038	4,72	0,17
	384	20-Aug	09:18	53,07	0,037	4,69	0,17
	(385	20-Aug	09:57	52,97	0,039	4.68	0.17
	(386	20-Aug	09:57	52,98	0.037	4 65	0 17
	387	20-Aug	10:35	51.97	0.037	4 80	0,17
12/13.2	<b>₹388</b>	20-Aug	10:35	52,50	0,036	4,00	0,17
0,0,10 00 1	389	20-Aug	11:13	52,80	0 037	4,75	0,17
	(390	20-Aug	11:13	53,10	0 038	4,70	0,17
	<i>í</i> 391	20-Aug	11:53	50 41	0,037	4,05	0,17
	392	20-Aug	11:53	. 51 67	0,036	4,94	0,17
112/12.3	393	20-Aug	12.58	51 06	0,030	4,02	0,17
	1394	20-Aug	12.50	57 40	0,037	4,88	0,17
	305	20-1109	12.00	50,40	0,037	4,74	0,17
	(396 .	20-Aug	12.37	50,56	0,037	4,94	0,17
un /12 11 12	1207	20-Aug	13:37	52,01	0,037	4,78	0,17
UX/13-4/1-3	1397	20-Aug	14:15	52,58	0,038	4,72	0,17
	(398	20-Aug	14:15	51,68	0,037	4.82	0.17

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A12O3	CdO *	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
00/17 1.1	(399	20-Aug	14:53	2,70	1,31	0,20	7,35	8.17
02/15-4/4-5	[400	20-Aug	14:53	2,68	1,34	0,20	7,35	8,35
	<i>,</i> 401	20-Aug	15:32	2,67	1,26	0,20	7,46	8,58
	402	20-Aug	15:32	2,79	1,19	0,20	7,56	8,57
112/12 E	403	21-Aug	08:33	2,45	1,40	0,16	6,99	9,05
04/12-19	)EICH 14	21-Aug	09:11	2,49	1,20	0,12	7,79	8,99
	404	21-Aug	09:11	2,62	1,09	0,20	7,66	7,54
	<b>\</b> 405	· 21-Aug	10:10	2,70	1,23	0,20	7,51	7,74
	(406	21-Aug	10:10	2,77	1,22	0,20	7,51	7,89
	407	21-Aug	10:48	2,72	1,18	0,20	7,53	7,53
U2/,14-1	<b>{408</b>	21-Aug	10:48	2,76	1,20	0,20	7,52	7,84
	409	21-Aug	11:26	2,72 ·	1,26	0,20	7,42	7,72
	(410	21-Aug	11:26	2,71	1,31	0,20	7,36	7,92
	(411	21-Aug	12:05	2,72	1,24	0,18	6,41	7,97
undue n	412	21-Aug	12:05	2,78	1,16	0,20	7,57	7,89
02/.14-2	<b>{413</b>	21-Aug	12:46	2,81	1,19	0,20	7,49	7,84
	414	21-Aug	12:46	2,80	1,13	0,20	7,58	7,86
	(415	21-Aug	13:24	2,77	1,18	0,20	7,53	7,80
•	(416	21-Aug	13:24	2,79	1,19	0,20	7,54	7,66
unter n	1417	21-Aug	14:03	2,17	1,12 .	0,21	7,59	7,69
02/.14-3	418	21-Aug	14:03	2,11	1,14	0,20	7,58	7,85
	.419	21-Aug	14:41	2,67	1,25	0,20	7,45	7,59
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd2O3 %	NiO %	PdO %	Rh2O3 、 そ	Ru2O3 %
12/12/11/11.5	<b>∫</b> 399	20-Aug	14:53	1,13	0,63	0,041	0,029	0,117
1,19-474-0	(400	20-Aug	14:53	1,14	0,63	0,043	0,030	0,122
	/401	20-Aug	15:32	1,16	0,65	0,043	0,030	0,105
	402	20-Aug	15:32	1,17	0,66	0,046	0,032	0,110
112/13-5	403	21-Aug	08:33	0,43	0,10	0,046	0,032	0,123
	LICH 14	21-Aug	09:11	0,35	0,13	0,047	0,045	0,170
	404	21-Aug	10.10	1,18	0,66	0,046	0,032	0,122
	(405	21-Aug	10.10	1 15	0,64	0,042	0,029	0,122
	407	21-Aug	10.10	1 16	0,65	0,042	0,027	0,093
10/10 1	408	21-Aug	10:48	1,16	0,05	0,040	0,025	0,104
Uz/.14 · 1	409	21-Aug	11:26	1,13	0,64	0 041	0,031	0,110
	410	21-Aug	11:26	1,13	0.63	0.041	0,029	0,129
	(411	21-Aug	12:05	1,00	0,53	0.034	0.024	0,096
	412	21-Aug	12:05	1,16	0,65	0,044	0.030	0.113
U2/14-2	<b>{413</b>	21-Aug	12:46	1,17	0,64	0,047	0.031	0.120
	414	21-Aug	12:46	1,14	0,64	0,050	0,033	0,116
	(415	21-Aug	13:24	1,16	0,64	0,046	0,030	0,117
	416	21-Aug	13:24	1,16	0,66	0,039	0,028	0,114
	417	21-Aug	14:03	1,17	0,65	0,046	0,031	0,116
U2/14-3	418	21-Aug	14:03	1,15	0,64	0,049	0,032	0,120
	419	21-Aug	14:41	1,15	0,64	0,041	0,028	0,118
	(420	21-Aug	14:41	1,18	0,65	0,052	0,035	0,114

1

•

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2
12/12/11/11 5	∫399	20-Aug	14:53	50,83	0,037	ъ 4.88	[€] 0,16
ua/15-4/4-5	<b>\400</b>	20-Aug	14:53	50,36	0,036	4,93	0.17
	(401	20-Aug	15:32	51,69	0,038	4.82	0.17
	402	20-Aug	15:32	52,42	0,037	4,74	0.17
12/12-5	<b>√403</b>	21-Aug	08:33	49,92	0,033	5,06	0.17
W-4 .1.5 U	EICH 14	21-Aug	09:11	51,30	0,024	4,95	0.18
	404	21-Aug	09:11	53,29	0,037	4,61	0.17
	(405	21-Aug	10:10	52,02	0,037	4,80	0.17
	(406	21-Aug	10:10	52,12	0,037	4.77	0.17
	407	21-Aug	10:48	52,58	0,039	4,72	0,17
14-1	<b>∤</b> 408 ·	21-Aug	10:48	52,40	0,037	4,74	0.17
	409	21-Aug	11:26	51,68	0,037	4,82	0,17
	410	21-Aug	11:26	50,87	0,036	4,87	0.17
	(411	21-Aug	12:05	52,64	0,034	4,23	0,13
10/10 0	412	21-Aug	12:05	52,77	0,036	4,69	0,17
U2/14-2	<b>{413</b>	21-Aug	12:46	52,58	0,037	4,72	0,17
	414	21-Aug	12:46	53,09	0,037	4,63	0,17
	(415	21-Aug	13:24	52,69	0,040	4,72	0,17
	<b>A</b> 16	21-Aug	13:24	52,46	0,037	4,72	0,17
101111	417	21-Aug	14:03	53,15	0,038	4,63	0,17
-02/19-3.	<b>{418</b>	21-Aug	14:03	52,97	0,036	4,66	0,17
	419	21-Aug	14:41	51,82	0,037	4,81	0,17
	1420	21-Aug	14:41	53,31	0,038	4,59	0.17

KfK-INE

U2/14-4 U2/14-5 U2/15-1/1-4	U2.QAN FICH 14 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433	DATE 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug	TIME 18:19 10:32 11:10 11:48 11:48 12:26 13:11 13:11 13:49 13:49 13:49 14:27	Al2O3 % 2,773 2,773 2,73 2,81 2,81 2,99 2,51 2,67 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,73	CdO % 0,09 1,16 1,06 1,04 1,36 1,20 1,06 1,22 1,21 1,15 1,14 1,17 1,18	Cs20 % 0,26 0,20 0,21 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,2	Fe2O3 % 7,66 7,70 7,78 7,56 7,17 7,75 7,50 7,50 7,57 7,55 7,55 7,52	Na2O % 55 8,13 7,99 7,70 8,04 8,77 8,55 7,86 8,55 7,91 7,95 7,90 8,15 8,01
	(434	24-Aug	14:27	2,73	1,25	0,20	7,44	8,09
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
U2/14-4 U2/14-5 U2/15-1/.1-4	EICH 14 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 (431 432 433 434	24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug	10:10 10:32 10:32 11:10 11:10 11:48 12:26 12:26 13:11 13:11 13:49 13:49 13:49 14:27 14:27	<pre>% 1,05 1,16 1,19 1,21 1,18 0,55 1,17 1,16 1,15 1,18 1,18 1,18 1,18 1,17 1,13</pre>	% 0,70 0,66 0,65 0,65 0,64 0,53 0,64 0,64 0,64 0,64 0,65 0,64	% 0,053 0,050 0,046 0,054 0,060 0,050 0,048 0,048 0,045 0,048 0,046 0,050 0,047 0,049 0,049 0,048	<pre>% 0,047 0,035 0,031 0,035 0,032 0,032 0,032 0,031 0,031 0,031 0,031 0,031 0,029</pre>	<pre>% 0,170 0,113 0,111 0,119 0,122 0,116 0,105 0,117 0,121 0,118 0,112 0,102 0,109 0,119 0,119</pre>
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2	
U2/.14 - 4 U2/.14 - 5 U2/.15 - 1/.1 - 4	EICH 14 (421 422 423 424 425 (426 427 428 429 430 (431 432 433	24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug 24-Aug	10:10 10:32 10:32 11:10 11:48 11:48 12:26 12:26 13:11 13:11 13:49 13:49 13:49 14:27	<pre>% 51,89 53,25 52,62 53,42 53,37 49,64 53,06 53,06 52,14 52,95 53,03 52,77 52,72</pre>	% 0,028 0,038 0,038 0,038 0,038 0,036 0,036 0,037 0,037 0,037 0,037 0,038 0,036 0,036	<pre>% 4,55 4,61 4,70 4,55 4,54 5,00 4,76 4,76 4,76 4,76 4,67 4,67 4,68 4,71</pre>	<pre>% 0,18 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17</pre>	

.

• .

.

KfK-	-INE.
------	-------

Appendix C

ESM noble metals campaign report

	U2.QAN	DATE .	TIME	A1203	CđO	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
12/15-1/5	435 (436 EICH 14	24-Aug 24-Aug 25-Aug	15:19 15:19 08:48	2,77 2,75 2,52	1,15 1,24 1,21	0,20 0,20 0,12	5 7,51 7,45 7,88	* 7,90 8,41 9,44
12/15-2	437 438 439	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	08:48 09:26 09:26	2,24 2,41 2,38	1,22 1,34 1,48	0,18 0,16 0,16	7,11 6,87 6,76	8,07 8,58 9,14
	(440 (441 (442	25–Aug 25–Aug 25–Aug	10:36 10:36 11:19	2,78 2,78 2,76	1,36 1,14 1,14	0,20 0,20 0,20	7,54 7,60 7,61	8,67 7,83 7,60
02/15-3	443 444 445	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	11:19 11:57 11:57	2,78 2,69 2,75	1,16 1,29 1,19	0,20 0,20 0,20	7,58 7,39 7,55	7,84 7,76 7,67
02/15-4	448 447 448 449	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	12:36 12:36 13:18 13:18	2,71 2,74 2,69 2,78	1,25 1,25 1,27 1,20	0,20 0,20 0,20	7,48 7,47 7,41 7,51	7,65 7,76 7,67 8,06
UZ/15·5/1-2	(450 (451 (452	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	13:57 13:57 14:35	2,73 2,71 2,77	. 1,27 1,33 1,12	0,20 0,19 0,20	7,43 7,36 7,60	7,72 7,76 7,65
		0.3007	MTNE	X-200	Nio	<b>D</b> 40		D 000
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203 %	N1O %	PdO %	Rh2O3 ዬ	Ru2O3 %
02/15-115	435 (436 (EICH 14	24-Aug 24-Aug 25-Aug	15:19 15:19 08:48	1,17 1,16 0,38	0,64 0,65 0,13	0,050 0,046 0,053	0,033 0,030 0.048	0,124 0,116 0,169
UZ/15-2	) 437   438   439	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	08:48 09:26 09:26	0,45 0,41 0,42	0,10 0,09 0,08	0,045 0,048 0,048	0,028 0,030 0,031	0,114 0,118 0,123
	(440 (441 (442	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	10:36 10:36 11:19	0,91 1,16 1,17	0,29 0,66 0,65	0,048 0,047 0,046	0,031 0,030 0,029	0,125 0,107 0,119
02/15-3	443 444 445	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	11:19 11:57 11:57	1,14 1,14 1,15	0,65 0,62 0,64	0,051 0,041 0,046	0,032 0,027 0,030	0,120 0,118 0,123
U2/15-4	446 447 448	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	12:36 12:36 13:18	1,15 1,13 1,16	0,64 0,65 0,64	0,041 0,041 0,042	0,026 0,028 0,027	0,115 0,118 0,123
117/15-5/1-9	(450 (451 (452	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	13:57 13:57 14:35	1,15 1,14 1,11 1,17	0,65 0,64 0,63	0,047 0,043 0,037 0.044	0,032 0,029 0,025 0,031	0,122 0,117 0,110 0,109
027.10 074 0			11100	-,-,	0,00	0,044	0,001	0,105
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2 %	TeO2 %	ZrO2 १	МоО2 %	
027.15-115	435 (436 (EICH 14	24-Aug 24-Aug 25-Aug	15:19 15:19 08:48	53,03 51,94 50,98	0,038 0,038 0,025	4,66 4,80 4,99	0,17 0,17 0,18	
V2/15-2	) 437   438   439	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	08:48 09:26 09:26	52,98 51,18 48,22	0,039 0,033 0,032	4,78 4,99 5,17	0,17 0,17 0,17	
	(440 (441 (442	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	10:36 10:36 11:19	49,67 52,93 52,99	0,032 0,038 0,038	4,99 4,66 4,66	0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/15-3	443 444 445	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	11:19 11:57 11:57	52,80 51,33 52,47	0,037 0,037 0,037	4,69 4,85 4,75	0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/15-4	445 447 448	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	12:36 12:36 13:18	51,81 51,72 51,61	0,037 0,036 0,036	4,81 4,81 4,83	0,17 0,17 0,17	
U2/15-5/1-2	450 451 452	25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug 25-Aug	13:57 13:57 14:35	52,43 51,63 50,62 53.13	0,037 0,037 0,035 0.039	4,75 4,82 4,90 4.64	0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17	

KfK-II	NE		App	endix C		ESM noble m	ESM noble metals campaign report		
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe203	Na2O	
	(			8	8	8	8	£	
12/10 0/20	1433	23=Aug	14:33	2:23	1:29	8:28	7:48	9:13	
12-5/3-5	(455	25-Aug	15:13	2,76	1,27	0,20	7,43	7,83	
	456	25-Aug	15:51	2,76	1,17	0,21	7,53	7,80	
	EICH 14	26-Aug	11:20	2,81	1,00	0,15	8,37	7,82	
	457	26-Aug	11:20	2,78	1,08	0,21	7,68	7,72	
U2/16-1	1458	26-Aug	12:55	2,73	1,18	0,20	7,53	7,86	
,	459	26-Aug	12:55	2,78	1,20	0,20	7,50	. 7,98	
	LICH 14	26-Aug	14:18	2,81	1,02	0,15	8,36	7,68	
10/10 0/1	461	26-Aug 26-Aug	14:10	2,01	1 20	0,20	7,61	7,77	
U;1/,16-2/,1		20 mag	14620	2,05	1,20	0,20	7,50	7,71	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh2O3	Ru2O3	
	(453	25 3.00	14.75	* 1	. *	8	8	8	
112/16 C/2-C	453	25-Aug	14:30 15:17	1,14	0,64	0,046	0,031	0,122	
W/19-9/ 5-3	1454	25-Aug	15:13	1 14	0,03	0,040	0,027	0,119	
	(456	25-Aug	15:51	1,16	0,65	0,044	0,028	0,115	
	EICH 14	26-Aug	11:20	1,03	0.71	0.048	0,047	0,170	
	457	26-Aug	11:20	1,18	0,67	0,050	0.033	0.117	
V2/.161	<b>{458</b>	26-Aug	12:55	1,16	0,65	0,046	0,031	0,124	
	459	26-Aug	12:55	1,16	0,64	0,044	0,031	0,124	
	EICH 14	26-Aug	14:18	1,03	0,71	0,047	0,044	0,163	
10 / 1/ 0 / I	(460	26-Aug	14:18	1,18	0,65	0,050	0,032	0,118	
UX/.16-X/1	401	26-Aug	14:56	1,10	0,65	0,041	0,028	0,114	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrQ2	MoO2		
•	(453	25-Aug	14:35	51.65	ດັດເອ	ъ Л 81	· 0 17		
12/15-5/2-5	454	25-Aug	15:13	51,17	0,037	4,88	0.17		
04/10 010 0	455	25-Aug	15:13	51,57	0,037	4.82	0,17		
•	<i>(</i> 456	25-Aug	15:51	52,71	0,037	4,71	0,17		
	EICH 14	26-Aug	11:20	52,13	0,028	4,59	0,18		
	457	26-Aug	11:20	53,38	0,037	4,59	0,17		
U2/16·1	458	26-Aug	12:55	52,66	0,037	4,73	0,17		
	459 ETCH 14	26-Aug	12:55	52,38	0,036	4,75	0,17		
	1460	26-Aug	14:18	52,06	0,029	4,63	0,18		
112/16 2/1	0.400	20-Aug	14:10	22,13	0,03/	4,02	0,17		
UJ / 112 - 32 / 21	461	26-Aug	14.56	52 17	0 037	1 75	0 17		

