The Origin of Hydrogen Generated from Formaldehyde in Basic Solutions

S. Kapoor, F. Barnabas, C.D. Jonah, M. C. Sauer, Jr. and D. Meisel

Chemistry Division

Argonne National Laboratory

Argonne, Ill 60439

RECEIVED FFR 2 8 1996 $0STI$

Abstract

The isotopic composition of dihydrogen generated from formaldehyde in highly basic solutions has been investigated. It is shown that two pathways contribute to the generation of dihydrogen. In the fist pathway, one hydrogen atom originates from water and the other from formaldehyde. In the second pathway both hydrogen atoms originate from the methylene moiety of the formaldehyde. For production of dihydrogen from glyoxylate only the fist pathway is observed.

This manuscript has been authored by a contractor
of the US. Government under contract W-31-109 **ENG-38.** Accordingly, the U.S. Government **retains a nonexclusive. royalty-free license L) publish or reproduce the published form of his contribution. or dlow others to do so. for US.**

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED ДX

The generation of H₂ in highly alkaline nuclear waste solutions has become of concern in recent years.¹ There are two possible sources for the generation of dihydrogen in these waste solutions - radiolytic and chemical and these two pathways are interrelated. Formaldehyde and glyoxylate (HCOC02-) are known radiolytic degradation products of organic compounds that are present in these waste solutions. These two aldehydes were recently implicated as sources for H₂ evolution from the highly basic solutions.² The H₂ evolution reaction is clearly in competition with the well documented Cannizzaro reaction³ in which the disproportionation of formaldehyde to the corresponding alcohol and acid occurs in the basic solutions. The mechanism for the Cannizzaro reaction is believed to involve hydride transfer from the singly and doubly ionized forms of the aldehyde-hydrate (methylene glycol) to another aldehyde molecule.⁴

The hydrogen evolution reaction from formaldehyde has received little attention over the years even though it was described over a century ago.⁵ Fry et al. proposed, that the H_2 produced in this reaction proceeds to reduce the aldehyde to methanol in the Cannizzaro reaction⁶, a proposition that later gave way to the hydride mechanism. The recent observations **of** Ashby et al have shown that high conversion ratios of formaldehyde, and other aldehydes that contain no hydrogen atoms α - to the carbonyl, to H₂ can be achieved, at very high [OH⁻]² The mechanism proposed in the latter study requires one hydrogen atom to originate from water and the other from organic moiety. In the present report we confirm that this is the exclusive route for glyoxylate, which has only a single "active" hydrogen. However, for formaldehyde, with its two methylene hydrogen atoms, both atoms in H_2 could originate in the organic moiety. Earlier attempts² to use isotopic labeling techniques to study this reaction were defeated by the exchange of hydrogen among hydroxide ions and dihydrogen molecules.7

For the experiments described below, 10 ml of **2.3** M NaOH aqueous solutions in specially designed vessels of approximately 50 ml were evacuated using several freeze-thaw cycles. Formaldehyde (or glyoxylate) was then injected into the solution at ambient temperature to initiate the reaction. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 30 minutes, the gas phase was equilibrated with the aqueous phase by vigorous shaking and the reaction was quenched at 77 oK . The gas

2

from the head space was then transferred to a mass spectrometer for analysis. Results are summarized in [Table 1.](#page-5-0) The short period of time from initiation to quenching of the reaction ensures very little isotopic exchange between dihydrogen and hydroxide.7 The last line **in** [Table 1](#page-5-0) shows that, indeed, little exchange occurs in 30 minutes in the system used when D_2 is injected into basic H₂O solution. Our kinetic results presented elsewhere⁸ indicate that only a very small fraction of the formaldehyde $\langle \langle 1\% \rangle$ is converted to dihydrogen under the experimental conditions used here.

The results for dihydrogen generation from deuterated water containing **NaOD** and proteated glyoxylate (Exp. 6 Table 1) agree with a mechanism requiring one water (or water exchangeable) hydrogen and one hydrogen from the aldehyde. The -7% H₂ in this experiment probably reflects a reaction with the \sim 1% proteated water impurity. The preeminent result from the experiments on formaldehyde is the high percentage of mono isotopic dihydrogen, D_2 in Exp. 1 to 3 and H_2 in Exp. **4,5** of Table 1, that was obtained from the corresponding aldehyde. In particular, the high yield of **D2** from the parent **CD20** is outstanding. Nonetheless, a pathway involving **an** hydrogen atom from water is also required, to explain the significant percentage of HD. Quantitative analysis of the results in [Table 1](#page-5-0) would need to consider the isotopic dependence of the two acid-base equilibria of methylene glycol, and the kinetic isotope effect on the dihydrogen generating reactions; the margin of error in the results of [Table 1](#page-5-0) is too large to attempt such an analysis.