K£K-INE			Ap	pendix C	, . <u> </u>	ESM noble metals campaign report			
	U2.OAN	DATE	TTME	A1203	060	Cs20	Fe203	Na20	
	027211	L/ 1		\$ \$	8	8	8	Na20 %	
	462	26-Aug	15:23	2,77	1,23	0,20	7,44	8,01	
02/16-2/2.5	1463	26-Aug	15:23	2,74	1,21	0,20	7,45	7,86	
·~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	464	27-Aug	09:14	2,02	1,10	0,20	7,62	7,44	
	1466	27-Aug	09:52	2,90	1,10	0,20	7,62	7 57	
	467	27-Aug	10:30	2,78	1,24	0,20	7.47	7.72	
12/16-2	<b>{468</b>	27-Aug	10:30	2,85	1,10	0,21	7,60	7.47	
	469	27-Aug	11:08	2,80	1,13	0,21	7,58	7,62	
	(470	27-Aug	11:08	2,79	1,10	0,21	7,60	7,85	
	(471	27-Aug	11:53	2,84	1,11	0,21	7,60	7,97	
112/16 11	472	27-Aug	11:53	2,75	1,17	0,20	7,57	7,68	
02/.16-4	473-	27-Aug	12:43	2,12	1,29	0,20	7,39	7,77	
	475	27-Aug	13.03	2,02	1 13	0,20	7,59	8,00	
	476	27-Aug	13:23	2,76	1,17	0,21	7,55	7 98	
	477	27-Aug	14:01	2,74	1,19	0,20	7,50	7,72	
U,2/.16-5	478	27-Aug	14:01	2,74	1,24	0,20	7,47	8,01	
	480	27-Aug	14:39	2,72	1,28	0,20	7,42	7,68	
	(479	27-Aug	14:39	2,71	1,27	0,20	7,40	7,94	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO	PdO	Rh203	Ru203	
	<i>i</i> 462	26-Aug	15:23	1,15	0.65	ດັດ43	ດັດເດ	0,114	
112/11/2/2/	463	26-Aug	15:23	1,15	0,64	0,046	0,030	0,119	
02/.16.2/2-3	2 464	27-Aug	09:14	1,17	0,64	0,046	0,031	0,123	
	465	27-Aug	09:14	1,12	0,64	0,039	0,027	0,123	
	(466	27-Aug	09:52	1,19	0,66	0,043	0,029	0,104	
112/11/ 2	467	27-Aug	.10:30	1,14	0,64	0,042	0,028	0,118	
02/16-3	1468	27-Aug	10:30	1,18	0,66	0,047	0,032	0,122	
	469	27-Aug 27-Aug	11:08	1,1/	0,65	0,046	0,032	0,120	
	(471	27-Aug 27-Aug	11.53	1 16	0,65	0,053	. 0,035	0,129	
	472	27-Aug	11:53	1,15	0,65	0,043	0,034	0,121	
U2/16-4	473	27-Aug	12:43	1,15	0,64	0,042	0,027	0,131	
•	474	27-Aug	13:03	1,17	0,65	0,051	0,036	0,120	
	(475	27-Aug	13:23	1,17	0,65	0,046	0,032	0,123	
	(476	27-Aug	13:23	1,16	0,65	0,045	0,031	0,114	
02/16.5	)4// \/70	27-Aug	14:01	1,15	0,64	0,041	0,029	0,115	
	470	27-Aug	14:01	1,10 1 15	0,63	0,042	0,031	0,120	
	479	27-Aug	14:39	1,15	0,64	0,043	0,029	0,122	
	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2		
	<i>i</i> 462	25-410	15.22	5 12	а 0 036	5 1 77	¥ 0 17		
	463	26-Aug	15:23	52,44	0.037	4,11	0,17		
V2/16-2/2-9	464	27-Aug	09:14	53,26	0.037	4.61	0.17		
·	465	27-Aug	09:14	51,76	0,036	4,83	0,17		
	(466	27-Aug	09:52	53,29	0,038	4,62	0,17		
	467	27-Aug	10:30	51,98	0,037	4,78	0,17		
V2/16-3	468	27-Aug	10:30	53,30	0,037	4,60	0,17		
	409	27-Aug	11:08	53,09	0,038	4,65	0,17		
	1471	27-Aug	11:53	53.24	0,036	4,59	0, 17		
	472	27-Aug	11:53	52.71	0,037	4.71	0.17		
· 112/10 11	<b>{</b> 473	27-Aug	12:43	51,31	0,036	4,83	0,16		
Var 10 - 4	474	27-Aug	13:03	53,29	0,038	4,60	0,17		
	(475	27-Aug	13:23	53,05	0,038	4,65	0,17		
	470	27-Aug	13:23	52,77	0,037	4,69	0,17		
	478	27-Aug	14:01	51 25	0,037	4,/4	0,17		
021.16.5	480	27-Aug	14:39	51,41	0,037	4,86	0.17		
	479	27-Aug	14:39	51,57	0,036	4,83	0.17		

------

11.

.

~ ~ ~ ~

KfK-	INE		Ap	Appendix C			ESM noble metals campaign report			
U2/.16-6	U2.QAN EICH 14 (481 482 483 484 485	DATE 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug	TIME 13:54 14:28 14:28 15:07 15:07 15:47	A12O3 % 2,82 2,87 2,80 2,74 2,92 2,77	CdO % 0,98 1,14 1,07 1,15 1,10 1,17	Cs20 % 0,15 0,20 0,21 0,21 0,21 0,20	Fe2O3 % 7,59 7,60 7,53 7,60 7,51	Na2O % 7,21 7,4 7,7 7,4 7,5 7,6		
U2/.16-6	U2.QAN EICH 14 (481 482 483 484 484 485	DATE 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug . 31-Aug	TIME 13:54 14:28 14:28 15:07 15:07 15:47	Nd2O3 % 1,01 1,15 1,19 1,17 1,17 1,16	NiO % 0,70 0,65 0,66 0,65 0,65 0,65	PdO % 0,043 0,038 0,047 0,042 0,045 0,042	Rh2O3 % 0,042 0,028 0,032 0,030 0,031 0,030	Ru2O3 % 0,177 0,114 0,112 0,123 0,116 0,127		
U2/.16-6	U2.QAN EICH 14 (481 482 483 484 484 485	DATE 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug 31-Aug	TIME 13:54 14:28 14:28 15:07 15:07 15:47	SiO2 % 52,04 52,98 53,59 53,01 53,31 52,77	TeO2 % 0,027 0,038 0,039 0,039 0,037 0,036	ZrO2 % 4,57 4,67 4,56 4,66 4,60 4,69	MoO2 % 0,18 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17 0,17			

ŧ

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	KfK-	INE		Ar	opendix C	ESM noble metals campa		aign report	
13:38         2,82         1,15         0,20         7,62         7,62           489         07-sep         14:16         2,78         1,09         0,20         7,69         7,5           488         07-sep         14:16         2,78         1,09         0,20         7,69         7,5           489         07-sep         14:54         2,77         1,12         0,20         7,61         7,7           491         07-sep         15:34         2,79         1,06         0,21         7,77         7,3           492         07-sep         15:12         2,75         1,14         0,20         7,65         7,5           493         07-sep         16:12         2,75         1,14         0,20         7,65         7,6           495         08-sep         09:09         2,79         1,04         0,21         7,65         7,6           496         08-sep         10:09         2,77         1,04         0,21         7,65         7,6           501         08-sep         10:09         2,77         1,04         0,21         7,65         7,6           499         08-sep         10:09         2,77         1,04		U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/4i-4 \\ u_2/4i-4 \\ u_3(4i-4) \\ u_4(4i-4) \\ u_3(4i-4) \\ u_4(4i-4) \\ u_3(4i-4) \\ $		(486	07-Sep	13:38	8 2 82	8 1 15	<del>ዩ</del> 0.20	¥ 7 62	8
$ \begin{array}{c} \psi_2/4^{1-4} & \left( \begin{array}{c} 489 \\ 489 \\ 489 \\ 489 \\ 490 \\ 491 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ $	10/10 1	487	07-Sep	14:16	2,78	. 1,09	0,20	7,69	7,30
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	11-1	488	07-Sep 07-Sep	14:16 14:54	2,80	1,13	0,20	7,59	7,57
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		490	07-Sep	14:54	2,79	1,12	0,20	7,70	7,49
$ \begin{array}{c}  \mathcal{U}_{2}/ 1-2 \\  \mathcal{U}_{2}/ 1-2 \\  \mathcal{U}_{3}/ 1-2 $		491	07-Sep	15:34	2,79	1,02	0,21	7,71	7,39
U2/11-L         1404 (495) (495) (496) (497) (497) (497) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (498) (	112/11.2	1492 1493	07-Sep	15:34	2,83	1,08	0,20	7,67	7,53
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 495 & 08-sep & 08:31 & 2,74 & 1,10 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7,66 \\ 497 & 08-sep & 09:09 & 2,75 & 1,114 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7,66 \\ 497 & 08-sep & 09:09 & 2,75 & 1,14 & 0,20 & 7,65 & 7,66 \\ 499 & 08-sep & 10:09 & 2,77 & 1,04 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 7,66 \\ 500 & 08-sep & 10:09 & 2,77 & 1,04 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 7,66 \\ 500 & 08-sep & 10:47 & 2,77 & 1,02 & 0,21 & 7,73 & 7,74 \\ 503 & 08-sep & 10:47 & 2,77 & 1,07 & 0,21 & 7,65 & 7,46 \\ 504 & 08-sep & 10:47 & 2,77 & 1,07 & 0,21 & 7,65 & 7,46 \\ 505 & 08-sep & 11:30 & 2,66 & 1,21 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 7,85 \\ 505 & 08-sep & 12:10 & 2,76 & 1,09 & 0,21 & 7,65 & 7,46 \\ 506 & 08-sep & 12:10 & 2,76 & 1,00 & 0,21 & 7,65 & 7,46 \\ 506 & 08-sep & 12:10 & 2,76 & 1,00 & 0,21 & 7,65 & 7,46 \\ 506 & 08-sep & 12:25 & 2,30 & 1,13 & 0,17 & 6,52 & 8,71 \\ 506 & 08-sep & 12:25 & 2,30 & 1,10 & 0,18 & 7,11 & 7,85 \\ 506 & 08-sep & 13:28 & 2,33 & 1,03 & 0,17 & 7,06 & 8,12 \\ 511 & 08-sep & 14:13 & 2,30 & 1,12 & 0,18 & 7,11 & 7,85 \\ 512 & 08-sep & 14:51 & 2,76 & 1,16 & 0,18 & 7,11 & 7,85 \\ 513 & 08-sep & 14:51 & 2,78 & 1,16 & 0,18 & 7,11 & 7,85 \\ 514 & 08-sep & 14:51 & 2,78 & 1,12 & 0,20 & 7,40 & 7,95 \\ 515 & 08-sep & 16:08 & 2,73 & 1,13 & 0,20 & 7,51 & 7,55 \\ 518 & 08-sep & 16:08 & 2,73 & 1,13 & 0,20 & 7,51 & 7,55 \\ 518 & 08-sep & 16:08 & 2,79 & 1,04 & 0,21 & 7,63 & 7,66 \\ 0,041 & 0,022 & 0,100 \\ 448 & 07-sep & 13:38 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,022 & 0,100 \\ 448 & 07-sep & 13:38 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,022 & 0,100 \\ 448 & 07-sep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,122 \\ 496 & 08-sep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,122 \\ 496 & 08-sep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,122 \\ 496 & 08-sep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 497 & 08-sep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 498 & 08-sep & 10:09 & 1,18 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,121 \\ 499 & 08-sep & 10:09 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 500 & 08-sep & 10:09 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 500 & 08-sep & 10:09 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 500 & 08-sep & 10:109 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,$	04/11-02	494	07-Sep	16:12	2,76	1,14	0,20	7,58	7,53
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4^{490} & 08-8ep & 08:11 & 2,80 & 1,13 & 0,20 & 7,63 & 7,67 \\ 487 & 08-8ep & 09:09 & 2,75 & 1,14 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 7,47 \\ 489 & 08-8ep & 10:09 & 2,77 & 1,04 & 0,21 & 7,66 & 7,47 \\ 500 & 08-8ep & 10:09 & 2,77 & 1,02 & 0,21 & 7,61 & 7,7 \\ 501 & 08-8ep & 10:47 & 2,77 & 1,02 & 0,21 & 7,63 & 7,7 \\ 502 & 08-8ep & 10:47 & 2,77 & 1,02 & 0,21 & 7,76 & 7,74 \\ 503 & 08-8ep & 11:30 & 2,26 & 1,21 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 7,49 \\ 504 & 08-8ep & 11:30 & 2,26 & 1,21 & 0,20 & 7,49 & 7,49 \\ 505 & 08-8ep & 12:10 & 3,101 & 0,20 & 1,66 & 6,82 & 8,84 \\ 506 & 08-8ep & 12:50 & 2,90 & 1,13 & 0,17 & 7,06 & 8,11 \\ 500 & 08-8ep & 13:22 & 2,93 & 1,03 & 0,17 & 7,06 & 8,11 \\ 500 & 08-8ep & 13:22 & 2,93 & 1,03 & 0,17 & 7,06 & 8,11 \\ 500 & 08-8ep & 13:22 & 2,93 & 1,10 & 0,18 & 7,10 & 8,22 \\ 511 & 08-8ep & 14:13 & 2,90 & 1,12 & 0,18 & 7,10 & 8,22 \\ 512 & 08-8ep & 14:13 & 2,90 & 1,12 & 0,18 & 7,10 & 8,22 \\ 513 & 08-8ep & 14:13 & 2,90 & 1,12 & 0,18 & 7,10 & 8,22 \\ 514 & 08-8ep & 14:13 & 2,90 & 1,12 & 0,18 & 7,10 & 8,22 \\ 515 & 08-8ep & 15:30 & 2,74 & 1,21 & 0,22 & 7,91 & 7,95 \\ 516 & 08-8ep & 16:08 & 2,79 & 1,04 & 0,21 & 7,63 & 7,66 \\ 518 & 08-8ep & 16:08 & 2,79 & 1,04 & 0,21 & 7,63 & 7,66 \\ 518 & 08-8ep & 14:15 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,041 & 0,022 & 0,100 \\ 488 & 07-8ep & 14:51 & 1,15 & 0,66 & 0,041 & 0,022 & 0,100 \\ 422 & 07-8ep & 15:34 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,044 & 0,032 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 449 & 07-8ep & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 500 & 08-8ep & 10:09 & 1,11 & 0,76 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 501 & 08-8ep & 10:19 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 502 & 08-8e$		(495	08-Sep	08:31	2,74	1,10	0,20	7,65	7,61
$ \begin{array}{c} \psi_2/\mu_{1-3} \\ \psi_2/\mu_{1-4} \\ \psi_2/\mu_{1$		496	08-Sep 08-Sep	09:09	2,80	1,13	0,20	7,63	7,67
$ \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	U2/17-3	498	08-Sep	09:09	2,79	1,04	0,21	7,69	7,47
U2/(11-4)         0300         08-Sep         10:09         2,78         1,12         0,21         7,71         7,63         7,4           502         08-Sep         10:47         2,87         1,07         0,21         7,74         7,63         7,44           503         08-Sep         11:30         2,68         1,21         0,20         7,49         7,95           504         08-Sep         11:30         2,68         1,21         0,21         7,63         7,44           505         08-Sep         12:10         3,10         0,95         0,15         6,82         8,81           506         08-Sep         12:50         2,90         1,13         0,17         7,66         8,17           509         08-Sep         14:13         2,90         1,12         0,18         7,11         7,95           511         08-Sep         14:51         2,76         1,20         0,19         7,14         7,95           513         08-Sep         16:08         2,73         1,16         0,18         7,15         8,10           514         08-Sep         16:08         2,79         1,04         0,21         7,63         7,66		499	08-Sep	10:09	2,77	1,04	0,21	7,66	7,63
$ \begin{array}{c} \psi_2/41.4 \\ \psi_2$		(500	08-Sep 08-Sep	10:09	2,78	1,12	0,21	7,63	7,43
U2/11-4         503         08-Sep         11:30         2,68         1,21         0,20         7,49         7,99           505         08-Sep         12:10         2,76         1,09         0,21         7,763         7,44           505         08-Sep         12:10         2,76         1,09         0,21         7,63         7,44           507         08-Sep         12:10         2,76         1,09         0,21         7,63         7,44           507         08-Sep         12:10         2,76         1,02         0,16         6,93         6,44           509         08-Sep         13:28         2,93         1,03         0,17         7,66         6,11           510         08-Sep         14:15         2,76         1,16         0,18         7,10         6,22           513         08-Sep         14:15         2,776         1,20         0,19         7,12         7,95           516         08-Sep         16:08         2,73         1,13         0,20         7,51         7,56           12         QAN         DATE         TIME         Nd203         NiO         Pdo         Rh203         Ru203           12//1-4<		502	08-Sep	10:47	2,87	1,07	0,21	7,69	7,43
U2/UB         U2/UB <th< td=""><td>U2/11-4</td><td>1503</td><td>08-Sep</td><td>11:30</td><td>2,68</td><td>1,21</td><td>0,20</td><td>7,49</td><td>7,95</td></th<>	U2/11-4	1503	08-Sep	11:30	2,68	1,21	0,20	7,49	7,95
$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:2} \end{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		505	08-Sep	12:10	2,82	1,04	0,21	7,70	7,54
U2/UB         507         08-Sep         12:50         2,90         1,13         0,17         6,92         8,73           509         08-Sep         13:28         2,93         1,03         0,17         7,06         6,11           510         08-Sep         13:28         2,93         1,10         0,18         7,10         6,22           511         08-Sep         14:13         2,90         1,12         0,18         7,11         7,85           512         08-Sep         14:51         2,76         1,20         0,19         7,10         8,25           514         08-Sep         15:30         2,74         1,21         0,20         7,40         7,95           516         08-Sep         15:30         2,74         1,21         0,20         7,51         7,56           517         08-Sep         14:16         1,15         0,66         0,041         0,029         0,100           1/17-1         488         07-Sep         14:16         1,15         0,66         0,041         0,029         0,100           1/2/17-2         489         07-Sep         14:16         1,18         0,66         0,041         0,022         0,122		506	08-Sep	12:10	3,10	0,95	0,15	6,82	8,88
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1507	08-Sep	12:50	3,01	1,02	0,16	6,93	8,41
$ \begin{array}{c}   12/4B \\   12/4B $		509	08-Sep	13:28	2,93	1,13	0,17	7,06	8,71
$\begin{array}{c} U2/HB \\ U2/HB \\ U2/H-4 $		510	08-Sep	13:28	2,89	1,10	0,18	7,10	8,24
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	02/48	511	08-Sep	14:13	2,83	1,12	0,18	7,11	7,89
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		513	08-Sep	14:51	2,78	1,16	0,18	7,15	8,08
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		514	08-Sep	14:51	2,76	1,20	0,19	7,18	7,94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		515	08-Sep 08-Sep	15:30	2,81	1,15	0,19	7,20	7,94
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		517	08-Sep	16:08	2,73	1,13	0,20	7,51	7,58
$ \begin{array}{c} U2.QAN \\ U2/17-J \\$		(518	08-Sep	16:08	2,79	1,04	0,21	. 7,63	7,66
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 486 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 13:38 \\ 1,15 \\ 487 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 14:16 \\ 1,18 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 14:16 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,041 \\ 0,029 \\ 489 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,122 \\ 489 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 14:54 \\ 1,17 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,032 \\ 0,122 \\ 499 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 14:54 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,12 \\ 499 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 15:34 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,12 \\ 492 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 15:34 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,12 \\ 492 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,049 \\ 0,033 \\ 0,120 \\ 499 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,049 \\ 0,033 \\ 0,120 \\ 499 \\ 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 \\ 1,15 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,049 \\ 0,033 \\ 0,120 \\ 499 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 08:31 \\ 1,17 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,041 \\ 0,027 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,100 \\ 497 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 09:09 \\ 1,17 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,041 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,100 \\ 499 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 10:09 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,101 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,67 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,101 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 \\ 1,17 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,052 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,120 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,031 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,101 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,101 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 \\ 1,18 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,101 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,101 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,030 \\ 0,120 \\ 500 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 \\ 1,10 \\ 0,66 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,204 \\ 0,043 \\ 0,180 \\ 510 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 12:50 \\ 1,07 \\ 0,81 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,044 \\ 0,204 \\ 511 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 \\ 1,11 \\ 0,78 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,165 \\ 516 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 \\ 1,11 \\ 0,70 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,165 \\ 516 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 \\ 1,12 \\ 0,71 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,165 \\ 516 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 \\ 1,14 \\ 0,64 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,165 \\ 517 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,033 \\ 0,132 \\ 517 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,165 \\ 517 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,047 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,157 \\ 518 \\ 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 \\ 1,19 \\ 0,65 \\ 0,046 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,157 \\ 0,048 \\ 0,035 \\ 0,157 \\ $		U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203 %	NiO %	PdO %	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
$ \begin{array}{c} \psi_2/47-4 \\ \psi_2/47-4 \\ \begin{array}{c} 489 \\ 489 \\ 489 \\ 489 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 491 \\ 491 \\ 492 \\ 491 \\ 492 \\ 492 \\ 493 \\ 492 \\ 493 \\ 492 \\ 493 \\ 493 \\ 494 \\ 493 \\ 495 \\ 495 \\ 495 \\ 496 \\ 495 \\ 496 \\ 496 \\ 496 \\ 496 \\ 496 \\ 496 \\ 497 \\ 498 \\ 496 \\ 497 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 498 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 499 \\ 490 \\ 499 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 490 \\ 4$		(486	07-Sep	13:38	1,15	0,66	0,041	0,028	0,105
$ \begin{array}{c} u_{2}/41 & 0 & 07-\text{Sep} & 14:15 & 1,13 & 0,64 & 0,043 & 0,032 & 0,122 \\ 490 & 07-\text{Sep} & 14:54 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,12 \\ 492 & 07-\text{Sep} & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0,100 \\ 492 & 07-\text{Sep} & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 493 & 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,048 & 0,032 & 0,124 \\ 494 & 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,048 & 0,032 & 0,124 \\ 495 & 08-\text{Sep} & 08:31 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,033 & 0,120 \\ 496 & 08-\text{Sep} & 08:31 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 497 & 08-\text{Sep} & 09:09 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 498 & 08-\text{Sep} & 09:09 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 499 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:09 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,051 & 0,035 & 0,119 \\ 500 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:09 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 500 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 502 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 502 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 504 & 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 505 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 & 1,05 & 0,83 & 0,055 & 0,059 & 0,255 \\ 508 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 & 1,05 & 0,83 & 0,055 & 0,059 & 0,255 \\ 508 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:250 & 1,07 & 0,76 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,200 \\ 509 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,08 & 0,76 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,200 \\ 511 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,08 & 0,76 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,200 \\ 511 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,01 & 0,73 & 0,048 & 0,038 & 0,185 \\ 512 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,01 & 0,73 & 0,048 & 0,038 & 0,185 \\ 513 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,037 & 0,177 \\ 514 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,037 & 0,147 \\ 514 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 515 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048$	42/17-1	1487 1488	07-Sep	14:16	1,18	0,66	0,041	0,029	0,109
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/41-2 \\ u_2/41-2 \\ u_2/41-2 \\ u_2/41-3 \\ u_2/41-3 \\ u_2/41-4 \\ u_3 \\ u_2/41-3 \\ u_2/41-3 \\ u_3 \\ $		489	07-Sep	14:18	1,15	0,65	0,043	0,032	0,125
$ \begin{array}{c} 491 & 07-\text{Sep} & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,033 & 0,100 \\ 492 & 07-\text{Sep} & 15:34 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,100 \\ 493 & 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,048 & 0,032 & 0,124 \\ 494 & 07-\text{Sep} & 16:12 & 1,15 & 0,65 & 0,048 & 0,032 & 0,124 \\ 495 & 08-\text{Sep} & 08:31 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 497 & 08-\text{Sep} & 08:31 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,041 & 0,027 & 0,100 \\ 497 & 08-\text{Sep} & 09:09 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 499 & 08-\text{Sep} & 09:09 & 1,17 & 0,65 & 0,044 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 500 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:09 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,051 & 0,035 & 0,112 \\ 500 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:09 & 1,18 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 501 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 502 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,100 \\ 504 & 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 & 1,17 & 0,66 & 0,055 & 0,054 & 0,012 \\ 504 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 & 1,05 & 0,83 & 0,055 & 0,059 & 0,255 \\ 507 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 & 1,07 & 0,81 & 0,049 & 0,043 & 0,186 \\ 508 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:50 & 1,07 & 0,81 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,200 \\ 510 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,08 & 0,76 & 0,053 & 0,047 & 0,148 \\ 511 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,08 & 0,76 & 0,053 & 0,047 & 0,186 \\ 513 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,038 & 0,186 \\ 513 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,037 & 0,177 \\ 514 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,037 & 0,177 \\ 514 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,09 & 0,69 & 0,046 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 515 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 517 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,031 & 0,122 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,65 & 0,047 & 0,031 $		<b>490</b>	07-Sep	14:54	1,18	0,66	0,049	0,034	0,131
$ \begin{array}{c} U2/41-2 \\ U2/41-2 \\ U2/41-2 \\ U2/41-2 \\ U2/41-3 \\ U2/41-4 \\ U2/41-4 \\ U2/41-3 \\ U2/41-4 $		492	07-Sep 07-Sep	15:34	1,19	0,66	0,046	0,033	0,107
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-4 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_3/47-4 \\ u_3$	U2/11-2	493	07-Sep	16:12	1,16	0,65	0,049	0,033	0,120
$ \begin{array}{c} u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_3/48 \\ u_496 \\ u_500 \\ u_500 \\ u_500 \\ u_2/47-4 \\ u_2/47-$		494	07-Sep	16:12	1,15	0,65	0,048	0,032	0,120
$\begin{array}{c} u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_2/47-3 \\ u_3/47-3 \\ u_499 \\ u_{499} \\ u_{500} \\ u_{500} \\ u_{500} \\ u_{500} \\ u_{501} \\ u_{501} \\ u_{502} \\ u_{501} \\ u_{502} \\ u_{503} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{506} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{506} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{506} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{505} \\ u_{506} \\ u_{506} \\ u_{508} \\ u_{508} \\ u_{508} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{512} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{512} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{512} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{512} \\ u_{511} \\ u_{512} \\ u_{515} \\ u_{516}		(496	08-Sep	08:31	1,18	0,65	0,047	0,033	0,124
$\frac{1}{12}/18$ $\frac{1}{11}/12$ $\frac{1}{12}/18$ $\frac{1}{11}/12$ $\frac{1}/12$ $\frac{1}{11}/12$ $\frac{1}{11}/12$ $\frac{1}{11}/12$ $\frac{1}$	112/17-7	497	08-Sep	09:09	1,17	0,65	0,044	0,030	0,106
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	w/11-3	498	08-Sep	10:09	1,17	0,66	0,050	0,034	0,119
$ U_2/47-4 \begin{cases} 501 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,18 & 0,67 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,109 \\ 502 & 08-\text{Sep} & 10:47 & 1,19 & 0,66 & 0,043 & 0,030 & 0,110 \\ 503 & 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 & 1,18 & 0,64 & 0,046 & 0,031 & 0,120 \\ 504 & 08-\text{Sep} & 11:30 & 1,17 & 0,66 & 0,052 & 0,034 & 0,120 \\ 505 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,032 & 0,114 \\ 506 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:10 & 1,05 & 0,83 & 0,055 & 0,059 & 0,255 \\ 507 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:50 & 1,07 & 0,81 & 0,049 & 0,043 & 0,189 \\ 508 & 08-\text{Sep} & 12:50 & 1,07 & 0,76 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,204 \\ 509 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,08 & 0,76 & 0,053 & 0,047 & 0,048 \\ 510 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,11 & 0,78 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,204 \\ 511 & 08-\text{Sep} & 13:28 & 1,11 & 0,78 & 0,047 & 0,040 & 0,185 \\ 512 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:13 & 1,10 & 0,73 & 0,048 & 0,038 & 0,182 \\ 513 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,037 & 0,173 \\ 514 & 08-\text{Sep} & 14:51 & 1,09 & 0,69 & 0,046 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 515 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,12 & 0,70 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{Sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 517 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,047 & 0,031 & 0,126 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,105 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,017 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,017 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,017 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & 0,036 & 0,017 \\ 518 & 08-\text{Sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,057 & $		500	08-Sep	.10:09	1,18	0,65	0,043	0,031	0,120
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}$		(501	08-Sep	10:47	1,18	0,67	0,043	0,030	0,105
$\label{eq:relation} U_2/18 \begin{cases} 504 & 08-\text{sep} & 11:30 & 1,17 & 0,66 & 0,052 & 0,031 & 0,126 \\ 505 & 08-\text{sep} & 12:10 & 1,19 & 0,65 & 0,046 & 0,032 & 0,114 \\ 506 & 08-\text{sep} & 12:10 & 1,05 & 0,83 & 0,055 & 0,059 & 0,255 \\ 507 & 08-\text{sep} & 12:50 & 1,07 & 0,81 & 0,049 & 0,043 & 0,186 \\ 508 & 08-\text{sep} & 12:50 & 1,07 & 0,76 & 0,047 & 0,044 & 0,204 \\ 509 & 08-\text{sep} & 13:28 & 1,08 & 0,76 & 0,053 & 0,047 & 0,199 \\ 510 & 08-\text{sep} & 13:28 & 1,11 & 0,78 & 0,047 & 0,040 & 0,185 \\ 511 & 08-\text{sep} & 14:13 & 1,11 & 0,78 & 0,047 & 0,040 & 0,185 \\ 512 & 08-\text{sep} & 14:13 & 1,11 & 0,70 & 0,052 & 0,041 & 0,184 \\ 513 & 08-\text{sep} & 14:51 & 1,12 & 0,71 & 0,047 & 0,037 & 0,175 \\ 514 & 08-\text{sep} & 14:51 & 1,09 & 0,69 & 0,046 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 515 & 08-\text{sep} & 15:30 & 1,12 & 0,70 & 0,048 & 0,035 & 0,165 \\ 516 & 08-\text{sep} & 15:30 & 1,14 & 0,64 & 0,048 & 0,033 & 0,132 \\ 517 & 08-\text{sep} & 16:08 & 1,16 & 0,64 & 0,047 & 0,031 & 0,126 \\ 518 & 08-\text{sep} & 16:08 & 1,19 & 0.65 & 0.057 & 0.051 \\ \end{array}$	12/17-4	{503	08-Sep	11:30	1,19	0,66	0,043	0,030	0,110
$\mathcal{U}_{2}/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!$		504	08-Sep	11:30	1,17	0,66	0,052	0,034	0,126
$ \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{18} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\$		(505 /506	08-Sep	12:10	1,19	0,65	0,046	0,032	0,114
لا يكيلا 8 508 08-sep 12:50 1,07 0,76 0,047 0,044 0,204 509 08-sep 13:28 1,08 0,76 0,053 0,047 0,199 510 08-sep 13:28 1,11 0,78 0,047 0,040 0,183 511 08-sep 14:13 1,10 0,73 0,048 0,038 0,182 512 08-sep 14:13 1,11 0,70 0,052 0,041 0,184 513 08-sep 14:51 1,12 0,71 0,047 0,037 0,173 514 08-sep 14:51 1,09 0,69 0,046 0,035 0,165 515 08-sep 15:30 1,12 0,70 0,048 0,035 0,165 516 08-sep 15:30 1,14 0,64 0,048 0,033 0,132 517 08-sep 16:08 1,16 0,64 0,047 0,031 0,126 518 08-sep 16:08 1,19 0,65 0,057 0,057 0,051		507	08-Sep	12:50	1,05	0,83	0,055	0,059	0,255
U2/18 509 08-Sep 13:28 1,08 0,76 0,053 0,047 0,040 0,199 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,040 0,048 0,030 0,142 0,14 0,64 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,047 0,031 0,120 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140 0,140		508	08-Sep	12:50	1,07	0,76	0,047	0,044	0,204
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		509	08-Sep	13:28	1,08	0,76	0,053	0,047	0,199
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ملاحي	/ 511	08-Sep	14:13	1,10	0,78	0,047	0,040	0,181
513         08-Sep         14:51         1,12         0,71         0,047         0,037         0,173           514         08-Sep         14:51         1,09         0,69         0,046         0,035         0,165           515         08-Sep         15:30         1,12         0,70         0,048         0,035         0,165           516         08-Sep         15:30         1,14         0,64         0,048         0,033         0,132           517         08-Sep         16:08         1,16         0,64         0,047         0,031         0,128           518         08-Sep         16:08         1,19         0.65         0.052         0.036         0.126	02/18	512	08-Sep	14:13	1,11	0,70	0,052	0,041	0,184
515         08-Sep         15:30         1,12         0,70         0,048         0,035         0,162           516         08-Sep         15:30         1,12         0,70         0,048         0,035         0,162           516         08-Sep         15:30         1,14         0,64         0,048         0,033         0,132           517         08-Sep         16:08         1,16         0,64         0,047         0,031         0,128           518         08-Sep         16:08         1,19         0.65         0.052         0.035         0.126		513	08-Sep	14:51	1,12	0,71	0,047	0,037	0,173
516         08-Sep         15:30         1,14         0,64         0,048         0,033         0,132           517         08-Sep         16:08         1,16         0,64         0,047         0,031         0,128           518         08-Sep         16:08         1,19         0.65         0.057         0.036         0.126		515	08-Sep	15:30	1,12	0,70	0,048	0,035	0,162
518 08-Sep 16:08 1,16 0,64 0,047 0,031 0,128		516	08-Sep	15:30	1,14	0,64	0,048	0,033	0,132
		,518	08-Sep	16:08	1,16	0,64	0,047	0,031	0,128

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	.MoO2 %
	EICH 14	07-Sep	13:38	51,96	0.029	4.52	0.18
	/486	07-Sep	13:38	52,84	0.035	4,65	0,17
	487	07-Sep	14:16	53.23	0.038	4.61	0.17
62/17-1	488	07-Sep	14:16	53,08	0.037	4,64	0.17
~~/	489	07-Sep	14:54	53,10	0,038	4,63	0.17
	490	07-Sep	14:54	53,44	0,038	4,56	0,17
	(491	07-Sep	15:34	53.63	0.037	4,46	0.17
	492	07-Sep	15:34	53,38	0.037	4,56	0,17
12/17 2	₹493	07-Sep	16:12	53,47	0.037	4.55	0.17
V×/11-x	494	07-Sep	16:12	53,00	0,039	4,66	0,17
	495	08-Sep	08:31	53,27	0,038	4,61	0,17
	(496	08-Sep	08:31	53,04	0,036	4,65	0,17
	497	08-Sep	09:09	53,05	0,036	4,64	0,17
62/11-3	{498	08-Sep	09:09	53,60	0,037	4,51	0,17
	499	08-Sep	10:09	53,62	0,038	4,52	0,17
	(500	08-Sep	10:09	53,08	0,038	4,63	0,17
	(501	08-Sep	10:47	53,60	0,039	4,46	0,17
	502	08-Sep	10:47	53,41	0,039	4,54	0,17
V2/17-4	{503	08-Sep	11:30	52,39	0,037	4,73	0,17
	504	08-Sep	11:30	53,56	0,037	4,51	0,17
	1,505	08-Sep	12:10	53,36	0,039	4,57	0,17
	506	08-Sep	12:10	54,08	0,031	4,79	0,18
	507	08-Sep	12:50	53,64	0,032	4,77	0,17
	508	08-Sep	12:50	52,14	0,031	4,90	0,17
	509	08-Sep	13:28	53,88	0,034	4,70	0,17
	510	08-Sep	13:28	53,02	0,034	4,76	0,17
	/511	08-Sep	14:13	52,87	0,034	4,79	0,17
12/18 4	512	08-Sep	14:13	53,05	0,035	4,74	0,17
0.000	513	08-Sep	14:51	52,55	0,034	4,80	0,17
	514	08-Sep	14:51	52,11	0,035	4,83	0,17
	1515	08-Sep	15:30	52,79	0,036	4,77	0,17
	1516	08-Sep	15:30	52,30	0,036	4,76	0,17
	1517	08-Sep	16:08	53,20	0,038	4,63	0,17
	1218	08-Sep	16:08	53,65	0,038	4,46	0,16

ESM noble metals campaign report

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	CdO	Cs20	Fe2O3	Na2O
	ETCH 14	09-Sep	08:11	2 78	0 96	0 15	8 19	* ~ ~ ~
	/519	09-Sep	08:11	2,74	1 16	0,10	7 55	1,22
	520	09-Sep	08:51	2.74	1 13	0,20	7,55	7,45
	521	09-Sep	08:51	2 75	1 10	0,20	7,55	7,38
42/18 .	522	09-Sep	09.30	2,75	1 17	0,21	7,00	7,47
.,,	523	09-Sep	09.30	2,79	1 13	0,20	7,49	7,68
	524	09-Sep	10-10	2,70	1,15	0,20	7,09	7,72
	1525	09-Sep	10.10	2,00	1,05	0,21	7,05	7,39
	1525	09-500	10.10	2,10	1,04	0,21	7,00	7,54
	527.	09-Sep	10.49	2,07	1,20	0,20	7,40	/,/1
	520	09-Sep	11.20	3,02	1,11	0,21	7,40	7,73
	520	09-3ep	11.20	3,12	0,99	0,21	7,38	7,63
42/19 1	525	09-Sep	11:20	3,10	1,00	. 0,20	7,08	7,73
•	530	09-Sep	12:07	3,04	1,10	0,19	7,05	7,55
1	531	09-Sep	12:07	3,07	1,04	0,20	7,13	7,67
	532	09-Sep	12:44	3,12	1,06	0,20	7,17	7,78
	(533	09-Sep	12:44	3,20	0,91	0,19	6,80	7,94
	(534	09-Sep	13:22	3,35	0,88	0,17	6,26	7,92
· · · //	535	09-Sep	13:22	3,37	0,98	0,18	6,39	8,39
Sumples for	536	09-Sep	14:00	3,10	0,99	0,18	6,39	7,77
nelkr bollon -	{ 537	09-Sep	14:00	3,09	0,96	0,17	6,10	8,18
	538	09-Sep	14:38	3,19	0,94	0,18	6,29	8,09
	539	09-Sep	14:38	3,26	1,05	0,19	6,59	7,73
	(540 ·	09-Sep	15:16	3,41	0,98	0,19	6,70	8,29
glass pool surface worki	541	09-Sep	15:16	2,77	1,03	0,21	7,67	7.51
glass pool surface fringe	542	09-Sep	15:54	2,69	1,18	0,19	7,31	7.25
better drain pin	543	09-Sep	15:54	.3.50	0.45	0.06	4.85	12 04