The mono isotopic dihydrogen may originate either from a single or two different formaldehyde molecules. The former is probably the case. **A** reaction between two formaldehyde molecules is inconsistent with the dependence of the yield on formaldehyde concentrations that has been previously reported and was confirmed in this study. In such a bimolecular reaction the conversion ratio of formaldehyde to H2 would be independent of [CH20] because the rates of both reactions, the **H2** evolution and the Cannizzaro reaction, would have the same concentration dependence. A reaction between two aldehyde molecules to generate H₂ is possible for glyoxylate, as well as formaldehyde, but no significant amount of mono isotopic product was obtained in the

3

former case. Finally, such a bimolecular reaction is difficult to reconcile with our kinetic results8 on the reaction order and with the observation of 1:1 ratio of dihydrogen to formate.²

To conclude, in the net reaction represented by equations 1 and 2, two pathways for H_2

$$
\frac{H}{H} \sum_{H} C \frac{O}{OH} + OH - H_2 + HCO_2 + OH \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{H}{H} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \left(\frac{1}{2} + OH^{-1} + H_2O + H_2 + HCO_2 + 2OH^{-1} \right) \tag{2}
$$

generation must occur. In one, both hydrogen atoms originate from the organic moiety while in the other both water and the aldehyde contribute, one atom each, to the dihydrogen product. The detailed mechanism for these processes is still unclear but we show elsewhere⁸ that hydroxide ion is a necessary reactant, perhaps a reductant, in addition to controlling the acid-base forms of the methylene glycol.

Acknowledgments:

Support **of** this work by Westinghouse Hanford Company is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- (1) Levy, B.G., *Physics Today,* 1992,45,17.
- Ashby, E. C.; Doctorovich, F.; Liotta, C. L.; Neumann, H. M.; Barefield, E. K.; Konda, **A.;** (2) Zhang, K.; Hurley, J.; Siemer, D. D., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1993, 115, 1171.

 \mathbf{r} $\Delta\sim 10$

- **(3)** a. Swain, C. G.; Powell, **A.** L.; Sheppard, W. **A.;** Morgan, **C. R.,** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1979, 101, 3576, **and** references therein. b. Swain, C. G.; Powell, **A.** L.; Lynch, T. J.; Alpha, *S.* R.; Dunlap, R. P., *ibid.,* 3584.
- (4) *Rodd's Chemistry of Carbon Compounds;* 2 ed.; Coffey, *S.,* Ed.; 1965; Vol. **IC, pp.** 11.
- (5) Loew, 0. *Ber.,* 1887,20,144.
- Fry, **H.** *S.;* Uber, J. J.; Price, J. W., *Rec. Tmv. Chim.,* 1931,50, 1060-5. (6)
- (7) a. Wilmarth, W. K.; Dayton, J. C.; Flournoy, J. M., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1953,75,4549. b. Rournoy, **J.** M.; Wilmarth, W. *K., J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1961,83,2257.
- (8) Kapoor, *S.,* Barnabas, F., Sauer, M. C., Jonah, C. D., Meisel, D., Submitted for publication

Table 1. Isotopic composition of dihydrogen generated from formaldehyde or glyoxylate in basic solutions of various isotopic compositions^a.

	Sample Composition ^b	$\%$ H ₂ , HD, or D ₂			Comments
$Exp.$ #		H ₂	HD	D_2	
1	0.13 M CD ₂ O in 2.3 M NaOH/H ₂ O	12±4.6	19±7.0	69±10.0	4 measurements
$\overline{2}$	0.065 M CD ₂ O in 2.3 M NaOH/H ₂ O	6.8	26	67	1 measurement
3	0.0325 M CD ₂ O in 2.3 M NaOH/H ₂ O	4.9 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 0.5	84.4±0.2	2 measurements
$\overline{4}$	0.13 M CH ₂ O in 2.3 M NaOD/D ₂ O	65.5 ± 2.1	33.0±1.4	1.5 ± 0.7	2 measurements
$\mathbf{5}$	0.0325 M CH ₂ O in 2.3 M NaOD/D ₂ O	40.5 ± 2.7	59.0±2.4	0.5 ± 0.2	2 measurements
6	0.13 M Glyoxylate in 2.3 M NaOD/D ₂ O	6.5 ± 3.7	91.8±3.1	1.8 ± 0.6	2 measurements
7	2.3 M NaOH/H ₂ O saturated with D_2	0.25	0.84	98.9	test for D_2 /OH $-$ exchange

^a Gases extracted 30 min. after injection of CH₂O, CD₂O or glyoxylate into the basic solution at room temperature(23.1 ± 0.2 °C).

 b 99% D₂O and 99.9% NaOD when deuterated medium was used. Formaldehyde-d₂ was 98%.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their Government. Accounts the United States Government nor any legal liability or responsi-
employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsiemployees, makes any completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or bility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, ence herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trademark, trademark, recommanufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply the constitution of the views mendation, or favoring by the United States Government of the or reflect those of the and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect that United States Government or any agency thereof.