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	Nd203	NiO %	PdO *	Rh2O3	Ru2O3
	EICH 14	09-Sep	08:11	1.04	0.71	0.045	0.043	ດ້າຮອ
	(519	09-Sep	08:11	1,15	0.64	0.044	0.030	0,118
	520	09-Sep	08:51	1,15	0.64	0.045	0.030	0 116
, או/מו	/ 521	09-Sep	08:51	1,15	0,65	0.048	0.032	0,117
01/10	522	09-Sep	09:30	1,14	0.63	0.046	0,031	0.118
,	523	09-Sep	09:30	1,15	0,64	0,047	0.031	0,118
	(524	09-Sep	10:10	1,16	0,65	0,051	0,033	0,112
	(525	09-Sep	10:10	1,17	0,64	0,052	0,033	0,115
	526	09-Sep	10:49	1,14	0,63	0,043	0,030	0,117
	527	09-Sep	10:49	1,15	0,63	0,104	0,082	0,416
1)2/19	/528	09-Sep	11:28	1,13	0,62	0,146	0,173	0,898
	529	09-Sep	11:28	1,11	0,59	0,233	0,320	1,870
	530	09-Sep	12:07	1,08	0,59	0,193	0,240	1,628
•	531	09-Sep	12:07	1,11	0,60	0,183	0,249	1,496
	532	09-Sep	12:44	1,12	0,60	0,171	0,193	1,116
	(533	09-Sep	12:44	1,09	0,57	0,510	0,556	2,833
	(534	09-Sep	13:22	0,99	0,53	0,388	0,77C	4,871
( males the	535	09-Sep	13:22	1,03	0,55	0,490	0,624	3,510
Sumples for	536	09-Sep	14:00	1,03	0,53	0,785	0,584	3,578
merter Differn	537	09-Sep	14:00	1,01.	0,50	0,659	0,726	4,596
	538	09-Sep	14:38	1,01	0,56	0,374	0,720	4,637
	539	09-Sep	14:38	1,04	0,55	0,372	0,391	2,590
de co pool culture a		09-Sep	15:16	1,01	1,68	0,958	0,475	2,434
gass poolstput an	#541 2540	09-Sep	15:16	1,16	0,66	0,056	0,035	0,123
gives poor singua fing	AD42	09-Sep	15:54	1,13	0,62	0,049	0,028	0,123
bottom drain pin	543	09-Seb	15:54	0,79	0,92	0,383	-0,003	0,334

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	SiO2	TeO2	ZrO2	MoO2
	FTCH 14	00-500	09.11	52.06	<u> </u>	ъ 4 Б Э	5 0 10
	/510	09-Sep	08.11	52,00	0,030	4,55	0,18
	519	09-sep	08:11	52,02	0,037	4,00	0,17
uz/JS	520	09-Sep	08:51	53,20	0,038	4,64	.0,1/
esq.ie 4	521	09-Sep	00:31	53,33	0,040	4,59	0,17
	522	09-Sep	09:30	52,83	0,037	4,67	0,17
	523	09-Sep	09:30	53,05	0,035	4,63	0,17
	524	09-Sep	10:10	53,61	0,038	4,52	0,17
	/525	09-Sep	10:10	53,64	0,037	4,51	0,17
	526	09-Sep	10:49	52,46	0,036	4,71	0,17
	527	09-Sep	10:49	53,35	0,036	4,77	0,18
12/19 2	528	09-Sep	11:28	54,11	0,036	4,67	0,18
	529	09-Sep	11:28	54,06	0,037	4,71	0,17
	530 .	09-Sep	12:07	52,92	0,036	4,83	0,17
	531	09-Sep	12:07	53,68	0,037	4,74	0,17
	532	09-Sep	12:44	53,73	0,037	4,78	0,18
	533	09-Sep	12:44	55,07	0,038	4,55	0,17
	/534	09-Sep	13:22	54,79	0,037	4,50	0,16
	535	09-Sep	13:22	53,66	0,036	4,78	0,17
(umpice for	536	09-Sep	14:00	53,44	0,048	4,68	0,16
surples for 2	537	09-Sep	14:00	53,34	0,041	4,59	0,15
THERE DURCH	538	09-Sep	14:38	53,81	0,035	4,55	0,15
	539	09-Sep	14:38	53,33	0,038	4,82	0.17
	540	09-Sep	15:16	53,09	0,039	4,25	0.14
Glass pool serijaa anki	541	09-Sep	15:16	53,64	0.037	4.43	0,17
glass pccl sinface fring	£542	09-Sep	15:54	53,00	0.037	4,64	0.17
bettern cirain pin	543	09-Sep	15:54	54,01	0,015	4,98	0,17

	U2.QAN	DATE	TIME	A1203	cđO	Cs20	Fe203 !	ia20
	/1	07711]	13.22	2 79	ъ 0 48	0 01	3 76	13 62 -
		07-Jul	13:22	2,55	0.48	0.01	3,77	14 18
TICL OWNING	] 3	07-Jul	13:59	2,37 .	0,48	0,01	3,71	14.02
illi pour g	) 4	07-Jul	13:59	2,36	0,49	0,00	3,57	11,95
	(5	07-Jul	14:36	2,30	0,49	0,00	3,66	13,75
	6	07-Jul	14:36	2,38	0,50	0,00	3,63	13,03
	17	07-Jul	15:19	2,47	0,54	0,01	3,88	13,39
01/1-1	18	07-Jul	15:19	2,35	0,57	0,01	3,78	12,36
	EICH14	08-JUI	07:57	2,78	0,97	0,15	9,17	7,65
	(9	08-JUL	07:57	3,36	0,51	0,04	5,53	12,25
		08-301	08:30	3,42	0,51	0,04	5,51	11,62
1111-2	J12 J13	08-Jul	00:30	3,30	0,62	0,06	5,85	11,45
		08711	09:14	3,35	0,60	0,08	5 01	11,33
	15	08-Jul	09:51	3.73	0,66	0,05	5,85	13,63
	(16	08-Jul	12:00	1,88	0.76	0.02	3,87	12.74
•	17	. 08-Jul	12:00	1,93	0,82	0,02	3,86	12,09
01/1-2	<b>{18</b>	08-Jul	12:38	1,89	0,81	0,02	3,87	12,43
101 0	19	08-Jul	12:38	1,90	0,83	0,02	3,86	11,96
	(20	08-Jul	13:28	1,84	0,81	0,02	3,92	12,68
	21	08-Jul	13:28	1,90	0,97	0,02	4,18	11,27
	22	08-301	14:06	1,72	0,82	0,02	3,91	12,40
04/1-,4	123	08-JUI	14:06	1,8/	1,00	0,03	4,28	11,58
	25	08-Jul	14:42	1,75	1,00	0,03	4,34	12,06
	(26	08711]	15:20	1 76	1,02	0,03	4,30	11,38
	27	08-Jul	15:20	1.79	1 09	0,03	4,30	11 32
U1/1-S	{28	09-Jul	07:14	3,32	0.79	0,12	7,42	9,96
	29	09-Jul	07:14	3,22	0,83	0,12	7,06	10,31
	(30	09-Jul	07:57	3,26	0,79	0,13	7,08	10,53
	(31	09-Jul	07:57	3,19	0,84	0,13	7,20	10,18
01/2-1	132	· 09-Jul	08:43	4,14	1,14	0,14	7,19	16,42
	LJJ ETCUIA	09-001	08:43	3,93	1,16	0,13	7,13	10,80
	FICH14	14Tul	14:14	2,10	0,98	0,15	8,43	8,01
•	(34	14-Jul	07:48	3,12	0,90	0,13	7,30	9,87
	35	14-Jul	08:28	2,85	1,13	0,12	6,89	11,25
UX/2-2	<b>4</b> 36	14-Jul	08:28	2,33	1,22	0,09	5,94	10,31
,	37	14-Jul	09:05	1,96	1,23	0,07	5,46	10,41
	(38	14-Jul	09:05	2,99	1,03	0,14	7,16	9,28
	139	14 - 301	09:44	2,92	1,07	0,14	7,07	9,19
(11/2-3	41	14-Jul	10:26	3,14	0,97	0,10	7 42 -	9,20
- •	42	14-Jul	10:26	3,06	1.02	0.15	7.46	9,06
	43	14-Jul	11:15	3,10	1,01	0,15	7,43	9,42
04/2-4/4	44	14-Jul	11:15	3,15	0,97	0,16	7,56	9,29
	189	14-JU1	11:54	2,95	1,00	0,21	8,07	7,85
U2/1-4/1-4	190	14-Jul	11:04	2,99	1,00	0,20	8,06	7,70
	.192	14-Jul	12:31	3,08	1,05	0,20	8 05	7,90
N1/2 11/0 0	(45	14-Jul	13:09	3,15	0,99	0,16	7,56	9,50
01/2-4/2-3	{46	14-Jul	13:09	3,10	1,02	0,16	7,55	9,37
12/1-4/5	93	14-Jul	14:14	2,96	1,08	0,20	7,97	7,78
12.11/0-0	147	14-Jul	14:14	3,04	1,02	0,16	7,56	9,30
01/2-4/4-3	(48	14-JUL 14-JUL	14:58	3,15	0,98	0,17	7,64	9,58
	50	14-Jul	15:10	3,10	1 00	0,16	7,64	9,25
	91		07:32					<del></del>
01/2-5	<b>{</b> 51	15-Jul	07:32	1,81	0,98	0,08	6,05	9,47
	52	15-Jul	08:10	3,14	0,99	0,17	7,85	9,43
	[53	15-Jul	08:10	3,11	1,04	0,17	7,87	9,01
	54	15-JUL	08:48	3,27	1,07	0,17	7,87	10,40
11/2-1	156	15-Jul	09:32	3,10	1 07	0,17	0,02	10,09
010 1	57	15-Jul	09:32	3.23	1,00	0,18	7,91	9.48
	(58	15-Jul	10:12	3,10	1,05	0,17	7,88	9,62
U1/3-2/1	59	15-Jul	10:12	3,20	0,98	0,18	7,98	9,48
	EICH14	15-Jul	10:50	2,78	1,01	0,15	8,36	8,10
02/1-4/3	91	15-Jul	10:50	2,90	1,06	0,21	7,99	7,88
02/1-2/3	(60	15-Jul	11.35	2,90	1,05	0,20	7,98	8,18
01/3-2/2-4	61	15-Jul	12:14	3.11	1.06	0.18	7,94	9,84
	62	15-Jul	12:14	3,21	0,99	0,19	8,05	9,21

,

# Appendix D. Computer Modeling

Appendix D. Computer Modeling

- D.1 Tempest Computer Program Description
- D.1.1 Species Transport Continuum Approach
- D.1.2 Species Transport Particle Tracker Approach
- D.1.3 Electric Field Equations AC Model
- D.2 Computer Submodel Connections
- D.2.1 Enhanced Electrical and Thermal Conductivities
- D.2.2 Glass Viscosity
- D.2.3 Particle Settling
- D.2.3.1 Nonsphericity
- D.2.3.2 Porosity
- D.2.3.3 Hindered Settling
- D.2.4 Power Controller
- D.2.5 Deposition Model
- D.3 ESM Computer Model Description
- D.3.1 Melter Description
- D.3.2 Modeling Approach
- D.3.3 Pre-test and Post-test Data Sources
- D.3.4 Discretised Model Description
- D.3.5 Boundary Conditions
- D.4 Properties Used in Simulations
- D.4.1 Electric Conductivity
- D.4.2 Thermal Conductivity
- D.4.3 Density
- D.4.4 Viscosity
- D.4.5 Particle Settling
- D.4.5.1 Nonsphericity
- D.4.5.2. Porosity
- D.4.5.3 Isolated-particle settling velocities
- D.4.5.4 Hindered settling
- D.5 ESM Spout Model
- D.6 Lumped-Parameter Melter Model
- D.7 Retention

References

#### Appendix D

The equations solved by TEMPEST are

Continuity:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{D.1}$$

Momentum:

$$\rho_{0}\left[\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_{i}u_{j})}{\partial x_{j}}\right] = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\mu\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) + \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \rho g_{i}$$
(D.2)

Energy:

$$\rho_0 c \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_j T)}{\partial x_j} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} \right) + \dot{Q}$$
(D.3)

A combination of numerical solution algorithms are used in TEMPEST, depending upon the problem under consideration. These include explicit, semi-implicit, and implicit algorithms. The choice of solution algorithm is dependent upon numerical stability and accuracy considerations and upon the need for computational efficiency [minimizing Central Processing Unit (CPU) time].

The transport equations presented above are coupled through material properties. The primary couplings are through temperature-dependent density, thermal conductivity, and viscosity relationships. Coupling also occurs through a resistance heating thermal energy source term arising from the electric field solution in an electrically conducting material. During species transport, additional coupling can occur through concentration-dependent density, viscosity, and thermal and electric conductivity. In the case of melter operation with a noble metals buildup on the melter floor, all these couplings are present and must be considered in the modeling analysis. This is referred to as fully coupled analysis.

### D.1.1 Species Transport - Continuum Approach

The continuum approach to modeling species transport involves solving the transport equation for the concentration of a species,

$$\frac{\partial C_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_j C_k)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( D_k \frac{\partial C_k}{\partial x_j} \right) + \dot{C}_k \qquad (k = 1, 2, 3 \dots)$$
(D.4)

In the continuum approximation, the species concentration may represent a single discrete phase with characteristic particle size. Multiple particle size distributions may be approximated by solving Eq. (D.4) for each of several discrete particle sizes representative of a range within a distribution. Eq. (D.4) may also be solved for discrete species materials, such as Rh, Ru, Pd, Te, or Au or an alloy or compound of these. It can also be used to represent dissolved species if precipitation kinetics were identified as of significance. Thus, the continuum approximation approach offers the advantage of being applicable to a wide variety of cases, assuming appropriate boundary and source/sink information is available from either data or physical models. Also, the entire model is contained in TEMPEST, unlike the particle tracker approach.

### D.1.2 Species Transport - Particle Tracker Approach

The particle tracker approach involves solving a Lagrangian equation for the path of individual particles within a convecting melt pool. The general equation is based on a force balance on a single particle,

$$\Sigma \underline{F} = m \, d\underline{u}/dt = \underline{B} + \underline{W} + \underline{F}_{D} \tag{D.5}$$

where m is the particle mass,  $\underline{u}$  is the particle velocity, t is time,  $\underline{B}$  is buoyancy force,  $\underline{W}$  is weight, and  $\underline{F}_D$  is drag force. Each of the terms on the right-hand side are relatable to the particle density, a drag coefficient which is in turn a function of particle shape and size, and the flow field the particle experiences. The position of the particle with time is obtained by integrating Eq. (D.5) and noting that the position of the particle is obtainable from

$$d\underline{\mathbf{x}}/d\mathbf{t} = \underline{\mathbf{u}} \tag{D.6}$$

In this approach, the fluid velocity moves the particles subject to gravitational and drag forces acting on the particle. The flow field upon which the particles are transported has to be precomputed. If the flow field is steady, this is a rather straight-forward process, except that correct treatment of particles within the very near wall region requires special treatment. If the flow field is unsteady, a rather complicated procedure has to be implemented which involves the use of "recycling" a sequence of flow fields that represent a quasi-periodic flow field, or it requires direct solution of particle tracking within the flow field solution procedures. Submodels for forces on particles are based on data for particle characteristics such as size and shape. Data for these submodels come from GFT, RSM, and ESM glass sample analysis.

The particle tracker approach offers advantages in treating specifics of larger particles where inertia or particle-to-particle interactions, such as agglomeration, may be important. The approach suffers from a disadvantage of being computational intensive when sufficient numbers of discrete particles are included so as to be statistically representative. The approach also has the disadvantage of being decoupled from the hydrodynamics of the melt pool. Thus, a procedure must be developed for coupling the predicted effects of particle settling and sludge buildup back into calculation of operation characteristics of the melt pool.

The particle tracker approach was extensively investigated for its application to transport of simulated noble metals particles. The conclusion of these investigations was that the method could not be used in the present work. The computer time required to compute a sufficient number of particles to be significantly representative was excessive. Also, the integration of the circulation path of a single particle in a recirculating flow field could not be calculated accurately enough to distinguish the path position numerical error from path position variation that occurs because of particle settling under the influence of gravity. The particle tracker approach has been abandoned in favor of the continuum species transport approach.

## D.1.3 Electric Field Equations - AC Model

Joule heat Q₁ as a source in the energy equation is generated by electric currents resulting from potentials applied to a system of electrodes. To compute joule heat efficiently, the potential field  $\phi$  (<u>r</u>,t) and the associated electric current flux <u>J(r</u>,t) are factored into a sum of space and time factors as

$$\phi(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\mathbf{t}) = \Sigma_{n=1}^{N} f_{n}(\mathbf{t}) \phi_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \tag{D.7}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{J}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{f}_{n}(\mathbf{t}) \underline{\mathbf{J}}_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$
(D.8)

· where the spatial current flux is determined from a spatial potential field as

$$\underline{J}_{n}(\underline{r}) = -\sigma \,\nabla \phi_{n}(\underline{r}) \tag{D.9}$$

The spatial potential field is determined from solution of a Poisson equation of the form

$$\nabla \cdot [-\sigma \phi_n(\underline{r})] = I^{\circ}_{v,n}(\underline{r}) \tag{D.10}$$

where  $I_{v,n}^{\circ}(\underline{r})$  is a reference current source (or sink where negative) per unit volume, chosen to be nonzero only within the n-th electrode pair. The cycle-averaged joule heat-per-unit volume is calculated as

$$Q_{J}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \langle \underline{\mathbf{J}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}, \underline{\mathbf{t}}) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{J}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}, \underline{\mathbf{t}}) / \sigma \rangle$$
  
=  $\Sigma^{N}{}_{n=1} \Sigma^{N}{}_{m=1} F_{mn} \underline{\mathbf{J}}_{m}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{J}}_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) / \sigma$  (D.11)
where

$$F_{mn} = \langle f_m(t) f_n(t) \rangle.$$
 (D.12)

The symbol > indicates a time average of the enclosed quantity. In a glass melter, the electric conductivity,  $\sigma$ , will be spatially varying with temperature and may be spatially varying with noble metals concentration. As a sludge layer develops, the electric conductivity may also be temporally varying.

Use of this model requires specification of a set of  $F_{mn}$  values or a combination of timeaveraged voltage products, partial powers, and  $F_{mn}$ . This formulation allows for phase differences and for non-sinusoidal waveforms in driving a multi-electrode, multi-phase electric system. The number N of spatial potential field solutions required in this representation depends on the number of electrode pairs, the number of electromotive force sources, and their connections.

A more detailed explanation of the electric field solution and analytical basis can be found in Lessor et al. (1991).

#### D.2 Computer Submodel Connections

Computation of noble metals transport through, and holdup within, the melt pool requires boundary source information at the cold cap/melt pool interface. Particle data from the GFT experiments and slurry feed makeup can be used as the bases for characteristic particle size, shape, and content and material quantity at the cold cap. These data have been reported by Anderson et al. (1992). Once in the melt pool, the noble metals material is transported around the melt pool by the buoyancy-induced convection currents. Glass sample data from the RSM and ESM during pouring and from melter post-mortem examinations provide data for particle characteristics needed for computational analysis. The noble metals particles may undergo physical and/or chemical changes while in the glass melt which may lead to improvements to the modeling at some future date as information on these changes becomes available to the computer modeling task. One such improvement may be the need to model the reduction process of RuO₂ particles to Ru metal, an effect concluded to have occurred in the RSM tests. Another may be the need to model the time-rate of growth of particles (agglomeration).

As a noble metals enriched sludge layer develops on the floor of the melter, several effects can occur that affect melter operations. The primary one is the potential to cause shorting of the electric current between the lower electrode pair. During development of the sludge layer, properties of the sludge layer may vary with time. These properties include viscosity thermal conductivity, and electrical conductivity. Computer submodels are required to represent the concentration-dependent properties. Additionally, because the simulated noble metals particles are heavier than the glass melt, they are subject to gravitational settling. Thus, a particle settling model must be used to describe this effect in the computational analysis. In the following sections, the mathematical and physical bases for submodels of concentrationdependent properties and particle settling are described.

# D.2.1 Enhanced Electrical and Thermal Conductivities

In a melter, noble metals occur as a discrete particle phase in a continuum (glass). The presence of the dispersed noble metals particles can enhance the effective electric and thermal conductivities. Goring and Churchill (1961) provide an excellent review of empirical modeling approaches in a review of literature back to work done in 1891. In the absence of polarization effects, the electro-static and thermo-static problems are identical.

Both the thermal and electric conductivity of an assumed cubic packed, noncontacting, monodispersed discrete spherical particle phase in a continuous fluid field can be related analytically to the conductivity of the continuous phase, subject to assumptions. Mathematically, Rayleigh's model can be expressed as

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\rm f}} = 1 - \frac{3\nu_{\rm p}}{\frac{2+\Sigma}{1-\Sigma} + \nu_{\rm p}} - \frac{1-\Sigma}{\frac{(4/3)+\Sigma}{(4/3)+\Sigma}} a\nu_{\rm p}^{103} + \dots$$
(D.13)

where  $v_p$  is the volume fraction, a is a constant dependent on assumed packing arrangement, and .  $\Sigma = \sigma_p / \sigma_f$  is the ratio of the electric (or thermal) conductivity of the discrete particle phase to the electric (or thermal) conductivity of the continuous fluid phase. The constant a in Eq. (D.13) takes on different values depending upon the packing arrangement: simple cubic (a = 1.131), body centered cubic (a = 0.129), or face centered cubic (a = 0.0752). The basis for this model is applicable to largely round, solid particles such as Pd particles observed in some glass samples.

Figure D-1 presents the theoretical ratio of the enhanced conductivity for infinite  $\Sigma$  for the simple cubic assumption that is the most conservative. Note the large increase in the relative conductivities that results, but that the largest effect according to Eq. (D.13) is at concentrations approaching maximum packing factors. Eq. (D.13) has been implemented into TEMPEST for use with determining the electric conductivity of a developing sludge that consists primarily of round, solid particles. This provides one basis for investigating temporal changes in sludge layer conductivities as particles settle and an enhanced conductivity layer develops.

Data from German melter operation experience indicate a factor of 10 to 100 increase in electrical conductivities of a settled sludge layer of noble metals.¹ A ten-fold increase is at least in

¹ Personal correspondence from W. Grünewald of KfK, February 15, 1993 [also see Choi (1992)].

qualitative agreement the upper limit of the curves in Figure D-1. The concentration at which the ten-fold increase occurs, however, is only about 5 to 6% by volume. Thus, a more empirically based model has also been implemented in TEMPEST. This model is referred to as a shape function that provides similar variational dependency with concentration as that depicted in Figure D-1, but offers the computer modeler more flexibility in curve-fitting data as a function of volumetric concentration. The mathematical approach is to separate the temperature dependence from the concentration dependence as

$$\sigma(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{C}_r) = \sigma(\mathbf{T}) \cdot^* \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{C}_r) \tag{D.14}$$

where  $\sigma(T) =$  temperature-dependent glass conductivity  $f(C_r) =$  functional concentration dependence  $C_r =$  ratio of volumetric concentration to maximum volumetric concentration,  $(C_v/C_{v,max})$ 

The functional from of Eq. (D.14) allows for expressing the ratio of the maximum conductivity to the reference conductivity as the settled volumetric concentration approaches the maximum settled packing fraction. Note that both the temperature and concentration dependence of the conductivity are modeled in this approach. Concentration-dependent conductivity data for ESM glass has been measured (Figure 5-19 and 5-23). Independent measurements with ESM glass samples have also been done at PNL.

## D.2.2 Glass Viscosity

Molten glass in melters is known to be strongly temperature dependent. The presence of noble metals particles may also lead to a particle concentration dependence. Indications of German melter operation experience,² published data (Krause and Luckscheiter 1991), and HWVP data (Cobb and Hrma 1991) are that the glass with noble metals particles may behave more like a non-Newtonian fluid than a Newtonian fluid as the volumetric concentration of noble metal particles increases. Plodinec (1986) also presents a summarization of Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) data on crystalline containing glass which exhibit both Newtonian and non-Newtonian character. For the present work, and for several other waste processing problems, several concentration-dependent Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluid properties models have been implemented into TEMPEST. These offer a wide range of options for treatment of concentration-dependent viscosity properties.

² Weisenburger, S. "KfK Melter Modeling Experience." Presentation to the Noble Metals Workshop, March 16-20, 1992, Richland, Washington. T. Weber, Westinghouse Hanford Company/HWVP, organizer.

C.\winwordiesm\AppD.doc



**Figure D-1**. Theoretical Ratio of Electrical Conductivity for a High Conductivity Discrete Particles Distributed in a Continuous Medium

#### Appendix D

In general, effective viscosity in TEMPEST is

$$\mu_{\rm eff} = f(\mu,\beta) \dot{\gamma}^{g(\beta)}$$

where  $\mu = \mu(t)$ , temperature-dependent dynamic viscosity,  $\dot{\gamma} = \text{strain rate,}$   $\beta = \text{ratio of volumetric concentration to maximum concentration (C_{v,max}),}$  $f(\mu,\beta)$  and  $g(\beta) = \text{functional forms.}$ 

For concentration-dependent Newtonian models, the following functional forms are available in TEMPEST:

f(μ,β)	g(β)	Description
$\mu(1+a_3\beta^{*4})$	0	Power shape
μa _s ^β	0	Exponential shape
$\mu(1+a_1\beta+a_2\beta^2+a_3\beta^3)$	0	Cubic power shape

In each of these,  $\mu$  is treated as temperature dependent.

For non-Newtonian fluids, the following functional forms are available:

f(μ,β)	g(β)	Description
K	m	Power law: Ostwald-DeWaele
$K(1 + a_3\beta^{a4})$	m	Power law: Ostwald-DeWaele, power shape consistency
Ka₅β	m	Power law: Ostwald-DeWaele, exponential shape consistency
1 ·	$m(1 + a_{5} - a_{6})$	Power law: Concentration-dependent behavior index—double exponential form

In these expressions, K is consistency index, m is behavior index, and  $a_1$  to  $a_5$  are constants supplied by the user. To use any of these models effectively, data for the fluid is needed.

## D.2.3 Particle Settling

Particles in the melter settle in the Stokes flow (or viscous creeping flow) regime with a particle Reynolds number far less than unity. Although Stokes flow for simple solid particles is well characterized in the literature, calculations of the melter particle settling velocity are complicated by the porosity and nonsphericity of the noble metals particles. Furthermore, it is necessary to choose a model for "hindered settling." This is the decreased particle settling velocity observed at higher solid volume fractions (such as those expected in the sludge at the bottom of the melter). Settling velocity must go to zero when the particles become packed so that no further settling can occur.

The particle settling submodels in the TEMPEST computer code accept reference settling velocities as input. The modeler calculates the reference velocities, accounting for nonsphericity and porosity of the particles. These reference velocities are then scaled by TEMPEST to account for the variation of local particle concentration, fluid viscosity, and density in the melter.

The basic equation for the Stokes regime particle settling velocity is

$$V_{s} = d^{2} g \left( \rho_{P} - \rho_{f} \right) / (18 \,\mu) \tag{D.15}$$

Here the diameter d is that of an equivalent spherical particle; the densities,  $\rho_P$  and  $\rho_f$ , are those of the solid particle and the fluid, respectively. The local dynamic viscosity is  $\mu$ . Strictly speaking, Eq. (D.15) holds only for a solid spherical particle which is isolated from other particles. The effects of nonsphericity, porosity, and hindered settling, which are all relevant to the ESM particle behavior, are discussed in following sections.

#### D.2.3.1 Nonsphericity

Many of the particles observed in the GFT, RSM, and ESM tests were not spherical. The GFT data showed a number of particles whose length was two or three times the width (as viewed in two dimensions). A very small percentage of the GFT particles were longer, with length over six times the width. Supporting data can be found in Appendix B of Anderson et al. (1992). In the RSM and ESM tests, the particles consisted of clusters of small needles. While the needles had large length to diameter (L/D) ratios, the pertinent shape for purposes of settling velocity calculation is the overall shape of the cluster. Observations from the GFT, RSM, and ESM clusters generally indicate an L/D ratio of 3 or less. A settling velocity correction for nonspherical particles can be made. The important variables can be determined by reviewing the derivation of Eq. (D.15). Settling occurs when gravity acts on a particle. A terminal settling velocity is reached when gravitational and drag force on a particle are equal.

Drag force = 
$$C_D A_p \rho_f V_s^2 / 2$$
 (D.16)

Gravitational force = 
$$g(\rho_p - \rho_f) v_p$$
 (D.17)

Here  $C_D$  is the drag coefficient,  $A_p$  the projected (cross-sectional) area of the particle, and  $v_p$  the particle volume. In Stokes flow, the drag coefficient is an inverse function of Reynolds number:

$$C_{\rm D} = 24 \,\mu / (V_{\rm s} \,\mathrm{d} \,\rho_{\rm f})$$
 (D.18)

To determine the equivalent spherical diameter d of a nonspherical particle, the important variables are the volume  $v_p$  and the surface area  $s_p$  of the particle (McCabe and Smith 1976, p. 149). First, the equivalent diameter of the particle is defined as that of a sphere with equal volume. Second, a dimensionless parameter  $\Phi_s$  (the sphericity) is defined as the ratio of the surface area of the sphere of equal volume to the surface area of the actual particle. With these corrections in place, the equivalent spherical diameter d is defined as

$$d = 6 v_p / (\Phi_s)$$
 (D.19)

The drag coefficient is

$$C_{\rm D} = (4\mu / V_{\rm s} \rho_{\rm f}) (\Phi_{\rm s} / v_{\rm p})$$
(D.20)

and the settling velocity is derived as

$$V_{s} = (g(\rho_{p} - \rho_{f}) / (2\mu)) (1 / \Phi_{s}) (v_{p} / s_{p}) (v_{p} / A_{p})$$
(D.21)

#### D.2.3.2 Porosity

The noble metal clusters observed in the RSM and ESM samples were not simple solid shapes, as tacitly assumed in Eq. (D.21), but highly porous agglomerates of randomly oriented needles. Flow through the pores of these particles must be accounted for in calculating the settling velocity. The literature contains closed-form methods to estimate the settling velocity of high-porosity particles in the Stokes flow regime. Because these methods do not require any adjustable parameters, they are immediately usable in the current study.

The important variables are the cluster's solid volume fraction and the thickness of the needles or rods comprising the particle. From these, the particle permeability (analogous to the permeability of a packed bed) can be estimated. Flow through the cluster can be treated as a case of flow normal to a fiber mat or an array of cylinders, as noted by Masliyah et al. (1987, p. 252). The equation for permeability k is Eq. (41) (op. cit.):

$$k = -[(a^2) / 8c] * [ln c + (1-c^2) / (1+c^2)]$$
(D.22)

KfK-INE

where a is the thickness of the needles making up the agglomerate and c is the volume fraction of the cluster that is solid, equal to (1 - the void fraction).

Given the particle permeability, the drag force for a permeable sphere (relative to that for a solid sphere) can be calculated. A nonspherical particle is treated by using the equivalent diameter d. The parameter  $\beta$ , a dimensionless particle radius, is defined in Eq. (4) of Matsumoto and Suganuma (1977) as

$$\beta = \{d / [2^* sqrt(k)]\}$$
 (D.23)

The ratio F of the drag force for the impermeable sphere to that for the permeable sphere can be calculated from Eq. (6) of Matsumoto and Suganuma (1977):

$$F = \{\beta / [\beta - tanh(\beta)] + 1.5 / (\beta^2)\}$$
(D.24)

where  $F = (drag \text{ force on solid particle}) / (drag on porous particle of same equivalent diameter d and bulk density <math>\rho_n$ )

and  $F = (settling velocity of porous particle) / (settling velocity of solid particle of same d and <math>\rho_{p}$ )

The bulk density  $\rho_s$  is the linear average of the density  $\rho_f$  of the fluid in the particle pores and the intrinsic density of the solid  $\rho_s$ , or

$$\rho_{\rm p} = c \rho_{\rm s} + (1-c) \rho_{\rm f} \tag{D.25}$$

Use of this formula gives the correct result that when the particle porosity (1-c) is zero, the particle density is that of the solid, and when the porosity is unity, the particle density is that of the fluid.

It follows that the particle settling velocity, including the effect of porosity, can be expressed as

$$V_{s} = [gF(\rho_{p} - \rho_{f}) / (2\mu)] (1 / \Phi_{s}) (v_{p} / s_{p}) (v_{p} / A_{p})$$
(D.26)

Eq. (D.26) is the Stokes settling velocity expression [Eq. (D.15)], modified to account for sphericity and porosity. There is no quantified data for the porosity of GFT particles, but some "sponginess," interpreted as a porosity of perhaps 20 to 30%, was noted. This porosity is not high enough to allow significant flow through the particle. However, the porosity of the clusters in the RSM samples was much greater. Viewing the RSM clusters under reflected light, the occluded area fraction of the RSM clusters was between 5 and 17%. Large clusters typically had

lower occluded area fractions than small ones, but the correlation between cluster size and occluded area fraction was not very strong. Because the area fraction was determined by reflected light—giving the fraction of cross-sectional area that was solid—the solid volume fraction of a cluster can be taken as about equal to the occluded area fraction.

## D.2.3.3 Hindered Settling

Should particles settle to the bottom of the melter in significant quantities, their concentration will be great enough to cause their settling velocity to decrease. At some maximum packing factor, the settling velocity becomes zero because no further movement is possible.

The TEMPEST computer code uses three different options to calculate hindered settling and packing effects. In the first option, a constant settling velocity unaltered by particle concentration is used. This option is primarily designed for nonaccumulating, low-concentration species. It could lead to overpacking the cells at the bottom of the melter, raising their solid volume fraction to physically impossible values higher than unity. This option has not been used in the present work. In the second option, a "table" of settling velocities is specified by the user. The input may specify up to five values of particle concentration and the corresponding settling velocity to describe the variation of velocity with concentration. This permits specifying a maximum packing fraction, thus preventing overpacking. Finally, the third option uses a formula relating settling velocity to concentration, providing a continuum of velocities.

The formula used in the third option is taken from Perry and Chilton (1973, pp. 5-64). The hindered settling velocity for particles in the Stokes regime is

$$V_s = V_s (1 - C_v/C_{v,max})^n$$
 (D.27)

Here V_s is the terminal settling velocity at negligible concentration, C_v is the solid (volume) fraction in the suspension, and n is an exponent that depends on the particle flow regime. For Stokes flow, n = 4.65, according to Perry and Chilton (1973). TEMPEST allows an exponent n to be chosen by the user. TEMPEST also takes input of V_s and of the maximum packing fraction C_{vmax}, at which settling velocity becomes zero.

A plausible maximum packing fraction would be about 60% for mono-disposed spherical particles. The atomic packing factors for pure metals range from 0.68 (body-centered cubic) to 0.74 (face-centered cubic). However, a much lower value can be expected for space-filling spiny particles such as found in the RSM and ESM glass samples. Noble metals concentrations on the order of 5 to 6% (by volume) are reported from the German melter program for sludge samples of noble metals enriched glass. Glass samples from the bottom of the ESM (see Figure 5.18) are of the order of 1-2 %vol.

## D.2.4 Power Controller

The power controller used in TEMPEST to control melter glass temperature is based on the PID concept used in the operation of the RSM. The basis for this method is presented by Westsik (1986). The mathematical expression implemented in TEMPEST is

$$P_{tot} = P_{tot,old} \left( 1 + \alpha \left( T_{op} - T_{ave} \right) / (T_{ave} - T_{ref}) \right)$$
(D.28)

where

P _{tot}	=	the estimated total power
P _{tot,old}	=	the old time power estimate
α	=	a relaxation factor
Top	=	the desired operation temperature
T _{ave}	=	the average temperature desired
T _{ref}	=	a reference temperature

The assumption is made that the steady-state bulk average temperature of the melt scales linearly with the joule heat power generation. In practice, a representation of this bulk melt temperature is obtained by either an arithmetic average of point-wise temperatures (replicating point-wise control thermocouples) or as a volume integrated average temperature; both have been programmed into TEMPEST. Both methods have been used successfully in modeling the RSM.

The ESM was controlled throughout the experiment by setting the current to the lower pair of electrodes and manually varying the current to the upper electrodes to obtain the desired glass temperature. In the ESM analysis, the power controller of Eq. (D.28) is used as means of controlling the melt temperature at locations in the melt approximating the thermocouple stalk temperature measurement positions.

## D.2.5 Deposition Model

A model has been developed to predict deposition on the floor of a simulation model. For application to the ESM, this is a subset of the more general turbulent deposition and erosion model in TEMPEST. The model was modified for application to laminar flow in melters. The two primary assumptions of the model are that particle sizes are small enough for Stokes settling to apply and that the accumulated layer on the floor is thin. This is referred to as the floor model. A second deposition model, referred to as the floor-layer model, is available and described subsequently.

The floor model assumes small particle sizes and thin stationary particle sizes and thin stationary particle bed forms. A stationary bed implies that particle rolling, sliding and saltation are not considered as a means of particle transport. This implies that the deposition problem is

always quasi-static, depending only on the local flow field parameters and the availability of particles for deposition.

The species transport equation, at the floor boundary, takes the form

 $V_sC = \ddot{m}$ 

where  $V_s$  is the settling velocity, C is the mass concentration, and m is the mass flux at the fluid interface.

The floor model links this boundary condition by modeling the net mass flux at the floor as a function of local flow conditions and local solid phase mass fraction. This is a commonly used model for calculating the settling of fine particles (Onishi 1989, 1985, 1982). It is generally accepted that for meaningful particle erosion to occur, the boundary layer at the sediment bed must be turbulent since turbulent bursting is the primary mechanism for small particle resuspension (Fromentin 1989). For melter application, erosion from a settled sludge layer is not considered. Accumulation of the floor in terms of a surface mass density follows by integrating the mass flux at each position on the floor,

$$m(x,t) = m_0(x) + \int_0^t \ddot{m}(x,t) dt$$

where x is a position vector on the floor. The total mass on the floor is the surface mass density summed over the floor area. This model was used in predicting deposition and retention mass balances presented in Section 6.2.

One of the disadvantages of the floor model is that material which settles to the floor, while maintained in the total system mass balance, is external to the fluid domain. As such, it is decoupled from the fluid dynamics.

A second, related model has also bee implemented for use in ESM application. This is referred to as the floor-layer model. This model overcomes the primary disadvantage of the floor model and couples the stationary presence of the sludge layer. The floor-layer model uses the floor deposition model as a basis for determining the amount of material which settles to the floor. The total amount of material is then installed back into floor adjacent fluid cells for use in determining all concentration dependent properties in flow, heat transfer, and electric field solutions. In this way, density, viscosity, and electric conductivity are affected by the mass concentration of material that has settled to a stationary sludge layer. Then prior to solution of the species transport equation, the material is removed from the floor adjacent fluid cells. The advantage of this method is that the thin layer approximation is the floor deposition model can be circumvented. To improve upon the floor-layer model, it would be necessary to implement and test a fully coupled yield stress model. A preliminary version of such a model exists in TEMPEST. Data other than that presented by Krause and Luckscheiter (1991) are not available upon which to base the nonNewtonian rheology and yield character of the noble metals enriched sludge layer.

## D.3 ESM Computer Model Description

The TEMPEST computer program solves the governing conservation equations using finite volume approximations. The mathematical basis is described in Section D.1. Included in ESM melter analyses are submodels for property dependencies and noble metals particles transport. Models available are described in Section D.2. The ESM geometry, analysis procedures, and boundary conditions used in computing pre- and post-test results are presented in this section.

### D.3.1 Melter Description

The ESM melter was designed and built for testing at Kernforschungzentrum Karlsruhe-Institut für Nukleare Entsorgungstechnik (KfK-INE). Detailed drawings and description of this melter are presented in Section 3 of this report. In summary, the ESM melter has an octagonal melt pool cross-section with a flat-to-flat dimension of 60 cm and a maximum melt depth of 78 cm. The melt pool is contained with refractory ceramic which is contained within a cylindrical tank. There are two pairs of Inconel electrodes that are to be fired in-phase from a single electrical source. Operation was a batch pour mode wherein a slurry/frit mixture was continuously fed to the melt surface. When the glass reached a certain level, it was poured from the overflow section of the melter for a period of time.

## D.3.2 Modeling Approach

The computer modeling approach utilizes the TEMPEST computer program. TEMPEST is a computational fluid dynamics computer program which solves discretized conservation equations for flow, thermal energy, species, and electric fields. These equations are coupled through temperature dependent properties and source terms. The equations are solved using explicit, semi-implicit, and implicit numerical procedures, depending upon the problem under consideration.

The computer program is used in several ways in the analysis. A model of a melter is setup and described by data known as input. The model setup process involves defining boundary conditions, defining material properties, initializing variables, and setting computational control parameters. Once a model is setup, it is debugged. Debugging a model involves confirming that the model represents what is being modeled, checking dimensions, and generally comparing what

the computer spits out with what is known about the problem. Debugging a model also involves performing reality checks. These include checking net heat transfer rates, glass pour rates, and material volumes.

Once checked out, a computer model can be modified to particular needs. For example, a computer model of a melter can be used to investigate thermal characteristics of the refractory by turning off the fluid flow solution. Another example would be to use the model to investigate parameter dependencies, such as sensitivity of results to variations in assumed material properties. This also makes the model useful in the design of new melters.

In the present work, the goal of the computer modeling is to compute the convection flow pattern in the melt pool, use this flow field as a species (noble metals) transport medium, and predict the noble metals accumulation on the floor of the melter. The prediction of the transport of species (noble metals particulates) is to be either by continuum modeling or by particle transport. In continuum modeling, a governing differential (conservation) equation for the advection and diffusion of the species is solved. In particle transport, an ordinary differential equation is integrated in a Lagrangian sense to predict the path of individual particles. Obtaining statistical significance with the particle tracker method requires large numbers of particles and extremely accurate particle path integration techniques. With either approach, the goal is to predict the development of an enhanced conducting sludge layer on the melter floor.

The effect on melter operation of such a sludge layer is then analyzed using the set of flow, thermal energy, and electric fields in TEMPEST. This is done with a combination of fully coupled and decoupled analysis techniques. Fully coupled means that all of the equations interact through the properties and source terms. Fully coupled calculations in melters are very computer time intensive and are normally limited to typically hours of simulations time. The decoupled analysis approach assumes that the time variation of a process within the glass melt is very slow, such as the build up of a noble metals sludge layer. The decoupled approach assumes that the flow field is "frozen" in time as the simulated noble metals particles are transported around the glass melt. These calculations typically are of the order of days and weeks. Periodically, the noble metals are re-coupled to the calculation to account for any coupling effects that may have occurred as a result of the transport.

#### D.3.3 Pre-test and Post-test Data Sources

Pre-test calculations were conducted using several sources of information. These included:

1. Test Plan - PHTD-KfK 1, Rev: 0 - for the vitrification of noble metal containing NCAW-simulant with the ESM-melter in the mock up facility VA-WAK, November 1991 (PHTD-91-04.01-K903). This test plan provided "target" parameters for pre-test numerical computations.

2. Physical properties of the ESM ceramic. W. Grünewald, 6.12.91, modata.txt. A transmittal received from KfK containing (primarily) thermal conductivities, densities, and electrical properties of the ESM refractory ceramics. These data were used to define the thermal and electric properties of the melter model.

3. Blueprint-type drawings of the melter design showing configuration, material placements, and dimensions of the melter.

4. Memo from ML Elliott/PNL to W. Grünewald/KfK-INE dated October 23, 1991 containing glass properties information. This memo contained glass viscosity, electric conductivity, and density correlations.

Post-test calculations are conducted using data and information contained in the main body of this report. The computer model property data and functional dependencies are presented subsequently in Section D.4.

### D.3.4 Discretised Model Description

In developing a computer model of the ESM melter, it is first necessary to determine a computational grid system. Options considered included Cartesian, cylindrical, and a generalized curvilinear grid which conformed to both the octagonal internal as well as the cylindrical exterior boundary. While the latter of these is most ideal, its use requires computer model developments beyond the scope of the present work. The cylindrical coordinate system has the advantage of more closely matching both the octagonal interior and cylindrical outer boundary, but it suffers from being difficult to match the electrode/melt interface boundary which is quite important to the electric field solution. Thus, the Cartesian grid system was chosen based on previous experience and shortcomings and difficulties associated with each of the other grid systems in application to the present problem. The primary deficiency in using the Cartesian grid system for this problem, is that certain curved boundaries have to be approximated within the rectangular computational system. Figure D-2 presents a schematic of the material boundaries in a cutaway cross-sectional view of the three dimensional model.

A total of over 42,000 computational cells (discretised finite volumes) are used in the model. Thirteen separate material types are used to account for the glass, inconel, and various refractory ceramics surrounding the melt pool. The full melter is modeled because no symmetry assumptions can be made when the pour spout and batch pouring operations is considered. The nominal melt pool volume is 0.237 m³ in the model compared to 0.229 m³ in the experiment.

## D.3.5 Boundary Conditions

The computer model of the ESM requires boundary conditions to the transport equations being solved. Boundary conditions to the flow equations are straight forward. No flow boundary conditions are used at the melt pool/refractory interface and the cold cap surface is assumed to be rigid. Boundary conditions to the thermal energy equation are required at both internal and external surfaces. A fixed temperature of 40°C is specified on all exterior surfaces. Interior to the melter cavity, a temperature boundary condition is required to mimic the cooling effect at the cold cap surface. This is done based on previous melter modeling experience and melter experimental observations which indicate that the top surface of the melt consists of three principal zones: An inner zone over which liquid feed is being boiled, a surrounding annular zone consisting primarily of dried out feed, and an outermost annular zone consisting of molten glass exposed to the upper plenum. This outer zone is referred to as shining. In the computer model, a fixed temperature condition is specified for each of these zones. The boiling zone is assigned a temperature of 100° C to mimic the boiling process at constant temperature over approximately the central 70 percent of the melt surface. The dryout zone is assigned a temperature of 400°C over an annular region comprising approximately 15 percent of the melt surface. The shining is assigned a temperature of 900°C over the remaining outer annular surface region.

Boundary conditions to the electric field solution include specification of surrounding refractory as being electrically non-conducting. At the electrodes, a current source term is initialized in one side of each electrode pair, and an equal and opposite current sink term is initialized on the other side of the corresponding pair. Once the calculation commences, the temperature controller (if enabled) adjusts the current source/sink terms appropriately to attain the power level requisite with the targeted average melt temperature of 1150°C. D.4 Properties Used in Simulations

Density, viscosity, thermal conductivity, and electric conductivity conductivities are required for computational modeling. This section presents the temperature- and concentration-dependent variations and the property model forms used in the computer analyses.

#### D.4.1 Electric Conductivity

Computer simulations of the ESM melter have been conducted using two representations of electric conductivity. One set was used in pre-test simulations and one set was used in post-test simulations.



Figure D-2. Three Dimensional ESM Model - Material Boundary Schematic

The electric conductivity used in pre-test simulations was determined from a correlation³ based on the target glass. The correlation, identified in the present work as ESM/pre, is the same correlation used in RSM pre-test simulations (Cooper, et al. 1993). The correlation is given as:

$$\ln \left( \sigma \left( T \right) \right) = A + B/T \tag{D}$$

where

A = 9.31 B = -8469K T = Temperature (K)  $\sigma(T) = Electric conductivity (S/m)$ 

The electric conductivity used in post-test calculations is that obtained experimentally in the ESM experiment. These data are given in Figures 3-12, 5-19, and 5-23. For use in the computer modeling, the data in the figures were digitized and then curve fit. The digitized data are:

	Electrical
Temperature	Conductivity
<u>T(°C)</u>	<u>σ (S/m)</u>
[·] 950	6.0
1,000	7.9
1,015	8.6
1,055	10.3
1,100	12.4
1,150	15.2
1,200	18.0
1,210	18.5

These data were curve fit with Eq. (D.29) to give the following:

Α	=	8.092
В	=	-7660K
Т	=	Temperature (K)
σ(T)	=	Electric conductivity (S/m)

Comparison of the temperature dependence correlations and data are made in Figure D-3. The tabulated values that were used by the TEMPEST computer code in simulating the ESM experiments were as follows:

(D.29)

³ Personal correspondence: M. L. Elliott/PNL to W. Grünewald/KfK-INE, October 23, 1991.







ESM noble metals campaign report C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc

	Electrical	Electrical
Temperature	Resistance	Conductivity
(°C)	<u>(ohm cm)</u>	<u>(S/m)</u>
700		(2.07)
950	16.6	6.02
1000	12.6	7.91
1100	8.11	2.35
1150	6.61 ·	5.20
1200	5.55	18.00
1300		(24.85)
1401		(32.90)

Numbers in parentheses are extrapolated as follows. The specific electrical resistances were measured for NCAW glass product during the ESM campaign (Figure 5-19, Grünewald et al., 1993). The conductivities were derived from these measurements. Between 950°C and 1200°C inclusive the conductivities were found from

Conductivity (S/m) = (100 cm / m) / (specific resistance, ohm cm)

Extrapolation was used to estimate the conductivities outside the measured temperature range.

Slopes of conductivity with temperature:

950 - 1000°C: (7.91 - 6.02) / (1000 - 950) = 0.0378 S/m/°C1000 - 1100°C: (12.35 - 7.91) / (1100 - 1000) = 0.0444 S/m/°C1100 - 1200°C: (18.00 - 12.35) / (1200 - 1100) = 0.0565 S/m/°C

Second derivatives of conductivity:

 $950 - 1100^{\circ}C$ :  $(0.0444 - 0.0378) / (1050 - 975) = 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$ 

 $1000 - 1200^{\circ}C$ :  $(0.0565 - 0.0444) / (1150 - 1050) = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 

Conductivity at 700°C:

$$= 6.02 + (700 - 950)(0.0378 - (700 - 950)(8.8 \times 10^{-5})) = 2.07$$

Conductivity at 1300°C:

 $= 18.00 + (1300 - 1200)(0.0565 + (1300 - 1200)(1.2 \times 10^{-4})) = 24.85$ 

#### Appendix **D**

Conductivity at 1401°C:

$$= 24.85 + (1401 - 1300)((0.0565 + (100)(1.2 \times 10^{-4})) + (1401 - 1301)(1.2 \times 10^{-4})) = 32.9$$

In addition to the temperature dependence of electric conductivity, it is necessary to include concentration dependence also. Section D.2.1 presents model approaches. ESM data presented in Figure 5-19 and the data of Krause and Luckscheiter (1991) were used. It was first assumed that separation of the temperature and concentration dependencies could be done to determine constants in the expression

$$\sigma(T, C_{v}) = \sigma(T) * (1 + (a - 1) C_{b})$$
(D.30)

where	σ(T)	=	temperature-dependent electric conductivity at $C_v = 0$	
	C _r	=	ratio of volumetric concentration to maximum concentration $(C_{v,max})$	
	a	=	maximum conductivity ratio at $C_r = 1$	
	b	=	curvature shape constant	

First, the shape of the concentration dependence curve was determined. Krause and Lucksheiter (1991) collected data for glass electrical conductivity at two different temperatures, 950 C and 1150 C, over a range of concentrations of noble metal particulate material (PM). Their data are given below.

Electrical resistance				Conductiv to pure	rity ratio <u>glass</u>
PM Content	1150°C	950°C	PM Content	-	
<u>(wt%)</u>	<u>(ohm cm)</u>	<u>(ohm cm)</u>	<u>(vol %)</u>	<u>1150°C</u>	<u>950°C</u>
0	7.4	22.5	0	1	1
<0.5	7.2	20.5	0.16	1.03	1.10
<0.5	7.8	24.0	0.16	0.95	0.94
0.7	7.8	22.0	0.23	0.95	1.02
0.7	. 7.8	24.0	0.23	0.95	0.94
.0.9	7.5	23.5	0.29	0.99	0.96
1.0	<b>7.3</b> .	20.0	0.32	1.01	1.13
1.3	7.2	21.0	0.42	1.03	1.07
2.0	5.8	13.5	0.65	1.27	1.67
2.7	3.1	-5.7	0.89	2.38	3.94
3.1	2.8	5.5	1.0	2.64	4.10
3.7	2.5	4.6	1.2	2.96	4.89
5.5	1.5	2.7	1.8	4.94	8.33
9.6	0.5	1.2	3.3	15	19
16.5	0.1	0.3	6.0	74	75

A standard linear regression was used to fit the higher-concentration data (those in **boldface** above) to an equation of the form y = mx + b.

$$\ln[(\sigma/\sigma_0 - 1) / (a - 1)] = n * \ln(C/C_m)$$

In performing the regression,  $C_m$  was set equal to the maximum measured concentration (6.0 volume % PM) and a was set equal to the value of  $(\sigma/\sigma_0)$  at that concentration. This approach ensured that the regression curve would pass directly through the data at the high-concentration end, the region of most technical interest. The regression determined only the value of the exponent n. It was found to be about 2.6 for a temperature of 1150°C and 2.1 for 950°C. A value of 2.5 was found to reasonably represent both data temperature sets.

With the shape of the curve determined by a known power-law exponent, it was possible to use ESM data (Figure 5-19) to find the scaling coefficient a that was appropriate. The ESM data contained electrical conductivities for two different concentrations, 0% and approximately 2.2% by volume noble metals, over a range of temperatures. These data as digitized from the figure are given below.

Electrical conductivity			Conductivity	
Temperature	@ 0% PM	@ 2.2% PM	Ratio	
(°Č)	(S/cm)	(S/ohm)	<u>( ( ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )</u>	
950	6.02	117.1	19.5	
1000	7.91	133.5	16.9	
1015	8.62	141.8	16.4	
1055	10.31	165.1	16.0	
1100	12.35	175.6	.14.2	
1150	15.2	189.5	12.5	
1200	18	171.4	9.52	
1210	18.5	166.1	8.98	

A scaling coefficient of a=140 is needed to match the ESM value of  $\sigma/\sigma_0$  at 1150°C, the temperature that is most relevant to melter modeling. Using this coefficient throughout the temperature will produce errors in the conductivity of less than 40% over the temperature range from 950 to 1210°C. A better fit to both data temperature sets can be obtained if the "constant" a is allowed to be a linear function of temperature.

Using the data at 1150°C as a reference, it was determined that a best fit was achieved with

a = 140 and b = 2.5 at  $C_v = 0.06$  %vol.

However, the ratio of the glass product and the floor sample electric conductivity varies from about 9 to 19 over the temperature range from 1200°C to 950°D. Including this leads to

 $a = 670 - 0.467 * T(^{\circ}C)$ 

in Eq. (C-30). In developing the above correlation, a noble metals density of 6970 kg/m³ and a glass density of 2170 kg/m³ were used to convert from wt% to vol% and back. Figure D-4 presents the results of the correlation with the ESM data from Figure 5-19 and the data of Krause and Luckscheiter (1991). The correlation is only presented for temperatures of 950°C and 1150°C. While the correlation is design to specifically fit the limited ESM data at two concentrations, it replicates the distribution of Krause and Luckscheiter's data quite well.

# D.4.2 Thermal Conductivity

Thermal conductivity used in ESM computer modeling is presented in Figure D-5. These data, identified as HW 39, were obtained from an earlier analysis and are extrapolated from data presented by Larson (1989). No concentration dependency of the thermal conductivity was included in the present analysis, although the same modeling approach used for electrical conductivity could be used if there were data to justify it (see Section D.2.1).

## D.4.3 Density

Density as a function of temperature is presented in Figure D-6. These data, identified as HW 39, were used in ESM computer modeling herein and were obtained from an earlier analysis. The data are from Larson (1989). For calculations in which noble metal particle transport is done, the density of the mixture of glass and noble metals particles is calculated as a volume-weighted sum of the glass fraction and the solid fraction. The mixture density is

$$\rho_{\rm m} = (1 - C_{\rm v})\rho_{\rm g}(T) + C_{\rm v}\rho_{\rm s} \tag{D.31}$$

where

$\rho_{m}$	=	mixture density
C _v	=	volumetric concentration of solid particle phase
$\rho_{g}(T)$	=	temperature-dependent glass density
ρs	=	solid particle density

The temperature dependence of the intrinsic density of the noble metals particles,  $\rho_s$ , is not presently considered.



Figure D-4. Comparison of Electric Conductivity Correlation as a Function of Concentration

**K£K-INE** 

Appendix D

ESM noble metals campaign report





Figure D-5. Temperature Dependence of Thermal Conductivity Used in ESM Computer Modeling

C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc



Figure D-6. Temperature Dependence of Density Used in ESM Computer Modeling

#### Appendix D

### D.4.4 Viscosity

Pre-test computer simulations were conducted with data supplied as a correlation⁴ given as

$$\ln (\mu(T)) = A + B / (T - T_{o})$$

where

$$\mu(T) = dynamic viscosity (Pa-s)$$
  

$$T = temperature (K)$$
  

$$A = -7.60$$
  

$$B = 9,615K$$
  

$$T_0 = 397K$$

A comparison of the ESM viscosity data (from Figure 3-11) with this correlation is made in Figure D-7.

For fully coupled calculations in which viscosity of the glass is assumed to be a function of concentration, the functional form used is a power shape function

$$\mu(T,C_{v}) = \mu(T) * (1 + (a - 1) * C_{vb})$$
(D.32)

where  $\mu(T) =$  temperature-dependent viscosity  $C_r =$  ratio of volumetric concentration to maximum volume concentration  $(C_v/C_{v,max})$ a = maximum viscosity ratio at  $C_r = 1$ . b = curvature shape constant.

For pre-test calculations, the maximum viscosity ratio, a, was selected to be 10. The choice of a maximum viscosity ratio of 10 is based on data for noble metals given by Krause and Luckscheiter (1991). They indicate, however, that noble metals laden glass exhibits a non-Newtonian character in a stress versus strain diagram. In the present work, the glass was assumed to be concentration dependent, but Newtonian. This implies that while the viscosity may become large as concentration increases, the glass is continuously shearable. Data on ESM glass samples (both KfK's in Figures 5-24 and PNL's independent determination using ESM glass samples) are insufficient to isolate any non-Newtonian character. The KfK data (Figure 5-24) does not span a sufficiently wide concentration range to allow for determining the viscosity dependency near the concentrations of floor samples and the viscosity data near the 1150°C temperature does not indicate any discernible concentration dependency for the maximum

⁴ Personal correspondence M.L. Elliott/PNL to W. Grünewald/KfK-INE, October 23, 1991.

C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc



Figure D-7. Temperature Dependence of Viscosity Used in ESM Computer Modeling

concentration measured at 0.208 wt% It is noted by the experimenters (Section 5.4.2) that samples obtained from the floor layer were of insufficient quantity to determine viscosity.

PNL utilized the ESM glass samples to measure a concentration-dependent viscosity effect. Figure D-8 presents the results of PNL's concentration dependent viscosity⁵ as a function of concentration and temperature. Curve fits of each concentration as a function of temperature were performed for the expression

$$\mu(T) = \exp(A + B/T(K))$$
(D.33)

The constants in this curve fit are:

Concentration	Constant	Constant
<u>wt%</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
0.	-12.83	20776K
1.	-11.79	19552
2.	-11.44	19361
3.	-11.96	20436
4. ·	-10.74	19148
5.5	-10.42	19074

These curve fits are also presented in Figure D-8. Attempts to subsequently curve fit each of the constants A and B were fruitless. Using the data in the 1000 to 1200°C range, the ratio of the viscosity at 5.5 wt% to that of 0 wt% is found to be approximately 3.2, or

Temperature	<u>μ(C_w=5.5wt%)</u>
<u></u>	$\mu(C_w=0. wt\%)$
1000	3.2
1100	3.5
1200	3.0

This is encouraging because it supports the contention that the temperature and concentration dependence can be separated as

 $\mu(T,C) = \mu(T)^*f(C)$ 

(D.34)

⁵ Personal communication. M. L. Elliott to L. L. Eyler, August 20, 1993. It was noted that there is a possibility that the sample preparation process used in making the viscosity measurements caused the RuO₂ hairball particles to be destroyed. This is suspected because the process ground the glass sample to a fine power before melting it and making the viscosity measurements.



Viscosity vs Temperature for Various Noble Metal Concentrations with Curve Fit A

Figure D-8. Temperature and Concentration Dependent ESM Glass Viscosity (PNL Test Results)

Appendix D

ESM noble metals campaign report C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc

K£K-INE

Because the data at 1000°C has a wider spread, they were fit with the functional form given by Eq. (D-32). Results of this fit are presented in Figure D-9. Included in the figure are the concentration dependent data at T=1000°C and three curve fits. The data are the black circles. The solid black line is an exponential curve fit. The other two curve fits are of the form of Eq. (D.32). Note that the expression which was used in pre-test simulations with

$$a = 10 \text{ and } b = 10$$

is not a good representation of this data. A better representation is given with

$$a = 15$$
 and  $b = 1.5$ 

in Eq. (D.32). These data are for an assumed maximum packing factor,  $C_{v,max} = 6$  vol% ( $C_{w,max} = 19.3$  wt%). Figure D-10 presents the same data and curve fits assuming  $C_{v,max} = 2$  vol% ( $C_{w,max} = 5.5$  wt%). In this case, the best exponent in the curve fit is still b = 1.5, but the ratio of the maximum concentration at maximum packing is a = 3.2. The composite of available data from the ESM and RSM experiments is presented in Figure D-11 along with pre- and post-experiment correlations.





Figure D-9. Concentration Dependence of Viscosity at 1000°C and Curve Fits



Figure D-10. Concentration Dependence of Viscosity at 1000°C and Curve Fits

ESM noble metals campaign report



Figure D-11. Concentration Dependence of Viscosity at 1000°C - Composite of ESM and RSM Data and Curve Fits

K£K-

INE

Appendix D

ESM noble metals campaign report

D.4.5 Particle Settling

Particles in the melter settle in the Stokes flow (or viscous creeping flow) regime with a particle Reynolds number far less than unity. Although Stokes flow for simple solid particles is well characterized in the literature, calculations of the melter particle settling velocity are complicated by the porosity and nonsphericity of the noble metals particles. Furthermore, it is necessary to choose a model for "hindered settling". This is the decreased particle settling velocity observed at higher solid volume fractions (such as those expected in the packed sludge at the bottom of the melter). Settling velocity must go to zero when the particles become densely enough packed that no further settling can reasonably be expected.

The particle settling submodels in the TEMPEST computer code accept reference velocities as input. The modeler calculates the reference velocities, accounting for nonsphericity and porosity of the particles. These reference velocities are then scaled by TEMPEST to account for the variation of local particle concentration, fluid viscosity, and density in the melter.

The basic equation for the Stokes regime settling velocity is

$$V_{s} = d^{2} g \left(\rho_{p} - \rho_{f}\right) / (18 \,\mu) \tag{D.35}$$

Here the diameter d is that of an equivalent spherical particle; the densities,  $\rho_p$  and  $\rho_f$ , are those of the bulk solid particle and the fluid, respectively. The local dynamic viscosity is  $\mu$ .

Strictly speaking, Equation (D.34) holds only for a solid spherical particle which is isolated from other particles. The effects of nonsphericity, porosity, and hindered settling, which are all relevant to the RSM particle behavior, are discussed in following sections. As a reference basis for the discussion, certain observed particle size distributions from the GFT and RSM experiments are presented in Table D-1. Detailed particle size distribution data were not available for the ESM run.

Table D-1. Measured particle size distributions.

	Volume Percent			
		RSM Data	RSM Data	
Diameter range		Segments 4, 5, 6	Segments 9, 10	
(µm)	GFT data	Cans 26, 30, 35	Cans 57, 63	
0-1	0.1			
1-3	13.5			
· 3-5	32.2			
5-7	20.9	11.7	0.1	
7-9	0	19.8	0.6	
9-11	0	24.3	1.6	
11-13	33.4	4.9	2.9	

K£K-INE	Appendix D	ESM noble metals campaign report	
		C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc	
13-15	27.5	2.8	
15-17	11.7	2.6	
17-19		5.2	
19-21		5.7	
21-23		1.4	
23-25		2.7	
25-30		2.7	
30-40		16.5	
40-50		11.7	
50-60		21.4	
60-80		22.1	

The size distribution is expressed in terms of volume fraction, this being the most appropriate distribution for considering sludge accumulation problems. The volumes are approximate, being based on the volume of spheres having a cross-sectional area equal to the projected area of the observed particles. The GFT data show the smallest particle size and narrowest distribution. The samples from RSM Test Segments 9 and 10 (cans 57 and 63) show a much higher volume fraction of large particles than the earlier samples from Segments 4, 5, and 6 (Cans 26, 30 and 35). The ESM data, though incomplete, suggest about the same breadth of distribution as for the later RSM runs and a larger mean particle size⁶. The maximum particle sizes in ESM product probably equalled or slightly exceeded 100  $\mu$ m. The larger particle size may have resulted from the longer average residence time for the ESM, about 90 hours, compared to the 5-hour residence time for the RSM.

#### D.4.5.1 Nonsphericity

Many of the particles observed in the melter tests to date were not spherical. But in general the GFT particles and the clusters in the RSM were not very elongated. The length to diameter ratios (L/Ds) were less than 3 for almost all of the GFT particles that were observed. The same can be said for the clusters of small needles that were found in the RSM glass samples (Anderson et al., 1992; Cooper et al., 1993). (While the needles had large L/D ratios, the pertinent shape for purposes of settling velocity calculation is the overall shape of the cluster.)

⁶Kim, D-S. 1993. "Microscopic observation of glasses from Germany." Internal memorandum from Dong-Sang Kim to Mike Elliott, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington. 12 April 1993.

⁶ Grünewald, W., et al. "Vitrification of Noble Metals Containing NCAW-Simulant with an Engineering Scale Melter (ESM)". Draft Campaign Report. February 15, 1993. Figures upon which many of the following observations were made have been omitted from the present campaign report.

Appendix A of the first ESM Draft Campaign report⁷ shows the particles found in samples from the ESM bottom. A variety of particles were present. Some of the particle mass was found in small solid blobs and needles, with L/D ranging from 1 to about 6 and with L between 2 and 10  $\mu$ m. There also were some large porous clusters with L/D typically less than 3 and L between 20 and 80  $\mu$ m; examples can be seen at the center of Figures 4 and 14 of the reference. Another fairly common type of particle was a solid or slightly porous near-sphere with diameter of 5 to 50  $\mu$ m (Figures A-5 and A-6). In some cases (Fig. A-10) two or three of the spheres joined into a single large conglomerate particle. There were also large oblongs, slightly porous, with L/D of about 4 and L from 50 to 100  $\mu$ m, or with L/D greater than 10 and L of 200 to 800  $\mu$ m (Figures A-11, 24, 30, 34, 35).

The ESM particles that were poured out in product glass were not as large and varied in shape as those in the ESM sludge. Perhaps the largest particles never reached the product glass. The ESM product particles were clusters that strongly resembled the RSM clusters in shape and structure but appeared to be larger⁸. The size and shape distribution of the ESM product clusters have not been quantified but it is reasonable to consider them to have an L/D of less than 3, as for the similar RSM and GFT clusters.

A settling velocity correction for nonspherical particles can be made. The important variables can be determined by reviewing the derivation of Eq (D.34). Settling occurs when gravity acts on a particle. The terminal settling velocity is reached when the drag and gravitational forces on a particle are equal.

Drag force	=	$C_{\rm D} A_{\rm p} \rho_{\rm f} V_{\rm s^2} / 2$	(D.35)

Gravitational force =  $g(\rho_p - \rho_f)v_p$  (D.36)

Here  $C_D$  is the drag coefficient,  $A_p$  the projected (cross-sectional) area of the particle, and  $v_p$  the particle volume. In Stokes flow, the drag coefficient is an inverse function of Reynolds number:

 $C_{\rm D} = 24 \,\mu / (V_{\rm s} \,d\,\rho_{\rm f})$  (D.37)

To determine the equivalent spherical diameter d of a non-spherical particle, the important variables are the volume  $v_p$  and the surface area  $s_p$  of the particle (McCabe and Smith, 1976, p. 149). First, the equivalent diameter of the particle is defined as that of a sphere with equal

[°] ⁸Kim, D-S. 1993. "Microscopic observation of glasses from Germany." Internal memorandum from Dong-Sang Kim to Mike Elliott, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington. 12 April 1993.
volume. Second, a dimensionless parameter  $\Phi_s$  (the sphericity) is defined as the ratio of the surface area of the sphere of equal volume to the surface area of the actual particle. With these corrections in place, the equivalent spherical diameter d is defined as

$$d = 6 v_p / (\Phi_s s_p) \tag{D.38}$$

The drag coefficient is

$$C_{\rm D} = (4 \,\mu / V_{\rm s} \,\rho_{\rm f}) \,(\Phi_{\rm s} \,s_{\rm p} / v_{\rm p}) \tag{D.39}$$

And the settling velocity is derived as

$$V_{s} = (g (\rho_{p} - \rho_{f}) / (2 \mu)) (1 / \Phi_{s}) [(v_{p} / s_{p}) (v_{p} / A_{p})]$$
(D.40)

Treating the particles as cylinders characterized by the parameter L/D, it is possible to derive the volume to area ratio (the term in square brackets above) and the sphericity. The equations are given below. Table D-2 presents particle shape factors for cylindrical particles with various degrees of elongation.

$$(v_p/s_p)(v_p/A_p) = [\pi L/D / (2L/D + 1)(4L/D + \pi)] * A_p(D.41)$$
  
 $\Phi_s = 2 (1.5L/D)^{2/3} / (2L/D + 1)$  (D.42)

Table D-2. Particle shape factors for elongated cylinders.

L/D	$(v_p/s_p)(v_p/A_p)/A_p$	<b>Sphericity</b>	
1	0.147	0.87	
2	0.113	0.83	
3	0.0889	0.78	
5	0.0617	0.70	
10	0.0347	0.58	
20 .	0.0184	0.47	

These values were used as shown in Section D.4.5.3 to estimate settling velocities for ESM particles.

## D.4.5.2. Porosity

The noble metal clusters observed in the ESM and RSM product samples were not simple solid shapes, as tacitly assumed in Eq. (D.39), but highly porous agglomerates of randomly oriented needles. Flow through the pores of these particles must be accounted for in calculating the settling velocity. The literature contains closed-form methods to estimate the settling velocity of high-porosity particles in the Stokes flow regime. Because these methods do not require any adjustable parameters, they are immediately usable in the current study.

The important variables are the cluster's solid volume fraction and the thickness of the needles or rods comprising the cluster. From these the particle permeability (analogous to the permeability of a packed bed) can be estimated. Flow through the cluster can be treated as a case of flow normal to a fiber mat or an array of cylinders, as noted by Masliyah et al. (1987, p. 252). The equation for permeability k is Equation (41) (op. cit.):

$$k = -[(a^2) / 8c] * [ln c + (1-c^2) / (1+c^2)]$$
(D.42)

where a is the thickness of the needles making up the agglomerate and c is the volume fraction of the particle that is solid, equal to (1 - the void fraction).

Given the particle permeability, the drag force for a permeable sphere (relative to that for a solid sphere) can be calculated. (A non-spherical particle is treated by using the equivalent diameter d.) The parameter  $\beta$ , a dimensionless particle radius, is defined in Equation (4) of Matsumoto and Suganuma (1977) as

$$\beta = (d / (2 * sqrt(k)))$$
 (D.43)

The ratio F of the drag force for the impermeable sphere to that for the permeable sphere can be calculated from Equation (6) of Matsumoto and Suganuma (1977):

$$F = (\beta / (\beta - \tanh(\beta)) + 1.5 / (\beta^{2}))$$
(D.44)

where

 $F = (drag force on solid particle) / (drag on porous particle of same equivalent diameter d and bulk density <math>\rho_{p}$ )

and

 $F = (settling velocity of porous particle) / (settling velocity of solid particle of same d and <math>\rho_{p}$ )

The bulk density of the particle  $\rho_s$  is the linear average of the density of the fluid  $\rho_f$  in the particle pores and the intrinsic density of the solid  $\rho_s$ , or

$$\rho_{\rm p} = c \,\rho_{\rm s} + (1 - c) \,\rho_{\rm f} \tag{D.45}$$

Use of this formula gives the intuitively reasonable result that when the particle porosity (1-c) is zero, the particle density is that of the solid, and when the porosity is unity, the particle density is that of the fluid.

It follows that the particle settling velocity, including the effect of porosity, can be expressed as

$$V_{s} = (g F (\rho_{p} - \rho_{f}) / (2 \mu)) (1 / \Phi_{s}) (v_{p} / s_{p}) (v_{p} / A_{p})$$
(D.46)

Eq. (D.46) is the Stokes settling velocity expression (Eq. [D.35]), modified to account for nonsphericity and porosity. There were no quantified data for the porosity of GFT particles, but some "sponginess", interpreted as a porosity of perhaps 20-30%, was noted for the particles in Group 2 and Group 3 (Anderson et al., 1992). This porosity is not high enough to allow significant flow through the particle. However, the porosity of the ESM and RSM product clusters was much greater. Viewing the RSM clusters under reflected light, the occluded area fraction of the RSM clusters was between 5 and 17 percent (Cooper et al., 1993). Large particles typically had lower occluded area fractions than small ones, but the correlation between particle size and occluded area fraction was not very strong. Because the area fraction was determined by reflected light -- giving the fraction of cross-sectional area that was solid -- the solid volume fraction of a cluster can be taken as about equal to the occluded area fraction.

The needle thickness a can be ascertained from the data. One GFT particle photo -- Figure 3.6 of Anderson et al. (1992) -- shows a spiny aggregate, about 16 by 4  $\mu$ m in size, of RuO₂ needles that are 0.3 to 0.5  $\mu$ m thick. Other photos (Figures 3.9 and 3.19, op. cit.) show RuO₂ needles that are 0.5 to 1  $\mu$ m thick. RSM observations (Appendix A, Cooper et al., 1993) confirm a needle thickness of about 0.5 to 1  $\mu$ m. These needle sizes are used to calculate the factor F for ESM product clusters, which strongly resemble RSM clusters. The porosity estimates for ESM clusters are drawn from the RSM data.

The extent of the effect of flow through a porous particle can be seen in the value of the factor F. Values of F were calculated for the porosity and needle-size ranges characteristic of ESM clusters. Most values were between 1.0 and 1.2. The highest values of F were at the extreme end of the parameter range. For a particle with the highest porosity (95%), the greatest needle diameter (1  $\mu$ m), and the smallest diameter (10  $\mu$ m), the value of F was the maximum value (in this range) of 2.1. A value of F of 1.2 was used for settling velocity calculations for ESM clusters.

D.4.5.3 Isolated-particle settling velocities

The information given in the preceding sections was used with Eq. (D.46) to estimate the range of reference settling velocities to be found in the ESM. For comparison, none of the particles in the RSM product had a settling velocity greater than  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  m/s, and only about 50% had settling velocities greater than  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  m/s.

Small solid blobs and needles (ESM sludge):

L/D: 1 to 6 L: 2 to 10 μm Porosity: 0 Volume/area ratio: 0.06 to 0.15 Sphericity: 0.7 to 0.87 Bulk density of particle: 6970 kg/m³ (as for RuO₂)

For L/D=1, L=2 $\mu$ m,	$V_s = 2 \ge 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=6, L=2 μm,	$V_s = 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=1, L=10 μm,	$V_s = 5 \ge 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=6, L=10 μm,	$V_s = 4 \times 10^{-9}$ m/s.

Large porous clusters (ESM sludge and product):

 L/D: 1 to 3
 L: 20 to 100  $\mu$ m
 Porosity: 90% (F = 1.2)

 Volume/area ratio: 0.09 to 0.15
 Sphericity: 0.78 to 0.87

 Bulk density of particle: 2650 kg/m³
 Sphericity: 0.78 to 0.87

For L/D=1, L=20 μm,	$V_s = 2 \ge 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=3, L=20 μm,	$V_s = 4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=1, L=100 μm,	$V_s = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=3, L=100 μm,	$V_s = 1 \times 10^{-7}$ m/s.

Spherical particles (ESM sludge):

Spherical D:	5 - 50	μm	•	Porosity:	20%
Volume/area	ratio:	0.14		Sphericity	: 1.0
Bulk density	of part	icle:	6010 kg/m ³		

For D=5 $\mu$ m,	$V_s = 6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}.$
For D=50 μm,	$V_s = 6 \ge 10^{-7} \text{ m/s}.$

Short oblongs (ESM sludge):

L/D: 4

L: 50 - 100 µm

Porosity: 20%

C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc

Volume/area ratio: 0.073 Sphericity: 0.73 Bulk density of particle: 6010 kg/m³

For L/D=4, L=50  $\mu$ m, V_s = 1 x 10⁻⁷ m/s. For L/D=4, L=100  $\mu$ m, V_s = 6 x 10⁻⁷ m/s.

Large needles (sludge):

L/D: 10 - 20 L: 200 - 800 µm Porosity: 20% Volume/area ratio: 0.018 - 0.035 Sphericity: 0.47 - 0.58 Bulk density of particle: 6010 kg/m³

For L/D=10, L=200 μm,	$V_s = 6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=20, L=200 μm,	$V_s = 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m/s}.$
For L/D=20, L=800 μm,	$V_s = 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m/s}.$

In the case of the ESM product clusters, a distribution of cluster settling velocities was estimated in the same manner as for the RSM product, but increasing the diameter by 20  $\mu$ m. (The maximum diameter observed in the RSM was about 70  $\mu$ m, while 90-100  $\mu$ m clusters were found in the ESM.) The increased size causes an increase in settling velocity. Where only about 50% of the particle mass had a settling velocity of 1 x 10⁻⁷ m/s in the RSM product, 70% to 80% were estimated to be in that velocity range in the ESM. The maximum settling velocity increased only slightly, from about 3 x 10⁻⁷ m/s (RSM) to 5 x 10⁻⁷ m/s (ESM).

Some ESM clusters may have higher settling velocities. According to Kim (1993), the photos on which the above calculations were based did not necessarily show the largest clusters, and indicated an average cluster size two or three times as large as that in the RSM. Even considering this datum, it seems unlikely that a maximum settling velocity of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s is exceeded. For lack of a more detailed analysis of the cluster size, shape, and porosity, an upper-limit settling velocity of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s (or 3.6 mm/hr) was used as a TEMPEST input.

# D.4.5.4 Hindered settling

When particles settle to the bottom of the melter in significant quantities, their concentration is great enough to cause their settling velocity to decrease. At some maximum packing factor, the settling velocity becomes zero because no further movement is possible.

The TEMPEST computer code uses three different options to calculate hindered settling and packing effects. In the first option, a constant settling velocity unaltered by particle concentration is used. This option is primarily designed for non-accumulating, low-concentration species. It

could lead to overpacking the cells at the bottom of the melter, raising their solid volume fraction to physically impossible values higher than unity. This option has not been used in the present work. In the second option, a "table" of settling velocities is specified by the user. The input may specify up to five values of particle concentration and the corresponding settling velocity to describe the variation of velocity with concentration. This permits specifying a maximum packing fraction, so preventing overpacking. Finally, the third option uses a formula relating settling velocity to concentration, providing a continuum of velocities. This third option is used in the present work.

The formula used in the third option is taken from Perry and Chilton (1973, p. 5-64). The hindered settling velocity  $V_{sh}$  for particles in the Stokes regime is

$$V_{ab} = V_{s} (1 - s/s_{max})^{n}$$
(D.47)

Here  $V_s$  is the settling velocity at negligible concentration, s is the solid fraction in the suspension, and n is an exponent that depends on the particle flow regime. For Stokes flow, n = 4.65, according to Perry and Chilton (1973). TEMPEST allows an exponent n to be chosen by the user. TEMPEST also takes input of V_s and of the maximum packing fraction  $s_{max}$ , at which velocity becomes zero.

The layer of particles on the melter bottom is expected to be a loosely packed, highly porous bed of randomly oriented particles, much like the RSM clusters. The maximum packing fraction of this bed is unlikely to be more than 20% solid by volume. The RSM clusters themselves were no more than 17% solid by volume, and the particles were probably not crushed to a higher density after settling. It might be thought that a maximum packing fraction of 60% or more would be seen, based on the atomic packing factors for metal crystals -- from 68% (body-centered cubic) to 74% (face-centered cubic). However, these high atomic packing factors depend on the atoms' propensity for moving into minimum-energy locations relative to each other. Noble metal particles probably do not move about once in contact with each other, but weld together at once, leading to a much more inefficiently packed matrix.

According to Eq. (D.47), the settling velocity would be a factor of 10 lower than the unhindered value at a concentration of about 40% of the maximum packing. The maximum noble metals concentration measured in the ESM bottom sludge was about 6.5% by mass, or 2.2% by volume (Grünewald et al., 1993). If this were the concentration at which  $V_{sh}$  was only 10 to 20 percent of  $V_{s}$ , effectively stopping further deposition, it would imply that the maximum packing fraction was 5.5% to 7.5% solids by volume. This range of solid fractions is consistent with the inefficient packing to be expected from the observed ESM noble metal clusters: A maximum packing factor of  $s_{max} = 0.06$  was used for ESM settling calculations.

## D.5 ESM Spout Model

The product glass concentration profiles -- Figures 5-9 through 5-14 -- showed lower concentrations in the first glass sample of each pour than for later samples. In many (but not all) cases, the concentration was highest in the second sample and decreased slightly to a level that was constant in the third through fifth samples.

The explanation offered was that the noble metals that remained in the spout after each pour might have settled to the bottom of the spout and melter during the 7 to 9 hours of quiescence between pours. The settled material could then be available for resuspension and removal when pouring resumed, leading to temporarily increased concentrations. This hypothesis was examined using the TEMPEST model, and appears to be correct.

For purposes of TEMPEST simulation, the spout was represented in a 2-D simulation as a plane 1.1 m long by 4 cm wide. The glass (containing noble metals at 4.04 kg/m³) flowed along the long axis of the plane, which was sloped at 30 degrees up from the horizontal. A horizontal free surface was placed at the outflow end in order to model the flow immediately after a pour is started, when the glass just begins to trickle over the end of the spout and the whole spout volume is not yet filled with glass. Settling was modeled in a non-coupled mode -- with glass properties independent of noble metals concentration -- and pouring was modeled in a coupled mode. The noble metal particles were assumed to settle for 7 to 10 hr, a typical feed period, before a pour began. The average glass pour velocity was set at 0.93 cm/s and the temperature at 1088°C, the same values predicted for the outflow in the complete melter TEMPEST runs. The glass and noble metal particle properties were the same as in the melter simulations, and a settling velocity of 1 x 10⁻⁶ m/s, or 3.6 mm/hr, was used.

The throughflow time constant for the TEMPEST spout was (1.1 m/0.0093 m/s), or 118 s. In the actual melter, the pour rate of 50 kg/hr corresponds to a volumetric flow of about 0.02 m³/hr. Because the spout has a length of 1.1 m and a diameter of 4 cm, its volume is about 0.0014 m³ and the throughflow time constant is about 0.07 hr or 252 s. In order to make the TEMPEST results comparable to the ESM observations -- on the basis of having equal amounts of glass flow-through, expressed as fraction of spout volume -- the TEMPEST time scale must be multiplied by a factor of (252/118). The time-scaled TEMPEST results can be compared to the first three samples of each pour. These samples were taken in the first 1 kg of glass (within the first 0.02 hr or 72 s), after 3 kg of glass (at 0.06 hr or 216 s), and at 10 kg (720 s). The amounts of sample were respectively 120 g, 120 g, and 400 g, for sampling durations of 9 s, 9 s, and 29 s.

The concentration profiles predicted by TEMPEST qualitatively matched those shown in many of the ESM observations, with the limitation that the observations were discrete and could not show a continuous time profile of concentration. Figure D-12 shows the TEMPEST predicted concentration profile together with representative ranges of observed values. A very low initial



Figure D-12. Concentration profile for an ESM pour spout.

KEK-INE

Appendix D

ESM noble metals campaign report

)

concentration increased over a period of a few minutes to a maximum, which then decreased to the steady-state concentration in the melter. As flow began, the relatively "clean" glass initially present in the spout was replaced by melter glass, which carried along with it a high-concentration "pulse" of accumulated settled material. Quantitatively, the TEMPEST results exaggerate the concentration changes seen during actual operation. The minimum concentrations given by TEMPEST are 10% to 25% of the final steady-state value, and the maxima are nearly twice the final value. By comparison, the minimum observed concentrations are no lower than 70% of the final concentrations and the maxima (when visible) are only a few percent above.

While these results qualitatively match the measured data, the absolute magnitude differences may be the result of several things. The assumed initial concentration is  $4.04 \text{ kg/m}^3$  of noble metals. This value corresponds to (4.04/2170) = 0.186 wt% which is the target concentration, not the actual equilibrium discharge value of about 0.13 wt% total noble metals. The temperature gradient along the spout -- with cooler temperatures at the higher end -- was not modeled. Consequently the temperature-driven convection currents that are expected to be present in the spout during feed were not accounted for. Thus, the glass in the spout would be better mixed in the actual melter than in the model, which would lead to smaller concentration variations during the actual pour (as observed). It is also possible that the actual settling velocity was lower than the modeled one. The effect of a settling velocity that was lower than modeled would be the same as that of additional convective mixing.

In addition, the model did not allow the noble metals that settled during feed to leave the spout by dropping back into the melter. This would lead to an overestimation of the concentration peak that occurs early in the pour; in the actual melter much of that settled material would no longer be available in the spout. This effect by itself would not account for the exaggeratedly low concentrations predicted by the model at the beginning of the pour, though. Those low concentrations are likely to be the result of underpredicted convection or overpredicted settling. Further modeling that included convection would be needed to distinguish between the possible causes.

### D.6 Lumped-Parameter Melter Model

A "lumped-parameter" analytical model of melter operation was developed and tested against the ESM noble metals discharge data. The lumped-parameter model assumed that the melter was a well-mixed tank whose volume fluctuated predictably during each feed/pour cycle. It also assumed that the noble metals settled at a constant rate; the unhindered settling velocity of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s was used, as was for the TEMPEST runs. These assumptions permitted separable differential equations to be solved for the feed and pour segments of the cycle. KfK-INE

1

During the feed segment of the cycle, glass and noble metals are being fed but not poured, and the glass volume in the melter is increasing. The governing equations are

Glass mass balance:  $V = V_L + Q_f t$  (D.48)

Noble metals balance:  $dM/dt = m_f - V_s A C$  (D.49)

Here t is time, V is the glass volume in the melter,  $V_L$  the minimum glass volume (the volume at the beginning of the feed segment),  $Q_f$  the volumetric glass feed rate, M the mass of noble metals in the melter,  $m_f$  the noble metal mass feed rate,  $V_s$  the particle settling velocity, A the melter floor area, and C the mass concentration of noble metals in the melter. The noble metals balance can be expressed entirely in terms of C:

$$(V_L + Q_f t) dC/dt = m_f - (V_s A + Q_f) C$$
 (D.50)

At the end of the feed segment, the solution to this separable differential equation is

$$C_{f} = (C_{0} - m_{f} / (V_{s} A + Q_{f})) [(Q_{f} t_{f} + V_{L}) / V_{L}]^{(V_{s} A + Q_{f})/Q_{f}} + m_{f} / (V_{s} A + Q_{f})$$
(D.51)

The concentration at the end of the feed segment (and beginning of the pour segment) is  $C_{f}$ . The variable  $t_{f}$  is the duration of the feed segment, and  $C_{0}$  is the concentration at the beginning of the feed segment.

The next step is to solve the governing equations for the pour segment, during which glass and noble metals are removed from the melter and its glass volume decreases. The equations are

Glass mass balance: 
$$V = V_H + (Q_f - Q_x) t$$
 (D.52)

Noble metals balance: 
$$V dC/dt = m_f - (Q_f + V_s A) C$$
 (D.53)

The new variables are  $Q_x$ , the volumetric glass pour rate, and  $V_H$ , the maximum melter glass volume, defined as

$$V_{\rm H} = V_{\rm L} + Q_{\rm f} t_{\rm f} \tag{D.54}$$

At the end of the pour segment (which is the end of the cycle), the concentration C_s is

$$C_{s} = C_{i} + (C_{f} - C_{i}) [((Q_{f} - Q_{x}) t_{p} + V_{H}) / V_{H}]^{-(Qf + V_{s} A)/(Qf - Qx)}$$
(D.55)

The variable  $C_f$  has already been defined in Equation (D.51);  $C_i$  is the mass concentration of noble metals in the feed. It is equal to

ESM noble metals campaign report C:\winword\esm\AppD.doc

$$C_i = m_f / Q_f \tag{D.56}$$

Since the melter can be assumed to start each cycle with the same glass volume, a relationship between the feed and pour times can be established:

$$(Q_x - Q_f) t_p = Q_f t_f \tag{D.57}$$

This relationship allows the equations for concentration to be simplified.

$$C_{s} = C_{i} + (C_{f} - C_{i}) (V_{L}/V_{H})^{-(Qf + V_{s} A)/(Qf - Qx)}$$
(D.58)

$$C_{f} = (C_{0} - m_{f} / (V_{s} A + Q_{f})) [V_{H} / V_{L}]^{-(Qf + V_{s} A) / Qf} + m_{f} / (V_{s} A + Q_{f})$$
(D.59)

The total noble metals discharge over many cycles can be calculated with this model if the final concentration  $C_s$  for each cycle is taken as the initial concentration  $C_0$  for the next cycle. Such calculations can readily be carried out with spreadsheet software.

The discharged mass of noble metals for each cycle can be calculated from mass balance considerations. The calculation is simplified by recognizing that during the feed segment no noble metals are discharged, and during the pour segment the noble metals are removed by both settling and discharge. Because both of these processes are proportional to concentration, the ratio of the discharged mass to the total removed mass is the ratio of the respective volumetric removal rates:

$$(m_{\text{poured}} / m_{\text{removed}}) = (Q_f / (V_s A + Q_f))$$
(D.60)

Then the mass discharged during each cycle is

$$m_{\text{poured}} = (Q_f / (V_s A + Q_f)) (m_f t_p + C_f V_H - C_s V_L)$$
(D.61)

The total mass discharged is obtained by summing up the  $m_{poured}$  increments over all the cycles of operation.

The noble metals discharge predicted by this lumped-parameter model can be compared with the observed value and with TEMPEST predictions. The inputs to the lumped-parameter model are

m _f	=	0.0178 kg/hr	t _o	=	1 hr pour
t _r	=	9 hr feed	У _н	=	0.248 m ³
Q _f	=	0.00209 m³/hr		Q _x	= 0.0209 m ³ /hr
V,	=	0.0036 m/hr	· V _L	=	0.227 m
$\rho_{glass}$	=	2170 kg/m ³	Α	=	0.2973 m ²

These values are consistent with the inputs to the TEMPEST computer code for the single NM species simulations and with the noble metals feed rate, pour and feed times, and residence times used in the ESM experiments. Figure D-14 presents a comparison between the noble metal fed to the ESM and the discharges that were observed, predicted by TEMPEST, and predicted by the lumped-parameter model.

Both of the computer models predict the discharge quite accurately. Three conclusions can be drawn. First, the settling velocity of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s is not far from the mean settling velocity of noble metals particles in the melter. Second, that the flow field predicted by TEMPEST produces a well-mixed glass volume. And third, that the lumped-parameter model can be relied on to supplement TEMPEST to simulate longer melter operational periods. This allows a significant savings in computational time in performing parametric analysis.

#### D.7 Retention

During TEMPEST calculations, the concentration of noble metals is monitored at the exit of the simulated pour spout. This data is combined with monitored pouring velocity and spout cross sectional area. Knowing these, the amount of material discharged from the melter can be calculated as:

$$M_{pour,i} = \int_{time} C_{v,i} * V_{pour} * A dt \qquad (D.62)$$

where

M _{pour.i}	=	Total mass of species i discharged over time interval t, kg
C _{v,i}	=	Concentration of species i, kg/m ³
V _{pour}	=	Velocity of glass in pour spout, m/s
A _p	=	Area of pour spout, m ² .

Mass of species material entering the melter under the cold cap is determined from the source rates as:

$$M_{in,i} = \int_{time} M_{in,i}(t) dt$$
(D.63)

where

M _{ini} =	Total mass source of species i entering the melt, kg
$M_{ini}(t) =$	Mass source rate of species i, kg/s

With these two quantities, mass of the species retained in the melter up to time t can be determined as:

$$R = M_{in,i} - M_{pour,i}$$
(D.64)

This value of retention can be normalized by the mass-in to obtain a percentage retention. This was the method used to determine retention in the computer model results presented in Figures 6-4, 6-5, 6-7, and 6-9.

An alternate method of determining retention which is sometime used in experiments is to determine retention as the ratio of the weight percent of noble metals in the discharge glass (from pour sample measurements) to the target weight percent of noble metals determined from the mass content of the feed stream. The first method is cumbersome in experiments because it requires a large number of samples be taken and analyzed. The second method suffers from the disadvantage of being inaccurate in scenarios where significant numbers of feed stream interruptions occur, thus not allowing the concentration in the glass to reach an equilibrium concentration. Experimental retention rates presented in Figures 6-4, 6-5, 6-7, and 6-9 were determined with this second method. In the long term, once equilibrium is established, the two methods asymptotically approach each other. However, in operational scenarios where intermittent feed stoppages occur (extended idle), the two methods of determining retention will not necessarily yield the same result. A byproduct of this effect is that during melter operation, determining retention based on a very limited number of widely spaced samples could lead to erroneous results.

#### References

Anderson, L.D., T. Dennis, M.L. Elliott, L.L. Eyler, and P.R. Hrma. 1992. "Laboratory Testing and Computer Modeling of HWVP Melter Feed. Draft." PHTD-C91-04.01B, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Cobb, W. T., and P. R. Hrma. 1990. "Behavior of RuO₂ in a Glass Melt", <u>Ceramic Transactions</u>, 23:233-237.

Cooper, M.F., M.L. Elliott, L.L. Eyler, C.J. Freeman, J.J. Higginson, L.A. Mahoney, and M.R. Powell. 1993. "Research-Scale Melter Test Report." PHTD-K902, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Fromentin, A. 1989. Particle Resuspension from a Multi-Layer Deposit by Turbulent Flow, PhD. Dissertation, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland.

Masliyah, J.H., Neale, G., Malysa, K., and T.G.M. Van de Ven. 1987. "Creeping Flow Over a Composite Sphere: Solid Core With Porous Shell." Chem. Eng. Sci. 42, p. 245-253.

Matsumoto, K., and A. Suganuma. 1977. "Settling Velocity of a Permeable Model Floc." Chem. Eng. Sci. 32, p. 445-447.

McCabe, W.L., and J.C. Smith. 1976. <u>Unit Operations of Chemical Engineering</u>, 3rd Ed. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, New York.

Onishi, Y., D. Dummuller, and D. S. Trent. 1989. Preliminary Testing of Turbulence and Radionuclide Transport Modeling in the Deep-Ocean Environment, EPA520/1-89-018.

Onishi, Y., and D. S. Trent. 1982. Mathematical Simulation of Sediment and Radionuclide Transport in Estuaries, NUREG/CR-2423, PNL-4109.

Onishi, Y., and D. S. Trent. 1985. "Three-Dimensional Simulation of Flow, Salinity, and Radionuclide Movements in the Hudson River Estuary," *Proceedings of the Specialty Conference: Hydraulics and Hydrology in the Small Computer Age*, August 12-17, 1985. HY Div. ASCE, Lake Buena Vista, Florida.

Perry, R.H., and C.H. Chilton. 1973. <u>Chemical Engineers' Handbook</u>, 5th Ed. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York.

Westik, J. H., Jr. 1986. "Temperature Control System for Liquid-Fed Ceramic Melters". PNL-6009, Pacific Northwest Laboratory.

DE Larson - HWVP Tech manual - 1989